



2010

Sustainable Urban Forest Management Planning Using Criteria and Indicators

W Andrew Kenny
University of Toronto, a.kenny@utoronto.ca

Philip J. van Wassenauer
Urban Forest Innovations Inc, pwassenauer1022@rogers.com

Alexander Satel
Urban Forest Innovations, asatel@ufis.ca

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/cate>

Recommended Citation

Kenny, W Andrew; van Wassenauer, Philip J.; and Satel, Alexander (2010) "Sustainable Urban Forest Management Planning Using Criteria and Indicators," *Cities and the Environment (CATE)*: Vol. 3: Iss. 1, Article 16.

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/cate/vol3/iss1/16>

This Conference Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Urban Resilience at Digital Commons @ Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cities and the Environment (CATE) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons at Loyola Marymount University and Loyola Law School. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@lmu.edu.

Sustainable Urban Forest Management Planning Using Criteria and Indicators

This poster discusses how Criteria and Indicators (C&I) for success in sustainable urban forest management, first outlined by Clark et al. (1997), can be successfully applied as tools to improve Strategic Urban Forest Management planning. It presents updates and modifications to the original tables, developed by van Wassenaeer, Kenney and Satel (in press) to improve their application in strategic planning. A case study demonstrates how C&I were used to evaluate current management practices in the Town of Oakville, Ontario. This poster also outlines the strategic planning framework used in the preparation of the Oakville Plan, and presents it as a model applicable to any size of community interested in sustainably managing its urban forest resources.

Keywords

Urban forestry, adaptive management, sustainability, municipal planning, urban forest benefits

Sustainable Urban Forest Management Planning Using Criteria and Indicators

W. Andrew Kenny – University of Toronto, Philip van Wassenauer and Alexander Satel – Urban Forest Innovations Inc. MillionTreesNYC, Green Infrastructure and Urban Ecology: A Research Symposium, March 5-6, 2010

Introduction

Urban forestry incorporates a variety of approaches:

- Ecosystem-based management
- Sustainability
- Outcome-based evaluation
- Performance-based management
- Strategic planning

How can all of these principles be incorporated into a directed and implementable urban forest management strategy?

Vegetation Resource

"The engine that drives urban forests". Sustainable vegetation resource provides continuous, high level of benefits across the community.

Community Framework

"All parts of the community share a vision for their forest and act to realize it." The community acts to maximize urban forest benefits.

Resource Management Approach



"The philosophy of management." Assesses policies and cooperation among government and municipal departments.



The Objective of Urban Forest Management

To optimize the leaf area of the entire urban forest by establishing and maintaining a canopy of genetically appropriate (adapted & diverse) trees and shrubs with minimum risk to the public and in a cost-effective manner.

- Dr. W. A. Kenney, University of Toronto



Example Criteria and Indicators

Criteria	Performance Indicators				Key Objective
	Low	Moderate	Good	Optimal	
Relative Canopy Cover	The existing canopy cover equals 0-25% of the potential.	The existing canopy cover equals 25-50% of the potential.	The existing canopy cover equals 50-75% of the potential.	The existing canopy cover equals 75-100% of the potential.	Achieve climate- and region-appropriate degree of tree cover, community-wide.
General awareness of trees as a community resource	Trees seen as a problem, a drain on budgets.	Trees seen as important to the community.	Trees acknowledged as providing environmental, social and economic services.	Urban forest recognized as vital to the communities environmental, social and economic well-being.	The general public understanding the role of the urban forest.
Tree habitat suitability	Trees planted without consideration of site conditions.	Tree species are considered in planting site selection.	Community-wide guidelines are in place for the improvement of planting sites and the selection of suitable species.	All trees planted in sites with adequate soil quality and quantity, and growing space to achieve their genetic potential	All publicly-owned trees are planted in habitats which will maximize current and future benefits provided to the site.

The Approach


1. A model for strategic urban forest management planning.
2. Criteria and Indicators (C&I)
3. A Case Study – C&I in Urban Forest Management

Management Planning is an 8-Step Process

1. Identification of urban forest attributes
2. Assessment of relevant resource data where it exists
3. Creation of vision reflecting community values
4. Determination of the current status of various components
5. Identifying gaps between vision and current status
6. Creation of administrative vehicle to close the gaps
7. Formulation of operational plan incorporating vision and goals
8. Implementation and monitoring of the plan

Adaptive Management

The principle of accommodating changes and unforeseen events (e.g. drought, pests) without forcing changes to strategic goals and key objectives.



C&I as Effective as Planning Tools

Kenney, van Wassenauer and Satel (in progress) developed additional and modified C&I to more easily quantify indicators of Urban Forest (UF) management success.

C&I were used in the development of a UF Management Plan for the Town of Oakville, Ontario. Firstly, they were implemented in a "gap analysis" to determine the state of urban forestry in the Town. They will also be used to track progress and implementation, and to develop the 2nd "5-Year Management Plan".

A Model for Urban Forest Management Planning

van Wassenauer, Schaeffer and Kenney (2000) proposed a conceptual model for urban forest management planning.

Came about in a response to the need for redefining urban forestry as more than just daily street tree management.

Combines the needs of growing urban centres with ecosystem viability and sustainability.

Based on a 20-year planning horizon.

Criteria and Indicators (C&I)

Criterion – category of conditions by which sustainability can be assessed.

Indicator – qualitative or quantitative variable which can be measured and demonstrate trends. Measure of criterion.

C&I first proposed as a tool for urban forest management by Clark *et al.* (1997). 3 "types" of criteria.

References

- Clark, J.R., Matheny, N.P, Cross, G., and V. Wake. 1997. A Model of Urban Forest Sustainability. Journal of Arboriculture 23(1).
- van Wassenauer, P.J.E, L. Schaeffer and W.A. Kenney. 2000. Strategic Planning in Urban Forestry: A 21st Century Paradigm Shift for Small Town Canada. The Forestry Chronicle 72(2).