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0. Introduction. This paper extends some results from [1] and applies them to certain transformations of the unit interval. §§2-4 are concerned with symbolic dynamics and §§5-6 are concerned with their application to the proof of our main theorem which states sufficient conditions for a piecewise continuous transformation of the unit interval to be conjugate to a (uniformly) piecewise linear transformation.

The main result includes a classical theorem of Poincaré-Denjoy [2] on homeomorphisms of the circle onto itself. It also provides a partial answer to a question of Ulam's [3] concerning the possibility of piecewise linearising continuous transformations of the unit interval. This problem was also mentioned by Stein and Ulam in [4], together with the remark that necessary conditions can be given in terms of the trees of points, but that no meaningful sufficient conditions are known. In the same work a few special examples are examined. Our main theorem also has a bearing on certain transformations discussed by Rényi [5].

In §§2-4 we consider the shift transformation acting on a compact invariant subset of the space of one-way infinite sequences of symbols chosen from a finite set. The shift transformation on such a set is continuous but not necessarily open. If $X, T$ are the compact invariant set and the shift transformation, respectively, we refer to $(X, T)$ as a symbolic dynamical system [6]. For a symbolic dynamical system $(X, T)$ we define a number called the absolute entropy $\left({ }^{1}\right)$ which dominates the entropy of $T$ with respect to each normalised $T$ invariant Borel measure, and show that if $T$ is regionally transitive then there is always one invariant measure with respect to which the entropy of $T$ equals the absolute entropy of $T$. When $T$ is open, (or equivalently, when ( $X, T$ ) is an intrinsic Markov chain) this "maximal" measure is unique. A further theorem states that, under certain conditions, there exists a normalised Borel measure with respect to which $T$ acts in a "linear" fashion.

In §§5-6 we apply this latter theorem to certain transformations of the unit interval and obtain our main result, Theorem 5.

[^0]1. Definitions. Let $X_{0}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{n}$ where $Z_{n}=Z=(0,1, \cdots, s) \quad(s \geqq 1)$. We consider $X_{0}$ as a topological space endowed with the compact metric totally disconnected product topology which arises from the discrete topology on $Z$. Let $T_{0}$ denote the shift transformation $T_{0} x=x^{\prime}$ where $x=\left\{Z_{n}(x)\right\}, x^{\prime}=\left\{Z_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, $Z_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=Z_{n+1}(x)$. By a symbolic dynamical system we mean a pair $(X, T)$ where $X$ is a compact $T_{0}$ invariant $\left(T_{0} X=X\right)$ subset of $X_{0}$ and $T$ is the restriction of $T_{0}$ to $X$. We shall be interested in normalised Borel measures defined on the Borel field generated by cylinders of $X$. A cylinder of $X_{0}$ is a set of the form

$$
C \equiv\left\{x: Z_{i}(x)=a_{i}, 0 \leqq i \leqq n\right\} \equiv\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)
$$

A cylinder of $X$ is a set of the form $C \cap X$ where $C$ is a cylinder of $X_{0}$. We assume, as we may, that the cylinders (i) $\cap X$ are not empty for $i=0,1, \cdots, s$. An important property of the relative topology of $X$ is that cylinders are both open and closed. $T$ is continuous but not necessarily open.

We shall always impose the following condition of regional transitivity on ( $X, T$ ) :
(1.1) For every pair of nonempty cylinders $C, D$ of $X$ there exists an integer $n$ such that

$$
T^{n} C \cap D \neq \varnothing \text { or equivalently } C \cap T^{-n} D \neq \varnothing
$$

Condition (1.1) is satisfied if:
(1.2) For every nonempty cylinder $C$ of $X$

$$
\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{n} C=X
$$

One can prove that (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent when $T$ is open.
Let $X^{\prime}$ be the unit interval with or without each end point. Let

$$
0=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{s+1}=1
$$

( $s \geqq 1$ ) and $A^{\prime}(i)=\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)$ and let $T^{\prime}$ be a transformation of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself such that for each $i=0,1, \cdots, s, T^{\prime}$ is either strictly increasing and continuous on $A^{\prime}(i)$ or strictly decreasing and continuous on $A^{\prime}(\mathrm{i})$. Suppose also that $T$ is continuous from the right at 0 if $0 \in X^{\prime}$, continuous from the left at 1 if $1 \in X^{\prime}$ and at each $a_{i}, T^{\prime}$ is either continuous from the left or continuous from the right. $T^{\prime}$ is then called a piecewise monotonic transformation of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself.

A piecewise monotonic transformation $T^{\prime}$ is called uniformly piecewise linear if there exists $\beta \geqq 1,\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
T^{\prime}(x)=\alpha_{i} \pm \beta x^{\prime} \quad \text { for } \quad x^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}(i)
$$

and the sign involved is constant for each $i=0,1, \cdots, s$.
A transformation $T^{\prime}$ is called strongly transitive if for every nonempty open set $U$ there exists an integer $m$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{n=0}^{m} T^{\prime n} U=X^{\prime}
$$

Two transformations $S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself are said to be conjugate if there exists a homeomorphism $\phi$ of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself such that

$$
S^{\prime}=\phi T^{\prime} \phi^{-1}
$$

2. Intrinsic Markov chains. A symbolic dynamical system $(X, T)$ is said to be an intrinsic Markov chain of order $r$ if the following condition is satisfied:
(2.1) If $\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing(n \geqq r)$ and $\left(a_{n-r+1} \cdots a_{n} a_{n+1}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ implics $\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n-r+1} \cdots a_{n} a_{n+1}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$.

Before stating our result concerning intrinsic Markov chains we prove the following.

Theorem 1. A symbolic dynamical system $(X, T)$ is an intrinsic Markov chain if and only if $T$ is open.

Proof. Suppose $(X, T)$ is an intrinsic Markov chain of order $r$ and let $\left(a_{0}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ where $n \geqq r$. It will suffice to show that $T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X\right]$ $=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$. Obviously $T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X\right] \subset\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$. Suppose $x \in\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$; we have to show that there exists a point $y \in\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$ with $T y=x$, or in other words, that $a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \cdots \in X$ if $x=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n} \cdots$. But $\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ and $x \in\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n+1}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$. Consequently $T^{n-r} x \in\left(a_{n-r+1} \cdots a_{n+1}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ and since $(X, T)$ is an intrinsic Markov chain of order $r$ we have $\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n+1}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$. Repeating this argument indefinitely we have, for every $k,\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{k}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ and the point $y=a_{0} \cdots a_{n} a_{n+1} \cdots \in X$ where $x=a_{1}, a_{2} \cdots$.

Suppose the shift transformation $T$ of $X$ onto itself is open. We first show that every point $x=a_{0} a_{1} \cdots \in X$ belongs to a cylinder ( $a_{0} \cdots a_{n}$ ) such that;

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X\right]=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quite generally one can show that

$$
T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X\right]=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap T\left[\left(a_{0}\right) \cap X\right] .
$$

Obviously $T x \in T\left[\left(a_{0}\right) \cap X\right]$ and the latter is open. We have to show that $X \cap\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \subset T\left[\left(a_{0}\right) \cap X\right]$ for some $n$. If this were not true then the decreasing sequence of nonempty closed sets $X \cap\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)-T\left[\left(a_{0}\right) \cap X\right]$ would have a nonempty intersection, and this is impossible since the only point in all the sets $X \cap\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ is $T x$ and this point belongs to $T\left[\left(a_{0}\right) \cap X\right]$.

For each point $x \in X$ let $S(x)$ denote the nonempty cylinder $\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$ of smallest length which satisfies (2.2). Since $X$ is compact a finite number of the cynliders $S(x)$ will cover $X$. If $X \cap\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \neq \varnothing$ satisfies (2.2) and
$X \cap\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n+k}\right) \neq \varnothing$ then $X \cap\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n+k}\right)$ satisfies (2.2). Therefore there exists a smallest integer $n$ such that $X$ can be partitioned into a set $\mathscr{P}$ of nonempty cylinders $X \cap\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right)$ of the same length $n$ each satisfying (2.2). It follows from this that for every $C \in \mathscr{P}, T C$ is a disjoint union of sets from $\mathscr{P}$. This property will ensure that $(X, T)$ is an intrinsic Markov chain of order $(n+1)$.
3. Maximal measures for intrinsic Markov chains. Let $\mu$ be a normalised invariant measure for a symbolic dynamical system ( $X, T$ ). The entropy of $T$ with respect to $\mu$ is defined as

$$
h_{\mu}(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{1}{n} \sum \mu(C) \log \mu(C)
$$

where the summation is over cylinders of length $n$. The absolute entropy of $T$ is defined as

$$
e(T)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \theta(n)
$$

where $\theta(n)$ is the number of nonempty cylinders of $X$ of length $n$. It is easy to verify that for all normalised invariant measures $\mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mu}(T) \leqq e(T) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 [1]. If $(X, T)$ is a regionally transitive intrinsic Markov chain of order $r$ then there exists one and only one normalised Borel invariant measure $\mu$ such that $e(T)=h_{\mu}(T)$. With respect to $\mu,(X, T)$ is a multiple Markov chain of order $r$ and the regional transitivity ensures that $T$ is ergodic with respect to $\mu$. Moreover, there exists a normalised measure $p$ equivalent to $\mu$ and a number $\beta>1($ infact,$e(T)=\log \beta)$ such that for all cylinders $C$ of $X, p T C=\beta p C$. The measures $p, \mu$ are nonatomic and are positive on nonempty cylinders of $X$.

We are interested in extending the above theorem to the case where $(X, T)$ is not intrinsically Markovian, i.e. to the case where $T$ is not open. The theorems which follow only partially solve this problem.

Theorem 3. If $(X, T)$ is a regionally transitive symbolic dynamical system then there exists a normalised Borel invariant measure $\mu$ (with respect to which $T$ is ergodic) such that

$$
h_{\mu}(T)=e(T)
$$

Proof. We omit the proof that $T$ is ergodic with respect to some maximal measure but remark that this can be shown by decomposing a maximal measure into its ergodic parts and using the affinity of $h_{\mu}(T)$ as a function of $\mu$ [8, p. 183].

Let $X_{n}=\left\{x \in X_{0}:\left(Z_{k}(x) \cdots Z_{k+n}(x)\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing\right.$ for all $\left.k\right\}$. We consider the symbolic dynamical systems $\left(X_{n}, T_{n}\right)$, where $T_{n}$ is the restriction of $T$ to $X_{n}$. It is easily verified that the sets $X_{n}$ are compact and $T_{n}$ invariant. Moreover, by con-
struction, $\left(X_{n}, T_{n}\right)$ is a regionally transitive intrinsic Markov chain of order $n$, $X_{n} \supset X_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_{n} X_{n}=X$.

It is easy to show that $e\left(T_{n}\right) \geqq e\left(T_{n+1}\right) \geqq e(T)$, and in fact that $e\left(T_{n}\right) \rightarrow e(T)$.
A well-known formula [9] for $h_{\mu}\left(T_{n}\right)$ is

$$
h_{\mu}\left(T_{n}\right)=H\left(\mathscr{A}_{n} \mid \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{n}{ }^{-i} \mathscr{A}_{n}\right)
$$

where $\mathscr{A}_{n}$ is the partition (0) $\cap X_{n}$, (1) $\cap X_{n}, \cdots,(s) \cap X_{n}$.
Let $\mu$ be a limit point of the maximal measures $\mu_{n}$, defined by Theorem 2 for the systems ( $X_{n}, T_{n}$ ), on the dual space of the space of continuous functions on $X_{0}$. Then there exists a sequence of integers $m(n)$ such that for all continuous functions $f(x)$ defined on $X_{0}$

$$
\int f(x) d \mu_{m(n)} \rightarrow \int f(x) d \mu
$$

and since characteristic functions of cylinders are continuous we have

$$
\mu_{m(n)}(C) \rightarrow \mu(C)
$$

for all cylinders $C$ of $X_{0}$. It is clear that $\mu$ is concentrated on $X$ since $\mu(C)=0$ for cylinders $C$ of $X_{0}$ such that $C \cap X=\varnothing$.

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ and $k=k(\varepsilon), n=n(k)$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu}(T) & \geqq H_{\mu}\left(\mathscr{A} \mid T^{-1} \mathscr{A} \vee \cdots \vee T^{-k} \mathscr{A}\right)-\varepsilon \\
& =H_{\mu}\left(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{-k} \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)-H_{\mu}\left(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{(1-k)} \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)-\varepsilon \\
& \geqq H_{\mu_{n}}\left(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{-k} \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)-H_{\mu_{n}}\left(\mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T_{0}^{(1-k)} \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)-2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the continuity of $H$ as a function of normalised measures and the facts that $\mu_{n}, \mu$ are concentrated on $X_{n}, X$ respectively.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mu}(T) & \geqq H_{\mu_{n}}\left(\mathscr{A}_{0} \mid T_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{A}_{0} \vee \cdots \vee T^{-k} \mathscr{A}_{0}\right)-2 \varepsilon \\
& \geqq H_{\mu_{n}}\left(\mathscr{A}_{n} \mid \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{n}^{-i} \mathscr{A}_{n}\right)-2 \varepsilon \\
& =h_{\mu_{n}}\left(T_{n}\right)-2 \varepsilon \\
& =e\left(T_{n}\right)-2 \varepsilon \geqq e(T)-2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary we have $h_{\mu}(T) \geqq e(T)$ and the reverse inequality follows from (3.1). For a similar computation cf. [8], [10].
4. Linearisation of $(X, T)$. In this section we replace regional transitivity by the condition (1.2) and give sufficient conditions in order that there exists a normalised Borel measure $p$ on $X$ and a number $\beta \geqq 1$ such that $p T C=\beta p C$ for
cylinders $C$ of $X$. We say that $T$ is open at $x$ if there exists a cylinder $\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$ of $X$ containing $x$ such that $T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X\right]=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \cap X$.

Theorem 4. If $(X, T)$ is a symbolic dynamical system satisfying (1.2) such that $T$ is open at all but a countable number of points, and if in case $e(T)=0$ none of the exceptional points, where $T$ is not open, are periodic, then there exists a nonatomic normalised Borel measure $p$ which is positive on nonempty cylinders of $X$ and a number $\beta \geqq 1$ such that $p T C=\beta p C$ for all nonempty cylinders $C$ of $X$.

Proof. Let $\left(X_{n}, T_{n}\right)$ be the systems defined in the proof of Theorem 3, then $X_{n} \supset X_{n+1}$ and $\bigcap_{n} X_{n}=X$. Let $p_{n}, \beta_{n}$ be the measures and numbers defined by Theorem 2 such that

$$
p_{n} T_{n} C=\beta_{n} p_{n} C
$$

for cylinders $C$ of $X_{n}$. Let $\beta=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \geqq 1$ i.e. $e(T)=\log \beta$. Let $p$ be a limit point of the sequence $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ in the dual space of the space of continuous functions on $X_{0}$. Again $p$ is concentrated on $X$. We show that $p T C=\beta p C$ for all cylinders $C$ of $X$. If $T$ is open at $x=a_{0} \cdots a_{k} \cdots a_{l} \cdots$ then there exists an integer $k$ such that $T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X\right]=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X$ if $l \geqq k$. It follows also that $T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X_{n}\right]=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X_{n}$ for all $n \geqq 0$. Choose $l \geqq k$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X\right] & =p\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X=p\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{l}\right) \\
& =\lim p_{n}\left[\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X_{n}\right]=\lim p_{n} T\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X_{n}\right] \\
& =\lim \beta_{n} p_{n}\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X_{n}\right]=\beta p\left[\left(a_{0} \cdots a_{l}\right) \cap X\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

If the countable exceptional set where $T$ is not open has $p$ measure zero then the theorem is proved. If this set has positive measure then there exists a point $x$ such that $p\{x\}>0$. One can show by a similar technique to the above that for any point $x, p\{T x\} \geqq \beta p\{x\}$. Consequently $\beta=1$ and $e(T)=0$ if $p\{x\}>0$ for some $x$, and any such point $x$ is periodic. The hypothesis of the theorem implies, therefore, that no atoms exist among the exceptional points. Hence $p T C=\beta p C$ for all cylinders $C$ of $X$. From this it follows that no atoms whatsoever exist, for otherwise we would contradict (1.2). Finally, should $p(C)=0$ for some nonempty cylinder $C$ of $X$ we would have $p T^{n} C=0$ for $n=0,1, \cdots$ and $p(X) \leqq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p T^{n} C=0$.
5. Main theorem. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a strongly transitive piecewise monotone transformation of the unit interval $X^{\prime}$ onto itself. Let $A^{\prime}(i)$ be the open intervals $\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right)$ on which $T^{\prime}$ is monotone and continuous.

Let $N$ be the smallest $T^{\prime}$ invariant set containing $S=\left\{a_{i}\right\} \cap X^{\prime}$. Then

$$
N=\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime-m} \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime n} S
$$

is countable.

For each $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}-N$ define

$$
\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots
$$

where $T^{\prime n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right), n=0,1, \cdots$. The set $\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$ of sequences of integers chosen from $Z=(0,1, \cdots, s)$ is a subset of

$$
X_{0}=\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_{n} \quad \text { where } \quad Z_{n}=Z, \quad n=0,1, \cdots
$$

$\phi$ is a one-one map of $X^{\prime}-N$ into $X_{0}$. For otherwise, if $x^{\prime}<y^{\prime}$ and $\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\phi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ then for each $n, T^{\prime n}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \subset A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=A^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$, since $T^{\prime}$ is monotone on each $A^{\prime}(i)$, and consequently $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime n}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} A^{\prime}(i) \neq X^{\prime}$, which contradicts the strong transitivity of $T^{\prime}$.

We consider $X_{0}$ as a topological space endowed with the compact metric totally disconnected product topology which arises from the discrete topology on $Z$. Let $X$ denote the closure of $\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$ in $X_{0}$.

We say that a finite sequence $b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ is allowable if it begins some sequence in $\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$. It is not difficult to see that $X$ is the set of sequences $b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots$ such that for every $n, b_{0}, \cdots, b_{n}$, is allowable. We denote by $T_{0}$ the shift transformation of $X_{0}$ onto itself. Evidently, $T_{0} \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)=\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right), T_{0} X=X$ and $T_{0} \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ $=\phi T(x)$ for $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}-N$. Let $T$ denote the restriction of $T_{0}$ to $X$.

## Lemma 1.

(i) $X-\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$ is countable.
(ii) For every nonempty cylinder $C$ of $X$ there exists an integer $m$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{n=0}^{m} T^{n} C=X
$$

(iii) $T$ is open at all points $x \in \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$ i.e. $T$ is open at all but a countable number of points.

Proof. (i) Suppose $x \in X-\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right), x=x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots$ then for each integer $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}=\bigcap_{n=0}^{m} T^{\prime-n} A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \neq \varnothing \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime-n} A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=\varnothing \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or it contains a single point in $N$. The latter can happen only a countable number of times. Let $M$ denote the set of sequences $x$ for which (5.1) and (5.2) hold. Each set $I_{m}$ is an open interval

$$
I_{m}=\left(a_{m}, b_{m}\right)
$$

Since (5.2) holds, there is a least integer $m_{0}$ such that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=a_{m_{0}} \text { for } m \geqq m_{0} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m}=b_{m_{0}} \text { for } m \geqq m_{0} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We associate with $x$ the symbol $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m_{0}} ;+\right)$ if (5.3) holds and $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m_{0}} ;-\right)$ if (5.4) holds. This association is one-one and therefore $M$ is countable.
(ii) Let $C=\left(b_{0}, \cdots b_{n}\right) \cap X \neq \varnothing$ and $U=\bigcap_{k=0}^{n} T^{\prime-k} A^{\prime}\left(b_{k}\right)$. Since $T^{\prime}$ is strongly transitive, there exists an integer $m$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} T^{\prime k} U=X^{\prime}
$$

Therefore

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} T^{k} \phi(U-N)=\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} \phi T^{\prime k}(U-N)=\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
\bigcup_{k=0}^{m} T^{k} C=\overline{\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)}=X
$$

(iii) If $x \in \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right), x=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots=\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}-N$ then we can choose $m$ so large that

$$
T^{\prime} A^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \supset A^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap T^{\prime-1} A^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap T^{\prime-m} A^{\prime}\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

since $T^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ is interior to the open set $T^{\prime} A^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Therefore

$$
T^{\prime}\left[\bigcap_{n=0}^{m} T^{\prime-n} A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right]=\bigcap_{n=1}^{m} T^{\prime-n+1} A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}\left[\bigcap_{n=0}^{m} T^{\prime-n}\left(A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-N\right)\right]=\bigcap_{n=1}^{m} T^{\prime-n+1}\left(A^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-N\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we apply $\phi$ to each side of (5.5) we get

$$
T\left[\left(x_{0} \cdots x_{m}\right) \cap \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)\right]=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{m}\right) \cap \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)
$$

and

$$
T\left[\left(x_{0} \cdots x_{m}\right) \cap X\right]=\left(x_{1} \cdots x_{m}\right) \cap X
$$

i.e., $T$ is open at each $x \in \phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$.

Theorem 5. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a strongly transitive piecewise monotone transformation of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself.

If either
(i) $T^{\prime} A^{\prime}(i) \cap T^{\prime} A^{\prime}(j) \neq \varnothing$ for some $i \neq j$ or
(ii) $T^{\prime} A^{\prime}(i) \cap T^{\prime} A^{\prime}(j)=\varnothing$ for all $i, j=0,1, \cdots, s(i \neq j)$ and $T^{\prime}$ has no periodic points then $T^{\prime}$ is conjugate to a uniformly piecewise linear transformation.

Proof. If (i) is satisfied then $T^{\prime}$ has at least two inverse images for each point of an open interval. Since a finite number $m$ of iterates of this interval cover $X^{\prime}, T^{\prime m}$ has at least two inverse images for all points of $X^{\prime}$. As $T^{m}$ will have the same property it follows easily that

$$
m e(T)=e\left(T^{m}\right) \geqq \log 2
$$

Consequently, either $e(T)>0$ or (ii) is satisfied.
Therefore the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Let $p$ be the measure defined by Theorem 4. Since $p$ is nonatomic and $X-\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$ is countable we can regard $p$ as a measure on $\phi\left(X^{\prime}-N\right)$. Let $p^{\prime}$ be the measure defined on Borel subsets of $X^{\prime}$ by $p^{\prime}(E)=p \phi(E-N)$, then $p^{\prime}$ is a nonatomic measure which is positive on nonempty open subsets of $X^{\prime}$ and

$$
p^{\prime} T^{\prime}(E)=\beta p^{\prime}(E)
$$

for each Borel subset $E$ of $A^{\prime}(i), i=0, \cdots, s$.
Let $\psi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime}\left[0, x^{\prime}\right], x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$ then $\psi$ is a homeomorphism of $X^{\prime}$ onto itself and

$$
l \psi(E)=p^{\prime}(E)
$$

where $l$ is Lebesgue measure.
Let $S^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\psi T^{\prime} \psi^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
l S^{\prime}(E) & =l \psi T^{\prime} \psi^{-1}(E)=p^{\prime} T^{\prime} \psi^{-1}(E) \\
& =\beta p^{\prime} \psi^{-1}(E) \quad\left(\text { if } \psi^{-1}(E) \subset A^{\prime}(i)\right)  \tag{5.6}\\
& \left.=\beta l(E) \quad \text { (if } E \subset \psi A^{\prime}(i)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $S^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic with $T^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ is a piecewise monotone transformation and on each interval $\psi A^{\prime}(i), S^{\prime}$ is linear by (5.6). Therefore, there exist $\alpha_{0}, \cdots, \alpha$ such that

$$
S^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\alpha_{i} \pm \beta x^{\prime}, \quad x^{\prime} \in \psi A^{\prime}(i)
$$

i.e.,

## 6. Applications.

Corollary 1 (Poincaré-Denjoy). If $T^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism of the circle $X^{\prime}$ onto itself such that for each open set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\prime n} U=X^{\prime} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T^{\prime}$ is conjugate to a translation of $X^{\prime}$.
Proof. The circle is homeomorphic to the unit interval $[0,1)$ with end points identified. The compactness of the circle and the absence of periodic points (which follows from (6.1)), implies the conditions of Theorem 5.

Let $X^{\prime}=[0,1)$ and let $f$ be a strictly increasing continuous function with domain $[0, t)(t>1)$ and range $X^{\prime}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(f^{-1} x\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(y)=y-[y]$ is the fractional part of $y$. These transformations were discussed by Rényi [5], and the uniformly piecewise linear transformations $S^{\prime}$ of this type arise from functions $f$ of the form

$$
f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{x^{\prime}}{\beta}, \quad \beta>1 \quad\left(0 \leqq x^{\prime}<\beta\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left(\beta x^{\prime}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2. If $T^{\prime}$ is a strongly transitive transformation of type (6.2) (for example if $f$ is differentiable and $\left.0<f^{\prime}(x)<1,[5]\right)$ then $T^{\prime}$ is conjugate to a transformation of type (6.3).

Proof. Condition (i) of Theorem 5 is satisfied. Rényi has proved the existence of a normalised measure equivalent to Lebesgue measure which is preserved by the transformation (6.3). In [11] and [12] its explicit form is given. It follows, therefore, that strongly transitive transformations of type (6.2) preserve a continuous measure which is positive on open sets.

Corollary 3. If $T^{\prime}$ is a strongly transitive continuous transformation of the unit interval (with or without each end point) with only a finite number of maxima, and minima, then $T$ is conjugate to a continuous uniformly piecewise linear transformation.

Proof. For $T^{\prime}$ to be strongly transitive it is necessary that there be at least one turning point. Consequently, condition (i) of Theorem 5 is satisfied.

This corollary answers, partially, a question of Ulam's [3], who states that it might be of importance in the study of iterations of functions of a real variable.
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    ${ }^{(1)}$ For such systems the absolute entropy and the topological entropy of Adler, Konheim and McAndrew [7] can be shown to coincide.

