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# STRUCTURE OF MAPPINGS OF AN INTERVAL WITH ZERO ENT'ROPY 

by Michae MISIUREWIGZ*

o. Complexity of dynamics of a mapping of an interval into itself can be measured by the topological entropy. Systems with positive entropy are much more complicated than those with zero entropy (cf. [3]). But if a system with zero entropy lies in the closure of the set of ones with positive entropy, then its dynamics becomes also complex. Our goal is to study a certain class of such systems. Similar classes were studies in [4], [2] and [1] (see also [7]).

The main tool used in this paper is the Schwarzian derivative. The idea of its using is due to $D$. Singer [9]. The Schwarzian derivative of a transformation $f$ is given by:

$$
\mathrm{S} f=\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
$$

Mappings with negative Schwarzian derivative have a lot of "good" properties (but not vice versa: the mapping $x \rightarrow \sqrt{1+1 / 2\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(9-x^{2}\right)}$ of $[1,3]$ into itself has positive Schwarzian derivative on $[1,1.3492503 \ldots) \cup(2.8304104 \cdots, 3]$, nonetheless it is smoothly conjugate-by $x \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{I}}{8}\left(x^{2}-\mathrm{I}\right)$-to the well-known mapping $x \mapsto 4 x(\mathrm{I}-x)$ of $[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I}]$ which has negative Schwarzian derivative).

We shall use the following notation: $h(f)$ is the topological entropy of $f, \operatorname{Per}(f)$ is the set of all periodic points of $f$. The symbol $f^{n}$ always denotes $f \circ f \circ \ldots \circ f$ ( $n$ times).

We assume that the reader is familiar with the kneading theory, and in particular with the paper [3].

The main results of the paper are:

- Theorem $(4 \cdot 3)$, which says that for any mapping from the class under consideration, preimages of a critical point are dense (and it follows that any two such mappings are topologically conjugate).

[^0]- Theorem (4.7), which describes a structure of such a mapping.
- Theorem (5.1), which claims the existence and uniqueness of a probabilistic non-atomic measure for elements of some larger class of mappings.

I am indebted to J. Guckenheimer who told me about the results of Singer and Feigenbaum, and to W. Szlenk, who conjectured the pointwise convergence of the sequence ( $f^{2^{n}}$ ) (Theorem (4.7) (vii)).

1. Denote by $\mathscr{A}$ the set of all mappings $f:[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}] \rightarrow[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ which fulfil the following conditions:
(A) $f$ is of class $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ on $[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ and of class $\mathrm{C}^{3}$ on $(-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}) \cup(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{I})$,
(B) $f$ is even,
(C) $f(-\mathrm{I})=-\mathrm{I}$,
(D) $f^{\prime}(-\mathrm{I})>\mathrm{I}$,
(E) $f^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$,
(F) $\mathrm{S} f<0$ on $(-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}) \cup(\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I})$,
(G) $f$ has a periodic point of prime period $2^{n}$ for any non-negative integer $n$,
(H) $f$ has no periodic points of prime periods which are not powers of 2.

We shall make some remarks.
Remark (1.1). - From (A) and (B) it follows that $f^{\prime}(\mathbf{0})=0$.
Remark (1.2). - Conditions (B), (C) and (E) imply that $\mathscr{A}$ is contained in the class of mappings considered in [5] and [3]. Therefore we can apply the kneading theory to them.

Remark ( $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{3}$ ). - Condition (H) is equivalent to the condition $h(f)=0$ (see [6]).
Remark ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{4}$ ). - $f$ satisfies ( $\mathbf{G}$ ) and (H) if and only if it has the minimal kneading invariant with topological entropy o (cf. [3]).
2. We are interested in showing that the class of mappings, introduced in section I , is not too small. For this we shall use the following proposition:

Proposition (2.1). - The set of all $\mathbf{C}^{1}$-mappings of a closed interval $\mathbf{I}$ into itself fulfiling condition (G) is closed in $\mathrm{C}^{\mathbf{1}}$-topology.

Proof. - Let $f: \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ be a $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-mapping which does not fulfil condition (G). For some $\mathrm{N}, f$ has no periodic point of prime period $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ and thus, by Sarkovskiǐ's theorem [10],
[II] every periodic point of $f$ has prime period of the form $2^{n}, n<\mathrm{N}$. Set $\varphi=f^{2 \mathrm{~N}-1}$. All periodic points of $\varphi$ are fixed points. We take:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon>0 \text { such that if }|x-y|<\varepsilon \text {, then }\left|\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(x)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot\left(\sup _{\mathrm{I}}\left|\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}\right|+\mathrm{I}\right)^{-1} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii)

$$
\eta=\inf \left\{\left|\varphi^{4}(x)-x\right|: \operatorname{dist}(x,\{y: \varphi(y)=y\}) \geq \delta\right\} .
$$

Then we take a neighbourhood $U$ of $\varphi$ in the space of all $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-mappings of I into itself, such that if $\psi \in \mathbf{U}$, then:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\psi^{4}(x)-\varphi^{4}(x)\right|<\eta & \text { for any } x \\
\left|\psi^{2}(x)-\varphi^{2}(x)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & \text { for any } x \\
\left|\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(x)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|<\frac{1}{2} & \text { for any } x . \tag{vi}
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that some $\psi \in \mathrm{U}$ has a periodic point $t$ of prime period 4. By (iv) we have: $\left|\varphi^{4}(t)-t\right|=\left|\varphi^{4}(t)-\psi^{4}(t)\right|<\eta$. By (iii) there exists a point $y$ such that $\varphi(y)=y$ and $|t-y|<\delta$. By (ii) we have $\left|\varphi^{2}(t)-y\right|=\left|\varphi^{2}(t)-\varphi^{2}(y)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Denote $\psi^{2}(t)=s$. By (v) we have $\left|s-\varphi^{2}(t)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and hence $|s-y|<\varepsilon$. Since $\frac{\psi^{2}(t)-\psi^{2}(s)}{t-s}=-1$, there exists a point $z$ between $t$ and $s$ for which $\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)=-\mathrm{I}$. We have:

$$
|z-y| \leq \max (|t-y|,|s-y|)<\max (\delta, \varepsilon)=\varepsilon .
$$

By (i) we have $\left|\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|<\frac{1}{2}$. By (vi) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|-1-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|= & \left|\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\left(\psi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(z)-\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)\right|<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)<0 . \quad \operatorname{But}\left(\varphi^{2}\right)^{\prime}(y)=\varphi^{\prime}(y) \cdot \varphi^{\prime}(\varphi(y))=\left(\varphi^{\prime}(y)\right)^{2} \geq 0$, a contradiction.
Remark (2.2). - From the above proof it follows that if we have a continuous arc of $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-mappings of I into itself (i.e. a mapping and its derivative depend continuously on a parameter, but we do not demand any smooth dependence on a parameter), then the first periodic points of periods $2^{n}$ and $2^{n+1}$ cannot occure simultaneously.

Corollary (2.3). - Let $f:[-\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{I}] \rightarrow[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ be a mapping satisfying conditions. (A), (B), (C), (E), (F) and also:

$$
f^{\prime}(-1)>2
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=\mathrm{r} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g_{\lambda}$ be given by a formula:

$$
g_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda . f(x)+\lambda-\mathrm{I} .
$$

Then for some $\lambda \in\left[\frac{\mathrm{I}}{2}, \mathrm{I}\right), g_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{A}$.
Proof. - It is easy to check that for any $\lambda \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{I}\right], g_{\lambda}$ maps $[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ into itself and that conditions (A)-(F) are fulfilled for $g_{\lambda}$. We have $g_{1 / 2}([-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{r}])=[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{o}]$, and hence $h\left(g_{1 / 2}\right)=h\left(\left.g_{1 / 2}\right|_{[-1,0]}\right)=0$, because $\left.g_{1 / 2}\right|_{[-1,0]}$ is a homeomorphism. On the other hand, we have $h\left(g_{1}\right)=\log 2$. Topological entropy of $g_{\lambda}$ is a lower semi-continuous function of $\lambda$ (see [8], [6]). Hence, if we take $x=\sup \left\{\lambda:\left(g_{\lambda}\right)=0\right\}$, then $h\left(g_{\chi}\right)=0$ and $g_{x}$ is a $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-limit of a sequence of mappings with positive entropy. Every mapping with positive entropy has a periodic point of prime period which is not a power of 2, and hence, by Sarkovskii's theorem, it satisfies (G). Therefore, by Proposition (2.1), $g_{x}$ also satisfies (G). By Remark ( $\mathrm{I} \cdot 3$ ), $g_{\kappa}$ satisfies also (H).

Remark (2.4). - Clearly $x \neq \frac{1}{2}$, because all periodic points of $g_{1 / 2}$ are fixed points. However, $x$ can be arbitrarily close to $1 / 2$. Consider the family of mappings

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x)=1-2|x|^{1+\varepsilon} .
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0, f_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary (2.3). Besides, $f_{\varepsilon}$ tends to $f_{0}$ in $\mathrm{C}^{0}$-topology (i.e. uniformly). Denote by $g_{e, \lambda}$ a transformation given by:

$$
g_{\varepsilon, \lambda}(x)=\lambda \cdot f_{\varepsilon}(x)+\lambda-\mathrm{I} .
$$

Set also $x(\varepsilon)=\sup \left\{\lambda: h\left(g_{\varepsilon, \lambda}\right)=0\right\}$. We claim that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{2}$. It is a consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma (2.5). - For any $\lambda_{0} \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{I}\right)$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{0}, \mathrm{I}\right]$ and for any continuous mapping $\varphi:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$, if $\sup _{[-1,1]}\left|g_{0, \lambda}-\varphi\right| \leq \delta$, then $h(\varphi)>0$.

Proof. - Let $\lambda \in\left[\lambda_{0}, \mathrm{r}\right]$. If $2 \lambda^{2} \leq \mathrm{I}$, then $g_{0, \lambda}^{2}$ restricted to $\left[-\frac{2 \lambda-1}{2 \lambda+1}, \frac{2 \lambda-1}{2 \lambda+1}\right]$ is linearly conjugate to $g_{0,2 \lambda^{2}}$. We define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}(\lambda)=\lambda, \quad \alpha_{n+1}(\lambda)=2\left(\alpha_{n}(\lambda)\right)^{2}, \\
& \beta_{0}(\lambda)=1, \quad \beta_{n+1}(\lambda)=\frac{2 \alpha_{n}(\lambda)-1}{2 \alpha_{n}(\lambda)+\mathrm{I}} \cdot \beta_{n}(\lambda), \\
& \mathrm{N}(\lambda)=\sup \left\{n: \alpha_{n}(\lambda) \leq \mathrm{I}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ are increasing functions of $\lambda$. Hence, $N$ is a non-increasing function of $\lambda$. It is also easy to see that for any $\lambda, N(\lambda)$ is finite and the sequence $\left(\beta_{n}(\lambda)\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is decreasing.

We fix $\lambda$ for a while and therefore omit it as an argument.

The mapping $\left.g_{0, \lambda}^{2 \mathrm{~N}+1}\right|_{\left[-\beta_{\mathrm{N}+1}, \beta_{\mathrm{N}+1}\right]}$ is linearly conjugate to the mapping

$$
g_{0, \alpha_{N+1}}:[-1, I] \rightarrow\left[-1,-1+2 \alpha_{N+1}\right]
$$

(remember that $\left.\alpha_{\mathrm{N}+1} \in(\mathrm{I}, 2]\right)$. Let us look at $\left.g_{0, \lambda}^{2 \mathrm{~N}+2}\right|_{[-\gamma, \gamma]}$, where $\gamma=\beta_{\mathrm{N}+1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha_{\mathrm{N}+1}}\right)$.
We have:

$$
(-1)^{\mathrm{N}} \cdot g_{0, \lambda}^{2^{\mathrm{N}+2}}(-\gamma)=(-1)^{\mathrm{N}} \cdot g_{0, \lambda}^{2 \mathrm{~N}+2}(\gamma) \geq \beta_{\mathrm{N}+1}
$$

and

$$
(-1)^{\mathrm{N}} \cdot g_{0, \lambda}^{2 \mathrm{~N}+2}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{N}+1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{I}-\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4^{\alpha_{\mathrm{N}+1}}}\right)\right) \leq-\beta_{1} .
$$

Therefore we obtain a horseshoe effect (see [8], [6], [7]) for $g_{0, \lambda}^{2^{2+2}}$ and this effect is persistent under perturbations which are not greater than $\beta_{\mathrm{N}+1}-\gamma$ in sup norm. But $\beta_{N+1}-\gamma=\beta_{N+1} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{I}}{2 \alpha_{N+1}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{4} \beta_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4} \beta_{\mathrm{N}(\lambda)+1}(\lambda) \geq \frac{\mathrm{I}}{4} \beta_{\mathrm{N}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) . \quad$ Set $\frac{\mathrm{I}}{4} \beta_{\mathrm{N}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=\zeta$. If $\sup _{[-1,1]}\left|\varphi-g_{0, \lambda}^{2^{N+2}}\right| \leq \zeta$, then $h(\varphi) \geq \log 2$. It can be easily checked by induction that if $\psi$ is Lipschitz continuous with a constant $c \neq 1$ and $\sup _{[-1,1]}|\varphi-\psi|<\gamma_{i}$, then:

$$
\sup _{[-1,1]}\left|\varphi^{n}-\psi^{n}\right|<\eta \cdot \frac{c^{n}-1}{c-1} .
$$

Hence, if $\sup _{[-1,1]}\left|\varphi-g_{0, \lambda}\right|<\zeta .2^{2 N\left(\lambda_{0}\right)+2}$, then $h(\varphi) \geq 2^{-N\left(\lambda_{0}\right)-2} \cdot \log 2>0$.
Remark (2.6). - Denote by $\varphi$ an affine mapping from [-1, I ] onto $[\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{I}]$ :

$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2}(x+1)
$$

Then we have in Corollary (2.3), $\varphi \circ g_{\lambda} \circ \varphi^{-1}=\lambda .\left(\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}\right)$.
Remark (2.7). - For $\psi(x)=\alpha x+\beta$ we have $\mathrm{S}\left(\psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi\right)(x)=\alpha^{2} . \mathrm{S} f(\alpha x+\beta)$, and thus the condition $\mathrm{S} f<0$ is preserved under an affine conjugacy.

Examples (2.8). - Take in Corollary (2.3) as $f$ one of the following mappings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(x)=1-2 x^{2}, \\
& \sigma(x)=2 \cos \frac{\pi x}{2}-1, \\
& \tau(x)=\frac{2}{7}\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left(7+6 x^{2}+3 x^{4}\right)-1, \\
& \xi(x)=(c+2)\left(\frac{c}{c+2}\right)^{x^{3}}-(c+1) \quad \text { for } \quad c>c_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a solution of the equation $e^{1 / c}=\mathrm{I}+\frac{2}{c} \quad\left(c_{0}=0.795905 \ldots\right)$.

It is easy to check that all of these mappings satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary (2.3).
The transformations $\rho, \sigma$ and $\tau$ are the transformations $\mathrm{Q}_{4}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{84 / 83}$ from [4] respectively, transformed to $[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ by an affine conjugacy. Note also that if, in Corollary (2.3), we put $f=\rho$, then $g_{\lambda}$ is conjugate (by an affine map) to $x \mapsto x^{2}-\frac{\lambda(\lambda-2)}{4}$
(cf. [5]). (cf. [5]).

We can also take any mapping $f_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon>0$ from Remark (2.4) (cf. [ I$]$ ).
The reader can easily obtain further examples by taking various mappings with negative Schwarzian derivative, e.g. from [9]. We may mention also that if the first derivative of a real polynomial of degree at least 2 has no roots in the set

$$
\{z \in \mathbf{C}:|\operatorname{Im} z| \geq|\operatorname{Re} z|-\mathrm{I}\} \backslash \mathbf{R},
$$

then this polynomial has negative Schwarzian derivative on $[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ (cf. [9]).
3. Throughout this section $f$ and $g$ will denote $\mathrm{C}^{1}$-mappings of some interval $\mathbf{I}$ into itself, which are $\mathrm{C}^{3}$ outside the set of critical points and endpoints of I . It is a slightly wider class than the one considered in [9], but the main facts remain valid for its members.

Lemma (3.1) (see [9]). - If $\mathrm{S} f<\mathrm{o}$ and $\mathrm{S} g<0$, then $\mathrm{S}(f \circ g)<0$.
Proof. - We have $\mathrm{S}(f \circ g)=\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} .(\mathrm{S} f \circ g)+\mathrm{S} g$ and if $(f \circ g)^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ then $f^{\prime}(g(x)) \neq 0$ and $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$.

Corollary (3.2) (see [9]). - If $\mathbf{S} f<0$, then also $\mathbf{S}\left(f^{n}\right)<0$ for all $n \geq 0$.
Lemma (3.3) (see [9]). - If $\mathrm{S} f<0$, then $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ has no positive local minima on I (excluding endpoints).

Proof. - If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ has a positive local extremum at $x$, and $x$ is not an endpoint of I , then $f^{\prime \prime}(x)=0$ and $f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ has the opposite sign than $f^{\prime}(x)$.

We say that the periodic point $x$ of period $p$ is attracting (repelling) if

$$
\left|\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|<\mathrm{I}\left(>_{\mathrm{I}}\right) .
$$

Lemma (3.4) (cf. [9]). - If $\mathrm{Sf}<\mathrm{o}$ and $x$ is a non-repelling point, then there exists a point $y$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{p n+r}(y)=x$ for some $r \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ and either $f^{\prime}(y)=0$ or $y$ is an endpoint of I .

Proof. - Let $x$ be a periodic point of period $p$. Since $\mathrm{S} f<\mathrm{o}$, we can apply Lemma (3.3) to $f^{p}$. But $\left|\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq 1$ and hence (provided $x$ is not an endpoint of I ), there exists an interval of the form $[x, z)$ or $(z, x]$ such that for all $t \neq x$ from this interval $\left|\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(t)\right|<1$ and either $\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(z)=0$, or $z$ is an endpoint of I . But if $\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}(z)=0$, then $f^{\prime}(y)=0$ for some $y=f^{q}(z)$.

Remark (3.5). - If we take a function $f$ defined as $\xi$ in Examples (2.8), but with $c=\frac{1}{2}$ and then $g_{1 / 2}$ as in Corollary (2.3), then we obtain a mapping $x \mapsto \frac{5}{4}\left(\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{x^{2}}-1\right)$ which has negative Schwarzian derivative. It has only one critical point (o), and this point is a fixed point. But the derivative in the fixed point -1 is equal to $\ln \sqrt{5}$, which is less than I , and hence the point -I is attracting.

The above example is affinely conjugate to the mapping $x \mapsto \frac{1}{8}\left(5^{4 x(1-x)}-1\right)$ of $[0,1]$ into itself and we see that Theorem (2.7) of [9] is not stated precisely enough.
4. In this section we shall prove the main results about the elements of the class $\mathscr{A}$.

Proposition (4.1). - If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then all periodic points are repelling and there exists at most one orbit of a given prime period (except period 1 : there exist two fixed points).

Proof. - Suppose that some periodic point $x$ is not repelling. In view of Lemma (3.4) there exists $y \in\{0,-1,1\}$ such that for some $p, r, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{p n+r}(y)=x$. But $f(-1)=f(1)=-\mathrm{I}$ and -I is a repelling point. Hence we have $y=0$. Therefore the kneading invariant of $f$ is eventually periodic and by Remark ( I .4 ) we obtain a contradiction with the results of [3].

Now we shall prove the second statement. Notice first that there is only one admissible kneading invariant of a given period $2^{n}$ and greater than the kneading invariant of $f$, except for $n=0$, when there is one periodic and one anti-periodic admissible kneading invariant (see [3]). Hence, for any two different periodic orbits of the same period $p>_{\text {I }}$ we can take one point from each orbit in such a way that they have the same invariant coordinate. But they are both repelling, and hence between them there is either a non-repelling periodic point or a local extremum of $f^{p}$. In both cases we have a contradiction. The same arguments can be applied for fixed points.

The following lemma is basic for proving further properties of elements of the class $\mathscr{A}$.

Lemma (4.2). - Let $f \in \mathscr{A}$ and let $f(b)=b, \quad b \neq-\mathrm{I}$. Then the mapping g, given by $g(x)=-\frac{\mathbf{1}}{b} f^{2}(-b x) \quad$ (which is affinely conjugate to $\left.f^{2}\right|_{[-b, b]}$ ), belongs to $\mathscr{A}$.

Proof. - Notice that if $f^{2}(0) \notin[-b, b]$, then by the horseshoe effect $h\left(f^{2}\right) \geq \log 2$ (cf. [8], [7]). Hence, $f^{2}([-b, b]) \subset[-b, b]$ and, consequently, $g([-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]) \subset[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$.

We must check conditions (A)-(H) for $g$ instead of $f$. Conditions (A), (B), (C), (E) hold obviously. (D) follows from Proposition (4.I), (F) from Remark (2.7) and Corollary (3.2), (H) from Remark ( I .3 ). To prove (G) it is sufficient to show that there is no periodic orbit of $f$ completely missing $[-b, b]$ (except -I ). But clearly $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}([-b, b])=(-1,1)$.

Theorem (4.3). - If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then the set $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(\{0\})$ is dense in $[-1,1]$.
Proof. - We define by induction a sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that the transformations $g_{n}$, given by $g_{n}(x)=\frac{(-\mathrm{I})^{n}}{b_{n}} \cdot f^{2^{n}}\left((-\mathrm{I})^{n} b_{n} x\right)$, belong to $\mathscr{A}$. We put $b_{0}=\mathrm{I}$ (thus $g_{0}=f$ ). When $g_{n}$ is already defined, then we use Lemma (4.2) with $g_{n}$ instead of $f$ and we take $b_{n+1}=b . b_{n}$ ( $b$ is from Lemma (4.2) for $g_{n}$ and therefore $b$ also depends on $n$ ).

Now we set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}_{n}=\left[-b_{n}, b_{n}\right], \\
& \mathrm{K}_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[b_{n+1}, b_{n}\right]} & \text { for } n \text { even } \\
{\left[-b_{n},-b_{n+1}\right]} & \text { for } n \text { odd }\end{cases} \\
& \mathrm{L}_{n}= \begin{cases}{\left[-b_{n},-b_{n+1}\right]} & \text { for } n \text { even } \\
{\left[b_{n+1}, b_{n}\right]} & \text { for } n \text { odd. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the points $\pm b_{n}$ and $\pm b_{n+1}$ we have $\left|\left(f^{2^{n}}\right)^{\prime}\right|>I_{1}$, and therefore, by Lemma (3.3): (*)

$$
\left|\left(f^{2^{n}}\right)^{\prime}\right|>\mathrm{I} \quad \text { on } \mathrm{K}_{n} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{n}
$$

Suppose now that the set $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(\{0\})$ is not dense in [-1, $]$. We take a maximal interval J disjoint from this set.

Suppose first that $J$ contains some periodic point $x$ of period $p$. We have $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^{n p}(\mathrm{~J})=\mathrm{J}$ and since $x$ is repelling, there must be another periodic point in J . But it has the same invariant coordinate as $x$, a contradiction.

Thus, $J$ is contained either in some $\mathrm{K}_{k} \cup \mathrm{~L}_{k}$ or in $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{I}_{n}$. Clearly, the same is true for $f^{m}(\mathrm{~J}), m=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots$ Since the kneading invariant of $f$ is not periodic, at most one of the intervals $\mathrm{J}, f(\mathrm{~J}), f^{2}(\mathrm{~J}), \ldots$ can be contained in $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{I}_{n}$. Thus, we may assume that for any $m=0, \mathbf{I}, 2, \ldots, f^{m}(\mathrm{~J})$ is contained in $\mathrm{K}_{k(m)} \cup \mathrm{L}_{k(m)}$ (perhaps then J is not maximal, but we do not need this assumption any more). Then we define by induction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(\mathrm{o})=\mathrm{o} \\
& m(r+\mathrm{I})=m(r)+2^{k\left(m^{\prime}(r)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $(*)$ it follows that the length of $f^{m(r+1)}(\mathrm{J})$ is greater than the length of $f^{m(r)}(\mathrm{J})$. The invariant coordinate of points of J is not eventually periodic, and therefore the sets $f^{i}(\mathrm{~J}), i=0,1,2, \ldots$, are pairwise disjoint, a contradiction.

Corollary (4.4). - If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then there are not two distinct points with the same invariant coordinate.

Corollary (4.5). - Every two elements of $\mathscr{A}$ are topologically conjugate.

Remark (4.6). - All points of $f\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{I}_{n}\right)$ have the same invariant coordinate, and therefore this set consists of one point. Hence, $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{I}_{n}$ consists also of one point (namely o).

Theorem (4.7). -If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then there exists a set $\mathrm{S} \subset[-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}]$ such that:
(i) S is closed and f-invariant, $\quad 0 \in \mathrm{~S}$,
(ii) S is homeomorphic to the Cantor set,
(iii) the system ( $\mathrm{S},\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) is minimal,
(iv) $\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{S}}: \mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ is a homeomorphism,
(v) S is a support of a probabilistic f-invariant non-atomic ergodic measure $\mu$,
(vi) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{n}(x), \mathrm{S}\right)=0$ for every $x$ which is not eventually periodic,
(vii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{2^{n}}(x)$ exists for any $x$ and is equal to $x$ for $x \in \operatorname{S} \cup \operatorname{Per}(f)$,
(viii) the set of non-wandering points of $f$ is equal to $\mathrm{S} \cup \operatorname{Per}(f)$,
(ix) $\mu$ is the unique probabilistic f-invariant non-atomic measure.

Proof. - Define $\mathrm{S}=\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{U}_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}\right)$. We divide the proof according to the statements of the theorem.

1) Clearly S is closed and $o \in \mathrm{~S}$. Since $f^{2^{n}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{n}\right) \subset \mathrm{I}_{n}$, S is also $f$-invariant.
2) We can describe $S$ in another way. Set $M_{n}=I_{n} \cup f^{2 n-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$.

Then $\mathrm{S}=\bigcap_{n \geq 1}^{2^{n-1}-1} \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} f^{n}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$. The set $\mathrm{M}_{n}$ is a closed interval, the intervals $f^{k}\left(\mathbf{M}_{n}\right)$, $k=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}-1$, are pairwise disjoint,

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right) \supset \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n+1}\right)
$$

and in any set $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$ there are contained exactly two sets of the form $f^{\tau}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n+1}\right)$, $0 \leq r \leq 2^{n}-1$. This gives a natural structure of a Cantor set on S , provided any intersection of the form $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^{k_{n}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$ consists of at most one point. But this is true, because either all points of this intersection have the same invariant coordinate, or some image of it is contained in $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{I}_{n}$ and then we use Remark (4.6).
3) If $x \in \mathrm{~S}$, then for a given $n$, in any set $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right), k=0, \mathrm{I}, \ldots, 2^{n-1}-\mathrm{I}$, there is one of the points $f^{r}(x), r=0, \mathbf{1}, \ldots, 2^{n-1}-\mathbf{1}$. Thus, every orbit of an element of $\mathbf{S}$ is dense in S , i.e. $\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{s}}$ is minimal.
4) If $x, y \in \mathrm{~S}, x \neq y$, then for some $n, r, s$, we have: $x \in f^{r}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right), y \in f^{s}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right), o \leq r$, $s \leq 2^{n-1}-\mathrm{I}, r \neq s$. Then $f(x) \in f^{r+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right), f(y) \in f^{s+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$. But $f^{r+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right) \cap f^{s+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)=\emptyset$ and thus $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
5) In order to define a probabilistic measure $\mu$ on $S$ it is sufficient to define measures of the sets $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$ in such a way that if $\mathrm{S} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} f^{k_{i}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n_{i}}\right)=\mathrm{S} \cap f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$, then:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{+} \mu\left(f^{k_{i}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n_{i}}\right)\right)=\mu\left(f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right)
$$

and $\mu(\mathbf{S})=\mathrm{I}$. We put $\mu\left(f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right)=2^{-n+1}$ and clearly the above conditions are satisfied. It is obvious, that $\mu$ is non-atomic; it is $f$-invariant because $\left.f\right|_{\text {s }}$ is a homeomorphism and $\mu\left(f^{k+1}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right)=\mu f^{k}\left(\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right)$. It follows from the minimality of $\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{s}}$ that the support of $\mu$ is equal to S .

To prove ergodicity of $f$ it is sufficient to show that for any $m, n, r, s$ :

$$
\left.\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mu\left(f^{r}\left(\mathbf{M}_{n}\right)\right) \cap f^{s+i}\left(\mathrm{M}_{m}\right)\right)=\mu\left(f^{r}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right) \cdot \mu\left(f^{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{m}\right)\right) .
$$

Among every $2^{\min (m, n)-1}$ consecutive terms of the sequence $\left(\mu\left(f^{r}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right) \cap f^{s+i}\left(\mathrm{M}_{m}\right)\right)\right)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ there is exactly one which is equal to $2^{-\max (m, n)+1}$ and the rest are zeros. Hence, the average of $k$ first terms of this sequence tends to

$$
2^{-\min (n, m)+1} \cdot 2^{-\max (n, m)+1}=2^{-n+1} \cdot 2^{-m+1}=\mu\left(f^{r}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)\right) \cdot \mu\left(f^{s}\left(\mathrm{M}_{m}\right)\right) .
$$

6) Take $x$ which is not eventually periodic. Set $\ell(n)=\sup \left\{s: f^{n}(x) \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{v^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)\right\}$ $\left(\ell(n)=+\infty\right.$ if $\left.f^{n}(x) \in \mathrm{S}\right)$. Since the set $\bigcup_{\substack{2_{0}^{s}-1}}^{2^{s}-1} f_{s}^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{8}\right)$ is $f$-invariant, the sequence $(\ell(n))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing. Suppose that $f^{r}(x) \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{2^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathbf{I}_{s}\right)$. Then for some $k \geq r$ we have $f^{k}(x) \in \mathrm{I}_{s}$. But $\mathrm{I}_{s}=\mathrm{I}_{s+1} \cup \mathrm{~K}_{s} \cup \mathrm{I}_{s}$. If $f^{k}(x)$ belongs to $\mathrm{K}_{s}$, then it is repelled by the point $(-1)^{s} b_{s}$ under the action of $f^{2 s}$, until it reaches $\mathrm{I}_{s+1}$. Hence, $f^{p .2^{s}}\left(f^{k}(x)\right) \in \mathrm{I}_{s+1}$ for some $p$. If $f^{k}(x) \in \mathrm{L}_{s}$, then $f^{2^{s}}\left(f^{k}(x)\right) \in \mathrm{K}_{s} \cup \mathrm{I}_{s+1}$ and we may repeat the above considerations. In any case, we have $f^{m}(x) \in \mathrm{I}_{s+1}$ for some $m \geq k$. This proves that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(n)=+\infty$. But this is equivalent to the statement that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(f^{n}(x), \mathrm{S}\right)=0$.
7) Any set of the form $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$ is $f^{2^{s}}$-invariant. Hence, if $f^{n \rightarrow \infty}(x) \in f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$ for some $r \geq s$, then also $f^{2^{n}}(x) \in f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$ for any $n \geq r$. But $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$ is contained either in $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{s}\right)$ or in $f^{k-2^{s-1}}\left(M_{s}\right)$, and therefore also $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} f^{k_{i}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s_{i}}\right)$ consists of at most one point (provided $s_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ ) and this point belongs to S . Thus it follows from the proof of (vi) that if $x$ is not eventually periodic, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{2^{n}}(x)$ exists and belongs to S . Clearly, if $x \in \mathrm{~S}$, then this limit is equal to $x$.

If $x$ is eventually periodic, then by $(\mathrm{H}), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{2 n}(x)$ also exists. Clearly, it is a periodic point and is equal to $x$ if $x$ is periodic.
8) Let $x \notin \mathrm{~S} \cup \operatorname{Per}(f)$. There exists $s$ such that:

$$
x \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathbf{I}_{3}\right) .
$$

Take N such that $f^{\mathbb{N}}(x)$ belongs to $\bigcup_{j=0}^{2 s+1-1} f^{j}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s+1}\right)$. This set is contained in the interior of the set $\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(I_{s}\right)$ and hence there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ such that $U$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$ and $f^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{U}) \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{2 S^{s}} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)$. Thus, for some smaller neighbourhood V of $x$ we have $f^{n}(\mathrm{~V}) \cap \mathrm{V}=\emptyset$ for $n=\mathrm{I}, 2, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{I}$ and also:

$$
f^{n}(\mathrm{~V}) \cap \mathrm{VC}\left([-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}] \backslash \bigcup_{k=0}^{2^{s}-1} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)\right) \cap_{\bigcup_{k=0}^{2 s}}^{2^{-1}} f^{k}\left(\mathrm{I}_{s}\right)=\emptyset \quad \text { for } \quad n=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{I}, \ldots
$$

9) By (viii) every probabilistic $f$-invariant non-atomic measure is concentrated on S . But clearly the measure of $f^{k}\left(\mathrm{M}_{n}\right)$ must be equal to $2^{-n+1}$ (cf. [7]) and thus $\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{s}}$ is uniquely ergodic.

Remark (4.8). - If $f$ and $\mu$ are as in Theorem 2, then the transformation $\pi$, defined in [7], is a homeomorphism.

Remark (4.9). - D. Sullivan noticed that the system ( $\mathrm{S},\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) is topologically conjugate to the rotation on the group of 2 -adic integers (so called " adding machine ").

Remark (4.10). - It is not necessary to assume differentiability at o , but we do not know any example of a mapping satisfying all other conditions but not differentiable at $o$.
5. Now we are able to say something about a wider class of transformations than $\mathscr{A}$. Notice that the following theorem makes it possible to use results of [7].

Theorem (5.1). - If a continuous mapping $f$ of a closed interval I into itself satisfies conditions $(\mathrm{G})$ and $(\mathrm{H})$ and has only one local extremum (except at the endpoints of I ), then $f$ admits a unique probabilistic f-invariant non-atomic measure.

Proof. - Since $f$ has a periodic point of prime period $4, f$ is not a homeomorphism and it has a local extremum at some point $c$ which is not an endpoint of I . We may assume that it is a maximum (otherwise we consider the mapping $x \rightarrow-f(-x)$ ). We take an interval $[a, b]$ which contains I in its interior and a mapping $g:[a, b] \rightarrow[a, b]$ such that $g(a)=g(b)=a, g$ is increasing on $[a, c]$ and decreasing on $[c, b]$, and $\left.g\right|_{\mathrm{I}}=f$. Clearly $g$ satisfies (G). The only periodic points on $[a, b] \backslash \mathbf{I}$ are fixed points and hence $g$ satisfies also (H).

We take some mapping $\varphi \in \mathscr{A}$. By Remarks (1.3) and (1.4), $g$ and $\varphi$ have the same kneading invariant. Hence, by Corollary (4.4), there exists a unique mapping $\psi:[a, b] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ which preserves an invariant coordinate. Clearly, we have
$\psi \circ g=\varphi \circ \psi$. Since $\psi$ is non-decreasing, it is one-to-one outside a countable union of intervals. Interior of each of these intervals consists of $g$-wandering points or/and $g$-periodic points. Thus, $\psi$ gives a one-to-one correspondance between the set of all probabilistic invariant non-atomic measures for $g$ and $\varphi$ respectively. But by theorem (4.7) there is exactly one such measure for $\varphi$, and hence the same is valid for $g$.

Remark (5.2). - It is easy to construct a continuous mapping which satisfies (G) and $(\mathrm{H})$, has infinitely many local extrema and does not admit any probabilistic invariant non-atomic measure.
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