## T-Box Genes in Vertebrate Development

## L.A. Naiche,<sup>1</sup> Zachary Harrelson,<sup>1</sup> Robert G. Kelly,<sup>1,2</sup> and Virginia E. Papaioannou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Development, College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University, New York, New York 10032; email: nla2@columbia.edu, zh42@columbia.edu, vep1@columbia.edu

<sup>2</sup>Developmental Biology Institute of Marseilles, Campus de Luminy Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France; email: kelly@ibdm.univ-mrs.fr

Annu. Rev. Genet. 2005. 39:219–39

First published online as a Review in Advance on July 5, 2005

The Annual Review of Genetics is online at http://genet.annualreviews.org

doi: 10.1146/ annurev.genet.39.073003.105925

Copyright © 2005 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

0066-4197/05/1215-0219\$20.00

## Key Words

Tbx, transcription factor, Brachyury, organogenesis, heart, limbs

#### Abstract

The myriad developmental roles served by the T-box family of transcription factor genes defy easy categorization. Present in all metazoans, the T-box genes are involved in early embryonic cell fate decisions, regulation of the development of extraembryonic structures, embryonic patterning, and many aspects of organogenesis. They are unusual in displaying dosage sensitivity in most instances. In humans, mutations in T-box genes are responsible for developmental dysmorphic syndromes, and several T-box genes have been implicated in neoplastic processes. T-box transcription factors function in many different signaling pathways, notably bone morphogenetic protein and fibroblast growth factor pathways. The few downstream target genes that have been identified indicate a wide range of downstream effectors.

## Contents

INTRODUCTION	220
T-BOX TRANSCRIPTION	
FACTORS	220
T-Box Proteins and DNA	
Binding	220
Transcriptional Regulation	220
Interactions with Other	
Transcription Factors	221
Predicting T-Box Gene Function.	223
T-BOX GENES DURING EARLY	
DEVELOPMENT	223
Roles in Extraembryonic Tissues	223
T-Box Gene Function in	
Mesoderm	224
T-BOX GENES DURING	
ORGANOGENESIS	225
Complex Functions During	
Cardiogenesis	225
Limb Outgrowth and Patterning .	228
Multiple Craniofacial Effects	229
Cell Fate in the Pituitary	231
T-Box Genes in T Cells	231

#### INTRODUCTION

The T-box family, defined by a common DNA binding domain known as the T-box, is evolutionarily ancient, probably arising in the common ancestor of metazoan organisms (2) (Figure 1). T-box genes first came to the attention of geneticists in 1927 with the discovery of a mutation, Brachyury (or T, for shorttail), which caused truncated tails in mice (30). In recent years, both spontaneous and induced mutations in T-box genes have demonstrated that these genes are important regulators of a wide range of tissues and organs during development, as well as major contributors to several human syndromes (Table 1). As this family was discovered quite recently, comparatively little is known about transcriptional regulatory capabilities and signaling interactions of its members. Nonetheless, its importance in an array of developing tissues has led

to the rapid expansion of the field. In this review, we explore recent literature on T-box gene function, concentrating on mammalian development.

## T-BOX TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS

#### **T-Box Proteins and DNA Binding**

The T-box DNA binding sequence, the Tsite or T-box binding element (TBE), was first defined as the sequence with the highest affinity for Brachyury (57). Brachyury binds this palindromic sequence as a dimer (77), with each monomer of Brachyury binding half of the sequence, or T-half site (5'-AGGTGTGAAATT-3'). Extensive studies have demonstrated that all T-box proteins tested are capable of binding the T-half site as monomers (15, 49, 61, 77, 78, 97, 98), although some have different optimal target sequences (36, 65). Comparisons between Tbox proteins have shown preference for different synthetic combinations of T-half sites in varying orientations, numbers, and spacing (27, 97), which may help create promoter specificity for target genes.


The crystal structures of both Brachyury and TBX3 T-domain homodimers bound to the canonical T-site have been elucidated (26, 71). Both T-box proteins make the same DNA contacts with the same amino acids, indicating strong conservation of the underlying DNA binding functions between T-box subfamilies. However, whereas the Brachyury dimer is stabilized by a hydrophobic patch and a salt bridge, TBX3 dimers are oriented differently on the DNA and are weakly connected. These differences in ternary structure probably underlie the differences in half site preference among different T-box family members.

## **Transcriptional Regulation**

As well as binding DNA, T-box genes have been shown to regulate transcription. Activation domains have been mapped to the

TBE: T-box

binding element



#### Figure 1

Schematic phylogenetic tree of the T-box gene family of vertebrates, based on the phylogenetic analysis in Reference (76) showing the relationship of genes in the five subfamilies indicated by brackets on the right. All of these genes are present in mammals with the exception of the zebrafish genes *Drtbx6* and *Drtbx16*, which do not have orthologs in mammals.

C-terminal domains of several T-box proteins (56, 98, 120). In some cases, the mechanism of activation is known: Tbx19 activates transcription by recruiting SRC/p160 coactivators to the promoter (68), while Tbr1 forms a complex with nucleosome assembly proteins (112). T-box proteins can also repress transcription, as has been shown for Tbx2 and Tbx3 (21, 41, 65). Some T-box genes contain both activation and repression domains in their C-terminal domains (56, 98) and Tbx2 has been reported to act in either fashion, depending on promoter context (78).

## Interactions with Other Transcription Factors

Athough some T-box gene targets appear to be regulated by T-box proteins alone (60), there is a growing body of work demonstrating that target genes are controlled in combination with other transcription factors. Cooperative binding of promoters and synergistic upregulation of target gene expression is seen with T-box factors and homeodomain (13, 61, 98), GATA zinc finger (31, 35, 98), and LIM domain proteins (59). Frequently, these interactions enhance target gene activation, and

Mouse gene;		Mouse heterozygous	
human gene	Human syndrome	phenotype	Mouse homozygous phenotype
T; T	Not known	Viable, short/no tail (30)	Embryonic lethal, failure of posterior mesoderm (45)
<i>Tbx19</i> ; <i>TBX19</i> ( <i>TPIT</i> )	Recessive isolated ACTH deficiency (84)	Normal (84)	ACTH deficiency, pigment defects (84)
Tbx1; TBX1	DiGeorge, craniofacial, glandular, vascular, and heart abnormalities (4)	Viable, thymus and vascular abnormalities (52, 64)	Neonatal lethal; craniofacial, glandular, vascular, and heart abnormalities (52, 64)
Tbx10; TBX10	Not known	Susceptibility to cleft lip and palate ( <i>Dancer</i> : ectopic gain-of-function) (17)	Cleft lip and palate ( <i>Dancer</i> : ectopic gain-of-function) (17)
Tbx15; TBX15	Not known	Normal (19)	Craniofacial viable, malformations and pigment pattern alterations ( <i>droopy ear</i> ) (19)
Tbx18; TBX18	Not known	Normal (18)	Postnatal lethal, vertebral malformations (18)
Tbx20; TBX20	Not known	Heart contractile function defects (99)	Embryonic lethal, heart abnormalities (99)
Tbx22; TBX22	X-linked cleft palate with ankyloglossia (11)	Not known	Not known
Tbx2; TBX2	Not known	Normal (43)	Embryonic lethal, heart and limb abnormalities (43)
Tbx3; TBX3	Ulnar-mammary: hypoplastic mammary glands, abnormal external genitalia, limb abnormalities (5)	Hypoplastic mammary glands, abnormal external genitalia (28, 53)	Embryonic lethal, yolk sac, limb and mammary gland defects (28)
Tbx4; TBX4	Small patella (10)	Reduced allantois growth rate (72)	Embryonic lethal, allantois and hindlimb defects (72)
Tbx5; TBX5	Holt-Oram, heart and hand abnormalities (7)	Heart abnormalities, reduced viability (14)	Embryonic lethal, severe heart malformations (14)
Tbx6; TBX6	Not known	Normal (24)	Embryonic lethal, somite abnormalities (24)
Tbr1; TBR1	Not known	Normal (16, 46)	Olfactory bulb and cortical defects (16, 46)
Eomes; EOMES	Not known	Normal (89)	Embryonic lethal, trophoblast and mesoderm failure (89)
Tbx21; TBX21 (TBET)	Not known	Airway hyperresponsiveness, intermediate INF- $\gamma$ levels in Th1 cells (32, 104)	Airway hyperresponsiveness, no Th1 cells (32, 104)

 Table 1
 Comparison of the effects of mutations in all known human and mouse T-box genes illustrating the prevalence of dosage sensitivity of the phenotypes<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Text colors indicate genes in different subfamilies as indicated in Figure 1 (see text for additional references).

probably contribute to promoter specificity. Some of these interactions can be generalized to transcription factor subfamilies—both T subfamily (but not Tbx1 subfamily) proteins directly interact with all members of the Pitx family (but not the closely related Otx family) of homeodomain proteins (61). Some of these interactions are exquisitely specific—Tbx20 interacts with GATA5 but not the related transcription factor GATA4 (98). In an even more extreme case of specificity, LMP4 binds both of the most closely related vertebrate T-box proteins, Tbx4 and Tbx5, but interacts with each via a different LIM domain repeat (59).

The observed in vitro interactions have biological relevance. Mutations in TBX5 cause Holt-Oram syndrome (HOS), which results in multiple heart defects. While some patients have truncation mutations in TBX5 that result in loss of DNA binding or activation, others have only point mutations. Analyses of such mutant proteins have shown that loss of interaction with cardiac transcription factor NKX2-5 is sufficient to cause disease, even when the mutant TBX5 is otherwise intact (31). Likewise, point mutations in NKX2-5, which ablate TBX5 binding, have been shown to cause heart disease in humans (35), further demonstrating the biological requirements for cooperative binding between T-box proteins and other factors.

#### Predicting T-Box Gene Function

Reliable prediction of T-box gene function is complicated by considerable functional lability. Depending on context, T-box proteins may homodimerize, heterodimerize, or cooperatively bind other transcription factors. Individual T-box proteins can exhibit either activation or repression activities, and single genes may do both depending on promoter context. In some cases, one T-box protein is capable of competing off another at a particular promoter (40, 41), making it difficult to predict which is relevant to a particular target gene in cells where the T-box genes are coexpressed.

Several labs have attempted to inhibit individual T-box gene function with putative dominant negative proteins, e.g., a truncated version containing only the T-box domain (29, 87, 90, 101, 106a). The action of these engineered proteins may be complex and may influence other T-box genes or targets, so caution must be used when interpreting such experiments. Although dominant negative proteins may be specific, in some cases a presumed dominant negative protein produces phenotypic consequences more severe (93) or different (90) from what is observed in the genetic null, indicating interference with other protein(s).

## T-BOX GENES DURING EARLY DEVELOPMENT

T-box gene expression is widespread during embryonic development and has been noted in all stages, from the oocyte (12, 115) to the adult (102). In the early embryo, T-box genes are required for both evolutionarily ancient processes, such as gastrulation [recently reviewed by (94)] and comparatively recent developments such as uterine implantation and umbilicus formation.

#### **Roles in Extraembryonic Tissues**

The earliest demonstrated role of T-box geness in mammalian embryos is that of *Eomesodermin*. In the mouse embryo, the first lineage decision occurs when the outer cells of the morula differentiate into the trophectoderm (TE), which will form placental structures. TE dramatically upregulates *Eomesodermin*. In the absence of *Eomesodermin*, TE cells are defective and neither proliferate in vitro nor participate in uterine implantation in vivo (89), resulting in embryonic death shortly after implantation.

Brachyury is expressed in the caudal primitive streak and is responsible for posterior mesodermal development. Brachyury expression extends into the base of the allantois, an extraembryonic mesodermal outgrowth that will eventually become the umbilical cord. Deletion of Brachyury leads to stunted growth of the allantois; however, this is likely secondary to a more general defect in mesoderm production (8). In contrast, Tbx4 is expressed in the allantois and has allantoisspecific effects when mutated. Specifically, Tbx4 is expressed at the site of origin of the allantois and continues to be expressed in the allantois and umbilical cord through at least 13.5 days post coitus (dpc). Embryos homozygous for a null mutation in Tbx4 HOS: Holt-Oram syndrome TE: trophectoderm dpc: days post coitus **BMP:** bone morphogenetic protein

**FGF:** fibroblast growth factor

**PSM:** presomitic mesoderm

have multiple abnormalities in the allantois, including upregulated apoptosis, failure to undergo characteristic morphological changes, and failure to express known markers of allantois differentiation such as Tbx2 and VCAM1. Loss of Tbx4 also disrupts allantoic vasculogenesis after endothelial cells differentiate from the allantoic mesoderm, but before they coalesce into patent blood vessels (72). Little is known about the growth or patterning of the allantois, so it is difficult to firmly tie the diverse defects into known signaling pathways. Mutation of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) Bmp4 in the epiblast causes similar allantois defects (33), but Bmp4 is expressed normally in Tbx4 mutants, suggesting that Tbx4 may be a downstream effector of BMP signaling in this tissue.

Tbx3 is expressed in the yolk sac in both endoderm and mesoderm layers. Disruption of Tbx3 results in an aberrant yolk sac with variably diminished vascular development and a highly apoptotic endoderm layer (28). It is unclear whether the primary defect is in one or both layers and it is possible that these defects are secondary to heart defects in the embryo (Z.H., R.G.K., V.E.P., unpublished).

#### **T-Box Gene Function in Mesoderm**

Many T-box genes serve important functions during mesoderm formation and patterning in the vertebrate gastrula: *T* and *Eomesodermin* in the mouse; *Xbra*, *Xeomesodermin*, and *XvegT* in *Xenopus*; *no tail*, *spadetail*, *eomesodermin*, and *tbx6* in zebrafish (75). These geness are critical for mesoderm formation at various axial levels and regulate such key factors as fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling and cell migration. However, T-box gene functions during gastrulation are recursive and overlapping, creating a complex web of developmental roles and signaling interactions. This field has been recently reviewed (94).

The role of T-box genes in patterning the embryonic mesoderm, however, is not restricted to gastrulation. Several T-box genes are involved in the patterning of nascent mesoderm following its ingression through the primitive streak. Tbx6 is expressed in the paraxial, presomitic mesoderm (PSM) of the mouse embryo after its exit from the primitive streak (22a). Embryos homozygous for a null mutation in *Tbx6* die mid-gestation. Rostral somites are present but morphologically abnormal, indicating that *Tbx6* is not absolutely required for somite formation (24). However, the posterior embryo is progressively more affected: Caudal somites are replaced with ectopic paraxial neural tubes, and the tail bud, the ongoing source of new mesoderm, is aberrantly expanded. The Tbx6 homozygous mutant phenotype lends itself to two nonexclusive interpretations. First, the presence of ectopic neural tubes in place of caudal somites suggests that Tbx6 is required to promote a mesodermal fate in posterior paraxial tissue, and that in its absence a default neural program predominates. In vivo teratoma analysis revealed a conspicuous absence of skeletal muscle in tumors derived from *Tbx6* null tail bud cells, implying a potential requirement for myogenic specification or differentiation. In vitro differentiation assays, however, show the lack of an absolute requirement for Tbx6 in myogenesis (22). A second potential explanation for the Tbx6 mutant phenotype concerns the enlarged tail bud. Levels of cellular proliferation and programmed cell death are unaltered in Tbx6 null tail buds (22), suggesting that Tbx6 mutant mesoderm may fail to migrate out of the tail bud. Although this hypothesis is not incompatible with a role for Tbx6 in mesodermal specification, the formation of ectopic neural tubes might result from an insufficient supply of mesoderm to the paraxial regions as a secondary defect of deficient migration from the tail bud.

Additional information on the role of Tbx6 comes from transgenic rescue experiments and a naturally occurring Tbx6 mutation called *rib-vertebrae* (rv) (113, 114). Homozygous rv mutant embryos, as well as null Tbx6 mutant embryos rescued with a transgene that partially restores Tbx6 expression, live past

mid-gestation only to display later defects in rib and vertebrae development. These abnormalities are preceded by reduced expression of markers of the anterior compartment of the somite, with reciprocally expanded expression of markers normally restricted to the posterior somite (114), showing that Tbx6 is required to maintain the anterior compartment. Tbx6 is therefore required not only to specify somite formation in the paraxial mesoderm, but also for somite patterning. Severity of the vertebral defects increases as levels of Tbx6 are progressively reduced with rv and Tbx6 null alleles, implicating dosage as an important aspect of Tbx6 function in later somite development (113).

Similar to Tbx6, Tbx18 is required to maintain the fate of the anterior compartment of somites. Homozygous Tbx18 null mutant mice die perinatally and display a range of rib and vertebrae defects. Molecular analysis of these mutant embryos shows that, in the absence of Tbx18, anterior and posterior somite compartment specification occurs correctly in the PSM but fails to be maintained during somite maturation (18). Studies in zebrafish (9), chick (42a, 107), and mouse (58) show that Tbx18 is expressed in the anterior region of somites. Although the mechanism through which this expression is achieved varies between species, all studies agree that Tbx18 transcription is initiated in the PSM and progressively restricted to the anterior compartment as each somite matures. PSM injected with a *Tbx18* expression vector can induce the formation of somite boundaries when grafted into PSM caudal to where Tbx18 is normally expressed, suggesting a role for the gene in somite segmentation (107).

*tbx24* in zebrafish is a third T-box gene directly involved in the regulation of vertebrate somite development. *tbx24* does not cluster with any of the T-box gene subfamilies and there is no mammalian ortholog. Expression is first detected in paraxial mesoderm of the zebrafish gastrula and is later confined to the anterior and intermediate PSM. Morpholinomediated *tbx24* knockdown experiments yield


embryos with morphological and molecular evidence of disrupted somite segmentation. An early role in mesoderm specification is unlikely as mesodermal and neural markers are expressed normally in tbx24 morphants. Rescue experiments show that the naturally occurring *fused somites* phenotype is caused by mutations in tbx24 (74).

## T-BOX GENES DURING ORGANOGENESIS

## Complex Functions During Cardiogenesis

Many T-box genes are expressed in specific chambers or regions of the developing vertebrate heart, including *Tbx1*, *Tbx2*, *Tbx3*, *Tbx5*, *Tbx18*, and *Tbx20* (75). Despite overlapping expression patterns (**Figure 2**), experimental studies reveal that each gene has unique developmental functions. The identification of cardiac transcriptional binding partners with differential affinities for individual T-box factors has contributed to understanding how the combined regulatory influences of multiple, related and coexpressed genes generate unique downstream target gene expression patterns during organogenesis.

Targeted mutagenesis in mouse has revealed essential roles for Tbx5, Tbx1, Tbx2, and Tbx20 in cardiac development. Mouse embryos homozygous for a null mutation in Tbx5 exhibit abnormal development of posterior heart structures, including hypoplastic left ventricle, atria, and inflow tract (IFT) (15). These defects are accompanied by the reduced expression of critical cardiac genes, including GATA4, MLC2v, and Irx4 (15). Ectopic expression of Tbx5 in both chick and mouse also implicates Tbx5 in the development and positioning of the interventricular septum (106). Homozygous loss of Tbx1 produces abnormalities of anterior cardiac development, including shortening of the outflow tract (OFT), the absence of OFT septation, ventricular septal defects, and abnormal remodeling of the aortic arch arteries **IFT:** inflow tract **OFT:** outflow tract



#### Figure 2

Diagrams of overlapping T-box gene expression in selected organs. (A) Cardiac T-box gene expression in 9.5 dpc-10.5 dpc embryos. RA, right atrium; LA, left atrium; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; AVC, atrioventricular canal; OFT, outflow tract; IFT, inflow tract; IC, inner curvature. (B) Limb T-box gene expression in 10.5-12.5 dpc mouse embryos. FL, forelimb; HL, hindlimb; AM, anterior margin; PM, posterior margin.

(52, 64, 109). Conversely, ectopic expression of Tbx1 in the heart tube can lead to an elongated OFT (50). Tbx1 mutants display reduced proliferation in the anterior heart field (AHF), which contributes to the OFT (116), demonstrating a role in proliferation of cardiac progenitor cells. Embryos homozygous for a null mutation in Tbx2 reveal a role for the gene in repressing chamber differentiation in the atrioventricular canal (AVC) during functional specialization of the ventricular and atrial compartments. Several chamber-specific markers are ectopically expressed in the AVC of Tbx2 homozygous mutants, including Nppa and Cx40 (43). In a complementary experiment, the expression of these genes is undetectable when Tbx2 is ectopically expressed throughout the heart tube (25). Many Tbx2 homozygous null mutants also exhibit defects in OFT septation and remodeling of the aortic arch arteries (43). Homozygous null Tbx20 mutants die midgestation due to defective hearts, which fail to loop and which display many morphological and molecular abnormalities, including widespread upregulation of Tbx2. The presence of two poorly developed chamber-like structures and the reduction of Nppa expression suggests that Tbx20 plays a role during cardiac chamber formation in the early heart tube (18a, 96a, 99). Together, T-box genes therefore control development of most regions of the heart and regulate multiple aspects of cardiogenesis including chamber differentiation, suppression of differentiation in nonchamber regions, and cell proliferation.

As in the case of *Tbx4* in the allantois, Tbox genes in the heart appear to be regulated by members of the BMP family. Bead implantation experiments in chick show that BMP2 can promote Tbx2 and Tbx3 expression (117). Chick explant cultures confirm that Tbx2 and Tbx3 expression can be enhanced by the presence of BMP2, whereas Tbx2 message is reduced in the presence of the BMP inhibitor, noggin. Furthermore, cardiac Tbx2 expression is greatly reduced in homozygous Bmp2 mouse mutants (117). In a second pathway common to several T-box genes [see (94) and next section], Tbx1 regulates cardiac FGF signaling. Fgf8 and Fgf10 expression is reduced in the AHF of embryos with reduced or absent Tbx1 expression (50, 116). A genetic interaction between Tbx1 and Fgf8 is also indicated by an increase in the frequency and severity of aortic arch artery remodeling defects in doubly heterozygous mutants compared to single heterozygotes (110). Recent work suggests that T-box genes also have the capacity to regulate their own expression. T-half sites in the *Tbx5* promoter were protected in fingerprinting assays after incubation with nuclear extracts, suggesting that T-box factors bind these endogenous sites. Furthermore, transfection of Tbx5 activates a Tbx5 expression reporter in cultured cell lines (100), supporting a potential auto-regulatory or T-box gene cross-regulatory loop.

The regulation of Nppa transcription during cardiac chamber formation provides an interesting example of how multiple inputs from T-box factors become integrated to generate a complex expression profile. The Nppa promoter contains Nkx binding elements (NKE) for the homeodomain factor and cardiac lineage marker Nkx2-5, in addition to several T-half sites (15, 41, 47). Biochemical studies show that Tbx5 and Nkx2-5 not only interact with each other (47), but also specifically and cooperatively bind the Nppa promoter to synergistically activate Nppa-reporter expression (15, 47). The success of this regulatory influence depends on intact NKEs and TBEs (15, 41). Tbx2, a demonstrated transcriptional repressor, is also capable of cooperatively binding the Nppa promoter with Nkx2-5. Tbx5-Nkx2-5-mediated activation of the *Nppa*-reporter is incrementally repressed by increasing amounts of Tbx2 (41). In the 9.5 dpc mouse embryo, Tbx2 is expressed in the AVC (25, 41, 43) within a subdomain of the cardiac expression domain of Tbx5 (23) (Figure 2). Considered together, this information has led to the hypothesis that Nppa expression is regulated by the competing interactions of Tbx5 and Tbx2 with Nkx2-5

and the T-half sites in the Nppa promoter (41). The progressive reduction of Nppa transcription in Tbx5 heterozygous and homozygous null mutants (15) and the ectopic Nppa expression in the AVC of Tbx2 homozygous mutants (43) support this hypothesis. In vitro reporter assays show that Tbx3, which is also expressed in the AVC of 9.5 dpc mouse embryos, can repress Tbx5-Nkx2-5-mediated activation of Nppa (48). Tbx20 can similarly interact with Nkx2-5 and synergistically activate reporter expression driven from a Cx40 promoter fragment or a synthetic promoter containing only NKEs (98). Thus, Tbx5, Tbx2, Tbx3, and Tbx20 all potentially participate in the global regulation of Nppa expression, and their interactions are likely to control other aspects of cardiac development.

Cardiac development, like somitogenesis, is also sensitive to the dosage of T-box gene activity. Elimination of Tbx5 leads to embryonic lethality at 9.5 dpc preceded by severe hypoplasia of posterior cardiac structures (15). Diminished Tbx5 activity in heterozygous embryos leads to less severe cardiac abnormalities, including conduction and septal defects that contribute to compromised survival varying with genetic background. Tbx5 heterozygous mutants exhibit a reduction in Nppa expression that is intermediate between wild-type and homozygous mutant embryos (15), demonstrating a correlation between gene dosage and target gene expression levels. Similar effects are observed in Tbx1 mutant phenotypes. Tbx1 heterozygous null mutants lack fourth arch arteries at 10.5 dpc, a defect less severe than that found in homozygous mutants (52, 64). Furthermore, combinations of null and hypomorphic mutations comprising an allelic series demonstrate differential sensitivity to Tbx1 dosage. Small reductions in Tbx1 dosage are sufficient to produce incompletely penetrant aortic arch artery defects. Progressively greater reductions in dosage increase the severity and frequency of arch artery remodeling defects and OFT septation anomalies (50). The range of developmental responses to varying AHF: anterior heart field AVC: atrioventricular canal NKE: Nkx binding element

#### **GENE DOSAGE**

The first T-box gene mutation was discovered by virtue of a phenotype affecting the tail of heterozygous newborns concomitant with the failure of homozygous mice to survive to birth, demonstrating a semidominant mode of inheritance (30). All of the known autosomal human T-box gene syndromes, with the exception of the recessive isolated ACTH deficiency, occur in heterozygous individuals, whereas homozygotes have not been identified, presumably due to embryonic lethality. In mice, heterozygous effects have been identified for half of the genes mutated, but because these defects are sometimes quite subtle, further investigations may yet identify additional examples of dosage sensitivity. Mouse studies indicate that the full spectrum of tissues affected in homozygous mutants is not necessarily affected by haploinsufficiency. In comparing human and mouse phenotypes, there is a high degree of similarity in tissues affected and the nature of the mutant defects. However, the dose sensitivity may vary considerably-leading to examples of genes that have much more severe or extensive heterozygous phenotypes in humans than that observed in mice (Table 1).

> T-box protein levels supports the idea that dosage-sensitivity is a common theme within the T-box gene family (see sidebar).

#### Limb Outgrowth and Patterning

Limb development has long been a major interest of T-box gene researchers. As early as 1996 it was noted that all four members of the Tbx2 subfamily are expressed during limb development in provocative expression domains (37) (Figure 2). Tbx4 and Tbx5 are expressed in similar patterns but in different limbs-the hindlimbs and forelimbs, respectively. Their expression initiates in the limb fields before morphological limb buds are apparent, and is maintained in their respective limbs until late in development. One of the only other genes known to be expressed specifically in one set of limbs from such an early stage is *Pitx1*, which is expressed only in the hindlimbs (62, 92), and which has been shown to cooperatively interact with another T-box gene,

Tbx19, in the pituitary (see below). Thus, it was widely hypothesized that Tbx4 and Tbx5 conferred hindlimb and forelimb identities, possibly in cooperation with *Pitx1*. Ectopic expression studies in chick reinforced this hypothesis. Electroporation of Tbx4 into the forelimb or Tbx5 into the hindlimb produced a variety of malformations, some of which suggested transformation to opposing limb fates (88, 105). However, these experiments were complicated by the presence of the endogenous T-box gene activity. Electroporation of Tbx4 or Tbx5 into the limb where each is normally expressed also created severe abnormalities, further confounding analysis and again demonstrating the dosage sensitivity characteristic of this gene family.

Mutations in both Tbx4 and Tbx5 are associated with dominant limb defect syndromes in humans: Heterozygous mutations in TBX4 cause small patella syndrome, characterized by minor hip, knee, and foot defects (10); heterozygous mutations in TBX5 cause HOS, characterized by moderate-to-severe arm defects in addition to heart abnormalities (7). Targeted mutations in mouse have shown that Tbx4 and Tbx5 play similar, but not identical, roles in limb outgrowth. In Tbx5 homozygous mutants, the forelimb field is defined and appropriate anterior/posterior and dorsoventral patterning is established (1). However, Fgf10, a gene critical for bud formation and outgrowth, is not expressed in the forelimb bud mesenchyme and a morphological bud is never observed. In Tbx4 homozygous mutants, limb bud formation progresses somewhat further (72). The hindlimb bud of Tbx4 mutant embryos is morphologically evident and mesenchymal and ectodermal FGF signaling is initiated. Fgf10 is rapidly lost in the mesenchyme, however, and the limb does not progress beyond the bud stage. The mechanism behind the differences between Tbx4 and *Tbx5* is not known.

The failure of limb outgrowth prevented assessment of forelimb/hindlimb identity in the Tbx4 and Tbx5 null embryos. A recent study using a conditional Tbx5 allele in

228

Naiche et al

combination with limb-specific recombinase transgenes has definitively negated the role of these genes in determining limb identity (70). Tbx4 misexpressed in the forelimb field is capable of rescuing Tbx5 homozygous mutant forelimb outgrowth, demonstrating functional overlap between these two genes. However, the Tbx4-rescued limbs are forelimblike and show no morphological or molecular hindlimb characteristics. Conversely, misexpression of *Pitx1* with either Tbx4 or Tbx5 in the forelimb induces hindlimb-like morphology, indicating that *Pitx1* is indeed a regulator of fore- versus hindlimb identity, whereas Tbx4 and Tbx5 are not.

Tbx2 subfamily members Tbx2 and Tbx3 are also expressed in the developing limb in largely overlapping domains along the anterior and posterior margins of the limb mesenchyme (37, 38). In chick, Tbx2 is restricted slightly more posteriorly than Tbx3 in the posterior limb margin, and is also expressed in the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) at the distal limb margin. Overexpression and dominant negative studies in chick have shown that both Tbx2 and Tbx3 induce posterior digit identities, with Tbx2 having the stronger posteriorizing activity (101). In the mouse and human, both the expression patterns and roles of Tbx2 and Tbx3 appear to be reversed relative to chick. In mouse, *Tbx3* is more restricted in the posterior margin and is expressed in the AER, while Tbx2 is not in the AER (37). In contrast to the chick studies, genetic nulls of each gene in mouse indicate that Tbx3 is required for development of the posterior margin of the limb (28), while Tbx2 has only minor effects (43). In humans, TBX3 is associated with ulnamammary syndrome (5), which causes defects in posterior limb formation, but no mutations have been identified for TBX2.

In addition to the Tbx2 subfamily genes, Tbx15, a member of the Tbx1 subfamily, is also expressed in limb mesenchyme in a pattern complementary to the expression domains of Tbx2 and Tbx3 (3, 96). Mice mutant for Tbx15 are viable but have widespread minor defects in the skeletal elements of the

limbs, characterized by abnormally short and thin bones with poor articulation. These defects may be caused by diminished proliferation in the early limb bud resulting in smaller precartilaginous mesenchymal condensations. Proliferation is reduced only in the core limb mesenchyme, where Tbx15 is expressed, but is normal in the limb margins, suggesting that Tbx2 and/or Tbx3 may maintain proliferation levels in the latter tissues (see sidebar).

#### Multiple Craniofacial Effects

*TBX1* has been identified as a major gene underlying DiGeorge or del22q11.2 syndrome in humans, a syndrome characterized by craniofacial and cardiovascular defects and heterozygous multigene deletions on chromosome 22 [reviewed in (4)]. In addition to cardiovascular defects, mice lacking *Tbx1* exhibit multiple craniofacial defects similar to DiGeorge patients, including defects in ear and mandible development, cleft palate, and defects in branchiomeric muscles (52, 55, 109). Mutations in the zebrafish homologue reveal that the role of *Tbx1* in craniofacial and pharyngeal development (82) is evolutionarily conserved.

Craniofacial development involves complex interactions between ectoderm, anterior mesoderm, endoderm, and neural crestderived mesenchyme. Tbx1 is expressed in both pharyngeal endoderm and anterior mesoderm (23) and has been shown to regulate growth factor expression in the pharyngeal region, providing an explanation for the hypoplasia of pharyngeal mesodermal derivatives in mutants (50, 110, 116). Potential targets of *Tbx1* in the pharyngeal region include Fgf8, Fgf10, and the forkhead transcription factor Foxa2, which in combination with Shh also acts upstream of Tbx1, mediating an autoregulatory loop (50, 110, 116, 118). Because Tbx1 is expressed in anterior mesoderm rather than neural crest-derived mesenchyme, craniofacial defects affecting bone development observed in Tbx1 homozygous mutant mice, **AER:** apical ectodermal ridge

#### **T-BOX GENES AND CANCER**

Mounting evidence indicates a functional link between Tbox genes, cellular proliferation/survival, and tumorigenesis, particularly breast tumors. A direct causal link between Tbox genes and cancer, however, has yet to be unequivocally proven. TBX2 is located within a human chromosomal segment, 17q22–24, which is frequently amplified in breast and pancreatic cancers (6, 51, 67). Several oncogenic candidates have been identified within this segment, including TBX2, which are frequently overexpressed in a subset of primary tumors and cancer cell lines (6, 51, 67). Unlike many of the other 17q22-24 candidates, TBX2 is also amplified and overexpressed in a large fraction of BRCA1- and BRCA2-related breast tumors (95). TBX2 and TBX3 have been molecularly linked to the cell cycle machinery via the ARF-MDM2-p53 pathway. TBX2 and TBX3 can rescue a premature senescence phenotype in murine embryonic fibroblasts (20, 51). Recent work in melanoma cell lines has shown that a dominant negative Tbx2 is able to induce senescence (108). Both genes can also bind a putative TBE in the human p14ARF promoter and TBX2 can repress a p14<sup>ARF</sup> expression reporter in vitro (65). TBX2 is capable of repressing other transcripts from the Cdkn gene family, including p15<sup>INK4b</sup>, p16<sup>INK4a</sup> (51), and p21 (83). There is no evidence, however, of p15<sup>INK4b</sup>, p16<sup>INK4a</sup>, ARF, or p21 overexpression in Tbx2 homozygous null mutant embryos, and no evidence of a genetic interaction between Tbx2 (or Tbx3) and p53 (43, 53). Nonetheless, the impaired proliferation of the mammary gland ductal tree in Tbx3 heterozygous mice and the exacerbation of this phenotype in double heterozygous Tbx2; Tbx3 mice (53) demonstrate the important contribution of these genes to normal mammary development and suggest that further work is required to determine how misregulation of TBX2 or TBX3 can contribute to mammary tumorigenesis.

> such as micrognathia (small mandible), may be secondary to failure of mesodermal specification and/or mesodermal or endodermalderived signaling to surrounding cell types.

> Overexpression of human TBX1 and three adjacent genes in transgenic mice leads to a spectrum of craniofacial and pharyngeal defects that overlaps with the Tbx1 null phenotype (34). Dosage compensation using a Tbx1 null allele reveals that the majority of de

fects, including cleft palate, thymic hypoplasia, and cardiovascular defects, are due specifically to overexpression of Tbx1, suggesting that closely regulated levels of Tbx1 are required for normal development and that either too much or too little can incur similar phenotypic defects (63) (see sidebar). However, inner ear defects and hearing loss observed in mice overexpressing Tbx1 are not complemented by Tbx1 null alleles, suggesting a different mechanism of Tbx1 action in the otic epithelium. Tbx1 specifies regional identity and fate boundary formation in the otic epithelium at early stages of inner ear development and acts cell autonomously to expand a population of cells that normally give rise to vestibular and auditory organs (86, 111). Overexpression of *Tbx1* leads to ectopic inner ear sensory organs, whereas loss leads to failure of sensory organ development and expanded neurogenesis. Tbx1 therefore operates as a selector gene in the otocyst, controlling sensory versus neural cell fate (86).

Tbx1 also plays a central role in craniofacial muscle development. Branchiomeric skeletal muscles are derived from the mesodermal core of the pharyngeal arches. The most anterior, or mandibular, pharyngeal arch forms normally in the absence of Tbx1 (52, 55, 109); however, the myogenic determination genes Myf5 and MyoD fail to be activated, resulting in defects in jaw muscles (55). Tbx1 regulates, but is not absolutely required for, myogenic specification in the mandibular arch since a low level of myogenic determination gene activation occurs sporadically in the absence of *Tbx1*, producing severely hypoplastic or unilateral jaw muscles. Tbx1 therefore confers robustness on myogenic specification in the mandibular arch.

Another T-box gene, TBX22, is also required for palate development and mutations cause X-linked cleft palate and ankyloglossia (11, 69). The expression pattern of murine Tbx22 is consistent with a role in the nasal septum during palatal shelf fusion, and in the tissue bridge between the tongue and floor of the mouth (44). It is not known whether *Tbx22* interacts epistatically with *Tbx1* during palate development.

#### **Cell Fate in the Pituitary**

Tbx19 (119), also known as Tpit, is expressed in the two pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC)expressing lineages of the pituitary gland, the adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) producing corticotrophs of the anterior lobe and the melanotrophs of the intermediate lobe. Loss-of-function mutations in human TBX19 cause early onset ACTH deficiency. These mutations appear to be completely recessive as heterozygous humans and mice have no ACTH deficiency and heterozygous mice have normal numbers of POMC-expressing cells in their pituitaries (84, 85). Tbx19 expression initiates prior to POMC expression during embryonic development and is also present in the adult pituitary. Although not required for lineage commitment of POMCexpressing cells, Tbx19 is required for the terminal differentiation of corticotrophs and melanotrophs and for successful upregulation of POMC. Tbx19 also represses gonadotroph differentiation, leading to the idea that this factor is responsible for alternative cell fates during pituitary development (61, 66, 85). Tbx19 activates POMC transcription in cooperation with Pitx1 (61, 66) through the recruitment of SRC/p160 coactivators to its cognate DNA target, the Tpit/Pitx regulatory element in the POMC promoter. This recruitment is mediated by direct binding between Tpit and SRC-2 and is upregulated by mitogen-activated protein kinase activity (68).

## **T-Box Genes in T Cells**

Two T-box genes of the Tbr1 subfamily, *Eomesodermin* and *Tbx21* (also known as *T-bet* for <u>T-box expressed in T</u> cells), are important in the differentiation of both T and B cells of the immune system [reviewed by (39, 103)]. Adult mice with a null mutation in *Tbx21* show a complete lack of the T helper cell subset Th1, as well as effects on B cells and natural killer cells (39, 103, 104). Homozygous *Eomesodermin* mutants die in early gestation (see section on extraembryonic tissues), but heterozygous mutants display defects in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. There are indications that *Eomesodermin* and *Tbx21* work cooperatively in governing cellular immunity (79).

Tbx21 has a critical role in initiating Th1 development from naïve precursors both by inducing the Th1 terminal differentiation pathway and also by repressing the alternative Th2 differentiation pathway. Although it is not entirely clear how this effect is mediated, it very likely involves regulation of the *interferon*- $\gamma$  locus, a definitive hallmark of the Th1 lineage, and may also involve chromatin remodeling (103). Because of this pivotal role in the differentiation of lymphoid cells, Tbx21 is an important player in immune responses to infection and in autoimmune disease processes. For example, it has key roles in the pathogenesis of lupus (80), T-cell mediated colitis and Crohn's disease (73), and metastasis of primary prostate cancer (81). There is an association between type 1 diabetes and Tbx21 polymorphisms in humans (54, 91). Mice with a null mutation in Tbx21 show airway inflammatory features characteristic of asthma, whereas human asthma patients have reduced TBX21 expression (32).

**POMC:** proopiomelanocortin

#### ACTH:

adrenocorticotrophic hormone

- 1. The T-box gene family, present in all metazoans, consists of 17 genes in mammals organized into 5 subfamilies.
- T-box genes are expressed throughout development in dynamic patterns with both unique and overlapping areas of expression.

- 3. T-box proteins bind a common element, the T-site or TBE, but promoter specificity is provided by interactions with other transcription factors and different binding kinetics.
- Mutations in T-box genes are responsible for developmental defects in many organisms, including several dominant or semidominant human developmental syndromes.
- 5. Dosage sensitivity to T-box proteins is frequently observed, with too much protein as disruptive as too little.
- 6. T-box factors function in a wide range of signaling pathways, but common themes put T-box genes downstream of BMP signaling and upstream of FGF signaling.

#### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

In vitro data have shown interactions between T-box proteins and other transcription factors in cooperative binding experiments. T-box proteins also have the potential to heterodimerize and are often expressed in overlapping patterns. A challenge for the future is to investigate the in vivo relevance of these interactions.

Little is known about how the complex expression profiles of T-box genes or the tightly regulated protein levels are achieved.

Because of the complex expression patterns of T-box genes, mutational analysis has not yet addressed all the possible developmental roles, and because early lethality often limits the exploration of later stages, new alleles, including conditional and hypomorphic alleles, will be needed.

While some developmental requirements for T-box genes have been demonstrated, relatively few downstream target genes effecting these functions have been identified.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants GM06561 and HD033082 (V.E.P.). R.K. is an INSERM Research Fellow. We thank George Stratigopoulos for helpful discussions and Elinor Pisano for bibliographic assistance.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Agarwal P, Wylie JN, Galceran J, Arkhitko O, Li C, et al. 2003. *Tbx5* is essential for forelimb bud initiation following patterning of the limb field in the mouse embryo. *Development* 130:623–33
- Agulnik SI, Garvey N, Hancock S, Ruvinsky I, Chapman DL, et al. 1996. Evolution of mouse *T-box* genes by tandem duplication and cluster dispersion. *Genetics* 144:249–54
- Agulnik SI, Papaioannou VE, Silver LM. 1998. Cloning, mapping and expression analysis of TBX15, a new member of the T-box gene family. Genomics 51:68–75
- 4. Baldini A. 2003. DiGeorge's syndrome: a gene at last. Lancet 362:1342-43
- Bamshad M, Lin RC, Law DJ, Watkins WS, Krakowiak PA, et al. 1997. Mutations in human TBX3 alter limb, apocrine and genital development in ulnar-mammary syndrome. Nat. Genet. 16:311–15

- Bärlund M, Monni O, Kononen J, Cornelison R, Torhorst J, et al. 2000. Multiple genes at 17q23 undergo amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 60:5340– 44
- 7. Basson CT, Bachinsky DR, Lin RC, Levi T, Elkins JA, et al. 1997. Mutations in human cause limb and cardiac malformations in Holt-Oram syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* 15:30–35
- Beddington RSP, Rashbass P, Wilson V. 1992. Brachyury—a gene affecting mouse gastrulation and early organogenesis. *Development (Suppl.)*:157–65
- Begemann G, Gilbert Y, Meyer A, Ingham PW. 2001. Cloning of zebrafish T-box genes *tbx15* and *tbx18* and their expression during embryonic development. *Mech. Dev.* 114:137–41
- Bongers EMHF, Duijf PHG, van Beersum SEM, Schoots J, van Kampen A, et al. 2004. Mutations in the human *TBX4* gene cause small patella syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 74:1239–48
- Braybrook C, Doudney K, Marcano ACB, Arnason A, Bjornsson A, et al. 2001. The T-box transcription factor gene *TBX22* is mutated in X-linked cleft palate and ankyloglossia. *Nat. Genet.* 29:179–83
- Bruce AEE, Howley C, Zhou Y, Vickers SL, Silver LM, et al. 2003. The maternally expressed zebrafish T-box gene *eomesodermin* regulates organizer formation. *Development* 130:5503–17
- Bruneau BG. 2002. Mouse models of cardiac chamber formation and congenital heart disease. *Trends Genet.* 18:S15–20
- 14. Bruneau BG, Logan M, Davis N, Levi T, Tabin CJ, et al. 1999. Chamber-specific cardiac expression of *Tbx5* and heart defects in Holt-Oram syndrome. *Dev. Biol.* 211:100–8
- Bruneau BG, Nemer G, Schmitt JP, Charron F, Robitaille L, et al. 2001. A murine model of Holt-Oram syndrome defines roles of the T-box transcription factor Tbx5 in cardiogenesis and disease. *Cell* 106:709–21
- Bulfone A, Wang F, Hevner R, Anderson S, Cutforth T, et al. 1998. An olfactory sensory map develops in the absence of normal projection neurons or GABAergic interneurons. *Neuron* 21:1273–82
- 17. Bush JO, Lan Y, Jiang R. 2004. The cleft lip and palate defects in *Dancer* mutant mice result from gain of function of the *Tbx10* gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101:7022–27
- Bussen M, Petry M, Schuster-Gossler K, Leitges M, Gossler A, Kispert A. 2004. The T-box transcription factor Tbx18 maintains the separation of anterior and posterior somite compartments. *Genes Dev.* 18:1209–21
- 18a. Cai CL, Zhou W, Yang L, Bu L, Qyang Y, et al. 2005. T-box genes coordinate regional rates of proliferation and regional specification during cardiogenesis. *Development* 132:2475–87
- 19. Candille SI, Van Raamsdonk CD, Chen C, Kuijper S, Chen-Tsai Y, et al. 2004. Dorsoventral patterning of the mouse coat by *Tbx15*. *PLoS Biol*. 2:0030–42
- Carlson H, Ota S, Campbell CE, Hurlin PJ. 2001. A dominant repression domain in Tbx3 mediates transcriptional repression and cell immortalization: relevance to mutations in Tbx3 that cause ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10:2403–13
- Carreira S, Dexter TJ, Yavuzer U, Easty DJ, Goding CR. 1998. Brachyury-related transcription factor Tbx2 and repression of the melanocyte-specific TRP-1 promoter. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 18:5099–108
- 22a. Chapman DL, Agulnik I, Hancock S, Silver LM, Papaioannou VE. 1996. Tbx6, a mouse T-box gene implicated in paraxial mesoderm formation at gastrulation. Dev. Biol. 180:534–42

- Chapman DL, Cooper-Morgan A, Harrelson Z, Papaioannou VE. 2003. Critical role for *Tbx6* in mesoderm specification in the mouse embryo. *Mech. Dev.* 120:837– 47
- Chapman DL, Garvey N, Hancock S, Alexiou M, Agulnik S, et al. 1996. Expression of the T-box family genes, *Tbx1–Tbx5*, during early mouse development. *Dev. Dyn.* 206:379–90
- Chapman DL, Papaioannou VE. 1998. Three neural tubes in mouse embryos with mutations in the T-box gene, *Tbx6*. *Nature* 391:695–97
- Christoffels VM, Hoogaars WMH, Tessari A, Clout DEW, Moorman AFM, Campione M. 2004. Tbox transcription factor Tbx2 represses differentiation and formation of the cardiac chambers. *Dev. Dyn.* 229:763–70
- Coll M, Seidman JG, Muller CW. 2002. Structure of the DNA-bound T-box domain of human TBX3, a transcription factor responsible for ulnar-mammary syndrome. *Structure* 10:343–56
- Conlon FL, Fairclough L, Price BMJ, Casey ES, Smith JC. 2001. Determinants of T box protein specificity. *Development* 128:3749–58
- Davenport TG, Jerome-Majewska LA, Papaioannou VE. 2003. Mammary gland, limb, and yolk sac defects in mice lacking *Tbx3*, the gene mutated in human ulnar mammary syndrome. *Development* 130:2263–73
- Dheen T, Sleptsova-Friedrich I, Xu Y, Clark M, Lerach H, et al. 1999. Zebrafish *tbx-c* functions during formation of midline structures. *Development* 126:2703–13
- Dobrovolskaia-Zavadskaia N. 1927. Sur la mortification spontanée de la queue chez la souris nouveau-née et sur l'existence d'un caractère (facteur) héréditaire "non viable". *C. R. Acad. Sci. Biol.* 97:114–16
- Fan C, Liu M, Wang Q. 2003. Functional analysis of TBX5 missense mutations associated with Holt-Oram syndrome. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:8780–85
- Finnotto S, Neurath MF, Glickman JN, Qin S, Lehr HA, et al. 2002. Development of spontaneous airway changes consistent with human asthma in mice lacking T-bet. *Science* 295:336–38
- Fujiwara T, Dunn NR, Hogan BLM. 2001. Bone morphogenetic protein 4 in the extraembryonic mesoderm is required for allantois development and the localization and survival of primordial germ cells in the mouse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98:13739– 44
- Funke B, Epstein JA, Kochilas LK, Lu MM, Pandita RK, et al. 2001. Mice overexpressing genes from the 22q11 region deleted in velo-cardio-facial syndrome/DiGeorge syndrome have middle and inner ear defects. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10:2549–56
- Garg V, Kathiriya IS, Barnes R, Schluterman MK, King IN, et al. 2003. GATA4 mutations cause human congenital heart defects and reveal an interaction with TBX5. *Nature* 424:443–47
- Ghosh TK, Packham EA, Bonser AJ, Robinson TE, Cross SJ, Brook JD. 2001. Characterization of the TBX5 binding site and analysis of mutations that cause Holt-Oram syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 10:1983–94
- Gibson-Brown JJ, Agulnik SI, Chapman DL, Alexiou M, Garvey N, et al. 1996. Evidence of a role for T-box genes in the evolution of limb morphogenesis and the specification of forelimb/hindlimb identity. *Mech. Dev.* 56:93–101
- Gibson-Brown JJ, Agulnik SI, Silver LM, Niswander L, Papaioannou VE. 1998. Involvement of T-box genes *Tbx2–Tbx5* in vertebrate limb specification and development. *Development* 125:2499–509

- Glimcher LH, Townsend MJ, Sullivan BM, Lord GM. 2004. Recent developments in the transcriptional regulation of cytolytic effector cells. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 4:900–11
- 40. Goering LM, Hoshijima K, Hug B, Bisgrove B, Kispert A, Grunwald DJ. 2003. An interacting network of T-box genes directs gene expression and fate in the zebrafish mesoderm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100:9410–15
- 41. Habets PEMH, Moorman AFM, Clout DEW, van Roon MA, Lingbeek M, et al. 2002. Cooperative action of Tbx2 and Nkx2.5 inhibits ANF expression in the atrioventricular canal: implications for cardiac chamber formation. *Genes Dev.* 16:1234–46
- 42a. Haenig B, Kispert A. 2004. Analysis of *TBX18* expression in chick embryos. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 214:407–11
- 42. Haenig B, Schmidt C, Kraus F, Pfordt M, Kispert A. 2002. Cloning and expression analysis of the chick ortholog of *TBX22*, the gene mutated in X-linked cleft palate and akyloglossia. *Mech. Dev.* 117:321–25
- 43. Harrelson Z, Kelly RG, Goldin SN, Gibson-Brown JJ, Bollag RJ, et al. 2004. *Tbx2* is essential for patterning the atrioventricular canal and for morphogenesis of the outflow tract during heart development. *Development* 131:5041–52
- 44. Herr A, Meunier D, Muller I, Rump A, Fundele R, et al. 2003. Expression of mouse Tbx22 supports its role in palatogenesis and glossogenesis. *Dev. Dyn.* 226:579–86
- 45. Herrmann BG. 1995. The mouse Brachyury (T) gene. Semin. Dev. Biol. 6:385-94
- 46. Hevner RF, Shi L, Justice N, Hsueh Y-P, Sheng M, et al. 2001. Tbr1 regulates differentiation of the preplate and layer 6. *Neuron* 29:353–66
- 47. Hiroi Y, Kudoh S, Monzen K, Ikeda Y, Yazaki Y, et al. 2001. Tbx5 associates with Nkx2–5 and synergistically promotes cardiomyocyte differentiation. *Nat. Genet.* 28:276–80
- 48. Hoogaars WM, Tessari A, Moorman AF, de Boer PA, Hagoort J, et al. 2004. The transcriptional repressor Tbx3 delineates the developing central conduction system of the heart. *Cardiovasc Res.* 62:489–99
- Hsueh Y-P, Wang T-F, Yang F-C, Sheng M. 2000. Nuclear translocation and transcription regulation by the membrane-associated guanylate kinase CASK/LIN-2. *Nature* 404:298–302
- Hu T, Yamagishi H, Maeda J, McAnally J, Yamagishi C, Srivastava D. 2004. Tbx1 regulates fibroblast growth factors in the anterior heart field through a reinforcing autoregulatory loop involving forkhead transcription factors. *Development* 131:5491–502
- Jacobs JJL, Keblusek P, Robanus-Maandag E, Kristel P, Lingbeek M, et al. 2000. Senescence bypass screen identifies *TBX2*, which represses *Cdkn2a* (*p19<sup>ARF</sup>*) and is amplified in a subset of human breast cancers. *Nat. Genet.* 26:291–99
- 52. Jerome LA, Papaioannou VE. 2001. DiGeorge syndrome phenotype in mice mutant for the T-box gene, *Tbx1. Nat. Genet.* 27:286–91
- 53. Jerome-Majewska LA, Jenkins GP, Ernstoff E, Zindy F, Sherr CJ, Papaioannou VE. 2005. *Tbx3*, the ulnar mammary syndrome gene, and *Tbx2* interact in mammary gland development through a p19Arf/p53-independent pathway. *Dev. Dyn.* In press
- 54. Juedes AE, Rodrigo E, Togher L, Glimcher LH, von Herrath MG. 2004. T-bet controls autoaggressive CD8 lymphocyte responses in type 1 diabetes. *J. Exp. Med.* 199:1153–62
- Kelly RG, Jerome-Majewska LA, Papaioannou VE. 2004. The del 22q11.2 candidate gene *Tbx1* regulates branchiomeric myogenesis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 13:2829–40
- Kispert A. 1995. The Brachyury protein: a T-domain transcription factor. Semin. Dev. Biol. 6:395–403
- 57. Kispert A, Herrmann BG. 1993. The *Brachyury* gene encodes a novel DNA binding protein. *EMBO 7.* 12:3211–20

- Kraus F, Haenig B, Kispert A. 2001. Cloning and expression analysis of the mouse T-box gene *Tbx18*. *Mech. Dev.* 100:83–86
- Krause A, Zacharias W, Camarata T, Linkhart B, Law E, et al. 2004. Tbx5 and Tbx4 transcription factors interact with a new chicken PDZ-LIM protein in limb and heart development. *Dev. Biol.* 273:106–20
- Kusch T, Storck T, Walldorf U, Reuter R. 2002. Brachyury proteins regulate target genes through modular binding sites in a cooperative fashion. *Genes Dev.* 16:518– 29
- Lamolet B, Pulichino A-M, Lamonerie T, Gauthier Y, Brue T, et al. 2001. A pituitary cell-restricted T box factor, Tpit, activates POMC transcription in cooperation with Pitx homeoproteins. *Cell* 104:849–59
- Lanctot C, Lamolet B, Drouin J. 1997. The *bicoid*-related homeoprotein *Ptx1* defines the most anterior domain of the embryo and differentiates posterior from anterior lateral mesoderm. *Development* 124:2807–17
- Liao J, Kochilas L, Nowotschin S, Arnold JS, Aggarwal VS, et al. 2004. Full spectrum of malformations in velo-cardio-facial syndrome/DiGeorge syndrome mouse models by altering Tbx1 dosage. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 13:1577–85
- Lindsay EA, Vitelli F, Su H, Morishima M, Huynh T, et al. 2001. *Tbx1* haploinsufficiency in the DiGeorge syndrome region causes aortic arch defects in mice. *Nature* 410:97– 101
- Lingbeek ME, Jacobs JJL, van Lohuizen M. 2002. The T-box repressors TBX2 and TBX3 specifically regulate the tumor-suppressor p14<sup>ARF</sup> via a variant T-site in the initiator. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277:26120–27
- Liu J, Lin C, Gleiberman A, Ohgi KA, Herman T, et al. 2001. *Tbx19*, a tissue-selective regulator of POMC gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98:8674–79
- Mahlamäki EH, Bärlund M, Tanner M, Gorunova L, Höglund M, et al. 2002. Frequent amplification of 8q24, 11q, 17q, and 20q-specific genes in pancreatic cancer. *Genes Chro*mosomes Cancer 35:353–58
- Maira M, Couture C, Le Martelot G, Pulichino A-M, Bilodeau S, Drouin J. 2003. The T-box factor Tpit recruits SRC/p160 co-activators and mediates hormone action. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278:46523–32
- 69. Marçano AC, Doudney K, Braybrook C, Squires R, Patton MA, et al. 2004. *TBX22* mutations are a frequent cause of cleft palate. *J. Med. Genet.* 41:68–74
- Minguillon C, Del Buono J, Logan MP. 2005. *Tbx5* and *Tbx4* are not sufficient to determine limb-specific morphologies but have common roles in initiating limb outgrowth. *Dev. Cell* 8:75–84
- Muller CW, Herrmann BG. 1997. Crystallographic structure of the T domain-DNA complex of the *Brachyury* transcription factor. *Nature* 389:884–88
- 72. Naiche LA, Papaioannou VE. 2003. Loss of *Tbx4* blocks hindlimb development and affects vascularization and fusion of the allantois. *Development* 130:2681–93
- Neurath MF, Weigmann B, Finotto S, Glickman JN, Nieuwenhuis E, et al. 2002. The transcription fator T-bet regulates mucosal T cell activation in experimental colitis and Crohn's disease. *J. Exp. Med.* 195:1129–43
- 74. Nikaido M, Kawakami A, Sawada A, Furutani-Seiki M, Takeda H, Araki K. 2002. *Tbx24*, encoding a T-box protein, is mutated in the zebrafish somite-segmentation mutant *fused somites*. *Nat. Genet.* 31:195–99
- Papaioannou VE. 2001. T-box genes in development: from hydra to humans. Int. Rev. Cytol. 207:1–70

A comprehensive review of publications through 2000 of vertebrate and invertebrate T-box genes, their expression patterns, mutations and functions.

- 76. Papaioannou VE, Goldin SN. 2003. Introduction to the *T-box* genes and their roles in developmental signaling pathways. In *Inborn Errors of Development. The Molecular Basis* of *Clinical Disorders of Morphogenesis. Oxford Monogr. Med. Genet. No. 49*, ed. CJ Epstein, RP Erickson, A Wynshaw-Boris, pp. 686–98. Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press
- Papapetrou C, Edwards YH, Sowden JC. 1997. The T transcription factor functions as a dimer and exhibits a common human polymorphism Gly-177-Asp in the conserved DNA-binding domain. *FEBS Lett.* 409:201–6
- Paxton C, Zhao H, Chin Y, Langner K, Reecy J. 2002. Murine Tbx2 contains domains that activate and repress gene transcription. *Gene* 283:117–24
- Pearce EL, Mullen AC, Martins GA, Krawczyk CM, Hutchins AS, et al. 2003. Control of effector CD8<sup>+</sup> T Cell function by the transcription factor *Eomesodermin. Science* 302:1041–43
- 80. Peng SL, Szabo SJ, Glimcher LH. 2002. T-bet regulates IgG class switching and pathogenic autoantibody production. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99:5545–50
- 81. Peng SL, Townsend MJ, Hecht JL, White IA, Glimcher LH. 2004. T-bet regulates metastasis rate in a murine model of primary prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 64:452–55
- Piotrowski T, Ahn D-G, Schilling TF, Nair S, Ruvinsky I, et al. 2003. The zebrafish van gogb mutation disrupts tbx1, which is involved in the DiGeorge deletion syndrome in humans. Development 130:5043–52
- 83. Prince S, Carreira S, Vance KW, Abrahams A, Goding CR. 2004. Tbx2 directly represses the expression of the p21<sup>WAF1</sup> cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor. *Cancer Res.* 64:1669–74
- Pulichino AM, Vallette-Kasic S, Couture C, Gauthier Y, Brue T, et al. 2003. Human and mouse *TPIT* gene mutations cause early onset pituitary ACTH deficiency. *Genes Dev.* 17:711–16
- Pulichino AM, Vallette-Kasic S, Tsai JP-Y, Couture C, Gauthier Y, Drouin J. 2003. Tpit determines alternate fates during pituitary cell differentiation. *Genes Dev.* 17:738–47
- Raft S, Nowotschin S, Liao J, Morrow BE. 2004. Suppression of neural fate and control of inner ear morphogenesis by *Tbx1*. *Development* 131:1801–12
- Rallis C, Bruneau BG, Del Buono J, Seidman CE, Seidman JG, et al. 2003. *Tbx5* is required for forelimb bud formation and continued outgrowth. *Development* 130:2741– 51
- Rodriguez-Esteban C, Tsukui T, Yonei S, Magallon J, Tamura K, Izpisua Belmonte JC. 1999. The T-box genes *Tbx4* and *Tbx5* regulate limb outgrowth and identity. *Nature* 398:814–18
- Russ AP, Wattler S, Colledge WH, Aparicio SAJR, Carlton MBL, et al. 2000. *Eomeso*dermin is required for mouse trophoblast development and mesoderm formation. *Nature* 404:95–98
- Sakiyama J, Yamagishi A, Kuroiwa A. 2003. *Tbx4-Fgf10* system controls lung bud formation during chicken embryonic development. *Development* 130:1225–34
- Sasaki Y, Ihara K, Matsuura N, Kohno H, Nagafuchi S, et al. 2004. Identification of a novel type 1 diabetes susceptibility gene, *T-bet. Hum. Genet.* 115:177–84
- 92. Shang J, Luo Y, Clayton DA. 1997. *Backfoot* is a novel homeobox gene expressed in the mesenchyme of developing hind limb. *Dev. Dyn.* 209:242–53
- Shedlovsky A, King TR, Dove WF. 1988. Saturation germ line mutagenesis of the murine *t* region including a lethal allele at the quaking locus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 85:180–84
- Showell C, Binder O, Conlon FL. 2004. T-box genes in early embryogenesis. *Dev. Dyn.* 229:201–18

- Sinclair CS, Adem C, Naderi A, Soderberg CL, Johnson M, et al. 2002. TBX2 is preferentially amplified in BRCA1- and BRCA2-related breast tumors. Cancer Res. 62:3587– 91
- Singh MK, Petry M, Haenig B, Lescher B, Leitges M, Kispert A. 2005. The T-box transcription factor Tbx15 is required for skeletal development. *Mech. Dev.* 122:131– 44
- 96a. Singh MK, Christoffels VM, Dias JM, Trowe MO, Petry M, et al. 2005. Tbx20 is essential for cardiac chamber differentiation and repression of Tbx2. Development 132:2697–707
- Sinha S, Abraham S, Gronostajski RM, Campbell CE. 2000. Differential DNA binding and transcription modulation by three T-box proteins, T, TBX1 and TBX2. *Gene* 258:15–29
- Stennard FA, Costa MW, Elliott DA, Rankin S, Haast SJ, et al. 2003. Cardiac T-box factor Tbx20 directly interacts with Nkx2–5, GATA4, and GATA5 in regulation of gene expression in the developing heart. *Dev. Biol.* 262:206–24
- Stennard FA, Costa MW, Lai D, Biben C, Furtado MB, et al. 2005. Murine T-box transcription factor Tbx20 acts as a repressor during heart development, and is essential for adult heart integrity, function and adaption. *Development* 132:2451–62
- 100. Sun G, Lewis LE, Huang X, Nguyen Q, Prince C, Huang T. 2004. TBX5, a gene mutated in Holt-Oram syndrome, is regulated through a GC box and T-box binding elements (TBEs). J. Cell. Biochem. 92:189–99
- Suzuki T, Takeuchi J, Koshiba-Takeuchi K, Ogura T. 2004. *Tbx* genes specify posterior digit identity through Shh and BMP signaling. *Dev. Cell* 6:43–53
- Szabo SJ, Kim ST, Costa GL, Zhang X, Fathman CG, Glimcher LH. 2000. A novel transcription factor, T-bet, directs Th1 lineage commitment. *Cell* 100:655–69
- Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Peng SL, Glimcher LH. 2003. Molecular mechanisms regulating TH1 immune responses. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 21:713–58
- 104. Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Stemmann C, Satoskar AR, Sleckman BP, Glimcher LH. 2002. Distinct effects of T-bet in  $T_{\rm H}1$  lineage commitment and IFN-gamma production in CD4 and CD8 T cells. *Science* 295:338–42
- 105. Takeuchi JK, Koshiba-Takeuchi K, Matsumoto K, Vogel-Höpker A, Naitoh-Matsuo M, et al. 1999. *Tbx5* and *Tbx4* genes determine the wing/leg identity of limb buds. *Nature* 398:810–14
- 106. Takeuchi JK, Ohgi M, Koshiba-Takeuchi K, Shiratori H, Sakaki I, et al. 2003. Tbx5 specifies the left/right ventricles and ventricular septum position during cardiogenesis. Development 130:5953–64
- 106a. Takeuchi JK, Koshiba-Takeuchi K, Suzuki T, Kamimura M, Ogura K, Ogura T. 2003. Tbx5 and Tbx4 trigger limb initiation through activation of the Wnt/Fgf signaling cascade. *Development* 130:2729–39
- Tanaka M, Tickle C. 2004. Tbx18 and boundary formation in chick somite and wing development. *Dev. Biol.* 268:470–80
- 108. Vance KW, Carreira S, Brosch G, Goding CR. 2005. Tbx2 is overexpressed and plays an important role in maintaining proliferation and suppression of senescence in melanomas. *Cancer Res.* 65: In press
- 109. Vitelli F, Morishima M, Taddei I, Lindsay EA, Baldini A. 2002. Tbx1 mutation causes multiple cardiovascular defects and disrupts neural crest and cranial nerve migratory pathways. Hum. Mol. Genet. 11:915–22
- Vitelli F, Taddei I, Morishima M, Meyers EN, Lindsay EA, Baldini A. 2002. A genetic link between *Tbx1* and fibroblast growth factor signaling. *Development* 129:4605–11

- 111. Vitelli F, Viola A, Morishima M, Pramparo T, Baldini A, Lindsay E. 2003. *TBX1* is required for inner ear morphogenesis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12:2041–48
- Wang G-S, Hong C-J, Yen T-Y, Huang H-Y, Ou Y, et al. 2004. Transcriptional modification by a CASK-interacting nucleosome assembly protein. *Neuron* 42:113–28
- 113. Watabe-Rudolph M, Schlautmann N, Papaioannou VE, Gossler A. 2002. The mouse rib-vertebrae mutation is a hypomorphic *Tbx6* allele. *Mech. Dev.* 119:251–56
- 114. White PH, Farkas DR, McFadden EE, Chapman DL. 2003. Defective somite patterning in mouse embryos with reduced levels of *Tbx6*. *Development* 130:1681–90
- 115. Xanthos JB, Kofron M, Wylie C, Heasman J. 2001. Maternal VegT is the initiator of a molecular nework specifying endoderm in *Xenopus laevis*. *Development* 128:167–80
- 116. Xu H, Morishima M, Wylie JN, Schwartz RJ, Bruneau BG, et al. 2004. *Tbx1* has a dual role in the morphogenesis of the cardiac outflow tract. *Development* 131:3217–27
- 117. Yamada M, Revelli J-P, Eichele G, Barron M, Schwartz RJ. 2000. Expression of chick *Tbx-2*, *Tbx-3*, and *Tbx-5* genes during early heart development: evidence for BMP2 induction of *Tbx2*. *Dev. Biol.* 228:95–105
- 118. Yamagishi H, Maeda J, Hu T, McAnally J, Conway SJ, et al. 2003. *Tbx1* is regulated by tissue-specific forkhead proteins through a common Sonic hedgehog-responsive enhancer. *Genes Dev.* 17:269–81
- 119. Yi C-H, Terrett JA, Li Q-Y, Ellington K, Packham EA, et al. 1999. Identification, mapping, and phylogenomic analysis of four new human members of the T-box gene family: *EOMES*, *TBX6*, *TBX18*, and *TBX19*. *Genomics* 55:10–20
- 120. Zaragoza MV, Lewis LE, Sun G, Wang E, Li L, et al. 2004. Identification of the TBX5 transactivating domain and the nuclear localization signal. *Gene* 330:9–18

## RELATED RESOURCES

Szabo SJ, Sullivan BM, Peng SL, Glimcher LH. 2003. Molecular mechanisms regulating Th1 immune responses. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 21:713–58

# A

υ

Annual Review of Genetics

Volume 39, 2005

## Contents

John Maynard Smith <i>Richard E. Michod</i>	1
The Genetics of Hearing and Balance in Zebrafish <i>Teresa Nicolson</i>	9
Immunoglobulin Gene Diversification <i>Nancy Maizels</i>	.23
Complexity in Regulation of Tryptophan Biosynthesis in Bacillus subtilis Paul Gollnick, Paul Babitzke, Alfred Antson, and Charles Yanofsky	.47
Cell-Cycle Control of Gene Expression in Budding and Fission Yeast <i>Jürg Bähler</i>	.69
Comparative Developmental Genetics and the Evolution of Arthropod Body Plans David R. Angelini and Thomas C. Kaufman	.95
Concerted and Birth-and-Death Evolution of Multigene Families Masatoshi Nei and Alejandro P. Rooney	121
Drosophila as a Model for Human Neurodegenerative Disease Julide Bilen and Nancy M. Bonini	153
Molecular Mechanisms of Germline Stem Cell Regulation Marco D. Wong, Zhigang Jin, and Ting Xie	173
Molecular Signatures of Natural Selection <i>Rasmus Nielsen</i>	197
T-Box Genes in Vertebrate Development L.A. Naiche, Zachary Harrelson, Robert G. Kelly, and Virginia E. Papaioannou2	219
Connecting Mammalian Genome with Phenome by ENU Mouse Mutagenesis: Gene Combinations Specifying the Immune System Peter Papathanasiou and Christopher C. Goodnow	241
Evolutionary Genetics of Reproductive Behavior in <i>Drosophila</i> : Connecting the Dots <i>Patrick M. O'Grady and Therese Anne Markow</i>	263

Sex Determination in the Teleost Medaka, <i>Oryzias latipes</i> Masura Matsuda
Orthologs, Paralogs, and Evolutionary Genomics <i>Eugene V. Koonin</i>
The Moss <i>Physcomitrella patens David Cove</i>
A Mitochondrial Paradigm of Metabolic and Degenerative Diseases, Aging, and Cancer: A Dawn for Evolutionary Medicine <i>Douglas C. Wallace</i>
Switches in Bacteriophage Lambda Development Amos B. Oppenheim, Oren Kobiler, Joel Stavans, Donald L. Court, and Sankar Adhya
Nonhomologous End Joining in Yeast James M. Daley, Phillip L. Palmbos, Dongliang Wu, and Thomas E. Wilson
Plasmid Segregation Mechanisms         Gitte Ebersbach and Kenn Gerdes         453
Use of the Zebrafish System to Study Primitive and Definitive Hematopoiesis <i>Jill L.O. de Jong and Leonard I. Zon</i>
Mitochondrial Morphology and Dynamics in Yeast and Multicellular Eukaryotes <i>Koji Okamoto and Janet M. Shaw</i>
RNA-Guided DNA Deletion in Tetrahymena: An RNAi-Based Mechanism for Programmed Genome Rearrangements <i>Meng-Chao Yao and Ju-Lan Chao</i>
Molecular Genetics of Axis Formation in Zebrafish         Alexander F. Schier and William S. Talbot         561
Chromatin Remodeling in Dosage Compensation John C. Lucchesi, William G. Kelly, and Barbara Panning615

## INDEXES

Subject Index	53
---------------	----

## ERRATA

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Genetics* chapters may be found at http://genet.annualreviews.org/errata.shtml