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A generalization of the $S U(2)$ nonlinear chiral dynamies ${ }^{1)}$ to the $S U(3)$ has been achieved on the basis of the quark model. ${ }^{2)}$ In this letter we take the $S U(3)$ symmetry breaking into account.
In the $S U(3)$ linear chiral dynamics based on the quark model, the Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & -\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} q+g \bar{q}\left(\sigma+i \gamma_{5} \pi\right) q \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \pi \partial_{\mu} \pi+\partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial_{\mu} \sigma\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for the quark $q$, the scalar meson $\sigma$ and the pseudoscalar meson $\pi$, which is invariant under linear chiral transformation. We proceed to nonlinear chiral dynamics by the Weinberg transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{1+i r_{5} \phi}{\sqrt{1+\phi^{2}}} \psi . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we identify the $\chi$ and $\varphi=\phi / \alpha$ as actual fields for the $S U(3)$ nonlinear chiral dynamics, (see (7)). We require that the second term of (1) becomes the mass term of $\psi$ with mass difference, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}\left(\sigma+i \gamma_{5} \pi\right) q=\bar{\psi} \Sigma \psi, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma=-\frac{m}{g}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} 1+\lambda\right.
\end{array}\right)=-\frac{m}{g}\left(1+\lambda \Lambda_{33}\right), ~(\lambda=\delta m / m .
$$

From (2) and (3) we can define $\sigma$ and $\pi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma+i \pi=U^{*} \Sigma U^{*}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1+i \phi}{\sqrt{1+\phi^{2}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proportionality coefficients $\alpha$ for $\phi$ are determined under the normalization condition that the free Lagrangian for mesons becomes $-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial_{\mu} \varphi$. The $\alpha$ 's of (7) are different for each field due to symmetry breaking. The $\eta-\eta^{\prime}$ mixing effect can also be taken into account by diagonalization of the free Lagrangian for mesons. Thus, when we define the coefficients $\alpha$ by
$\phi_{\pi}=\alpha_{\pi} \varphi_{\pi}, \phi_{K}=\alpha_{K} \varphi_{K}, \phi_{\eta}=\alpha_{\eta} \varphi_{\eta}, \phi_{\eta^{\prime}}=\alpha_{\eta^{\prime}} \varphi_{\eta^{\prime}}$,
we find that
$\alpha_{\pi}=\frac{g}{2 m}, \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{K}}=1+\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{\eta}}=1, \frac{\alpha_{\pi}}{\alpha_{\eta^{\prime}}}=1+\lambda$.

## (i) baryon-meson interactions

We obtain an information on baryonmeson interactions, by substituting (2) into the first term of (1). By sandwiching the quark-meson interaction between the 56 dimensional wave functions of the $S U(6)$, the $P$-wave baryon-meson interactions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A} \operatorname{Tr}\left(i \bar{b} r_{5} r_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi b+\frac{1}{5} i \bar{b} r_{5} r_{\mu} b \partial_{\mu} \phi\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the baryon octet, $g_{A}=(5 / 3) \gamma$, and $\gamma \approx 1 / \sqrt{2}$ is the renormalization of $\pi$ quark vertex. In order for (9) to explain the $\pi-N$ vertex, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\pi}=\sqrt{2} / F_{\pi}, \quad F_{\pi}=2 m_{N} g_{A} / G_{\pi N} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7), (8), (9) and (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{G_{K N \Lambda}^{2}}{4 \pi}=\frac{G_{\pi N}^{2}}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{m_{N}+m_{\Lambda}}{2 m_{N}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{5}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{(1+(\lambda / 2))^{2}}, \\
& \frac{G_{K N \Sigma}^{2}}{4 \pi}=\frac{G_{\pi N}^{2}}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{m_{N}+m_{\Sigma}}{2 m_{N}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{(1+(\lambda / 2))^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (8) the coupling constants for vertices relating to $K$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ become smaller than
the symmetric case by a factor $1 /(1+(\lambda / 2))^{2}$ and $1 /(1+\lambda)^{2}$, respectively. However, the coupling constants relating to $\pi$ and $\eta$ are the same as for the symmetric case. In Table I the values of $G_{K N /}^{2} / 4 \pi$ and $G_{K N \Sigma}^{2} / 4 \pi$ are shown for $\lambda=0,1 / 3$ and $2 / 3$. The case $\lambda=1 / 3$ is consistent with the values of Kim. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

Table I. Coupling constants.

| $\lambda$ | 0 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{K N /}^{2} / 4 \pi$ | 16 | 14 | 11 |
| $G_{K N \Sigma}^{2} / 4 \pi$ | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.43 |

(ii) mass difference of spin-1 meson ${ }^{4)}$

The chiral invariant Lagrangian has previously been obtained, ${ }^{2}$ ) and it contains terms such as

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{\mu}{ }^{2}+P_{\mu}{ }^{2}\right),  \tag{13}\\
& -\frac{2 m_{\rho}{ }^{2}}{F_{\pi^{2}}{ }^{2}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(V_{p}{ }^{2}+P_{\mu}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-V_{\mu} \sigma V_{\mu} \sigma+P_{\mu} \sigma P_{\mu} \sigma\right], \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{\mu}$ is the vector meson and $P_{\mu}$ is the axial-vector meson. (13) is determined so as to fix the mass term of $\rho$. Now, the term (13) may well be modified by introducing an adjustable parameter $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{8}{F_{\pi}^{2}}\right)^{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{\mu}{ }^{2}+P_{\mu}{ }^{2}\right)\left(\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)^{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sigma^{2}+\pi^{2}=F_{\pi}{ }^{2} / 8$. First, we consider the case $n=1$. Substituting (5) into (14) and (15), we obtain the mass terms with the symmetry breaking:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{\mu}{ }^{2}+2 P_{\mu}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2}\left(2 \lambda+\lambda^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{\mu}{ }^{2}+P_{\mu}{ }^{2}\right) \Lambda_{33} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4} m_{\rho}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\lambda^{2} V_{\mu}{ }^{2} \Lambda_{33}-\lambda^{2} V_{\mu} \Lambda_{33} V_{\mu} \Lambda_{33}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(4 \lambda+\lambda^{2}\right) P_{\mu}{ }^{2} \Lambda_{33}+\lambda^{2} P_{\mu} \Lambda_{33} P_{\mu} \Lambda_{33}\right] . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We take into account $\omega-\phi$ mixing and $D-E$ mixing by diagonalization of (16). Finally
we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{A 1}^{2}=2 m_{\rho}{ }^{2} \\
& m_{R^{*}}^{2}=\left(1+\lambda+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2}\right) m_{\rho}{ }^{2}, \\
& m_{K_{A}}^{2}=\left(2+2 \lambda+\frac{3}{4} \lambda^{2}\right) m_{\rho}{ }^{2}, \\
& m_{\Phi}{ }^{2}=m_{\rho}{ }^{2}, \quad m_{D}{ }^{2}=2 m_{\rho}{ }^{2}, \\
& m_{\phi}{ }^{2}=(1+\lambda)^{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2}, \\
& m_{E^{2}}{ }^{2}=2(1+\lambda)^{2} m_{\rho}{ }^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Predicted mass levels for $\lambda=1 / 3$ are shown in Table II, and are consistent with experiment. The case $n=0$ is inconsistent with experiment; for example, $m_{\omega}=m_{\phi}=m_{\rho}$.

Table II. Mass levels.

|  | $\lambda=1 / 3(\mathrm{MeV})$ | exp. $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{K^{*}}$ | 905 | 890 |
| $m_{\omega}$ | 760 | 780 |
| $m_{\phi}$ | 1013 | 1019 |
| $m_{K_{A}}$ | 1260 | 1320 |
| $m_{D}$ | 1074 | 1285 |
| $m_{E}$ | 1430 | 1420 |
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