

**Review**

# Sun lotion chemicals as endocrine disruptors

**Sotirios Maipas, Polyxeni Nicolopoulou-Stamati***National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Medicine, First Department of Pathology and Cytology Unit, 1st Pathology Laboratory, Athens, Greece*

Both authors contributed equally to this work

**ABSTRACT**

**Ultraviolet solar radiation is a well-known environmental health risk factor and the use of sun lotions is encouraged to achieve protection mainly from skin cancer. Sun lotions are cosmetic commercial products that combine active and inactive ingredients and many of these are associated with health problems, including allergic reactions and endocrine disorders. This review focuses on their ability to cause endocrine and reproductive impairments, with emphasis laid on the active ingredients (common and less common UV filters). In vitro and in vivo studies have demonstrated their ability to show oestrogenic/anti-oestrogenic and androgenic/anti-androgenic activity. Many ingredients affect the oestrous cycle, spermatogenesis, sexual behaviour, fertility and other reproductive parameters in experimental animals. Their presence in aquatic environments may reveal a new emerging environmental hazard.**

**Key words:** Active ingredients, Endocrine disruptors, Environmental hazard, Reproductive impairments, Sun creams, Sun lotions, Sunscreens, UV filters

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Ultraviolet (UV) solar radiation is one of the most studied environmental health risk factors. The Earth's atmosphere functions as a natural shield, but it cannot protect us completely from the UV radiation that reaches the surface.<sup>1,2</sup> Skin has its own protective mechanisms, such as tanning and stratum thicken-

ing, but the level of photoprotection is insufficient to prevent the harmful effects of UV radiation.<sup>3,4</sup>

To achieve a better level of protection, the use of sun lotions and creams, often with a high protection factor, is advised.<sup>5</sup> However, health issues associated with their ingredients exist and include, among others, allergic reactions<sup>6-8</sup> as well as endocrine and reproductive disorders.<sup>9,10</sup> Manufacturers of sun lotions constantly modify the composition of their products (active and inactive ingredients) to make them more effective and safer for consumers. Nevertheless, the safety of these products is relative and questionable.

Sun lotions have become popular commercial products. Furthermore, many cosmetic products (not only sun lotions and creams) are on the market containing

*Address for correspondence:*

Polyxeni Nicolopoulou-Stamati Professor of Pathology, Scientific Director of MSc Environment and Health. Capacity Building for Decision Making, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 11527, Greece, Tel.: +302107462163, Fax:+302106840488, E-mail: [aspis@ath.forthnet.gr](mailto:aspis@ath.forthnet.gr)

*Received: 16-12-2014, Accepted: 30-01-2015*

some of the active ingredients.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, exposure to these UV filters does not occur only through traditional sun protection products. This review article focuses on the ability of UV filter chemicals to cause endocrine and/or reproductive impairments.

### **1.1. UV solar radiation**

UV solar radiation is usually divided into three groups. The most energetic, known as UV-C (100-280 nm), is completely absorbed by atmospheric molecular oxygen and ozone and does not reach the Earth's surface. UV-B (280-315 nm) reaches the surface, its quantity being determined by stratospheric ozone concentration since ozone is a strong absorber at these wavelengths. UV-A (315-400 nm) reaches the surface without significant losses during its passage through the atmosphere. Cloudiness impedes both UV-A and UV-B.<sup>1,12,13</sup> As both UV-B and UV-A cause negative health effects,<sup>3,14</sup> protection from these forms of radiation is indicated.

To avoid a possible confusion with the spectral ranges mentioned above, it is useful to redefine them according to photobiology. Taking into consideration the biological effects, the spectrum between 200-290 nm is called UV-C and that between 290 and 320 nm UV-B, while UV-A covers the 320-400 nm range.<sup>12</sup>

Exposure to UV radiation causes, inter alia, sunburn, tanning, photoaging, melanoma and other malignancies, cataracts and immunosuppression.<sup>3,14-16</sup> Although UV-A penetrates more deeply into the skin,<sup>17</sup> UV-B is considered to cause more biological effects than UV-A.<sup>3</sup> Nevertheless, skin exposure to UV-B is necessary for the cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D.<sup>18</sup>

### **1.2. Sun lotions**

Sun lotions are cosmetic products that protect from UV radiation after topical dermal application due to their active ingredients. These ingredients absorb or block UV irradiation by reflection and/or scattering.<sup>19,20</sup> Their properties protect their users from UV-B or both UV-B and UV-A, depending on their formulation.

Commercial sun lotions are grouped into three categories. The first includes those products whose UV filters are organic chemical absorbers.<sup>19,21-23</sup> The second group includes products which contain metal

oxides (inorganic UV filters, e.g. titanium dioxide and/or zinc oxide).<sup>19-24</sup> The third group includes formulations which combine organic and inorganic agents.<sup>21,24</sup>

Manufacturers combine different UV filters (hereinafter referred to as "active ingredients") to increase the sun protection factor (SPF) of their lotions or creams.<sup>21,25</sup> Simply phrased, SPF is a numerical value indicating the protection level against sunburn.<sup>21</sup>

### **1.3. Endocrine disruptors and reproductive health**

Endocrine disruptors are chemicals that alter the normal function of the endocrine system, leading to a variety of health problems, such as reproductive impairments and female and male cancers as well.<sup>26-29</sup>

Exposure to endocrine disruptors starts in utero and never ceases throughout life, since these chemicals are present in a variety of daily products, including food, bottled water and cosmetics.<sup>30-35</sup> Some of the ingredients of sun lotions show endocrine altering and disrupting properties.<sup>9,36,37</sup>

Possible reproductive impairments are crucial because they are associated with infertility.<sup>26,27,38-41</sup> The life of all living organisms is strongly connected with the environment and reproduction is the key factor for their survival.<sup>42</sup> Endocrine disruptors are omnipresent in ecosystems and some of their properties, including their bioaccumulation potential, should be taken seriously into account.<sup>43</sup>

### **1.4. Sun lotion chemicals and routes of exposure**

Since sun lotions and creams are applied to the skin, the main route of exposure to them is dermal. The skin is the body's largest organ. It has its own defence mechanisms such as the formation of a protective barrier.<sup>44</sup> With regard to sun lotions, the effectiveness of the skin as a barrier against chemicals, biological agents and radiation depends on many factors such as the body site of application, the person's age, the health status of the skin, the frequency of the application, the duration of skin contact with the product and the possible presence of chemicals that enhance the penetration of other substances.<sup>17,45-47</sup>

Furthermore, exposure is also possible through inhalation. Apart from the fact that some sun lotions

are sprays,<sup>48</sup> many sun lotions contain fragrances made with endocrine disruptors.<sup>49</sup>

Finally, exposure through ingestion is also possible, especially as a result of hand-to-mouth habit.<sup>50</sup> Generally, this behaviour is a noteworthy risk factor for children.<sup>51</sup> Additionally, sunscreen lipsticks contribute to the exposure by ingestion.<sup>52,53</sup>

## 2. ORGANIC CHEMICAL ABSORBERS

Since the production of the first sun lotions and creams, many organic absorbents have been used as filters. The most common organic chemical absorbers include the chemicals discussed below.

### 2.1. Benzophenone compounds

#### 2.1.1. Oxybenzone

Oxybenzone (or 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone, benzophenone-3, BP3) is a benzophenone (BP) compound (commonly used as a UV filter) with known endocrine disrupting characteristics. In vitro studies (human oestrogen receptor alpha (hER $\alpha$ ) and human androgen receptor (hAR) assays) indicate that BP3 displays oestrogenic, anti-oestrogenic and anti-androgenic activity.<sup>9</sup>

BP3 exhibits anti-oestrogenic and anti-androgenic activity in vivo in fish. In the brain of adult zebrafish males, BP3 down-regulates alpha oestrogen receptors and androgen receptors. The effects mainly occur at an aquatic concentration of 84  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , but that concentration is much higher than the highest environmental level of 10  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , as had been hypothesized by this study.<sup>54</sup> Fish exposure to oxybenzone also affects egg production and hatching. For instance, exposure of Japanese medaka to 620  $\mu\text{g/l}$  of BP3 reduces egg production (temporarily) and the normally expected hatching percentage. However, effects on these parameters also occur at lower concentrations (132  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , 16  $\mu\text{g/l}$ ). Significant vitellogenin induction occurs at high doses, e.g. 620  $\mu\text{g/l}$  in the Japanese medaka (vitellogenin is a biomarker for oestrogenic results).<sup>55,56</sup>

Furthermore, oxybenzone increases the uterine weight of immature rats with a median effective dose (ED50) in the range of 1000 to 1500 mg/kg/day (dietary administration). A continuous breeding study revealed that the exposure of mice to high doses of

BP3 reduces the number and the weight of offspring and increases the mortality of the lactating dams. The no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) for reproductive toxicity was 1.25% in feed.<sup>57</sup> However, a dermal application of high doses (up to 400 mg/kg/day) to male mice does not affect reproductive organ weight or production and quality of sperm.<sup>58</sup>

In humans, a reduction of birth weight in girls and an increase in boys may also be associated with maternal exposure to oxybenzone,<sup>59</sup> which has also been detected in breast milk.<sup>60</sup>

#### 2.1.2. Benzophenone-1

Benzophenone-1 (2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone, BP1) is a common metabolite of oxybenzone. BP1 binds to oestrogen receptors obtained from rats' uteri.<sup>61</sup> Its oestrogenic and anti-androgenic activities have been confirmed in vitro (hER $\alpha$  and hAR assays).<sup>9</sup> Its oestrogenic activity has also been confirmed in vivo in fishes (significant vitellogenin induction at 4.919 mg/l).<sup>62</sup> Ex vivo assays with testes from mice and rats revealed that BP1 inhibits testosterone synthesis.<sup>63</sup>

Moreover, BP1 affects the early life-stage development of the marine copepod *Acartia Tonsa*. Environmental conditions, such as salinity and temperature, influence the toxic effect. For instance, at 20°C, the median effective concentration (EC50) was 1.1 mg/l and at 15°C, 0.49 mg/l.<sup>64</sup>

Finally, endometriosis in women, which is an oestrogen-dependent disease, is associated with exposure to benzophenone compounds and especially with exposure to BP1.<sup>65</sup>

#### 2.1.3 Other benzophenone compounds

Benzophenone-2 (2,2',4,4'-tetrahydroxybenzophenone, BP-2) is another sun lotion component of the benzophenone group. In vitro assays revealed its ability to display oestrogenic, androgenic and anti-androgenic activity.<sup>9</sup> In addition, in utero exposure of male mice to BP-2 causes hypospadias.<sup>66</sup> Its oestrogenic activity has been confirmed in vivo in fishes.<sup>62,67,68</sup> Exposure of fishes to BP-2 affects the gonads, the secondary sex characteristics, spawning activity and fertility with a lowest observed effect concentration (LOEC) of 1.2 mg/l.<sup>67,68</sup>

Experiments with zebrafish showed that suliso-

benzene (Benzophenone-4, BP4) disrupts the normal endocrine function of the animals (oestrogenic activity) and induces alterations in the genes related to thyroid development. The lowest effect concentration (LEC) was found to be 30 µg/l.<sup>69</sup> Furthermore, BP4 shows oestrogenic/anti-oestrogenic and anti-androgenic properties *in vitro*.<sup>9</sup>

Dioxybenzone (Benzophenone-8, BP8), which is also a sun lotion benzophenone ingredient, shows oestrogenic activity *in vitro*.<sup>70</sup> 4-hydroxybenzophenone (or p-hydroxybenzophenone) is another benzophenone compound with endocrine disrupting properties *in vitro*.<sup>71</sup> What is more, 4-hydroxybenzophenone displays endocrine activity in juvenile female rats and increases the weight of their uterus after subcutaneous administration.<sup>72</sup>

## 2.2. Octyl methoxycinnamate

Octyl methoxycinnamate (ethylhexyl methoxycinnamate, octinoxate, OMC) is a commonly used UV filter with known endocrine disrupting properties.<sup>9,36</sup>

In rats, OMC causes various impairments, including the alteration of the normal release of the luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) and of the amino acid neurotransmitters from the hypothalamus.<sup>73</sup> This sunscreen filter can decrease the normal serum concentrations of the hormones: thyrotropin (thyroid-stimulating hormone, TSH), thyroxine (T4) and triiodothyronine (T3) in rats. This reveals a possible impact on the function of the hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis.<sup>74</sup>

OMC exhibits anti-oestrogenic and androgenic/anti-androgenic activity but no oestrogenic activity in hERα and hAR assays.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore, a two-generation study with rats concluded that OMC has no oestrogenic effect *in vivo* either. A NOAEL of 450 mg/kg bw/day (dietary administration) for reproductive disorders was established and a dose of 1000 mg/kg bw/day was found to be able to delay the sexual maturation of the offspring for a few days.<sup>75</sup>

Exposure to OMC by gavage leads to a decrease of T4 concentration in female rats (dams) and affects the reproductive and the neurological development of their offspring. Exposure to 1000, 750 or 500 mg/kg bw/day from gestation day 7 to postnatal day 17 negatively affected the sperm counts of the male

offspring.<sup>76</sup> OMC also increases the uterine weight of immature rats with an ED50 of 935 mg/kg/day (dietary administration).<sup>36</sup> Moreover, the exposure of the aquatic insect *Chironomus riparius* to OMC affects its normal endocrine function.<sup>77</sup>

Skin absorption of OMC is possible, which accounts for its presence in plasma, urine and human milk.<sup>60,78</sup> Its presence in human milk leads to neo-natal exposure, which is of particular concern.

## 2.3. 4-methylbenzylidene camphor

4-methylbenzylidene camphor (4-MBC, enzacamene) disrupts normal endocrine function in rats, fishes, aquatic molluscs and insects.<sup>37,77,79,80</sup>

*In vitro* assays (hERα and hAR) have revealed its anti-oestrogenic and anti-androgenic properties.<sup>9</sup> Exposure of rats to 4-MBC (dietary administration of F0 generation and F1 until adulthood) increases the uterine weight of the female offspring and the thyroid weight of both sexes in the two generations.<sup>37</sup> 4-MBC delays male puberty and disrupts the normal female sexual behaviour of the offspring (dietary administration of F0 generation and F1 until adulthood) with a NOAEL of 0.7 mg/kg/day and a lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL) of 7 mg/kg/day.<sup>10</sup> An ED50 of 309 mg/kg/day (dietary administration) was determined in immature rats for increasing uterine weight.<sup>36</sup>

## 2.4. 3-benzylidene camphor

The UV filter 3-benzylidene camphor (3-BC) exhibits endocrine disrupting activity (oestrogenic, anti-oestrogenic and anti-androgenic) as demonstrated using hERα and hAR assays.<sup>9</sup> 3-BC shows oestrogenic activity not only *in vitro* but also *in vivo* in fish and aquatic molluscs.<sup>62,80</sup>

3-BC delays male puberty and disrupts normal female sexual behaviour and the oestrous cycle of rat offspring (dietary administration as in the case of 4-MBC, NOAEL: 0.07 mg/kg/day and LOAEL: 0.24 mg/kg/day).<sup>10</sup> Additionally, less heavy rat uteri are associated with exposure to 3-BC.<sup>37</sup>

Exposure to 3-BC is also associated with disorders of the normal reproductive function of fish, produces feminization of male secondary sex characteristics and affects the gonads (male and female) and fertility with a LOEC of 3 µg/l.<sup>68</sup>

## 2.5. PABA, OD-PABA, Et-PABA

PABA (para-aminobenzoic acid, p-aminobenzoic acid, 4-aminobenzoic acid), OD-PABA (Padimate O, Octyl-dimethyl PABA, Ethylhexyl dimethyl PABA) and Et-PABA (Ethyl-4-aminobenzoate) are chemicals known for their ability to absorb UV radiation.

Regarding likely effects of PABA on the endocrine and/or the reproductive system, research until now is limited. A study has demonstrated anti-oestrogenic activity in a hER $\alpha$  assay,<sup>9</sup> but other experiments have not revealed a noteworthy connection or a negative effect. For instance, the exposure of pregnant rats to PABA at 50 mg/kg (intra-gastric administration) slightly affects the normal development of the body mass of rat foetuses, but this effect was characterized as “insignificant”, as body mass development usually becomes normal after birth.<sup>81</sup>

OD-PABA displays oestrogen antagonistic activity in vitro<sup>70</sup> and shows an endocrine effect in the aquatic insect *Chironomus riparius*.<sup>77</sup> Et-PABA is also an endocrine disrupting agent. Oestrogenic activity in vitro and in vivo in fishes has been shown.<sup>9</sup> Both chemicals display anti-androgenic activity in vitro.<sup>9</sup>

## 2.6. Other organic filters

The organic UV filters octocrylene (OC), homosalate (HMS, homomethyl salicylate) and octisalate (octyl salicylate, OS or ethylhexyl salicylate, EHS) show anti-oestrogenic, androgenic and anti-androgenic activity in hER $\alpha$  and hAR assays.<sup>9</sup> The available data, e.g. for octocrylene,<sup>82</sup> does not reveal reproductive risks.

Tinosorb M (methylene bis-benzotriazolyl tetramethylbutylphenol, bisoctrizole) and Tinosorb S (bis-ethylhexyloxyphenol methoxyphenyl triazine, bemotrizinol) are organic compounds used in sun lotions. None of these ingredients is expected to have an endocrine disrupting activity (in vitro assays and in vivo subcutaneous administration).<sup>83</sup> In any case, both chemicals are relatively new components and more research is needed on their possible effects on the endocrine and the reproductive systems.

Avobenzone (butyl-methoxydibenzoylmethane) is additionally an active compound, regarding which more investigation is indicated. Limited evidence suggests that it does not display oestrogenic activity.<sup>36</sup>

More investigation is also needed to determine a possible endocrine and reproductive disrupting role of 2-Ethoxyethyl p-methoxycinnamate (cinoxate), trolamine salicylate (or triethanolamine salicylate) and Mexoryl SX (Ecamsule). Lack of evidence as to endocrine disrupting properties and/or reproductive impairments characterizes the majority of the remaining and less common ingredients as well. These compounds include, among others, Amiloxate (Isoamyl p-Methoxycinnamate), Mexoryl SX (Ecamsule), Uvinul A Plus (diethylamino hydroxybenzoyl hexyl benzoate), octyl triazone (ethylhexyl triazone, Uvinul T 150), polysilicone-15 (Parsol SLX) and Methyl anthranilate (Meradimate).

## 3. METAL OXIDES

Titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) and zinc oxide (ZnO) are two metal oxides traditionally known for their sunblocking properties.<sup>20</sup> Sun lotion manufacturers formerly used the bulk form of these materials, but nanotechnology advances have made possible the use of their nanoscale form. The new nanoscale ingredients are more aesthetic, as they do not produce the characteristic opaque film created by the largescale ones.<sup>84</sup>

Regarding their possible dermal penetration/absorption, there are studies that have shown that these nanoparticles do not penetrate the skin deeper than the stratum corneum in vitro or do not exhibit skin penetration in vivo.<sup>85</sup> However, Zn from ZnO nanoparticles can be absorbed by a healthy skin and can be detected in human urine and/or blood samples.<sup>86</sup> The parameter “healthy skin” is important because if the skin has e.g. a disrupted stratum corneum, the behaviour of these particles may be different.<sup>84</sup>

Nanoparticle forms of both metal oxides produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the presence of UV radiation.<sup>84</sup> In general, ZnO nanoparticles are considered more toxic than TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles.<sup>87</sup>

### 3.1. Zinc oxide

Zinc is a necessary trace element for normal reproductive function, such as normal spermatogenesis and the oestrous cycle.<sup>88</sup> However, a study revealed that high doses of dietary zinc may cause apoptosis of reproductive tissues in hens.<sup>89</sup> Other experiments have shown that zinc in high doses causes hormonal problems in rats.<sup>90</sup>

In mice, ZnO nanoparticles accumulate in liver and cause oxidative stress, DNA damage and apoptosis in its cells two weeks after oral exposure to 300 mg/kg.<sup>91</sup> Furthermore, in *Cyprinus carpio* fishes, ZnO nanoparticles bioaccumulate more easily and cause more oxidative damage compared to its bulk counterparts.<sup>92</sup>

ZnO nanoparticles are toxic for white sea urchin embryos with an EC50 of 99.5 µg/l.<sup>93</sup> ZnO nanoparticle aggregates affect zebrafish and cause delayed embryo hatching (84 hours EC50 for this study: 23.06 mg/l) and likely malformations in embryos and larvae.<sup>94</sup> The aquatic toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles is attributed to Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions (mainly), or to the nanoparticles themselves, or to a possible combination of both.<sup>94-96</sup>

Moreover, a study revealed that the nanoforms of ZnO can impede normal cocoon production (a reproductive parameter) of earthworms in artificial soil conditions, exhibiting greater toxicity attributed to the dissociation of Zn ions.<sup>97</sup>

### 3.2. Titanium dioxide

Nanoparticles of TiO<sub>2</sub> present a risk factor for the male mouse reproductive system, affecting the normal density and motility of the sperm at high doses of exposure (500 mg/kg, intraperitoneal injection every other day).<sup>98</sup> The intravenous injection of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with a diameter of 35 nm disrupts normal pregnancy progression in already pregnant mice.<sup>99</sup> Chronic exposure (21 days) of the aquatic organism *Daphnia magna* to nanoparticles of TiO<sub>2</sub> (0.1, 0.5, 1, 5 mg/l) inhibits its reproduction.<sup>100</sup>

Chronic exposure (13 weeks) of zebrafish to 0.1 mg/l and 1 mg/l of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles negatively affects their reproductive system and reduces egg production.<sup>101</sup> Chronic exposure (21 days) to nanoparticles of TiO<sub>2</sub> (length: 50 nm, width: 10nm) coated with hydrated silica, dimethicone/methicone copolymer and aluminum hydroxide (T-Lite SF-S) affects the reproduction of *Daphnia magna* freshwater invertebrates in a negative way. A LOEC of 10 mg/l and a no observed effect concentration (NOEC) of 3 mg/l were defined. The concentration of 10 mg/l caused a two-day delay in the production of the first offspring. The EC50 for the reproductive outcomes was 26.6 mg/l.<sup>102</sup>

Another known effect related to reproductive toxicity issues is the decrease of cocoon production by earthworms in artificial soil due to exposure to nano TiO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>97</sup> Furthermore, a possible endocrine role of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles can be deduced from the induction of insulin resistance in liver-derived cells.<sup>103</sup>

The use of nanoparticles raises safety issues. One of the most important is possible transport through the placental barrier leading to potential disruption of normal embryogenesis and to foetal exposure.<sup>104</sup> TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles are detected in the male offspring after subcutaneous administration to pregnant mice and cause reproductive impairments leading to problematic spermatogenesis.<sup>105</sup>

## 4. SKIN PENETRATION ENHANCERS

Sun lotions can act as penetration enhancers by favouring the flux of hazardous chemicals through the skin.<sup>106-108</sup> A study had demonstrated that these products can increase the penetration of benzene through human skin in vitro, but it did not identify the culpable components.<sup>106</sup> However, a newer study showed that the active ingredients octyl methoxycinnamate, oxybenzone, sulisobenzene, OD-PABA, octisalate, homosalate and the insect repellent DEET can increase the penetration of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid through hairless mouse skin in vitro. Only Octocrylene did not promote the uptake.<sup>108</sup>

Many of the chemicals that penetrate the skin more easily show endocrine disrupting activity and/or cause reproductive impairments. For instance, as mentioned above, some sun lotions can act as penetration enhancers for 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid.<sup>107,108</sup> This substance, known for its endocrine disrupting role,<sup>109</sup> is a widely used herbicide. 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid can affect normal development of the central nervous system of rats and their spermatogenesis.<sup>110,111</sup> It also disrupts normal rat maternal behaviour.<sup>112</sup> Farmers who are exposed to herbicides and daily spend many hours outside and use sunscreens to protect themselves from UV radiation face a higher risk for this kind of penetration.<sup>107,108</sup>

Therefore, possible endocrine disrupting activity and/or impairment of the reproductive system caused by increase of exposure to other chemicals can be an indirect effect of the use of UV filter chemicals.

## 5. INACTIVE INGREDIENTS

Sun lotions are formulated using inactive ingredients such as parabens (alkyl esters of p-hydroxybenzoic acid). Parabens are common preservatives of pharmaceutical and cosmetic products. Not all sun lotions contain parabens and many manufacturers have replaced them with other substances. For instance, during this research, a brand was identified whose previous formulations contained three parabens (propylparaben, butylparaben and methylparaben), but its new ones are paraben-free. Other ingredients (both inactive and active) also changed, although it was commercialized as the same product with the same SPF. Other common inactive ingredients are dimethicones, phthalates, disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA), triethanolamine and water.

### 5.1. Parabens

Parabens show endocrine disrupting activity and are known to negatively affect the male reproductive system.<sup>113</sup> Below is a selection of examples that arouse concern among scientists about their use in cosmetics.

Male rats exposed to propylparaben at four concentrations (0.00% (control) and 0.01, 0.1 and 1.0%) in their diet revealed a dose-dependent decrease of the testosterone concentration in the serum.<sup>114</sup> Butylparaben shows the same effect in male mice and inhibits their spermatogenesis.<sup>115</sup> Maternal rat exposure to butylparaben affects the development of the reproductive system of the F1 male offspring after subcutaneous injections, leading to decreased sperm count and motility.<sup>116</sup>

Propylparaben, butylparaben, methylparaben and ethylparaben display oestrogenic activity *in vitro*. However, oral exposure of rats did not result in oestrogen activity. On the other hand, subcutaneous administration of butylparaben resulted in oestrogenic effects *in vivo* in rats.<sup>117</sup>

Parabens have the ability to display 17 $\beta$ -oestradiol-like effects and bind to the alpha and beta oestrogen receptors.<sup>118</sup> The beta oestrogen receptor (ER $\beta$ ) may be engaged in the development of melanoma.<sup>119</sup> This is the basis for major concern regarding the binding of parabens with oestrogen receptors.<sup>117,118</sup> Exposure to parabens may also cause increased oestradiol concentrations in the skin as a result of their ability to inhibit the oestrogen sulfation mechanism.<sup>120</sup>

Therefore, the use of endocrine disruptors, such as parabens, in cosmetic products for cutaneous use (e.g. sun lotions) poses significant health risks.<sup>113</sup> Furthermore, it should be mentioned that methylparaben enhances the negative effect of UV-B radiation on skin keratinocytes.<sup>121</sup>

### 5.2. Dimethicones

Siloxanes are inactive ingredients that are used in cosmetic products such as sun lotions and creams. The most commonly used are octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4), decamethylcyclopentasiloxane (D5) and dodecamethylcyclohexasiloxane (D6). The mixtures containing mainly these siloxanes are known as “cyclomethicones”. Sun lotion manufacturers use polydimethylsiloxane silicone (PDMS) formulations known as “dimethicones” in which D4 is present in their final formulation. A dimethicone can be combined with whatever/any form of silica to produce “simethicone”, which is another inactive ingredient in sun lotions.<sup>122-124</sup>

D4 shows endocrine disrupting activity and causes reproductive disorders. More in particular, D4 exhibits weak oestrogenic and anti-oestrogenic activity in rats.<sup>125</sup> What is more, the daily exposure of rats to D4 vapour in a variety of concentrations provokes a longer oestrous cycle and decreases fertility indices. A NOAEL of 300 ppm for female reproductive toxicity has been determined, while the NOAEL for males is 700 ppm.<sup>126</sup>

### 5.3. Phthalates

Even though phthalates are not mentioned in the list of ingredients, they might be part of the formulation of the ingredient known as “fragrance”, “perfume” and “parfum” (or other related terms). For manufacturers it is not compulsory to reveal the components of their fragrances because the composition of these products can be kept secret.<sup>49,127</sup> Exposure to phthalates might also result from their migration from the plastic packaging into the cosmetic product.<sup>128</sup>

Numerous papers have been published on the health effects of phthalates such as diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP) and di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) and of their metabolites such as mono(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (MEHP).<sup>129-133</sup> This overview is limited to a few characteristic aspects of

their effects on the endocrine and the reproductive system.<sup>133,134</sup>

Exposure of female rats to DEHP reduces oestradiol concentration in serum, prolongs the oestrous cycle and inhibits ovulation.<sup>135</sup> Further, DEHP shows anti-androgenic activity of DEHP in rats.<sup>136</sup> In utero and during lactation, DEHP causes abnormalities of the male reproductive tract in rats and reduces daily sperm production.<sup>137</sup> An in vitro experiment with human spermatozoa revealed that the previously mentioned phthalates and di-n-octyl phthalate (DOP) affect sperm motility.<sup>138</sup>

#### 5.4. Other inactive ingredients

Disodium EDTA is commonly used in sun lotions. The disodium salt of EDTA disturbs the binding of the Vasoactive Intestinal Peptide (VIP) to the membranes of the macrophages with a Half-maximal Inhibitory Concentration (IC50) of 5.4 mM.<sup>139</sup>

Furthermore, there are other inactive ingredients which are not harmless. Triethanolamine irritates the upper respiratory tract of rats with a 90-day no observed adverse effect concentration (NOAEC) of 4.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and produces systemic toxicity at high doses.<sup>140</sup> Moreover, in aquatic organisms chronic effects cannot be excluded.<sup>141</sup> Many other ingredients induce health problems such as allergic reactions.<sup>142-144</sup>

Therefore, there are hidden dangers related to the inactive ingredients. Some of them show endocrine/reproductive impairments, but more research is required to identify and quantify these hazards.

## 6. SUN LOTIONS AND VITAMIN D

Vitamin D is necessary for the development and the maintenance of a healthy skeleton.<sup>18</sup> Ninety percent of the vitamin D essential for good health is produced cutaneously.<sup>145</sup> More specifically, UV solar radiation is necessary for the cutaneous synthesis of previtamin D<sub>3</sub><sup>18</sup> and the wavelengths of the UV-B region are the most efficient for this synthesis.<sup>18,146</sup> The active ingredients in sun lotions reduce exposure to and absorption of UV-B radiation through the skin. Consequently, active ingredients inhibit the production of the vitamin.<sup>147</sup>

When the cutaneous synthesis is insufficient, ad-

ditional intake through food or through supplements is recommended. Vitamin D deficiency has been associated with skeletal problems, such as rickets in children and osteomalacia in adults, as well as with a variety of cancers.<sup>148</sup>

Vitamin D deficiency impedes the secretion of insulin from isolated rat pancreas cells<sup>149</sup> and it causes reproductive and fertility deficiencies in both female and male rats.<sup>150,151</sup>

Vitamin D deficiency during human pregnancy is a significant risk factor for preeclampsia.<sup>152</sup> In addition, low vitamin D intake during pregnancy is associated with decreased birth weight.<sup>153</sup>

## 7. DISCUSSION

Many active ingredients of sun lotions pose health risks, while they also negatively affect environmental quality, and in particular aquatic life.

Commercial sun lotions and creams usually consist of more than one chemical filter to achieve the desirable level of protection.<sup>21</sup> Consequently, users are exposed to a cocktail of chemicals. Harmful cocktail effects might emerge even if the endocrine disrupting chemicals are present in concentrations lower than their individual NOEC.<sup>154,155</sup> Sun lotions are a typical cocktail example because they contain a variety of substances with documented endocrine disrupting activity (UV filter mixtures).<sup>156</sup>

An individual consumer applies a relatively small amount of a sun protection lotion, which is in the order of micrograms per cm<sup>2</sup>,<sup>157</sup> but the total quantity used by all consumers together is thousands of tons. It has been estimated that annually 4000-6000 tons enter the aquatic environment in reef areas by washing off.<sup>158</sup>

Concentrations of organic filters found in natural waters are of the order of ng/l, while in contaminated waters they reach the order of µg/l.<sup>68,159</sup> Although the concentrations are still low, it should be noted that sun lotions and creams persist in the environment for up to a century and the currently widespread use of these commercial products may drastically increase their environmental levels. Nanoparticles such as TiO<sub>2</sub> (which are relatively new ingredients) are measured in waters in concentrations of µg/l.<sup>162</sup>



The fate and the properties of these chemicals and of their degradation products and their possible ability to produce combination effects determine their final impact. The current low concentrations in natural waters are no guarantee for the absence of negative effects. Furthermore, the properties of the by-products in swimming pool waters, where the concentration of UV filters can be of the order of  $\mu\text{g/l}$ ,<sup>163</sup> may conceal significant threats. For instance, it has been shown that the chlorination process (chlorine is used to disinfect swimming pool waters) is able to produce mutagenic substances if octyl methoxycinnamate is present.<sup>164</sup>

Consumers use sun lotions to protect themselves from the harmful effects of solar UV radiation. However, a possible inadequate application combined with the ignorance of the real effects of UV radiation promotes a false sense of safety. What is more, many sun lotion ingredients are associated with health risks, including endocrine and reproductive impairments. Apart from personal use and subsequent exposure to these agents through skin contact, exposure also occurs through inhalation and ingestion, especially during swimming.<sup>165</sup> In crowded swimming areas, large amounts of sun lotion chemicals end up in the water and exposure becomes more complex.

The proper use of cosmetics, including sun lotions, is advantageous for health, but special emphasis should also be given to complementary protection measures, such as appropriate clothing and avoiding of exposure during the hours of highest sunlight intensity.<sup>5,166</sup>

Endocrine and reproductive impairments are known to be caused in aquatic organisms, such as zebrafish and *Daphnia magna*, by some sun lotion agents.<sup>69,100</sup> Amongst other effects, sun lotion chemicals also contribute to the bleaching of coral reefs.<sup>158</sup> Moreover, the “ubiquity” of these emerging pollutants in aquatic environments raises bioaccumulation and biomagnification issues.<sup>167,168</sup> Consequently, the danger for aquatic life cannot be ignored.

The increasing use of sun lotions, their continuous washing off from the surface of the human body in the water and indirect environmental contamination through waste water treatment plants necessitates an immediate and appropriate response to this new emerging hazard.<sup>169</sup>

There are knowledge gaps concerning the properties of sun lotion chemicals that should be addressed by future research. The issues that need special consideration include possible bioaccumulation, the effects that result from the combination of these chemicals and the effects of their metabolites and of their degradation compounds. Furthermore, the likely involvement of the ER $\beta$  in the development of melanoma and topical treatment with cosmetics containing substances with oestrogenic activity (e.g. UV filters, parabens) are two issues that require special attention.<sup>113,119</sup>

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

Are sun lotion chemicals endocrine disruptors? These ingredients do have endocrine disrupting properties and affect the reproductive system of experimental animals, revealing a potential danger for wildlife. The precise *in vivo* effects on humans are difficult to measure and the human data are limited. However, adverse health effects in humans cannot be excluded and the increasing use of sun lotions may be an unidentified threat for normal human endocrine and/or reproductive function.

Formulations of commercial sun lotions are mixtures of active and inactive ingredients both of which are related to health hazards. Different active (and inactive) ingredients are combined to offer a better level of UV protection. This makes their composition more complex, but also increases the possibility of combination effects. Due to the current widespread use of sun lotions and to the existing scientifically documented knowledge, the raising of awareness of the subject is more important than ever before. Aquatic toxicity issues require more investigation, and this new emerging environmental hazard should not be underestimated.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Frederick JE, Snell HE, Haywood EK, 1989 Solar ultraviolet radiation at the Earth's surface. *Photochem Photobiol* 50: 443-450.
2. McKenzie RL, Björn LO, Bais A, Ilyasd M, 2003 Chang-

- es in biologically active ultraviolet radiation reaching the Earth's surface. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2: 5-15.
3. Diffey BL, 1998 Ultraviolet radiation and human health. *Clin Dermatol* 16: 83-89.
  4. Sheehan JM, Potten CS, Young AR, 1998 Tanning in human skin types II and III offers modest photoprotection against erythema. *Photochem Photobiol* 68: 588-592.
  5. World Health Organization, World Meteorological Organization, United Nations Environment Programme, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, 2002 Global solar UV index: A practical guide, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland <http://www.who.int/uv/publications/en/UVIGuide.pdf> (Date last checked: 16/12/2014).
  6. Thune P, 1984 Contact and photocontact allergy to sunscreens. *Photodermatol* 1: 5-9.
  7. Ang P, Ng SK, Goh CI, 1998 Sunscreen allergy in Singapore. *Am J Contact Dermat* 9: 42-44.
  8. Wong T, Orton D, 2011 Sunscreen allergy and its investigation. *Clin Dermatol* 29: 306-310.
  9. Kunz PY, Fent K, 2006 Multiple hormonal activities of UV filters and comparison of in vivo and in vitro estrogenic activity of ethyl-4-aminobenzoate in fish. *Aquat Toxicol* 79: 305-324.
  10. Schlumpf M, Kypke K, Vökt CC, et al, 2008 Endocrine active UV filters: Developmental toxicity and exposure through breast milk. *Chimia* 62: 345-351.
  11. Manová E, von Goetz N, Hauri U, Bogdal C, Hungerbühler K, 2013 Organic UV filters in personal care products in Switzerland: A survey of occurrence and concentrations. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 216: 508-514.
  12. Lucas R, McMichael T, Smith W, Armstrong B 2006 Solar Ultraviolet Radiation: Global burden of disease from solar ultraviolet radiation. In: Prüss-Üstün A, Zeeb H, Mathers C, Repacholi M (eds) *Environmental Burden of Disease Series, No. 13*, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
  13. Seckmeyer G, Pissulla D, Glandorf M, et al, 2008 Variability of UV irradiance in Europe. *Photochem Photobiol* 84: 172-179.
  14. Gallagher RP, Lee TK, 2006 Adverse effects of ultraviolet radiation: A brief review. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 92: 119-131.
  15. Norval M, 2001 Effects of solar radiation on the human immune system. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 63: 28-40.
  16. Norval M, 2002 Immunosuppression induced by ultraviolet radiation: relevance to public health. *Bull World Health Organ* 80: 906-907.
  17. Archer CB 2010 Functions of the skin. In: Burns T, Breathnach S, Cox N, Griffiths C (eds), *Rook's Textbook of Dermatology*, 8th ed, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Singapore; pp, 4.1-4.11.
  18. Holick MF, 1994 McCollum Award Lecture, 1994: Vitamin D – new horizons for the 21st century. *Am J Clin Nutr* 60: 619-630.
  19. Wolf R, Wolf D, Morganti P, Ruocco V, 2001 Sunscreens. *Clin Dermatol* 19: 452-459.
  20. Murphy GM, 1999 Sunblocks: Mechanism of action. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed* 15: 34-36.
  21. Gasparro FP, Mitchnick M, Nash JF, 1998 A review of sunscreen safety and efficacy. *Photochem Photobiol* 68: 243-256.
  22. Kullavanijaya P, Lim HW, 2005 Photoprotection. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 52: 937-958.
  23. Sambandan DR, Ratner D, 2011 Sunscreens: An overview and update. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 64: 748-758.
  24. Dransfield GP, 2000 Inorganic sunscreens. *Radiat Prot Dosim* 91: 271-273.
  25. Lademann J, Schanzer S, Jacobi U, et al, 2005 Synergy effects between organic and inorganic UV filters in sunscreens. *J Biomed Opt* 10: 14008.
  26. Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Pitsos MA, 2001 The impact of endocrine disruptors on the female reproductive system. *Hum Reprod Update* 7: 323-330.
  27. Amaral Mendes JJ, 2002 The endocrine disruptors: a major medical challenge. *Food Chem Toxicol* 40: 781-788.
  28. Waring RH, Harris RM, 2005 Endocrine disruptors: A human risk? *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 244: 2-9.
  29. Wuttke W, Jarry H, Seidlova-Wuttke D, 2010 Definition, classification and mechanism of action of endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Hormones* 9: 9-15.
  30. Colborn T, vom Saal FS, Soto AM, 1993 Developmental effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals in wildlife and humans. *Environ Health Perspect* 101: 378-384.
  31. McLachlan JA, 2001 Environmental signaling: What embryos and evolution teach us about endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Endocrine Reviews* 22: 319-341.
  32. Norgil Damgaard I, Main KM, Toppari J, Skakkebaek NE, 2002 Impact of exposure to endocrine disruptors in utero and in childhood on adult reproduction. *Best Pract Res Clin En Metab* 16: 289-309.
  33. Theelen RMC, Liem AKD, Slob W, van Wijnen JH, 1993 Intake of 2, 3, 7, 8 chlorine substituted dioxins, furans, and planar PCBs from food in the Netherlands: median and distribution. *Chemosphere* 27: 1625-1635.
  34. Wagner M, Oehlmann J, 2009 Endocrine disruptors in bottled mineral water: total estrogenic burden and migration from plastic bottles. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 16: 278-286.
  35. Harvey PW, Darbre P, 2004 Endocrine disruptors and human health: Could oestrogenic chemicals in body care cosmetics adversely affect breast cancer incidence in women? A review of evidence and call for further research. *J Appl Toxicol* 24: 167-176.
  36. Schlumpf M, Cotton B, Conscience M, Haller V, Steinmann B, Lichtensteiger W, 2001 In vitro and in vivo estrogenicity of UV screens. *Environ Health Perspect* 109: 239-244.
  37. Schlumpf M, Schmid P, Durrer S, et al, 2004 Endocrine activity and developmental toxicity of cosmetic UV filters – an update. *Toxicology* 205: 113-122.

38. McLachlan JA, Simpson E, Martin M, 2006 Endocrine disruptors and female reproductive health. *Best Pract Res Cl En Metab* 20: 63-75.
39. Toppari J, Larsen JC, Christiansen P, et al, 1996 Male reproductive health and environmental xenoestrogens. *Environ Health Perspect* 104: Suppl. 4: 741-803.
40. Dhooze W, Eertmans F, Mahmoud A, Comhaire F 2007 Male reproductive status and its relationship with man-made, hormone-disrupting substances: studies in Flanders, Belgium. In: Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Hens L, Howard CV (eds) *Reproductive Health and the Environment*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands; pp, 75-94.
41. Corsolini S 2007 Non-pesticide endocrine disruptors and reproductive health. In: Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Hens L, Howard CV (eds) *Reproductive Health and the Environment*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands; pp, 161-186.
42. Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Lelos NJ 2007 Introduction: Environmental impact on reproductive health, recent trends and developments. In: Nicolopoulou-Stamati P, Hens L, Howard CV (eds) *Reproductive Health and the Environment*, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands; pp, 1-19.
43. Health Council of the Netherlands, 1999 Hormone disruptors in ecosystems, Health Council of the Netherlands, The Hague.
44. McGrath JA, Uitto J 2010 Anatomy and organization of human skin. In: Burns T, Breathnach S, Cox N, Griffiths C (eds) *Rook's Textbook of Dermatology*, 8th ed, Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Singapore; pp, 3.1-3.53.
45. Barrett CW, 1969 Skin penetration. *J Soc Cosmet Chem* 20: 487-499.
46. Williams AC, Barry BW, 2004 Penetration enhancers, *Adv Drug Deliver Rev* 56: 603-618.
47. Loprieno N, 1992 Guidelines for safety evaluation of cosmetics ingredients in the EC countries. *Food Chem Toxicol* 30: 809-815.
48. Rothe H, Fautz R, Gerber E, et al, 2011 Special aspects of cosmetic spray safety evaluations: Principles on inhalation risk assessment. *Toxicol Lett* 205: 97-104.
49. Bridges B, 2002 Fragrance: emerging health and environmental concerns. *Flavour Frag J* 17: 361-371.
50. van Engelen JGM, Hakkinen PJ, Money C, Rikken MGJ, Vermeire TG 2007 Human exposure assessment. In: van Leeuwen CJ, Vermeire TG (eds) *Risk assessment of chemicals: An introduction*, 2nd ed, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands; pp, 195-226.
51. Xue J, Zartarian V, Moya J, et al, 2007 A meta-analysis of children's hand-to-mouth frequency data for estimating nondietary ingestion exposure. *Risk Anal* 27: 411-420.
52. Salvador A, Chisvert A, Camarasa A, Pascual-Martí MC, March JG, 2001 Sequential injection spectrophotometric determination of oxybenzone in lipsticks. *Analyst* 126: 1462-1465.
53. Loretz LJ, Api AM, Barraja LM, et al, 2005 Exposure data for cosmetic products: lipstick, body lotion, and face cream. *Food Chem Toxicol* 43: 279-291.
54. Blüthgen N, Zucchi S, Fent K, 2012 Effects of the UV filter benzophenone-3 (oxybenzone) at low concentrations in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 263: 184-194.
55. Coronado M, De Haro H, Deng X, Rempel MA, Lavado R, Schlenk D, 2008 Estrogenic activity and reproductive effects of the UV-filter oxybenzone (2-hydroxy-4-methoxyphenyl-methanone) in fish. *Aquat Toxicol* 90: 182-187.
56. Denslow ND, Chow MC, Kroll KJ, Green L, 1999 Vitellogenin as a biomarker of exposure for estrogen or estrogen mimics. *Ecotoxicology* 8: 385-398.
57. Chapin R, Gulati D, Mounce R, 1997 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone. *Environ Health Perspect* 105: Suppl. 1: 313-314.
58. Daston GP, Gettings SD, Carlton BD, et al, 1993 Assessment of the reproductive toxic potential of dermally applied 2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone to male B6C3F1 Mice. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 20: 120-124.
59. Wolff MS, Engel SM, Berkowitz GS, 2008 Prenatal phenol and phthalate exposures and birth outcomes. *Environ Health Perspect* 116: 1092-1097.
60. Hany J, Nagel R, 1995 Detection of sunscreen agents in human breast milk, (article in German). *Deut Lebensm-Rundsch* 91: 341-345.
61. Blair RM, Fang H, Branham WS, et al, 2000 The estrogen receptor relative binding affinities of 188 natural and xenochemicals: Structural diversity of ligands. *Toxicol Sci* 54: 138-153.
62. Kunz PY, Galicia HF, Fent K, 2006 Comparison of in vitro and in vivo estrogenic activity of UV filters in fish. *Toxicol Sci* 90: 349-361.
63. Nashev LG, Schuster D, Lagner C, et al, 2010 The UV-filter benzophenone-1 inhibits 17 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 3: Virtual screening as a strategy to identify potential endocrine disrupting chemicals. *Biochem Pharmacol* 79: 1189-1199.
64. Kusk KO, Avdolli M, Wollenberger L, 2011 Effect of 2,4-Dihydroxybenzophenone (BP1) on early life-stage development of the marine copepod *Acartia tonsa* at different temperatures and salinities. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 30: 959-966.
65. Kunisue T, Chen Z, Buck Louis GM, et al, 2012 Urinary concentrations of benzophenone-type UV filters in US women and their association with endometriosis. *Environ Sci Technol* 46: 4624-4632.
66. Hsieh MH, Grantham EC, Liu B, Macapagal R, Willingham E, Baskin LS, 2007 In utero exposure to benzophenone-2 causes hypospadias through an estrogen receptor dependent mechanism. *J Urology* 78: 1637-1642.
67. Weisbrod CJ, Kunz PY, Zenker AK, Fent K, 2007 Effects of the UV filter benzophenone-2 on reproduction in fish. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 225: 255-266.
68. Fent K, Kunz PY, Gomez E, 2008 UV filters in the aquatic environment induce hormonal effects and affect

- fertility and reproduction in fish. *Chimia* 62: 368-375.
69. Zucchi S, Blüthgen N, Ieronimo A, Fent K, 2011 The UV-absorber benzophenone-4 alters transcripts of genes involved in hormonal pathways in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) eleuthero-embryos and adult males. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 250: 137-146.
  70. Morohoshi K, Yamamoto H, Kamata R, Shiraishi F, Koda T, Morita M, 2005 Estrogenic activity of 37 components of commercial sunscreen lotions evaluated by in vitro assays. *Toxicol In Vitro* 19: 457-469.
  71. Suzuki T, Kitamura S, Khota R, Sugihara K, Fujimoto N, Ohta S, 2005 Estrogenic and antiandrogenic activities of 17 benzophenone derivatives used as UV stabilizers and sunscreens. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 203: 9-17.
  72. Nakagawa Y, Tayama K, 2001 Estrogenic potency of benzophenone and its metabolites in juvenile female rats. *Arch Toxicol* 75: 74-79.
  73. Szwarcfarb B, Carbone S, Reynoso R, et al, 2008 Octyl-methoxycinnamate (OMC), an ultraviolet (UV) filter, alters LHRH and amino acid neurotransmitters release from hypothalamus of immature rats. *Exp Clin Endocr Diab* 116: 94-98.
  74. Klammer H, Schlecht C, Wuttke W, et al, 2007 Effects of a 5-day treatment with the UV-filter octyl-methoxycinnamate (OMC) on the function of the hypothalamo-pituitary-thyroid function in rats. *Toxicology* 238: 192-199.
  75. Schneider S, Deckardt K, Hellwig J, et al, 2005 Octyl methoxycinnamate: Two generation reproduction toxicity in Wistar rats by dietary administration. *Food Chem Toxicol* 43: 1083-1092.
  76. Axelstad M, Boberg J, Hougaard KS, et al, 2011 Effects of pre- and postnatal exposure to the UV-filter Octyl Methoxycinnamate (OMC) on the reproductive, auditory and neurological development of rat offspring. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 250: 278-290.
  77. Ozáez I, Martínez-Guitarte JL, Morcillo G, 2013 Effects of in vivo exposure to UV filters (4-MBC, OMC, BP-3, 4-HB, OC, OD-PABA) on endocrine signaling genes in the insect *Chironomus riparius*. *Sci Total Environ* 456-457: 120-126.
  78. Janjua NR, Mogensen B, Andersson AM, et al, 2004 Systemic absorption of the sunscreens Benzophenone-3, Octyl-Methoxycinnamate, and 3-(4-Methyl-Benzylidene) Camphor after whole-body topical application and reproductive hormone levels in humans. *J Invest Dermatol* 123: 57-61.
  79. Inui M, Adachi T, Takenaka S, et al, 2003 Effect of UV screens and preservatives on vitellogenin and chorionogenin production in male medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Toxicology* 194: 43-50.
  80. Schmitt C, Oetken M, Dittberner O, Wagner M, Oehlmann J, 2008 Endocrine modulation and toxic effects of two commonly used UV screens on the aquatic invertebrates *Potamopyrgus antipodarum* and *Lumbriculus variegatus*. *Environ Pollut* 152: 322-329.
  81. Stroeva OG, Popov VB, 1998 Effect of para-amino-benzoic acid on the development of rat embryos when applied to pregnant females, (article in Russian). *Ontogenez* 29: 444-449.
  82. Odio MR, Azri-Meehan S, Robison SH, Kraus AL, 1994 Evaluation of subchronic (13 week), reproductive, and in vitro genetic toxicity potential of 2-ethylhexyl-2-cyano-3,3-diphenyl acrylate (Octocrylene). *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 22: 355-368.
  83. Ashby J, Tinwell H, Plautz J, Twomey K, Lefevre PA, 2001 Lack of binding to isolated estrogen or androgen receptors, and inactivity in the immature rat uterotrophic assay, of the ultraviolet sunscreen filters Tinosorb M-active and Tinosorb S. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 34: 287-291.
  84. Newman MD, Stotland M, Ellis JI, 2009 The safety of nanosized particles in titanium dioxide- and zinc oxide-based sunscreens. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 61: 685-692.
  85. Nohynek GJ, Lademann J, Ribaud C, Roberts MS, 2007 Grey goo on the skin? Nanotechnology, cosmetic and sunscreen safety. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 37: 251-277.
  86. Gulson B, McCall M, Korsch M, et al, 2010 Small amounts of zinc from zinc oxide particles in sunscreens applied outdoors are absorbed through human skin. *Toxicol Sci* 118: 140-149.
  87. Kahru A, Dubourguier HC, 2010 From ecotoxicology to nanoecotoxicology. *Toxicology* 269: 105-119.
  88. Bedwal RS, Bahuguna A, 1994 Zinc, copper and selenium in reproduction. *Experientia* 50: 626-640.
  89. Sundaresan NR, Anish D, Sastry KVH, et al, 2008 High doses of dietary zinc induce cytokines, chemokines, and apoptosis in reproductive tissues during regression. *Cell Tissue Res* 332: 543-554.
  90. Piao F, Yokoyama K, Ma N, Yamauchi T, 2003 Subacute toxic effects of zinc on various tissues and organs of rats. *Toxicol Lett* 145: 28-35.
  91. Sharma V, Singh P, Pandey AK, Dhawan A, 2012 Induction of oxidative stress, DNA damage and apoptosis in mouse liver after sub-acute oral exposure to zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Mutat Res* 745: 84-91.
  92. Hao L, Chen L, Hao J, Zhong N, 2013 Bioaccumulation and sub-acute toxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles in juvenile carp (*Cyprinus carpio*): A comparative study with its bulk counterparts. *Ecotox Environ Safety* 91: 52-60.
  93. Fairbairn EA, Keller AA, Mädler L, Zhou D, Pokhrel S, Cherr GN, 2011 Metal oxide nanomaterials in seawater: Linking physicochemical characteristics with biological response in sea urchin development. *J Hazard Mater* 192: 1565-1571.
  94. Zhu X, Wang J, Zhang X, Chang Y, Chen Y, 2009 The impact of ZnO nanoparticle aggregates on the embryonic development of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Nanotechnology* 20: 195103.
  95. Wong SWY, Leung PTY, Djurišić AB, Leung KMY, 2010 Toxicities of nano zinc oxide to five marine organisms: Influences of aggregate size and ion solubility. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 396: 609-618.

96. Reed RB, Ladner DA, Higgins CP, Westerhoff P, Ranville JF, 2012 Solubility of nano-zinc oxide in environmentally and biologically important matrices. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 31: 93-99.
97. Cañas JE, Qi B, Li S, 2011 Acute and reproductive toxicity of nano-sized metal oxides (ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub>) to earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*). *J Environ Monitor* 13: 3351-3357.
98. Guo LL, Liu XH, Qin DX, et al, 2009 Effects of nanosized titanium dioxide on the reproductive system of male mice, (article in Chinese). *Zhonghua Nak Ke Xue* 15: 517-522.
99. Yamashita K, Yoshioka Y, Higashisaka K, et al, 2011 Silica and titanium dioxide nanoparticles cause pregnancy complications in mice. *Nat Nanotechnol* 6: 321-328.
100. Zhu X, Chang Y, Chen Y, 2010 Toxicity and bioaccumulation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle aggregates in *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* 78: 209-215.
101. Wang J, Zhu X, Zhang X, et al, 2011 Disruption of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) reproduction upon chronic exposure to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. *Chemosphere* 83: 461-467.
102. Wiench K, Wohlleben W, Hisgen V, et al, 2009 Acute and chronic effects of nano- and non-nano-scale TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO particles on mobility and reproduction of the freshwater invertebrate *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* 76: 1356-1365.
103. Gurevitch D, Shuster-Meiseles T, Nov O, Zick Y, Rudich A, Rudich Y, 2012 TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles induce insulin resistance in liver-derived cells both directly and via macrophage activation. *Nanotoxicology* 6: 804-812.
104. Kulvietis V, Zalgevičienė V, Didziapetriene J, Rotomskis R, 2011 Transport of nanoparticles through the placental barrier. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 225: 225-234.
105. Takeda K, Suzuki K, Ishihara A, et al, 2009 Nanoparticles transferred from pregnant mice to their offspring can damage the genital and cranial nerve systems. *J Health Sci* 55: 95-102.
106. Nakai JS, Chu I, Li-Muller A, Aucoin R, 1997 Effect of environmental conditions on the penetration of benzene through human skin. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 51: 447-462.
107. Brand RM, Spalding M, Mueller C, 2002 Sunscreens can increase dermal penetration of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 40: 827-832.
108. Pont AR, Charron AR, Brand RM, 2004 Active ingredients in sunscreens act as topical penetration enhancers for the herbicide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 195: 348-354.
109. Kim HJ, Park YI, Dong MS, 2005 Effects of 2,4-D and DCP on the DHT-induced androgenic action in human prostate cancer cells. *Toxicol Sci* 88: 52-59.
110. Rosso SB, Di Paolo OA, Evangelista de Duffard AM, Duffard R, 1997 Effects of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid on central nervous system of developmental rats. Associated changes in ganglioside pattern. *Brain Res* 769: 163-167.
111. Alves MG, Neuhaus-Oliveira A, Moreira PI, Socorro S, Oliveira PF, 2013 Exposure to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid alters glucose metabolism in immature rat Sertoli cells. *Reprod Toxicol* 38: 81-88.
112. Stürtz N, Deis RP, Jahn GA, Duffard R, Evangelista de Duffard AM, 2008 Effect of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid on rat maternal behaviour. *Toxicology* 247: 73-79.
113. Darbre PD, Harvey PW, 2008 Paraben esters: Review of recent studies of endocrine toxicity, absorption, esterase and human exposure, and discussion of potential human health risks. *J Appl Toxicol* 28: 561-578.
114. Oishi S, 2002 Effects of propyl paraben on the male reproductive system. *Food Chem Toxicol* 40: 1807-1813.
115. Oishi S, 2002 Effects of butyl paraben on the male reproductive system in mice. *Arch Toxicol* 76: 423-429.
116. Kang KS, Che JH, Ryu DY, Kim TW, Li GX, Lee YS, 2002 Decreased sperm number and motile activity on the F1 offspring maternally exposed to butyl p-hydroxybenzoic acid (butyl paraben). *J Vet Med Sci* 64: 227-235.
117. Routledge EJ, Parker J, Odum J, Ashby J, Sumpter JP, 1998 Some alkyl hydroxy benzoate preservatives (parabens) are estrogenic. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 153: 12-19.
118. Okubo T, Yokoyama Y, Kano K, Kano I, 2001 ER-dependent estrogenic activity of parabens assessed by proliferation of human breast cancer MCF-7 cells and expression of ER $\alpha$  and PR. *Food Chem Toxicol* 39: 1225-1232.
119. Schmidt AN, Nanney LB, Boyd AS, King LE Jr, Ellis DL, 2006 Oestrogen receptor- $\beta$  expression in melanocytic lesions. *Exp Dermatol* 15: 971-980.
120. Prusakiewicz JJ, Harville HM, Zhang Y, Ackermann C, Voorman RL, 2007 Parabens inhibit human skin estrogen sulfotransferase activity: Possible link to paraben estrogenic effects. *Toxicology* 232: 248-256.
121. Handa O, Kokura S, Adachi S, et al, 2006 Methylparaben potentiates UV-induced damage of skin keratinocytes. *Toxicology* 227: 62-72.
122. Environment Canada, Health Canada, 2008 Screening assessment for the challenge Octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4), Environment Canada and Health Canada, Government of Canada [https://www.ec.gc.ca/ese-ees/2481B508-1760-4878-9B8A-270EEE8B-7DA4/batch2\\_556-67-2\\_en.pdf](https://www.ec.gc.ca/ese-ees/2481B508-1760-4878-9B8A-270EEE8B-7DA4/batch2_556-67-2_en.pdf) (Date last checked: 16/12/2014).
123. Environment Canada, Health Canada, 2011 Risk management scope for [Trisiloxane, octamethyl-] (Octamethyltrisiloxane) (MDM), Environment Canada and Health Canada, Government of Canada [http://www.ec.gc.ca/ese-ees/9B3AF91C-71D1-4C5F-ACC1-DB946930072E/B12%20107-51-7%20RM%20Scope%20\\_EN.pdf](http://www.ec.gc.ca/ese-ees/9B3AF91C-71D1-4C5F-ACC1-DB946930072E/B12%20107-51-7%20RM%20Scope%20_EN.pdf) (Date last checked: 16/12/2014).
124. International Pharmaceutical Excipients Council

- (IPEC) of the Americas, 2011 Inactive ingredient database issues with ANDAs, Background Document (IPEC – FDA OGD Meeting – December 9, 2011), IPEC Americas <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/DevelopmentApprovalProcess/HowDrugsareDevelopedandApproved/ApprovalApplications/AbbreviatedNewDrugApplicationANDAGenerics/UCM291010.pdf> (Date last checked: 16/12/2014).
125. McKim JM Jr, Wilga PC, Breslin WJ, Plotzke KP, Gallavan RH, Meeks RG, 2001 Potential estrogenic and antiestrogenic activity of the cyclic siloxane octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4) and the linear siloxane hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDS) in immature rats using the uterotrophic assay. *Toxicol Sci* 63: 37-46.
  126. Siddiqui WH, Stump DG, Plotzke KP, Holson JF, Meeks RG, 2007 A two generation reproductive toxicity study of octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4) in rats exposed by whole-body vapor inhalation. *Reprod Toxicol* 23: 202-215.
  127. Steinemann AC, 2009 Fragranced consumer products and undisclosed ingredients. *Environ Impact Assess Rev* 29: 32-38.
  128. Gimeno P, Maggio AF, Bousquet C, Quoirez A, Civade C, Bonnet PA, 2012 Analytical method for the identification and assay of 12 phthalates in cosmetic products: Application of the ISO 12787 international standard “Cosmetics-Analytical methods-Validation criteria for analytical results using chromatographic techniques”. *J Chromatogr A* 1253: 144-153.
  129. Api AM, 2001 Toxicological profile of diethyl phthalate: a vehicle for fragrance and cosmetic ingredients. *Food Chem Toxicol* 39: 97-108.
  130. Hauser R, Calafat AM, 2005 Phthalates and human health. *Occup Environ Med* 62: 806-818.
  131. Rastogi SK, Kesavachandran C, Mahdi F, Pandey A, 2006 Phthalate exposure and health outcomes. *Indian J Occup Environ Med* 10: 111-115.
  132. Sathyanarayana S, 2008 Phthalates and children’s health. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care* 38: 34-49.
  133. Martino-Andrade AJ, Chahoud I, 2010 Reproductive toxicity of phthalate esters. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 54: 148-157.
  134. Harris CA, Sumpter JP 2001 The endocrine disrupting potential of phthalates. In: Metzler M (ed) *Endocrine Disruptors, Part I, The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry, Vol. 3, Part L*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany; pp, 169-201.
  135. Davis BJ, Maronpot RR, Heindel JJ, 1994 Di-(2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate suppresses estradiol and ovulation in cycling rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 128: 216-223.
  136. Stroheker T, Cabaton N, Nourdin G, Régnier JF, Lhuguenot JC, Chagnon MC, 2005 Evaluation of anti-androgenic activity of di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. *Toxicology* 208: 115-121.
  137. Andrade AJM, Grande SW, Talsness CE, et al, 2006 A dose response study following in utero and lactational exposure to di-(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP): Reproductive effects on adult male offspring rats. *Toxicology* 228: 85-97.
  138. Fredricsson B, Möller L, Pousette Å, Westerholm R, 1993 Human sperm motility is affected by plasticizers and diesel particle extracts. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 72: 128-133.
  139. Segura JJ, Calvo JR, Guerrero JM, Sampedro C, Jimenez A, Llamas R, 1996 The disodium salt of EDTA inhibits the binding of vasoactive intestinal peptide to macrophage membranes: Endodontic implications. *J Endodont* 22: 337-340.
  140. Gamer AO, Rossbacher R, Kaufmann W, van Ravenzwaay B, 2008 The inhalation toxicity of di- and triethanolamine upon repeated exposure. *Food Chem Toxicol* 46: 2173-2183.
  141. Libralato G, Volpi Ghirardini A, Avezzú F, 2010 Seawater ecotoxicity of monoethanolamine, diethanolamine and triethanolamine. *J Hazard Mater* 176: 535-539.
  142. Ortiz KJ, Yiannias JA, 2004 Contact dermatitis to cosmetics, fragrances, and botanicals. *Dermatol Ther* 17: 264-271.
  143. Orton DI, Wilkinson JD, 2004 Cosmetic allergy: Incidence, diagnosis, and management. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 5: 327-337.
  144. Laguna C, de la Cuadra J, Martín-González B, et al, 2009 Allergic contact dermatitis to cosmetics. *Actas Dermosifiliogr* 100: 53-60.
  145. Reichrath J, 2006 The challenge resulting from positive and negative effects of sunlight: How much solar UV exposure is appropriate to balance between risks of vitamin D deficiency and skin cancer? *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 92: 9-16.
  146. Fioletov VE, McArthur LJB, Mathews TW, Marrett L, 2009 On the relationship between erythema and vitamin D action spectrum weighted ultraviolet radiation. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 95: 9-16.
  147. Matsuoka LY, Ide L, Wortsman J, MacLaughlin JA, Holick MF, 1987 Sunscreens suppress cutaneous vitamin D<sub>3</sub> synthesis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 64: 1165-1168.
  148. Holick MF, Chen TC, 2008 Vitamin D deficiency: a worldwide problem with health consequences. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87: Suppl: 1080-1086.
  149. Norman AW, Frankel JB, Heldt AM, Grodsky GM, 1980 Vitamin D deficiency inhibits pancreatic secretion of insulin. *Science* 209: 823-825.
  150. Halloran BP, DeLuca HF, 1980 Effect of vitamin D deficiency on fertility and reproductive capacity in the female rat. *J Nutr* 110: 1573-1580.
  151. Kwiecinski GG, Petrie GI, DeLuca HF, 1989 Vitamin D is necessary for reproductive functions of the male rat. *J Nutr* 119: 741-744.
  152. Bodnar LM, Catov JM, Simhan HN, Holick MF, Powers RW, Roberts JM, 2007 Maternal Vitamin D deficiency

- increases the risk of preeclampsia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 92: 3517-3522.
153. Mannion CA, Gray-Donald K, Koski KG, 2006 Association of low intake of milk and vitamin D during pregnancy with decreased birth weight. *Can Med Assoc J* 174: 1273-1277.
  154. Rajapakse N, Silva E, Kortenkamp A, 2002 Combining xenoestrogens at levels below individual No-Observed-Effect Concentrations dramatically enhances steroid hormone action. *Environ Health Perspect* 110: 917-921.
  155. Kortenkamp A, 2007 Ten years of mixing cocktails: A review of combination effects of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Environ Health Perspect* 115: Suppl 1: 98-105.
  156. Kunz PY, Fent K, 2006 Estrogenic activity of UV filter mixtures. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 217: 86-99.
  157. Wulf HC 2010 Sunscreens. In: Jemec GBE, Kemény L, Miecch D (eds) *Non-surgical treatment of keratinocyte skin cancer*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg; pp, 167-176.
  158. Danovaro R, Bongiorni L, Corinaldesi C, et al, 2008 Sunscreens cause coral bleaching by promoting viral infections. *Environ Health Perspect* 116: 441-447.
  159. Díaz-Cruz MS, Barcel D, 2009 Chemical analysis and ecotoxicological effects of organic UV-absorbing compounds in aquatic ecosystems. *Trends Anal Chem* 28: 708-717.
  160. Urbach F, 2001 The historical aspects of sunscreens. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 64: 99-104.
  161. Skolnick A, Saladi RN, Fox JL, 2007 An Update on Sunscreens. *JAOCD* 8: 23-29.
  162. Mueller NC, Nowack B, 2008 Exposure modeling of engineered nanoparticles in the environment. *Environ Sci Technol* 42: 4447-4453.
  163. Zwiener C, Richardson SD, DeMarini DM, Grummt T, Glauner T, Frimmel FH, 2007 Drowning in disinfection byproducts? Assessing swimming pool water. *Environ Sci Technol* 41: 363-372.
  164. Nakajima M, Kawakami T, Niino T, Takahashi Y, Onodera S, 2009 Aquatic fate of sunscreen agents octyl-4-methoxycinnamate and octyl-4-dimethylamino-benzoate in model swimming pools and the mutagenic assays of their chlorination byproducts. *J Health Sci* 55: 363-372.
  165. Moya J, Bearer CF, Etzel RA, 2004 Children's behavior and physiology and how it affects exposure to environmental contaminants. *Pediatrics* 113: Suppl 3: 996-1006.
  166. Diffey B, 2001 Sunscreen isn't enough. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 64: 105-108.
  167. Fent K, Zenker A, Rapp M, 2010 Widespread occurrence of estrogenic UV-filters in aquatic ecosystems in Switzerland. *Environ Pollut* 158: 1817-1824.
  168. Tovar-Sánchez A, Sánchez-Quiles D, Basterretxea G, et al, 2013 Sunscreen products as emerging pollutants to coastal waters. *PLOS ONE* 8: e65451.
  169. Gago-Ferrero P, Díaz-Cruz MS, Barceló D, 2012 An overview of UV-absorbing compounds (organic UV filters) in aquatic biota. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 404: 2597-2610.