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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the superconvergence property for the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) and the local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) methods, for solving one-dimensional time dependent linear conservation laws and convection-diffusion equations. We prove superconvergence towards a particular projection of the exact solution when the upwind flux is used for conservation laws and when the alternating flux is used for convection-diffusion equations. The order of superconvergence for both cases is proved to be $k+\frac{3}{2}$ when piecewise $P^{k}$ polynomials with $k \geq 1$ are used. The proof is valid for arbitrary non-uniform regular meshes and for piecewise $P^{k}$ polynomials with arbitrary $k \geq 1$, improving upon the results in $[8,9]$ in which the proof based on Fourier analysis was given only for uniform meshes with periodic boundary condition and piecewise $P^{1}$ polynomials.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider one-dimensional linear hyperbolic conservation laws

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+c u_{x}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and convection-diffusion equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+c u_{x}=b u_{x x} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, b$ are constants and $b>0$. We study the the superconvergence of the discontinuous Galerkin (DG) solutions and the local DG (LDG) solutions towards a particular projection of the exact solution. Superconvergence requires upwind fluxes for the DG scheme and alternating fluxes for the LDG scheme. This superconvergence also implies a good control on the time evolution of the errors.

The DG method discussed here is a class of finite element methods using completely discontinuous piecewise polynomial space for the numerical solution and the test functions. It was originally devised to solve hyperbolic conservation laws containing only first order spatial derivatives, e.g. $[14,13,12,11,15,17]$. It has the advantage of flexibility for arbitrarily unstructured meshes, with a compact stencil, and with the ability to easily accommodate arbitrary $h-p$ adaptivity. The DG method was later generalized to the LDG method by Cockburn and Shu to solve the convection-diffusion equation [16]. Their work was motivated by the successful numerical experiments of Bassi and Rebay [5] for the compressible NavierStokes equations.

For ordinary differential equations and steady hyperbolic problems, Adjerid et al. [1, 4] proved the DG solution is superconvergent at Radau points. In [8], we proved superconvergence of the DG solution towards a particular projection of the exact solution in the case of piecewise linear polynomials on uniform meshes for the linear conservation law (1.1) and considered its impact on the time growth of the errors. We also demonstrated numerically that the conclusions hold true for very general cases, including higher order DG methods,
nonlinear equations, systems, and two dimensions. For convection-diffusion equations, in [7], Celiker and Cockburn studied the steady state solution of (1.2), and proved that for a large class of DG methods, the numerical fluxes (traces) are superconvergent, see also [6] for related discussions on elliptic problems. In [3, 2], Adjerid et al. showed for convection or diffusion dominant time dependent equations, the LDG solution is superconvergent at Radau points. In [9], we discussed the superconvergence property of the LDG scheme for convection-diffusion equations. We proved the superconvergence result for the heat equation in the case of piecewise linear solutions on uniform meshes, and gave numerical tests to demonstrate the validity of the result for higher order schemes and nonlinear equations.

The proof in $[8,9]$ uses Fourier analysis and works only for piecewise linear approximation space (because of the algebraic complication for higher order polynomials), uniform meshes and periodic boundary conditions. In this paper, we use a different framework to prove the superconvergence results and do not rely on Fourier analysis. The proof now works for arbitrary non-uniform regular meshes and schemes of any order.

Even though the proof in this paper is given for the simple scalar equations (1.1) and (1.2), the same superconvergence results can be easily proved for one-dimensional linear systems along the same lines. The generalization to two space dimensions is more involved, see [8] for some discussion.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we consider the superconvergence of the DG method for the linear conservation law (1.1). We prove our main superconvergence result in Theorem 2.2. In Section 3, we prove the superconvergence of the LDG method for the linear convection-diffusion equation (1.2), and discuss the effect of fluxes on superconvergence. Finally, conclusions and plans for future work are provided in Section 4. The proofs for some of the technical lemmas are collected in the Appendix.

## 2 Conservation laws

In this section, we consider, without loss of generality, the linear conservation law (1.1) with $c=1:$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+u_{x}=0,  \tag{2.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2 \pi .\right.
$$

We will consider both the periodic boundary condition $u(0, t)=u(2 \pi, t)$ and the initialboundary value problem $u(0, t)=g(t)$.

The usual notation of the DG method is adopted. If we want to solve this equation on the interval $I=[0,2 \pi]$, first we divide it into $N$ cells as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=x_{\frac{1}{2}}<x_{\frac{3}{2}}<\ldots<x_{N+\frac{1}{2}}=2 \pi \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{j}=\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad x_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}+x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the cells and cell centers respectively. $h_{j}=x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes length of each cell. We denote $h=\max _{j} h_{j}$ as length of the largest cell.

Define $V_{h}^{k}=\left\{v:\left.v\right|_{I_{j}} \in P^{k}\left(I_{j}\right), j=1, \cdots, N\right\}$ to be the approximation space, where $P^{k}\left(I_{j}\right)$ denotes all polynomials of degree at most $k$ on $I_{j}$. The DG scheme using the upwind flux will become: find $u_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(u_{h}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} u_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Here and below $\left(v_{h}\right)_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}=v_{h}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}\right)$denotes the left limit of the function $v_{h}$ at the discontinuity point $x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}$. Likewise for $v_{h}^{+}$.

In addition, if $k \geq 1$, we can define $P_{h}^{-} u$ to be a projection of $u$ into $V_{h}^{k}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} P_{h}^{-} u v_{h} d x=\int_{I_{j}} u v_{h} d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h} \in P^{k-1}$ on $I_{j}$, where $k$ is the polynomial degree of the DG solution, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{h}^{-} u\right)^{-}=u^{-} \quad \text { at } x_{j+1 / 2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that this special projection is used in the error estimates of the DG methods to derive optimal $L^{2}$ error bounds in the literature, e.g. in [18]. We are going to show that indeed the numerical solution is closer to this special projection of the exact solution than to the exact solution itself, extending the results in [8]. Let us denote $e=u-u_{h}$ to be the error between the exact solution and numerical solution, $\varepsilon=u-P_{h}^{-} u$ to be the projection error, and $\bar{e}=P_{h}^{-} u-u_{h}$ to be the error between the numerical solution and the projection of the exact solution.

We introduce two functionals which are essential to our estimates. We prove in Lemma 2.1 that they are related to the $L^{2}$ norm of a function on $I_{j}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}(M) & =\int_{I_{j}} M(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(M(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}\right) d x, \\
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}(M) & =\int_{I_{j}} M(x) \frac{x-x_{j+1 / 2}}{h_{j}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(M(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1. For any function $M(x) \in C^{1}$ on $I_{j}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}(M) & =\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} M^{2}(x) d x+\frac{M^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4},  \tag{2.7}\\
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}(M) & =-\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} M^{2}(x) d x-\frac{M^{2}\left(x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{4} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix.

Theorem 2.2. Let $u$ be the exact solution of the equation (2.1). If $k \geq 1$, define $u_{h}$ to be the DG solution of (2.4) with the initial condition $u_{h}(\cdot, 0)=P_{h}^{-} u_{0}$. We have the following error estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\bar{e}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1}(t+1) h^{k+3 / 2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1} t h^{k+3 / 2}+C_{2} h^{k+1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right), C_{2}=C_{2}\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right)$.

Proof: Since $u$ satisfies (2.1), we can easily check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} u_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} u\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.u^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.u^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Combined with (2.4), we have the error equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} e\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.e^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.e^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds true for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. By the property (2.5) of the projection $P_{h}^{-}$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x=0
$$

since $\left(v_{h}\right)_{x}$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k-1$ in $I_{j}$. By the property (2.6) of the projection $P_{h}^{-}$, we have

$$
e_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}=\varepsilon_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}+\bar{e}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}=\bar{e}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.\bar{e}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by integration by parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}}(\bar{e})_{x} v_{h} d x+\left.[\bar{e}] v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\bar{e}]=\bar{e}^{+}-\bar{e}^{-}$denotes the jump of $\bar{e}$.
Taking $v_{h}=\bar{e}$ in (2.13), since $e=\bar{e}+\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\int_{I_{j}}(\bar{e})_{t} \bar{e} d x+\int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e} \bar{e}_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}^{-} \bar{e}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.\bar{e}^{-} \bar{e}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}(\bar{e})_{t} \bar{e} d x+\int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x+\hat{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\hat{F}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{1}{2}[\bar{e}]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\hat{F}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{e}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\right)^{2}+\bar{e}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \bar{e}_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} .
$$

Summing the equality (2.15) over $j$, with periodic boundary conditions, we have

$$
\int_{I}(\bar{e})_{t} \bar{e} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N}[\bar{e}]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x=0
$$

For initial-boundary value problems, since $\bar{e}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-}=0$, the equality becomes

$$
\int_{I}(\bar{e})_{t} \bar{e} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}[\bar{e}]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{e}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{e}_{N+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}\right)^{2}+\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x=0 .
$$

In both cases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\|\bar{e}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 2\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x\right| . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us return to the error equation (2.14). If $v_{h}^{+}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$, then the equation reduces to

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}}(\bar{e})_{x} v_{h} d x=0
$$

Notice that this is a completely local equality inside the cell $I_{j}$. Throughout this paper we will repeatedly use such special test functions to obtain similar local equalities to facilitate our analysis.

Define $\bar{e}=e_{j}+w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, with $e_{j}=\bar{e}\left(x_{j}\right)$ and $w_{j}(x)=\left(\bar{e}-e_{j}\right) h_{j} /\left(x-x_{j}\right) \in$ $P^{k-1}$, then

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}}\left(w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x=0
$$

as long as $v_{h}^{+}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0, v_{h} \in P^{k}$. Clearly, $v_{h}=w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$ is a legitimate choice, so using the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}(M)$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}\left(w_{j}\right)=0
$$

From Lemma 2.1, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} w_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{w_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4}=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{I_{j}} w_{j}(x)^{2} d x \leq-4 h_{j} \int_{I_{j}} e_{t} w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x=-4 \int_{I_{j}} e_{t} w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) d x
$$

We define piecewise polynomials $w(x)$ and $\phi_{1}(x)$, such that $w(x)=w_{j}(x), \phi_{1}(x)=$ $x-x_{j-1 / 2}$ on $I_{j}$. Clearly $\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\max _{j} h_{j}=h$, hence

$$
\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 4\left\|e_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|w\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 4 h\left\|e_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|w\|_{L^{2}}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L^{2}} \leq 4 h\left\|e_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bound of $\left\|e_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ can be obtained from the following lemma.
Lemma 2.3. Under the same condition as in Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right)$.
The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix. We now resume the proof of Theorem 2.2. Combining (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$
\|w\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right)$.
Next, we look back at the right hand side of (2.16)

$$
\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{t}\left(e_{j}+w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{t} w_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x
$$

where we have used the fact that $k \geq 1$ and hence the definition of the projection $P_{h}^{-}$ensures that $\varepsilon$, as well as $\varepsilon_{t}$, are orthogonal to piecewise constant functions. Define a new function $\phi(x)=\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, then $\|\phi(x)\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t} \bar{e} d x\right| \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}\|w\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}| | u \|_{k+2} h^{k+1} \frac{1}{2} C h^{k+2}(t+1)=C_{1} h^{2 k+3}(1+t)
$$

where $C_{1}=C_{1}\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right)$. Plugging into (2.16), we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|\bar{e}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C_{1} h^{2 k+3}(1+t)
$$

Since $\bar{e}(x, 0)=0$, we have, after an integration in $t$,

$$
\|\bar{e}\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1} h^{k+3 / 2}(1+t)
$$

Combined with $\|\varepsilon\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+1} h^{k+1}$, we have finished the proof for Theorem 2.2.

We remark that the error estimate (2.10) implies that the error does not grow with time for a long time $t=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}\right)$. See [8] for numerical experiment results to show this non-growth of error for a long time for linear as well as nonlinear scalar and systems of hyperbolic conservation laws, for both periodic boundary conditions and initial-boundary value problems. This is a major advantage of DG methods for solving hyperbolic wave equations over long time.

## 3 Convection-diffusion equations

We are interested in the linear convection-diffusion equation with periodic boundary conditions,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+c u_{x}=b u_{x x}  \tag{3.1}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \\
u(0, t)=u(2 \pi, t) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $u_{0}(x)$ is a smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function, $c$ and $b$ are constants and $b>0$. We consider only the periodic boundary conditions for simplicity. Since we do not use Fourier analysis, this assumption is not essential. The LDG scheme for (3.1) uses the same mesh and approximation space as in Section 2 and is formulated based on rewriting (3.1) into

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+c u_{x}=a q_{x}  \tag{3.2}\\
q-a u_{x}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $a=\sqrt{b}$, and we introduce a new variable $z=c u-a q$, that will be used later in the proof. Then the scheme becomes, to find $u_{h}, q_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(u_{h}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} c u_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.c \tilde{u}_{h} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.c \tilde{u}_{h} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\int_{I_{j}} a q_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a \hat{q}_{h} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a \hat{q}_{h} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
\int_{I_{j}} q_{h} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a u_{h}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a \hat{u}_{h} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a \hat{u}_{h} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
\end{array}
$$

hold for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, where $\tilde{u}_{h}$ is the upwind flux depending on the sign of $c$. Without loss of generality we assume $c \geq 0$ and $\tilde{u}_{h}=u_{h}^{-}$. The alternating diffusion fluxes are taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}_{h}=q_{h}^{+}, \quad \hat{u}_{h}=u_{h}^{-} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}_{h}=q_{h}^{-}, \quad \hat{u}_{h}=u_{h}^{+} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projection $P_{h}^{-}$is defined as before. Similarly, the projection $P_{h}^{+}$is defined as follows: for any function $u, P_{h}^{+} u \in V_{h}^{k}$ satisfies

$$
\int_{I_{j}} P_{h}^{+} u v_{h} d x=\int_{I_{j}} u v_{h} d x
$$

for any $v_{h} \in P^{k-1}$ on $I_{j}$ and

$$
\left(P_{h}^{+} u\right)^{+}=u^{+} \quad \text { at } x_{j-1 / 2}
$$

In order to better control the errors of the initial condition, we define two operators $P_{h}^{1}$ and $P_{h}^{2}$, which will be used in the initial condition of the numerical scheme. $P_{h}^{1}$ is defined as: for any function $u, P_{h}^{1} u \in V_{h}^{k}$, and suppose $q_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$ is the unique solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} q_{h} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a P_{h}^{1} u\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, then we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\left(P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)-a\left(P_{h}^{+} q-q_{h}\right)\right) v_{h} d x=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h} \in P^{k-1}$ on $I_{j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-}-\left(P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-}=a\left(q^{+}-q_{h}^{+}\right) \quad \text { at } x_{j-1 / 2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $q=a u_{x}$.
On the other hand, $P_{h}^{2}$ is needed only for the case of $c>0$ and is defined as follows. For any function $u, P_{h}^{2} u \in V_{h}^{k}$, and suppose $q_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$ is the unique solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} q_{h} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a P_{h}^{2} u\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, then we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
c P_{h}^{2} u-a q_{h}=P_{h}^{-} z \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z=c u-a q$.
The definitions of the above operators are nontrivial. Proof for existence and uniqueness will be provided in Lemma 3.1. We remark that $P_{h}^{1}$ and $P_{h}^{2}$ are only introduced for technical purposes in the proof, to guarantee that the initial errors of the LDG solution are small enough to be compatible with the superconvergence error estimate. In the numerical experiments, we have used simply the $L^{2}$ projection of $u$ or $P_{h}^{-} u, P_{h}^{+} u$ as the initial condition, and still observed superconvergence, see [9].

In the discussion that follows, we will consider various measurements of errors. Let us denote $e_{u}=u-u_{h}$ to be the error between the exact solution and the numerical solution, $\varepsilon_{u}=u-P_{h} u$ to be the projection error, and $\bar{e}_{u}=P_{h} u-u_{h}$ to be the error between the numerical solution and the projection of the exact solution. Similarly, $e_{q}=q-q_{h}, e_{z}=z-z_{h}$ is the error between the exact solution and the numerical solution, $\varepsilon_{q}=q-P_{h} q, \varepsilon_{z}=z-P_{h} z$ is the projection error, and $\bar{e}_{q}=P_{h} q-q_{h}, \bar{e}_{z}=P_{h} z-z_{h}$ is the error between the numerical solution and the projection of the exact solution for $q$ and $z$, respectively. Here, the projection $P_{h}$ can be $P_{h}^{-}$or $P_{h}^{+}$depending on the problem and will be specified later.

We introduce a new parameter $\lambda=\frac{\min _{j} h_{j}}{\max _{j} h_{j}}$. In the rest of the paper, if $\lambda$ appears in the estimate, it means we require a lower bound for $\lambda$, i.e., the mesh needs to be regular.

Lemma 3.1. $P_{h}^{1} u, P_{h}^{2} u$ exist and are unique. Moreover, we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u\right\| \leq C\left(\lambda,\|u\|_{k+2}\right) h^{k+3 / 2}  \tag{3.11}\\
\left\|P_{h}^{+} u-P_{h}^{2} u\right\| \leq C\left(\|u\|_{k+2}\right) h^{k+3 / 2} \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix.

We will next present the major result of this section. We first consider the case $c>0$.

Theorem 3.2. If $k \geq 1$, let $u, q=a u_{x}$ be the exact solution of the convection diffusion equation (3.1) when $c>0$ and $\tilde{u}_{h}=u_{h}^{-}$, and $u_{h}, q_{h}$ be the LDG solution of (3.3). If the
fluxes (3.4) are used, then we define $P_{h} u=P_{h}^{-} u, P_{h} q=P_{h}^{+} q$, and we choose the initial condition as $u_{h}(\cdot, 0)=P_{h}^{1} u_{0}$. We have the following error estimate:

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{e}_{q}(\cdot, s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C h^{2 k+3}(t+1)^{2},
$$

and in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+3 / 2}(t+1)
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, \lambda, a / c\right)$.
Otherwise, if the fluxes (3.5) are used, we let $P_{h} u=P_{h}^{+} u, P_{h} z=P_{h}^{-} z$ and $u_{h}(\cdot, 0)=P_{h}^{2} u_{0}$. We have the following error estimate:

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}(\cdot, s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C e^{2 C_{1} t} h^{2 k+3}
$$

and in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+3 / 2}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, a / c\right)$ and $C_{1}=C_{1}(a / c)>0$.
Proof: Without loss of generality, we will only prove for $c=1$.
We first consider the case for the fluxes (3.4). Now, the scheme becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(u_{h}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x+\mathcal{T}_{j}\left(u_{h}, q_{h} ; v_{h}\right)=0, \quad \int_{I_{j}} q_{h} w_{h} d x+\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(u_{h} ; w_{h}\right)=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$, where
$\mathcal{T}_{j}\left(u_{h}, q_{h} ; v_{h}\right)=-\int_{I_{j}} u_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}+\int_{I_{j}} a q_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a q_{h}^{+} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a q_{h}^{+} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}$,
and

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(u_{h} ; w_{h}\right)=\int_{I_{j}} a u_{h}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a u_{h}^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a u_{h}^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

From (3.2), we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} u_{t} v_{h} d x+\mathcal{T}_{j}\left(u, q ; v_{h}\right)=0, \quad \int_{I_{j}} q w_{h} d x+\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(u ; w_{h}\right)=0
$$

that hold for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Combined with (3.13), we have the error equations

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x+\mathcal{T}_{j}\left(e_{u}, e_{q} ; v_{h}\right)=0, \quad \int_{I_{j}} e_{q} w_{h} d x+\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(e_{u} ; w_{h}\right)=0
$$

that hold for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Using the properties of the projections $P_{h}^{-}$and $P_{h}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x+\mathcal{T}_{j}\left(\bar{e}_{u}, \bar{e}_{q} ; v_{h}\right)=0  \tag{3.14}\\
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} w_{h} d x+\mathcal{Q}_{j}\left(\bar{e}_{u} ; w_{h}\right)=0, \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{u}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.\bar{e}_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x-\left.a\left[\bar{e}_{q}\right] v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=0, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} w_{h} d x-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x-\left.a\left[\bar{e}_{u}\right] w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Taking $v_{h}=\bar{e}_{u}, w_{h}=\bar{e}_{q}$ in (3.14) and (3.15), summing (3.14) and (3.15) and then over all $j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+\int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} \bar{e}_{q} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}\left[\bar{e}_{u}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} \bar{e}_{q} d x\right| . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we return to the error equation (3.17). If $w_{h}^{+}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$, then the equation reduces to

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} w_{h} d x-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x=0
$$

Define $\bar{e}_{u}=r_{j}+d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, where $r_{j}$ is a constant and $d_{j}(x) \in P^{k-1}$, and let $w_{h}=d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$. Clearly, $w_{h} \in P^{k}$ and $w_{h}^{+}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$, so

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-a \mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}\left(d_{j}\right)=0
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-\frac{a}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2}(x) d x-a \frac{d_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4}=0
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)^{2} d x \leq \frac{4}{a} \int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) d x \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing piecewise polynomials $\phi_{1}(x)$ and $d(x)$, such that $\phi_{1}(x)=x-x_{j-1 / 2}$ and $d(x)=$ $d_{j}(x)$ on $I_{j}$, we know that $\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=h$. We then have

$$
\|d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{a}\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{4 h}{a}\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the other error equation (3.16), we follow the same procedure. If $v_{h}^{-}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$, then the equation reduces to

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{u}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.\bar{e}_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x=0
$$

Define $\bar{e}_{q}=b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, and let $v_{h}=s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$ in the equation above. Clearly, $v_{h} \in P^{k}$ and $v_{h}^{-}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$, so

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-Q_{1, j}+Q_{2, j}=0
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{1, j} & =\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{u}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\left(d_{j-1}(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1}}{h_{j-1}}+r_{j-1}-r_{j}\right) v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}\left(s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}\right)_{x} d x-\left(\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+r_{j-1}-r_{j}\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Q_{2, j}=-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x=-a \mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}\left(s_{j}\right)=a\left(\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{s_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{4}\right)
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1. Thus,

$$
\int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2}(x) d x \leq \frac{4 h_{j}}{a}\left(Q_{1, j}-\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x\right)
$$

and hence, if we define the piecewise polynomial $s(x)$ such that $s(x)=s_{j}(x)$ when $x \in I_{j}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I} s^{2}(x) d x \leq \frac{4}{a}\left(\left|\sum_{j} h_{j} Q_{1, j}\right|+\left|\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) d x\right|\right) . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the right hand side of the above inequality, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 3.3. Under the same condition as in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1)  \tag{3.23}\\
\left\|e_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1)  \tag{3.24}\\
\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1) \tag{3.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}\right)$ is a constant.
The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix. From (3.21) and (3.25), we have

$$
\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)
$$

where $C=C(a)$. Our next goal is to bound the right hand side of (3.22), thus obtain a bound for $s(x)$. Define the piecewise polynomial $\phi_{2}(x)$, such that $\phi_{2}(x)=x-x_{j+1 / 2}$ on $I_{j}$. Then $\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=h$ and

$$
\left|\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) d x\right| \leq\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2}}
$$

The other term on the right hand side of (3.22) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j} h_{j} Q_{1, j} \\
= & \sum_{j}\left(\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}\right)_{x} d x-h_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+r_{j-1}-r_{j}\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We need to express $r_{j}-r_{j-1}$ in terms of $d_{j}$ and $\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x$. In (3.15), let $w_{h}=1$, we get

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x-\left.a \bar{e}_{u}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a \bar{e}_{u}^{-}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 .
$$

After plugging in $\bar{e}_{u}=r_{j}+d_{j}(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}$ on $I_{j}$, we obtain

$$
r_{j}-r_{j-1}=\frac{\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x}{a}-\frac{1}{2} d_{j}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} d_{j-1}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j} h_{j} Q_{1, j} \\
= & \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) s_{j}(x) / h_{j} d x+\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) s_{j}^{\prime}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x \\
& -\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} h_{j} d_{j}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j} h_{j}\left(\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
= & T_{1}+T_{2}-T_{3}+T_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{1}=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x) s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x, \quad T_{2}=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) s_{j}^{\prime}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x \\
T_{3}=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{2} h_{j} d_{j}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad T_{4}=\frac{1}{a} \sum_{j} h_{j}\left(\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We again introduce a piecewise polynomial $\phi_{3}=\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, then $\left\|\phi_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
\left|T_{1}\right| \leq\|d\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2}} .
$$

Similarly, for $T_{2}$, we introduce $\phi_{4}=\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$. We have $\left\|\phi_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{h}{2}$, and

$$
\left|T_{2}\right| \leq\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{4}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C h^{k+3}(t+1)\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Since $s(x) \in V_{h}^{k-1}$, we have $\left\|s^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{k-1} / h\|s\|_{L^{2}}$ for a regular mesh. Here, $C_{k-1}$ only depends on $k$. Thus,

$$
\left|T_{2}\right| \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2}}
$$

For the two remaining terms, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{3}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}\left|h_{j} d_{j}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} h_{j} \frac{C_{k}}{\sqrt{h_{j}}} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2} d x} \frac{C_{k}}{\sqrt{h_{j}}} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{k}^{2} \sum_{j} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2} d x \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} C_{k}^{2} \sqrt{\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2} d x \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} C_{k}^{2}\|d\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{4}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j}\left|h_{j}\left(\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d x\right) s_{j}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} \sum_{j} h_{j} \sqrt{h_{j}} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} e_{q}^{2} d x} \frac{C_{k}}{\sqrt{h_{j}}} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} C_{k} h \sum_{j} \sqrt{\int_{I_{j}} e_{q}^{2} d x \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a} C_{k} h \sqrt{\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} e_{q}^{2} d x \sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2} d x} \\
& =\frac{1}{a} C_{k} h\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above derivation, we use the property that $d(x), s(x) \in V_{h}^{k}$, thus $C_{k}$ is a constant that only depends on $k$.

Now, (3.22) yields

$$
\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C h^{k+2}(t+1)\|s\|_{L^{2}},
$$

and therefore

$$
\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq C(\lambda, a) h^{k+2}(t+1)
$$

We are now ready for the final step of our proof. In (3.19),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x & =\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\left(r_{j}+d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x \\
\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} \bar{e}_{q} d x & =\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{q}\left(b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{q} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall $\phi_{3}(x)=\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$, and $\left\|\phi_{3}(x)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} \bar{e}_{q} d x\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|d\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\varepsilon_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C h^{2 k+3}(t+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, \lambda, a\right)$. Using the fact that $\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}, \lambda\right) h^{k+3 / 2}$ at $t=0$, which is due to the special choice of the initial condition and Lemma 3.1, we have proved

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{e}_{q}(\cdot, s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C h^{2 k+3}(t+1)^{2}
$$

and in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+3 / 2}(t+1)
$$

Next, we consider the flux choice (3.5). This is the case that the choices for $\hat{u}$ for the diffusion part and $\tilde{u}$ for the convection part do not coincide. The scheme becomes,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(u_{h}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} u_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.u_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\int_{I_{j}} a q_{h}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a q_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a q_{h}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \\
\int_{I_{j}} q_{h} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a u_{h}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a u_{h}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a u_{h}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. The error equations are now,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} e_{u}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.e_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.e_{u}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
+\int_{I_{j}} a e_{q}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a e_{q}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a e_{q}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \\
\int_{I_{j}} e_{q} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a e_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a e_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a e_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
\end{array}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Since $z=u-a q, e_{z}=e_{u}-a e_{q}, e_{q}=\left(e_{u}-e_{z}\right) / a$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} e_{z}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.e_{z}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.e_{z}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \\
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{e_{u}-e_{z}}{a} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a e_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a e_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a e_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
\end{array}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Using the properties of the projections $P_{h}^{-}$and $P_{h}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{z}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}_{z}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.\bar{e}_{z}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0  \tag{3.27}\\
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{e_{u}-e_{z}}{a} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a \bar{e}_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a \bar{e}_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a \bar{e}_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x+\left.\left[\bar{e}_{z}\right] v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0,  \tag{3.29}\\
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{e_{u}-e_{z}}{a} w_{h} d x-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x-\left.a\left[\bar{e}_{u}\right] w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $v_{h}, w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Letting $w_{h}=\bar{e}_{z}, v_{h}=\bar{e}_{u}$, multiplying (3.27) by $a$, subtracting (3.28), and summing over all $j$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+\int_{I} \frac{\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)^{2}}{a} d x+a \int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+\frac{1}{a} \int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x-\frac{1}{a} \int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x-\frac{1}{a} \int_{I} \bar{e}_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x=0, \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & +\int_{I} \frac{\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)^{2}}{a} d x \leq a\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \bar{e}_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right| \\
& \leq a\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{2 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 a} \int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 2\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\frac{2}{a^{2}}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{2}{a^{2}}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\cdot . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we return to the error equation (3.29) and (3.30). If $v_{h}^{+}\left(x_{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)=0$ and $w_{h}^{-}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)=$ 0 , then the equations reduce to

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)_{x} v_{h} d x=0
$$

$$
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{e_{u}-e_{z}}{a} w_{h} d x-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x=0 .
$$

Define $\bar{e}_{u}=r_{j}+d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}, \bar{e}_{z}=b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, with $r_{j}, b_{j}$ being constants and $d_{j}(x), s_{j}(x) \in P^{k-1}$. If we choose the test functions as $v_{h}=s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$, $w_{h}=d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}\left(s_{j}\right)=0 \\
& \int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-a \mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}\left(d_{j}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{s_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4}=0, \\
& \int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\frac{a}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{a d_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{4}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2}(x) d x \leq-4 \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right) d x \\
\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2}(x) d x \leq-\frac{4}{a} \int_{I_{j}} e_{q} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) d x
\end{array}
$$

Define piecewise polynomials $s(x), d(x), \phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x)$, such that $s(x)=s_{j}(x), d(x)=d_{j}(x), \phi_{1}(x)=$ $x-x_{j-1 / 2}, \phi_{2}(x)=x-x_{j+1 / 2}$ on $I_{j}$, then

$$
\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 4\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}, \quad\|d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{a}\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

However, $\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=h$, hence we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}, \quad\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (3.10), at $t=0, \bar{e}_{z}=0$. Hence, by (3.27), at $t=0, \int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x=0$, for any $v \in V_{h}^{k}$. This implies $\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\| \leq C h^{k+1}$ at $t=0$.

The bound for $\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ and $\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}$ at time $t$ can be obtained similar to Lemma 3.3.
Lemma 3.4. Under the same condition as in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+1} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+1}  \tag{3.35}\\
\left\|e_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+1} \tag{3.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, a\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)>0$.
The proof of this lemma is given in the Appendix. By Lemma 3.4, (3.33) leads to

$$
\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+2}, \quad\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+2}
$$

with $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, a\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)>0$.
We are now ready for the final step of our proof. In (3.32),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\left(r_{j}+d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x, \\
& \int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{z}\left(b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{z} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x, \\
& \int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{u}\left(b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}\right) d x=\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{u} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall $\phi_{3}(x)=\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$, and $\left\|\phi_{3}(x)\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}$, thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\phi_{3}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(2\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|d\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{2}{a^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{2}{a^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{2 k+3}+C_{2}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, a\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)>0, C_{2}=C_{2}(a)>0$. Using the fact that $\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}, \lambda\right) h^{k+3 / 2}$ at $t=0$, which is due to the special choice of the initial condition and Lemma 3.1, we have proved

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}(\cdot, s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C e^{2 C_{1} t} h^{2 k+3}
$$

and in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+3 / 2}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+5}, a, \lambda\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)>0$.

Theorem 3.2 can be generalized to equations in the case of $c \leq 0$. The case of $c<0$ is symmetric to that of $c>0$ and is omitted. For $c=0$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. If $k \geq 1$, let $u, q=a u_{x}$ be the exact solution of the diffusion equation (3.1) when $c=0$, and $u_{h}, q_{h}$ be the LDG solution of (3.3). If the fluxes (3.4) are used, we define $P_{h} u=P_{h}^{-} u, P_{h} q=P_{h}^{+} q$, and we choose the initial condition as $u_{h}(\cdot, 0)=P_{h}^{1} u_{0}$. We have the following error estimate:

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\bar{e}_{q}(\cdot, s)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d s \leq C h^{2 k+3}(t+1)^{2}
$$

and in particular

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+3 / 2}(t+1)
$$

The situation with the fluxes (3.5) is symmetric to that with the fluxes (3.4).
The proof of this theorem is similar to that for the case of $c>0$ given above and is therefore omitted.

## 4 Conclusion and future work

In this paper, we have studied the superconvergence property of the DG and LDG methods applied to one-dimensional linear conservation laws and convection-diffusion equations. We improve the proof in $[8,9]$ to arbitrary regular mesh and any order $k \geq 1$.

Future work includes the study of superconvergence of DG and LDG for two-dimensional problems and for nonlinear equations.
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## A Appendix: Proofs of some of the lemmas

In this appendix, we collect the proofs of some of the technical lemmas.

## A. 1 The proof of Lemma 2.1

We will only prove (2.7). The proof for (2.8) follows similar lines and is omitted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{-}(M) & =\int_{I_{j}} M(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}}\left(M^{\prime}(x) \frac{x-x_{j}}{h_{j}}+M(x) \frac{1}{h_{j}}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{I_{j}}\left(M(x) M^{\prime}(x) \frac{\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{h_{j}^{2}}+M^{2}(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}^{2}}\right) d x \\
& =\int_{I_{j}} \frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{M^{2}(x)}{2}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x-x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{h_{j}^{2}} d x+\int_{I_{j}} M^{2}(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}^{2}} d x \\
& =\frac{M^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4}-\int_{I_{j}} \frac{M^{2}(x)}{2} \frac{2 x-x_{j}-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}^{2}} d x+\int_{I_{j}} M^{2}(x) \frac{x-x_{j-1 / 2}}{h_{j}^{2}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} M^{2}(x) d x+\frac{M^{2}\left(x_{j+1 / 2}\right)}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

## A. 2 The proof of Lemma 2.3

From the projection results [10], $\left\|\varepsilon_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{k+1} h^{k+1} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+2} h^{k+1}$, and $\left\|\varepsilon_{t t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq$ $C^{\prime}| | u_{t t}\left\|_{k+1} h^{k+1} \leq C^{\prime}\right\| u \|_{k+3} h^{k+1}$, where the constant $C^{\prime}$ only depends on $k$.

Since $e=\varepsilon+\bar{e}$, we will only need to prove that $\left\|\bar{e}_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1)$. Starting from the error equation (2.12) and taking the derivative with respect to $t$, we get

$$
\int_{I_{j}} e_{t t} v_{h} d x-\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{t}\left(v_{h}\right)_{x} d x+\left.\bar{e}_{t}^{-} v_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left.\bar{e}_{t}^{-} v_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

which holds for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. By taking $v_{h}=\bar{e}_{t}$, and summing up over $j$, we have

$$
\int_{I}(\bar{e})_{t t} \bar{e}_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\bar{e}_{t}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t t} \bar{e}_{t} d x=0
$$

for periodic boundary conditions, and

$$
\int_{I}(\bar{e})_{t t} \bar{e}_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\left[\bar{e}_{t}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{e}_{t}^{+}\right)_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{e}_{t}^{-}\right)_{N+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+\int_{I} \varepsilon_{t t} \bar{e}_{t} d x=0
$$

for initial-boundary value problems. In both cases,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{I} \bar{e}_{t}^{2} d x \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{t t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \cdot\left\|\bar{e}_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}=C_{1} h^{k+1}\left\|\bar{e}_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

where $C_{1}=C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+3}$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1} h^{k+1}
$$

This gives us

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{1} h^{k+1} t+\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

To bound $\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}}$, we take $t=0$ in the error equation (2.13). At $t=0, \bar{e}=0$, thus $\int_{I_{j}} e_{t} v_{h} d x=0$. This means

$$
\int_{I_{j}} \bar{e}_{t} v_{h} d x=-\int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{t} v_{h} d x
$$

for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$ at $t=0$. Let $v_{h}=\bar{e}_{t}(x, 0)$, then

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{2} h^{k+1}\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}},
$$

where $C_{2}=C^{\prime} \mid\|u\|_{k+2}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\bar{e}_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C_{2} h^{k+1} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have proved Lemma 2.3 by taking $C=\max \left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$.

## A. 3 The proof of Lemma 3.1

We will first prove the existence and uniqueness of $P_{h}^{1} u$. Since $q-a u_{x}=0$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} q w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a u\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a u^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a u^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Combined with (3.6), we get an error equation,

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a\left(u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0,
$$

which, by the property of the projection $P_{h}^{-}$, is equivalent to
$\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a\left(P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u\right)^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0$.
We introduce the notation for the two errors $E_{u}=P_{h}^{-} u-P_{h}^{1} u, E_{q}=P_{h}^{+} q-q_{h}$, and use the notation $\varepsilon_{q}=q-P_{h}^{+} q$. The above equality can then be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a E_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a E_{u}^{-} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a E_{u}^{-} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the conditions (3.7), (3.8) are now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(E_{u}-a E_{q}\right) v_{h} d x=0 \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h} \in P^{k-1}$ on $I_{j}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{u}^{-}=a E_{q}^{+} \quad \text { at } x_{j-1 / 2} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A.2) is equivalent to the original definition of $q_{h}(3.6)$. Thus, we only need to prove there is a unique solution $E_{u}$ to the equations (A.2), (A.3), (A.4), then $P_{h}^{1} u=P_{h}^{-} u-E_{u}$ will exist and will be unique.

Combining (A.2), (A.3), (A.4), we arrive at the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a^{2} E_{q}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a^{2} E_{q}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a^{2} E_{q}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} E_{q} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a^{2} E_{q}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a^{2} E_{q}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a^{2} E_{q}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=-\int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{q} w_{h} d x \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) w_{h} d x-a^{2} \int_{I_{j}}\left(E_{q}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x-\left.a^{2}\left[E_{q}\right] w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. For any given $u, \varepsilon_{q}$ is uniquely defined, thus the equation (A.6) is a $n(k+1) \times n(k+1)$ linear system for $E_{q} \in V_{h}^{k}$ with a known right hand side. Hence, if we can prove uniqueness for $E_{q}$, then existence will follow.

The solution $E_{q}$ to (A.6) is unique. Otherwise, suppose there are two solutions $E_{q}^{1}$ and $E_{q}^{2}$. Define $g=E_{q}^{1}-E_{q}^{2}$, then

$$
\int_{I_{j}} g w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a^{2} g\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a^{2} g^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a^{2} g^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Let $w_{h}=g$, and sum over all $j$, we obtain

$$
\int_{I} g^{2} d x+\frac{a^{2}}{2} \sum_{j}[g]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=0 .
$$

Thus, $g=0$. We have proved $E_{q}$ exists and is unique. Similarly, given $E_{q}$, (A.3) and (A.4) is a $n(k+1) \times n(k+1)$ linear system for $E_{u}$. The solution is unique, because

$$
\int_{I_{j}} g v_{h} d x=0
$$

for any $v_{h} \in P^{k-1}$ on $I_{j}$ and

$$
g^{-}=0 \quad \text { at } x_{j-1 / 2}
$$

will imply $g=0$. That proves the existence and uniqueness of $E_{u}$, thus $P_{h}^{1} u$.
To prove the estimate (3.11), we start with (A.7). Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we define $E_{q}=b_{j}+s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, where $b_{j}$ is a constant and $s_{j}(x) \in P^{k-1}$, then let $w_{h}=s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$. Since $w_{h}\left(x_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}\right)=0$, from (A.7) we get

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-a^{2} \mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}\left(s_{j}\right)=0
$$

which by Lemma 2.1 is,

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+a^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} s_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{s_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{4}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{I_{j}} s_{j}(x)^{2} d x \leq-\frac{4}{a^{2}} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right) s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) d x
$$

Define piecewise polynomials $s(x)$ and $\phi_{2}(x)$, such that $s(x)=s_{j}(x), \phi_{2}(x)=x-x_{j+1 / 2}$ on $I_{j}$, then

$$
\|s\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{a^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|s\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

However, $\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=h$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h\left\|\varepsilon_{q}+E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h\left(\left\|\varepsilon_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \leq C h^{k+2}+C h\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(a,\|u\|_{k+2}\right)$. Now, plugging $w_{h}=E_{q}$ in (A.6) and summing over all $j$, we get

$$
\int_{I} E_{q}^{2} d x+\frac{a^{2}}{2} \sum_{j}\left[E_{q}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=-\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} E_{q} d x
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} E_{q} d x\right| \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{q}$ is orthogonal to any constant, we have

$$
\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} E_{q} d x\right|=\left|\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \varepsilon_{q} s_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x\right| .
$$

Define a new function $\phi(x)=\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, then $\|\phi(x)\|_{L^{\infty}}=\frac{1}{2}$, and

$$
\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} E_{q} d x\right| \leq\left\|\varepsilon_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}\|s\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}\|s\| .
$$

Therefore, (A.9) and (A.8) imply

$$
\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C h^{k+1}\|s\| \leq C h^{2 k+3}+C h^{k+2}\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

Thus, we have proved a bound for $E_{q}$,

$$
\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+3 / 2}
$$

where $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+2}\right)$. Using the relations (A.3) and (A.4), we will be able to prove a bound for $E_{u}$. Suppose

$$
E_{u}=\sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{m}^{j} P_{m}\left(\frac{2\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{h_{j}}\right)
$$

and

$$
E_{q}=\sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m}^{j} P_{m}\left(\frac{2\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{h_{j}}\right)
$$

on $I_{j}$, with $P_{m}(\cdot)$ denoting the $m$-th order Legendre polynomial. From the orthogonality, (A.3) means

$$
a_{m}^{j}=a b_{m}^{j}, \quad \text { for } \quad m=0,1, \ldots k-1 \quad \text { and any } j .
$$

Now (A.4) implies

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{k} a_{m}^{j}=a \sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m}^{j+1}(-1)^{m}
$$

thus

$$
a_{k}^{j}=a\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m}^{j+1}(-1)^{m}-\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} b_{m}^{j}\right) .
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{I_{j}} E_{u}^{2} d x=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(a_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \int_{I_{j}}\left(P_{m}\left(\frac{2\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{h_{j}}\right)\right)^{2} d x=\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(a_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1} \\
& =a^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1}+\left(a_{k}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 k+1} \leq a^{2} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1}+\left(a_{k}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 k+1} \\
& =a^{2} \int_{I_{j}} E_{q}^{2} d x+\left(a_{k}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 k+1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{k}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 k+1}=a^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 k+1}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m}^{j+1}(-1)^{m}-\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq a^{2} \frac{2 h_{j}}{2 k+1}\left(\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} b_{m}^{j+1}(-1)^{m}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq a^{2} \frac{2(k+1) h_{j}}{2 k+1}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(b_{m}^{j+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \leq a^{2}(2(k+1))\left(\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(b_{m}^{j+1}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1}+\sum_{m=0}^{k-1}\left(b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1}\right) \\
& \leq a^{2}(2(k+1))\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(b_{m}^{j+1}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j+1}}{2 m+1}+\sum_{m=0}^{k}\left(b_{m}^{j}\right)^{2} \frac{h_{j}}{2 m+1}\right) \\
& =a^{2}(2(k+1))\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{I_{j+1}} E_{q}^{2} d x+\int_{I_{j}} E_{q}^{2} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{I_{j}} E_{u}^{2} d x \leq a^{2}\left((1+2(k+1)) \int_{I_{j}} E_{q}^{2} d x+\frac{2(k+1)}{\lambda} \int_{I_{j+1}} E_{q}^{2} d x\right)
$$

Summing over all $j$, we obtain

$$
\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq a^{2}\left(1+2(k+1)+\frac{2(k+1)}{\lambda}\right)\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
$$

We now have

$$
\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C(\lambda)\left\|E_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C\left(\lambda,\|u\|_{k+2}\right) h^{k+3 / 2}
$$

hence we have proved (3.11).
We now proceed to prove the existence and uniqueness of $P_{h}^{2} u$. Since $q-a u_{x}=0$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{j}} q w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a u\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a u^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a u^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Combined with (3.9), we get an error equation,
$\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a\left(u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0$,
which, by the property of the projection $P_{h}^{+}$, is equivalent to
$\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a\left(P_{h}^{+} u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a\left(P_{h}^{+} u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a\left(P_{h}^{+} u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0$
for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. We use the notation $z_{h}=c P_{h}^{2} u-a q_{h}, E_{u}=P_{h}^{+} u-P_{h}^{2} u$ and $\varepsilon_{u}=u-P_{h}^{+} u$, $\varepsilon_{z}=z-P_{h}^{-} z$. The above equality can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a E_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a E_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a E_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0, \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) w_{h} d x-a \int_{I_{j}}\left(E_{u}\right)_{x} w_{h} d x-\left.a\left[E_{u}\right] w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}=0 \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Since

$$
q-q_{h}=\frac{c u-z}{a}-\frac{c P_{h}^{2} u-z_{h}}{a}=\frac{-\left(z-z_{h}\right)+c\left(u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)}{a}
$$

using (3.10) here, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-q_{h}=\frac{-\left(z-P_{h}^{-} z\right)+c\left(u-P_{h}^{2} u\right)}{a}=\frac{-\varepsilon_{z}+c\left(\varepsilon_{u}+E_{u}\right)}{a} . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (A.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{c E_{u}}{a} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a E_{u}\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a E_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a E_{u}^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=\int_{I_{j}} \frac{\varepsilon_{z}-c \varepsilon_{u}}{a} w_{h} d x \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. This is a $n(k+1) \times n(k+1)$ linear system for $E_{u}$ with a known right hand side. The solution is unique. Otherwise, suppose there are two solutions $E_{u}^{1}$ and $E_{u}^{2}$. Define $g=E_{u}^{1}-E_{u}^{2}$, then

$$
\int_{I_{j}} \frac{c g}{a} w_{h} d x+\int_{I_{j}} a g\left(w_{h}\right)_{x} d x-\left.a g^{+} w_{h}^{-}\right|_{j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left.a g^{+} w_{h}^{+}\right|_{j-\frac{1}{2}}=0
$$

for any $w_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Let $w_{h}=g$, and sum over all $j$,

$$
\int_{I} \frac{c g^{2}}{a} d x+\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j}[g]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=0
$$

Thus, $g=0$. Now $E_{u}$ exists and is unique. By the equivalence of (3.9) and (A.10), $P_{h}^{2} u$ exists and is unique.

The final step is to prove the error bound (3.12). Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we define $E_{u}=r_{j}+d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j}$ on $I_{j}$, where $r_{j}$ is a constant and $d_{j}(x) \in P^{k-1}$, then let $w_{h}=d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j}$ in (A.11) to get,

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x-a \mathcal{B}_{j}^{+}\left(d_{j}\right)=0
$$

or, by Lemma 2.1,

$$
\int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) / h_{j} d x+\frac{a}{4 h_{j}} \int_{I_{j}} d_{j}^{2}(x) d x+\frac{a d_{j}^{2}\left(x_{j-1 / 2}\right)}{4}=0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{I_{j}} d_{j}(x)^{2} d x \leq-\frac{4}{a} \int_{I_{j}}\left(q-q_{h}\right) d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j+1 / 2}\right) d x
$$

Define piecewise polynomials $d(x), \phi_{2}(x)$, such that $d(x)=d_{j}(x), \phi_{2}(x)=x-x_{j+1 / 2}$ on $I_{j}$, then

$$
\|d\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{4}{a}\left\|q-q_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|d\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}
$$

Since $\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}=h$, we have

$$
\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h\left\|q-q_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

In (A.13), let $w_{h}=E_{u}$, and sum over all $j$,

$$
\int_{I} \frac{c E_{u}^{2}}{a} d x+\frac{a}{2} \sum_{j}\left[E_{u}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=\int_{I} \frac{\varepsilon_{z}-c \varepsilon_{u}}{a} E_{u} d x
$$

hence, since $\varepsilon_{z}$ and $\varepsilon_{u}$ are orthogonal to piecewise constants,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq \frac{1}{c}\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{z}-c \varepsilon_{u}\right) E_{u} d x\right|=\frac{1}{c}\left|\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}}\left(\varepsilon_{z}-c \varepsilon_{u}\right) d_{j}(x)\left(x-x_{j}\right) / h_{j} d x\right| \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}\|d\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+2}\left\|q-q_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

However, by (A.12),

$$
\left\|q-q_{h}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\frac{\varepsilon_{z}-c \varepsilon_{u}}{a}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{c\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}}{a} \leq C h^{k+1}+\frac{c\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}}{a}
$$

which tells us

$$
\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C h^{2 k+3}+C h^{k+2}\left\|E_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

and (3.12) follows. This finishes the proof for Lemma 3.1.

## A. 4 The proof of Lemma 3.3

From the projection results, $\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{k+1} h^{k+1} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}$ with $C^{\prime}$ as a constant.

Since $e_{u}=\varepsilon_{u}+\bar{e}_{u}$, to prove (3.23), we will only need to prove $\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1)$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, we take the derivative with respect to time for the error equations (3.14) and (3.15), and let $v_{h}=\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}, w_{h}=\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t}$, sum them over all $j$, to obtain

$$
\int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t t}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} d x+\int_{I}\left(\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t t}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} d x+\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)_{t}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t} d x+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j}\left[\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right]_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{2}=0
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\|\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t}\right) \|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t t}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} d x\right|+\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)_{t}\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t} d x\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}{4}+\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{q}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}}{4}
$$

Again by the projection results, $\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+5} h^{k+1}$ and $\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{q}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|q\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}$, thus,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 C^{\prime}\|u(t)\|_{k+5} h^{k+1}\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{\prime}\|q(t)\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}\right)^{2} .
$$

Denote $E(t)=\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}, A=2 C^{\prime}\|u(t)\|_{k+5} h^{k+1}, B=\frac{1}{2}\left(C^{\prime}\|q(t)\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}\right)^{2}$, then

$$
\frac{d}{d t} E^{2}(t) \leq A E(t)+B
$$

Notice that here, although $A, B$ do not explicitly depend on $t$, they are functions of time through the dependence on the norm of $u(t)$ and $q(t)$. In our example, $\|u(t)\|$ and $\|q(t)\|$ are exponentially decaying with respect to time. However, we just assume that $A, B$ are bounded, namely, for any $t, A \leq \alpha, B \leq \beta$, whereas, $\alpha=C_{2} h^{k+1}, \beta=C_{2} h^{2 k+2}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E^{2}(t) \leq \alpha E(t)+\beta \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the way the initial condition of $u_{h}$ is chosen, using (3.7) and (3.8), and plugging in (3.14), we have, at $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{j}}\left(e_{u}\right)_{t} v_{h} d x=0 \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v_{h} \in V_{h}^{k}$. Let $v_{h}=\bar{e}_{u}$, then similar to the proof of (A.1) in Lemma 2.3, at $t=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}(\cdot, 0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+3} h^{k+1} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus proved

$$
E(0) \leq C^{\prime}\|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}=C_{1} h^{k+1}
$$

Combined with (A.14), we will be able to obtain a bound on $E(t)$. Integrate (A.14) with respect to $t$,

$$
E^{2}(t) \leq E^{2}(0)+\beta t+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} E(s) d s
$$

If $t \leq T$, then

$$
E^{2}(t) \leq E^{2}(0)+\beta T+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} E(s) d s
$$

Define $w(t)=E^{2}(0)+\beta T+\alpha \int_{0}^{t} E(s) d s$, then the above inequality reads $E(t) \leq \sqrt{w(t)}$.
Moreover,

$$
\frac{d}{d t} w(t)=\alpha E(t) \leq \alpha \sqrt{w(t)}
$$

It is now easy to derive

$$
w(t) \leq 2 w(0)+\frac{(\alpha t)^{2}}{2}=2\left(E^{2}(0)+\beta T\right)+\frac{(\alpha t)^{2}}{2}
$$

therefore,

$$
E^{2}(t) \leq 2\left(E^{2}(0)+\beta T\right)+\frac{(\alpha t)^{2}}{2}
$$

for any $t \leq T$. We can simply take $T=t$ now to obtain

$$
E^{2}(t) \leq 2\left(E^{2}(0)+\beta t\right)+\frac{(\alpha t)^{2}}{2} \leq 2\left(C_{1}^{2} h^{2 k+2}+C_{2} h^{2 k+2} t\right)+\frac{C_{2}^{2}}{2} h^{2 k+2} t^{2}
$$

Taking a square root, we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(e_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C h^{k+1}(t+1)
$$

Similarly to the above, it is easy to derive (3.24) if we work with (3.18) directly. From
(3.18), (3.23) and (3.24), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\bar{e}_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & \leq\left|\int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{q} \bar{e}_{q} d x\right| \\
& \leq\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\varepsilon_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\bar{e}_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C h^{2 k+2}(t+1)^{2}+C h^{k+1}\left\|\bar{e}_{q}\right\|_{L^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus (3.25) follows. This finishes the proof for Lemma 3.3.

## A. 5 The proof of Lemma 3.4

We can rewrite the error equation (3.31) into the following form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & a\left|\int_{I}\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{z} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \varepsilon_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right|+\frac{1}{a}\left|\int_{I} \bar{e}_{u} \bar{e}_{z} d x\right| \\
\leq & \frac{a}{2}\left(\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 a}\left(\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{2}{a^{2}}\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{a^{2}}+1\right)\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

From the projection properties, $\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+1} h^{k+1},\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+2} h^{k+1}$ and $\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+3} h^{k+1}$ with $C^{\prime}$ as a constant. Therefore,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq C h^{2 k+2}+C_{1}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
$$

and $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)$. Since $\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\| \leq C h^{k+1}$ initially,

$$
\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{C_{1}} e^{C_{1} t} h^{2 k+2}
$$

Combined with $\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C^{\prime}\|u\|_{k+1} h^{k+1}$, we have

$$
\left\|e_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{C}{C_{1}}} e^{C_{1} t / 2} h^{k+1}
$$

We can rewrite it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq C e^{C_{1} t} h^{k+1} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=C\left(\|u\|_{k+3}, a\right), C_{1}=C_{1}(a)>0$. Notice that, although the bound in (A.17) shows an exponential growth, the constant $C$ is compensating the growth, since our exact solution $u$ is exponentially decaying, as well as all of its norms. This explains why in the numerical experiments, exponential decay of the error is observed, see [9].

To prove (3.35), we take the time derivative of the error equations (3.27) and (3.28), and let the test functions be $w_{h}=\left(\bar{e}_{z}\right)_{t}, v_{h}=\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}$. At $t=0$, we still have (A.15) because of the choice of initial condition, hence we have (A.16), or $\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\| \leq C h^{k+1}$ at $t=0$. The remaining proof is then very similar to the above and is thus omitted. From the error equation (3.31) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{a}{2}\left(\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{a}\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 a}\left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\bar{e}_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq-4 a^{2} \int_{I}\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t} \bar{e}_{u} d x+2 a^{2}\left(\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+4\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
& \leq 2 a^{2}\left(\left\|\left(\bar{e}_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+2 a^{2}\left(\left\|\left(\varepsilon_{u}\right)_{t}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)+4\left\|\varepsilon_{z}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4\left\|\varepsilon_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+4\left\|\bar{e}_{u}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We already have bounds on every term on the right hand side of the above inequality, thus (3.36) follows by taking into account $e_{q}=\left(e_{u}-e_{z}\right) / a$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.4.
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