Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 39 (1968), No. 6

Symmetry Breaking in the SU(3) Chiral Dynamics

Toshiyuki MINAMIKAWA

Department of Physics Tokyo University of Education, Tokyo

March 12, 1968

A generalization of the SU(2) nonlinear chiral dynamics¹⁾ to the SU(3) has been achieved on the basis of the quark model.²⁾ In this letter we take the SU(3) symmetry breaking into account.

In the SU(3) linear chiral dynamics based on the quark model, the Lagrangian is given by

$$L = -\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}q + g\bar{q}(\sigma + i\gamma_{5}\pi)q -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\pi\partial_{\mu}\pi + \partial_{\mu}\sigma\partial_{\mu}\sigma)$$
(1)

for the quark q, the scalar meson σ and the pseudoscalar meson π , which is invariant under linear chiral transformation. We proceed to nonlinear chiral dynamics by the Weinberg transformation,

$$q = \frac{1 + i\gamma_5 \phi}{\sqrt{1 + \phi^2}} \phi \,. \tag{2}$$

Here we identify the χ and $\varphi = \phi/\alpha$ as actual fields for the SU(3) nonlinear chiral dynamics, (see (7)). We require that the second term of (1) becomes the mass term of ψ with mass difference, that is,

$$\bar{q}(\sigma + i\gamma_5\pi)q = \bar{\psi}\Sigma\psi, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\Sigma = -\frac{m}{g} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1+\lambda \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{m}{g} (1 + \lambda \Lambda_{33}), \quad (4)$$
$$\lambda = \delta m/m \,.$$

From (2) and (3) we can define σ and π as follows:

$$\sigma + i\pi = U^* \Sigma U^*, \qquad (5)$$

where

$$U = \frac{1+i\phi}{\sqrt{1+\phi^2}} \,. \tag{6}$$

The proportionality coefficients α for ϕ are determined under the normalization condition that the free Lagrangian for mesons becomes $-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\varphi\partial_{\mu}\varphi$. The α 's of (7) are different for each field due to symmetry breaking. The η - η' mixing effect can also be taken into account by diagonalization of the free Lagrangian for mesons. Thus, when we define the coefficients α by

$$\phi_{\pi} = \alpha_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}, \phi_{K} = \alpha_{K} \varphi_{K}, \phi_{\eta} = \alpha_{\eta} \varphi_{\eta}, \phi_{\eta'} = \alpha_{\eta'} \varphi_{\eta'},$$
(7)

we find that

$$\alpha_{\pi} = \frac{g}{2m}, \ \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{\kappa}} = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}, \ \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{\eta}} = 1, \ \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{\eta'}} = 1 + \lambda$$
(8)

(i) baryon-meson interactions

We obtain an information on baryonmeson interactions, by substituting (2) into the first term of (1). By sandwiching the quark-meson interaction between the 56dimensional wave functions of the SU(6), the *P*-wave baryon-meson interactions are given by

$$g_{A} \operatorname{Tr} (i \overline{b} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi b + \frac{1}{5} i \overline{b} \gamma_{5} \gamma_{\mu} b \partial_{\mu} \phi), \quad (9)$$

where b is the baryon octet, $g_A = (5/3)\gamma$, and $\gamma \approx 1/\sqrt{2}$ is the renormalization of π quark vertex. In order for (9) to explain the π -N vertex, we find that

$$\alpha_{\pi} = \sqrt{2}/F_{\pi}$$
, $F_{\pi} = 2m_N g_A/G_{\pi N}$. (10)

From (7), (8), (9) and (10) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{G_{KNA}^2}{4\pi} &= \frac{G_{\pi N}^2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_N + m_A}{2m_N}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{5}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(1 + (\lambda/2))^2},\\ \frac{G_{KN\Sigma}^2}{4\pi} &= \frac{G_{\pi N}^2}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_N + m_\Sigma}{2m_N}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(1 + (\lambda/2))^2}. \end{split}$$
(11)

By (8) the coupling constants for vertices relating to K and η' become smaller than

1605

the symmetric case by a factor $1/(1+(\lambda/2))^2$ and $1/(1+\lambda)^2$, respectively. However, the coupling constants relating to π and η are the same as for the symmetric case. In Table I the values of $G_{KNA}^2/4\pi$ and $G_{KNE}^2/4\pi$ are shown for $\lambda=0$, 1/3 and 2/3. The case $\lambda=1/3$ is consistent with the values of Kim.³⁾

Table I. Coupling constants.

λ	0	1/3	2/3
$G_{KNA}^2/4\pi$	16	14	11
$G_{KN\Sigma}^2/4\pi$	0.60	0.56	0.43

(ii) mass difference of spin-1 meson⁴⁾

The chiral invariant Lagrangian has previously been obtained,²⁾ and it contains terms such as

$$-\frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\operatorname{Tr}(V_{\mu}^{2}+P_{\mu}^{2}), \qquad (13)$$

$$-\frac{2m_{\rho}^{2}}{F_{\pi}^{2}}\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(V_{p}^{2}+P_{\mu}^{2}\right)\left(\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)\right.\\\left.-V_{\mu}\sigma V_{\mu}\sigma+P_{\mu}\sigma P_{\mu}\sigma\right],\tag{14}$$

where V_{μ} is the vector meson and P_{μ} is the axial-vector meson. (13) is determined so as to fix the mass term of ρ . Now, the term (13) may well be modified by introducing an adjustable parameter n

$$-\frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\left(\frac{8}{F_{\pi}^{2}}\right)^{n}\mathrm{Tr}(V_{\mu}^{2}+P_{\mu}^{2})(\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2})^{n} (15)$$

since $\sigma^2 + \pi^2 = F_{\pi}^2/8$. First, we consider the case n=1. Substituting (5) into (14) and (15), we obtain the mass terms with the symmetry breaking:

$$-\frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}\operatorname{Tr}(V_{\mu}^{2}+2P_{\mu}^{2}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}m_{\rho}^{2}(2\lambda+\lambda^{2})\operatorname{Tr}(V_{\mu}^{2}+P_{\mu}^{2})\Lambda_{33} \\ -\frac{1}{4}m_{\rho}^{2}\operatorname{Tr}[\lambda^{2}V_{\mu}^{2}\Lambda_{33}-\lambda^{2}V_{\mu}\Lambda_{33}V_{\mu}\Lambda_{33} \\ +(4\lambda+\lambda^{2})P_{\mu}^{2}\Lambda_{33}+\lambda^{2}P_{\mu}\Lambda_{33}P_{\mu}\Lambda_{33}].$$
(16)

We take into account ω - ϕ mixing and D-E mixing by diagonalization of (16). Finally

we obtain

$$m_{A_{1}}^{2} = 2m_{\rho}^{2}$$

$$m_{K^{*}}^{2} = \left(1 + \lambda + \frac{3}{4}\lambda^{2}\right)m_{\rho}^{2},$$

$$m_{K_{A}}^{2} = \left(2 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{4}\lambda^{2}\right)m_{\rho}^{2},$$

$$m_{\omega}^{2} = m_{\rho}^{2}, \quad m_{D}^{2} = 2m_{\rho}^{2},$$

$$m_{\phi}^{2} = (1 + \lambda)^{2}m_{\rho}^{2},$$

$$m_{E}^{2} = 2(1 + \lambda)^{2}m_{\rho}^{2}.$$
(17)

Predicted mass levels for $\lambda = 1/3$ are shown in Table II, and are consistent with experiment. The case n=0 is inconsistent with experiment; for example, $m_{\phi} = m_{\phi} = m_{\rho}$.

Table II. Mass levels.

- 18. – Line and Service and 	$\lambda = 1/3$ (MeV)	exp. (MeV)
m_{K^*}	905	890
m_{ω}	760	780
m_{ϕ}	1013	1019
m _{KA}	1260	1320
m_D	1074	1285
m_E	1430	1420

I am grateful to Professor Y. Miyamoto for valuable discussions.

 S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters 18 (1967), 188.

J. Schwinger, Phys. Letters **24B** (1967), 473.

- J. K. Kim. Phys. Rev. Letters 19 (1967), 1079.
- S. Iwao, Prog. Theor. Phys. 39 (1968), 405, 1083.