## 174. Structure of Maximal Sum-free Sets in Groups of Order 3p

By Hian-Poh YAP

Department of Mathematics, University of Singapore, Singapore

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1970)

1. Introduction. In [5] and [6], we studied the structure of maximal sum-free sets of elements in groups of prime orders p=3k+2 and p=3k+1 respectively. In this paper, we shall study the structure of maximal sum-free sets in groups G (both abelian and non-abelian) of order 3p, where p=3k+1 is a prime. We shall use the same terminologies and notations as used in [1]. In particular, we let S be a maximal sum-free set in G and |S| be the cardinal of S.

2. Abelian groups. Throughout this section G is abelian. We first prove that  $|S+S| \neq 2|S|$  in Theorem 4 of [1]. In fact, we shall prove

Lemma 1. If S is a maximal sum-free set in G, then S is a union of cosets of some subgroup H, of order p or 1, such that

$$|S+S| = 2|S| - |H|$$
.

**Proof.** Write  $G = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 3p-1\}$ . Let  $H_0 = H = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, 3(p-1)\}, H_1 = p+H, H_2 = 2p+H, S_i = S \cap H_i, i=0, 1, 2.$ 

If  $S = H_1$ , say, then it is clear that  $|S+S| \neq 2|S|$ .

Assume now that  $S \neq H_1$  and  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 5 of [1],  $|S_0| \leq k$ . Thus  $|S_1| + |S_2| \geq 2k+1$  and without loss of generality, we may assume that  $|S_1| \geq k+1$ .

Now  $(S_1+S_1)\cap S_2=\emptyset$  and  $(S_1+S_1)\cup S_2\subseteq H_2$ . Hence, by Cauchy-Davenport theorem ([2], p. 3), if  $S_1+S_1\neq H_2$ ,

$$p \ge |S_2| + |S_1 + S_1| \ge |S_2| + 2|S_1| - 1$$

$$\geq k + |S_1| + |S_2| \geq |S_0| + |S_1| + |S_2| = p,$$

from which it follows that

 $|S_0| = k$ ,  $|S_1| = k+1$ , and  $|S_2| = k$ .

(If  $S_1+S_1=H_2$ , then we can prove that  $S_0=\emptyset$  and so  $S=H_1$ , which contradicts the assumption.)

Let  $S^* = -S \cup S$ . Then  $S^* \neq S$ . But from Theorem 4 of [1], we have (i) |S+S|=2|S|-1 or (ii) |S+S|=2|S| and  $S \cup (S+S)=G$ . Thus from  $S^* \cap (S-S) = \emptyset$  it follows that  $|S+S| \neq 2|S|$ .

Hence, in any case  $|S+S| \neq 2|S|$ .

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

Next, we prove

**Theorem 1.** Let S be a maximal sum-free set in G such that S is

not a coset of  $H, H = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, 3(p-1)\}$ , then S is given by  $S = S_0 \cup S_1$  $\cup S_2$ , where

$$egin{aligned} S_0 =& \{id\,;\,i=k+1,\,k+2,\,\cdots,2k\},\ S_1 =& p+\{id\,;\,i=0,1,\,\cdots,k\},\ S_2 =& 2p+\{id\,;\,i=2k+1,2k+2,\,\cdots,3k\},\ d\in H \end{aligned}$$

Hence the number of maximal sum-free sets S in G such that S is not a coset of H is p-1. Moreover, if S and S' are two maximal sumfree sets in G such that S and S' are not cosets of H, then there exists an automorphism  $\theta$  of G such that  $S' = S\theta$ .

**Proof.** From the proof of Lemma 1 above, we know that if S  $\neq H_1$  and  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$  then  $|S_0| = k$ ,  $|S_1| = k+1$ ,  $|S_2| = k$ , and  $|S_1 + S_1|$  $=2|S_1|-1$ . Hence by Vosper's theorem ([2], p. 3),  $S_1$  is in arithmetic progression. Let

$$S_1 = p + \{a + id; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}, a, d \in H.$$
 (1)

Then  $S_1 - S_1 = \{id; i=0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm k\}$  and from the fact that  $S_0 \cap (S_1 - S_1)$  $= \emptyset$  and  $|S_0| = k$  it follows that

$$S_0 = \{ id; i = k+1, k+2, \cdots, 2k \}.$$
(2)

Now,  $S_1 + S_1 = 2p + \{2a + jd; j = 0, 1, \dots, 2k\}$  and from the fact that  $S_2 \cap (S_1 + S_1) = \emptyset$  and  $|S_2| = k$  it follows that

$$S_2 = 2p + \{2a + id; i = 2k + 1, 2k + 2, \dots, 3k\}.$$
 (3)

Next.

 $S_1+S_2=\{3a+jd; j=0, 1, \dots, k-1, 2k+1, 2k+2, \dots, 3k\}$ and  $S_0 \subseteq H_0 \setminus (S_1 + S_2)$ , the set complement of  $S_1 + S_2$  with respect to  $H_0$ . Hence

$$S_0 \subseteq \{3a+id; i=k, k+1, \cdots, 2k\}.$$
 (4)

Now by the following lemmas,

Lemma 2. Let  $A = \{a + jd; j = 0, 1, \dots, r\}$  be a set of residues modulo m with (d, m) = 1 and  $1 \le r \le m - 3$ . If  $A = \{b + jd'; j = 0, 1, \dots, m\}$ r}, then  $d' \equiv \pm d \pmod{m}$  ([3]).

Lemma 3. Let  $A = \{a+jd; j=1, 2, \dots, r\}$  be a set of residues modulo m with (d, m) = 1 and  $2 \le r \le (m+1)/2$ . Then A can be written in only two essentially different ways in arithmetic progression form, namely

*either*  $A = \{a + jd; j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ 

 $A = \{(a + (r+1)d) + j(-d); j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ or([6]), we have either  $S_0 = \{3a + id; i = k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\}$ , or  $S_0 = \{3a + id\}$ ;  $i = k, k+1, \dots, 2k-1$ .

Case (i). 
$$S_0 = \{3a + id; i = k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\}.$$
 (5)

In this case, compare (5) with (2), we have a=0 and thus

$$S_{0} = \{id; i = k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\},$$

$$S_{1} = p + \{id; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\},$$
(2)
(6)

$$S_1 = p + \{id; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\},$$
 (6)

$$S_2 = 2p + \{id; i = 2k+1, 2k+2, \cdots, 3k\}.$$
(7)

No. 7]

[Vol. 46,

Case (ii).  $S_0 = \{3a + id; i = k, k+1, \dots, 2k-1\}.$  (8)

In this case compare (8) with (2), we have d=3a and therefore a=-kd. Thus

$$S_0 = \{id; i = k+1, k+2, \cdots, 2k\},$$
 (2)

$$S_1 = p + \{id; i = 0, 2k+1, 2k+2, \cdots, 3k\},$$
(9)

$$S_2 = 2p + \{id; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$
 (10)

On the other hand, we can verify that  $S=S_0\cup S_1\cup S_2$ , where  $S_0$ ,  $S_1, S_2$  are given by (2), (6), and (7) (or (2), (9), and (10)) is sum-free in G and hence is a maximal sum-free set in G.

Now, let

$$S'_0 = \{id_0; i = k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k\},$$
 (2)'

$$S'_1 = p + \{id_0; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\},$$
 (6)

$$S'_{2}=2p+\{id_{0}; i=2k+1, 2k+2, \cdots, 3k\}.$$
 (7)

We can show that the mapping  $\theta$  defined by

 $(id) heta=id_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\quad (p+id) heta=p+id_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},$ 

$$(2p+id)\theta = 2p+id_0, \quad i=0, 1, \cdots, p-1$$

is an automorphism of G such that  $S\theta = S'$ , where  $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  are given by (2), (6), (7), and  $S' = S'_0 \cup S'_1 \cup S'_2$ ,  $S'_0$ ,  $S'_1$ ,  $S'_2$  are given by (2)', (6)', (7)'.

It is clear that the mapping  $\varphi$  defined by

 $(id)\varphi = i(-d), \quad (p+id)\varphi = p+i(-d),$ 

 $(2p+id)\varphi = 2p+i(-d), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, p-1$ 

is an automorphism of G that maps the maximal sum-free set given by (2), (6), and (7) onto the maximal sum-free set given by (2), (9), and (10).

Hence, again, by Lemma 2, there are altogether p-1 non-essentially different maximal sum-free sets S in G such that S is not a coset of H. Moreover, all these non-essentially different maximal sum-free sets in G can be obtained by automorphisms from S where  $S=S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$  is given as follows:

$$S_0 = \{i; i = k + 1, k + 2, \dots, 2k\}, \ S_1 = p + \{i; i = 0, 1, \dots, k\}, ext{ and } S_2 = 2p + \{i; i = 2k + 1, 2k + 2, \dots, 3k\}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. Non-abelian groups. Theorem 8 of [1] states that if G is a non-abelian group of order 3p, where p=3k+1 is a prime, then  $\lambda(G) = p$ . In this section, we shall study the structure of maximal sumfree sets S in G for this case. In fact, we shall prove

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a non-abelian group of order 3p, where p=3k+1 is a prime. If S is a maximal sum-free set in G, then S is a coset of a subgroup H, of order p, of G.

**Proof.** We know that G is generated by a and b such that 3a=0=pb and b+a=a+rb, where  $r^2+r+1\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  ([4], p. 51). It is known that in this case

 $H_0 = \{0, b, 2b, \cdots, (p-1)b\}$ 

is the only subgroup, of order p, of G ([4], p. 49).

From the proof of Theorem 8 in [1], if S is not a coset of  $H_0$ , we can prove that  $|S_0| = k$ ,  $|S_1| = k+1$ ,  $|S_2| = k$ , and  $|S_1+S_1| = 2|S_1| - 1$  ([1]). Hence, by Vosper's theorem,  $S_1$  is in arithmetic progression. Let

 $S_1 = a + \{m + id; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}b$ 

where  $m, d \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Now  $S_1 + S_1 = 2a + \{mr + i(dr); i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}b$ +  $\{m + id; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}b$ 

where  $A = \{mr + i(dr); i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $B = \{m + id; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  are elements in the cyclic group  $C_p$  of order p.

Again, by Vosper's theorem, A and B should have the same difference. Hence, from Lemma 2, we have  $dr \equiv \pm d \pmod{p}$ . But since  $d \neq 0$ , therefore  $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ , which contradicts the fact  $r^2 + r + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

4. A conjecture. For the case that G is abelian of order 9, the second possibility in Theorem 8 of [1] cannot occur also, i.e., if S is a maximal sum-free set in G, then  $|S+S| \neq 2|S|$ .

Let  $H_0$  be any subgroup, of order 3, of G. Let  $H_0, H_1, H_2$  be distinct cosets of  $H_0$  and  $S_i = S \cap H_i$ , i = 0, 1, 2.

If the second possibility in Theorem 8 of [1] occurs, then  $0 \in S+S$ and thus  $|(-S) \cap S| = 2$ . Hence, if  $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}$ , and  $S \neq H_1$  or  $H_2$ , then  $s_0 \in S_0$ ,  $s_1 \in S_1$ , and  $s_2 = -s_1 \in S_2$ .

Now from  $S \cup (S+S) = G$ , we have

 $2s_0 + (s_0 + s_1) + (s_0 - s_1) + 2s_1 + (-2s_1) = -s_0,$ 

from which it follows that  $5s_0 = 0$ , which is impossible.

We make the following

Conjecture: Let G be a finite abelian group such that |G| has no prime factors  $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and such that |G| has 3 as a factor. If S is a maximal sum-free set in G, then S is a union of cosets of a subgroup H, of order |G|/3m, of G, where m is an integer such that 3m|G|, and |S+S|=2|S|-|H|.

## References

- P. H. Diananda and H.-P. Yap: Maximal sum-free sets of elements of finite groups. Proc. Japan Acad., 45, 1-5 (1969).
- [2] H. B. Mann: Addition Theorems. Interscience Publ., New York etc. (1965).
- [3] H. B. Mann and J. E. Olson: Sums of sets in the elementary abelian group of type (p, p). J. of Combinatorial Theory, 2, 275-284 (1967).

761

No. 7]

## Н.-Р. Үар

- [4] Marshall Hall, Jr.: The Theory of Groups. Macmillan Co., New York (1959).
- [5] H.-P. Yap: The number of maximal sum-free sets in  $C_p$ . Nanta Math., 2, 68-71 (1968).
- [6] —: Structure of maximal sum-free sets in  $C_p$ . Acta Arith., 17 (to appear).