

Published in final edited form as:

Med Anthropol. 2011 July; 30(4): 339–362. doi:10.1080/01459740.2011.576725.

Structural Vulnerability and Health: Latino Migrant Laborers in the United States

James Quesada, Laurie K. Hart, and Philippe Bourgois

Abstract

Latino immigrants in the United States constitute a paradigmatic case of a population group subject to structural violence. Their subordinated location in the global economy and their culturally depreciated status in the United States are exacerbated by legal persecution. *Medical Anthropology* Volume 30, issues 4 and 5, include a series of ethnographic analyses of the processes that render undocumented Latino immigrants structurally vulnerable to ill-health. We hope to extend the social science concept of 'structural vulnerability' to make it a useful tool for health care. Defined as a positionality that imposes physical/emotional suffering on specific population groups and individuals in patterned ways, structural vulnerability is a product of two complementary forces: (1) class-based economic exploitation and cultural, gender/sexual, and racialized discrimination; and (2) processes of symbolic violence and subjectivity formation that have increasingly legitimized punitive neoliberal discourses of individual unworthiness.

Keywords

citizenship; Hispanic health outcomes; immigrants; social inequality; social medicine; structural violence

The embattled passage of health care legislation in the US in 2010, founded on a rhetoric of 'health care for all', expressly barred undocumented immigrants from accessing coverage, officially reaffirming their exclusion from public services and basic legal rights. At the same time, increased enforcement of immigration laws has exacerbated personal insecurity, labor market discrimination, and residential segregation (Gradstein and Schiff 2006; Canales 2007). Most importantly from a health perspective, this kind of systemic social marginalization inflicts pain (Eisenberger, Liberman, and Williams 2003).

Explicit political exclusion is one of the more visible manifestations of what has been called *structural violence* in social science analysis. Latino migrant laborers are a population especially vulnerable to structural violence because their economic location in the lowest rungs of the US labor market is conjoined with overt xenophobia, ethnic discrimination, and scapegoating. Simultaneously perceived as unfair competitors in a limited-good economy (Foster 1965; Quesada 1999) and freeloaders on the shrinking welfare safety net (Cockcroft 1986; Gómez-Quiñones 1994; Gutiérrez 1995; Mahler 1995 Coutin 2000; De Genova 2004), they are subjected to a conjugation of economic exploitation and cultural insult (Bourgois 1988).

The term structural violence is generally attributed to the sociologist Johan Galtung (1969). Arguing for a social-democratic commitment to universal human rights, in the face of US Cold War blindness to the political effects of third world poverty and the legacy of colonial

inequity, Galtung defined structural violence as "the indirect violence built into repressive social orders creating enormous differences between potential and actual human self-realization" (Galtung 1975:173). He specifically differentiated structural violence from institutional violence, emphasizing the former's "more abstract nature... that can[not] be traced down to a particular institution" (Galtung 1975:173, 175).

The theorization of structural violence also has radical roots in anti-colonial resistance movements (Fanon 1963) and in Catholic liberation theology's advocacy for a 'preferential option for the poor' (Camara 1971; CELAM 1973; Martin-Baro, Aron, and Corne 1994). In medical anthropology the term has been used to highlight disparities and to identify socially structured patterns of distress and disease across population groups, from mental health, occupational health and interpersonal/domestic violence, to infant mortality, substance abuse and infectious diseases (Galtung 1969; Martin-Baro, Aron, and Corne 1994; Scheper-Hughes 1996; Kleinman 2000; Parker, Easton, and Klein 2000; Bourgois 2001; Pedersen 2002; Farmer 2004; Walter, Bourgois, and Loinaz 2004; Heggenhougen 2005). The infectious disease physician and anthropologist Paul Farmer (2003) has made structural violence the centerpiece of his argument that access to health care is a fundamental human right.

Many analyses of structural violence have included cultural factors such as gender inequality and racism. Nevertheless, in practice the concept has been used primarily to invoke materialist forces calling attention to class oppression and economic injustice. The semantic tension in the phrase effectively conveys the urgency of its analytical point and mobilizes political, ethical, and practical engagement: it rhetorically juxtaposes the morally evocative and physically concrete word 'violence' with the abstract and ostensibly neutral word 'structure.' However, the term's political and humanitarian valence —especially in its Latin American manifestation as liberation theology in solidarity with armed revolutionary struggles—alienates some critics. Other scholars object to the purposefully provocative broadening of the concept of violence into a political-economic abstraction.

The more neutral term 'vulnerability' may be useful, consequently, to extend the economic, material and political insights of structural violence to encompass more explicitly (and to project to a wider audience) not only politico-economic but also cultural and idiosyncratic sources of physical and psychodynamic distress. These include (1) social hierarchies buttressed by symbolic taxonomies of worthiness (Bourdieu 2000); (2) historically distinctive discourses of normativity and ethics (Foucault 1984); and (3) the intersection of individual medical pathology and biography with social exclusion (Biehl 2005). In our conceptualization, structural vulnerability is a *positionality*. The vulnerability of an individual is produced by his or her location in a hierarchical social order and its diverse networks of power relationships and effects (Leatherman 2005; Watts and Bohle 1993). Individuals are structurally vulnerable when they are subject to structural violence in its broadest conceptualization. This includes the interface of their personal attributes—such as appearance, affect, cognitive status – with cultural values and institutional structures.

Economically exploited and politically subordinated individuals and collectivities often internalize their externally-generated depreciated status in a complex and poorly understood process of embodiment that shapes their behaviors, practices and self-conceptions, that is, their 'habitus' (Bourdieu 2000) or their 'subjectivities' (Foucault 1978, 1995; see Butler 1997:86; Biehl, Good, and Kleinman 2007; Pine 2008:12–14, 17; Bourgois and Schonberg 2009:18–19). This embodiment of subordinated status produces a form of 'symbolic violence' whereby the everyday violence of imposed scarcity and insecurity is understood as natural and deserved (Bourdieu 2000; for ethnographic accounts of the negative effects of symbolic violence on health, see Bourgois, Prince, and Moss 2004; Pine 2008; Auyero and

Swistun 2009). Ill health under these conditions, as Nguyen and Peschard argue (2003), can be conceptualized as the outcome of forms of violence sustained by political-economic and cultural rationales, and managed through historically specific modes of governmentality, in a social milieu and political context of marked indifference to the afflicted.

Structural vulnerability implies a critique of the concept of agency because it requires an analysis of the forces that constrain decision-making, frame choices, and limit life options (for a rejection of the agency-structure polarity through the concept of habitus and 'practice', see Bourdieu and Wacquant 1992; Calhoun, LiPuma, and Postone 1993; see also Bourgois and Hart 2010). It identifies "spaces that configure a specific set of conditions in which people live, and set constraints on how these conditions are perceived, how goals are prioritized, what sorts of actions and responses might seem appropriate, and which ones are possible" (Leatherman 2005:53). When translated into health care practice, the concept of structural vulnerability can become a productive tool for contextualizing diagnosis and informing critical praxis (Singer 1995; Delor and Hubert 2000). Demystifying agency and removing the moral judgement inherent to a theoretical concept that implies that individuals understand and control the consequences of their everyday actions can contribute to rectifying the misdiagnosis, blame, and maltreatment that accompany the experience of poverty and cultural subordination. This is especially important in a society like the United States which individualizes responsibility for survival and relies on an ideology of free market forces to distribute good, services and health to the disenfranchised.

THE CRITIQUE OF RISK IN PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICINE

Conventional public health interventions primarily target individuals by promoting behavior change through imparting knowledge, skills, motivation, and/or 'empowerment' based on a cognitive model of rational choice theory in medical decision-making. There is a growing recognition in the field of public health and medicine, however, of the ways social inequality imposes 'risk' on subordinated population groups. This involves an awareness that a larger 'risk environment' precedes individual decision-making (Rhodes 2002), but, significantly, there is not yet a logically consistent conceptual vocabulary or analytical approach to the definition of the risk environment in public health and medicine. Critical public health approaches propose a broad range of overlapping terms for grappling with the effects of social inequality, including among others: "social epidemiology" (Poundstone, Strathdee, and Celentano 2004; Diez Roux 2007; Galea, Hall, and Kaplan 2009), the "eco-social" or "socio-environmental perspective" (Brown and Inhorn 1990; Krieger 1994, 2001; Richard, Potvin, and Mansi 1998; Burris et al. 2004), "eco-epidemiology" (Susser 1996), and the "the risk environment framework" (Rhodes and Simic 2005; Rhodes 2009; also see review by Strathdee et al. 2010). They call for a focus on "fundamental social causes" (Link and Phelan 1996, 2002), "social determinants of health inequality" (Strathdee et al. 1997; Kawachi and Kennedy 1999; Marmot 2005; Marmot and Wilkinson 2006), "income inequality" (Kawachi and Kennedy 1999), "political and economic determinants" (Singer 2001, Navarro and Muntaner 2004), "conjugated oppression" and "hierarchies of embodied suffering" (Holmes 2007), "zones of abandonment" (Biehl 2005), "higher order causal level structural factors" (Miller and Neaigus 2001), and "discrimination" and "racial disparities in health outcomes" (Marmot 1984; Lillie-Blanton and Laveist 1996; Williams 1999; Ferrie et al. 2003; Smedley, Stith, Nelson and Institute of Medicine 2003; see also review of race and inequality in the public health literature by Krieger 1999).

Within the field of HIV prevention studies, the risk environment framework has been especially productive (Sweat and Denison 1995; Parker, Easton, and Klein 2000; see review by Rhodes 2009). This perspective allows for an ethnographically concrete focus on social inequality at the local level that does not individualize risk. It invokes processes and factors

such as "urban desertification" (Wallace 1990), "social and structural violence" (Shannon et al. 2008a), "syndemics" (Singer and Clair 2003), "neighborhood effects" (Latkin et al. 2005; Maas et al. 2007), "grey zones" and "politically structured suffering" (Bourgois and Schonberg 2009), "neighborhood socio-economic status" (Williams and Latkin 2007), "enabling environments" (Moore and Dietze 2005), "residential segregation" (Cooper et al. 2007), "environmental-structural barriers" (Shannon et al. 2008b), "structures and dynamics of drug-using networks" (Friedman et al. 1999; Friedman et al. 2000), "big [historical] events" and "destabilization pathways" (Friedman, Rossi, and Braine 2009). It argues for the need to develop "structural approaches to prevention" (Bourgois 2008; Gupta et al. 2008). Nevertheless, despite calls for addressing the effect of social inequalities on health, and despite impressive documentation and meta-analysis of epidemiological associations between structural forces and the prevalence of premature death and illness burden (cf. Krieger 2008; Friedman 2009; Rhodes 2009), the conventional biomedical paradigm largely fails to translate the documentation of social forces into everyday practice and epistemology. In the absence of clinically accessible effective alternative models, clinicians continue to treat individual patients primarily in a psychological, social, cultural and class vacuum. Public health interventions continue to focus primarily on changing the micro-behaviors of individuals through knowledge-based education interventions, based on middle class models of rational choice decision-making.

In medical anthropology, the concept of structural vulnerability focuses on how a host of mutually reinforcing insults (ranging from the economic and political to the cultural and psychodynamic) that dispose individuals and communities toward ill health are embodied. We use the term 'insults' because it brings from a disease etiology model a focus on the interactions between an individual or group and an environment. An insult is a physical, chemical, infectious, psychological, or social-cultural stimulus that adversely affects an individual, group, or community in dynamic relation to an environment (Audy 1971; Brown and Inhorn 1990). Health and disease are states indicative of an individual's relationship to an environment; given that, as Brown and Inhorn argue, "exposure to a pathogen [is] a necessary but not sufficient cause of disease…the progression from exposure to disease depend[s] in part on the health of the exposed person, in which… an individual's vulnerability to a complex of insults, is never constant" (1997:39). This approach requires, then, a dynamic ethnographic tracking at the level of both individual biography and social/physical-environmental context.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO THE STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY OF UNDOCUMENTED LATINOS

The vulnerability of Latino migrants is exacerbated by their interactions as economically disenfranchized laborers in a society that regards them as criminals and devalues their individual and cultural worth. This devaluation is a routinized, lived experience shared by Latinos throughout the US that is not confined to those lacking legal status (Chavez 2003; Willen 2007). The Latino threat narrative (Chavez 2008) has come to dominate popular thinking about Latinos and places all of them under social and emotional duress (Rosaldo 1999). Significantly, however, a sharp gradient distinguishes the life chances of Latinos according to class, citizenship status, racialized stereotyping and ethnic hierarchies (e.g. nationality and indigeneity.) By implicating social conditions, structural vulnerability attends closely to those variables and critiques the conceptualization of Latino migrants as an 'at risk population' in which individuals engage in risky practices with an accompanying connotation of individual and collective/cultural guilt (Hernandez-Rosete Martinez et al. 2005; Rocha 2006). As Bronfman and colleagues note, "while risk points to a probability and evokes an individual behavior, vulnerability is an indicator of inequity and social inequality and demands responses in the sphere of the social and political structure. It is

considered that vulnerability determines the differential risks and should therefore be what is acted upon" (Bronfman, Levy, and Negroni 2002:483). Our qualification here is that vulnerability must be addressed not only in the political domain but also in the clinical encounter.

Invoking the concept of structural forces has analytical limitations in clinical and practical service-providing contexts because of its inherent abstraction (Scheper-Hughes and Bourgois 2004; Wacquant 2004). Nevertheless, anthropology's participant-observation methods can make an abstract concept come alive through thick description—in the case of this collection of articles (volume 30, issues 4, 5), through a focus on the relationship between undocumented Latino experiences of social inequality, and health. Ethnographic analysis can open the black box of embodiment and subjectivity formation. Anthropological approaches to health through the lens of structural forces can document highly localized practices and provide a comparative perspective on how specific policies and institutional processes affect individual health experience and larger disease patterns (Parker, Easton, and Klein 2000:S29-S30). This theoretically informed documentation of the larger contexts for intimate suffering can facilitate the design and implementation of upstream structural interventions that improve health outcomes. These interventions do not inevitably require those who are benefitted to change individual behaviors or, if behavioral change is involved, to be conscious of why such changes are affecting health outcomes: let us remember that improvements in urban sanitation in nineteenth century London had the most significant impact on the public's health in that era.

Furthermore, because of the sensitivity of health practitioners to the 'clinical case study' as a paradigm of pedagogy and knowledge-creation, anthropological methods and in particular the medium of "thick description" (Geertz 1973) can resonate with clinicians on the front lines. Medical anthropology's attendance to 'narratives' that move beyond standard medical history-taking can be useful. Similarly, life history approaches that explore the practices that shape the experience of distress and illness (Kleinman, Das, and Lock 1997), and examine the "wanted and unwanted experiential conditions of self" (Hahn 1995), may offer productive practical insights for health care practitioners. In short, the combination in critical medical anthropology of participant observation methods and humanities and social science theory facilitates a theoretical/practical interpretation of structural vulnerability that extends beyond linear economic forces and addresses the embodiment of discursive, symbolic, and psychodynamic effects of power (e.g. Singer and Baer 1995a; Kleinman, Das, and Lock 1997; Bourdieu 1999; Briggs and Mantini-Briggs 2003; Scheper-Hughes and Bourgois 2004; Gutman 2007). It also, importantly, constitutes an approach highly compatible with the clinical sensibility of learning from case presentations of patients in distress and pain.

Structural vulnerability is not unique to Latino migrants in the US. It applies to the poor, the medically uninsured, the sexually stigmatized, people of color, the disabled, the incarcerated and those with drug and alcohol problems. Experiences of vulnerability, however, are only partially shared across populations as they are shaped unevenly by specific status attributes (i.e., gender, age, ethnicity, etc.), conditions (i.e., legal status, economic and living conditions, etc.) and individual serendipity. In this collection, we have focused on Latino migrants because of an increasingly xenophobic socio-political atmosphere, intensified in the aftermath of the bombing of the World Trade Towers and the declaration of the War on Terror (Johnson 2004). These historical, global factors have rendered Latino migrants especially visible as an outsider ethnic group subject to moral judgment in the US (Heyman 2000; Bender 2002). Furthermore, undocumented Latino laborers who take action by crossing the border extra-legally—that is, by any means necessary—are paradigmatic for illustrating the complex interactions between North/South inequality and individual strategic

determination to survive/improve life chances in the increasingly competitively polarized globalized economy (Hernandez-Rosete Martinez et al. 2005).

Latino immigrants occupy a disjunctive liminal quasi-caste status in the US (Sandesara 2008). They are stereotypically presumed to be excluded from the entitlements of citizenship. In this new era of 'post-racial' racism (Jackson 2008), xenophobia is disguised as concern with national security, the fiscal consequences of population pressure on local governments, euphemized crises of moral turpitude and criminality, and threats to national cultural cohesion. A dramatic example of this was the passage of the Arizona Senate bill in 2010 authorizing the police to arrest any individual suspected of being undocumented on the basis of appearance without the standard US constitutional prerequisite of 'probable cause'. Citizenship status determines access to social and health care services in the US despite international conventions and consensus that immigrants and migrants should retain basic human rights that are legally and morally located beyond the nation state. Outside of the US, distinct definitions and legal criteria of belonging provide opportunities for non-citizens to access basic care and treatment (Fassin 2001; Fassin and D'Halluin 2005; Ticktin 2006). For example, despite France's racist/stigmatizing biopolitics of otherness, migrants in France are accorded medical rights consistent with a humanitarian-universalist approach to the suffering body. They are not only granted care and treatment, but also, in many cases, residency on the basis of their special medical needs (Fassin 2001). The same does not obtain to suffering immigrant bodies in the US. In fact, bearing the diagnosis of a serious medical condition is one of the official Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) bureaucratic categories for denying visas to tourists and legal residency status to immigrants (Costich 2001; Ruiz-Casares et al. 2010).

The dynamics of social exclusion and the limits placed on citizenship play out on streets, and in fields, homes and clinics in the form of economic scarcity, food insecurity and hunger, exclusion from care, and restrictions on the professional autonomy of health providers. Ultimately the larger public's health is endangered. Denial of care and treatment to some threatens the general health and well-being of all (Farmer 2010). This threat to the well-being of the public does not derive from the fact that migrants are potential disease vectors (as nativist discourse insistently claims), but from the structural reality that a whole sector of the population is being 'adversely incorporated' (Kullgren 2003; Du Toit 2004; Hadley et al. 2008), undermining the foundation of the low-wage labor market and undercutting the general standard of living of the poor.

Immigration, both voluntary and involuntary, raises deeply contentious questions of belonging. Citizenship, when narrowly defined in strictly nationalist terms, produces a multi-tiered human-rights regime in which state biopower (Foucault 1978) promotes the health of some rights-bearing citizens while reducing 'illegal' immigrant bodies to the status of "bare life" (Agamben 1998), i.e. populations both devoid of rights and subject to the repressive power of the state (see Nikolopoulou on Agamben's critique of the "slippage from 'man [sic]' to '[national] citizen'" in the French Revolution's Declaration of the Rights of Man and Citizen 2000:128; 131). Homeland Security detention centers are a prime contemporary manifestation of phenomenon of the state-administered 'camp' described critically by Agamben as imposing and enabling repressive and arbitrary discretionary power on legally excluded populations (c.f. Heyman 1998):

The stadium in Bari into which the Italian police provisionally herded all illegal Albanian immigrants in 1991 before sending them back to their country, the cycleracing track in which the Vichy authorities rounded up the Jews before handing them over to the Germans,... the refugee camp near the Spanish border where Antonnio Machado died in 1939, as well as the *zones d'attentes* in French international airports in which foreigners requesting refugee status are detained will

all have to be considered camps. In all these cases, an apparently anodyne place... delimits instead a space in which, for all intents and purposes, the normal rule of law is suspended and in which the fact that atrocities may or may not be committed does not depend on the law, but rather on the civility and ethical sense of the police that act temporarily as sovereign (Agamben 2000:174; see Heyman 2000 for an ethnographic account of the moral decision-making practices of INS border agents).

LATINO MIGRANT LABORERS AND STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY

Latino migration to the US is driven by a combination of historically embedded market forces that have made subsistence survival difficult in sending countries. At the same time, political coercion in the receiving country maintains labor costs artificially low and labor discipline exceptionally high (Burawoy 1976; Walter, Bourgois, and Loinaz 2004). Latinos in US society have been systematically deprived of equal rights as citizens since the Mexican American War in 1848 (Weber 1973). A contradictory dualism underlies the laws and populist nationalist sentiments that structure the conditions for undocumented workers. Throughout the twentieth century, public policy has waxed and waned between repression and tolerance in response to economic cycles and political exigencies. Mexican labor entered the US unrestrained until the Great Depression when Mexicans were forcibly repatriated (Andreas 2000). Mexicans were then recruited during WWII labor shortages under a regulatory 'Bracero program' regime. Nevertheless, nation-origin quota systems effectively excluded immigrants from Latin America, Asia, Africa and Southern Europe until 1965, when the Hart-Cellar Act passed by Congress eliminated the quota system and replaced it with a system of numerical totals for each hemisphere with preferences for family reunification and labor priorities. Amendments in 1978 removed hemispheric ceilings, imposing instead a combined global total immigration quota. Since then Latino migration has gone through several waves (Massey, Durand, and Malone 2002), with the latest wave that accelerated from the 1990s to the 2010s representing the fall-out from economic and social dislocations caused by the implementation of the 1994 North American Free Trade Act (NAFTA) and the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA) in 2008 (Andreas 2000; Bacon 2008; Quesada 2009). The exploitative relationships linking foreign trade and political and economic policies toward Latin America to undocumented immigration have been officially obfuscated (Bustamante 1998) and barely register in the popular imagination. The politically imposed economic forces that generate undocumented migration from Latin America to the US remain largely invisible in the news media despite considerable coverage of violent local reactions against the presence of Latinos in rural and suburban communities.

Neoliberal 'free trade' agreements and policies have undermined the traditional subsistence agricultural communities, small-scale manufacturing, and the artisanal and mercantile local firms that provide wage employment in the cities and countryside in the non-industrialized word (Harvey 2005; Klein 2007; Quesada 2009). Economically and socially unmoored, with viable income-generating alternatives constricted, displaced workers have crossed borders to seek survival opportunities throughout the Americas. Those who have made it to North America predominate in dangerous low wage jobs in construction, sweat shops, agriculture and service industries: they tolerate wages and working conditions unacceptable to most native born workers. Repeating earlier scenarios of US labor conflicts that exacerbated racism (e.g. the importation of Blacks from the south as strikebreakers in northern industry following World War I), native born US workers are susceptible to immigrant-bashing discourses (Feagin 1997). They do not make the political economic connections that would allow them to recognize how overseas corporate investments, large agribusiness penetration in Latin America and the establishment of *maquiladoras* (duty free and tariff free assembly

or manufacturing factories), promoted by international lending institutions and foreign aid policies, compel emigration.

STRUCTURAL VULNERABILITY IN GOOD-ENOUGH CLINICAL AND PUBLIC HEALTH PRACTICE

Emphasizing the importance of structural vulnerability for the basic lexicon of our larger sub-discipline is only a first step for critical medical anthropology. The recent history of the use of the concept of culture in medical pedagogy and in clinics provides a good illustration of the perils and potentials of translating anthropological theory into health care practice. Beginning in the mid 1970s, medical anthropologists contributed to the recognition by clinicians of the cultural barriers to effective health care. This ultimately filtered into the practice of medicine through the rubric of 'cultural competence' which was formally institutionalized in medical school curricula in 2001 as a required field for licensing by the LCME (Liaison Committee on Medical Education). The culture concept, however, has long been recognized as problematic within anthropology (Weiner 1995; Marcus and Fischer 1999; Visweswaran 2010); and its practical application can easily devolve into a repackaging of stereotypes that essentialize the very populations targeted as requiring special attention. More trivially, in the clinic, so-called cultural competence is often relegated to etiquette, a question of bedside manners or techniques to improve compliance and assert authority. Critical medical anthropology, consequently, has criticized the pedagogical cure for cultural incompetence as being worse than the disease (Good et al. 2002).

'Structure', like the term culture, can obscure as much as it elucidates; nevertheless, structural vulnerability as a concept for medical practice may counteract the essentialization plaguing notions of culture. Instead of emphasizing the incommensurability and sui generis explanatory power of diversity, structural vulnerability points to the sources and effects of social inequality that can be ameliorated with political will and appropriate allocations of resources, technology and legislative oversight. Already some medical schools have instituted curricula to address 'socially vulnerable populations' (King and Wheeler 2007) that go far beyond the scope of 'cultural competence'. In addition to the traditional course format, these curricula include home visits with required fieldwork note-taking, socially themed grand round lectures, and the integration of 'attending anthropologists' into the pedagogical clinical teams that lead case conference presentations.

Despite the political limits and contradictions of practicing 'applied' anthropology in the clinical setting (Scheper-Hughes 1990; Kleinman 1995; Singer and Baer 1995b), we need to respond to critical medical anthropology's challenge to move beyond the academy and to propose practical interventions that have immediate consequences (Singer 1995). All diagnostic tools inherently present the danger of reification; and there is an epistemological contradiction between anthropology's commitment to revealing the causal complexity (overdetermination) of human behavior and the practical exigencies of service provision. Nevertheless, we envision that an important clinical translation of structural vulnerability might take the form of screening protocols that could be administered in medical care settings. Clinical service providers operate under intense time constraints and routinely rely on checklists to flag diagnoses of frequently overlooked complex conditions such as depression, child abuse, addiction, etc. 'Structural vulnerability checklists' developed in a collaboration between clinicians from the various medical disciplines/specialties and anthropologists could: 1) open the medical gaze towards an awareness of the embodied effects of social positioning; 2) legitimize through 'evidence-based practice' the allocation of increased resources (medical, social service and political) to the disenfranchised; and 3) improve the quality of care for the poor. Structural vulnerability does not constitute a

diagnosis; it serves to alert the health care provider to the potential need for pursuing a wider range of diagnoses and for targeting resources. This approach can help to identify and overcome the barriers to adherence that are often clinically invisible and tend to be misattributed to the self-destructive will of the patient. Improving outcomes and recognizing the external, systemic causes for failures may be especially helpful to counter the problem of service provider burn-out and of negative clinical interactions (as described, for example, by Holmes, this volume, in the occupational health clinics serving indigenous migrant farm workers).

It is not solely a matter of training and sensitizing individual health practitioners to 'see' their patients as structurally vulnerable, but also a question of establishing viable institutional practices that encourage health practitioners to fulfill their roles as genuine healers. Insisting that both health practitioners and the systems they work within include structural vulnerability as an etiologic agent in the presenting signs and symptoms that they daily confront pushes medicine to extend its purview towards becoming more fully social and more responsive to underserved populations. The emerging applied health services literature on the efficacy of compelling all levels of the clinical hierarchy to complete short procedural lists in the ICU testifies to the importance of the introduction of deceptively simple protocols (Haynes et al. 2009). Structural vulnerability has very real consequences: shorter lives subject to a disproportionate load of intimate suffering. Medicine is increasingly committed to a scientific discourse that defines 'best medical practice' as based in quantitatively documented 'medical efficacy' and 'evidence-based practice'. There exists a large epidemiological literature documenting social disparities in health related to Latino migrant workers (Lara et al. 2004; Zsembik and Fennell 2005; Organista 2007; Barr 2008; Nandi et al. 2008). This literature has revealed, for example, the perplexing phenomenon of the 'Latino public health paradox', i.e., the association between deteriorating health outcomes and length of residence and acculturation in the US (Prislin et al. 1988; Kaplan and Marks 1990; Balcazar and Krull 1999). If the recognition of social forces could be demonstrated through quantitative/bureaucratic documentation to have clinical efficacy defined both as cost effectiveness and better medical outcomes—this may facilitate a practical logic for diversifying and increasing resources for underserved populations in the contemporary neoliberal era. Furthermore, it may encourage healthcare practitioners to use the scientific legitimacy of medicine to take leadership outside the clinic to remedy institutional and political barriers to health (Holmes 2006; Farmer 2010).

THE ARTICLES

All the contributors to our special series—which includes the articles in this issue (30, 4) and some in the issue that follows (30, 5)—are critical medical anthropologists who focus on both the patterned effects and the specific consequences of structural vulnerability on Latino migrants. They link the use of a structural vulnerability framework to broader political implications in the interest of advocacy and healing. The concluding two articles (Smith-Nonini; Cartwright) also address specific legal and policy interventions.

The negative effects of current labor hierarchies and processes of conjugated oppression on Latino migrants are not confined to their work world but pervade the multiple social settings in which they are embedded, including farms and clinics (Holmes); courts, police, and homeland security regimes (Cartwright; Green; Smith-Nonini); the dwellings in which migrants live (Duke; Holmes); and the public spheres they traverse (Green; Quesada; Cartwright; Duke). The articles attend closely to the hostility, scorn, and violence of the dominant society that migrants routinely endure.

The recognition of structural vulnerability in clinical settings is especially important in the contemporary historical moment to counteract the rhetoric of blame that legitimizes the punitive retraction of access to health care and promotes the further criminalization of undocumented Latino immigrants despite their essential location in the functioning of the US economy. Fine-grained ethnographic accounts of individual lives and the global and local forces that press upon them are the foundation for a practical, wider and more broadly disseminated understanding of the effects of structural vulnerability.

Acknowledgments

National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants DA010164 and AA01759201. Comparative and background data was drawn from NIH grants: DA027204, DA027689 and the California HIV/AIDS Research Program ID08-SF-049. The analysis presented does not necessarily represent the views of the funders; it is solely the responsibility of the authors.

References

- Agamben, G. Homo Sacer. In: Heller-Roazen, Daniel, translator. Sovereign Power and Bare Life. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 1998.
- Agamben, G. Means without End: Notes on Politics. Binetti, Vincenzo; Casarino, Cesare, translators. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 2000.
- Andreas, P. Border Games: Policing the US-Mexico Divide. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; 2000.
- Audy, R. Measurement and diagnosis of health. In: Shepard, P.; McKinley, D., editors. Environ/mental: Essays on the Planet as a Home. New York: Houghton Mifflin; 1971. p. 140-162.
- Auyero, J.; Swistun, D. Flammable: Environmental Suffering in an Argentine Shantytown. New York: Oxford University Press; 2009.
- Bacon, D. Illegal people: How Globalization Creates Migration and Criminalizes Immigrants. Boston: Beacon Press; 2008.
- Balcazar H, Krull J. Determinants of birth-weight outcomes among Mexican-American women: Examining conflicting results about acculturation. Ethnicity and Disease. 1999; 9(3):410–422. [PubMed: 10600064]
- Barr, D. Health Disparities in the United States: Social Class, Race, Ethnicity, and Health. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press; 2008.
- Bender SW. Sight, sound, and stereotype: The war on terrorism and its consequences for Latinas/os. Oregon Law Review. 2002; 81:1153–1178.
- Biehl, J. Vita: Life in a Zone of Social Abandonment. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2005.
- Biehl, J.; Good, B.; Kleinman, A., editors. Subjectivity: Ethnographic Investigations. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2007.
- Bourdieu, P. The Weight of the World: Social Suffering in Contemporary Society. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 1999.
- Bourdieu, P. Pascalian Meditations. Nice, R., translator. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press; 2000.
- Bourdieu, P.; Wacquant, L. An Invitation to Reflexive Sociology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1992.
- Bourgois P. Conjugated oppression: Class and ethnicity among Guaymí and Kuna banana workers. American Ethnologist. 1988; 15(2):328–348.
- Bourgois P. The power of violence in war and peace: Post-Cold War lessons from El Salvador. Ethnography. 2001; 2(1):5–37.
- Bourgois P. The mystery of marijuana: Science and the US war on drugs. Substance Use and Misuse. 2008; 43(3 and 4):581–583. [PubMed: 18365954]
- Bourgois P, Hart L. Science, religion and the challenges of drug treatment. Substance Use & Misuse. 2010; 45(14):2400–2402.

Bourgois P, Prince B, Moss A. Everyday violence and the gender of hepatitis C among homeless druginjecting youth in San Francisco. Human Organization. 2004; 63(3):253–264. [PubMed: 16685288]

- Bourgois, P.; Schonberg, J. Righteous Dopefiend. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2009.
- Briggs, CL.; Mantini-Briggs, C. Stories in the Time of Cholera: Racial Profiling During a Medical Nightmare. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2003.
- Bronfman M, Leyva R, Negroni M. Population mobility and HIV/AIDS: Contexts of vulnerability in Mexico and Central America. AIDS. 2002; 16(Suppl):S42–S49. [PubMed: 12685924]
- Brown, PJ.; Inhorn, M. Disease, ecology, and human behavior. In: Johnson, T.; Sargent, C., editors.Medical Anthropology: A Handbook of Theory and Method. New York: Greenwood Press; 1990.p. 184-214.
- Brown, PJ.; Inhorn, M. The Anthropology of Infectious Disease: International Health Perspectives. Amsterdam: Gordon and Breach Publishers; 1997.
- Burawoy M. The functions and reproduction of migrant labor: Comparative material from Southern Africa and the United States. American Journal of Sociology. 1976; 81(5):1050–1087.
- Burris S, Blankenship KM, Donoghoe M, Sherman S, Vernick JS, Case P, Lazzarini Z, Koester S. Addressing the "risk environment" for injection drug users: The mysterious case of the missing cop. Milbank Quarterly. 2004; 82(1):125–156. [PubMed: 15016246]
- Bustamante, JA. Migration between Mexico and the United States. Vol. 2. Mexico-US Binational Migration Study; 1998. Some thoughts on perceptions and policies; p. 819-868.
- Butler, J. The Psychic Life of Power: Theories in Subjection. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 1997
- Calhoun, C.; LiPuma, E.; Postone, M. Bourdieu: Critical Perspectives. Chicago: University of Chicago Press: 1993.
- Camara, H. Spiral of Violence. London: Sheed and Ward; 1971.
- Canales AI. Inclusion and segregation: The incorporation of Latin American immigrants into the US labor market. Latin American Perspectives. 2007; 34(152):73–82.
- CELAM. Medellin Conclusions. Bogota, Colombia: CELAM; 1973.
- Chavez, LR. Immigration and medical anthropology. In: Foner, N., editor. American Arrivals: Anthropology Engages the New Immigration. Santa Fe: School of American Research Press; 2003. p. 197-228.
- Chavez, LR. The Latino Threat: Constructing Immigrants, Citizens, and the Nation. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2008.
- Cockcroft, JD. Outlaws in The Promised Land: Mexican Immigrant Workers and America's Future. New York: Grove Press; 1986.
- Cooper HLF, Friedman SR, Tempalski B, Friedman R. Residential segregation and injection drug use prevalence among black adults in US metropolitan areas. American Journal of Public Health. 2007; 97(2):344. [PubMed: 17077412]
- Costich JF. Legislating a public health nightmare: The anti-immigrant provisions of the Contract with America Congress. Kentucky Law Journal. 2001; 90:1043–1070.
- Coutin, S. Legalizing Moves: Salvadoran Immigrants' Struggle for US Residency. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press; 2000.
- De Genova N. The legal production of Mexican/migrant "illegality". Latino Studies. 2004; 2(2):160–185.
- Delor F, Hubert M. Revisiting the concept of "vulnerability". Social Science and Medicine. 2000; 50(11):1557–1570. [PubMed: 10795963]
- Diez Roux AV. Integrating social and biologic factors in health research: A systems view. Annals of Epidemiology. 2007; 17(7):569–574. [PubMed: 17553703]
- Du Toit A. "Social exclusion" discourse and chronic poverty: A South African case study. Development and Change. 2004; 35(5):987–1010.
- Eisenberger N, Lieberman M, Williams K. Does rejection hurt? An fMRI study of social exclusion. Science. 2003; 302(5643):290–292. [PubMed: 14551436]
- Fanon, F. The Wretched of the Earth. Farrington, C., translator. New York: Grove Press; 1963.

Farmer, P. Pathologies of Power: Health, Human Rights, and the New War on the Poor. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2003.

- Farmer P. An anthropology of structural violence. Current Anthropology. 2004; 45(3):305–325.
- Farmer, P. Partner to the Poor: A Paul Farmer Reader. Saussy, Haun, editor. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2010.
- Fassin D. The biopolitics of otherness: Undocumented foreigners and racial discrimination in French public debate. Anthropology Today. 2001; 17(1):3–7.
- Fassin D, D'Halluin E. The truth from the body: Medical certificates as ultimate evidence for asylum seekers. American Anthropologist. 2005; 107(4):597–608.
- Feagin, J. Old poison in new bottles: The deep roots of modern nativism. In: Perea, J., editor. Immigrants Out! The New Nativism and the Anti-Immigrant Impulse in the United States. New York: New York University Press; 1997. p. 13-43.
- Ferrie JE, Shipley MJ, Stansfeld SA, Davey Smith G, Marmot M. Future uncertainty and socioeconomic inequalities in health: The Whitehall II study. Social Science and Medicine. 2003; 57(4):637–646. [PubMed: 12821012]
- Foster G. Peasant society and the image of limited good. American Anthropologist. 1965; 67(2):293–315
- Foucault, M. The History of Sexuality. Hurley, R., translator. New York: Pantheon Books; 1978.
- Foucault, M. The ethics of the concern for self as a practice of freedom. In: Rabinow, P., editor. Michel Foucault: Ethics, Subjectivity and Truth: The Essential Works of Michel Foucault 1954–1984. Vol. 1. London: Penguin Press; 1984. p. 281-302.
- Foucault, M. Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison. New York: Vintage Books; 1995.
- Friedman, S. Globalization and interacting large-scale processes and how they may affect the HIV/AIDS epidemic. In: Pope, C.; White, R.; Malow, R., editors. HIV/AIDS: Global Frontiers in Prevention/Intervention. New York: Routledge; 2009. p. 491-500.
- Friedman S, Kottiri BJ, Neaigus A, Curtis R, Vermund SH, Des Jarlais DC. Network-related mechanisms may help explain long-term HIV-1 seroprevalence levels that remain high but do not approach population-group saturation. American Journal of Epidemiology. 2000; 152(10):913–922. [PubMed: 11092433]
- Friedman S, Rossi D, Braine N. Theorizing "big events" as a potential risk environment for drug use, drug-related harm and HIV epidemic outbreaks. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2009; 20(3): 283–291. [PubMed: 19101131]
- Friedman, S.; Curtis, R.; Neaigus, A.; Jose, B.; Des Jarlais, D. Social Networks, Drug Injectors' Lives, and HIV/AIDS. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1999.
- Galea S, Hall C, Kaplan G. Social epidemiology and complex system dynamic modelling as applied to health behaviour and drug use research. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2009; 20(3):209. [PubMed: 18930649]
- Galtung J. Violence, peace, and peace research. Journal of Peace Research. 1969; 6(3):167–191.
- Galtung, J. Peace: Research, Education, Action. Copenhagen: Christian Eilers; 1975.
- Geertz, C. The Interpretation of Cultures. New York: Basic Books; 1973. Thick description; p. 3-30.
- Gómez-Quiñones, J. Mexican American Labor, 1790–1990. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press; 1994.
- Good, MD.; James, C.; Becker, A.; Good, B. Institute of Medicine (US). The culture of medicine and racial, ethnic and class disparities in health care. In: Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AR., editors. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2002. p. 594-625.
- Gradstein M, Schiff M. The political economy of social exclusion with implications for immigration policy. Journal of Population Economics. 2006; 19(2):327–344.
- Gupta GR, Parkhurst JO, Ogden JA, Aggleton P, Mahal A. Structural approaches to HIV prevention. Lancet. 2008; 372:764–775. [PubMed: 18687460]
- Gutiérrez, D. Walls and Mirrors: Mexican Americans, Mexican Immigrants, and the Politics of Ethnicity. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1995.

Gutman, M. Fixing Men: Sex, Birth Control, and AIDS in Mexico. Berkeley: University of California Press: 2007.

- Hadley C, Galea S, Nandi V, Nandi A, Lopez G, Strongarone S, Ompad D. Hunger and health among undocumented Mexican migrants in a US urban area. Public Health Nutrition. 2008; 11(2):151–158. [PubMed: 17610762]
- Hahn, R. Sickness and Healing: An Anthropological Perspective. New Haven: Yale University Press; 1995.
- Harvey, D. A Brief History of Neoliberalism. New York: Oxford University Press; 2005.
- Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, Lipsitz SR, Breizat AH, Dellinger EP, Herbosa T, Joseph S, Kibatala PL, Lapitan MC. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. New England Journal of Medicine. 2009; 360:491–99. [PubMed: 19144931]
- Heggenhougen HK. The epidemiology of inequity: Will research make a difference? Norsk Epidemiology. 2005; 15(2):127–132.
- Hernandez-Rosete Martinez D, Sanchez Hernandez G, Pelcastre Villafuerte B, Juarez Ramirez C. Del riesgo a la vulnerabilidad: Bases metodológicas para comprender la relación entre violencia sexual e infección por VIH/ITS en migrantes clandestinos. Salud Mental. 2005; 28(5):20–26.
- Heyman JMC. State effects on labor exploitation: the INS and undocumented immigrants at the Mexico-United States border. Critique of Anthropology. 1998; 18(2):157–180.
- Heyman JMC. Respect for outsiders? Respect for the law? The moral evaluation of high scale issues by US immigration officers. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute. 2000; 6(4):635–652.
- Holmes SM. An ethnographic study of the social context of migrant health in the United States. PLoS Medicine. 2006; 3(10):1776–1793.
- Holmes SM. "Oaxacans like to work bent over": The naturalization of social suffering among berry farm workers. International Migration. 2007; 45(3):39–68.
- Jackson, J. Racial Paranoia: The Unintended Consequences of Political Correctness. New York: Basic Civitas Books; 2008.
- Johnson, CA. The Sorrows of Empire: Militarism, Secrecy, and the End of the Republic. New York: Metropolitan Books; 2004.
- Kaplan M, Marks G. Adverse effects of acculturation: Psychological distress among Mexican American young adults. Social Science and Medicine. 1990; 31(12):1313–1319. [PubMed: 2287960]
- Kawachi I, Kennedy BP. Income inequality and health: Pathways and mechanisms. Health Services Research. 1999; 34(1 Pt 2):215–227. [PubMed: 10199670]
- King, T.; Wheeler, MB. Medical Management of Vulnerable and Underserved Patients: Principles, Practice, and Populations. New York: McGraw-Hill Medical Publishing Division; 2007.
- Klein, N. The Shock Doctrine: The Rise of Disaster Capitalism. New York: Metropolitan Books/Henry Holt; 2007.
- Kleinman, A. Writing at the Margin: Discourse between Anthropology and Medicine. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1995.
- Kleinman, A. The violences of everyday life: The multiple forms and dynamics of social violence. In: Das, V.; Kleinman, A.; Ramphele, M.; Reynolds, P., editors. Violence and Subjectivity. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2000. p. 226-241.
- Kleinman, A.; Das, V.; Lock, M. Social Suffering. Berkeley: University of California Press; 1997.
- Krieger N. Epidemiology and the web of causation: Has anyone seen the spider. Social Science and Medicine. 1994; 39(7):887–903. [PubMed: 7992123]
- Krieger N. Embodying inequality: A review of concepts, measures, and methods for studying health consequences of discrimination. International Journal of Health Services. 1999; 29(2):295–352. [PubMed: 10379455]
- Krieger N. Theories for social epidemiology in the 21st century: An ecosocial perspective. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2001; 30(4):668–677. [PubMed: 11511581]
- Krieger N. Proximal, distal, and the politics of causation: What's level got to do with it? American Journal of Public Health. 2008; 98(2):221–230. [PubMed: 18172144]

Kullgren J. Restrictions on undocumented immigrants' access to health services: The public health implications of welfare reform. American Journal of Public Health. 2003; 93(10):1630–1633. [PubMed: 14534212]

- Lara M, Gamboa C, Kahramanian MI, Morales LS, Bautista DEH. Acculturation and Latino health in the United States: A review of the literature and its sociopolitical context. Annual Review of Public Health. 2004; 26:367–397.
- Latkin CA, Williams CT, Wang J, Curry AD. Neighborhood social disorder as a determinant of drug injection behaviors: A structural equation modeling approach. Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association. 2005; 24(1):96.
- Leatherman T. A space of vulnerability in poverty and health: Political-ecology and biocultural analysis. Ethos. 2005; 33(1):46–70.
- Lillie-Blanton M, Laveist T. Race/ethnicity, the social environment, and health. Social Science and Medicine. 1996; 43(1):83–91. [PubMed: 8816013]
- Link BG, Phelan JC. Understanding sociodemographic differences but in health: The role of fundamental social causes. American Journal of Public Health. 1996; 86(4):471–472. [PubMed: 8604773]
- Link BG, Phelan JC. McKeown and the idea that social conditions are fundamental causes of disease. American Journal of Public Health. 2002; 92:730–732. [PubMed: 11988436]
- Maas B, Fairbairn N, Kerr T, Li K, Montaner JSG, Wood E. Neighborhood and HIV infection among IDU: Place of residence independently predicts HIV infection among a cohort of injection drug users. Health and Place. 2007; 13(2):432–439. [PubMed: 16798055]
- Mahler, S. American Dreaming: Immigrant Life on the Margins. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1995.
- Marcus, G.; Fischer, MJ. Anthropology as Cultural Critique: An Experimental Moment in the Human Sciences. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1999.
- Marmot M. Social determinants of health inequalities. Lancet. 2005; 365(9464):1099–1104. [PubMed: 15781105]
- Marmot, M.; Wilkinson, RG. Social Determinants of Health. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2006.
- Marmot M, Shipley MJ, Rose G. Inequalities in death-specific explanations of a general pattern. Lancet. 1984; 1(8384):1003–1006. [PubMed: 6143919]
- Martin-Baro, I.; Aron, A.; Corne, S. Writings for a Liberation Psychology. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1994.
- Massey, DS.; Durand, J.; Malone, NJ. Beyond Smoke and Mirrors: Mexican Immigration in an Era of Economic Integration. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2002.
- Miller M, Neaigus A. Networks, resources and risk among women who use drugs. Social Science and Medicine. 2001; 52(6):967–978. [PubMed: 11234869]
- Moore D, Dietze P. Enabling environments and the reduction of drug-related harm: Re-framing Australian policy and practice. Drug and Alcohol Review. 2005; 24(3):275–284. [PubMed: 16096131]
- Nandi A, Galea S, Lopez G, Nandi V, Strongarone S, Ompad DC. Access to and use of health services among undocumented Mexican immigrants in a US urban area. American Journal of Public Health. 2008; 98(2):1–10.
- Navarro, V.; Muntaner, C. Political and Economic Determinants of Population Health and Well-Being: Controversies and Developments. Amityville: Baywood Publishing Company Inc; 2004.
- Nguyen VK, Peschard K. Anthropology, inequality, and disease: A review. Annual Review of Anthropology. 2003; 32:447–474.
- Nikolopoulou K. Homo sacer: Sovereign power and bare life (review). SubStance. 2000; 29(3):124–131
- Organista KC. Towards a structural-environmental model of risk for HIV and problem drinking in Latino labor migrants: The case of day laborers. Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Work. 2007; 16(1):95–125.
- Parker R, Easton D, Klein C. Structural barriers and facilitators in HIV prevention: A review of international research. AIDS. 2000; 14(suppl 1):S29–S32.

Pedersen D. Political violence, ethnic conflict, and contemporary wars: Broad implications for health and social well-being. Social Science and Medicine. 2002; 55:175–190. [PubMed: 12144134]

- Pine, A. Working Hard, Drinking Hard: On Violence and Survival in Honduras. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2008.
- Poundstone KE, Strathdee SA, Celentano DD. The social epidemiology of human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Epidemiologic Reviews. 2004; 26(1):22–35. [PubMed: 15234945]
- Prislin R, Suarez L, Simspon D, Dyer J. When acculturation hurts: The case of immunization. Social Science and Medicine. 1998; 47(12):1947–1956. [PubMed: 10075238]
- Quesada J. From Central American Warriors to San Francisco Latino Day Laborers: Suffering and Exhaustion in a Transnational Context. Transforming Anthropology. 1999; 8(1&2):162–185.
- Quesada, J. The vicissitudes of structural violence: Nicaragua at the turn of the 21st century. In: Rylko-Bauer, B.; Whiteford, L.; Farmer, P., editors. Global Health in Times of Violence. Santa Fe: School of Advanced Research Press; 2009. p. 157-180.
- Rhodes T. The "risk environment": A framework for understanding and reducing drug-related harm. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2002; 13(2):85–94.
- Rhodes T. Risk environment and drug harms, special issue. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2009; 20(3):193–292. [PubMed: 19147339]
- Rhodes T, Simic M. Transition and the HIV risk environment. British Medical Journal. 2005; (331): 220–223. [PubMed: 16037463]
- Richard L, Potvin L, Mansi O. The ecological approach in health promotion programmes: The views of health promotion workers in Canada. Health Education Journal. 1998; 57(2):160.
- Rocha, JL. AIDS and migrants: A perverse association. 2006. Revista Envio 303. Available at: http://www.envio.org.ni/articulo/3437
- Rosaldo, R. Cultural citizenship, inequality, and multiculturalism. In: Reader, A.; Torres, R.; Miron, L.; Inda, J., editors. Race, Identity and Citizenship. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell; 1999. p. 464
- Ruiz-Casares M, Rousseau C, Derluyn I, Watters C, Crépeau F. Right and access to healthcare for undocumented children: Addressing the gap between international conventions and disparate implementations in North America and Europe. Social Science and Medicine. 2010; 70(2):329– 336. [PubMed: 19892452]
- Sandesara, UP. Markets, Migration, Medicine and Caste: Social Suffering among Undocumented Mexican Day Laborers in Suburban New Jersey. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 2008.
- Scheper-Hughes N. Three propositions for a critically applied medical anthropology. Social Science and Medicine. 1990; 30(2):189–197. [PubMed: 2305289]
- Scheper-Hughes N. Small wars and invisible genocides. Social Science and Medicine. 1996; 43(5): 889–900. [PubMed: 8870153]
- Scheper-Hughes N, Bourgois P. Comments on structural violence. Current Anthropology. 2004; 45(3): 317–318.
- Shannon K, Kerr T, Allinott S, Chettiar J, Shoveller J, Tyndall MW. Social and structural violence and power relations in mitigating HIV risk of drug-using women in survival sex work. Social Science and Medicine. 2008a; 66(4):911–921. [PubMed: 18155336]
- Shannon K, Rusch M, Shoveller J, Alexson D, Gibson K, Tyndall MW. Mapping violence and policing as an environmental–structural barrier to health service and syringe availability among substance-using women in street-level sex work. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2008b; 19(2):140–147. [PubMed: 18207725]
- Singer M. Beyond the ivory tower: Critical praxis in medical anthropology. Medical Anthropology Quarterly. 1995; 9(1):80–106. [PubMed: 7697554]
- Singer M. Toward a bio-cultural and political economic integration of alcohol, tobacco and drug studies in the coming century. Social Science and Medicine. 2001; 53(2):199–213. [PubMed: 11414387]
- Singer, M.; Baer, H. Critical Medical Anthropology. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Co; 1995a.

Singer, M.; Baer, H. How critical can clinical anthropology be. In: Singer, M.; Baer, H., editors.

Critical Medical Anthropology. Amityville: Baywood Publishing Co; 1995b. p. 351-370. Critical Approaches in the Health Social Sciences

- Singer M, Clair S. Syndemics and public health: Reconceptualizing disease in bio-social context. Medical Anthropology Quarterly. 2003; 17(4):423–441. [PubMed: 14716917]
- Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AR. Institute of Medicine. Unequal Treatment: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press; 2003.
- Strathdee SA, Hallett TB, Bobrova N, Rhodes T, Booth R, Abdool R, Hankins CA. HIV and risk environment for injecting drug users: The past, present, and future. Lancet. 2010; 376(9737): 268–284. [PubMed: 20650523]
- Strathdee SA, Patrick DM, Archibald CP, Ofner M, Cornelisse PG, Rekart M, Schechter MT, O'Shaughnessy MV. Social determinants predict needle-sharing behaviour among injection drug users in Vancouver, Canada. Addiction. 1997; 92(10):1339–1347. [PubMed: 9489050]
- Susser M. Choosing a future for epidemiology II: From black box to Chinese boxes and ecoepidemiology. American Journal of Public Health. 1996; 86(5):674–677. [PubMed: 8629718]
- Sweat M, Denison J. Reducing HIV incidence in developing countries with structural and environmental interventions. AIDS. 1995; 9(Suppl A):S251–S257. [PubMed: 8819593]
- Ticktin M. Where ethics and politics meet: The violence of humanitarianism in France. American Ethnologist. 2006; 33(1):33–49.
- Visweswaran, K. Un/Common Cultures: Racism and the Rearticulation of Cultural Difference. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2010.
- Wacquant L. On the strategic appeal and analytic perils of "structural violence". Current Anthropology. 2004; 45(3):322–323.
- Wallace R. Urban desertification, public health and public disorder: "Planned shrinkage", violent death, substance abuse and AIDS in the Bronx. Social Science and Medicine. 1990; (31):801–813. [PubMed: 2244222]
- Walter N, Bourgois P, Loinaz M. Masculinity and undocumented labor migration: Injured Latino day laborers in San Francisco. Social Science and Medicine. 2004; 59(6):1159–1168. [PubMed: 15210088]
- Watts M, Bohle HG. The space of vulnerability: The causal structure of hunger and famine. Progress in Human Geography. 1993; 17(1):43.
- Weber, D. Foreigners in Their Native Land: Historical Roots of the Mexican Americans. Albequerqe: University of New Mexico Press; 1973.
- Weiner A. Culture and our discontents. American Anthropologist. 1995; 97(1):14–21.
- Willen SS. Toward a critical phenomenology of "illegality": State power, criminalization, and abjectivity among undocumented migrant workers in Tel Aviv, Israel. International Migration. 2007; 45(3):8–38.
- Williams CT, Latkin CA. Neighborhood socioeconomic status, personal network attributes, and use of heroin and cocaine. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2007; 32(6S):203–210.
- Williams DR. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: The added effects of racism and discrimination. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 1999; 896:173–188. [PubMed: 10681897]
- Zsembik B, Fennell D. Ethnic variation in health and the determinants of health among Latinos. Social Science and Medicine. 2005; 6(1):53–63. [PubMed: 15847961]

Biographies

James Quesada is Associate Professor of Anthropology in the Department of Anthropology, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California, USA. His critical medical anthropology research on political violence and transnational migration ranges from Nicaragua to California. He is currently engaged in an NIH/NIAAA research project on Latino day laborers in the San Francisco Bay Area.

Laurie K. Hart is the Edmund and Margiana Stinnes Professor of Global Studies and Professor of Anthropology in the Department of Anthropology at Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, USA. Her research focuses on ethnicity, border conflict, and territorial segregation in the Mediterranean, the Balkans, and the US inner city. She is the author of Time, Religion, and Social Experience in Rural Greece as well as of numerous articles on the long-term effects of civil war and ethnic displacement.

Philippe Bourgois is the Richard Perry University Professor of Anthropology and Family and Community Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. He is the author of over 150 articles on drugs, violence, labor migration, ethnic conflict, homelessness, and urban poverty.