

Sum rules for characters from character-preservation property of matrix models

A. Mironov^{a,b,c} and A. Morozov^{b,c}

^a*Lebedev Physics Institute,
Moscow 119991, Russia*

^b*ITEP, Moscow 117218, Russia*

^c*Institute for Information Transmission Problems,
Moscow 127994, Russia*

E-mail: mironov@lpi.ru, morozov@itep.ru

ABSTRACT: One of the main features of eigenvalue matrix models is that the averages of characters are again characters, what can be considered as a far-going generalization of the Fourier transform property of Gaussian exponential. This is true for the standard Hermitian and unitary (trigonometric) matrix models and for their various deformations, classical and quantum ones. Arising explicit formulas for the partition functions are very efficient for practical computer calculations. However, to handle them theoretically, one needs to tame remaining finite sums over representations of a given size, which turns into an interesting conceptual problem. Already the semicircle distribution in the large- N limit implies interesting combinatorial sum rules for characters. We describe also implications to W -representations, including a character decomposition of cut-and-join operators, which unexpectedly involves only single-hook diagrams and also requires non-trivial summation identities.

KEYWORDS: Matrix Models, Chern-Simons Theories, Supersymmetric Gauge Theory

ARXIV EPRINT: [1807.02409](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.02409)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Sum rules from comparison to Harer-Zagier formula	4
3	Harer-Zagier formula and planar limit	6
4	Sum rules from genus expansion	6
5	Gaussian averages of exponentials (Wilson loops)	7
6	Cut-and-join operator	8
7	W-representations in terms of characters	9
8	Unitary-type (trigonometric) models	14
9	Knot matrix models	16
10	Restriction to traceless matrices	18
11	Conclusion	19

1 Introduction

As emphasized quite recently in [1] following the consideration in [2], a key feature of the Gaussian measures is that really nice are the averages of characters. In particular, in the Hermitian matrix model [3–16], the average of a character (Schur function) $\chi_R[M]$, which is a function of eigenvalues of the matrix variable M ,

$$\langle \chi_R[M] \rangle = \chi_R\{N\} \cdot \frac{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \tag{1.1}$$

is again a character, actually, a dimensions $D_R(N) = \chi_R[I_N] = \chi_R\{N\}$ of the representation R of gl_N . For monomial non-Gaussian measures like $e^{\text{tr} M^s} dM$ and appropriate choice of integration contour, the coefficient contains $\chi_R\{\delta_{k,s}\}$ [17]. In the present paper, we concentrate on the case of Gaussian measures with $s = 2$. We use the square and curled brackets in order to denote the character as a (symmetric) function of matrix eigenvalues (the first Weyl formula) and as a function of time variables $\text{tr} M^k$ (the second Weyl formula) accordingly. The matrix eigenvalues are often called Miwa variables within this context.

As an example of (1.1), $\langle \text{Tr } M^2 \rangle = N^2$ and $\langle (\text{Tr } M)^2 \rangle = N$ imply that

$$\left\langle \frac{\text{Tr } M^2 \pm (\text{Tr } M)^2}{2} \right\rangle = \frac{N(N \pm 1)}{2},$$

which are dimensions of the symmetric and antisymmetric representations [2] and [1,1]. The only non-trivial ingredient of the theory is the coefficient, which is actually a ratio of characters at two peculiar points in the space of time-variables, $p_k = \delta_{k,2}$ and $p_k = \delta_{k,1}$. It is this R -dependent coefficient, which makes the matrix model somewhat non-trivial. At the same time, the character-preserving property can be considered as a defining feature of the Gaussian measures, and can serve as a key for the definition of various deformations of the Hermitian model defined by change of the Schur functions to other systems of orthogonal symmetric functions [18, 19].

In more detail, the partition function of the Hermitian matrix model [3–16], i.e. the Gaussian average over $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices M , can be decomposed into a sum over all Young diagrams R :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N\{p\} &= \frac{\mu^{N^2/2}}{\text{Vol}_{U_N}} \int dM \exp \left(-\frac{\mu}{2} \text{Tr } M^2 + \sum_k \frac{p_k}{k} \text{Tr } M^k \right) = \left\langle \exp \left(\sum_k \frac{p_k}{k} \text{Tr } M^k \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\Delta} Z_{\Delta}(N) \cdot p_{\Delta} = \sum_R \chi_R\{p\} \cdot \left\langle \chi_R\{\text{Tr } M^k\} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_R \frac{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \cdot \chi_R\{N\} \cdot \chi_R\{p\}}{\mu^{|R|/2} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

From now on, for the sake of simplicity, we put $\mu = 1$, it can be easily restored by dimensional analysis. In (1.2), the character $\chi_R\{p\}$ is a polynomial of the time variables p_k , labeled by the Young diagram R :

$$\chi_R\{p\} = \sum_{\Delta \vdash |R|} \frac{\psi_{R,\Delta}}{z_{\Delta}} \cdot p_{\Delta} = \sum_{\Delta \vdash |R|} d_R \cdot \varphi_{R,\Delta} \cdot p_{\Delta} \quad (1.3)$$

where, for the Young diagram Δ parameterized in one of the two ways $\Delta = [\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots] = [1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots]$,

$$p_{\Delta} = \prod_i p_{\delta_i}, \quad z_{\Delta} = \prod_k (k!)^{m_k} m_k! \quad (1.4)$$

and $\psi_{R,\Delta}$ is the symmetric group character, and $\varphi_{R,\Delta}$, its sometimes more convenient rescaled. The symbol \vdash is used in Hurwitz theory to denote restricting to diagrams of a given size, and $|R|$ is the number of boxes in the Young diagram R . The N -dependence at the r.h.s. of (1.2) comes entirely from the dimensions $\chi_R\{N\} = D_R(N)$, which are the values of characters at the locus where all $p_k = N$. Standing in the denominator of (1.2) is an important representation theory quantity often denoted by d_R :

$$d_R = \chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\} = \frac{1}{|R|!} \frac{\prod_{i < j} (r_i - i - r_j + j)}{\prod (l_R + r_i - i)!} = \prod_{\text{all boxes of } R} \frac{1}{\text{hook length}} \quad (1.5)$$

where l_R is the number of lines in the Young diagram R . The “classical” tool to deal with the characters is the Cauchy formula

$$\sum_R \chi_R\{p\} \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} = \exp\left(\sum_k \frac{p_k \bar{p}_k}{k}\right) \quad (1.6)$$

and it turns sufficient to solve surprisingly many problems, involving characters. But not all, as we immediately see in what follows, and as is already clear from (1.2), where the summand is still of the second-order in characters, but not just bilinear: it contains one extra character in the numerator and another one, d_R , in the denominator.

In the particular case of $N = 2$, the only relevant are characters of the symmetric representations $R = [r]$, which, when expressed through the two eigenvalues of M , are just $\chi_{[r]}[M] = \sum_{i=0}^r m_1^i m_2^{r-i}$. Then the l.h.s. of (1.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{[r]}[M] \rangle^{N=2} &= \frac{\int \int (\sum_{i=0}^r m_1^i m_2^{r-i}) (m_1 - m_2)^2 e^{-m_1^2/2 - m_2^2/2} dm_1 dm_2}{\int \int (m_1 - m_2)^2 e^{-m_1^2/2 - m_2^2/2} dm_1 dm_2} \\ &= \begin{cases} (r+1)!! & \text{for } r \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

For symmetric representations, the special characters can be easily obtained from the Cauchy formula at $\bar{p}_k = x^k$:

$$\sum_r x^r \chi_{[r]}\{p_k\} = e^{\sum_k \frac{p_k x^k}{k}} \implies \sum_r x^r \chi_{[r]}\{\delta_{k,m}\} = \sum_j \frac{x^{mj}}{j! \cdot m^j} \quad (1.8)$$

i.e. $\chi_{[r]}\{\delta_{k,1}\} = \frac{1}{r!}$, and $\chi_{[r]}\{\delta_{k,2}\} = \frac{\delta_{r,\text{even}}}{2^{r/2}(r/2)!} = \frac{\delta_{r,\text{even}}}{r!!}$. Thus, for the r.h.s. of (1.1), we get

$$\chi_{[r]}(2) \cdot \frac{\chi_{[r]}\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_{[r]}\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = (r+1) \cdot \frac{r!}{r!!} = (r+1)!! \quad (1.9)$$

which coincides with (1.7).

The need for a character in the denominator of (1.2) becomes nearly obvious, if we extend the Hermitian model to the rectangular complex one [20–22], where the variable M is $N_1 \times N_2$ rectangular matrix not obligatory square. Then the average should depend on two parameters N_1 and N_2 in symmetric way. Thus one expects, and gets two characters $\chi_R\{N_1\} \chi_R\{N_2\}$ in the numerator [1]:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Vol}_{U_N}} \int dM e^{-\text{Tr} MM^\dagger} \chi_R[MM^\dagger] = \frac{\chi_R\{N_1\} \chi_R\{N_2\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = \frac{D_R(N_1) D_R(N_2)}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \quad (1.10)$$

and therefore there should be one in the denominator as well in order to balance the number of characters at the l.h.s. and the r.h.s.

In this paper, we review character sum rules arising from the large- N expansion, and describe a more general approach based on use of cut-and-join operators introduced in [23, 24] and playing a big role in the theory of Hurwitz tau-functions. We also comment on extension to various models from the unitary (trigonometric, Chern-Simons, ... family, including torus knot and MacMahon models.

2 Sum rules from comparison to Harer-Zagier formula

Besides (1.2), there are other explicit generating functions like the Harer-Zagier formula [25–27]

$$\sum_n \frac{z^{2m}}{(2m-1)!!} \cdot \langle \text{Tr } M^{2m} \rangle = \frac{1}{2z^2} \left(\left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right)^N - 1 \right) \quad (2.1)$$

(for increasingly sophisticated multi-trace generalizations see [28, 29]).

Note that the same coefficient $Z_{[2m]}$ in front of $p_{[2m]} = p_{2m}$ can be read off from (1.2), and is provided by the sum

$$Z_{[2m]} = \frac{1}{2m} \langle \text{Tr } M^{2m} \rangle = \sum_{R \vdash 2m} \frac{\chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2m}\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \quad (2.2)$$

Now, substituting (2.2) into (2.1), we obtain a non-trivial sum rule for characters:

$$\sum_R \frac{2^{|R|} z^{2|R|}}{(|R|-1)!!} \cdot \frac{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,|R|}\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \cdot D_R(N) = \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right)^N - 1 - 2Nz^2 \quad (2.3)$$

This formula can be definitely also derived from combinatorics, using that

$$\chi_R\{\delta_{k,|R|}\} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^d}{r} & \text{if } R = [r-d, 1^d] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

i.e. only the hook Young diagrams R contribute, moreover, since $\chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}$ is non-zero only at even $|R|$, we can parameterize the hook diagrams as $R = [r-d, 1^d]$ at even r . For these Young diagrams,

$$\chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} = \frac{\psi_{[r-d, 1^d], [2^{r/2}]}}{z_{[2^{r/2}]}} = \frac{(-1)^{d+i_d} C_{r/2-1}^{i_d}}{r!!} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{D_R(N)}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = \prod_{i,j \in R} (N+j-i) = \frac{(N+r-d-1)!}{(N-d-1)!} \quad (2.6)$$

where C_n^m are the binomial coefficients, and i_d denotes the integer part of $d/2$. However, calculating the l.h.s. of (2.3) does require additional summations over r and d , and the derivation of (2.3) becomes not that immediate.

Actually, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N\{p\} &= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{p_2^m}{2^m m!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (N^2 + 2i - 2) + \frac{p_1^m}{2^m m!} \cdot N^m + \dots \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2m-1} p_{2m}}{m} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(m+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{m/2} \frac{N^{m+1-2k}}{(2k+1)!(m-2k)!} \cdot \frac{\xi_k^{(1)}}{m+1} \\ &+ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{2^{2(m-1)} p_{2k+1} p_{2m-2k-1}}{m \cdot k!(m-k-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(k+1/2)\Gamma(m-k-1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)^2} (N^m + \dots) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where we explicitly write down a few typical terms in the expansion at the r.h.s. Expansion coefficients here are:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0^{(1)} &= 1, & \xi_1^{(1)} &= \frac{m+1}{2}, \\ \xi_2^{(1)} &= \frac{(m+1)(5m-2)}{12}, & \xi_3^{(1)} &= \frac{(m+1)(35m^2-77m+12)}{72}, \\ \xi_4^{(1)} &= \frac{(m+1)(175m^3-945m^2+1094m-72)}{240}, & & \dots \end{aligned}$$

The simplest here is the p_1^{2m} term: it comes from the contribution $\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}p_1^{|R|}$ to $\chi_R\{p\}$, and the coefficient cancels the denominator (1.2) so that the remaining sum is calculated with the help of the Cauchy formula,

$$\sum_R \chi_R(N) \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} p_1^{|R|} = e^{Np_1^2/2} = \sum_m \frac{p_1^{2m}}{2^m m!} \cdot N^m \tag{2.8}$$

One can say that the p_2^m term is also easy, since it can be obtained by differentiating the Gaussian integral:

$$(-2\partial_\mu)^m \mu^{-N^2/2} = \frac{N^2(N^2+2)\dots(N^2+2m-2)}{\mu^m} \mu^{-N^2/2}.$$

However, from the point of view of the sum (1.2), this is already a non-trivial sum rule for the dimensions $D_N = \chi_R(N)$:

$$\sum_{R \vdash m} \frac{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}^2}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \cdot D_R(N) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m (N^2 + 2i - 2) \tag{2.9}$$

For $m = 1$, it is still trivial:

$$\frac{(1/2)^2}{1/2} \cdot \frac{N(N+1)}{2} + \frac{(1/2)^2}{1/2} \cdot \frac{N(N-1)}{2} = \frac{N^2}{2},$$

but already for $m = 2$ it is not:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1/8)^2}{1/24} \cdot \frac{N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3)}{24} + \frac{(-1/8)^2}{1/8} \cdot \frac{(N-1)N(N+1)(N+2)}{8} + \frac{(1/4)^2}{1/12} \cdot \frac{(N-1)N^2(N+1)}{12} \\ & + \frac{(-1/8)^2}{1/8} \cdot \frac{(N+1)N(N-1)(N-2)}{8} + \frac{(1/8)^2}{1/24} \cdot \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)}{24} \\ & = \frac{2}{64}(N^4 + 11N^2) + \frac{2}{64}(N^4 - N^2) + \frac{1}{16}(N^4 - N^2) \\ & = \frac{N^2(N^2+2)}{2^2 \cdot 2!}. \end{aligned}$$

The natural question is how one can handle all the variety of the sum rules for characters, which arise in this way.

The question becomes even more interesting, because the result (1.2) of [1] possesses wide generalizations: to various deformations ($q-$, $t-$ of [30–32] and many other) of matrix models [19] and, in another direction, to Aristotelian and other tensor models [33–39]. At the same time, already at the Hermitian matrix model level, it has important applications, the currently fashionable ones being related to localization formulas [40–61] in conformally invariant supersymmetric field theories, which reduce perturbative contributions to certain correlators to those in the Gaussian matrix model averages [62–64].

3 Harer-Zagier formula and planar limit

Let us restore the μ -dependence in (1.2), and consider the planar large- N ('t Hooft) limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\nu := N/\mu = \text{fixed}$. Then, the model is described by the semicircle distribution of eigenvalues

$$\rho(z) := \left\langle \text{Tr} \delta(M - z \cdot I) dz \right\rangle = \sqrt{4\nu - z^2} dz + O(N^{-2}) \quad (3.1)$$

This means, in particular, that, in the large- N approximation (for the sake of simplicity, we put $\nu = 1/4$),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \text{Tr} M^{2m} \right\rangle &\longrightarrow \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 z^{2m} \sqrt{1 - z^2} dz = \int_0^\pi \sin^{2m} t \cos^2 t dt \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2^{2m-1}} \left(\frac{(2m)!}{(m!)^2} - \frac{(2m+2)!}{4((m+1)!)^2} \right) = \frac{(2m-1)!!}{2^m(m+1)!} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Consistency with (2.1) is straightforward: its r.h.s. in 't Hooft limit is equal to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\left(\frac{1 + \frac{z^2}{2N}}{1 - \frac{z^2}{2N}} \right)^N - 1 \right) = \frac{e^{z^2} - 1}{2z^2} = \sum_n \frac{z^{2n}}{(n+1)!} \quad (3.3)$$

and this is exactly what one gets by substituting (3.2) into the l.h.s. of (2.1).

More interesting is consistency with (1.2).

Of course, it is straightforward to get the leading contribution to (1.2), because the leading large- N asymptotics of $\chi_R\{N\} = d_R N^{|R|} + \dots$ comes from $p_1^{|R|}$ and thus is proportional to $d_R = \chi\{\delta_{k,1}\}$, which stands in the denominator. Thus the main large- N asymptotics of (1.2) is controlled by the Cauchy formula:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N\{p\} &= \sum_R N^{|R|} \chi_R\{p\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} + \dots \\ &= \exp \left(\sum_k \frac{N^k p_2^k \delta_{k,2}}{k} \right) = \exp \left(\frac{N^2 p_2}{2} \right) = \sum_m \frac{N^{2m}}{2^m m!} \cdot p_2^m \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

However, this is not what we need for comparison with (2.1). Indeed the relevant coefficient $Z_{[2m]}$ in front of $p_{[2m]} = p_{2m}$ is provided by the sum (2.2), and if we substitute $d_R N^{|R|}$ instead of $\chi_R\{N\}$ and use the Cauchy formula, we get just nothing, unless $m = 1$. In fact, the leading asymptotics of $Z_{[2m]}$ is defined by sub-leading $O(N^{|R|+1-m})$ terms in $\chi_R\{N\}$, and, hence, the sum is not reduced to the Cauchy formula.

4 Sum rules from genus expansion

The simple calculation in eqs. (2.1)–(2.9) has a lot of generalizations, to arbitrary coefficients Z_Δ . The leading asymptotics is prescribed by the semicircle distribution and is

factorized into contributions of the symmetric (single-line) diagrams Δ . In general, one can use the well-studied genus expansion of the Hermitian model partition function [65–70], build from the semicircle distribution (3.1) by solving the Virasoro constraints [11–16, 71–74] (this is also known as the AMM/EO topological recursion [65–70, 75–79]). The first sum rules implied by the known resolvents from [65] are (here $y(z) = \sqrt{z^2 - 4N}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr } M^{2m} \rangle &= 2m \cdot \sum_{R \vdash 2m} \frac{\chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \cdot \text{coeff}_{p_{2m}} \chi_R\{p\} \\ &= 2m \cdot \sum_{R \vdash 2m} \varphi_{R,[2m]} D_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \\ &= \text{coeff}_{z^{-2m-1}} \left(\frac{z-y(z)}{2} + \frac{N}{y^5(z)} + \frac{21N(z^2+N)}{y^{11}(z)} + \frac{11N(135z^4+558Nz^2+158N^2)}{y^{17}(z)} + \dots \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr } M^k \text{Tr } M^{2m-k} \rangle &= k(2m-k) \sum_{R \vdash 2m} \frac{\chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \text{coeff}_{p_k p_{2m-k}} \chi_R\{p\} \\ &= k(2m-k) \sum_{R \vdash 2m} \varphi_{R,[2m-k,k]} D_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \\ &= \langle \text{Tr } M^k \rangle \langle \text{Tr } M^{2m-k} \rangle + \text{coeff}_{z_1^{-k-1} z_2^{-(2m-k)-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2(z_1-z_2)^2} \left(\frac{z_1 z_2 - 4N}{y(z_1)y(z_2)} - 1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{N \left(z_1 z_2 (5z_1^4 + 4z_1^3 z_2 + 3z_1^2 z_2^2 + 4z_1 z_2^3 + 5z_2^4) + 4N \left\{ z_1^4 - 13z_1^2 z_2^2 (z_1^2 + z_1 z_2 + z_2^2) + z_2^4 \right\} + 16N^2 (-z_1^2 + 13z_1 z_2 - z_2^2) + 320N^3 \right)}{y(z_1)^7 y(z_2)^7} + \dots \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

and so on. Of course, one can convert this into generating functions, which produces the sum rules involving the whole resolvent $\mathfrak{D}_1(z) := \langle \text{Tr } \frac{1}{z-M} \rangle$:

$$\frac{N}{z} + \sum_R \frac{\varphi_{R,[|R|]} |R| D_R(N) \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{z^{|R|+1}} = \left\langle \text{Tr } \frac{1}{z-M} \right\rangle = \frac{z-y(z)}{2} + \frac{N}{y^5(z)} + \frac{21N(z^2+N)}{y^{11}(z)} + \dots \tag{4.3}$$

As we explained earlier, contributing to the l.h.s. are actually only the 1-hook diagrams R : only they have non-vanishing $\varphi_{R,[|R|]}$.

Thus, knowledge of the resolvents immediately allows one to generate sum rules, or, to put it differently, one can express resolvents as character sums this way.

5 Gaussian averages of exponentials (Wilson loops)

Formulas like (4.3) can become a little less mysterious, if the r.h.s. is written in a somewhat different way. In fact, such a possibility is provided by the theory of exponential correlators.

According to [80–82], the generating function of exponentials in the Hermitian matrix model is given by the simple integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(s_1, \dots, s_n) &= \left\langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \text{Tr} e^{s_\alpha M} \right\rangle \\
 &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{e^{s_\alpha^2/2}}{s_\alpha} \oint du_\alpha e^{u_\alpha s_\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{s_\alpha}{u_\alpha}\right)^N \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \frac{(u_\alpha - u_\beta)(u_\alpha - u_\beta + s_\alpha - s_\beta)}{(u_\alpha - u_\beta - s_\beta)(u_\alpha - u_\beta + s_\alpha)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and it provides a short way [28, 29] to generalization of the Harer-Zagier formulas like (2.1). It is related to resolvents by the Laplace transform,

$$\mathfrak{D}_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \left\langle \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \text{Tr} \frac{1}{z_\alpha - M} \right\rangle = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n \int_0^\infty e^{-s_\alpha z_\alpha} E(s_1, \dots, s_n) \tag{5.2}$$

For $n = 1$, this gives (see also [65, eq.(IV.1.11)])

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{D}_1(z) &= \int_0^\infty \underbrace{e^{s^2/2}}_{\sum_k \frac{s^{2k}}{2^k k!}} \cdot e^{-sz} ds \cdot \underbrace{\text{res}_{u=0} \left\{ \frac{e^{su}}{s} \left(1 + \frac{s}{u}\right)^N \right\}}_{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{N!}{i!(N-i)!(i-1)!} s^{2i-2}} \\
 &= \sum_{i,k}^\infty \frac{z^{1-2k-2i} (2k+2i-2)! N!}{2^k k! (N-i)! i! (i-1)!} \\
 &= \frac{z - y(z)}{2} + \frac{N}{y^5(z)} + \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that expanded is the quadratic exponential, not the linear one, because $E(s)$ is treated as a series in s .

Using this formula, we can rewrite the sum rule (4.3) without $y(z)$ as

$$\sum_R \frac{\varphi_{R,||R||} |R| D_R(N) \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\}}{z^{|R|+1}} = -\frac{N}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{z^{-1-2k-2i} (2k+2i)! N!}{2^k k! (N-i-1)! i! (i+1)!} \tag{5.4}$$

Contributing at the both sides are only odd negative powers of z beginning from z^{-3} .

6 Cut-and-join operator

Using the second relation in (1.3),

$$\chi_R\{p\} = \sum_{\Delta \vdash |R|} d_R \cdot \varphi_{R,\Delta} \cdot p_\Delta \tag{6.1}$$

we can trade the denominator in (1.2) for the character φ :

$$Z_N\{p\} = \sum_R \sum_{\Delta \vdash |R|} \varphi_{R,\Delta} \chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \cdot p_\Delta = \sum_\Delta Z_\Delta \cdot p_\Delta \tag{6.2}$$

and then use the fact that φ is the eigenvalue of the generalized cut-and-join operator [23, 24]

$$\hat{W}_\Delta \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} = \varphi_{R,\Delta} \cdot \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$\hat{W}_\Delta = \frac{1}{z_\Delta} : \prod_i \hat{D}_{\delta_i} : \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\hat{D}_k = \text{Tr}(M \partial_M)^k \quad (6.5)$$

acts on the time-variables $\bar{p}_k = \text{Tr} \bar{M}^k$. The normal ordering in (6.4) implies that all the derivatives ∂_M stand to the right of all M . Since W_Δ are “gauge”-invariant matrix operators, and we apply them only to gauge invariants, they can be realized as differential operators in \bar{p}_k [23, 24].

Then the coefficient in front of p_Δ in $Z_N\{p\}$ can be represented as

$$Z_\Delta = \sum_{R \vdash |\Delta|} \varphi_{R,\Delta} \chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \stackrel{(6.3)}{=} \hat{W}_\Delta \sum_{R \vdash |\Delta|} \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \Bigg|_{\bar{p}_k=N} \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \hat{W}_\Delta e_{|\Delta|}^{\bar{p}_2/2} \Bigg|_{\bar{p}_k=N} \quad (6.6)$$

i.e.

$$Z_\Delta = \frac{1}{2^{|\Delta|/2} (|\Delta|/2)!} \hat{W}_\Delta \bar{p}_2^{|\Delta|/2} \Bigg|_{\bar{p}_k=N} \quad (6.7)$$

where e_n^x denotes projection to grading n , which is needed in (6.7) because the sum over Young diagrams is restricted to a given size (note that p_2 has the grading degree 2). For example, $\hat{W}_{[1^{2m}]} = \frac{1}{(2m)!} : \hat{W}_{[1]}^{2m} :$ where $\hat{W}_{[1]} = \hat{D}_1 = \sum_k k \bar{p}_k \partial_{\bar{p}_k}$, i.e. multiplies p_2^m by $2m$. The normal ordering means that $: \hat{W}_{[1]}^{2m} :$ multiplies it by $(2m)!$, and therefore the coefficient in front of $p_{[1^{2m}]} = p_1^{2m}$ in $Z_N\{p\}$ is

$$Z_{[1^{2m}]} = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \cdot \frac{1}{(2m)!} \cdot (2m)! \cdot N^m = \frac{N^m}{2^m m!} \quad (6.8)$$

Alternatively one can rewrite (6.6) as

$$Z_\Delta = \sum_{R \vdash |\Delta|} \varphi_{R,\Delta} \chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\delta_{k,2}\} \stackrel{(6.3)}{=} \hat{W}_\Delta \sum_{R \vdash |\Delta|} \chi_R\{N\} \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} \Bigg|_{\bar{p}_k=\delta_{k,2}} \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \hat{W}_\Delta e_{|\Delta|}^{N \sum_k \frac{\bar{p}_k}{k}} \Bigg|_{\bar{p}_k=\delta_{k,2}} \quad (6.9)$$

where again the index $|\Delta|$ means that one should pick up a contribution of particular grading degree.

7 W -representations in terms of characters

Eq. (6.7) is a kind of a dual to the W -representation [83–86] of Hermitian partition function

$$Z_N\{p\} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{W}_2} \cdot 1 \quad (7.1)$$

which involves a close relative

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 = N^2 p_2 + N p_1^2 + 2N \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a p_{a+2} \partial_a + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left((a+b-2) p_a p_b \partial_{a+b-2} + ab p_{a+b+2} \partial_a \partial_b \right) \quad (7.2)$$

of the simplest cut-and-join operator $\hat{W}_{[2]}$, [87],

$$\hat{W}_{[2]} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} \left((a+b) p_a p_b \partial_{a+b} + ab p_{a+b} \partial_a \partial_b \right) \quad (7.3)$$

but in (7.1) this operator is exponentiated in contrast with (6.7).

The average of character in the W -representation is equivalent to action of the differential operator $\chi_R \{ \partial / \partial t_k \}$. Moreover, since \mathcal{W}_2 in (7.1) has non-trivial grading (+2), only the single term of the exponential expansion contributes to the average:

$$\left\langle \chi_R \{ P_k = \text{Tr } M^k \} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2^{|R|/2} (|R|/2)!} \chi_R \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2^{|R|/2} \cdot 1 \Big|_{\text{all } p_k=0} \quad (7.4)$$

Contributions at odd levels $|R|$ are vanishing. At the level $|R| = 2$ this gives for $R = [2]$ and $[1, 1]$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \chi_R \left\{ \frac{1}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 \cdot 1 \Big|_{p=0} = \frac{1}{4} (\pm 2 \partial_2 + \partial_1^2) (N^2 p_2 + N p_1^2) \Big|_{p=0} = \frac{N(N \pm 1)}{2} \quad (7.5)$$

For arbitrary even $|R|$ the highest power of N comes from the term $N^{|R|} p_2^{|R|/2}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_2^{|R|/2}$, and is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{|R|/2} (|R|/2)!} \chi_R \{ \delta_{k,2} \} \left(2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \right)^{|R|/2} N^{|R|} p_2^{|R|/2} = \chi_R \{ \delta_{k,2} \} \cdot N^{|R|} \quad (7.6)$$

which differs by a factor $d_R = \chi_R \{ \delta_{k,1} \}$ from the item $d_R N^{|R|}$ in $D_R(N)$.

In general, (1.1) implies that

$$e^{\frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2} \cdot 1 = \sum_R \chi_R \{ p \} \cdot \chi_R \{ \delta_{k,2} \} \cdot \frac{D_R(N)}{\chi_R \{ \delta_{k,1} \}} \quad (7.7)$$

Similarly, for the rectangular complex matrix model

$$e^{\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1} \cdot 1 = \sum_R \chi_R \{ p \} \cdot \frac{D_R(N_1) D_R(N_2)}{\chi_R \{ \delta_{k,1} \}} \quad (7.8)$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 = N_1 N_2 p_1 + (N_1 + N_2) \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a p_{a+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a} + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left((a+b-1) p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b-1}} + ab p_{a+b+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right) \quad (7.9)$$

These formulas for partition functions were discovered and discussed in [1, 2], but, in this section, we want to derive them from the W -representations.

The key is the generalization of (6.3), which is equivalent to

$$\hat{W}_\Delta = \sum_R \varphi_{R,\Delta} \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_R \tag{7.10}$$

with the differential operator $\hat{\chi}_R = \chi_R \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right\}$, which is a dual character, [18]

$$\hat{\chi}_R \chi_{R'} \{p\} |_{p=0} = \delta_{R,R'} \tag{7.11}$$

In the particular case of (7.3) this means that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{[2]} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left((a+b) p_a p_b \partial_{a+b} + ab p_{a+b} \partial_a \partial_b \right) = \sum_{|R|=|R'|} \alpha_{R,R'} \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_{R'} \\ &= \chi_{[2]} \hat{\chi}_{[2]} - \chi_{[1,1]} \hat{\chi}_{[1,1]} + (2\chi_{[3]} - \chi_{[2,1]}) \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[3]} + (-\chi_{[3]} + \chi_{[1,1,1]}) \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[2,1]} \\ &\quad + (\chi_{[2,1]} \hat{\chi}_{[1,1,1]} - 2\chi_{[1,1,1]}) \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[1,1,1]} + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

As follows from (6.3), the operator $W_{[2]}$ has an eigenvalue $\varphi_{R,[2]}$, which is equal to

$$\varphi_{R,[2]} = 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in R} (j-i) := 2\kappa_R \tag{7.13}$$

Note that the operator at the r.h.s. of (7.12) is *not* equal just to a diagonal sum $\sum_R \kappa_R \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_R$ with the eigenvalue κ_R . This is because, before putting $p = 0$, the orthogonality condition (7.11) is not true, e.g. $\hat{\chi}_{[2]} \chi_{[3]} \{p\} = p_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{[2]} \chi_{[3]} \{p\} &\stackrel{(7.12)}{=} \left(\chi_{[2]} \hat{\chi}_{[2]} + (2\chi_{[3]} - \chi_{[2,1]}) \chi_{[3]} \right) \chi_{[3]} \\ &= p_1 \chi_{[2]} + 2\chi_{[3]} - \chi_{[2,1]} = 3\chi_{[3]} = \kappa_{[3]} \chi_{[3]} \end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

In general, lifting of (7.11) to the operator level involves decomposition of the commutator into a sum of skew characters,

$$\hat{\chi}_R \cdot \chi_{R'} \{p\} = \sum_Q \chi_{R'/Q} \{p\} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{R/Q} \tag{7.15}$$

in particular, picking up just the c -number piece at the r.h.s., we get the contribution from $Q = R$ only, i.e. $\hat{\chi}_R \cdot \chi_{R'} \{p\} = \chi_{R'/R} \{p\}$, what is non-vanishing only for $|R'| \geq |R|$.

We remind that the skew characters are defined by the property

$$\chi_R \{p + p'\} = \sum_{Q \subset R} \chi_Q \{p\} \chi_{R/Q} \{p'\} \tag{7.16}$$

and can be expressed via the usual Schur functions,

$$\chi_{R/Q} \{p\} = \sum_{R'} C_{QR'}^R \chi_{R'} \{p\} \tag{7.17}$$

through the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $C_{QR'}^R$, the structure constants of the character multiplication

$$\chi_R \{p\} \chi_{R'} \{p\} = \sum_{R'' \in R \otimes R'} C_{RR'}^{R''} \chi_{R''} \{p\} \tag{7.18}$$

Coming back to (7.12), the coefficient in front of $\hat{\chi}_Y$ is given by a recursion formula

$$\sum_{Y': |Y'|=|Y|} \alpha_{Y'Y} \chi_{Y'} = \varkappa_Y \chi_Y - \sum_{|R|=|R'|<|Y|} \alpha_{R,R'} \chi_R \chi_{Y/R'} \quad (7.19)$$

One can calculate the coefficients $\alpha_{R,R'}$ from this formula. Remarkably, these coefficients are non-vanishing only for the single-hook diagrams $R = [r, 1^{s-1}]$ and $R' = [r', 1^{s'-1}]$, and the final answer is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \hat{W}_{[2]} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left((a+b) p_a p_b \partial_{a+b} + ab p_{a+b} \partial_a \partial_b \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s'=1 \\ r+s=r'+s'}}^{\infty} (-)^{s+s'} (r-s') \cdot \chi_{[r,1^{s-1}]} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[r',1^{s'-1}]} \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

Note that despite only the single hook $\hat{\chi}$ contribute, all χ_R , not only single hook are eigenfunctions of this $\frac{1}{2} \hat{W}_{[2]}$ with non-vanishing eigenvalues \varkappa_R . Note also that the operator at the l.h.s. of (7.20) contains at most second derivatives, while particular items at the r.h.s. contain derivatives up to order $|R|$, though all these higher derivatives cancel in the sum.

Now we want to do the same for \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_1 , which have a non-vanishing grading, thus the sums will not be diagonal even in the size of the Young diagrams. Instead,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_2 &= N^2 p_2 + N p_1^2 + 2N \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a p_{a+2} \partial_a + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left((a+b-2) p_a p_b \partial_{a+b-2} + ab p_{a+b+2} \partial_a \partial_b \right) \\ &= \sum_{R+|R'|+2} A_{R,R'} \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_{R'} \end{aligned}$$

where the sum goes over the Young diagrams R and R' which differ by 2 in size. Note that the operator at the l.h.s. contains at most second derivatives, while particular items at the r.h.s. contain derivatives up to order $|R'|$. Still all the higher derivatives cancel in the sum. The coefficients $A_{R,R'}$ are linear functions of N , and contributing are only the single-hook diagrams $R = [r, 1^{s-1}]$ and $R' = [r', 1^{s'-1}]$ so that the answer is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((N+1) \chi_{[2]} - (N-1) \chi_{[1,1]} \right) \cdot N \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s'=1 \\ r+s=r'+s'+2}}^{\infty} (-)^{s+s'} (N+r-s'-1) \cdot \chi_{[r,1^{s-1}]} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[r',1^{s'-1}]} \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

Similarly, for $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1$, contributing are only the single-hook diagrams $R = [r, 1^{s-1}]$ and $R' = [r', 1^{s'-1}]$, and the answer is

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_1 = \chi_{[1]} \cdot N_1 N_2 + \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s'=1 \\ r+s=r'+s'+1}}^{\infty} (-)^{s+s'} (N_1 + N_2 + 2r - 2s' - 1) \cdot \chi_{[r,1^{s-1}]} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{[r',1^{s'-1}]} \quad (7.22)$$

This formula is invariant under simultaneous transposition of R and R' , accompanied by a sign inversion of N_1 and N_2 . Indeed, such transformation changes

$$(r, s, r', s') \longrightarrow (s, r, s', r'),$$

thus

$$(-)^{s+s'} = (-)^{s-s'} \longrightarrow (-)^{r-r'} = (-)^{s'-s+1} = -(-)^{s-s'}$$

and $2r - 2s' - 1 \longrightarrow 2s - 2r' - 1 = -(2r - 2s' - 1)$, where in both cases we used the constraint $r + s = r' + s' + 1$.

Exponentiation of (7.21) and (7.22) provides an unrestricted sum over R and R' :

$$e^{\mathcal{W}_2/2} = \sum_{R,R'} \mathcal{A}_{R,R'} \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_{R'} \tag{7.23}$$

with the coefficients $\mathcal{A}_{R,R'}$ non-zero only for $|R| - |R'|$ being even and non-negative. Eq. (7.7) is the piece of this sum with $R' = \emptyset$. Note that items with R and R' of odd sizes in the expansion of \mathcal{W}_2 contribute to the exponential. Likewise, (7.8) is the piece of the sum

$$e^{\mathcal{W}_1} = \sum_{R,R'} \mathcal{B}_{R,R'} \chi_R \{p\} \hat{\chi}_{R'} \tag{7.24}$$

with $R' = \emptyset$.

Exponentiation can be performed with the help of (7.15). From (7.15) and (7.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1^2 &= \sum_{R,R',R'',R'''} B_{R,R'} B_{R'',R'''} \chi_R \cdot \hat{\chi}_{R'} \cdot \chi_{R''} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{R'''} \\ &= \sum_{R,R',R'',R''',Q} B_{R,R'} B_{R'',R'''} (\chi_R \cdot \chi_{R''}/Q) \cdot (\hat{\chi}_{R'}/Q \cdot \hat{\chi}_{R'''}) \\ &= \sum_{R,R',R'',R''',Q,Q',Q''} B_{R,R'} B_{R'',R'''} C_{QQ''}^{R''} C_{QQ'}^{R'} (\chi_R \cdot \chi_{Q''}) \cdot (\hat{\chi}_{Q'} \cdot \hat{\chi}_{R'''}) \\ &= \sum_{R,R',R'',R''',Q,Q',Q''} B_{R,R'} B_{R'',R'''} C_{QQ''}^{R''} C_{QQ'}^{R'} C_{RQ''}^Y C_{R''Q'}^Z \cdot \chi_Y \cdot \hat{\chi}_Z \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\mathcal{B}_{YZ}^{(2)} = \sum_{R,R',R'',R''',Q,Q',Q''} B_{R,R'} B_{R'',R'''} C_{QQ''}^{R''} C_{QQ'}^{R'} C_{RQ''}^Y C_{R''Q'}^Z \tag{7.25}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{YZ}^{(2)}$ is the contribution from \mathcal{W}_1^2 to the full matrix \mathcal{B}_{YZ} for the exponential $e^{\mathcal{W}_1}$. Diagrams of the type R are all single-hook, thus the same is true for diagrams Q appearing in the skew characters. However, at the last stage the single-hook characters are multiplied, and Y and Z are already 2-hook diagrams. Likewise, the higher powers \mathcal{W}_1^m involve transition matrices $\mathcal{B}_{YZ}^{(m)}$ between characters of m -hook diagrams.

8 Unitary-type (trigonometric) models

When reduced to eigenvalues, the measure of Hermitian matrix model contains a square of the Vandermonde determinant $\prod_{i<j}(m_i - m_j)^2$, which has natural deformations and generalizations like

$$\prod_{i<j}(m_i - m_j)^2 \longrightarrow \prod_{i,j} \prod_{k=1}^{\beta} (m_i - q^{k-1}m_j) \tag{8.1}$$

and leads to substitution of the Schur functions by the Macdonald functions and their various limits (like the Jack and Hall-Littlewood polynomials) [18, 19].

There is, however, another important direction to generalize: to a unitary¹ or trigonometric model

$$\prod_{i<j}(m_i - m_j)^2 \longrightarrow \prod_{i<j} \sinh^2\left(\frac{m_i - m_j}{2}\right) \sim \prod_{i<j}(m_i - m_j)^2 \prod_n \left(1 + \frac{(m_i - m_j)^2}{4\pi^2 n^2}\right)^2 \tag{8.2}$$

and, further, to the MacMahon model:

$$\longrightarrow \prod_{i<j}(m_i - m_j)^2 \prod_n \left(1 + \frac{(m_i - m_j)^2}{4\pi^2 n^2}\right)^{2n} \tag{8.3}$$

The former model was a testing area for initial studies of character expansions in matrix models [79, 94–96]. It also emerged in the studies of localization of the ABJM theory [92]. The MacMahon model arises in the studies of localization of superconformal gauge theories [60] (there are also instanton correction, which vanish in the large N [93] and other interesting limits). They can be considered as “perturbations” of Hermitian model by bi-trace addition to the action

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot \sum_{i<j} \sum_n n^\nu \log\left(1 + \frac{(m_i - m_j)^2}{4\pi^2 n^2}\right) &= \sum_k \frac{(-)^{k+1} \zeta(2k - \nu)}{4^k \pi^{2k} k} \sum_{i,j} (m_i - m_j)^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \zeta(2k - \nu)}{4^k \pi^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

with $\nu = 0, 1$ for trigonometric and MacMahon models respectively, and $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. In the former case, values of the ζ -functions are elementary numbers (modulo powers of π), while, in the latter case, they are transcendental, but in existing applications this difference does not manifest itself. This is because these theories are often studied perturbatively, by expanding the exponentiated bi-trace into a series and taking averages within the Hermitian matrix model.

¹The trigonometric Vandermonde determinant has first emerged within the context of the unitary matrix models [88, 89]. However, the “fair” unitary model requires the choice of integration contour along the imaginary axis (in fact, on an imaginary segment) and averaged are bilinear combinations of characters. Hence, we refer to this type of models just as to trigonometric (though maybe more exact is the name “hyperbolic”). Note that these models emerge most naturally as Chern-Simons type matrix models [90, 91].

However, it is much more interesting to look for *exact* formulas like (1.2) in the trigonometric model itself, without a reference to the Hermitian one. For the trigonometric model, the statement is

$$\langle \chi_R[e^M] \rangle^{\text{trig}} = A^{|R|} \cdot q^{2\kappa_R} \cdot \chi_R\{p^*\} \quad (8.5)$$

where the average is taken with the weight

$$\frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{i < j}^N \sinh^2\left(\frac{m_i - m_j}{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{m_i^2}{2g^2}\right) dm_i \quad (8.6)$$

When averaging, the argument of character in the integrand is the diagonal matrix with the entries e^{m_i} , and, at the r.h.s., the parameters are $q = e^{g^2/2}$, $A = q^N = e^{Ng^2/2}$, the exponent $\kappa_R = \sum_{(x,y) \in R} (y-x)$ is the same as in (7.13). The time variables $p_k^* = \frac{A^k - A^{-k}}{q^k - q^{-k}}$ in the argument of the character at the r.h.s. lie in the “topological locus” obtained by the q -deformation of $p_k = N$. Thus, the difference from (1.2) are a quantum deformation, and the drastic change of the combinatorial factor from the ratio of characters to the exponential of the eigenvalue of the second Casimir operator in the representation R , κ_R . The limit $q \rightarrow 1$ is trivial: it corresponds to $g^2 \rightarrow 0$, when the Gaussian exponential $e^{\text{Tr } M^2/2g^2}$ turns into the δ -function so that the integral in (8.6) gets localised at $M = 0$, i.e. $U = I_N$, and this relations just gives rise to the identity $\chi_R[I_N] = \chi_R\{N\}$. In the opposite limit of $g^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the Gaussian exponential disappears, so the measure reduces to the Haar measure, but the integral diverges, and so does the r.h.s. of (8.5), where $q \rightarrow \infty$. One could instead consider true unitary integrals with pure imaginary m_i and unimodular q , but, in this case, non-vanishing are only balanced averages, with the same number of U and U^\dagger , which now differs from U and rather equal to U^{-1} . This is a more interesting and complicated case, with the ’t Hooft-de Wit anomalies and other peculiarities, see [79, 94] and references therein.

We can now compare the implications of (8.4) at $\nu = 0$ with those of (8.5). As the simplest example consider

$$\langle \chi_1[e^M] \rangle^{\text{trig}} \stackrel{(8.5)}{=} \frac{e^{g^2 N} - 1}{e^{g^2/2} - e^{-g^2/2}} = N + \frac{g^2 N^2}{2} + \frac{g^4 N(4N^2 - 1)}{24} + \frac{g^6 N^2(2N^2 - 1)}{48} + \dots \quad (8.7)$$

On the other hand, the same quantity is just the ratio of the Hermitian model averages

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_1[e^M] \rangle^{\text{trig}} &\stackrel{(8.4)}{=} \frac{\langle \exp\left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i}\right) \cdot \text{Tr } e^M \rangle}{\langle \exp\left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i}\right) \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle \left(1 + \sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} + \dots\right) (N + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } M^2 + \frac{1}{24} \text{Tr } M^4 + \dots) \rangle}{\langle \left(1 + \sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i} \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i}\right)^2 + \dots\right) \rangle} \\ &= N + \frac{1}{2} \langle \text{Tr } M^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{24} \langle \text{Tr } M^4 \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } M^2 \cdot \frac{2\zeta(2)}{\pi^2} \left(N \text{Tr } M^2 - (\text{Tr } M)^2\right) \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } M^2 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{2\zeta(2)}{\pi^2} \left(N \text{Tr } M^2 - (\text{Tr } M)^2\right) \right\rangle + O(g^6) \\ &= N + \frac{g^2 N^2}{2} + g^4 \left\{ \frac{N(2N^2+1)}{24} + \frac{\zeta(2)}{4\pi^2} \left(N^3(N^2+2) - N(N^2+2) - N^2(N^3-N)\right) \right\} + \dots \\ &= N + \frac{g^2 N^2}{2} + \frac{g^4 N(4N^2-1)}{24} + O(g^6) \end{aligned}$$

and, substituting $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, we reproduce (8.7). Already this simple example clearly demonstrates the advantage, even technical of exact formulas like (8.5) over the perturbation expansions like (8.4).

9 Knot matrix models

There is another interesting way to deform the trigonometric model [97, 98]:

$$\prod_{i<j} \sinh^2\left(\frac{m_i - m_j}{2}\right) \longrightarrow \prod_{i<j} \sinh \frac{m_i - m_j}{2a} \prod_{i<j} \sinh \frac{m_i - m_j}{2b} \tag{9.1}$$

Like the trigonometric model (8.6), it also preserves characters, moreover, (8.5) remains true,

$$\left\langle \chi_R[e^{M/a}] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} = \left(A^{|R|} \cdot q^{2\kappa_R} \right)^{b/a} \cdot \chi_R\{p^*\} \tag{9.2}$$

what changes is only the value of $q = \exp \frac{g^2}{2ab}$. This formula “spontaneously breaks” the $a \leftrightarrow b$ symmetry, and has the corresponding counterpart

$$\left\langle \chi_R[e^{M/b}] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} = \left(A^{|R|} \cdot q^{2\kappa_R} \right)^{a/b} \cdot \chi_R\{p^*\} \tag{9.3}$$

with the same q .

This measure appears in description of the HOMFLY polynomials for torus knots with a and b coprime:

$$\left\langle \chi_R[e^M] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} = \mathcal{H}_R^{\text{Torus}_{a,b}}(A, q) = D_R(N) \cdot H_R^{\text{Torus}_{a,b}}(A, q) \tag{9.4}$$

The character at the l.h.s. depends on e^M and, before (9.2) can be applied, one needs to express it through characters of $e^{M/a}$. This decomposition involves a combination of characters for Young diagrams of the size $a|R|$ with peculiar Adams coefficients c . After this, substitution (9.2) converts (52) into the Rosso-Jones formula [99, 100]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_R^{\text{Torus}_{a,b}}(A, q) &= \left\langle \chi_R[e^M] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{Q \vdash a|R|} c_{R,Q} \chi_Q[e^{M/a}] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} \\ &= A^{\frac{b|R|}{a}} \sum_{Q \vdash a|R|} c_{R,Q} \cdot q^{\frac{2b\kappa_Q}{a}} \cdot \chi_Q\{p^*\} \end{aligned} \tag{9.5}$$

In result, $H_R^{\text{Torus}_{a,b}}$ is a Laurent polynomial of q and A (it remains such for arbitrary knots, not only torus). Within this framework, the equivalence of (9.2) and (9.3) reflects the Reidemeister equivalence of a -strand and b -strand realizations of the same torus knot $\text{Torus}_{[a,b]}$. For attempts to extend matrix model description beyond the torus knots see [101].

When a and b have a non-trivial common divisor, we get a torus *link* instead of a knot, and its HOMFLY invariant is an average of a product of characters, as many as there are components in the link (the number equal to the common divisor of a and b). When

$a = b = 2$, this is actually the Hopf link, and its HOMFLY invariant is again a character, see [102] for a review and references. At the same time, the measure in this case is exactly that of the trigonometric model. In other words, we conclude that

$$q^{-2\kappa_R - 2\kappa_S} A^{-|R| - |S|} \cdot \left\langle \chi_R[e^{M/2}] \chi_S[e^{M/2}] \right\rangle^{\text{trig}} = \mathcal{H}_{R \times S}^{\text{Hopf}} \quad (9.6)$$

$$= D_R(N) \chi_S\{p^{*R}\} = D_S(N) \chi_R\{p^{*S}\}$$

for

$$p_k^{*R} = \frac{q^{Nk} - q^{-Nk}}{q^k - q^{-k}} + \sum_{i=1}^{l_R} q^{k(N-2i+1)} (q^{2kr_i} - 1) \quad (9.7)$$

The framing factor at the l.h.s. of (9.6) has to be taken in degree $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} \right)$ in the generic torus knot/link case.

This strangely-looking shift of time variables (9.7) is in fact induced by action of the cut-and-join operator on the “topological locus” $p_k^* = \frac{q^{Nk} - q^{-Nk}}{q^k - q^{-k}}$, which is the trigonometric-model substitute of the locus $p_k = N$ in the Hermitian models:

$$e^{2\hat{W}_{[2]}} \chi_R\{\bar{p}\} \chi_S\{\bar{p}\} \Big|_{\bar{p}_k = p_k^*} = q^{2\kappa_R + 2\kappa_S} D_R(N) \chi_S\{p_k^{*R}\} \quad (9.8)$$

In the case of torus measure (9.2) with arbitrary a and b , the bi-trace correction to the action (8.4) is substituted by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_n \left\{ \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{(m_i - m_j)^2}{4\pi^2 n^2} \right) + \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{b^2} \frac{(m_i - m_j)^2}{4\pi^2 n^2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_k \frac{(-)^{k+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{4^k \pi^{2k} k} \sum_{i,j} (m_i - m_j)^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{4^k \pi^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \end{aligned} \quad (9.9)$$

with $\nu = 0$ and $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a^{2k}} + \frac{1}{b^{2k}} \right)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \chi_1[e^{M/a}] \right\rangle^{[a,b]} \stackrel{(9.9)}{=} \frac{\left\langle \exp \left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \right) \cdot \text{Tr } e^{M/a} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \exp \left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \right) \right\rangle} \\ &= \frac{\left\langle \left(1 + \sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} + \dots \right) \left(N + \frac{1}{2a^2} \text{Tr } M^2 + \frac{1}{24a^4} \text{Tr } M^4 + \dots \right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle 1 + \sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i+1} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \right.} \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k,i} \frac{(-)^{k+i} \eta_k \zeta(2k)}{(2\pi)^{2k} k} \cdot \frac{(2k)!}{i!(2k-i)!} \cdot \text{Tr } M^i \text{Tr } M^{2k-i} \right)^2 + \dots \right\rangle} \\ &= N + \frac{1}{2a^2} \langle \text{Tr } M^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{24a^4} \langle \text{Tr } M^4 \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{2a^2} \text{Tr } M^2 \cdot \frac{2\eta\zeta(2)}{\pi^2} \left(N \text{Tr } M^2 - (\text{Tr } M)^2 \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \left\langle \frac{1}{2a^2} \text{Tr } M^2 \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle \frac{2\eta\zeta(2)}{\pi^2} \left(N \text{Tr } M^2 - (\text{Tr } M)^2 \right) \right\rangle + O(g^6) \\ &= N + \frac{g^2 N^2}{2a^2} + g^4 \left\{ \frac{N(2N^2+1)}{24a^4} + \frac{\eta\zeta(2)}{4\pi^2 a^2} \left(N^3(N^2+2) - N(N^2+2) - N^2(N^3-N) \right) \right\} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= N + \frac{g^2 N^2}{2a^2} + \frac{g^4 N}{24a^4} \left(2N^2 + 1 + \frac{12a^2 \eta_1 \zeta(2)}{\pi^2} (N^2 - 1) \right) + O(g^6) \\
&= e^{\frac{g^2 N}{2a^2}} \cdot N \left\{ 1 + \frac{g^4}{24a^4} \underbrace{\left(\frac{12a^2 \eta_1 \zeta(2)}{\pi^2} - 1 \right)}_{a^2/b^2} (N^2 - 1) + O(g^6) \right\} = e^{\frac{g^2 N}{2a^2}} \cdot \frac{\sinh \frac{g^2 N}{2ab}}{\sinh \frac{g^2}{2ab}} \tag{9.10}
\end{aligned}$$

A generalization of the torus matrix model to non-torus knots is an open problem: for $N = 2$ it is nicely solved in [101], but the matrix model lifting to arbitrary N remains a challenge.

10 Restriction to traceless matrices

Let us start with the Hermitian matrix model (1.2). The restriction can be imposed in different ways. The simplest is just to insert a δ -function in the form

$$\delta(\text{Tr } M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int e^{i\alpha \text{Tr } M} d\alpha.$$

This is equivalent to shifting the integration variable $M \rightarrow M + i\alpha$ and integrating the answer over α with the Gaussian measure $\exp\left(-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2}\right) d\alpha$:

$$\langle F(M) \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi}} \int \langle F(M + i\alpha) \rangle \cdot e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2}} d\alpha \tag{10.1}$$

For example,

$$\langle (\text{Tr } M)^2 \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi}} \int \langle (\text{Tr } M)^2 - N^2 \alpha^2 \rangle e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2g^2}} d\alpha = N - N = 0 \tag{10.2}$$

while

$$\langle \text{Tr } M^2 \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi}} \int \langle \text{Tr } M^2 - N\alpha^2 \rangle e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2g^2}} d\alpha = N^2 - 1 \tag{10.3}$$

so that

$$\langle \chi_{[2]}[M] \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = \frac{(N+1)(N-1)}{2}$$

and

$$\langle \chi_{[1,1]}[M] \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = -\frac{(N+1)(N-1)}{2}.$$

In the generic case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi_R[M] \rangle^{\text{traceless}} &= \left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int \langle \chi_R[M + i\alpha I] \rangle \cdot e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2}} d\alpha \\
&= \sum_{S \subset R} \frac{\chi_{R/S}\{\delta_{k,1}\} \chi_S\{\delta_{k,1}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \cdot \frac{D_R(N)}{D_S(N)} \cdot \langle \chi_S[M] \rangle \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int (i\alpha)^{|R|-|S|} e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2}} d\alpha \tag{10.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Only R and S of even sizes contribute to the sum. The integral of α is very immediate,

$$\langle \alpha^{2k} \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N}} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{N^k} \tag{10.5}$$

so that finally

$$\langle \chi_R[M] \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = \sum_{S \subset R} i^{\frac{|R|-|S|}{2}} \cdot \frac{(|R|-|S|-1)!!}{N^{\frac{|R|-|S|}{2}}} \cdot \frac{\chi_{R/S}\{\delta_{k,1}\}\chi_S\{\delta_{k,1}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} \cdot \frac{D_R(N)}{D_S(N)} \cdot \langle \chi_S[M] \rangle \tag{10.6}$$

The sum

$$\sum_{S \vdash s} \frac{\chi_{R/S}\{\delta_{k,1}\}\chi_S\{\delta_{k,1}\}}{\chi_R\{\delta_{k,1}\}} = \frac{|R|!}{s!(|R|-s)!},$$

but the individual coefficients contain skew characters and thus are a little more involved. For example,

$$\langle \chi_{[2,2]} \rangle^{\text{traceless}} \rightarrow \langle \chi_{[2,2]} \rangle - \frac{3}{N} \frac{D_{[3,1]}}{D_{[2]}} \langle \chi_{[2]} \rangle - \frac{3}{N} \frac{D_{[2,2]}}{D_{[1,1]}} \langle \chi_{[1,1]} \rangle + \frac{3}{N^2} \alpha^4 D_{[2,2]} \tag{10.7}$$

For the trigonometric models including the MacMahon one, the restriction to the traceless matrices works much simpler: since $\chi_R[e^{M+i\alpha}] = e^{i\alpha|R|} \chi_R[e^M]$, the α -dependence factors out, and its only effect is the additional factor

$$\left(\frac{N}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int e^{i\alpha|R|} \cdot e^{-\frac{N\alpha^2}{2}} d\alpha = e^{-\frac{|R|^2}{2N}}$$

in the average:

$$\langle \chi_R[e^{M/a}] \rangle^{\text{traceless}} = e^{-\frac{|R|^2}{2a^2N}} \cdot \langle \chi_R[e^{M/a}] \rangle \tag{10.8}$$

In this formula, the average can be taken at any model: Hermitian, trigonometric, toric, since the generalized Vandermonde factors in the measure do not depend on α .

11 Conclusion

The central formula of this paper,

$$\langle \chi_R\{\text{Tr } M^k\} \rangle \sim \chi_R\{p_k = N\} \tag{11.1}$$

looks like a statement that integration over M is reduced to the substitution of the “mean field” $M = Id$. This would look mysterious, but in fact this is *not quite true*: for an arbitrary function $F\{p_k\}$

$$\langle F\{\text{Tr } M^k\} \rangle \not\sim F\{p_k = N\} \tag{11.2}$$

the property is true only for the characters, and it is another kind of a mystery, more similar to a Duistermaat-Heckman (localization) trick with group theory origins rather than to any kind of an ordinary mean field calculation in quantum field theory.

In the trigonometric case, the situation is different:

$$\left\langle \chi_R \{ \text{Tr } e^{kM} \} \right\rangle^{\text{trig}} \sim \chi_R \left\{ p_k = \frac{\sinh(kNg^2/2)}{\sinh(kg^2/2)} \right\} \quad (11.3)$$

It *does not look like* a mean-field formula: the exponentials at the l.h.s. turn into a somewhat different structure, the ratio of sinh's at the r.h.s. Instead, it is nicely consistent with the quasiclassical approximation $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.2): then dominating in the integral over M is the vicinity of $M = 0$ where $\text{Tr } e^{kM} = N$. Note that, in the Hermitian case, taking the limit $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ makes no sense: the μ -dependence is fixed by dimensions of the operators: there is no any weak coupling regime at all, and formulas like (11.1) are exact.

For straightforward q, t -deformation of (11.1) see [19], the clever thing to do in this case is just to take (11.1) as a *definition* of the model, which is much simpler and more practical than to proceed through multiple Jackson integrals and Pochhammer symbols.

Challenging are generalizations of (11.1) in at least five directions:

- to non-Gaussian phases, where changing is only the coefficient in front of the characters at the r.h.s., see [17] for simplest examples,
- to generic knots, not only torus ones, for a more detailed description of the problem see [101],
- to the MacMahon matrix model (8.3), where the Vandermonde determinant is substituted by a product of the Barnes double Γ -functions,
- to the network models [32, 103–105] describing contractions of multiple topological vertices, usual and refined,
- to the Aristotelian tensor models of [34–39, 108].

The challenge is well illustrated already by the operator counting rules. The ordinary characters (Schur functions) and their MacDonalld deformations are labeled by the ordinary Young diagrams, and their abundance is described by the generating function

$$\sum_n \#_{\text{Young}} \cdot q^n = \prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{1 - q^n} \quad (11.4)$$

For the plain partitions, which are labeling representations of the DIM algebra and the affine Yangian [106, 107], it becomes

$$\sum_n \#_{\text{plain}} \cdot q^n = \prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^n} \quad (11.5)$$

while the number of gauge invariant operators in the Aristotelian (rang 3 rainbow) tensor

model grows even faster [38, 108]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \#_{\text{Arist}} \cdot q^n &= \prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)^3(1-q^3)^7(1-q^4)^{26}(1-q^5)^{97}(1-q^6)^{624}(1-q^7)^{4163} \dots} \\ &= \prod_{n=1} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^{\beta_n}} \end{aligned} \tag{11.6}$$

where β_n is the number of unlabeled dessins d'enfants with n edges [109–112].

Our main purpose in this paper was to demonstrate a technical possibility to attack all these problems in a systematic way. Our main emphasize was on the way the character-preservation property unifies highly non-trivial and even previously unnoticed identities (sum rules) between characters. Usually such non-linear relations are described in terms of “integrability”, but physically relevant quantities (non-perturbative partition functions) are long known to be *more* than integrable, the word *superintegrable* seems most adequate to describe the situation. In the standard language of matrix models, the story is that *matrix model* τ -functions are not just *tau*-functions, but satisfy an additional *string equation* (and, in result, the whole set of Virasoro or W - constraints), which altogether makes the model not just integrable, but *explicitly solvable* like additional integrals of motion do for superintegrable mechanical systems. However, the higher symmetry behind the superintegrable models, more complicated than the Coulomb force with its hidden $O(d+1)$ symmetry is still under investigated and is not straightforward to reveal, because it is non-linearly realized. This paper can be considered as a substantial step in this direction, which is based on the technique of character decompositions [1, 33–39, 108, 113, 114], see earlier reviews in [95, 96]. One should now study character decompositions of various harmonics of higher W -operators, including the higher cut-and-join operators W_R of [23, 24], which are their zero-harmonics. These will again involve new summation rules for characters, which can, however, be more comprehensive than those implied by the genus expansions. One of the issues is to study the emerging hook structure of the sum rules (hook formulas) and its dependence on the shape of the diagram R . Exponentiation of cut-and-join operators, which is a kind of trivial since all the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are explicitly known from [23, 24] is, however, also a source of highly non-trivial sum rules for characters. To conclude, the character-preservation property of matrix models reveals an entire new world of non-linear relations between the characters of linear and symmetric groups, which requires an understanding from the point of view of the basic group theory. This is especially important, because the combinatorial solution of matrix models survives various deformations: from Young diagrams to plain partitions, from matrices to tensors, from the Gaussian to higher Airy measures, from the Hermitian to trigonometric model and, probably, further, while the corresponding deformations of Lie algebra theory are yet unknown or, at best, extremely complicated. As usual, the matrix model approach provides a unifying view on the full set of problems and provides an efficient method to solve them.

Acknowledgments

Our work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No.16-12-10344).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *On the complete perturbative solution of one-matrix models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 503 [[arXiv:1705.00976](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.00976)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Ward identities and combinatorics of rainbow tensor models*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 115 [[arXiv:1704.08648](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.08648)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] E.P. Wigner, *On a class of analytic functions from the quantum theory of collisions*, *Annals Math.* **53** (1951) 36.
- [4] F.J. Dyson, *Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I*, *J. Math. Phys.* **3** (1962) 140 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] D.J. Gross and E. Witten, *Possible Third Order Phase Transition in the Large N Lattice Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (1980) 446 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, *Reduction of Dynamical Degrees of Freedom in the Large N Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1063 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] D.V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, A. Nica, *Free random variables*, AMS, Providence, U.S.A., (1992).
- [8] P. Di Francesco, P.H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, *2-D Gravity and random matrices*, *Phys. Rept.* **254** (1995) 1 [[hep-th/9306153](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9306153)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] F. David, *Planar Diagrams, Two-Dimensional Lattice Gravity and Surface Models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **257** (1985) 45 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] V.A. Kazakov, A.A. Migdal and I.K. Kostov, *Critical Properties of Randomly Triangulated Planar Random Surfaces*, *Phys. Lett. B* **157** (1985) 295 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] A. Morozov, *Integrability and matrix models*, *Phys. Usp.* **37** (1994) 1.
- [12] A. Morozov, *Matrix models as integrable systems*, in *Particles and fields. Proceedings, CAP-CRM Summer School, Banff, Canada, August 16–24, 1994*, pp. 127–210, [hep-th/9502091](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9502091) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] A. Morozov, *Challenges of matrix models*, in *String theory: From gauge interactions to cosmology. Proceedings, NATO Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, France, June 7–19, 2004*, pp. 129–162, [hep-th/0502010](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0502010) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] A. Mironov, *2-D gravity and matrix models. 1. 2-D gravity*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** (1994) 4355 [[hep-th/9312212](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9312212)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] A. Mironov, *Matrix models of two-dimensional gravity*, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **33** (2002) 537 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] A. Mironov, *Quantum deformations of tau functions, bilinear identities and representation theory*, [hep-th/9409190](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9409190) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] C. Cordova, B. Heidenreich, A. Popolitov and S. Shakirov, *Orbifolds and Exact Solutions of Strongly-Coupled Matrix Models*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **361** (2018) 1235 [[arXiv:1611.03142](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.03142)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [18] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, U.K., (1995).
- [19] A. Morozov, A. Popolitov and S. Shakirov, *On (q,t) -deformation of Gaussian matrix model*, [arXiv:1803.11401](#) [INSPIRE].
- [20] T.R. Morris, *Checked surfaces and complex matrices*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **356** (1991) 703 [INSPIRE].
- [21] Y. Makeenko, *Complex-matrix model and 2D quantum gravity*, *Pis'ma v ZhETF* **52** (1990) 885.
- [22] Yu. Makeenko, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Continuum versus discrete Virasoro in one matrix models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **356** (1991) 574 [INSPIRE].
- [23] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, *Complete Set of Cut-and-Join Operators in Hurwitz-Kontsevich Theory*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **166** (2011) 1 [a href="#">arXiv:0904.4227] [INSPIRE].
- [24] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Natanzon, *Algebra of differential operators associated with Young diagrams*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012) 148 [a href="#">arXiv:1012.0433] [INSPIRE].
- [25] J. Harer and D. Zagier, *The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves*, *Invent. Math.* **85** (1986) 457.
- [26] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Matrix Integration and Combinatorics of Modular Groups*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **134** (1990) 197 [INSPIRE].
- [27] S.K. Lando and A.K. Zvonkin, *Embedded graphs*, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Preprint 2001 (63).
- [28] A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, *Exact 2-point function in Hermitian matrix model*, *JHEP* **12** (2009) 003 [a href="#">arXiv:0906.0036] [INSPIRE].
- [29] A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, *From Brézin-Hikami to Harer-Zagier formulas for Gaussian correlators*, [arXiv:1007.4100](#) [INSPIRE].
- [30] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, A. Popolitov and S. Shakirov, *Resolvents and Seiberg-Witten representation for Gaussian beta-ensemble*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **171** (2012) 505 [a href="#">arXiv:1103.5470] [INSPIRE].
- [31] Y. Zenkevich, *Quantum spectral curve for (q,t) -matrix model*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **108** (2018) 413 [a href="#">arXiv:1507.00519] [INSPIRE].
- [32] H. Awata et al., *Explicit examples of DIM constraints for network matrix models*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 103 [a href="#">arXiv:1604.08366] [INSPIRE].
- [33] R. de Mello Koch and S. Ramgoolam, *From Matrix Models and Quantum Fields to Hurwitz Space and the absolute Galois Group*, [arXiv:1002.1634](#) [INSPIRE].
- [34] J. Ben Geloun and S. Ramgoolam, *Counting Tensor Model Observables and Branched Covers of the 2-Sphere*, [arXiv:1307.6490](#) [INSPIRE].
- [35] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Rainbow tensor model with enhanced symmetry and extreme melonic dominance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 180 [a href="#">arXiv:1703.04983] [INSPIRE].
- [36] P. Diaz and S.-J. Rey, *Orthogonal Bases of Invariants in Tensor Models*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 089 [a href="#">arXiv:1706.02667] [INSPIRE].
- [37] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Correlators in tensor models from character calculus*, *Phys. Lett. B* **774** (2017) 210 [a href="#">arXiv:1706.03667] [INSPIRE].

- [38] R. de Mello Koch, R. Mello Koch, D. Gossman and L. Tribelhorn, *Gauge Invariants, Correlators and Holography in Bosonic and Fermionic Tensor Models*, *JHEP* **09** (2017) 011 [[arXiv:1707.01455](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [39] J. Ben Geloun and S. Ramgoolam, *Tensor Models, Kronecker coefficients and Permutation Centralizer Algebras*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 092 [[arXiv:1708.03524](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] Harish-Chandra, *Differential operators on a semisimple Lie algebra*, *Am. J. Math.* **79** (1957) 87.
- [41] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *The Planar Approximation. 2.*, *J. Math. Phys.* **21** (1980) 411 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] M. Semenov-Tyan-Šanskiĭ, *Harmonic analysis on Riemannian symmetric spaces of negative curvature and scattering theory*, *Izv. RAN ser. Phys.* **40** (1976) 562.
- [43] J.J. Duistermaat and G.J. Heckman, *On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983) 153.
- [44] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *The moment map and equivariant cohomology*, *Topology* **23** (1984) 1.
- [45] M.F. Atiyah, *Circular symmetry and stationary-phase approximation*, *Asterisque* **131** (1985) 43.
- [46] E. Witten, *Topological Quantum Field Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 353 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] E. Witten, *Introduction to cohomological field theories*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991) 2775 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] A. Alekseev, L. Faddeev and S. Shatashvili, *Quantization of symplectic orbits of compact Lie groups by means of the functional integral*, *J. Geom. Phys.* **5** (1988) 391.
- [49] M. Blau, E. Keski-Vakkuri and A.J. Niemi, *Path integrals and geometry of trajectories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **246** (1990) 92 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] A. Hietamaki, A. Yu. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, *Geometry of $N = 1/2$ supersymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, *Phys. Lett. B* **263** (1991) 417 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] A. Yu. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, *Supersymmetry and loop space geometry*, *Phys. Lett. B* **271** (1991) 365 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [52] A. Yu. Morozov, A. Niemi and K. Palo, *Supersymplectic geometry of supersymmetric quantum field theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **377** (1992) 295 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [53] A. Yu. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, *Geometric approach to supersymmetry*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **6** (1992) 2149 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [54] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov and S.L. Shatashvili, *Issues in topological gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **534** (1998) 549 [[hep-th/9711108](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [55] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov and S.L. Shatashvili, *Testing Seiberg-Witten solution*, in *Strings, branes and dualities. Proceedings, NATO Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, France, May 26 – June 14, 1997*, pp. 359–372, [hep-th/9801061](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] G.W. Moore, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, *Integrating over Higgs branches*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **209** (2000) 97 [[hep-th/9712241](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] G.W. Moore, N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, *D particle bound states and generalized instantons*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **209** (2000) 77 [[hep-th/9803265](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [58] N.A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2003) 831 [[hep-th/0206161](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions*, *Prog. Math.* **244** (2006) 525 [[hep-th/0306238](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71 [[arXiv:0712.2824](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] V. Pestun et al., *Localization techniques in quantum field theories*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 440301 [[arXiv:1608.02952](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J.G. Russo, *Operator mixing in large N superconformal field theories on S^4 and correlators with Wilson loops*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 120 [[arXiv:1607.07878](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [63] A. Pini, D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J.G. Russo, *Large N correlation functions $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal quivers*, *JHEP* **08** (2017) 066 [[arXiv:1701.02315](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [64] A. Bourget, D. Rodriguez-Gomez and J.G. Russo, *A limit for large R -charge correlators in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 074 [[arXiv:1803.00580](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Partition functions of matrix models as the first special functions of string theory. 1. Finite size Hermitean one matrix model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19** (2004) 4127 [[hep-th/0310113](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Unified description of correlators in non-Gaussian phases of Hermitean matrix model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21** (2006) 2481 [[hep-th/0412099](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Solving Virasoro constraints in matrix models*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **53** (2005) 512 [[hep-th/0412205](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and P. Putrov, *Partition Functions of Matrix Models as the First Special Functions of String Theory. II. Kontsevich Model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24** (2009) 4939 [[arXiv:0811.2825](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and Z. Zakirova, *Comment on integrability in Dijkgraaf-Vafa beta-ensembles*, *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012) 332 [[arXiv:1202.6029](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Check-Operators and Quantum Spectral Curves*, *SIGMA* **13** (2017) 047 [[arXiv:1701.03057](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] F. David, *Loop Equations and Nonperturbative Effects in Two-dimensional Quantum Gravity*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5** (1990) 1019 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [72] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *On the origin of Virasoro constraints in matrix models: Lagrangian approach*, *Phys. Lett. B* **252** (1990) 47 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] J. Ambjørn and Yu. M. Makeenko, *Properties of Loop Equations for the Hermitean Matrix Model and for Two-dimensional Quantum Gravity*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5** (1990) 1753 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, *Noncritical Virasoro algebra of $d \geq 1$ matrix model and quantized string field*, *Phys. Lett. B* **255** (1991) 202.
- [75] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *M-theory of matrix models*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **150** (2007) 153 [[hep-th/0605171](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [76] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Instantons and merons in matrix models*, *Physica D* **235** (2007) 126 [[hep-th/0608228](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [77] B. Eynard and N. Orantin, *Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion*, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **1** (2007) 347 [[math-ph/0702045](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] N. Orantin, *Symplectic invariants, Virasoro constraints and Givental decomposition*, [arXiv:0808.0635](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [79] A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *BGWM as Second Constituent of Complex Matrix Model*, *JHEP* **12** (2009) 053 [[arXiv:0906.3305](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [80] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Intersection numbers of Riemann surfaces from Gaussian matrix models*, *JHEP* **10** (2007) 096 [[arXiv:0709.3378](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [81] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Intersection theory from duality and replica*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **283** (2008) 507 [[arXiv:0708.2210](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [82] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, *Level spacing of random matrices in an external source*, *Phys. Rev. E* **58** (1998) 7176 [[cond-mat/9804024](#)].
- [83] A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, *Generation of Matrix Models by W-operators*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 064 [[arXiv:0902.2627](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [84] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-Join operator representation for Kontsevich-Witten tau-function*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26** (2011) 2193 [[arXiv:1009.4887](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [85] A. Alexandrov, *Open intersection numbers, Kontsevich-Penner model and cut-and-join operators*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) 028 [[arXiv:1412.3772](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [86] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-join description of generalized Brezin-Gross-Witten model*, [arXiv:1608.01627](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [87] I. Goulden and D. Jackson, *Transitive factorisations in the symmetric group, and combinatorial aspects of singularity theory*, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997) 51 [[math/9903094](#)].
- [88] M.J. Bowick, A. Morozov and D. Shevitz, *Reduced unitary matrix models and the hierarchy of tau functions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **354** (1991) 496 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [89] S. Kharchev and A. Mironov, *Integrable structures of unitary matrix models*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** (1992) 4803 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [90] M. Mariño, *Chern-Simons theory, matrix integrals and perturbative three manifold invariants*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2004) 25 [[hep-th/0207096](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [91] N. Drukker, M. Mariño and P. Putrov, *Nonperturbative aspects of ABJM theory*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 141 [[arXiv:1103.4844](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [92] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, *Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter*, *JHEP* **03** (2010) 089 [[arXiv:0909.4559](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [93] F. Passerini and K. Zarembo, *Wilson Loops in $N = 2$ Super-Yang-Mills from Matrix Model*, *JHEP* **09** (2011) 102 [*Erratum ibid.* **10** (2011) 065] [[arXiv:1106.5763](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [94] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and G.W. Semenoff, *Unitary matrix integrals in the framework of generalized Kontsevich model. 1. Brézin-Gross-Witten model*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **11** (1996) 5031 [[hep-th/9404005](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [95] A. Yu. Morozov, *Unitary Integrals and Related Matrix Models*, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **162** (2010) 1 [[arXiv:0906.3518](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [96] A.B. Balantekin, *Character Expansions in Physics*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1323** (2010) 1 [[arXiv:1011.3859](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [97] M. Tierz, *Soft matrix models and Chern-Simons partition functions*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **19** (2004) 1365 [[hep-th/0212128](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [98] A. Brini, B. Eynard and M. Mariño, *Torus knots and mirror symmetry*, *Annales Henri Poincaré* **13** (2012) 1873 [[arXiv:1105.2012](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [99] M. Rosso and V.F.R. Jones, *On the invariants of torus knots derived from quantum groups*, *J. Knot Theor. Ramifications* **2** (1993) 97.
- [100] X.-S. Lin and H. Zheng, *On the Hecke algebras and the colored HOMFLY polynomial*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010) 1 [[math/0601267](#)].
- [101] A. Aleksandrov, A.D. Mironov, A. Morozov and A.A. Morozov, *Towards matrix model representation of HOMFLY polynomials*, *JETP Lett.* **100** (2014) 271 [[arXiv:1407.3754](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [102] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *On Hopf-induced deformation of topological locus*, [arXiv:1804.10231](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [103] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and Y. Zenkevich, *On elementary proof of AGT relations from six dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **756** (2016) 208 [[arXiv:1512.06701](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [104] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and Y. Zenkevich, *Spectral duality in elliptic systems, six-dimensional gauge theories and topological strings*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 121 [[arXiv:1603.00304](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [105] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and Y. Zenkevich, *Ding-Iohara-Miki symmetry of network matrix models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **762** (2016) 196 [[arXiv:1603.05467](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [106] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and E. Mukhin, *Quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1 algebra: plane partitions* *Kyoto J. Math.* **52** (2012) 621 [[arXiv:1110.5310](#)].
- [107] T. Procházka, *\mathcal{W} -symmetry, topological vertex and affine Yangian*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 077 [[arXiv:1512.07178](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [108] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Cut and join operator ring in tensor models*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **932** (2018) 52 [[arXiv:1710.10027](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [109] G. Belyi, *On Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*, *Math. USSR Izv.* **14** (1980) 247.
- [110] A. Grothendieck, *Sketch of a Programme*, *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **242** (1997) 243.
- [111] *Esquisse d'un Programme*, in P. Lochak, L. Schneps eds., *Geometric Galois Action*, pp.5-48, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).
- [112] G.B. Shabat and V.A. Voevodsky, *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Birkhauser, (1990), V.III., p.199–227.
- [113] C. Kristjansen, J. Plefka, G.W. Semenoff and M. Staudacher, *A new double scaling limit of $N = 4$ superYang-Mills theory and PP wave strings*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **643** (2002) 3 [[hep-th/0205033](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [114] S. Corley, A. Jevicki and S. Ramgoolam, *Exact correlators of giant gravitons from dual $N = 4$ SYM theory*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **5** (2002) 809 [[hep-th/0111222](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].