

SUMS OF SETS OF CONTINUED FRACTIONS

T. W. CUSICK AND R. A. LEE

ABSTRACT. For each integer $k \geq 2$, let $S(k)$ denote the set of real numbers α such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq k^{-1}$ and α has a continued fraction containing no partial quotient less than k . It is proved that every number in the interval $[0, 1]$ is representable as a sum of k elements of $S(k)$.

For each integer $k \geq 2$, let $S(k)$ denote the set of real numbers α such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq k^{-1}$ and α has a continued fraction containing no partial quotient less than k (here 0 is to be regarded as the reciprocal of an infinite partial quotient, so 0 belongs to $S(k)$). Define the sum $A+B$ of two point sets A and B to be the set of all $a+b$, where a is in A and b is in B . Define the sum $A+A+\cdots+A$ (n summands) inductively for each integer $n \geq 2$, and let nA denote the resulting point set.

Theorem 1 of paper [1] by the first author is equivalent to the assertion that $S(2)+S(2)=[0, 1]$. In this paper we prove the following generalization of this result:

THEOREM. For each integer $k \geq 2$, $kS(k)=[0, 1]$.

We make use of the fact that for each integer $k \geq 2$ the set $S(k)$ may be obtained from $[0, k^{-1}]$ by the removal of a suitable infinite set of disjoint open intervals. In fact, as explained in [1], $S(k)$ belongs to the class of *Cantor point sets*, which are defined by the following procedure: Take a closed interval $A=[x, x+a]$ on the real line, and remove from it a middle open interval $A_{12}=(x+a_1, x+a_1+a_{12})$; two closed intervals $A_1=[x, x+a_1]$ and $A_2=[x+a_1+a_{12}, x+a]$ remain. The second stage of the procedure is the removal of middle open intervals from A_1 and A_2 . The process is continued, so the n th stage of the procedure is the removal of 2^{n-1} middle open intervals. The set which results in the limit, when every closed interval which arises has been subdivided, is a Cantor point set.

To begin the procedure for obtaining $S(k)$ as a Cantor point set, we take $A=[0, k^{-1}]$. Let $CF(0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ denote the continued fraction with partial quotients $0, a_1, a_2, \dots$. In defining the sub-

Presented to the Society, April 9, 1971; received by the editors November 2, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 10F20, 10J99; Secondary 10K15.

Key words and phrases. Continued fractions, Cantor sets, sums of sets.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

divisions of A which produce $S(k)$, two types of intervals with rational endpoints need to be considered. Intervals of the first type have the form

$$(1) \quad [\text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_n), \text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})]$$

where n is an even integer and $a_i \geq k$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$); intervals of the second type have the form

$$(2) \quad [\text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_n), \text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})]$$

where n is an even integer and $a_i \geq k$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Thus A is an interval of the first type with $n = 0$.

In the subdivision process, the interval

$$(3) \quad (\text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} + 1), \text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}, k))$$

is removed from each interval (1) of first type and the interval

$$(4) \quad (\text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_n, k), \text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + 1))$$

is removed from each interval (2) of second type. In both cases the removal of the middle interval leaves behind an interval of first type on the left side and an interval of second type on the right side. Therefore the subdivision process can be continued, and the resulting Cantor point set is $S(k)$. We shall call this procedure the *Cantor dissection process* for obtaining $S(k)$.

Marshall Hall, Jr. gave a sufficient condition for the sum of two Cantor point sets in the intervals B_1 and B_2 to cover the whole interval $B_1 + B_2$ [2, p. 968]. This condition was used in the proof of Theorem 1 of [1]. The proof of the theorem of this paper uses a general sufficient condition for the sum of k copies of a point set contained in an interval A to cover the whole interval kA .

Given any interval I of real numbers, let $|I|$ denote the length of the interval. Let q_j denote the denominator of $\text{CF}(0, a_1, \dots, a_j)$ in lowest terms. We require four preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let I be any one of the open intervals removed in the Cantor dissection process for obtaining $S(k)$. Let M denote the closed interval from which I is removed in the dissection process, and let M_1 and M_2 denote the left-hand and right-hand intervals, respectively, into which M is divided by the removal of I . Then*

$$(5) \quad (k - 1) |M_j| \geq |I| \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

PROOF. A simple calculation shows that the length of the interval (1) of first type is $(q_n q_{n+1})^{-1}$ and that the ratios of the length

of the excluded interval (3) to the lengths of the retained intervals on the left and right, respectively, are $(k-1)q_n/(kq_{n+1}+q_n)$ and $(k-1)q_{n+1}/(q_{n+1}+q_n)$. These ratios are always less than 1 and $k-1$, respectively. Similarly, the length of the interval (2) of second type is $(q_{n-1}q_n)^{-1}$ and the ratios of the length of the excluded interval (4) to the lengths of the retained intervals on the left and right, respectively, are $(k-1)q_n/(q_n+q_{n-1})$ and $(k-1)q_{n-1}/(kq_n+q_{n-1})$. These ratios are always less than $k-1$ and 1, respectively. This proves (5).

LEMMA 2. Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be any bounded closed intervals of real numbers. Suppose that an open interval I is removed from the middle of A_1 , leaving two closed intervals A_{11} and A_{12} on the left and right, respectively. If $\sum_{i=2}^n |A_i| \geq |I|$, then

$$A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n = (A_{11} \cup A_{12}) + A_2 + \dots + A_n.$$

PROOF. The sum $A_{11} + \sum_{i=2}^n A_i$ is a closed interval with the same left-hand endpoint, say L , as $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ and with right-hand endpoint $L + |A_{11}| + \sum_{i=2}^n |A_i|$. The sum $A_{12} + \sum_{i=2}^n A_i$ is a closed interval with the same right-hand endpoint as $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ and with left-hand endpoint $L + |A_{11}| + |I|$. Thus these two intervals cover $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ if and only if they overlap, that is, if and only if

$$L + |A_{11}| + |I| \leq L + |A_{11}| + \sum_{i=2}^n |A_i|.$$

This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let B be the union of a finite number of disjoint bounded closed intervals A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r of real numbers. Suppose that an open interval I is removed from the middle of A_1 , leaving two closed intervals A_{r+1} and A_{r+2} on the left and right, respectively. Let $B^* = \bigcup_{i=2}^{r+2} A_i$. If

$$(6) \quad (n-1)|A_i| \geq |I| \quad (2 \leq i \leq r+2),$$

then $nB^* = nB$.

PROOF. It follows from (6) and Lemma 2 that for any choice of integers $f(i)$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$) satisfying $2 \leq f(i) \leq r+2$, we have

$$(7) \quad A_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n A_{f(i)} = (A_{r+1} \cup A_{r+2}) + \sum_{i=2}^n A_{f(i)}.$$

Define $A_1^* = A_{r+1} \cup A_{r+2}$ and $A_i^* = A_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq r$). For any choice of integers $g(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfying $1 \leq g(i) \leq r$ and $g(i) = 1$ for at least one i , the set $\sum_{i=1}^n A_{g(i)}^*$ can be written as a union of sets of the form $A_1^* + \sum_{i=2}^n A_{f(i)}$, where $2 \leq f(i) \leq r+2$ for each i , $2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus (7)

implies that for any choice of integers $g(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) satisfying $1 \leq g(i) \leq r$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n A_{g(i)}^* = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{g(i)}.$$

This implies $nB^* = nB$, as required.

LEMMA 4. *If C_1, C_2, \dots is a sequence of bounded closed sets of real numbers and C_i contains C_{i+1} for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then*

$$(8) \quad n \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} nC_i.$$

PROOF. For any i , the set nC_i contains the left side of (8), so the right side of (8) clearly contains the left. In order to prove the opposite containment, let t be any number in $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} nC_i$.

Define for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$V_i = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_j \text{ is in } C_i \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

and define

$$T = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = t \right\}.$$

Since V_i is a bounded closed set and T is closed, the set $T \cap V_i$ is bounded, closed and nonempty. Also $T \cap V_i$ contains $T \cap V_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, so by the Cantor intersection theorem

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (T \cap V_i) = T \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$$

is a nonempty set. If (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) is any member of this set, then each t_i is in $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = t$. Hence t belongs to $n \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$, and this proves the lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM. We take $S_0 = [0, k^{-1}]$ and define a sequence of sets S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots with the following properties:

$$(9) \quad S_i \text{ contains } S_{i+1} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots);$$

$$(10) \quad \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i = S(k);$$

and

$$(11) \quad kS_i = kS_{i+1} \quad (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

We saw earlier that $S(k)$ may be obtained from S_0 by removing an infinite set of disjoint open intervals, namely the set of all open intervals of form (3) or (4) with each $a_i \geq k$. We can arrange this set of open intervals in order of decreasing length (if several intervals have the same length, we can take them in any order, say from left to right in S_0). Let the resulting sequence of intervals be D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots and define S_i to be S_{i-1} with D_{i-1} removed ($i=1, 2, \dots$). Clearly the sequence S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots has the properties (9) and (10) above.

In order to show that (11) holds, we apply Lemma 3 with $n=k$ and, successively, $B=S_i, B^*=S_{i+1}, I=D_i$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots$). The following considerations show that the condition (6) holds for each application of the lemma.

For each $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$, S_{i+1} is obtained from S_i by removing D_i from some closed interval, say H_i , contained in S_i . The removal of D_i splits H_i into two closed intervals, say H_{i1} and H_{i2} , to the left and right, respectively, of D_i . If (6) holds for $B=S_{i-1}, B^*=S_i, I=D_{i-1}$, then, since $|D_{i-1}| \geq |D_i|$, in order to prove (6) for $B=S_i, B^*=S_{i+1}, I=D_i$, it suffices to verify

$$(12) \quad (k-1) |H_{ij}| \geq |D_i| \quad (j=1, 2).$$

We take $I=D_i$ in (5), so that M_1 and M_2 are closed intervals adjacent to D_i on the left and right, respectively. If H_{ij} contains or is equal to M_j , then (12) follows from (5).

If H_{ij} is strictly contained in M_j , then there is some interval D_m with $m < i$ adjacent to H_{ij} on the side opposite D_i , and D_m intersects M_j . Therefore D_m must be removed *after* D_i in the Cantor dissection process for obtaining $S(k)$. The removal of D_m in the Cantor dissection process leaves behind two closed intervals, one adjacent to each end of D_m . Let N denote the interval of these two which is between D_m and D_i . Since H_{ij} must contain N , we have

$$(k-1) |H_{ij}| \geq (k-1) |N| \geq |D_m| \geq |D_i|;$$

the second inequality follows from (5) with $I=D_m$ and the third inequality follows from the fact that $m < i$. This completes the proof of (12). It is not difficult to show that in fact the set M of Lemma 1 always contains H_i , so the situation discussed in this paragraph never occurs. However, we do not need this, and the proof given has the advantage of avoiding any detailed appeal to properties of the Cantor dissection process.

Using (12), we prove by induction that (6) holds in Lemma 3 with $B=S_i, B^*=S_{i+1}, I=D_i$ ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots$). This completes the proof of (11).

Since $kS_0 = [0, 1]$, (9) and (11) imply $[0, 1] = \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} kS_i$. Now (9), (10) and Lemma 4 give the theorem.

The theorem is best possible in the sense that for any $k \geq 2$ and any $j < k$, $jS(k) = [0, 1]$ is false; for we have trivially that $jS(k)$ is contained in $jS_0 = [0, j/k]$.

REFERENCES

1. T. W. Cusick, *Sums and products of continued fractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1971), 35–38.
2. Marshall Hall, Jr., *On the sum and product of continued fractions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **48** (1947), 966–993. MR 9, 226.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14226