Gilbert Crombez Subspaces of $L_{\infty}(G)$ with unique topological left invariant mean

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 2, 178–182

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101941

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

SUBSPACES OF $L_{\infty}(G)$ WITH UNIQUE TOPOLOGICAL LEFT INVARIANT MEAN

G. CROMBEZ, Gent

(Received October 1, 1981)

1. INTRODUCTION

In what follows we denote by G always a locally compact Hausdorff group with left invariant Haar measure. Let A be an $L_1(G)$ -submodule of $L_{\infty}(G)$ which is left invariant and containing the constant functions. A mean on A is a linear functional m on A such that $m(\overline{g}) = \overline{m(g)}$ for all $g \in A$ (the bar denoting complex conjugation), m(1) = 1, and $m(g) \ge 0$ if $g \ge 0$ locally almost everywhere. A mean m on A is called *left invariant* (LIM) if $m(_ag) = m(g)$ for all a in G and all g in A. A topologically *left invariant* mean (TLIM) on A is a mean m such that $m(\varphi * g) = m(g)$ for all $g \in A$ and all $\varphi \in P(G) = \{\varphi \in L_1(G): \varphi \ge 0, \|\varphi\|_1 = 1\}$.

It is well known (see e.g. [1], [6] and [8]) that on each of the spaces AP(G) and W(G), being respectively the sets of almost periodic and weakly almost periodic functions in $L_{\infty}(G)$ there exists a unique LIM; and it is also the unique TLIM. In section 2 we construct two new subspaces of $L_{\infty}(G)$, one of them containing properly AP(G) and the other W(G), such that on each of these new spaces there exists a unique TLIM. For Abelian G with dual \hat{G} the first space coincides precisely with the space of those functions which are almost periodic at every point of \hat{G} , as introduced by Loomis in [7].

All of these results are shown by use of the so-called τ_c - and τ_w -topologies, which have been introduced in [2] and [3]. For convenience we repeat here their definitions. The space $L_{\infty}(G)$ may be embedded into $B(L_1(G), L_{\infty}(G))$ by the operator Φ such that $\Phi(g)(f) = f * g$ ($f \in L_1(G), g \in L_{\infty}(G)$, * the convolution product). Since $B(L_1(G), L_{\infty}(G))$ carries naturally the strong and the weak operator topology, Φ allows us to consider their induced topologies on $L_{\infty}(G)$, which we denote by τ_c and τ_w respectively. These topologies may also be introduced in another manner; indeed, each $f \in L_1(G)$ induces by convolution an operator C_f on $L_{\infty}(G)$ which is continuous when $L_{\infty}(G)$ carries its norm topology $\| \|_{\infty}$; the weak topology on $L_{\infty}(G)$ under the convolution operators $C_f : L_{\infty}(G) \to (L_{\infty}(G), \| \|_{\infty})$ then coincides with τ_c , while τ_w is the weak topology on $L_{\infty}(G)$ under the same set of operators $C_f : L_{\infty}(G) \to (L_{\infty}(G), w)$, where w denotes the weak topology on $L_{\infty}(G)$. So we immediately obtain $w^* \leq \tau_w \leq \tau_c \leq || ||$, and $w^* \leq \tau_w \leq w \leq || ||_{\infty}$. Moreover, $\tau_c \equiv || ||_{\infty}$ iff G is discrete.

All other nonexplained notations and definitions are taken from [8].

2. SUBSPACES OF $L_{\infty}(G)$ WITH UNIQUE TLIM

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let A be an $L_1(G)$ -submodule of $L_{\infty}(G)$. A LIM m on A is a TLIM iff m is continuous for the induced τ_c -topology.

Proof. Let *m* be a TLIM on *A*. If *g* is a fixed function in *A* and $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ is a net in *A* that τ_c -converges to *g*, then the net $(\varphi * g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ is $\|\|_{\infty}$ -convergent to $\varphi * g$, for each $\varphi \in P(G)$.

Since *m* is always continuous for the $\| \|_{\infty}$ -topology, the result follows from the fact that $m(\varphi * h) = m(h)$ for all $\varphi \in P(G)$ and all $h \in A$.

Conversely, let *m* be a LIM on *A* which is τ_c -continuous. Using the left invariance of *m* we obtain that $m(_af * g) = m(f * g)$ for all $a \in G, f \in L_1(G), g \in A$. In particular, the functional $f \to m(f * g)$ on $L_1(G)$ is linear, bounded, and left invariant, and so there exists a constant (depending on g), say c(g), such that $m(f * g) = c(g) \int_G f(t) dt$ for all $f \in L_1(G)$; this leads to $m(\varphi * g) = c(g)$ for $\varphi \in P(G)$. Let then $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ be an approximate identity in $L_1(G)$ such that each e_{λ} belongs to P(G). For g in A, the net $(e_{\lambda} * g)_{\lambda \in A}$ is τ_c -convergent to g. So, due to the τ_c -continuity of m we obtain c(g) = $= m(e_{\lambda} * g) \to m(g)$, while due to the $\| \|_{\infty}$ -continuity of m we also have c(g) = $= m((\varphi * e_{\lambda}) * g) \to m(\varphi * g)$, for all $\varphi \in P(G)$. Hence m is a TLIM on A.

We now construct Banach subspaces of $L_{\infty}(G)$ on which there exists a unique TLIM.

To this end, call a function g in $L_{\infty}(G)$ right almost periodic with respect to τ_c ($r - \tau_c - a.p.$) iff the set $\{g_a : a \in G\}$ of right translates of g is relatively compact with respect to τ_c . We denote the set of these functions by $R - \tau_c - AP$. Analogously, using the τ_w -topology we may define the set $R - \tau_w - AP$. Since the spaces $(L_{\infty}(G), \tau_c)$ and $(L_{\infty}(G), \tau_w)$ are Hausdorff topological vector spaces, it may be verified that both sets are right invariant linear subspaces of $L_{\infty}(G)$.

Lemma 2.2.

$$g \in R - \tau_c - AP \Leftrightarrow f * g \in AP(G), \quad \forall f \in L_1(G),$$

$$g \in R - \tau_w - AP \Leftrightarrow f * g \in W(G), \quad \forall f \in L_1(G).$$

Proof. We only give the proof of the first equivalence. Since for any $f \in L_1(G)$, each operator $C_f: (L_{\infty}(G), \tau_c) \to (L_{\infty}(G), \|\|_{\infty})$ with $C_f(g) = f * g$ is continuous, one implication is quickly verified using the fact that $(f * g)_a = f * g_a$.

To prove the inverse implication, let $\Phi : L_{\infty}(G) \to B(L_1(G), L_{\infty}(G))$ be the operator defined in the introduction, and put $A = \{(\Phi(g))_a : a \in G\}$, where we define

179

 $(\Phi(g))_a(f) = (f * g)_a = \Phi(g_a)(f)$. Then $A \subset B(L_1(G), L_{\infty}(G))$, and an adaptation of exercise VI.9.2 in [4] shows that A is relatively compact in the strong operator topology. The result then follows from the definition of τ_c .

The proof of the second equivalence is analogous.

From lemma 2.2 we derive that both sets $R - \tau_c - AP$ and $R - \tau_w - AP$ are τ_c -closed. Indeed, if $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ is a net in one of these sets such that $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A} \tau_c$ -converges to g, then the net $(f * g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$, which is in either AP(G) or W(G), is $\| \|_{\infty}$ -convergent to f * g, for each f in $L_1(G)$. Since both sets AP(G) and W(G) are $\| \|_{\infty}$ -closed, the limit function g also belongs to either $R - \tau_c - AP$ or $R - \tau_w - AP$.

Of course $R - \tau_c - AP$ and $R - \tau_w - AP$ are also $\|\|_{\infty}$ -closed (hence they are Banach subspaces) since $\tau_c \leq \|\|_{\infty}$; being convex sets, they are also τ_w -closed.

In order to obtain our next result, we state [2, coroll. 3 and 4] in the form of the following lemma; $cl_r B$ denotes the closure of a set A in the topology τ .

Lemma 2.3. Let S be a τ_c -closed $L_1(G)$ submodule of $L_{\infty}(G)$. Then $S = cl_{\tau_c}(L_1(G) * S)$, and S is left translation invariant.

Since $AP(G) \subset R - \tau_c - AP$, and due to the fact that $L_1(G) * AP(G) = AP(G)$, we have from lemma 2.2 $AP(G) = L_1(G) * AP(G) \subset L_1(G) * R - \tau_c - AP \subset AP(G)$. Hence $L_1(G) * R - \tau_c - AP = AP(G)$, and from lemma 2.2 we derive that $R - \tau_c - AP = cl_{\tau_c}(AP(G))$. Analogously, $L_1(G) * R - \tau_w - AP = W(G)$, and $R - \tau_w - AP = cl_{\tau_c}(W(G))$. Moreover, both sets $R - \tau_c - AP$ and $R - \tau_w - AP$ are left invariant.

Theorem 2.4. There exists a unique TLIM on $R - \tau_c - AP$.

Proof. There exists a unique LIM m on AP(G), and it is also a TLIM; hence m is also continuous for the induced τ_c -topology. Since $R - \tau_c - AP = cl_{\tau_c}(AP(G))$, there exists an extension of m to a linear functional M on $R - \tau_c - AP$ which is τ_c -continuous; this extension is then necessarily unique. It remains to show that this extension M is a left invariant mean on $R - \tau_c - AP$. That $M(1) = 1, M(\overline{g}) = \overline{M(g)}$, and $M(_ag) = M(g)$ for $g \in R - \tau_c - AP$ is readily verified using the definition of the τ_c -topology and the properties of m. If $g \in R - \tau_c - AP$ and $g \ge 0$ locally almost everywhere, choose an approximate identity $(e_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ in $L_1(G)$ consisting of positive functions, and put $g_{\lambda} = e_{\lambda} * g$. Then each g_{λ} belongs to $AP(G), g_{\lambda} \ge 0$, and $(g_{\lambda}) \tau_c$ -converges to g. Hence $M(g) \ge 0$. Due to lemma 2.1, M is a TLIM on $R - \tau_c - AP$.

Completely analogous to theorem 2.4 we may prove

Theorem 2.5. There exists a unique TLIM on $R - \tau_w - AP$.

Corollary 2.6. If G is compact, there exists a unique TLIM on $L_{\infty}(G)$.

Proof. Since for given g in $L_{\infty}(G)$ the function $s \to g_s$ from G to $L_{\infty}(G)$ is con-

tinuous for the τ_c -topology on $L_{\infty}(G)$, any $g \in L_{\infty}(G)$ is $r - \tau_c$ -a.p. when G is compact, i.e. $R - \tau_c - AP = L_{\infty}(G)$. The result then follows from theorem 2.4.

Remark 1. Since the LIM on AP(G) or W(G) is also right invariant, the same is ture for the TLIM on $R - \tau_c - AP$ and $R - \tau_w - AP$.

Let G be an Abelian group with dual \hat{G} . A bounded measurable function g on G is called *almost periodic at the point* $\gamma_0 \in \hat{G}$ iff there exists a function f in $L_1(G)$ such that f * g is $(\| \|_{\infty} -)$ almost periodic and $\hat{f}(\gamma_0) \neq 0$ (see Loomis [7], p. 364).

Theorem 2.7. For Abelian G and $g \in L_{\infty}(G)$ we have $g \in R - \tau_c - AP$ iff g is almost periodic at each point of \hat{G} .

Proof. By lemma 2.2 it is clear that any g in $R - \tau_c - AP$ is almost periodic at each point of \hat{G} .

To prove the converse implication we have to show that, given g in $L_{\infty}(G)$ which is almost periodic at each point of \hat{G} , the function f * g belongs to AP(G) for each fin $L_1(G)$. We use the notation of [7]; in particular, we denote by spg the spectrum of a bounded function g. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and f in $L_1(G)$, there exists a function v in $L_1(G)$ such that \hat{v} has compact support, and $||f - v * f||_1 < \varepsilon$; also $sp(v * f) \subset$ $\subset spv = supp \hat{v}$. This means that there exists a net $(h_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in A}$ in $L_1(G)$ such that $(h_{\lambda}) || \|_1$ converges to f, while each h_{λ} has compact spectrum.

Since $(h_{\lambda} * g)$ is $\| \|_{\infty}$ -convergent to f * g, this function will belong to AP(G) as soon as each $h_{\lambda} * g$ is almost periodic. So it suffices to prove : given f in $L_1(G)$ with compact spectrum, then the function h = f * g is almost periodic. By [7] theorem 1, this will be the case iff h is almost periodic at each point of \hat{G} . Given $\gamma_0 \in \hat{G}$, there exists a function f_0 in $L_1(G)$ such that $f_0 * g$ is almost periodic and $\hat{f}_0(\gamma_0) \neq 0$; then $f_0 * h =$ $= f * (f_0 * g)$, and this is almost periodic since $L_1(G) * AP(G) = AP(G)$.

3. THE EXTENT OF $R - \tau_w - AP$

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a non-compact σ -compact amenable group. Then the quotient space $L_{\infty}(G)|_{R-\tau_w-AP}$ is nonseparable.

Proof. Put $R - \tau_w - AP \equiv A$ for short, and suppose that $L_{\infty}(G)/_A$ is separable. Then there exists a countable dense subset $\{[g_n]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L_{\infty}(G)/_A$, where $[g_n] = g_n + A$, and $g_n \in L_{\infty}(G)$. Let B be the linear span in $L_{\infty}(G)$ of the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$; then A + B is dense in $L_{\infty}(G)$. Let m be a TLIM on $L_{\infty}(G)$, and put $m(g_n) = \alpha_n$. If M is also a TLIM on $L_{\infty}(G)$ such that $M(g_n) = \alpha_n$, then M = m; indeed, M = m on B by assumption, and M = m on A since A has a unique TLIM; the result then follows from the denseness of A + B in $L_{\infty}(G)$. Putting $C = cl_w * P(G) \cap \{\mathcal{M} \in \text{TLIM} : \mathcal{M}(g_n) = \alpha_n\}$, we derive that C is norm separable. According to [5, theorem 5], this is sufficient to conclude that G would be compact.

Corollary. If G is σ -compact and $R - \tau_w - AP = L_{\infty}(G)$, then G is compact.

Remark. The result of this last corollary is also true without the assumption that G is σ -compact. Indeed, if $R - \tau_w - AP = L_{\infty}(G)$, then $W(G) = L_1(G) * R - \tau_w - AP = L_1(G) * L_{\infty}(G) = C_{ru}(G)$, where $C_{ru}(G)$ denotes the set of right uniformly continuous functions on G; hence W(G) contains the set of functions on G which are both left and right uniformly continuous. This is known to be a sufficient condition for the compactness of G.

References

- [1] R. B. Burckel: Weakly almost periodic functions on semigroups, Gordon and Breach, 1970.
- [2] G. Crombez, W. Govaerts: The convolution-induced topology on $L_{\infty}(G)$ and linearly dependent translates in $L_1(G)$, Internat. J. Math. Mathem. Sciences 5 (1982), 11–20.
- [3] G. Crombez, W. Govaerts. Strongly and weakly almost periodic multipliers from $L_1(G)$ to $L_{\infty}(G)$, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 32 Ser. B (1980), 179–188.
- [4] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz: Linear operators, Part I, Wiley-Interscience, 1958.
- [5] E. Granirer: Exposed points of convex sets and weak sequential convergence, Memoirs of the A.M.S., 123, 1972.
- [6] F. P. Greenleaf: Invariant means on topological groups, Van Nostrand-Reinhold, 1969.
- [7] L. H. Loomis: The spectral characterization of a class of almost periodic functions, Annals of Math. 72 (1960), 362-368.
- [8] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross: Abstract harmonic analysis I, Springer, 1963.

Author's address: Seminar of Higher Analysis, State University of Gent, Galglaan 2, B-9000 Gent, Belgium.