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#### Abstract

It is shown that a subnormal operator cannot belong to a strictly cyclic and separated operator algebra unless it is normal and has finite spectrum. Further, a subnormal operator not of this type cannot have a strictly cyclic commutant.


1. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space, and let $\mathscr{A}$ be a subset of the algebra $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{C})$ of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{H}$. A vector $x \in \mathscr{H}$ with the property that $\mathscr{A} x=\{A x: A \in \mathscr{A}\}$ is the full Hilbert space is said to be a strictly cyclic vector for $\mathscr{A}$, and $\mathscr{A}$ is said to be strictly cyclic if such a vector exists. A vector $x$ is called a separating vector for $\mathscr{A}$ if no two distinct operators in $\mathscr{A}$ agree at $x$. The set $\mathscr{A}$ is said to be strictly cyclic and separated if there is a vector $x$ which is both strictly cyclic and separating for $\mathscr{A}$.

Strictly cyclic operator algebras have recently been investigated by Mary Embry [2] and Alan Lambert [3]. Let $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ denote the commutant of the set $\mathscr{A}$, that is, $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ is the set of all bounded linear operators which commute with every operator in $\mathscr{A}$. Note that if $x$ is a cyclic vector for $\mathscr{A}$ (meaning $\mathscr{A} x$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}$ ), then $x$ is separating for $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a strictly cyclic subset of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$. If $\mathscr{A}$ is abelian, then it is maximal abelian, $\mathscr{A}=\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$. Thus, a strictly cyclic abelian subset is automatically a weakly closed algebra.

This lemma, which indicates the severity of the condition of strict cyclicity, is a sharper form of a result of Lambert [3].

Proof. Let $x$ be strictly cyclic for $\mathscr{A}$, and let $B \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$. Then there exists $A \in \mathscr{A}$ such that $A x=B x$. But $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ by hypothesis, so $A \in \mathscr{A}^{\prime}$. Since $x$ is separating for $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$, we have $B=A \in \mathscr{A}$, and the proof is complete.

If $\mathscr{A}$ is strictly cyclic and abelian, then it is strictly cyclic and separated by Lemma 1. Mary Embry [2] showed that the converse holds if $\mathscr{A}$ is the commutant of a single operator. Thus, if $A$ is normal and $\{A\}^{\prime}$ is strictly cyclic and separated, then $\{A\}^{\prime}$ consists of normal operators by Fuglede's theorem. In a private communication to the authors, Mary Embry asked if "normal" could be replaced by "subnormal" in this statement. An operator is called subnormal if
it is the restriction of a normal operator to an invariant subspace. To this end, we show that if $A$ is subnormal then strict cyclicity of $\{A\}^{\prime}$ already forces $A$ to be normal, and, moreover, its spectrum is a finite set. Thus, the commutant of a subnormal operator cannot be strictly cyclic and separated unless the underlying Hilbert space is finite-dimensional (since the commutant is then abelian and hence the operator, which is normal, must have simple spectrum). More generally, it is shown that a uniformly closed subalgebra $\mathscr{A}$ of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{O})$ which has a separating vector $x$ with the property that $\mathscr{A} x$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{H}$ (this is the case if $x$ is also strictly cyclic) contains no subnormal operators except possibly for normal operators with finite spectrum.
2. Let $\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure in the plane with compact support $X$, let $H^{2}(\mu)$ be the closure of the polynomials in $L^{2}(\mu)$, and put $H^{\infty}(\mu)=H^{2}(\mu) \cap L^{\infty}(\mu)$. The next theorem, which is used to derive the main result, may be of independent interest.

## Theorem 1. $H^{\infty}(\mu)=H^{2}(\mu)$ if, and only if, $X$ is finite.

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial. Assume now that $X$ is infinite. Note that the inclusion map of $H^{\infty}(\mu)$ into $H^{2}(\mu)$ is continuous. We will show that the inverse map is not continuous, and hence, by the Open Mapping Theorem, that $H^{\infty}(\mu) \neq H^{2}(\mu)$.

Since $X$ is compact and infinite, its set $X^{\prime}$ of accumulation points is compact and nonempty. Choose $\lambda_{0} \in X^{\prime}$ such that $\left|\lambda_{0}\right|=\max \{|\lambda|$ : $\left.\lambda \in X^{\prime}\right\}$, and let $D_{1}=\left\{\lambda:|\lambda| \leqq\left|\lambda_{0}\right|\right\}$. By the choice of $\lambda, X \backslash D_{1}$ is a countable set. Therefore, we can choose a closed disk $D_{2}$ which contains $D_{1}$ and is tangent to $D_{1}$ at $\lambda_{0}$, in such a way that the boundary of $D_{2}$ intersects $X$ only at $\lambda_{0}$. Now note that we may as well assume that $D_{2}$ is the closed unit dise $\Delta$, and that $\lambda_{0}=1$.

Now $X \backslash \Delta$ is a countable set $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots\right\}$, and if this set infinite, we must have $\lim y_{n}=1$. Let $K=\Delta \cup(X \backslash \Delta)$. Then $K$ is a compact set which does not separate the plane. Define a sequence of functions $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ on $K$ by

$$
f_{n}(z)= \begin{cases}z^{n}: & z \in \Delta \\ 0: & z=y_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq n \\ 1: & z=y_{i}, i>n\end{cases}
$$

Then, for each $n, f_{n}$ is continuous on $K$ and analytic in its interior. By Mergelyan's theorem, each $f_{n}$ is the uniform limit on $K$ of a sequence of polynomials. Hence each $f_{n} \in H^{\infty}(\mu)$.

Let $\chi$ denote the function which has the value 1 at the point 1
and the value zero elsewhere. Clearly, $f_{n} \rightarrow \chi$ pointwise, and hence in the metric of $L^{2}(\mu)$ by dominated convergence. In particular, $\chi \in H^{\infty}(\mu)$. However, the point 1 is an accumulation point of the support of $\mu$, and hence $\left\|f_{n}-\chi\right\|_{\infty}=1$ for every $n$. Thus, $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\chi$ in $H^{2}(\mu)$ but not in $H^{\infty}(\mu)$.

Theorem 2. Let $S$ be a subnormal operator on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, let $\mathscr{A}$ be the uniformly closed algebra generated by $S$. If $\mathscr{A}$ has a separating vector $x$ such that $\mathscr{A} x$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{H}$, then the spectrum of $S$ is a finite set, and hence $S$ is normal.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the uniformly closed algebra generated by $S$ and the identity operator $I$. Since $\mathscr{B} x$ is the sum of $\mathscr{A} x$ and the one-dimensional space spanned by $x$, and since we assume that $\mathscr{A} x$ is closed, we also have that $\mathscr{B} x$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{O}$.

Now $\mathscr{B} x$ is invariant under $S$ and the restriction operator $S_{0}=S \mid \mathscr{B} x$ is subnormal. Since the uniformly closed algebra $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ generated by $S_{0}$ and $I$ contains $\mathscr{B} \mid \mathscr{B} x$, it follows that $x$ is a strictly cyclic vector for $\mathscr{B}_{0}$, that is, $\mathscr{B}_{0} x=\mathscr{B} x$. By the representation theorem for subnormal operators with a cyclic vector, Bram [1], $S_{0}$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator of multiplication by the identity function on some $H^{2}(\mu)$ space. Furthermore, the unitary equivalence can be constructed so that $x$ corresponds to the constant function 1.

Now $\mathscr{B}_{0}$ corresponds via the unitary equivalence to the algebra of multiplication operators $M_{\phi}: f \rightarrow \phi f$ on $H^{2}(\mu)$, where $\phi$ belongs to the $L^{\infty}(\mu)$-closure of the polynomials. Since any such function $\phi$ belongs to $H^{\infty}(\mu)$, it follows that the constant function 1 is a strictly cyclic vector for $\left\{M_{\phi}: \phi \in H^{\infty}(\mu)\right\}$, and hence that $H^{\infty}(\mu)=H^{2}(\mu)$. By Theoorem $1, H^{2}(\mu)$ is finite-dimensional.

It follows that $\mathscr{B} x$ is finite-dimensional, and, since $\mathscr{A} \subset \mathscr{B}$, so is $\mathscr{A} x$. Since $x$ separates $\mathscr{A}$, it follows that $\mathscr{A}$ is finite-dimensional. So there is a polynomial $p$ such that $p(S)=0$. Since $p(\sigma(S))=\sigma(p(S))$ $=\{0\}, \sigma(S)$ in finite and hence $S$ is normal.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a uniformly closed subalgebra of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ which has a separating vector $x$ such that $\mathscr{A} x$ is a closed subspace of $\mathscr{H}$. (This is the case if $\mathscr{A}$ is strictly cyclic and separated.) Then $\mathscr{A}$ contains no subnormal operator with infinite spectrum.

Proof. Suppose $S \in \mathscr{A}$ is subnormal, and let $\mathscr{A}(S)$ be the uniformly closed algebra generated by $S$. Since $\mathscr{A}(S) \subset \mathscr{A}, x$ separates $\mathscr{A}(S)$. Since the linear transformation $A \rightarrow A x$ of $\mathscr{A}$ onto $\mathscr{A} x$ is continuous and one-to-one, and since $\mathscr{A} x$ is closed by hypothesis, the transformation has a continuous inverse by the Open Mapping Theorem.

Therefore, $\mathscr{A}(S) x$ is closed, and the result follows from Theorem 2.
Corollary 2. The commutant of a subnormal operator $S$ is strictly cyclic if, and only if, $S$ is normal and has finite spectrum.

Proof. Suppose $\{S\}^{\prime}$ has a strictly cyclic vector $x$. Then $x$ separates $\{S\}^{\prime \prime}$, and it follows from [2, Lemma 2.1 (i)] that $\{S\}^{\prime \prime} x$ is a closed subspace. Thus, by Corollary 1, $S$ has finite spectrum and hence is normal.

Conversely, if $\sigma(S)=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$, then each $\lambda_{j}$ is an eigenvalue and $\mathscr{H}$ is the direct sum of the corresponding eigensubspaces $\mathscr{H}_{j}$. It follows that $\{S\}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$. Hence any vector $x=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}$ where $0 \neq x_{j} \in \mathscr{E}_{j}, j=1, \cdots, n$, is strictly cyclic for $\{S\}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3. Let $S$ be a subnormal operator on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. If $\{S\}^{\prime}$ is strictly cyclic and separated, then $\mathscr{H}$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. By Corollary 2, $S$ is normal, its spectrum is finite, and $\{S\}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}_{n}\right)$ with notation as in the proof of that corollary. If $x$ is strictly cyclic for $\{S\}^{\prime}$, then $x=x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}$ where $0 \neq x_{j} \in \mathscr{H}_{j}$, all $j$. If some $\mathscr{H}_{j}$ has dimension greater than 1 , then there is a nonzero operator $B_{j}$ on $\mathscr{H}_{j}$ which annihilates $x_{j}$, and hence there is a nonzero $B \in\{S\}^{\prime}$ such that $B x=0$. Therefore, if $\{S\}^{\prime}$ is strictly cyclic and separated, each $\mathscr{H}_{j}$ is one-dimensional and hence $\mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathscr{H}_{n}$ is finite-dimensional.

Corollary 4. Let $S$ be a subnormal operator on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. If $\{S\}^{\prime \prime}$ is strictly cyclic, then $\mathscr{H}$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. If $x$ is strictly cyclic for $\{S\}^{\prime \prime} \subset\{S\}^{\prime}$, then it is strictly cyclic and separating for $\{S\}^{\prime}$ and the result follows from Corollary 3.

An operator $A$ is said to be strictly cyclic if the weakly closed algebra generated by $A$ and $I$ has this property. Since this algebra is contained in the second commutant of $A$, it follows that the second commutant of a strictly cyclic operator is strictly cyclic. In view of Corollary 4, we have

Corollary 5. There exist no strictly cyclic subnormal operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.
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