

# Structures of the linear silicon carbides SiC 4 and SiC 6 : Isotopic substitution and Ab Initio theory

V. D. Gordon, E. S. Nathan, A. J. Apponi, M. C. McCarthy, P. Thaddeus, and P. Botschwina

Citation: The Journal of Chemical Physics **113**, 5311 (2000); doi: 10.1063/1.1290126 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1290126 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/113/13?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Three-dimensional potential energy surface of the Ar – O H (i 2) complex J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 124307 (2006); 10.1063/1.2353120

Rotational spectrum of jet-cooled HfO 2 and HfO J. Chem. Phys. **117**, 9651 (2002); 10.1063/1.1516797

Ab initio theory and rotational spectra of linear carbon chains SiC n S J. Chem. Phys. **116**, 10719 (2002); 10.1063/1.1473807

Structure and properties of the weakly bound trimer (H 2 O) 2 HCl observed by rotational spectroscopy J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 5767 (2000); 10.1063/1.481152

The isocyanopolyynes HC 4 NC and HC 6 NC : Microwave spectra and ab initio calculations J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 3108 (1998); 10.1063/1.476515



# Structures of the linear silicon carbides SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub>: Isotopic substitution and *Ab Initio* theory

V. D. Gordon,<sup>a)</sup> E. S. Nathan, A. J. Apponi, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, 29 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

#### P. Botschwina

Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität Göttingen, Tammannstr, 6. D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

(Received 13 June 2000; accepted 10 July 2000)

The structures of two linear silicon carbides,  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$ , have been determined by a combination of isotopic substitution and large-scale coupled-cluster *ab initio* calculations, following detection of all of the singly substituted isotopic species in a supersonic molecular beam with a Fourier transform microwave spectrometer. Rotational constants obtained by least-squares fitting transition frequencies were used to derive experimental structures; except for those nearest the center of mass, individual bond lengths for both chains have an error of less than 0.008 Å. Accurate equilibrium structures were derived by converting the experimental rotational constants to equilibrium constants using the vibration–rotation coupling constants from coupled-cluster calculations, including connected triple substitutions. Equilibrium dipole moments and harmonic vibrational frequencies were also calculated for both chains. On the basis of the calculated vibration–rotation and *l*-type doubling constants, weak rotational satellites from a low-lying vibrational state of SiC<sub>4</sub> were assigned to  $v_6$ , a bending mode calculated to lie about 205 cm<sup>-1</sup> above the ground state. A recommended *ab initio* equilibrium structure for SiC<sub>8</sub> has also been established. © 2000 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-9606(00)01537-3]

### I. INTRODUCTION

The most stable isomers of small carbon clusters are generally chains or rings, nonpolar by symmetry and thus devoid of rotational spectra. Their geometries cannot, therefore, be determined by the standard microwave technique of isotopic substitution, and for this and other reasons their structure and bonding have long been a subject of active study and debate.<sup>1,2</sup> The replacement of a chain's terminal carbon atom with an isovalent silicon atom yields a molecule with structure and bonding closely related to that of the pure carbon cluster but typically with a large dipole moment and a strong rotational spectrum. Experimental structures of three silicon–carbide rings–SiC $_2^3$  and two rhomboidal isomers of  $SiC_3^{4,5}$  —have been determined by isotopic substitution, but no such structures are available for linear silicon carbides longer than SiC. The derivation of accurate structures for even a few such chains should contribute to comparative studies of similar silicon-carbon clusters and provide benchmarks for quantum-chemical calculations. Theoretical calculations<sup>6,7</sup> predict low-lying linear, cumulenic isomers for SiC<sub>3</sub> and larger silicon carbides.

Here, we report the structures of two linear silicon carbides, the previously studied  $SiC_4$  and the recently detected  $SiC_6$ .<sup>8</sup> The structures of  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$  were determined by a combination of rotational spectroscopy of the singly substituted isotopic species (done at Cambridge) and large-scale coupled-cluster ab initio calculations (done at Göttingen). The *ab initio* study of both molecules represents a considerable extension over previous work on these species.<sup>7,9-12</sup>

The SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> structures derived here (Fig. 1) are similar to those predicted for pure carbon chains, with cumulenic bonding along the entire length of the chain, including the Si–C bond. For SiC<sub>4</sub>, in addition to lines from the normal and rare isotopic species, satellite rotational transitions were observed and assigned to several low-lying vibrationally excited states. These lines probably originate from  $v_6$  and its overtone  $(2v_6^{0,\pm 2})$ , where  $v_6$  is the second lowestlying bending mode, calculated to lie about 205 cm<sup>-1</sup> above the ground state.

#### **II. EXPERIMENT**

The rotational spectra of the normal and rare isotopic species of  $\text{SiC}_4$  and  $\text{SiC}_6$  were obtained by pulsed-jet Fourier transform microwave (FTM) spectroscopy.<sup>13</sup> In our instrument, reactive molecules are formed in the throat of a standard pulsed discharge nozzle by a low-current, high-voltage discharge through precursor gases highly diluted in an inert buffer gas. The rotational temperature of molecules in the rapidly expanding molecular beam drops to a few Kelvin by the time the gas has traveled a few centimeters downstream from the nozzle outlet, greatly enhancing the population of the lower-lying rotational levels which are of interest for structural determinations. As the beam enters the center of the spectrometer's Fabry–Perot cavity, a short pulse of microwave radiation tuned to a cavity mode macroscopically polarizes molecules with resonant rotational transitions. This

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP 128.83.205.53 On: Fri. 26 Sep 2014 18:42:04

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: gordon@fas.harvard.edu

Recommended equilibrium structures (in Å) for SiC<sub>20</sub> species



polarization then oscillates coherently at the frequency of the transition or transitions, and the subsequent free-induction decay (FID) is detected with a sensitive heterodyne receiver. The Fourier transform of the FID yields the desired line absorption power spectrum.

Fairly good predictions of the rotational constants of the isotopic species of  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$  were made from work calculating (at the DFT-B3LYP/cc-pVDZ level of theory) equilibrium bond lengths for silicon carbide chains up to  $SiC_{10}$ .<sup>12</sup> Using these bond lengths, rotational constants for each singly substituted isotopic species were calculated and then scaled by the ratio of the observed  $B_0$  to that calculated for the normal species. Such scaling consistently predicted the transition frequencies of each isotopic species to within 0.01%, i.e., less than 1 MHz at 10 GHz. Only modest frequency searches were therefore required, and misidentifications were highly unlikely.

The strongest signals of SiC<sub>4</sub> were obtained using a mixture of diacetylene (HC<sub>4</sub>H,0.3%) and silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>,0.3%) diluted in a neon buffer, a discharge voltage of 1000 V, a gas pulse of 380  $\mu$ s duration, and a pressure of 2.5 kTorr behind the nozzle. Under these conditions, lines of SiC<sub>4</sub> were typically observed with a signal-to-noise ratio in excess of 300 in 1 min of integration. All SiC<sub>4</sub> singly substituted carbon-13 species could be observed in natural abundance; however, isotopic enhancement with <sup>13</sup>CO, which gave lines stronger by nearly a factor of 4, was generally employed to speed up data acquisition. For the <sup>29</sup>Si and <sup>30</sup>Si species, lines were observed in natural abundance with a signal-to-noise ratio of about 8 in about 1 min of integration. Without <sup>13</sup>CO, proportionally longer integration times were required to achieve comparable signals for each of the four <sup>13</sup>C-substituted species, i.e., about 5 min to achieve a signal-to-noise ratio of about 5-10.

Fairly good lines of SiC<sub>6</sub> were produced under the optimal conditions for SiC<sub>4</sub>, but ones even stronger—by a factor of about 3—were achieved at a slightly higher discharge voltage (1300 V) and with slightly more diacetylene (0.4%) and less silane (0.1%). At best, however, the lines of SiC<sub>6</sub> were about six times weaker than those of SiC<sub>4</sub>. For the two silicon isotopic species of SiC<sub>6</sub> in natural abundance, lines were observed with a signal-to-noise ratio of about 5 in 4 min of integration. Using <sup>13</sup>CO, the six <sup>13</sup>C-substituted species were observed at about the same signal-to-noise level in FIG. 1. Listed are the  $r_0$  bond lengths and the mixed experimental/theoretical equilibrium structures of SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> and the theoretical structure of SiC<sub>8</sub>. The  $1\sigma$ errors for the experimental structures of SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> are given in parentheses. The equilibrium structures were derived by converting measured rotational constants to equilibrium rotational constants using the vibration–rotation coupling constants calculated from the cubic force field (see the text).

a similar integration time. Sample spectra of normal  $SiC_6$  and two of the rare isotopic species are shown in Fig. 2.

There is compelling evidence that  $SiC_4$ ,  $SiC_6$ , and their singly substituted isotopic species are the sole carriers of the assigned lines. For both molecules, the relative line strengths of the normal and rare isotopic species, as observed in natural abundance, are close to those expected. The line intensities of all the <sup>13</sup>C-containing chains increase significantly when <sup>13</sup>CO is used, and all the lines vanish when silane is removed from the discharge. None of the assigned lines diminishes in intensity when a permanent magnet is brought near the molecular beam, as expected for closed-shell molecules, and no lines were found at subharmonic intervals to indicate that we were observing molecules significantly larger or heavier than those assigned. Still further evidence of our assignments is that the centrifugal distortion constants for all of the singly substituted isotopic species of a given molecule are nearly identical.

#### **III. SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS**

Both SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> have singlet electronic ground states and rotational transitions which are closely harmonic in frequency:  $\nu_{J\rightarrow J-1}=2BJ-4DJ^3$ , where *J* is the angular momentum quantum number for the upper rotational level and *B* and *D* are the usual rotational and centrifugal distortion constants. By fitting this expression to the rotational transitions in Tables I and II, the spectroscopic constants listed in Table III were determined. For the 16 isotopic species measured here, the rms error of each fit is comparable to the measurement uncertainties of 1–3 kHz.

Following detection and analysis of the six isotopic species of SiC<sub>4</sub>, five additional harmonic sequences of lines less than 0.5% different in frequency from those of normal SiC<sub>4</sub> were subsequently observed. These lines are 20–250 times weaker than the SiC<sub>4</sub> main line, but each passes the same chemical and spectroscopic tests, indicating that they are carried by one or more reactive silicon-containing molecules with closed-shell electronic states and rotational constants nearly the same as that of ground state SiC<sub>4</sub>.

These weak additional lines are most plausibly rotational satellites from the fundamental  $v_6$  bending mode of SiC<sub>4</sub> and its overtone  $2v_6^{0,\pm 2}$ . The  $v_6$  state is calculated in Sec. IV to be the second lowest frequency bending mode, lying about



FIG. 2. Sample spectra for several isotopic species of SiC<sub>6</sub>, including (top) normal SiC<sub>6</sub>, (middle) <sup>29</sup>SiC<sub>6</sub>, and (bottom) SiCCC<sup>13</sup>CCC, observed with <sup>13</sup>CO. Integration times are 1 min for the normal and <sup>29</sup>Si isotopic species, and 6 min for the SiCCC<sup>13</sup>CCC species. The double-peaked line shape results from the Doppler splitting of the fast-moving supersonic molecular beam relative to the two traveling waves that compose the confocal mode of the Fabry–Perot cavity.

205 cm<sup>-1</sup> above ground. Our tentative assignment is based on three considerations. First, all of these weak extra lines lie higher in frequency than the ground-state lines; this shift rules out all rare isotopic species but not rotational satellites from bending modes, because bending tends to decrease the moment of inertia of a chain and therefore shifts the rotational lines to higher frequency. Second, differences in experimental rotational constants,  $B - B(v_6) = -5.119(2)$ MHz for the  $1v_6^{\pm 1}$  state and  $1/2[B - B(2v_6^0)] = -4.953(2)$ MHz for the  $2v_6^0$  state, both compare favorably with that expected from the calculated  $\alpha_6$  value of -4.953 MHz (see Table VIII):  $B(v_i) = B_e - \sum \alpha_i (v_i + d_i/2)$ , where  $B(v_i)$  is the

rotational constant of the *i*th vibration,  $v_i$  is the quantum number for the vibrational excitation, and  $d_i$  is the number of degenerate modes with the same value  $v_i$ . The experimental *l*-type doubling constants  $q_6$  and  $q_6^J$  are also in excellent agreement with theory. Finally, recent millimeter-wave observations in a long-path dc glow discharge source appear to confirm our present assignments. The two lines assigned to  $1v_6^{\pm 1}$  have nearly equal intensities, and both are more intense than the single line assigned to  $2v_6^0$ , in agreement with the relative energies of the two states. Specific searches at centimeter wavelengths for rotational satellites from  $v_7$ , the

TABLE I. Rotational transitions of the singly substituted isotopic species of SiC<sub>4</sub> (in MHz). Estimated experimental uncertainties (1 $\sigma$ ) are 2 kHz. Observed minus calculated frequencies are 0–2 kHz; the best fit constants are given in Table III.

$J' \! \rightarrow \! J$	<sup>28</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub> <sup>a</sup>	<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub>	<sup>30</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub>	Si <sup>13</sup> CCCC	SiC <sup>13</sup> CCC	SiCC <sup>13</sup> CC	SiCCC <sup>13</sup> C
$3 \rightarrow 2$	9 202.629	9 061.372	8 928.148	9 192.300	9 189.856	9 095.345	8 918.687
$4 \rightarrow 3$	12 270.164	12 081.826	11 904.193	12 256.394	12 253.136	12 127.131	11 891.574
$5 \rightarrow 4$	15 337.695	15 102.271	14 880.229	15 320.484	15 316.410	15 158.905	14 864.460
$6 \rightarrow 5$	18 405.220	18 122.716	17 856.261	18 384.567	18 379.678	18 190.665	
$7 \rightarrow 6$	21 472.733	21 143.146	20 832.288	21 448.638	21 442.933	21 222.427	20 810.208
$8 \rightarrow 7$	24 540.241						23 783.068

<sup>a</sup>Previously detected by Ohishi et al. (Ref. 9).

bending mode of SiC<sub>4</sub> that lies lowest in energy (see Sec. IV), were unsuccessful. The measured rotational satellites of SiC<sub>4</sub> are given in Table IV, and the effective spectroscopic constants (*B*, *D*, *q*, and  $q^J$ ) are given in Table V.

#### **IV. AB INITIO CALCULATIONS**

The equilibrium bond lengths of linear  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$ were calculated by five different methods, employing different basis sets of Dunning's correlation-consistent cc-pVnZ type.<sup>14,15</sup> The highest levels employed correspond to the coupled-cluster variants CCSD(T)<sup>16</sup> and CCSD-T.<sup>17</sup> In addition, CCSD,<sup>18,19</sup> second-order perturbation theory according to Møller and Plesset (MP2), and Hartree-Fock selfconsistent field (SCF) calculations have been carried out. The largest basis set employed for SiC<sub>4</sub> is cc-pV5Z, which comprises 459 contracted Gaussian-type orbitals (cGTOs), with real spherical harmonics employed for the angular parts of functions with angular momentum higher than l=1. For  $SiC_6$ , the geometry optimizations were restricted to the ccpVTZ and cc-pVQZ basis sets (214 and 389 cGTOs, respectively). All valence electrons were correlated in the post-Hartree-Fock calculations. Throughout, the MOLPRO98 suite of programs<sup>20</sup> was employed.

Calculated equilibrium bond lengths for  $SiC_4$  are listed in Table VI, which also includes earlier results obtained by MP2 and MP3 with small basis sets.<sup>7,9,10</sup> As expected, only very small differences are observed between the CCSD(T) and CCSD-T results. Although the CCSD(T) values of the carbon–carbon equilibrium separations differ by less than 2%, the effects of connected triple substitutions are rather different, with  $R_{4e}$  and  $R_{2e}$  being increased by as much as 0.0131 and 0.0101 Å, respectively, while  $R_{3e}$  is elongated by only 0.0023 Å.

The complete cubic force field of  $SiC_4$  was calculated by CCSD(T) with the cc-pVTZ and cc-pVQZ basis sets. Table VII lists the force field obtained with the larger basis set, which corresponds to an expansion of the form

$$V - V_e = \sum_{ijklmno} C_{ijklmno} \Delta R_1^i \Delta R_2^j \Delta R_3^k \Delta R_4^l \alpha^m \beta^n \gamma^o.$$
(1)

The angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  describe SiC<sub>(1)</sub>C<sub>(2)</sub>, C<sub>(1)</sub>C<sub>(2)</sub>C<sub>(3)</sub>, and C<sub>(2)</sub>C<sub>(3)</sub>C<sub>(4)</sub> bending, respectively. Two angular coordinates are defined to have the same sign for a *cis*-like distortion of the nuclear framework.

The CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ equilibrium structure from Table VI and the corresponding cubic force field from Table VII was used to calculate the spectroscopic constants given in Table VIII. Standard second-order perturbation theory in normal coordinate space was employed. The calculated harmonic stretching vibrational wave numbers are expected to be accurate to about 0.5%; the corresponding errors for the three bending vibrations should not exceed 5 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The ratio  $\omega_1$ (theor.)/ $\nu_1$ (exp.), where the experimental gas-phase value is taken from Ref. 21, is 1.023, which lies in the normal range. The lowest-energy harmonic bending vibration is predicted to lie at 88 cm<sup>-1</sup> above ground.

The vibration–rotation coupling constant ( $\alpha_1$ ) for the  $\nu_1$  vibration is calculated to be 6.685 MHz for SiC<sub>4</sub>, which is 9% below the experimental value of 7.36(29) MHz<sup>21</sup> but still lies within the  $3\sigma$  limit. On the other hand, there is some

TABLE II. Rotational transitions of the singly substituted isotopic species of SiC<sub>6</sub> (in MHz). Estimated experimental uncertainties (1 $\sigma$ ) are 2 kHz. Observed minus calculated frequencies are 0–2 kHz; fitted constants are given in Table III.

$J' \! \rightarrow \! J$	<sup>28</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	<sup>30</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	Si <sup>13</sup> CCCCCC	SiC <sup>13</sup> CCCCC	SiCC <sup>13</sup> CCCC	SiCCC <sup>13</sup> CCC	SiCCCC <sup>13</sup> CC	SiCCCCC <sup>13</sup> C
6→5	7 335.007								
$7 \rightarrow 6$	8 557.507	8 432.163	8 313.052						
$8 \rightarrow 7$	9 780.005	9 636.752	9 500.630					9 653.966	9 540.264
$9 \rightarrow 8$	11 002.503	10 841.345	10 688.205	10 958.111	10 998.435	10 995.123	10 950.023	10 860.708	10 732.801
$10 \rightarrow 9$	12 224.998	12 045.934	11 875.781	12 175.675	12 220.478	12 216.797	12 166.689	12 067.449	11 925.330
$11 \rightarrow 10$	13 447.493	13 250.522	13 063.354	13 393.239	13 442.520	13 438.471	13 383.355	13 274.188	13 117.859
$12 \rightarrow 11$	14 669.985	14 455.108	14 250.925	14 610.794		14 660.145	14 600.015	14 480.927	14 310.383
$13 \rightarrow 12$	15 892.479	15 659.693	15 438.493						
$14 \rightarrow 13$	17 114.968	16 864.277	16 626.063						
$15 \rightarrow 14$	18 337.456	18 068.859							
$16 \rightarrow 15$	19 559.942								
$17 \rightarrow 16$	20 782.426								

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP 128.83.205.53 On: Fri. 26 Sep 2014 18:42:04

TABLE III. Spectroscopic constants of the isotopic species of SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> (in MHz). Uncertainties (in parentheses) are in the last significant digit.

Molecule	В	$D \times 10^{6}$
<sup>28</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub> <sup>a</sup>	1533.7724(2)	58(2)
<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub>	1510.2298(2)	51(3)
<sup>30</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub>	1488.0256(2)	52(3)
Si <sup>13</sup> CCCC	1532.0511(2)	58(3)
SiC <sup>13</sup> CCC	1531.6439(2)	59(3)
SiCC <sup>13</sup> CC	1515.8927(2)	51(3)
SiCCC <sup>13</sup> C	1486.4487(2)	54(2)
<sup>28</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	611.2510(1)	5.5(2)
<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	602.2978(1)	5.6(3)
<sup>30</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	593.7901(1)	5.4(4)
Si <sup>13</sup> CCCCCC	608.7851(2)	7(1)
SiC <sup>13</sup> CCCCC	611.0252(4)	7(2)
SiCC <sup>13</sup> CCCC	610.8411(2)	6(1)
SiCCC <sup>13</sup> CCC	608.3355(2)	5(1)
SiCCCC <sup>13</sup> CC	603.3736(2)	5.7(8)
SiCCCCC <sup>13</sup> C	596.2673(2)	4.3(8)

<sup>a</sup>Previously detected by Ohishi et al. (Ref. 9).

indication from experiment that the upper vibrational state involved in the  $\nu_1$  band undergoes some perturbation with another state. As discussed earlier, the calculated  $\alpha_6$  value agrees nicely with experiment. Previous experience indicates that the theoretical *l*-type doubling constants  $q_t^e$  are slightly underestimated when calculated from a harmonic force field, the equilibrium structure, and the atomic masses. Here, the theoretical value for  $q_6^e$  is lower than the experimental value (cf. Table V) by 4%.

The difference between equilibrium rotational constants and ground-state rotational constants,  $\Delta B_0$ , was calculated from the vibration-rotation coupling constants according to

$$\Delta B_0 = B_e - B_0 \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \alpha_i d_i \tag{2}$$

where  $d_i$  is a degeneracy factor (1 for stretching and 2 for bending modes). The resulting values are given in Table VIII. They are on the order of -4 MHz and show only a slight dependence on isotopic substitution. The equilibrium centrifugal distortion constant  $D_e$  of SiC<sub>4</sub> is calculated to be 46.7 Hz and thus smaller than the best available experimental ground-state value of 58.28(36) Hz<sup>9</sup> by 20%. Analogous CCSD(T) calculations for C<sub>5</sub> yield  $D_e = 119.3$  Hz, to be compared with an experimental value of 163(13) Hz.<sup>22</sup>

Ab initio equilibrium structures, total energies, and equilibrium rotational constants for linear SiC<sub>6</sub> are given in Table

TABLE IV. Rotational satellites of SiC<sub>4</sub> (in MHz). Estimated experimental uncertainties  $(1\sigma)$  are 2 kHz. Observed minus calculated frequencies are 0-2 kHz; the best fit constants are given in Table V.

$J' \rightarrow J$	$1v_{6}^{\pm 1}$		$2v_{6}^{\pm 0}$	20	$2v_{6}^{\pm 2}$		
$\overline{3 \rightarrow 2}$	9230.875	9235.808	9262.063				
$4 \rightarrow 3$	12307.825	12314.405	12349.412	12328.470	12328.905		
$5 \rightarrow 4$	15384.771	15392.996	15436.752	15410.576	15411.114		
$6 \rightarrow 5$	18461.710	18471.578	18524.083	18492.674	18493.309		
$7 \rightarrow 6$	21538.639	21550.151	21611.405				
$48 \rightarrow 47$	147667.235	147745.800	•••				
$49 \rightarrow 48$	150742.438						

TABLE V. Spectroscopic constants of the rotational satellites of SiC<sub>4</sub> (in MHz). Uncertainties (in parentheses) are in the last significant digit.

Vibrational state	В	$D \times 10^{6}$	$q^{\mathrm{a}}$	$q^{j} \times 10^{6}$
$1v_{6}^{\pm 1}$	1538.891 33(3)	61.20(7)	0.822 26(6)	-0.79(1)
$2v_{6}^{\pm 0}$	1543.678 63(12)	69.8(16)	•••	
$2v_{6}^{\pm 2}$	1541.087 96(13)	83.3(25)	0.002 235(7)	-28.7(2)

<sup>a</sup>Assumed positive.

IX. Compared to SiC<sub>4</sub>, the silicon-carbon equilibrium separation is longer by 0.0047 Å (CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ). For H<sub>2</sub>CSi, analogous coupled-cluster calculations<sup>23</sup> yield  $R_e(\text{SiC}) = 1.7171 \text{ Å}$ , 0.0133 Å longer than in SiC<sub>6</sub>. The silicon-carbon bonds in SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> may thus be classified as strong double bonds, an inference supported by the quadratic SiC stretching force constants, which are predicted to be (in aJ  $Å^{-2}$ ) 4.932(H<sub>2</sub>CSi), 5.283(SiC<sub>6</sub>), and  $5.450(SiC_4)$ .

Among the carbon–carbon bond lengths, the CCSD(T)/ cc-pVQZ value for  $R_{4e}$  of SiC<sub>6</sub> (1.2693 Å) is remarkably short; it may be compared with the shortest equilibrium bond length in C<sub>7</sub> of 1.2760 Å, as obtained from analogous calculations.<sup>24</sup> The same sort of calculations for acetylene and ethylene yields 1.2065 Å<sup>25</sup> and 1.3342 Å,<sup>26</sup> respectively. The shortest carbon-carbon bonds of SiC<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>7</sub> thus have equilibrium bond lengths about midway between those of acetylene and ethylene.

The full cubic force field of SiC<sub>6</sub> has been calculated by CCSD(T) with the cc-pVTZ basis set. In addition, the 11 diagonal quadratic force constants (6 for internal stretching and 5 for bending vibrational coordinates) were obtained from CCSD(T) calculations with the large cc-pVQZ basis (389 cGTOs). Both sets of force constants were combined to produce the spectroscopic constants of Table X. Onedimensional bending potentials of SiC<sub>6</sub>,  $V(\alpha) - V(\epsilon)$ , were calculated by CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ over a wider range of angles and the results are graphically displayed in Fig. 3. All five curves are fairly steep and there is little indication that  $SiC_6$  is a particularly floppy molecule. This view is also supported by the ratio  $D_0(\text{expt.})/D_e(\text{theor.})$ , which provides a reasonable measure for the degree of floppiness of a linear molecule. With the data of Tables X and III we obtain 1.17 for that ratio, even smaller than the corresponding value for SiC<sub>4</sub> of 1.25.

The energies of the lowest bending vibrations are predicted to be 56, 141, and 234 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These vibrational states may thus be accessible to future experimental studies. According to the recent calculations of Zdetsis et al.,<sup>11</sup> the IR intensities of all bending vibrations of SiC<sub>6</sub> are small and so these bands will be difficult to observe by means of IR spectroscopy. The stretching vibrations  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  appear to be more promising candidates for future experimental investigation. Current work at Göttingen is devoted to accurate predictions of band positions and absolute IR intensities of stretching vibrations of SiC<sub>6</sub> and will be published separately.

The difference between the equilibrium and ground-state rotational constants as calculated according to Eq. (2) are

TABLE VI. Ab initio equilibrium bond lengths, total energies, and equilibrium rotational constants for linear  $SiC_{4}$ .<sup>a</sup>

Method	Basis	$R_{1e}$ (Å)	$R_{2e}$ (Å)	$R_{3e}$ (Å)	$R_{4e}$ (Å)	$V_e(E_h)$	$B_e$ (MHz)
SCF	cc-pVTZ	1.6760	1.2531	1.2954	1.2518	-440.219 420	1565.6
SCF	cc-pVQZ	1.6725	1.2521	1.2952	1.2507	-440.231 673	1568.9
SCF	cc-pV5Z	1.6706	1.2520	1.2951	1.2506	-440.234852	1570.3
MP2 <sup>b</sup>	6-311G(d)	1.704	1.285	1.303	1.294		1508
MP2 <sup>c</sup>	6-31G*	1.706	1.288	1.303	1.297		1504
MP2	cc-pVTZ	1.7078	1.2817	1.2998	1.2895	-440.889856	1511.3
MP2	cc-pVQZ	1.7023	1.2789	1.2981	1.2861	-440.942771	1518.5
MP2	cc-pV5Z	1.6995	1.2784	1.2979	1.2851	-440.962038	1520.9
MP3 <sup>b,d</sup>	6-31G(d)	1.689	1.275	1.303	1.278		1529
CCSD	cc-pVTZ	1.6929	1.2697	1.3016	1.2749	-440.890026	1532.1
CCSD	cc-pVQZ	1.6870	1.2667	1.2998	1.2712	-440.934513	1540.0
CCSD	cc-pV5Z	1.6843	1.2661	1.2993	1.2703	$-440.948\ 151$	1542.6
CCSD-T	cc-pVTZ	1.7053	1.2794	1.3039	1.2877	-440.943446	1512.5
CCSD-T	cc-pVQZ	1.6990	1.2765	1.3019	1.2836	$-440.990\ 893$	1520.7
CCSD-T	cc-pV5Z	1.6962	1.2760	1.3016	1.2830	$-441.005\ 541$	1523.1
CCSD(T)	cc-pVTZ	1.7055	1.2797	1.3041	1.2881	-440.944249	1511.9
CCSD(T)	cc-pVQZ	1.6991	1.2768	1.3021	1.2843	-440.991 643	1520.1
CCSD(T)	cc-pV5Z	1.6964	1.2763	1.3017	1.2834	-441.006269	1522.6

<sup>a</sup>In this table and throughout the remainder of this paper, the bond lengths are numbered according to the chemical formular from left to right, starting with the silicon–carbon bond. Valence electrons are correlated in post-Hartree–Fock calculations.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 10.

<sup>c</sup>Reference 7.

<sup>d</sup>Reference 9.

i	j	k	l	PEF term (a.u.)	i	j	k	l	т	п	0	PEF term (a.u.)
А	. Stretc	hing p	art			B. Ber	nding a	nd stre	tch-ber	nd part		
2	0	0	0	0.175 03	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0.011 27
3	0	0	0	-0.15222	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0.058 24
0	2	0	0	0.359 65	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.014 18
0	3	0	0	-0.37645	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0.002 15
0	0	2	0	0.321 56	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	-0.00046
0	0	3	0	$-0.329\ 80$	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.000 84
0	0	0	2	0.342 92	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	$-0.008\ 13$
0	0	0	3	$-0.368\ 38$	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	-0.009~66
1	1	0	0	0.015 78	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.003 54
1	0	1	0	$-0.013\ 48$	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0.013 41
1	0	0	1	$-0.000\ 37$	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	-0.00090
0	1	1	0	0.050 90	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.000 21
0	1	0	1	-0.02422	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	$-0.015\ 84$
0	0	1	1	0.025 73	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	$-0.039\ 40$
2	1	0	0	$-0.005\ 86$	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	-0.00042
1	2	0	0	-0.01427	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0.002 15
2	0	1	0	0.001 87	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	$-0.000\ 14$
1	0	2	0	$-0.000\ 88$	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0.005 14
2	0	0	1	-0.00374	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	-0.00445
1	0	0	2	-0.00269	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	-0.03752
0	2	1	0	-0.02390	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	-0.023~63
0	1	2	0	$-0.028\ 44$	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0.002 43
0	2	0	1	-0.00266	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	-0.00049
0	1	0	2	0.003 65	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	$-0.001\ 11$
0	0	2	1	$-0.027\ 11$	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0.005 77
0	0	1	2	-0.00783	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	-0.00723
1	1	1	0	0.007 73	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	-0.01647
1	1	0	1	0.006 08	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0.000 69
1	0	1	1	0.002 00	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0.000 21
0	1	1	1	0.018 17	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0.015 50

TABLE VII. CCSD(T) cubic force field for SiC<sub>4</sub>.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Basis: cc-pVQZ (279 cGTOs).

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP 128 83 205 53 On: Fri. 26 Sep 2014 18:42:04

TABLE VIII. Harmonic vibrational wave numbers (in cm<sup>-1</sup>), vibration–rotation coupling constants (in MHz), *l*-type doubling constants (in MHz and Hz) and equilibrium quartic centrifugal constants (in Hz) for SiC<sub>4</sub> (CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ).

	$SiC_4$	<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>4</sub>	$^{30}SiC_4$	Si <sup>13</sup> CCCC	SiC <sup>13</sup> CCC	SiCC <sup>13</sup> CC	SiCCC <sup>13</sup> C
$\omega_1$	2144	2143	2143	2134	2109	2114	2138
$\omega_2$	1852	1852	1852	1826	1841	1828	1838
$\omega_3$	1168	1166	1164	1150	1156	1167	1153
$\omega_4$	571	566	561	571	570	568	565
$\omega_5$	547	547	547	544	534	543	547
$\omega_6$	206	206	206	204	206	202	205
$\omega_7$	88	88	88	87	88	88	87
$\alpha_1$	6.685	6.566	6.455	6.548	6.384	6.718	6.563
$\alpha_2$	5.094	5.009	4.930	5.031	5.249	4.763	4.926
$\alpha_3$	3.790	3.726	3.665	3.762	3.702	3.760	3.626
$lpha_4$	1.614	1.587	1.560	1.608	1.616	1.584	1.520
$\alpha_5$	-2.059	-2.023	-1.990	-2.035	-2.013	-2.033	-1.998
$\alpha_6$	-4.953	-4.867	-4.787	-4.841	-4.946	-4.774	-4.853
$\alpha_7$	-5.562	-5.479	-5.400	-5.463	-5.490	-5.459	-5.353
$\Delta B_0^{a}$	-3.982	-3.925	-3.872	-3.863	-3.975	-3.854	-3.885
$q_5^e$	0.367	0.355	0.345	0.368	0.374	0.361	0.345
$q_6^{\epsilon}$	0.786	0.762	0.740	0.794	0.784	0.782	0.743
$q_7^{\epsilon}$	1.787	1.737	1.692	1.807	1.796	1.747	1.697
$q_5^J$	-0.048	-0.045	-0.041	-0.049	-0.051	-0.046	-0.041
$q_6^J$	-0.657	-0.627	-0.599	-0.659	-0.656	-0.643	-0.611
$q_7^{\tilde{J}}$	-3.802	-3.653	-3.515	-3.830	-3.804	-3.655	-3.511
$D_e$	46.7	45.4	44.2	46.5	46.6	45.7	43.5

 $^{a}\Delta B_{0} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}d_{i}$ .

listed in Table XI. Adding these values to the experimental  $B_0$  values from Table III produces the  $B_e$  values given in the last column of Table XI.

Equilibrium dipole moments ( $\mu_e$ ) listed in Table XII for SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> were calculated for the recommended equilibrium structures presented here (see Sec. V.B). Two flexible basis sets were employed which yield results differing by up to 0.021 D for SiC<sub>4</sub> and by up to 0.058 D for SiC<sub>6</sub>. The CCSD-T and CCSD(T) calculations yield almost identical results. The CCSD(T) values obtained with the larger basis B (314 and 438 cGTOs for SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub>, respectively) are expected to be accurate to about 0.01 D. According to our recent work on SiC<sub>8</sub>,<sup>27</sup> the effect of core-valence correlation on  $\mu_e$  should be small. The CCSD(T) calculations with the aug-cc-pVTZ basis<sup>15,28</sup> yield an increase in  $\mu_e$  by 1.8 D per additional C<sub>2</sub> unit. As for SiC<sub>8</sub>, electron correlation effects play a significant role in the prediction of accurate  $\mu_e$  values and they are substantially underestimated by CCSD. MP2 does quite a good job, apparently for the wrong reasons; this method does not consider connected triple substitutions, which seem to be very important.

# V. STRUCTURES OF SiC<sub>4</sub> AND SiC<sub>6</sub>

# A. Experimental $(r_0)$ structures

The goal of the present investigation is the determination of equilibrium structures  $(r_e)$ , isotopically invariant geometries free of the effects of zero-point vibration. Measured ground-state rotational constants are not sufficient to determine  $r_e$ , since small but significant contributions from zeropoint vibrations require that the vibration–rotation coupling constants be known as well. As a result, it is rarely feasible to determine the equilibrium structure of a polyatomic molecule solely from measurements of its isotopic species' rotational spectra; instead, various semiempirical methods must be used to determine "near-equilibrium" structures.<sup>29,30</sup>

TABLE IX. Ab initio equilibrium bond lengths, total energies, and equilibrium rotational constants for SiC<sub>6</sub>.

Method	Basis	$R_{1e}$ (Å)	$R_{2e}$ (Å)	$R_{3e}$ (Å)	$R_{4e}$ (Å)	$R_{5e}$ (Å)	$R_{6e}$ (Å)	$V_e(E_h)$	$B_e$ (MHz)
SCF	cc-pVTZ	1.6809	1.2525	1.2895	1.2381	1.2973	1.2513	-515.903 014	623.8
SCF	cc-pVQZ	1.6775	1.2515	1.2892	1.2370	1.2975	1.2500	$-515.919\ 600$	624.9
MP2	cc-pVTZ	1.7133	1.2863	1.2886	1.2741	1.2980	1.2929	-516.882155	603.4
MP2	cc-pVQZ	1.7077	1.2837	1.2865	1.2715	1.2963	1.2894	$-516.957\ 009$	606.0
CCSD	cc-pVTZ	1.6978	1.2708	1.2941	1.2580	1.3021	1.2756	-516.865065	610.9
CCSD	cc-pVQZ	1.6908	1.2681	1.2900	1.2557	1.2983	1.2722	-516.928 131	614.4
CCSD-T	cc-pVTZ	1.7102	1.2817	1.2951	1.2691	1.3029	1.2896	-516.944 295	603.9
CCSD-T	cc-pVQZ	1.7036	1.2788	1.2927	1.2662	1.3009	1.2857	-517.011 575	606.9
CCSD(T)	cc-pVTZ	1.7104	1.2818	1.2953	1.2693	1.3031	1.2900	-516.945 319	603.7
CCSD(T)	cc-pVQZ	1.7038	1.2790	1.2928	1.2665	1.3011	1.2860	$-517.012\ 529$	606.7

This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP

TABLE X. Harmonic vibrational energies (in cm<sup>-1</sup>), vibration–rotation coupling constants (in MHz), *l*-type doubling constants (in MHz and Hz), and equilibrium quartic centrifugal constant (in Hz) for SiC<sub>6</sub>.<sup>a</sup>

ω1	2183	$\alpha_1$	1.969	$q_7^e$	0.059
$\omega_2$	2102	$\alpha_2$	1.742	$q_8^e$	0.062
$\omega_3$	1837	$\alpha_3$	1.311	$q_9^e$	0.112
$\omega_4$	1355	$lpha_4$	1.097	$q_{10}^e$	0.182
$\omega_5$	879	$\alpha_5$	0.751	$q_{11}^e$	0.448
$\omega_6$	455	$\alpha_6$	0.286	$q_7^J$	-0.003
$\omega_7$	523	$\alpha_7$	-0.656	$q_8^J$	-0.003
$\omega_8$	497	$\alpha_8$	-0.650	$q_9^J$	-0.020
$\omega_9$	234	$\alpha_9$	-1.218	$q_{10}^{J}$	-0.054
$\omega_{10}$	141	$\alpha_{10}$	-1.223	$q_{11}^J$	-0.344
$\omega_{11}$	56	$\alpha_{11}$	-1.267	$D_{e}$	4.72

<sup>a</sup>Spectroscopic constants are calculated using the equilibrium structure and diagonal quadratic force constants from CCSD(T) calculations with the cc-pVQZ basis set (389 cGTOs) and the remaining quadratic force constants and all cubic force constants from CCSD(T) calculations with the cc-pVTZ basis (214 cGTOs).

Experimental ( $r_0$ ) structures for SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> were derived by a least-squares adjustment of the bond lengths in Fig. 1 to reproduce the measured rotational constants of all the singly substituted isotopic species (Table III), assuming that both molecules are linear chains—exactly the approach recently used to derive bond lengths of the long cyanopolyyne chains HC<sub>7</sub>N, HC<sub>9</sub>N, and HC<sub>11</sub>N.<sup>31</sup> Because even the middle carbon atoms are displaced by more than 0.5 Å from





TABLE XI.  $\Delta B_o$  and  $B_e$  values for isotopomers of SiC<sub>6</sub>.

Isotopomers	$\Delta B_o$ (MHz)	$B_e$ (MHz)
<sup>28</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	-1.435	609.816
<sup>29</sup> SiC <sub>6</sub>	-1.415	600.882
$^{30}\text{SiC}_6$	-1.396	592.394
Si <sup>13</sup> CCCCCC	-1.406	607.379
SiC <sup>13</sup> CCCCC	-1.434	609.591
SiCC <sup>13</sup> CCCC	-1.417	609.424
SiCCC <sup>13</sup> CCC	-1.425	606.911
SiCCCC <sup>13</sup> CC	-1.397	601.977
SiCCCCC <sup>13</sup> C	-1.406	594.861

the center of mass in both molecules, data for all the isotopic species were used in the fits. Except for those bonds nearest the center of mass, all individual bond lengths have been determined to an accuracy of better than 0.008 Å. The  $r_0$  bond lengths (Table XIII) reproduce the rotational constants of the isotopic species to an accuracy of 0.02% or better, nearly ten times larger than the measurement uncertainty, but about the level where inconsistencies or anomalies attributed to zero-point motions become important.

#### B. Mixed experimental/theoretical structures

Making use of the experimental ground-state rotational constants from Table III and the theoretical  $\Delta B_{o}$  values from Table VIII, equilibrium rotational constants  $(B_e)$  are calculated for seven different isotopomers of SiC<sub>4</sub>. These are converted into equilibrium moments of inertia from which equilibrium bond lengths are calculated by a least-squares fit. The results are given in column III of Table XIII; they represent our best equilibrium structure for SiC<sub>4</sub>, with estimated uncertainties in the individual bond lengths of less than 0.001 Å. When  $\Delta B_{\rho}$  values are taken from less extensive CCSD(T) calculations with the smaller cc-pVTZ basis set, we arrive at the results of column I. The values under column II correspond to an intermediate situation; for the calculation of  $\Delta B_o$  values, equilibrium structure and diagonal quadratic force constants are taken from the CCSD(T) calculations with the large basis set while the remainder is taken from the CCSD(T) calculations with the cc-pVTZ basis. The differences between equilibrium structures II and III of SiC<sub>4</sub>

TABLE XII. Calculated equilibrium dipole moments (in D) for  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$ .<sup>a</sup>

	Si	C <sub>4</sub>	SiC <sub>6</sub>		
Method	Basis A <sup>b</sup>	Basis B <sup>c</sup>	Basis A <sup>b</sup>	Basis B <sup>c</sup>	
SCF MP2 CCSD CCSD-T CCSD(T)	-7.035 -6.713 -7.004 -6.408 -6.401	-7.042 -6.734 -7.023 -6.427 -6.421	-9.439 -8.475 -9.312 -8.196 -8.195	-9.500 -8.533 -9.381 -8.248 -8.249	

<sup>a</sup>Evaluated at the recommended equilibrium structures from this work (see Section V B). The positive end of the dipole is located at the silicon site. <sup>b</sup>aug-cc-pVTZ basis.



This article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP:

	SiC <sub>4</sub>				SiC <sub>6</sub>		
		r <sub>e</sub> <sup>b</sup>				r <sub>e</sub> <sup>b</sup>	
Bond lengths	$r_o^a$	Ic	$\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{d}}$	III <sup>e</sup>	$r_o^a$	Ic	$\mathrm{II}^{\mathrm{d}}$
$r(SiC_{(1)})$	1.682(8)	1.6913	1.6926	1.6928	1.689(3)	1.6980	1.6987
$r(C_{(1)}C_{(2)})$	1.280(13)	1.2735	1.2729	1.2726	1.282(8)	1.2748	1.2757
$r(C_{(2)}C_{(3)})$	1.299(10)	1.2984	1.2986	1.2986	1.291(10)	1.2910	1.2895
$r(C_{(3)}C_{(4)})$	1.274(4)	1.2797	1.2806	1.2809	1.256(7)	1.2626	1.2637
$r(C_{(4)}C_{(5)})$					1.300(3)	1.2971	1.2974
$r(C_{(5)}C_{(6)})$					1.277(2)	1.2830	1.2835

<sup>a</sup>Experimental ( $r_o$ ) structure derived from the experimental rotational constants for the isotopic species in Table III. Statistical uncertainties in the last significant digit are given in parentheses.

<sup>b</sup>Mixed experimental/theoretical equilibrium structures.

<sup>c</sup>Using results of CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ calculations in the calculation of  $\Delta B_o$  values.

 $^{d}$ Using equilibrium structure and diagonal quadratic force constants from CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ calculations and the remainder from CCSD(T)/cc-pVTZ calculations.

<sup>e</sup>Using results of CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ calculations (see Table VIII) in the calculation of  $\Delta B_0$  values.

are very small. Structure I is still quite reliable; all of its equilibrium bond lengths differ from those of structure III by less than 0.0015 Å.

Only approaches I and II (Table XIII) were feasible for  $SiC_6$ ; the full cubic force field calculation for  $SiC_6$  at the CCSD(T)/cc-pVQZ level (approach III) would be prohibitive at present. We are fairly confident that four of the carbon–carbon equilibrium bond lengths of structure II are accurate to better than 0.001 Å. The errors in the SiC and C(5)C(6) equilibrium bonds may be slightly larger, but are unlikely to exceed 0.0015 Å. Figure 1 compares the recommended equilibrium structures for  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$ ; it also includes the recommended equilibrium structure for  $SiC_8^{27}$  that was established at Göttingen on the basis of preliminary data for  $SiC_4$  and  $SiC_6$ .

# **VI. DISCUSSION**

The chemical bonding of SiC<sub>4</sub> is similar to that found for the isovalent  $Si_2C_3^{32}$  and  $C_5^{33}$  carbon clusters. In the former case, the recommended silicon-carbon equilibrium bond length (see last line of Table 1 of Ref. 32) is 1.6859 Å, 0.0069 Å smaller than the best present value for  $SiC_4$ . The recommended carbon-carbon equilibrium bond lengths in Si<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>5</sub> vary between 1.2820 and 1.2893 Å, well within the range of the present C-C equilibrium bond lengths for SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub>. Small carbon clusters generally adopt linear geometries because carbon readily participates in cumulenic double bonding, but, owing to the larger atomic radius of the silicon atom, silicon clusters usually prefer single bonding over double bonding because the longer bonds reduce the sideways overlap in the perpendicular networks of  $\pi$  orbitals. Experimental evidence for SiC double bonds in SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub>, however, suggests that the tendency towards double bonding dominates in monosilicon carbides.

Because lines assigned to the  $v_6$  bending state were observed in our supersonic molecular beam, it is surprising that rotational transitions from the  $v_7$  state, lying only 88 cm<sup>-1</sup> above ground, were not also found. On the basis of  $v_6^{\pm 1}$  line intensities relative to those of the ground state, we estimate an effective vibrational temperature of 40–60 K, a plausible value because the electron temperature in the nozzle discharge may be 10 000 K or higher and because vibrational relaxation is typically slow. Nevertheless, the absence of the  $v_7$  rotational satellites, weaker than the ground-state lines by a factor of 250 and weaker than  $v_6$  satellites by a factor of 5, suggests that  $v_6$  may be preferentially populated in our molecular beam. A possible explanation is that  $v_6$  has a much smaller cross section than  $v_7$  for collisional deactivation. Additional measurements at centimeter and millimeter wavelengths need to be carried out to better characterize vibrational relaxation in our molecular beam and to better constrain the vibrational temperature.

Detection of rotational satellites from at least one lowlying bending state of SiC<sub>4</sub> suggests that FTM spectroscopy may be a useful technique to detect rotational lines from bending modes of other linear molecules; it would be surprising if rotational satellites from other abundant chains (e.g., C<sub>5</sub>H,H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>,HC<sub>7</sub>N, etc.) could not be found. Wellstudied molecules such as HC<sub>3</sub>N,<sup>34</sup> C<sub>4</sub>H,<sup>35</sup> and c-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>36</sup> might serve as a starting point for these experiments. If rotational satellites from other carbon chains can be detected in our supersonic molecular beam, precise determinations of vibration–rotation coupling constants and *l*-type doubling constants might be useful for subsequent studies at infrared and far-infrared wavelengths.

Structural studies of other linear silicon carbides should now be feasible. Several silicon–carbon chains with an odd number of carbon atoms (e.g., SiC<sub>3</sub>, SiC<sub>5</sub>, and SiC<sub>7</sub>) have recently been identified<sup>8</sup> using the same technique employed here. Rotational lines of at least one such chain (SiC<sub>5</sub>) are strong enough to motivate a search for its singly substituted isotopic species. Determination of the structures of odd-*n* SiC<sub>n</sub> chains may allow comparison of chemical bonding in triplet and singlet members of this homologous series. The rare isotopic species of SiC<sub>8</sub> may also be detectable with our FTM spectrometer. Under optimized conditions the strongest lines of normal SiC<sub>8</sub> are only four times less intense than those of SiC<sub>6</sub>; if the line intensities of the <sup>13</sup>C isotopic species of SiC<sub>6</sub> can be improved by an additional factor of 3 or more by experimentation with different precursor gases and Radio astronomical searches for the naturally occurring isotopes of SiC<sub>4</sub> and SiC<sub>6</sub> can now be undertaken in the circumstellar shell of the evolved carbon star IRC+10216, where SiC<sub>4</sub><sup>9</sup> and other silicon–carbon chains and rings<sup>37</sup> have been found. With the spectroscopic constants listed in Table III, rest frequencies can be calculated to a small fraction of the linewidth in this source throughout the microwave band.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. M. L. Rittby for communicating results of his quantum-chemical calculations prior to publication, and C. A. Gottlieb and W. R. M. Graham for helpful discussions. P. B. thanks Professor H.-J. Werner (University of Stuttgart) and Professor P. J. Knowles (University of Birmingham) for a copy of MOLPRO98 and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

- <sup>1</sup>W. Weltner, Jr., and R. J. Van Zee, Chem. Rev. 89, 1713 (1989).
- <sup>2</sup>A. Van Orden and R. J. Saykally, Chem. Rev. **98**, 2313 (1999).
- <sup>3</sup>J. Cernicharo, M. Guélin, C. Kahane, M. Bogey, C. Demuynck, and J. L. Destombes, Astron. Astrophys. 246, 213 (1991).
- <sup>4</sup>A. J. Apponi, M. C. McCarthy, C. A. Gottlieb, and P. Thaddeus, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 3911 (1999).
- <sup>5</sup>M. C. McCarthy, A. J. Apponi, and P. Thaddeus, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 7175 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup>I. L. Alberts, R. S. Grev, and H. F. Schaefer, J. Chem. Phys. **93**, 5046 (1990).
- <sup>7</sup>M. Gomei, R. Kishi, A. Nakajima, S. Iwata, and K. Kaya, J. Chem. Phys. **107**, 10051 (1997).
- <sup>8</sup>M. C. McCarthy, A. J. Apponi, C. A. Gottlieb, and P. Thaddeus, Astrophys. J. (in press).
- <sup>9</sup>M. Ohishi, N. Kaifu, K. Kawaguchi, A. Murakami, S. Saito, S. Yamamoto, S. Ishikawa, Y. Fujita, Y. Shiratori, and W. M. Irvine, Astrophys. J. 345, L83 (1989).
- <sup>10</sup>N. Moazzen-Ahmadi and F. Zerbetto, Chem. Phys. Lett. 164, 517 (1989).
- <sup>11</sup>A. Zdetsis, B. Engels, M. Hanrath, and S. D. Peyerimhoff, Chem. Phys. Lett. **302**, 288 (1999).

- <sup>12</sup>X. D. Ding, S. L. Wang, C. M. L. Rittby, and W. R. M. Graham, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 11214 (1999).
- M. C. McCarthy, A. J. Apponi, V. D. Gordon, C. A. Gottlieb, P. Thaddeus, T. D. Crawford, and J. F. Stanton, J. Chem. Phys. **111**, 6750 (1999).
  <sup>14</sup> T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 1007 (1989).
- <sup>15</sup>D. E. Woon and T. H. Dunning, Jr., J. Chem. Phys. 98, 1358 (1993).
- <sup>16</sup>K. Raghavachari, G. W. Trucks, J. A. Pople, and M. Head-Gordon, Chem. Phys. Lett. **157**, 479 (1989).
- <sup>17</sup>M. J. O. Deegan and P. J. Knowles, Chem. Phys. Lett. 227, 321 (1994).
- <sup>18</sup>G. D. Purvis and R. J. Bartlett, J. Chem. Phys. 76, 1910 (1982).
- <sup>19</sup>C. Hampel, K. Peterson, and H.-J. Werner, Chem. Phys. Lett. **190**, 1 (1992).
- <sup>20</sup> MOLPRO98 is a package of *ab initio* programs written by H.-J. Werner and P. J. Knowles, with contributions from J. Almløf, R. D. Amos, A. Berning *et al.*
- <sup>21</sup>A. Van Orden, R. A. Provencal, T. F. Giesen, and R. J. Saykally, Chem. Phys. Lett. **237**, 77 (1995).
- <sup>22</sup> N. Moazzen-Ahmadi, A. R. W. McKellar, and T. Amano, J. Chem. Phys. 91, 2140 (1989).
- <sup>23</sup>P. Botschwina, unpublished results.
- <sup>24</sup>P. Botschwina, Theor. Chem. Acc. 104, 160 (2000).
- <sup>25</sup>J. M. L. Martin, T. J. Lee, and P. R. Taylor, J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 676 (1998).
- <sup>26</sup>J. M. L. Martin and P. R. Taylor, Chem. Phys. Lett. 248, 336 (1996).
- <sup>27</sup>P. Botschwina, B. Schulz, R. Oswald, and H. Stoll, Z. Phys. Chem. (Munich) 214, 797 (2000).
- <sup>28</sup> R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning, Jr., and R. J. Harrison, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 6796 (1992).
- <sup>29</sup>M. D. Harmony, Acc. Chem. Res. **25**, 321 (1992).
- <sup>30</sup> J. K. G. Watson, A. Roytburg, and W. Ulrich, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **196**, 102 (1999).
- <sup>31</sup> M. C. McCarthy, E. S. Levine, A. J. Apponi, and P. Thaddeus, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 203, 75 (2000).
- <sup>32</sup>P. Botschwina, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **198**, 192 (1999).
- <sup>33</sup>P. Botschwina, J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 853 (1994).
- <sup>34</sup>K. M. T. Yamada and R. A. Creswell, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **116**, 384 (1986).
- <sup>35</sup>S. Yamamoto, S. Saito, M. Guélin, J. Cernicharo, H. Suzuki, and M. Ohishi, Astrophys. J. **323**, L149 (1987).
- <sup>36</sup>R. Mollaaghababa, C. A. Gottlieb, J.-M. Vrtilek, and P. Thaddeus, J. Chem. Phys. **99**, 890 (1993).
- <sup>37</sup>A. J. Apponi, M. C. McCarthy, C. A. Gottlieb, and P. Thaddeus, Astrophys. J. **516**, L103 (1999).