

# Supramolecular Self-Assembly of Nonlinear Amphiphilic and Double Hydrophilic Block Copolymers in Aqueous Solutions

Zhishen Ge, Shiyong Liu\*

Supramolecular self-assembly of block copolymers in aqueous solution has received everincreasing interest over the past few decades due to diverse biological and technological applications in drug delivery, imaging, sensing and catalysis. In addition to relative block

lengths, molecular weights and solution conditions, chain architectures of block copolymers can also dramatically affect their self-assembling properties in selective solvents. This feature article mainly focuses on recent developments in the field of supramolecular self-assembly of amphiphilic and double hydrophilic block copolymers (DHBCs) possessing nonlinear chain topologies, including miktoarm star polymers, dendritic-linear block copolymers, cyclic block copolymers and comb-shaped copolymer brushes.



# Introduction

It has been well established that amphiphilic block copolymers can self-assemble in aqueous solution into a variety of morphological structures including spheres, rods, lamellae, vesicles and large compound micelles or vesicles.<sup>[1-6]</sup> Over the past few decades, this field has received ever-increasing interest due to the fact that block copolymer assemblies possess important biological or technological applications in drug delivery,<sup>[7-9]</sup> imaging,<sup>[10-13]</sup> sensing<sup>[14,15]</sup> and catalysis.<sup>[16-20]</sup> Notably, double hydrophilic block copolymers (DHBCs) represent a

Z. S. Ge, S. Y. Liu

CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China Fax: 86-551-3607348; E-mail: sliu@ustc.edu.cn special type of amphiphilic block copolymer, which can reversibly switch between micellar and unimer states depending on external conditions such as pH, temperature, ionic strength and light irradiation.<sup>[21–25]</sup> Certain stimuliresponsive DHBCs can even exhibit a "schizophrenic" micellization character, forming two or more types of aggregates with "invertible" nanostructures upon judicious adjustment of external conditions.<sup>[21,22,25–30]</sup> A recent surge in the field of DHBCs has further broadened the applications of block copolymer assemblies.<sup>[31–36]</sup>

For linear amphiphilic and double hydrophilic block copolymers, previous literature reports have established that the basic structural parameters of their supramolecular assemblies in aqueous solution, including aggregate size, morphology, critical aggregation concentration (CAC) and colloidal stability, are mainly determined by relative block lengths, molecular weights (MWs) and solution conditions.<sup>[32,33,37–41]</sup> Though it has been generally recognized that the chain architecture of block copolymers can also dramatically affect their self-assembling





**Zhishen Ge** was born in Anhui Province, China, in 1982. After receiving his B.S. degree in applied chemistry from Anhui University in 2004, he entered the Department of Polymer Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, and has been pursuing his Ph.D. degree under the supervision of Prof. Shiyong Liu. His current research interests include the synthesis of stimuli-responsive polymers with complex chain architectures by coupling controlled radical polymerization, ringopening polymerization and click chemistry techniques.



Shiyong Liu was born in Hubei Province, China, in 1972. He obtained his B. S. degree in 1993 and M. S. degree in 1996 from Wuhan University, majoring in environmental chemistry and polymer chemistry, respectively. After obtaining his Ph.D. degree in 2000 from Fudan University under the direction of Prof. Ming Jiang, he spent three and a half years at University of Sussex and University of Delaware as a postdoctoral fellow, working with Prof. Steven P. Armes and Prof. Eric W. Kaler, respectively. Since 2004, he has been a professor of Polymer Science and Engineering at the University of Science and Technology of China. Currently, his research focuses on the design and synthesis of functional polymers, colloids and stimuli-responsive polymeric assemblies with controlled properties for applications in imaging, sensing and drug delivery.

behavior,<sup>[37,38,42,43]</sup> less has been done experimentally and theoretically to elucidate this issue.

This feature article mainly focuses on recent developments in the emerging field of supramolecular selfassembly of amphiphilic and double hydrophilic block copolymers (DHBCs) possessing nonlinear chain topologies, including miktoarm star polymers, dendritic–linear block copolymers, cyclic block copolymers and comb-shaped copolymer brushes. We will not go into further detail concerning their synthetic strategies and interested readers are encouraged to obtain this information from relevant references and several excellent review articles.<sup>[42–47]</sup>

# Amphiphilic and Double Hydrophilic Star Copolymers

Star polymers typically consist of three or more linear chains covalently or non-covalently linked to a central core. Various techniques such as high vacuum anionic polymerization,<sup>[48,49]</sup> atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP),<sup>[44,47,50]</sup> reversible addition-fragmentation chain

transfer (RAFT),<sup>[51,52]</sup> ring-opening polymerization (ROP),<sup>[53]</sup> nitroxide-mediated radical polymerization (NMP),<sup>[54]</sup> click chemistry<sup>[55–57]</sup> or a combination of them<sup>[58–63]</sup> have been successfully developed toward their synthesis. Depending on their chain topologies, amphiphilic and double hydrophilic star copolymers can be further categorized into AB<sub>n</sub> ( $n \ge 2$ ), A<sub>n</sub>BA<sub>n</sub> ( $n \ge 2$ ) and ABC miktoarm star types.

## AB<sub>2</sub> Miktoarm Star Copolymers

Asymmetric AB<sub>2</sub>-type miktoarm star copolymers are also referred as "Y-shaped" block copolymers. An original example concerning the self-assembly of AB<sub>2</sub> miktoarm star copolymers was conducted in organic solvent, as reported by Hadjichristidis, Pispas and coworkers.<sup>[64]</sup> They synthesized Y-shaped  $PS-b-(PI)_2$  and  $(PS)_2-b-PI$ , and linear PS-b-PI block copolymers with comparable composition and overall MW via high vacuum anionic polymerization, where PS and PI represent polystyrene and polyisoprene, respectively. In n-decane, a selective solvent for the PI block, it was found that sizes and aggregation numbers  $(N_{agg})$  of micelles self-assembled from these three types of block copolymers increase in the order PS-*b*-(PI)<sub>2</sub> < (PS)<sub>2</sub>-*b*-PI < PS-*b*-PI. The presence of only one solvated chain in the micelle corona region has thus been proved to considerably alter micellar characteristics. They also successfully developed a scaling theory to explain the different assembling behavior of linear and nonlinear block copolymers by taking account of free energy contributions from the micellar core, corona and interfacial regions.

Concerning amphiphilic AB<sub>2</sub> miktoarm star copolymers, our research group reported the synthesis and selfassembly of well-defined amphiphilic PCL-b-(PDMA)<sub>2</sub> and (PCL)<sub>2</sub>-b-PDMA Y-shaped miktoarm star copolymers, where PCL and PDMA are  $poly(\varepsilon$ -caprolactone) and poly-(2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate), respectively.<sup>[62]</sup> Compared to linear PCL-b-PDMA block copolymer with similar PCL and PDMA contents, we observed that PCL-b- $(PDMA)_2$  and  $(PCL)_2$ -*b*-PDMA self-assemble in aqueous solution into spherical micelles of smaller  $N_{\text{agg}}$ , core radius  $(R_{core})$  and intensity-average hydrodynamic radius  $(\langle R_{h} \rangle)$ . Among these three types of amphiphilic block copolymers, PCL-b-(PDMA)<sub>2</sub> forms aggregates possessing the smallest  $N_{\text{agg}}$  and  $\langle R_{\text{h}} \rangle$ , and the largest overall micelle density due to the enhanced stabilization effects of two well-solvated PDMA chains per junction at the core-corona interface, which favors the bending of the core-corona interface and the formation of densely-packed core-shell nanostructures. The above results are in reasonable agreement with those obtained by Hadjichristidis et al. for block copolymers consisting of PS and PI sequences.<sup>[64]</sup> Taton et al.<sup>[65,66]</sup> also reported the synthesis and self-assembly of Y-shaped block copolymers consisting of hydrophobic PS and hydrophilic



poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) or poly(L-glutamic acid) (PLGA) arms. In both cases, well-defined pH-responsive spherical assemblies can form in aqueous solution.

Micellar aggregates self-assembled from amphiphilic Y-shaped miktoarm star copolymers have been explored as drug delivery nanocarriers. Guo et al.<sup>[67]</sup> synthesized amphiphilic and biocompatible PEO-b-(PTMC)<sub>2</sub> AB<sub>2</sub> miktoarm star copolymers, where PEO and PTMC represent poly(ethylene oxide) and poly(trimethylene carbonate), respectively. *In vitro* studies revealed that drug release rate decreases with the increase of hydrophobic PTMC chain lengths. Thus, controlled-release properties of this novel type of drug nanocarrier can be tuned by the block compositions of Y-shaped PEO-b-(PTMC)<sub>2</sub>.

The first few examples of double hydrophilic AB<sub>2</sub> miktoarm star copolymers were reported by Armes and coworkers.<sup>[68-70]</sup> They prepared a wide range of pH- and thermo-responsive Y-shaped miktoarm star copolymers via the ATRP of several methacrylate monomers under mild conditions, starting from water-soluble difunctional macroinitiators. In a specific example, a Y-shaped miktoarm star copolymer of propylene oxide (PO) and 2-(diethylamino)ethyl methacrylate) (DEA), PPO-b-(PSBMA)<sub>2</sub>, can exhibit a thermo-induced micelle-unimer-micelle transition, taking advantage of the fact that PPO and PSBMA possess lower and upper critical solution temperature (LCST and UCST) phase behaviors in aqueous solution, respectively. They concluded that Y-shaped star copolymers exhibit considerably different aggregation properties in terms of critical micellization concentration (CMC) and micelle sizes.

The incorporation of polypeptide sequences, such as pH-responsive poly(L-lysine) (PLL) and PLGA, into DHBCs can endow them with additional structural versatility, tunable spatial arrangement of chain segments within self-assembled nanostructures, enhanced biocompatibility and broader applications in the field of biomedicines, which represents a promising new direction in the field of DHBCs. Previous literature reports mainly focused on linear purely polypeptide-based or polypeptide hybrid block copolymers.<sup>[71,72]</sup> In two notable examples, Lecommandoux et al.<sup>[73]</sup> reported that linear PLGA-*b*-PLL diblock copolymer can self-assemble in aqueous solution into two types of unilamellar vesicles at pH 3 and 12, respectively, by taking advantage of the formation of secondary structures and block composition asymmetry. Deming et al.<sup>[74]</sup> synthesized non-ionic block copolypeptides of L-leucine and ethylene glycol-modified L-lysine residues, which can self-assemble into complex nanostructures such as giant vesicles, sheet-like membranes and irregular aggregates in aqueous solution. Just recently, we synthesized watersoluble AB<sub>2</sub> Y-shaped miktoarm star polypeptide copolymer, PLL-b-(PLGA)<sub>2</sub>, via a combination of the ROP of  $\alpha$ -amino acid N-carboxyanhydride (NCA) and click chemistry.<sup>[75]</sup> Interestingly, Y-shaped PLL-b-(PLGA)<sub>2</sub> can self-assemble



*Figure 1.* pH-responsive "schizophrenic" micellization of  $AB_2$ Y-shaped miktoarm star copolymer, PLL-*b*-(PLGA)<sub>2</sub>, in aqueous solution accompanied with coil-to-helix transitions.

into PLGA-core micelles at acidic pH and PLL-core micelles at alkaline pH, accompanied with the coil-to-helix transition of PLGA and PLL sequences (Figure 1). It is quite expected that, by varying the relative block length ratios, more robust and intriguing supramolecular assemblies can be fabricated from this novel type of purely polypeptide-based nonlinear block copolymers.

#### $AB_n$ ( $n \ge 2$ ) Miktoarm Star Copolymers

The first few examples of amphiphilic  $AB_n$  (n > 2) miktoarm star copolymers were exclusively synthesized via living anionic polymerization techniques and investigations concerning their self-assembly in aqueous solution were quite rare.<sup>[48,49]</sup> Later, Tsukruk et al.<sup>[76,77]</sup> synthesized amphiphilic  $PEO-b-PS_n$  by coupling living anionic polymerization and ATRP techniques. They observed that  $PEO-b-PS_n$  has a strong tendency to form nanostructured aggregates at the air/water interface. Recently, we reported the synthesis and "schizophrenic" self-assembly of an AB<sub>4</sub> miktoarm star copolymer of N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM) and DEA, PNIPAM-b-(PDEA)<sub>4</sub>, consisting of thermoresponsive PNIPAM and pH-responsive PDEA arms.<sup>[78]</sup> For comparison, PNIPAM-b-PDEA linear diblock copolymer with comparable MW and block composition was also prepared. Intriguingly, PNIPAM-core micelles form in acidic media at elevated temperatures, whereas structurally inverted PDEA-core micelles form in slightly alkaline conditions at room temperature (Figure 2). We further observed that the size of PDEA-core micelles self-assembled from PNIPAM-b-(PDEA)<sub>4</sub> was considerably smaller than that of linear PNIPAM-b-PDEA diblock copolymer. Moreover, pH-induced micellization kinetics of AB<sub>4</sub> miktoarm star and linear AB diblock copolymers were also investigated by the stopped-flow light scattering technique upon a pH jump from 4 to 10. Typical kinetic traces for the micellization of both types of copolymers can be fitted well





*Figure 2.* Schematic illustration of dually-responsive "schizophrenic" micellization behavior of  $AB_4$  miktoarm star copolymer, PNIPAM-*b*-(PDEA)<sub>4</sub>, in aqueous solution.

with double-exponential functions, yielding a fast ( $\tau_1$ ) and a slow ( $\tau_2$ ) relaxation processes.  $\tau_1$  for both copolymers decreased with increasing polymer concentrations.  $\tau_2$  was independent of polymer concentration for PNIPAM<sub>65</sub>-*b*-(PDEA<sub>63</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, whereas it decreased with increasing polymer concentrations for PNIPAM<sub>70</sub>-*b*-PDEA<sub>260</sub>. This further indicated that pH-induced self-assembly of nonlinear and linear block copolymers follow distinctly different kinetic pathways.

### A<sub>n</sub>BA<sub>n</sub> Miktoarm Star Copolymers

Amphiphilic  $A_n BA_n$  miktoarm star copolymers were typically synthesized via anionic polymerization, controlled free radical polymerizations, ROP, click reaction or a combination of them.<sup>[79-83]</sup> A recently example was reported by Pan and coworkers.<sup>[83]</sup> They synthesized amphiphilic H-shaped block copolymers of 3-trimethoxylsilylpropyl methacrylate and ethylene oxide with (PTMSPMA)<sub>2</sub>-b-PEOvarying block compositions, b-(PTMSPMA)<sub>2</sub>. It was found that the obtained H-shaped miktoarm star copolymers can form a range of nanostructured aggregates, such as large compound vesicles (LCVs), multilayer vesicles and unilamellar vesicles. Most importantly, these intriguing nanostructures can be further structurally fixed via the sol-gel reaction of PTMSPMA segments, forming stable organic/inorganic hybrid supramolecular assemblies.

Previously, we reported the synthesis and self-assembly of nonlinear DHBCs of A<sub>2</sub>BA<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>4</sub>BA<sub>4</sub> types, i.e., H-shaped  $(PDEA)_2$ -*b*-PPO-*b*- $(PDEA)_2$  and star-*b*-linear-*b*-star type (PDEA)<sub>4</sub>-*b*-PPO-*b*-(PDEA)<sub>4</sub> block copolymers.<sup>[84]</sup> At pH 8.5 and 5°C, (PDEA)<sub>2</sub>-b-PPO-b-(PDEA)<sub>2</sub> and (PDEA)<sub>4</sub>-b-PPO-b-(PDEA)<sub>4</sub> form much larger PDEA-core micelles, compared to linear PPO-b-PDEA with comparable PPO and PDEA contents. The formed PDEA-core micelles are expected to adopt a "flower-like" structure in which the soluble PPO central block forms loops stabilizing the insoluble PDEA core. In marked contrast to linear PPO-b-PDEA, upon heating the aqueous solutions at pH 6.4, both types of nonlinear block copolymers form unimolecular micelles with the core consisting of a single collapsed PPO chain. It should be noted that the formation of unimolecular micelles from super-H-shaped  $(PI)_3$ -b-PS-b- $(PI)_3$  in selective solvent, *n*-decane, has been previously reported by Hadjichristidis and coworkers.<sup>[85]</sup>

## **ABC Miktoarm Star Terpolymers**

When three types of polymer sequences are arranged in a nonlinear fashion, the simplest form would be ABC miktoarm star terpolymers.<sup>[42,48,86,87]</sup> Notable examples concerning their supramolecular self-assembly in aqueous solution have been reported by Lodge and coworkers.<sup>[88–93]</sup> They observed that miktoarm star terpolymers consisting of PEO, perfluorinated polyether and hydrogenated polybutadiene arms can self-assemble into a variety of morphologies ranging from discrete multicompartment micelles, extended wormlike structures with segmented cores, polygonal bilayer sheets and laterally nanostructured vesicles, depending on the relative block lengths. These robust nanostructured morphologies are considered to be associated with the unique miktoarm star topology and intrinsic incompatibility between perfluorinated polyether and hydrogenated polybutadiene within hydrophobic micelle cores or vesicle bilayers. Just recently, Zhang et al.<sup>[94]</sup> reported the synthesis of amphiphilic ABC miktoarm star terpolymers, PS(-b-PNIPAM)-b-PCL, via a combination of ATRP, ROP and consecutive click reactions. In aqueous solution, the obtained amphiphilic miktoarm star terpolymers self-assemble into spherical micelles possessing mixed PS/PCL cores and thermo-responsive PNIPAM coronas.

We recently reported the first two examples of stimuliresponsive double hydrophilic ABC miktoarm star terpolymers, PEO(-*b*-PMAA)-*b*-PDEA and PEO(-*b*-PDEA)-*b*-PNIPAM, where PMAA stands for poly(methacrylic acid).<sup>[95,96]</sup> Bearing pH-responsive PMAA and PDEA arms, well-defined PEO(-*b*-PMAA)-*b*-PDEA miktoarm star terpolymers self-assemble into three types of micellar aggregates by simply adjusting solution pH at room temperature. Above pH 8, PDEA-core micelles stabilized





*Figure 3*. Schematic for pH-responsive formation of three types of micellar aggregates from PEO(-*b*-PMAA)-*b*-PDEA zwitterionic ABC miktoarm star terpolymers in aqueous solution.

by PEO/ionized PMAA hybrid coronas form due to insolubility of PDEA block. In a pH range of 5–7, micelles possessing polyion complex cores formed due to chargecompensation between partially ionized PMAA and partially protonated PDEA sequences. At pH < 4, hydrogen bonding interactions between fully protonated PMAA and PEO led to the formation of another type of micellar aggregates possessing hydrogen-bonded complexes cores stabilized by protonated PDEA coronas (Figure 3).

When one of the arms of ABC miktoarm star copolymers was replaced by functional moieties such as C<sub>60</sub>, their supramolecular self-assembly can facilely lead to its spatial ordering. Wang et al.<sup>[97]</sup> synthesized well-defined amphiphilic block copolymer incorporated with a single  $C_{60}$ moiety at the diblock junction point, PEO(-b-C<sub>60</sub>)-b-PS. The obtained C60-containing amphiphilic diblock copolymer self-assembles in aqueous solution into fullerene-containing hybrid vesicles. We thus successfully demonstrated that block copolymer self-assembly can be utilized to tune the spatial arrangement of  $C_{60}$ , which might further broaden the application scopes of fullerenes (Figure 4). By changing the relative block lengths of PEO and PS blocks, we expect that hybrid nanostructures with more complex morphologies embedded with orderly arranged C60 could be obtained.

## **Dendritic–Linear Block Copolymers**

Dendritic–linear and dendritic–linear–dendritic block copolymers combine advantageous properties of two types of macromolecular architectures (linear and dendritic), and the latter are also called dumbbell-shaped ABA block copolymers. The self-assembling behavior of amphiphilic dendritic–linear copolymers in aqueous solutions has been extensively investigated.<sup>[98–100]</sup> Gitsov et al.<sup>[101]</sup> reported that dendritic–linear–dendritic copolymers consisting of poly(benzyl ether) dendrimers and PEO building blocks can self-assemble into multimolecular aggregates in aqueous solution, which exhibit excellent loading capacity for hydrophobic small molecules. Adeli et al.<sup>[102]</sup> reported the synthesis and self-assembly of dendritic– linear–dendritic triblock copolymers consisting of dendritic triazine and PEO segments.

Amphiphilic dendritic–linear block copolymers consisting of stimuli-responsive hydrophilic segments have also been reported. Fréchet et al. synthesized dendritic–linear block copolymers comprising PEO and dendritic polylysine or polyester.<sup>[103]</sup> At first, the dendritic moiety was rendered water-insoluble by grafting hydrophobic functionalities at

its periphery via highly acid-sensitive cyclic acetal linkages. These copolymers can form stable aggregates in aqueous solution at neutral pH but spontaneously disintegrate into unimers at mildly acidic condition due to the loss of hydrophobic groups upon acetal hydrolysis. Thus, this novel type of self-destructive aggregates could serve as smart nanocarriers for the controlled-release of hydrophobic drugs at targeted sites (Figure 5).

Ge et al.<sup>[104]</sup> synthesized dendritic–linear diblock copolymers consisting of third generation poly(benzyl ether) dendrimer, [G-3], and PNIPAM via the RAFT technique, which can self-assemble in aqueous solution into polymeric micelles with a compact hydrophobic core of [G-3] stabilized by thermo-responsive PNIPAM coronas. By





*Figure 4.* Schematic for the fabrication of fullerene-containing hybrid vesicles via the self-assembly of  $PEO(-b-C_{6o})-b-PS$  in aqueous solution and typical HRTEM images of fullerene-containing hybrid vesicles self-assembled from  $PEO_{45}(-b-C_{6o})-b-PS_{38o}$  in aqueous solution.





*Figure 5.* a) Schematic for drug release from pH-responsive micelles self-assembled from dendritic–linear block copolymers comprising PEO and either a polylysine or polyester dendrimer. b) Time-dependent size decrease of aggregates (pH 5.0 and 37 °C) formed from dendritic–linear block copolymers comprising PEO and polylysine dendrimer surface modified with acid-sensitive acetal moieties. Reproduced with permission from ref.<sup>[103]</sup> Copyright 2004 the American Chemical Society.

employing a combination of LLS and excimer fluorescence measurements, they observed that soluble PNIPAM chains are sparsely anchored at the surface of porous core and the thermo-responsive collapse of PNIPAM coronas was a two-stage process. Furthermore, dumbbell-shaped triblock copolymer, [G-3]-PNIPAM-[G-3] was also prepared employing [G-3]-based trithiocarbonate as the mediating agent.<sup>[105]</sup> In aqueous solution, it self-assembles into spherical nanoparticles with the core consisting of hydrophobic [G-3] and coronas of the central PNIPAM blocks, which form loops surrounding the insoluble core.

Two notable examples have been reported for the selfassembly of double hydrophilic dendritic–linear block copolymers. Hammond et al.<sup>[106]</sup> synthesized dendritic– linear–dendritic block copolymer composed of linear PPO and poly(amidoamine) dendrimer (PAMAM) with varying generations. They can form stable aggregates in aqueous media with relative low CMC and high loading efficiency, which can be further tuned by the PAMAM generation, pH, or ionic strengths. Park et al.<sup>[107]</sup> reported that double hydrophilic dendritic–linear–dendritic copolymer, PAMAM-*b*-PEO-*b*-PAMAM, can efficiently form compact and nanoscale-sized water-soluble particles upon complexation with plasmid DNA and high transfection efficiency has been achieved.

# **Cyclic Block Copolymers**

The unique "endless" topology endows cyclic polymers with distinctly different physical properties in solution and bulk as compared to their linear counterparts.<sup>[108]</sup> However, literature reports concerning the supramolecular selfassembly of amphiphilic and double hydrophilic cyclic block copolymers are relatively rare, possibly due to synthetic difficulties encountered in their synthesis. In 1996, Deffieux et al.<sup>[109]</sup> reported the synthesis of tadpoleshaped amphiphilic block copolymers comprising cyclic poly(hydroxyethyl vinyl ether) (PHEVE) and linear PS. Later, they prepared a series of narrow-disperse cyclic diblock copolymers consisting of PS and PEO blocks via intramolecular cyclization of linear  $\alpha$ -diethyl acetal- $\omega$ -styrenyl PS-*b*-PEO precursors under high dilution conditions.<sup>[110]</sup> However, their supramolecular self-assembly in aqueous solution has not been reported in both examples. Just recently, Pan et al.<sup>[111]</sup> reported the synthesis of amphiphilic tadpole-shaped copolymers consisting of linear PNIPAM and cyclic PS blocks by coupling RAFT polymerization and click chemistry. Compared to linear PNIPAM-b-PS block copolymers, thin films of tadpole ones exhibit considerably higher water contact angles.

Xu et al.<sup>[112,113]</sup> recently reported the synthesis of welldefined cyclic-PNIPAM via a combination of ATRP and click chemistry. Possessing no chain ends, cyclic-PNIPAM exhibits unique thermal phase transition behavior, when compared to linear PNIPAM with the same MW. Typically, the former exhibits a lower LCST, stronger concentration dependences of LCST and smaller enthalpic changes ( $\Delta H$ ) associated with the thermal phase transition.<sup>[114,115]</sup> cyclic-PNIPAM also possesses drastically different aggregation properties in aqueous solution. A comparative study of thermo-induced association of linear and cyclic PNIPAM in the slow and fast heating processes shows that the initial chain configuration (topology) exerts a huge effect on how these PNIPAM chains can aggregate together to form stable mesoglobules in dilute aqueous solutions. When the solution is heated above the LCST, linear PNIPAM chains simultaneously undergo intrachain contraction and interchain association/entanglement to form large and dense aggregates whose size increases with the solution temperature. In contrast, cyclic-PNIPAM chains tend to form smaller and stable mesoglobules with a relatively lower chain density, presumably due to the lack of interchain entanglement and penetration. The fast heating on a stopped-flow temperature-jump device shows that a sudden heating of cyclic-PNIPAM chains in a dilute solution leads to large, unstable, and loose aggregates that subsequently undergo fragmentation, resulting in smaller and stable mesoglobules made of individual collapsed single-chain globules.<sup>[112]</sup>

In the context of double hydrophilic cyclic diblock copolymers, Ge et al.<sup>[116]</sup> recently reported a novel strategy



for the high-efficiency preparation of cyclic diblock copolymers at relatively high concentrations via the combination of supramolecular self-assembly and "selective" click reactions, relying on the fine control of spatial accessibility between terminal reactive groups. It was found that thermoresponsive cyclic diblock copolymers typically self-assemble into aggregates at elevated temperatures, which possess higher CMC values, a smaller size and lower average aggregation numbers, when compared to those of their linear precursors.

## **Comb-Shaped Copolymer Brushes**

Possessing a high density of side chains covalently attached to linear backbones, comb-shaped polymer brushes have been the subject of continuously increasing interest due to their unique extended cylindrical conformation in a good solvent, which is drastically different from the random coil conformation adopted by conventional polymers.<sup>[117]</sup> The categorization, synthesis, solution properties and aggregation behavior of polymer brushes have been detailed in several recent review articles.<sup>[46,118–120]</sup> Here we only summarize some recent developments in the supramolecular self-assembly of copolymer brushes comprising two different types of polymer grafts arranged in an alternating or "centipede" manner.

### **Alternating Copolymer Brushes**

Alternating copolymer brushes typically consist of two types of chemically different homopolymer side chains alternatively distributed along the backbone. Previous

literature reports in this aspect mainly focus on amphiphilic alternating copolymer brushes, bearing hydrophilic and hydrophobic side chains.<sup>[121–124]</sup> Ishizu et al.<sup>[125]</sup> reported the synthesis of amphiphilic alternating copolymer brushes via free-radical alternating copolymerization of vinylbenzyl-terminated PS and methacryloyl-terminated PEO in the presence of Lewis acid (SnCl<sub>4</sub>). In aqueous solution, they self-assemble into large, rod-like aggregates. By employing a similar synthetic strategy, alternating copolymer brushes consisting of PPO and PEO segments were also prepared, which selfassemble into rod-like or irregular aggregates in aqueous solution, depending on the overall polymer concentration.<sup>[126]</sup>

Just recently, we prepared well-defined amphiphilic copolymer brushes possessing alternating PMMA and PNIPAM

Macromol. Rapid Commun. **2009**, 30, 1523–1532 © 2009 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim grafts, poly(PMMA-*alt*-PNIPAM), via a combination of ATRP and click chemistry.<sup>[127]</sup> In aqueous solution, poly(PMMA-*alt*-PNIPAM) alternating copolymer brushes self-assemble into stable spherical aggregates consisting of hydrophobic PMMA cores and densely grafted thermo-responsive PNIPAM coronas. Compared to that of linear PNIPAM with similar a MW, PNIPAM chains densely packed in the micelle corona possess lower phase transition temperature and smaller  $\Delta H$ .

### **Centipede-Shaped Copolymer Brushes**

Centipede-shaped copolymer brushes represent a novel type of copolymers brushes, in which two side chains are connected at each grafting point along the backbone and the spacing between neighboring grafting points is constant.<sup>[128,129]</sup> When the two side chains attached to the same grafting point are chemically different, they are called as asymmetric centipede-shaped copolymer brushes.<sup>[130,131]</sup> Huang et al.<sup>[132]</sup> recently synthesized well-defined centipede-shaped amphiphilic copolymer brushes bearing hydrophilic PEO and hydrophobic PS side chains via a consecutive ATRP technique. In aqueous solution, they self-assemble into stable spherical aggregates.

We recently reported the synthesis of coil-rod double hydrophilic diblock copolymer, PEO-*b*-[PGMA-*g*-(PDEA) (PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA)], with dually-responsive asymmetric centipede- shaped copolymer brush as the rod segment via a combination of ATRP and click chemistry, where PGMA and PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA are poly(glycidyl methacrylate) and 2-(2methoxyethoxy)ethyl methacrylate, respectively.<sup>[133]</sup> Atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis revealed that



*Figure 6.* Schematic illustration of multi-responsive supramolecular self-assembly of coil-rod double hydrophilic diblock copolymer, PEO-*b*-[PGMA-*g*-(PDEA)(PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA)], and typical AFM height images of self-assembled aggregates obtained at different conditions in aqueous solution.



PEO-b-[PGMA-q-(PDEA)(PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA)] coil-rod diblock unimolecular chains adopt a worm-like conformation in aqueous solution at pH 4 and room temperature. Possessing pH-responsive PDEA and thermo-responsive PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA grafts arranged in an asymmetric centipede manner within the rod segment, PEO-b-[PGMA-q-(PDEA)(PMEO<sub>2</sub>MA)] selfassembles into two types spherical aggregates in aqueous solution at pH 10, 15 °C and pH 4, 40 °C, respectively (Figure 6).

## Conclusion

In this feature article we summarize recent developments in the supramolecular self-assembly of amphiphilic and double hydrophilic block copolymers possessing nonlinear chain topologies, including miktoarm star polymers, dendritic-linear block copolymers, cyclic block copolymers, and comb-shaped copolymer brushes. It is noteworthy that this field is just at its very beginning. Most relevant examples have focused on the synthetic chemistry side, and less attention has been paid to their supramolecular selfassembly in selective solvents. We expect that further investigations will help elucidate the close relationship between their chain architectures and self-assembling properties.

Acknowledgements: The financial supports of National Natural Scientific Foundation of China (NNSFC) Projects (20534020, 20674079 and 20874092), Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (SRFDP), and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (PCSIRT) are gratefully acknowledged.

Received: March 22, 2009; Revised: April 29, 2009; Accepted: April 29, 2009; Published online: June 30, 2009; DOI: 10.1002/ marc.200900182

Keywords: dendrimers; nonlinear copolymers; self-organization; star polymers; stimuli-sensitive polymers

- [1] L. F. Zhang, A. Eisenberg, Science 1995, 268, 1728.
- [2] L. F. Zhang, A. Eisenberg, Polym. Adv. Technol. 1998, 9, 677. [3] H. W. Shen, A. Eisenberg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3310.
- [4] D. Y. Chen, M. Jiang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 494.
- [5] G. J. Liu, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. 1998, 3, 200.
- [6] A. Blanazs, S. P. Armes, A. J. Ryan, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2009, 30, 267.
- [7] K. Kataoka, A. Harada, Y. Nagasaki, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 2001, 47, 113.
- [8] V. P. Torchilin, Pharm. Res. 2007, 24, 1.

- [9] D. Sutton, N. Nasongkla, E. Blanco, J. M. Gao, Pharm. Res. 2007, 24, 1029.
- [10] Y. J. Park, J. Y. Lee, Y. S. Chang, J. M. Jeong, J. K. Chung, M. C. Lee, K. B. Park, S. J. Lee, Biomaterials 2002, 23, 873.
- [11] J. F. Berret, N. Schonbeck, F. Gazeau, D. El Kharrat, O. Sandre, A. Vacher, M. Airiau, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1755.
- [12] V. P. Torchilin, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev. 2002, 54, 235.
- [13] Q. S. Huo, J. Liu, L. Q. Wang, Y. B. Jiang, T. N. Lambert, E. Fang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 6447.
- [14] N. Depalo, A. Mallardi, R. Comparelli, M. Striccoli, A. Agostiano, M. L. Curri, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2008, 325, 558.
- [15] J. H. Zou, H. Chen, A. Chunder, Y. X. Yu, Q. Huo, L. Zhai, Adv. Mater. 2008, 20, 3337.
- [16] Z. S. Ge, D. Xie, D. Y. Chen, X. Z. Jiang, Y. F. Zhang, H. W. Liu, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2007, 40, 3538.
- [17] B. Gall, M. Bortenschlager, O. Nuyken, R. Weberskirch, Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2008, 209, 1152.
- [18] A. Simonyan, I. Gitsov, Langmuir 2008, 24, 11431.
- [19] H. X. Xu, J. Xu, Z. Y. Zhu, H. W. Liu, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2006, 39, 8451.
- [20] M. T. Zarka, O. Nuyken, R. Weberskirch, Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 3228.
- [21] J. Xu, S. Y. Liu, Soft Matter 2008, 4, 1745.
- [22] H. Colfen, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2001, 22, 219.
- [23] H. I. Lee, W. Wu, J. K. Oh, L. Mueller, G. Sherwood, L. Peteanu, T. Kowalewski, K. Matyjaszewski, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2453.
- [24] Y. Zhao, Chem. Rec. 2007, 7, 286.
- [25] D. Wang, T. Wu, X. J. Wan, X. F. Wang, S. Y. Liu, Langmuir 2007, 23, 11866.
- [26] S. Y. Liu, N. C. Billingham, S. P. Armes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2328.
- [27] S. Y. Liu, S. P. Armes, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1413.
- [28] Z. Y. Zhu, S. P. Armes, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2005, 38, 9803.
- [29] V. Butun, S. Liu, J. V. M. Weaver, X. Bories-Azeau, Y. Cai, S. P. Armes, React. Funct. Polym. 2006, 66, 157.
- [30] Z. Y. Zhu, J. Xu, Y. M. Zhou, X. Z. Jiang, S. P. Armes, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2007, 40, 6393.
- [31] H. Liu, X. Z. Jiang, J. Fan, G. H. Wang, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2007. 40. 9074.
- [32] I. Dimitrov, B. Trzebicka, A. H. E. Muller, A. Dworak, C. B. Tsvetanov, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2007, 32, 1275.
- [33] J. Rodriguez-Hernandez, F. Checot, Y. Gnanou, S. Lecommandoux, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2005, 30, 691.
- [34] H. Colfen, M. Antonietti, Langmuir 1998, 14, 582.
- [35] L. H. Bronstein, S. N. Sidorov, P. M. Valetsky, J. Hartmann, H. Colfen, M. Antonietti, Langmuir 1999, 15, 6256.
- [36] C. D. H. Alarcon, S. Pennadam, C. Alexander, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 276.
- [37] J. F. Gohy, Adv. Polym. Sci. 2005, 190, 65.
- [38] G. Riess, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2003, 28, 1107.
- [39] K. B. Thurmond, T. Kowalewski, K. L. Wooley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6656.
- [40] N. S. Cameron, M. K. Corbierre, A. Eisenberg, Can. J. Chem. 1999, 77, 1311.
- [41] E. S. Gil, S. A. Hudson, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2004, 29, 1173.
- [42] N. Hadjichristidis, H. Iatrou, M. Pitsikalis, S. Pispas, A. Avgeropoulos, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2005, 30, 725.
- [43] N. Hadjichristidis, S. Pispas, Adv. Polym. Sci. 2006, 200, 37.
- [44] N. Hadjichristidis, H. Iatrou, M. Pitsikalis, J. Mays, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2006, 31, 1068.
- [45] N. Hadjichristidis, M. Pitsikalis, H. Iatrou, Adv. Polym. Sci. 2005, 189, 1.



- [46] S. S. Sheiko, B. S. Sumerlin, K. Matyjaszewski, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2008, 33, 759.
- [47] N. V. Tsarevsky, K. Matyjaszewski, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2270.
- [48] N. Hadjichristidis, S. Pispas, M. Pitsikalis, H. Iatrou, C. Vlahos, Adv. Polym. Sci. 1999, 142, 71.
- [49] N. Hadjichristidis, M. Pitsikalis, S. Pispas, H. Iatrou, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3747.
- [50] K. Matyjaszewski, J. H. Xia, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2921.
- [51] S. Perrier, P. Takolpuckdee, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2005, 43, 5347.
- [52] L. Barner, T. P. Davis, M. H. Stenzel, C. Barner-Kowollik, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2007, 28, 539.
- [53] Q. B. Li, F. X. Li, L. Jia, Y. Li, Y. C. Liu, J. Y. Yu, Q. Fang, A. M. Cao, Biomacromolecules 2006, 7, 2377.
- [54] C. J. Hawker, A. W. Bosman, E. Harth, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 3661.
- [55] W. H. Binder, R. Sachsenhofer, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2008, 29, 952.
- [56] J. A. Johnson, M. G. Finn, J. T. Koberstein, N. J. Turro, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2008, 29, 1052.
- [57] C. J. Hawker, K. L. Wooley, Science 2005, 309, 1200.
- [58] H. F. Gao, K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules* 2006, *39*, 4960.
  [59] M. R. Whittaker, C. N. Urbani, M. J. Monteiro, *J. Am. Chem.*
- Soc. 2006, 128, 11360.
   [60] C. N. Urbani, C. A. Bell, M. R. Whittaker, M. J. Monteiro, Macromolecules 2008, 41, 1057.
- [61] B. Luan, B. Q. Zhang, C. Y. Pan, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2006, 44, 549.
- [62] H. Liu, J. Xu, J. L. Jiang, J. Yin, R. Narain, Y. L. Cai, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2007, 45, 1446.
- [63] Y. Y. Yuan, Y. C. Wang, J. Z. Du, J. Wang, *Macromolecules* 2008, 41, 8620.
- [64] S. Pispas, N. Hadjichristidis, I. Potemkin, A. Khokhlov, Macromolecules 2000, 33, 1741.
- [65] J. Babin, D. Taton, M. Brinkmann, S. Lecommandoux, Macromolecules 2008, 41, 1384.
- [66] J. Babin, C. Leroy, S. Lecommandoux, R. Borsali, Y. Gnanou, D. Taton, Chem. Commun. 2005, 1993.
- [67] H. H. Zhang, Z. Q. Huang, B. W. Sun, J. X. Guo, J. L. Wang, Y. Q. Chen, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 8131.
- [68] Y. L. Cai, S. P. Armes, *Macromolecules* 2005, 38, 271.
- [69] Y. L. Cai, Y. Q. Tang, S. P. Armes, *Macromolecules* 2004, 37, 9728.
- [70] Y. L. Cai, C. Burguiere, S. P. Armes, Chem. Commun. 2004, 802.
- [71] J. Y. Rao, Z. F. Luo, Z. S. Ge, H. Liu, S. Y. Liu, *Biomacromolecules* 2007, 8, 3871.
- [72] I. V. Dimitrov, I. V. Berlinova, P. V. Iliev, N. G. Vladimirov, Macromolecules 2008, 41, 1045.
- [73] J. Rodriguez-Hernandez, S. Lecommandoux, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 2026.
- [74] E. G. Bellomo, M. D. Wyrsta, L. Pakstis, D. J. Pochan, T. J. Deming, Nat. Mater. 2004, 3, 244.
- [75] J. Y. Rao, Y. F. Zhang, J. Y. Zhang, S. Y. Liu, *Biomacromolecules* 2008, 9, 2586.
- [76] S. Peleshanko, J. Jeong, V. V. Shevchenko, K. L. Genson, Y. Pikus, M. Ornatska, S. Petrash, V. V. Tsukruk, *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 7497.
- [77] S. Peleshanko, J. Jeong, R. Gunawidjaja, V. V. Tsukruk, Macromolecules 2004, 37, 6511.
- [78] Z. S. Ge, Y. L. Cai, J. Yin, Z. Y. Zhu, J. Y. Rao, S. Y. Liu, *Langmuir* 2007, 23, 1114.
- [79] B. Angot, D. Taton, Y. Gnanou, *Macromolecules* 2000, 33, 5418.

- [80] Y. G. Li, P. J. Shi, C. Y. Pan, Macromolecules 2004, 37, 5190.
- [81] X. F. Yu, G. Zhang, T. F. Shi, Y. C. Han, L. J. An, Polymer 2007, 48, 2489.
- [82] X. F. Yu, T. F. Shi, G. Zhang, L. J. An, Polymer 2006, 47, 1538.
- [83] P. Zou, C. Y. Pan, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2008, 29, 763.
- [84] J. Xu, Z. S. Ge, Z. Y. Zhu, S. Z. Luo, H. W. Liu, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2006, 39, 8178.
- [85] H. Iatrou, L. Willner, N. Hadjichristidis, A. Halperin, D. Richter, *Macromolecules* 1996, 29, 581.
- [86] C. H. Li, Z. S. Ge, H. W. Liu, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2009, 47, 10.1002/pola.23461.
- [87] Y. F. Zhang, C. H. Li, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2009, 47, 3066.
- [88] Z. B. Li, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, Langmuir 2006, 22, 9409.
- [89] Z. B. Li, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, Nano Lett. 2006, 6, 1245.
- [90] Z. B. Li, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, *Macromolecules* 2006, 39, 765.
- [91] T. P. Lodge, A. Rasdal, Z. B. Li, M. A. Hillmyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17608.
- [92] Z. B. Li, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, *Macromolecules* 2004, 37, 8933.
- [93] Z. B. Li, E. Kesselman, Y. Talmon, M. A. Hillmyer, T. P. Lodge, Science 2004, 306, 98.
- [94] Y. F. Zhang, H. Liu, H. F. Dong, C. H. Li, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2009, 47, 1636.
- [95] H. Liu, C. H. Li, H. W. Liu, S. Y. Liu, Langmuir 2009, 25, 4724.
- [96] Y. F. Zhang, H. Liu, J. M. Hu, C. H. Li, S. Y. Liu, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2009, 30, 941.
- [97] X. F. Wang, Y. F. Zhang, Z. Y. Zhu, S. Y. Liu, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 2008, 29, 340.
- [98] L. Y. Zhu, X. F. Tong, M. Z. Li, E. J. Wang, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2000, 38, 4282.
- [99] I. Gitsov, K. L. Wooley, J. M. J. Frechet, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1200.
- [100] J. C. M. Vanhest, D. A. P. Delnoye, M. W. P. L. Baars, M. H. P. Vangenderen, E. W. Meijer, *Science* **1995**, *268*, 1592.
- [101] I. Gitsov, K. R. Lambrych, V. A. Remnant, R. Pracitto, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2000, 38, 2711.
- [102] H. Namazi, M. Adeli, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2005, 43, 28.
- [103] E. R. Gillies, T. B. Jonsson, J. M. J. Frechet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11936.
- [104] Z. S. Ge, S. Z. Luo, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2006, 44, 1357.
- [105] Z. S. Ge, D. Y. Chen, J. Y. Zhang, J. Y. Rao, J. Yin, D. Wang, X. J. Wan, W. F. Shi, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2007, 45, 1432.
- [106] P. M. Nguyen, P. T. Hammond, Langmuir 2006, 22, 7825.
- [107] T. Kim, H. J. Seo, J. S. Choi, H. S. Jang, J. Baek, K. Kim, J. S. Park, Biomacromolecules 2004, 5, 2487.
- [108] J. A. Semlyen, "Cyclic Polymers", 2nd Edition, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston 2000.
- [109] S. Beinat, M. Schappacher, A. Deffieux, Macromolecules 1996, 29, 6737.
- [110] S. Cramail, M. Schappacher, A. Deffieux, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* 2000, 201, 2328.
- [111] G. Y. Shi, X. Z. Tang, C. Y. Pan, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 2390.
- [112] J. Ye, J. Xu, J. M. Hu, X. F. Wang, G. Z. Zhang, S. Y. Liu, C. Wu, Macromolecules 2008, 41, 4416.
- [113] J. Xu, J. Ye, S. Y. Liu, Macromolecules 2007, 40, 9103.
- [114] Y. Satokawa, T. Shikata, F. Tanaka, X. P. Qiu, F. M. Winnik, *Macromolecules* 2009, 42, 1400.

- [116] Z. S. Ge, Y. M. Zhou, J. Xu, H. W. Liu, D. Y. Chen, S. Y. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1628.
- [117] R. C. Advincula, W. J. Brittain, K. C. Caster, J. Rühe, "Polymer Brushes", Wiley-VCH, Weinheim 2004.
- [118] M. F. Zhang, A. H. E. Muller, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2005, 43, 3461.
- [119] H. Mori, A. H. E. Muller, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2003, 28, 1403.
- [120] K. Ishizu, K. Tsubaki, A. Mori, S. Uchida, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2003, 28, 27.
- [121] M. R. Xie, J. Y. Dang, H. J. Han, W. Z. Wang, J. W. Liu, X. H. He, Y. Q. Zhang, *Macromolecules* **2008**, *41*, 9004.
- [122] H. Zhu, G. H. Deng, Y. M. Chen, Polymer 2008, 49, 405.
- [123] Y. H. Zhang, Z. Z. Xu, X. K. Li, Y. M. Chen, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2007, 45, 3303.
- [124] K. Ishizu, X. X. Shen, K. I. Tsubaki, Polymer 2000, 41, 2053.

- [125] K. Tsubaki, H. Kobayashi, J. Sato, K. Ishizu, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2001, 241, 275.
- [126] K. Ishizu, N. Sawada, J. Satoh, A. Sogabe, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 2003, 22, 1219.
- [127] J. Yin, Z. S. Ge, H. Liu, S. Y. Liu, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2009, 47, 2608.
- [128] H. Iatrou, J. W. Mays, N. Hadjichristidis, Macromolecules 1998, 31, 6697.
- [129] S. W. Ryu, H. Asada, T. Watanabe, A. Hirao, *Macromolecules* 2004, *37*, 6291.
- [130] A. X. Li, Z. J. Lu, Q. F. Zhou, F. Qiu, Y. L. Yang, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2006, 44, 3942.
- [131] G. Y. Shi, P. Zou, C. Y. Pan, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 5580.
- [132] L. N. Gu, Z. Shen, S. Zhang, G. L. Lu, X. H. Zhang, X. Y. Huang, Macromolecules 2007, 40, 4486.
- [133] C. H. Li, Z. S. Ge, J. Fang, S. Y. Liu, *Macromolecules* 2009, 42, 2916.

