Synthesis, structure characterization and insecticidal activity of some triorganotin dithiocarbamates

George Eng¹*, Xueqing Song^{1,2}, Quyen Duong¹, Daniel Strickman³, Jacqulin Glass³ and Leopold May²

¹Department of Chemistry and Physics, University of the District of Columbia, Washington, DC 20008, USA ²Department of Chemistry, The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC 20064, USA

³Department of Entomology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA

Received 22 November 2002; Revised 7 December 2002; Accepted 8 January 2003

A series of triorganotin dithiocarbamates $R_3SnS_2CNR'_2$ (R = Cy, Ph; $NR'_2 = NEt_2$, $N(n-Bu)_2$, $N(i-Bu)_2$, $N(n-Pr)_2$, $N(CH_2)_5$, NH(n-Pr), NH(n-Bu), NH(i-Bu)) has been synthesized using a low-temperature method. Their structures have been characterized by IR, Mössbauer and NMR spectroscopies. In the solid state, an unsymmetrical chelation of the dithiocarbamate ligand was found in all the compounds synthesized. The observation of two Sn-S distances in the compounds was confirmed in the crystal structure of tricyclohexyltin *N-n*-butyldithiocarbamate. In solution, the compounds were found to exhibit distorted tetrahedral structures. The insecticidal activities of the title compounds were screened against the second larval instar of the *Anopheles stephensi* Liston and *Aedes aegypti* (L.) mosquitoes. Results from the screening studies indicated that the triorganotin dithiocarbamates were effective larvicides against both species of larvae. However, there were no significant activity relationship was also developed for the *An. stephensi*. Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEYWORDS: Aedes aegypti; Anopheles stephensi; dithiocarbamate; IR; larvae; mosquito; Mössbauer; NMR; QSAR; structure; toxicity; triorganotin

INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are responsible for the transmission of pathogens to humans, to other mammals and to birds. Mosquitoes in the genus *Anopheles* are vectors of human malaria, and certain species of *Aedes* mosquito are responsible for the transmission of yellow fever, dengue and other pathogenic viruses.

Malaria is one of the most widespread infectious diseases in the tropics and subtropics. This disease is estimated to kill between 1.5 and 2.7 million people annually, most of them African children under 5 years of age.¹ Human malaria is caused by any of four species of protozoan parasite belonging to the genus *Plasmodium*. Great efforts have been made in eliminating or reducing malaria in

E-mail: Geng@udc.edu

Contract/grant sponsor: National Institutes of Health; Contract/grant number: MBRS/SCORE, GM08005.

many countries in the 1950-60s by using 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis-(p-chlorophenyl)ethane (DDT) to kill the mosquitoes and chloroquine to treat the blood stage of the infection. However, many countries mistakenly believed malaria was no longer a serious threat and reduced funding for its control.¹ This factor, with the emergence of DDT-resistant mosquitoes and chloroquine-resistant malaria, has again made malaria one of the most prevalent and dangerous diseases in the tropics and subtropics today. With the emergence of DDT-resistant mosquitoes, other organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides were introduced, but resistance has also been reported for these insecticides.²⁻⁴ Furthermore, the widespread use of toxic insecticides is generally less accepted now than in the past, and the presence of much larger populations in the tropics means that many more people would be exposed.

The *Aedes aegypti* mosquito is the vector of several arboviral diseases. Two that are important to man and usually occur in epidemic form are yellow and dengue fevers.^{5–7} Yellow

^{*}Correspondence to: George Eng, Department of Chemistry and Physics, University of the District of Columbia, Washington, DC 20008, USA.

AOC Environment, Biology and Toxicology

fever still occurs in sylvatic and urban outbreaks, though a combination of vaccination and vector control has been successful in limiting the number of cases during the most recent outbreaks. The potential remains for large epidemics of yellow fever in populations where vaccination is not economically feasible. In the case of dengue, most infected persons suffer only mild illness; however, about 15% of those infected develop a serious illness. Of those who become ill, about one-third develop dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF). This severe form of the disease is usually thought to be the result of sequential infection by any two of the four serotypes of dengue virus. Although skillful medical management can prevent most mortality from DHF, the disease can be fatal as frequently as half the time.⁷

The current emphasis for chemists is on the production of novel types of pesticide that prevent insect resistance and that are environmentally friendly. In addition, pesticides should have relatively long-term effects. A class of compounds that have shown pesticidal activity towards the *Anopheles* and *Aedes* mosquitoes are the triorganotins.^{8,9} Triorganotin compounds have long been recognized and used as effective fungicides, bactericides and miticides.^{10–12} The major advantages of evaluating triorganotins as potential larvicides against mosquitoes are (i) that there has been no report on the resistance of this class of compounds to the target organisms and (ii) that triorganotin compounds have been reported to degrade to non-toxic inorganic tin in the environment.¹⁰

It has been well established that dithiocarbamates are a group of compounds that are active against fungi^{13,14} and insects.¹³ Extensive work has been done on dithiocarbamates to study their mode of bonding^{15–21} and their biocidal activity.^{13,14} Thus far, no work has been reported on the toxicity of triorganotin dithiocarbamates against mosquitoes.

Although the structures of triorganotin dithiocarbamates have been extensively studied by IR spectroscopy^{13,15,16,20,21} and X-ray crystallography,^{17,19} little has been reported on their Mössbauer spectra.¹⁵ In this paper, we report on the Mössbauer studies and the insecticidal activities of some triorganotin dithiocarbamates against the *An. stephensi* and *Ae. aegypti* mosquito larvae.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Triphenyltin chloride (Ph₃SnCl) and tricyclohexyltin chloride (Cy₃SnCl) obtained from Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA, USA, were used without further purification, since their melting points corresponded to those reported in the literature. The amines were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co., Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA, and purified by distillation. The solvents obtained from Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, USA, were purified by conventional methods.

Synthesis of the triorganotin dithiocarbamates

The sodium salts of the dithiocarbamates were prepared at -10 °C by reacting equal molar ratios of carbon disulfide, the

Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

appropriate amine and aqueous sodium hydroxide (slight excess) in acetone. The temperature was maintained using a mixture of salt and ice. The sodium salts synthesized were then crystallized from an acetone–petroleum ether mixture. Procedures for these syntheses are cited in the literature.¹⁶

All the triorganotin dithiocarbamates were prepared according to procedures in the literature.¹⁶ A typical reaction is as follows: to an acetone solution (100 cm^3) of the sodium salt of the appropriate dithiocarbamate was added dropwise an equimolar solution of triorganotin chloride in 50 cm³ acetone. The mixture was maintained below -30 °C using an acetone–liquid–nitrogen bath and stirred over a period of 2 h. The precipitated NaCl was removed by filtration and the acetone was distilled off *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in ethyl ether and slow evaporation of the ethereal solution produced fine crystals. The melting points and elemental analysis of the triorganotin dithiocarbamates are listed in Table 1.

Spectral studies

The IR spectra in the range 400–4000 cm⁻¹ were recorded as KBr pellets on a Nicolet Magna-IR 760 spectrometer. The Mössbauer spectra of the solid compounds were measured at 80 K on a model MS-900 Ranger Mössbauer spectrometer in the acceleration mode with a moving-source geometry using a liquid-nitrogen cryostat. The source was 5 mCi Ca^{119m}SnO₃ and the velocity was calibrated at ambient temperatures using a composition of BaSnO₃ and tin foil (splitting 2.52 mm s⁻¹). The resultant spectra were analyzed by least-squares fit to Lorentzian-shaped lines.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 K on a JEOL GSX270 spectrometer at 27.17 MH₃ and 67.94 MHz respectively. The samples were recorded in CDCl₃ using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. The ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ by Acorn NMR Inc., Livermore, CA. The chemical shifts were calculated relative to tetramethyltin.

Preparation of the triorganotin stock solutions

Stock solutions of the triorganotin compounds were prepared by dissolving the triorganotin in one of 95% ethanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), or acetone, depending on the solubility of the compound, at concentrations between 200 and 760 ppm. The dissolution of the triorganotins in the organic media was to facilitate the dispersion of the compounds in water. The acetone and DMSO was spectrograde quality, and the 95% ethanol was reagent grade.

Mosquito larvae

Ae. aegypti eggs were hatched in a tray of water and after 2-3 days the second instar stage was attained. The larvae were maintained in an environmental chamber at 27-28 °C with a humidity of 60-90%. The *An. stephensi* larvae were kept in the same environmental chamber under the same conditions. Both species of larvae were fed with ground-up dog food.



Table 1. Melting points and elemental analyses of the triorganotin dithiocarbamates, R₃SnS₂CNR₂

Compound			Elemental analysis: found (calc.) (%)					
R	NR'_2	M.p.(°C)	С	Н	Sn			
Ph	N(i-Bu) ₂	150-152	58.39 (58.50)	5.98 (6.00)	21.65 (21.41)			
	$N(CH_2)_5$	$154 - 155, 150^{15}$	56.11 (56.59)	5.05 (4.94)	23.20 (23.26)			
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	144–145	56.68 (57.05)	5.59 (5.55)	22.53 (22.55)			
	NEt ₂	$130-132, 135^{16}$	54.91 (55.44)	4.96 (5.06)	23.52 (23.82)			
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	$108 - 109, 114^{15}$	58.45 (58.50)	5.92(6.00)	21.35 (21.41)			
	NH(<i>n</i> -Bu)	$110-112, 131^{15}$	55.54 (55.44)	4.93 (5.06)	23.61 (23.82)			
	NH(i-Bu)	120-122	55.18 (55.44)	4.89 (5.06)	23.63 (23.82)			
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr) ^a	142–144, 86 ¹⁵	58.88 (59.06)	4.17 (4.13)	32.34 (32.43)			
Cy	N(i-Bu) ₂	130-131	56.74 (56.64)	9.25 (8.98)	20.66 (20.73)			
2	$N(CH_2)_5$	144–145	54.68 (54.55)	8.20 (8.20)	22.44 (22.46)			
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	100-102	55.78 (55.15)	8.91 (8.70)	21.63 (21.80)			
	NEt ₂	131–133	53.86 (53.49)	8.30 (8.39)	22.72 (22.98)			
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	115-116	57.10 (56.64)	9.48 (8.98)	20.97 (20.73)			
	NH(<i>n</i> -Bu)	98-100	53.96 (53.49)	8.69 (8.39)	22.98 (22.98)			
	NH(i-Bu)	147-148	53.89 (53.49)	8.65 (8.39)	23.12 (22.98)			
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr)	135–137	53.41 (52.60)	8.11 (8.23)	24.01 (23.63)			

^a Decomposes to Ph₃SnSSnPh₃.

Toxicity assay

The toxicity studies were performed in 100 mm diameter ×15 mm deep disposable Petri dishes using ten larvae in the second instar. The An. stephensi or Ae. aegypti larvae were transferred into the Petri dishes using a 100 µl micro-pipetter. An additional 15 cm³ of water was then added. Aliquots of the triorganotin solution were then added to the Petri dish containing the larvae and deionized water to give the desired concentration of triorganotin. The total assay volume in each case was 20 cm³. Both positive and negative controls were used in the assay. Each assay was done in triplicate. The larvae were exposed to the triorganotin compounds for 24 h, and the morality rates for the mosquito larvae were determined by visual counting. Mosquito larvae that showed a slight reflex to disturbance were considered alive. Probit analyses²² were used to determine the LC₅₀ (concentration at which the test compounds killed 50% of the organisms tested).

Quantitative structure-activity relationship

The QSARIS program was used to generate the quantitative structure–activity relationship (QSAR). The program was obtained from SciVision, Burlington, MA, USA.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

IR spectra

The type of bonding between the dithiocarbamate ligand and the tin atom was deduced using the ν_{C-N} and ν_{C-S} vibrations. It has been reported¹³ that the observation of a single ν_{C-S} absorption in the region around 1000 cm⁻¹ is indicative of

Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

dithiocarbamate groups that are bonded symmetrically or bidentate in nature. Splitting of this band around 1000 cm⁻¹ has been reported for dithiocarbamate groups that act as a monodentate ligand or are unsymmetrically bonded.²³⁻²⁵ Also, monodentate groups would result in a C=N double bond¹³ around 1640–1690 cm⁻¹. As shown in Table 2, both the mono- and di-alkyldithiocarbamate compounds exhibit single ν_{C-S} vibrations between 986 and 991 cm⁻¹ and ν_{C-N} vibrations between 1476 and 1535 cm $^{-1}.$ The observed ν_{C-N} vibrations lie between the range for C-N single bonds $(1250-1360 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and C=N double bonds $(1640-1690 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. This suggests that the C-N bonds in the complexes have some partial double bond character. Partial double bond character for the C-N bond would result in some partial double bond character for the C-S bonds. This type of bonding for the two C-S bonds can be achieved through the bonding of the two sulfur atoms with the tin atom. This interaction can be viewed as the coordination of one normal Sn-S bond and one weak Sn-S bond. The weak Sn-S bond is possibly through π overlap of the empty *d*-orbitals of the tin atom and the *p*-orbitals of sulfur. De Vries and Herber¹⁸ have used the term 'anisobidenate' to describe this type of bonding for a series of triphenyltin dithiocarbamates. This type of bonding would result in observing two Sn-S distances in the compound. In the present work, two different Sn-S bond distances were identified in the crystal structure of tricyclohexyltin N-nbutyldithiocarbamate.26 One distance was 2.472 Å and the other was at 3.239 Å. Another example of this type of bonding can be found in Ph₃SnS₂CN(CH₂)₅.¹⁷ The crystal structure of this compound showed Sn-S bond lengths of 2.481 and 2.919 Å. Other examples are cited in a review by Tiekink.²⁷

AOC Environment, Biology and Toxicology

Compound						
R	NR'_2	$IS (mm s^{-1})$	$QS (mm s^{-1})$	ρ	ν_{C-N}	ν_{C-S}
Ph	N(i-Bu) ₂	1.25 ± 0.01	1.67 ± 0.01	1.34	1492(s)	986(m)
	$N(CH_2)_5$	1.25 ± 0.01	1.71 ± 0.01	1.37	1494(s)	997(m)
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	1.29 ± 0.01	1.89 ± 0.02	1.45	1494(s)	993(m)
	NEt ₂	1.28 ± 0.01	1.86 ± 0.02	1.44	1491(s)	996(m)
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	1.25 ± 0.01	1.79 ± 0.02	1.41	1491(s)	995(m)
	NH(n-Bu)	1.30 ± 0.01	1.84 ± 0.01	1.40	1535(s)	997(s)
	NH(i-Bu)	1.24 ± 0.01	1.81 ± 0.01	1.46	1534(s)	991(s)
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr)	1.27 ± 0.01	1.62 ± 0.01	1.28	1479(s)	997(s)
Су	N(i-Bu) ₂	1.50 ± 0.01	2.04 ± 0.02	1.36	1483(s)	992(s)
	$N(CH_2)_5$	1.52 ± 0.01	2.09 ± 0.02	1.38	1476(s)	990(s)
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	1.51 ± 0.01	2.08 ± 0.02	1.38	1483(s)	991(s)
	NEt ₂	1.51 ± 0.01	2.10 ± 0.02	1.39	1484(s)	986(m)
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	1.49 ± 0.01	2.06 ± 0.02	1.38	1487(s)	990(m)
	NH(n-Bu)	1.52 ± 0.01	2.31 ± 0.02	1.52	1534(s)	989(s)
	NH(i-Bu)	1.54 ± 0.01	2.33 ± 0.02	1.51	1523(s)	992(s)
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr)	1.54 ± 0.01	2.26 ± 0.02	1.47	1526(s)	991(s)

Table 2. Mössbauer parameters and selected IR data for the triorganotin dithiocarbamates, R₃SnS₂CNR'₂

Table 2 also shows that the monoalkyldithiocarbamates, ν_{C-N} absorptions appear at higher wavenumbers (1523–1534 cm⁻¹) than in the corresponding dialkyl dithiocarbamates (1476–1494 cm⁻¹). This shift to higher wavenumbers is possibly due to the weaker electron-withdrawing ability of alkyl groups compared with the hydrogen atom.

Mössbauer spectra

The two parameters that are obtainable from the Mössbauer spectra are the quadrupole splitting (QS) and the isomer shift (IS). The values for these parameters for the triorganotin dithiocarbamates are also summarized in Table 2. The coordination number of the tin atom has been related to ρ , the ratio of the QS/IS values.²⁸ ρ values less than 1.8 are indicative of tin compounds that are four-coordinated, whereas values larger than 2.1 have been assigned to tin complexes with greater than four-coordination.²⁸ The ρ values for triorganotin dithiocarbamates in the study range from 1.28 to 1.51 (Table 2). This clearly indicates that the complexes are four-coordinated.

As can be seen in Table 2, the IS values, in the present study, for the triphenyltin and tricyclohexyltin derivatives are 1.25 ± 0.05 mm s⁻¹ and 1.50 ± 0.05 mm s⁻¹ respectively. The small changes in the observed IS values as the R' group is varied in the dithiocarbamate ligand would indicate that there is very little change in the total s-electron density at the tin nucleus due to these changes. This suggests that the effects of the R' groups in the dithiocarbamate ligands are minimal. The IS and QS values of the triphenyltin dithiocarbamates are slightly lower than those of the tricyclohexyltin dithiocarbamates. These differences in the IS and QS values are attributed to the greater electron-withdrawing properties of the phenyl group compared with the cyclohexyl group.

The QS values observed for the triorganotin dithiocarbamates may give an indication of a second weak Sn–S interaction. A comparison of the QS values $(1.62-1.89 \text{ mm s}^{-1})$ for the present triphenyltin dithiocarbamates with the Mössbauer data for Ph₃SnSOAc (QS = 1.65 mm s^{-1})—which is known to be four-coordinated—and the five-coordinate Ph₃SnSOAc–pyridine complex²⁹ (QS = 2.35 mm s^{-1}) indicates that the values for the present triphenyltin compounds are between these two compounds. With the exception of Ph₃SnS₂CNH(*n*-Pr), they are all slightly larger than the QS value for Ph₃SnOAc but significantly smaller than the pyridine adduct. This suggests that the structures for the compounds are between a tetrahedral and trigonal bipyramid structure, supporting the IR spectral results.

Multiple NMR (¹H, ¹³C, ¹¹⁹Sn)

The ¹H NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants of selected triphenyltin and tricyclohexyltin dithiocarbamates are given in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of the triphenyltin dithiocarbamate compounds contained absorptions in two regions. One region is assignable to the dithiocarbamate ligands (0.92-4.03 ppm) and the other region to the triphenyltin moiety (7.15-8.00 ppm). Furthermore, the phenyl protons region consisted of two groups of peaks. The ortho protons were observed at a lower field (7.60-8.00 ppm) than those for the meta and para protons (7.15-7.60 ppm). These results are in agreement with values reported by Domazetis et al.¹⁶ for a series of triphenyltin dithiocarbamate compounds. In addition, those authors reported that the difference in the chemical shift resonances between the ortho and the meta and para protons (0.30-0.40 ppm) is an indication of anisobidentate bonding in the compounds. The differences of these resonances in the present study were in the range

Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



	δ^1 H NMR (ppm)							
NR'_2	Ph ^b	NR′2						
NEt ₂	7.60-8.00,	7.20-7.60,	3.84 (q, NCH ₂ × 2, $J = 7.2$ Hz);					
	6H (m, Ha, J = 56 Hz)	9H (m, Hb)	1.30 (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.2$ Hz)					
$N(n-Pr)_2$	7.65–7.85,	7.25-7.55,	3.71 (t, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.8$ Hz);					
. ,-	6H (m, Ha, J = 54 Hz)	9H (m, Hb)	$1.70-2.00(m, CH_2 \times 2);$					
			0.92 (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.4$ Hz)					
$N(n-Bu)_2$	7.65–7.95,	7.25-7.60,	3.76 (t, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.9$ Hz);					
	6H(m, Ha, J = 54 Hz)	9H (m, Hb)	$1.65-1.90(m, CH_2 \times 2);$					
			0.95 (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.3$ Hz)					
N(i-Bu) ₂	7.65-7.90,	7.25-7.55,	3.66 (d, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.6$ Hz);					
	6H(m, Ha, J = 56 Hz)	9H (m, Hb)	2.25–2.50(m, CH×2);					
			$0.94 (d, CH_3 \times 2, J = 6.8 Hz)$					
$N(CH_2)_5$	7.60-7.95	7.15-7.55,	4.03 (t, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 5.6$ Hz);					
	6H (m, Ha, J = 60 Hz)	9H (m, Hb)	1.50 – 2.00(m, CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂)					

Table 3. ¹H NMR data^a for selected triphenyltin dithiocarbamates Ph₃SnS₂CNR'₂

^a d: doublet; t: triplet; q: quartet; m: multiplet. ^b Numbering scheme for phenyl group: _{Hb}



Table 4.	¹ H NMR data for	r selected tricy	vclohexyltin	dithiocarbamates	$Cv_3SnS_2CNR'_2$
			,		- 13 2

	δ^1 H NMR (ppm)					
NR'_2	Су	NR ₂				
NEt ₂	1.10-2.20,	3.80, 4H (q, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.2$ Hz);				
	33H (m, Cy)	1.26, 6H (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.2$ Hz)				
$N(n-Pr)_2$	1.10–2.20,	3.76, 4H (t, NCH ₂ × 2, $J = 7.8$ Hz);				
	33H (m, Cy)	$1.75-2.05, 4H (m, CH_2 \times 2);$				
		0.90, 6H (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.4$ Hz)				
$N(n-Bu)_2$	1.10–2.15,	3.76, 4H (t, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.9$ Hz);				
	33H (m, Cy)	$1.70-1.95, 4H (m, CH_2 \times 2);$				
		$1.60-1.85, 4H (m, CH_2 \times 2);$				
		0.94, 6H (t, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 7.4$ Hz)				
N(i-Bu) ₂	1.10-2.10,	3.74, 4H (d, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 7.4$ Hz);				
	33H (m, Cy)	2.30–2.60, 2H (m, CH×2);				
		0.92, 12H (d, $CH_3 \times 2$, $J = 6.7$ Hz)				
$N(CH_2)_5$	1.50-2.20,	4.12, 4H (t, NCH ₂ \times 2, $J = 5.6$ Hz)				
/-	$39H(m, Cy + CH_2CH_2CH_2)$					
NH(i-Bu)	1.10-1.45	3.34, 2H (d, NCH ₂ , $I = 6.4$ Hz);				
	6H (m, Cy)	2.10–2.25, 2H (m, CH);				
		0.94, 6H (d, $CH_3 \times 4$, $J = 6.7$ Hz)				

^a d: doublet; t: triplet; q: quartet; m: multiplet.

of 0.30 to 0.37 ppm, indicating that the dithiocarbamate value compounds are also anisobidenate bonded in solution.

The ¹H NMR spectra of the tricyclohexyltin dithiocarbamates also contained absorptions in two regions. The resonances in the region 0.92 to 4.12 ppm were assigned to the dithiocarbamate ligand, and those in the range from 1.10 to 2.20 ppm were assigned to the tricyclohexyltin group. In addition, the protons due to the piperidine ring in $Cy_3SnS_2CN(CH_2)_5$ were also assigned to this same region.

Table 5 lists the chemical shifts and tin–carbon coupling constants for the dithiocarbamates. The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts due to the phenyl and cyclohexyl groups are observed at positions comparable to other similar compounds.^{30–32} The ¹³C NMR chemical shifts due to the $-CS_2$ carbon atoms in the dithiocarbamates were observed in the range 194.3 to 201.0 ppm.

Coordination of the tin atom in triorganotins has been related to the ${}^{1}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{-}^{13}\text{C})$ coupling constants. The ${}^{1}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{-}^{13}\text{C})$ coupling constants for the triphenyltin dithiocarbamates ranged from 604 to 620 Hz, which is indicative of four-coordinated compounds.³⁰ Similar values were observed for a series of triphenyltin compounds.³⁰ A range of 321–339 Hz was observed for the ${}^{1}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{-}^{13}\text{C})$ coupling constants for the tricyclohexyltin dithiocarbamates. These values are very similar to the ${}^{1}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{-}^{13}\text{C})$ coupling constant (335 Hz) for the four-coordinated tricyclohexyltin 2-[(*E*)-2-(2hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)-1-diazenyl]benzoate.³²

Values of the ¹¹⁹Sn NMR chemical shift and the coupling constant ¹J(¹¹⁹Sn-¹³C) have been used to study the structures of triorganotin(IV) compounds in solution.^{30,31} To support the structures deduced from the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra of several compounds were recorded in CDCl₃ and the data are also listed in Table 5. The observed

Triorganotin dithiocarbamates insecticides 223

values of -192.3 ppm and -29.2 ppm, for the triphenyltin and tricyclohexyltin dithiocarbamates respectively, are in agreement with the previously reported values for other dithiocarbamate compounds.³³ A ¹¹⁹Sn NMR chemical shift of -2.8 ppm was observed for Cy₃SnS₂CNH(i-Bu). It is expected that the tin atom in monoalkyldithiocarbamates would be more positive than in the dialkyldithiocarbamate derivatives, thus the tin atom would have absorptions further downfield, as was observed. Based on the NMR spectroscopic data, the compounds exhibited a distorted tetrahedral structure in solution.

Toxicity studies

The individual toxicities (in parts per million), their standard deviations, and the averages for each series of compounds screened against the second larval instar stage of the An. stephensi and Ae. aegypti mosquitoes are listed in Table 6. As can be seen from Table 6, the range of efficacy of the triorganotin dithiocarbamates against the second larval stage of the An. stephensi larvae ranged from a low of 13.74 ppm for Ph₃SnS₂CNH(n-Bu) to a high for Ph₃SnS₂CNEt₂ of 1.81 ppm. All the triorganotin dithiocarbamates showed higher LC50 values than their parent compounds, triphenyltin chloride (0.18 ppm)⁹ and tricyclohexyltin chloride (0.003 ppm).9 Furthermore, the results indicate that this series of compounds is less effective than other triphenyltins (Ph₃SnX) and tricyclohexyltins (Cy₃SnX) containing simple anions, such as Cl, OH, F, OAc and Br, which had a range of toxicity between 0.003 and 0.67 ppm towards the An. stephensi larvae.9

Based on the averages for the dialkyldithiocarbamate compounds, the results appear to indicate that the phenyl compounds ($Ph_3SnS_2CNR'_2$) were slightly more toxic (1.97 ppm)

Table 5. ¹³C and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR data for selected triorganotin dithiocarbamates, R₃SnS₂CNR₂ (ppm)

Сс	ompound					δ^{13}	C (ppm)				
R	NR_2^\prime	¹ J (¹¹⁹ Sn- ¹³ C) (Hz)	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C = S	δ^{119} Sn (ppm)
Ph	NEt ₂	609	143.1	136.9	128.7	129.1	60.2	16.8			199.5	
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	620	142.9	136.8	128.5	129.1	58.0	20.4	11.3		194.3	
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	615	143.0	136.8	128.6	129.1	56.3	29.0	20.2	13.9	198.6	
	N(i-Bu) ₂	604	143.0	136.7	128.9	129.0	63.9	27.1	20.3		197.0	-192.3
	$N(CH_2)_5$	618	142.8	136.8	128.5	129.1	54.2	25.9	23.3		195.2	
Су	NEt ₂	321	34.9	32.5	32.0	29.4	57.2	18.6			196.8	
-	$N(n-Pr)_2$	338	34.8	32.3	32.1	29.4	57.2	20.7	11.4		198.2	
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	339	34.9	32.4	32.2	29.3	55.3	29.0	20.1	13.6	196.4	
	N(i-Bu) ₂	336	34.8	32.2	32.1	29.4	63.0	27.1	20.3		199.7	-29.2
	$N(CH_2)_5$	328	34.8	32.3	32.1	29.4	53.5	26.0	23.8		197.3	
	NH(i-Bu)	329	34.4	32.3	32.1	29.3	56.9	27.0	20.0		201.0	-2.8

^a Numbering scheme for triorganotin dithiocarbamates:



Copyright © 2003 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

224 G. Eng et al.

Compound		24 h LC ₅₀						
R	NR'_2	Ae. aegypti	An. stephensi					
Ph	N(i-Bu) ₂	2.15 ± 0.25	2.32 ± 0.12					
	$N(CH_2)_5$	1.03 ± 0.02	1.92 ± 0.29					
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	1.04 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.05					
	NEt ₂	2.44 ± 0.03	1.81 ± 0.01					
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	1.91 ± 0.04	1.88 ± 0.05					
	Average	1.71	1.97					
	NH(n-Bu)	1.88 ± 0.06	13.74 ± 0.08					
	NH(i-Bu)	1.49 ± 0.10	7.62 ± 0.43					
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr)	1.55 ± 0.05	11.94 ± 0.31					
	Average	1.64	11.10					
Су	N(i-Bu) ₂	4.38 ± 0.28	1.45 ± 0.14					
	$N(CH_2)_5$	1.03 ± 0.02	1.90 ± 0.05					
	$N(n-Pr)_2$	4.37 ± 0.07	3.89 ± 0.46					
	NEt ₂	3.13 ± 0.12	3.24 ± 0.12					
	$N(n-Bu)_2$	2.44 ± 0.07	3.44 ± 0.41					
	Average	3.07	2.78					
	NH(n-Bu)	1.74 ± 0.02	9.21 ± 0.17					
	NH(i-Bu)	1.08 ± 0.02	3.67 ± 0.27					
	NH(<i>n</i> -Pr)	1.39 ± 0.02	5.79 ± 0.21					
	Average	1.40	6.22					

Table 6. Toxicity of the triorganotin dithiocarbamates, $R_3SnS_2CNR'_2$, against the second instar stage of the An.stephensi and Ae. aegypti mosquito larvae

towards the *An. stephensi* larvae than the tricyclohexyl derivatives (Cy₃SnS₂CNR₂') (2.78 ppm). However, a *t*-test analysis for the dialkyldithiocarbamates indicated that there was no significant difference between the tricyclohexyl- and triphenyl-tin derivatives at the 95% confidence level. This may be due to the fact that the organic groups on the dithiocarbamate moiety are too far removed from the tin atom to have any substantial effect on the toxicity of the compound. Similar findings were reported in the inhibition of *Ceratocystis ulmi* screened against a series of triphenyltin adducts of *N*-alkylsalicylideneimines.³⁴

However, for the monoalkyldithiocarbamates series, the average for the tricyclohexyl compounds (Cy₃SnS₂CNHR') was 6.22 ppm, which is significantly more active than their triphenyltin (Ph₃SnS₂CNHR') analogs, which had an average of 11.10 ppm. A *t*-test analysis confirmed this significance at the 95% confidence level. This result is in agreement with an earlier study on the same species of mosquito larvae using a series of tricyclohexyl- and triphenyl-tin compounds containing simple anion groups.⁹

A comparison of the dialkyldithiocarbamates with the monoalkyldithiocarbamates indicated that the disubstituted compounds are significantly more toxic. The addition of a second organic group will have a greater effect on the electronic distribution of the overall molecule compared with the monoalkyldithiocarbamate molecule. This redistribution of electron density may play a role in the toxicity of the dithiocarbamates, since a larger ligand may cause the ligand to dissociate more easily. It has been reported that the toxicity of triorganotins against another species of mosquito, *Ae. aegypti*, involved the triorganotin cation.³⁵ Dissociation and molecular size of a series of tri-*n*-alkyltins were shown to be the primary factors in determining whether the compound was a good Pdr5 substrate.³⁶

The toxicity results for the dialkyldithiocarbamate compounds against the *Ae. aegypti* larvae appear to suggest that the triphenyltin derivatives were slightly more active (1.71 ppm) than the tricyclohexyltin compounds (3.07 ppm). However, as with the *An. stephensi* results, the *t*-test analyses indicated that there was no significant difference between these two series of compounds at the 95% confidence level.

Unlike the results obtained for An. stephensi, the t-test analysis for the monoalkyldithiocarbamates indicated that there was no significant difference between the tricyclohexyland triphenyl-tin derivatives in their toxicity against the Ae. aegypti larvae. In addition, there is no significant difference between the di- and mono-alkyldithiocarbamates, as was observed for the An. stephensi study. These results indicate that the toxicity of the compounds towards the mosquito larvae is dependent on both the compound and the species of mosquito larvae. It has also been reported that the same compound, tris-(p-tolyl) tin chloride, had different toxicities on different strains of the Ae. aegypti mosquito larvae.35 This study further supports the fact that the same compounds may have a different toxicity on different species of mosquito, since the data indicate that the An. stephensi larvae are more tolerant than the Ae. aegypti to the dithiocarbamates.

A common method used for relating toxicological activities to structures of molecules is the QSAR. A QSAR is a regression equation that relates some measurable biological activity to a physicochemical or biochemical property or properties related to the molecule. It was possible to develop a QSAR for the An. stephensi using the QSARIS program from SciVision for this series of triorganotins. The QSAR was generated between the LC₅₀ values for the compounds and two descriptors of the molecules, the log P values and the $\Delta \chi$ path indices (xpc4) as defined by QSARIS. The equation generated was $LC_{50} = 1.87 \log P - 10.19 \text{xpc4} + 32.93$ with a multiple R² of 0.815 and a cross-validation of 65.08. The training set is very well described by the regression equation, which is statistically very significant. Cross-validation shows that the model constructed can be used with care to predict the value of LC50. However, it was not possible to generate a QSAR for the Ae. aegypti. This suggests that the mechanism of kill is different for the two species of mosquito.

In view of the results from this study, triorganotin dithiocarbamates as a class can be considered a potential larvicidal candidate against *An. stephensi* and *Ae. aegypti* larvae. Though their toxic results are higher than other insecticides, such as the synthetic pyrethroid insecticide deltamethrin,³⁷ their advantages lie in the fact that triorganotins are biodegradable



in the environment, and there is no reported resistance of these two species of mosquito towards triorganotins.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Institutes of Health Minority Biomedical Research Support Program (MBRS/SCORE, GM08005) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. Butler D, Maurice J, O'Brien C. Nature 1997; 386: 536.
- 2. Singh KV, Bansal SK. Indian J. Med. Res 1996; 103: 299.
- Thavaselvam D, Kumar A, Sumodan PK. Indian J. Malariol. 1993; 30: 75.
- 4. Sharma RS, Sharma SN, Sharma RS. J. Vector Ecol. 1996; 21: 14.
- 5. Uribe LJ. Bull. Pan Am. Health Org. 1983; 17: 133.
- 6. Gratz NG, Jany WC. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. Suppl. 1994; 50: 11.
- Robertson SE, Hull BP, Tomori O, Bele O, LeDuc JW, Esteves K. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 1996; 276: 1157.
- Ogwuru N, Duong Q, Song X, Eng G. Main Group Met. Chem. 2001; 24: 775.
- Eng G, Whitmyer C, Sina B, Ogwuru N. Main Group Met. Chem. 1999; 22: 331.
- Blunden SJ, Chapman A. In Organometallic Compounds in the Environment, Craig PJ, (ed.). John Wiley and Sons: New York, NY, 111.
- 11. Ascher KRS, Nissim S. World Rev. Pest Control. 1964; 3: 188.
- Davis AG, Smith PJ. In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, Vol. 2, Wilkinson G, Stone FGA, Abel EW (eds). Pergamon: New York, NY, 519.
- 13. Siddiqi KS, Kureshy RI, Khan NH, Zaidi SAA. *Indian J. Chem., A* 1985; **24A**: 578 and references cited therein.
- Srivastava TN, Kumar V, Srivastava DP. Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett. 1978; 1: 97.
- 15. Srivastava TN, Kumar V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976; 107: 55.

- Domazetis G, Magee RJ, James BD. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977; 141: 57.
- 17. Chandra S, James BD, Magee RJ, Patalinghug WC, Skelton BW, White AH. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988; **346**: 7.
- 18. De Vries JLKF, Herber RH. Inorg. Chem. 1972; 11: 2458.
- Holt EM, Nasser FAK, Wilson Jr A, Zuckerman JJ. Organometallics 1985; 4: 2073.
- 20. Bonati F, Ugo R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967; 10: 257.
- Chandra S, Magee RJ, James BD. Main Group Met. Chem. 1988; XI: 57.
- 22. Finney DJ. *Probit Analysis*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1971.
- 23. Kupchi EJ, Calabretta. PJ. Inorg. Chem. 1965; 4: 974.
- 24. Zaidi AAZ, Siddiqi KS, Islam N. Indian J. Chem. 1974; 12: 1197.
- 25. Honda M, Komura M, Kawaski G, Tanaka T, Okawara K. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1968; **30**: 3231.
- 26. Song X, Cahill C, Eng G. Main Group Met. Chem. 2002; 25: 13.
- 27. Tiekink ERT. Main Group Met. Chem. 1992; 15: 161.
- Zuckerman JJ. In Advances in Organometallic Chemistry, vol. 9, Stone FGA, West R, (eds). Academic Press: New York, NY, 1970; 21.
- 29. Poller RC, Ruddick JNR. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton. Trans. 1972; 555.
- Holecek J, Nádvornik M, Handlir K, Lycka A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983; 241: 177.
- Holecek J, Nádvornik M, Handlir K and, Lycka A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983; 258: 147.
- 32. William R, Verbruggen I, Gielen M, Biesemans M, Mahieu B, Baul TSB, Tiekink ERT. *Organometallics* 1998; **17**: 5758.
- Chandra S. Structural and biological studies of some triorganotin compounds, PhD dissertation, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 1989.
- Eng G, Whalen D, Zhang YZ, Kirksey A, Otieno M, Khoo LE, James BD. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 1996; 10: 501.
- Nguyen TT, Ogwuru N, Eng G. Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2000; 14: 345.
- Golin J, Barkatt A, Cronin S, Eng G, May L. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000; 44: 134.
- 37. Dorta DM, Vasuki V, Rajavel A. Rev. Saude Publ. 1993; 27: 391.