Review Article TheScientificWorldJOURNAL (2007) 7, 2021–2030 TSW Child Health & Human Development ISSN 1537-744X; DOI 10.1100/tsw.2007.315



Tackling Adolescent Substance Abuse in Hong Kong: Where We Should and Should Not Go

Daniel T.L. Shek^{1,2,3}

¹Centre for Quality of Life, Hong Kong Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; ²Kiang Wu Nursing College of Macau; ³Social Welfare Practice and Research Centre, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

E-mail: danielshek@cuhk.edu.hk

Received November 15, 2007; Revised December 1, 2007; Accepted December 2, 2007; Published December 19, 2007

In the 2007 Policy Address, the Chief Executive of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C. expressed the Administration's concern about adolescent substance abuse and proposed to form a high-level interdepartmental task force to tackle the problem in a holistic manner. In this paper, the author presents his observations about adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong, and outlines the risk factors and related strategies based on the ecological perspective that the Government should consider in order to tackle the problem of adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong. Furthermore, the directions where the Government should and should not go are discussed.

KEYWORDS: substance abuse, risk factors, Chinese, Hong Kong

INTRODUCTION

A survey of the websites of several international organizations (e.g., Office on Drugs and Crime of the United Nations, International Narcotics Control Board, National Institute of Drug Abuse in the United States, and European Monitoring Center for Drugs and Drug Addiction) shows that illicit drug use is a thorny global problem to be resolved. Probably because of the influence of popular culture and youth subculture, substance abuse among young people has also become an acute global problem. As Hong Kong is an international city where information flow (including those related to psychotropic drugs) is very quick, adolescent substance abuse is also a grave concern for Hong Kong[1,2].

An examination of the substance abuse figures in the past 20 years showed that there were two peaks in the substance abusers figures reported to the Central Registry of Drug Abuse (CRDA) maintained by the Narcotics Division of the Government. The first peak was in the mid-1990s, which was mainly related to easy access to tranquilizers not tightly controlled by legislations. The second peak was in the early 2000s, which was closely related to the rave party culture. In fact, these peaks mirrored the global trend of abusing nonopiate psychotropic substances, and the growing belief among young people that psychotropic substance abuse is nonaddictive and a valid choice of life[3].

Judging from the figures reported to the CRDA in recent years (see Table 1), it is clear that the present moment is not the worst time for Hong Kong in terms of adolescent substance abuse. In fact, this situation can be attributed to the multiprolonged approach adopted by the Narcotics Division and the

TABLE 1
Substance Abuse Figures Reported in the Central Registry of Drug Abuse

Year	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
All drug abusers	17,635	16,992	16,314	18,335	18,513	17,966	15,790	14,854	14,113	13,204
Mean age	34	34	<i>35</i>	<i>32</i>	33	34	34	<i>35</i>	<i>35</i>	34
Male	15,398	14,838	14,147	15,355	15,640	14,780	13,272	12,200	11,448	10,670
% of all	87.3	87.3	86.7	83.7	84.5	82.3	84.1	82.1	81.1	80.8
Mean age	35	<i>35</i>	36	34	34	35	36	36	<i>37</i>	36
Female	2,237	2,154	2,167	2,980	2,873	3,186	2,518	2,654	2,665	2,534
% of all	12.7	12.7	13.3	16.3	15.5	17.7	15.9	17.9	18.9	19.2
Mean age	27	27	28	25	26	27	28	28	28	27
Young persons aged under 21	3,150	2,841	2,482	4,020	3,902	3,002	2,207	2,186	2,276	2,549
% of all	17.9	16.7	15.2	21.9	21.1	16.7	14.0	14.7	16.1	19.3
Mean age	18	18	18	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Newly reported persons	3,614	3,417	3,135	5,395	5,644	5,241	4,444	3,760	3,723	3,482
% of all	20.5	20.1	19.2	29.4	30.5	29.2	28.1	25.3	26.4	26.4
Mean age	24	24	25	23	23	24	25	24	23	23

Action Committee against Narcotics of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C. in the past years. Nevertheless, although figures on adolescent substance abusers reported to the CRDA presented in Table 1 appeared to stabilize and decline in recent years, there are several observations that deserve our attention. First, as shown in Table 2, drugs abused by young people under the age of 21 were mainly psychotropic substances, particularly ketamine. Actually, ketamine abuse in Hong Kong could be regarded as quite unique because this drug is not commonly abused in other parts of the world. Second, with the return of Hong Kong to China in 1997, traveling between Hong Kong and Shenzhen in mainland China has become very popular, hence creating the problem of cross-border adolescent substance abuse. Actually, with the use of electronic home-return permits, adolescents can easily go back to Shenzhen to abuse drugs without leaving any trace in their travel documents. Hence, it is extremely difficult for parents to know whether their children have returned to Shenzhen. Finally, as the Hong Kong police force has stepped up action against adolescent substance abuse in rave parties, the venues of drug abuse among young people have become more diversified. Actually, some research studies showed that adolescents abused drugs in their homes, an emerging trend that deserves our attention[4].

Against this background, in his 2007 Policy Address, the Chief Executive of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region voiced his concern about adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong in Paragraph 86 of the Address, which states: "Hong Kong and many other advanced cities face similar social problems, among which youth drug abuse figures prominently. A lack of awareness, coupled with peer influence and curiosity, has led many young people to believe that taking psychotropic drugs is not that serious or even trendy. Drug abuse is dangerous to health as well as a criminal offence. I am deeply concerned about the problem of juvenile drug abuse because young people are the pillars of our future. We must tackle this issue with a multi-pronged approach. Otherwise, our society will definitely pay a high price in the future. To this end, I will appoint the Secretary for Justice, the incumbent Deputy Chairman of the Fight Crime Committee, to lead a high level inter-departmental task force which will make use of the existing anti-crime and anti-narcotics networks to consolidate strategies to combat juvenile drug abuse from a holistic perspective. The task force's terms of reference covers a wide range of areas, such as preventive education and publicity, treatment and rehabilitation, law enforcement, research and external co-operation. Task force members will do their best to mobilize various government departments and the local community to tackle juvenile drug abuse"[5].

TABLE 2
Type of Drug Abused in the Central Registry of Drug Abuse
,, , , , , ,

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
(1) Drug abusers with type of d	rugs reporte	d								
No.	16,496	15,746	15,203	16,424	16,333	15,939	13,960	14,527	13,931	13,130
(2) Heroin abusers										
No.	14,291	13,588	13,003	12,188	11,575	11,826	10,357	10,147	9,757	8,101
% of (1)	86.6	86.3	85.5	74.2	70.9	74.2	74.2	69.8	70.0	61.7
(3) Psychotropic substance abu	isers									
No.	3,488	3,412	3,549	5,561	6,022	5,581	5,219	6,196	6,335	7,364
% of (1)	21.1	21.7	23.3	33.9	36.9	35.0	37.4	42.7	45.5	56.1
Ketamine abusers										
% of (1)	*	0.0	0.2	9.8	16.8	16.9	14.0	17.8	15.1	23.2
Triazolam/Midazolam/Zopiclone	e abusers									
% of (1)	5.9	<i>5.7</i>	6.1	5.6	5.5	7.8	11.2	12.1	14.6	16.9
Cannabis abusers										
% of (1)	8.0	8.9	8.5	8.7	7.5	8.1	7.5	7.7	8.2	7.4
MDMA (Ecstasy) abusers										
% of (1)	0.4	0.4	2.3	14.2	13.9	8.6	7.0	8.8	12.2	11.6
Methylamphetamine (Ice) abus	ers									
% of (1)	5.1	6.0	6.7	5.9	5.8	3.8	4.1	4.4	5.4	6.5
Cough medicine abusers										
% of (1)	2.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.4	3.9	4.5	5.1	5.7

^{*}Percentage less than 0.05

How should the Hong Kong Government and community, particularly youth workers and researchers on adolescent substance abuse, respond to the Government's concern regarding tackling juvenile drug abuse? In this paper, three aspects of the issue are examined. First, ecological factors within the Hong Kong context that contribute to adolescent substance abuse are reviewed. Second, strategies and action plans based on the risk factors identified are examined. Finally, the directions that the Government of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region should and should not go regarding tackling adolescent substance abuse problems are discussed.

The Quest for an Ecological Understanding of Adolescent Substance Abuse

At the very beginning, a proper understanding of the issue of adolescent substance abuse is in order. Although adolescent substance abuse can be understood in terms of different perspectives, the ecological perspective focusing on risk and protective factors has commonly been used to guide intervention strategies[6]. There are numerous research studies showing that risk factors at the individual level (e.g., high sensation seeking, meaninglessness), family level (e.g., growing up in nonintact families), school level (e.g., low academic achievement, poor peer relationships), and community level (e.g., growing up in deprived communities) increase the chance of drug abuse in adolescents[7,8]. On the other hand, there is also a vast literature showing that adolescents experiencing adversity do not necessarily end up as failures. Research findings showed that adolescents may adjust well despite the presence of adversity[9]. According to Hauser[10], protective factors are "key constructs in conceptualizations of resilience" that "moderate the effects of individual vulnerabilities or environmental hazards, so that a given developmental trajectory

reflects more adaptation in a given domain than would be the case if protective processes were not operating" (p. 4). Based on a review of the resilience literature, Hauser outlined several categories of protective factors, including individual (e.g., healthy attribution style, self-efficacy, hope, faith), relational (e.g., supportive home environment), community (e.g., good schools and other community assets), and general (e.g., good fortune) protective factors. In a review of stress, coping, and resilience in children and youth, Smith and Carlson[11] similarly suggested that individual factors (e.g., optimism and faith), family factors (e.g., parental support and guidance), and external support systems (e.g., supportive nonparent adults) are important protective factors for children and adolescents experiencing environmental hazards. Utilizing research findings related to risk and protective processes in adolescent resilience, developers of positive youth development programs have attempted to reduce the impact of risk factors, but promote the influence of protective factors via the developed programs. Historically, the utilization of risk and protective factors has shaped the "prevention science" perspective[12]. Obviously, Hong Kong can learn much from this literature, and devise relevant policies and services to tackle the problem of adolescent substance abuse, which is consistent with the "holistic perspective" emphasis in the 2007 Policy Address.

With reference to the research findings based on the ecological perspective, there are factors at different levels that contribute to the worrying adolescent substance abuse trend in Hong Kong. On the personal level, research findings showed that several factors predispose the adolescent substance abuse problem. First, research findings have consistently showed that curiosity was a major factor leading to substance abuse among young people. Second, with material affluence and the rise of the number of nuclear families in Hong Kong, young people generally grow up without much turmoil. As such, they can be considered as growing up in a "greenhouse", where their relatively smooth upbringing would make them difficult to handle life adversities. Unfortunately, except for some isolated effort, there are no systematic life skills and positive youth development programs in the formal curriculum in Hong Kong that help adolescents to cope with life adversities[13]. Third, there are researches showing that a lack of life meaning is closely related to adolescent substance abuse. As Hong Kong has high social stress and there is a morbid emphasis on achievement[14], developing positive values in searching for life meaning may be difficult. Finally, adolescents with underachievement and nonengagement (i.e., adolescents without study and work) are also prone to substance abuse[15].

There are two factors on the interpersonal system that increase the risk of adolescent substance abuse. First, the CRDA data have consistently showed that peer influence is a strong factor contributing to adolescent substance abuse. In fact, with the rapid growth of the youth subculture and intensification of virtual communication among young people (e.g., creating blogs that are only accessible by peers, but not parents), undesirable peer influence is an important factor that may contribute to adolescent substance abuse[16]. Second, with the growing number of nuclear families (i.e., families with few children) in Hong Kong, young people have fewer opportunities to practice interpersonal skills. Hence, they are easily susceptible to the influence of negative interpersonal experiences (e.g., conflict resolution and break up in love affairs) and they take drugs to cope with negative experiences associated with interpersonal difficulties.

There are several factors on the family level that are relevant to adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong. First, with more parents working across the border, parenting in such families is weakened. Second, with reference to the rising number of cross-border marriages (old husband and young wife syndrome), adolescent children in such families are easily influenced by parental marital problems and parenting problems. Third, with the rise of divorce rates in the past decades, parental absence (both physically and psychologically) is a prominent problem in nonintact families. There are research findings showing that adolescent developmental outcomes are worse in nonintact families than in intact families. Fourth, there are research findings showing that parental supervision in adolescent children was loose[17,18] and parents focused more on academic excellence at the expense of value development in adolescents[19]. Finally, according to the Social Development Index project undertaken by the Hong Kong Council of Social Service, there has been a substantial drop in family solidarity. From a family perspective, the problem of adolescent substance abuse should be understood in terms of dysfunctional family processes.

On the societal level, there are several indigenous factors that contribute to adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong. First, besides substance abuse, the problems of pathological gambling[20] and internet

addiction[21] have become growing problems in Hong Kong. With the growing addiction culture that tends to create the false impression that addiction is basically not bad and normal, young people's attitudes to psychotropic drugs are adversely affected. Second, with the popularity of postmodern thoughts that posit that there is no absolute standard that differentiates "right" from "wrong", young people may simply think that substance abuse is a trendy life style. Third, availability of drugs is a factor contributing to adolescent substance abuse. In particular, the light punishment for cases involving psychotropic drugs would not have deterring effects for the sellers. For example, some retailers of cough medicine abuse actually include the possible fine that they have to pay in their operating cost[4]. Fourth, with easy travel across the border, it is easy for young people to purchase and abuse drugs in the mainland with very low cost involved. In addition, the use of electronic home-return permits would make it virtually impossible for the parents to discover that their children have returned to Shenzhen. Fifth, the growing poor population creates more poor adolescents who usually have limited opportunities for personal development and they are prone to academic underachievement. Finally, growing pessimistic values and beliefs about having upward social mobility among the poor is a factor contributing to adolescent substance abuse. A summary of the risk factors contributing to adolescent substance abuse can be seen in Table 3.

TABLE 3
Summary of Ecological Factors Contributing to Adolescent Substance Abuse in Hong Kong

Ecological System	Risk Factors
Personal	Curiosity
	Lack of psychosocial competencies and coping skills (growing up in a "greenhouse")
	Underachievement
	Nonengagement
	Hopelessness, emptiness, and lack of life meaning
Interpersonal	Undesirable peer influence in relation to growing emphasis of peer recognition (e.g., blogs)
	Few siblings in the family to practice psychosocial skills (e.g., conflict resolution)
School	Underachievement
	Undesirable after-school activities
Family	Cross-border working parents
	Cross-border marriages (old husband and young wife syndrome)
	Marital disruption and parental absence (both physically and psychologically)
	Loose parental supervision (morbid focus on academic excellence at the expense of value development)
	Acute decline in family solidarity
Societal	Growing addiction culture
	Postmodern youth culture
	Availability of drugs (light punishment for cases involving psychotropic substances); fine calculated in the cost of operating drug retailing business
	Availability of drugs (cross-border consumption)
	Pathological emphasis on achievement leading to youth demoralization and mental health problems
	Growing poor adolescent population
	Growing pessimistic values and beliefs about having upward social mobility

Strategies and Action Plan to Tackle the Problem

With reference to the factors that contribute to the adolescent substance abuse problem, possible strategies that can be adopted to tackle the problem are summarized in Table 4. There are several points in this table that deserve our attention. First, as there is no systematic positive youth development or drug prevention programs utilizing a curricular approach in the formal curriculum, there is a great need to consider this issue. It should be noted that without systematic coverage of related topics in the curriculum, there is no guarantee that children and adolescents get adequate inoculation against substance abuse[6]. Although the Narcotics Division conducts regular school talks, such talks are usually "one shot" in nature and many schools simply express that their students do not have the need for substance abuse prevention. There are many studies in the West showing that effective substance abuse prevention programs can reduce substance abuse behavior in the program participants[22]. As stated by Weissberg[23], successful positive youth development programs can improve the lives of millions of school children. As Hong Kong is an international city, the Hong Kong Government has to consider seriously the implementation of substance abuse prevention programs and/or positive youth development programs in a systematic and mandatory manner for children and adolescents in Hong Kong.

Second, it is obvious that there are many family factors contributing to substance abuse problems in young people. In fact, a review of both the Western and Chinese literature showed that many family factors, including systemic and dyadic parent-child factors, were related to adolescent delinquency and substance abuse[24]. Moreover, healthy family conditions are social capital that can promote healthy adolescent development and protective factors that can help adolescents face adversity. Furthermore, morbid emphasis on academic achievement and relative negligence of the holistic development of children in contemporary Hong Kong culture[19] also deserves our attention of how such cultural attributes can be changed. Third, ecological analyses in Table 3 showed that factors at different levels are associated with adolescent substance abuse. The prevention implications of this analysis are that relevant factors on different levels should be included and it is rather futile to tackle the adolescent substance abuse problem by simply changing factors at one level (e.g., increasing young people's knowledge about drugs and changing their attitudes at the personal level). Finally, prevention work at different levels, particularly secondary prevention and primary prevention, should be stepped up.

Obviously, different time frames and priorities would be involved in formulating policies and services based on the strategies outlined in Table 4. Based on the above discussion, it is suggested that the following action plan be considered:

- There is an urgent need to re-examine the sentencing guidelines for offences related to psychotropic substances to reflect the seriousness of the related offences.
- There is an urgent need to re-examine the immigration policy for allowing children under 18 to use the home-return permits to return to China alone, particularly for cases under probation orders/care or protection orders, and/or with previous drug addiction history.
- Systematic mechanisms related to early identification of adolescents with high risk for substance abuse should be devised in the family, school, and community contexts.
- Proactive mechanisms for early identification of at-risk families (parental absence, families easily used by adolescents as venues for substance abuse) should be examined. Promotion of family competence and/or provision of alternative healthy family environment are possible intervention directions that should be explored. As there is a lack of coordinated family policies in Hong Kong, it is a prior area on which the Government should focus in the near future.

TABLE 4
Strategies with Reference to the Personal, Interpersonal, School, Family, and Societal Systems

Ecological System	Risk Factors	Possible Strategies					
Personal	Curiosity	Systematic drug education					
	Lack of psychosocial competencies and coping skills (growing up in a "greenhouse")	Systematic and holistic positive youth development programs (severely lacking in the junior secondary school years)					
	Underachievement	Services focusing on creating success experiences for high-risk youth					
	Nonengagement	Engagement services					
	Hopelessness, emptiness, and lack of life meaning	Systematic and holistic positive youth development programs					
Interpersonal	Undesirable peer influence in relation to growing emphasis of peer recognition (e.g., blogs)	Systematic and holistic positive youth development programs					
	Few siblings in family to practice psychosocial skills	Systematic and holistic positive youth development programs					
School	Underachievement	Creating success experiences for underachievers					
	Undesirable after-school activities	Meaningful after-school activities					
Family	Cross-border working parents	Parenting education					
	Cross-border marriages (old husband and young wife syndrome)	Fine tuning of population policy; family life education					
	Parental absence (both physically and psychologically)	Mentorship or surrogate parents; institutional care					
	Loose parental supervision (morbid focus on academic excellence at the expense of value development)	Systematic parenting education					
	Parental marital disruption	Mandatory parenting education for divorced cases					
	Dropping of family solidarity	Strengthening family competence					
Societal	Growing addiction culture (pathological gambling, internet addiction, substance abuse)	Systematic drug education; positive youth development programs					
	Growing poor population	Mandatory parenting education for Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) recipients					
	Growing pessimistic values and beliefs about having upward social mobility	Specialized positive youth development program; mentorship program; subcultural changes					
	Postmodern culture (e.g., youtube.com)	Antipostmodern culture (e.g., godtube.com)					
	Availability of drugs (light punishment for cases involving psychotropic substances); fine calculated in the cost of operating drug retailing business	Revision of sentencing guidelines; stepping up law enforcement action					
	Availability of drugs (cross-border consumption)	Revision of immigration policy: parental consent must be sought for children under 18 to use homereturn permits to return to China alone, particularly for cases under court orders and/or with previous drug addiction history					
	Pathological emphasis on achievement leading to youth demoralization and mental health problems	Community education; a new "cultural revolution" toward holistic development of young people; systematic positive youth development programs					

- Promotion of parenting education in the general population and specialized parenting education for parents with special needs (e.g., CSSA families and single-parent families) regarding substance abuse prevention.
- The Government should seriously consider including systematic and universal drug education and positive youth development programs in the junior secondary school years. Without such an inoculation effort, a wide range of adolescent developmental problems could emerge.
- Increase the number of social workers for schools admitting students with low academic achievement and schools in areas with a high risk for substance abuse. An increase in the number of police liaison officers in schools would also be helpful. Increase in professional manpower does make a difference in those needy schools.
- It is noteworthy that research on psychotropic substance abuse is severely lacking in the international and local contexts. Research findings are vital as far as evidence-based services and policy formulation are concerned. As such, systematic research studies should be carried out.

Directions where Hong Kong Should and Should Not Go

In devising policies for tackling adolescent substance abuse, the Government should carefully note that existing research findings have implications for the directions where Hong Kong should go as well as should not go. As shown in Table 5, the following directions should be avoided: (a) research findings have showed that scary tactics do not work; (b) blaming adolescents for their weaknesses alone do not work; (c) understanding the problem from a single perspective does not help; (d) formulation of policies and services with good intention only is futile; (e) supply reduction cannot totally solve the problem because demand still exists; (f) formulation of policies based on adults only will miss the viewpoints of young people; (g) policies devised by the Government alone erode the sense of ownership in different stakeholders, particularly in adolescents; (h) resources used mainly on treatment cannot help to tackle the problem of adolescent substance abuse.

TABLE 5
Directions Hong Kong Should Go (and Should Not Go) as Far as Strategies for Tackling Adolescent
Substance Abuse are Concerned

Should Not Go	Should Go
Scare adolescents	Understand adolescents
Blaming adolescents for their weaknesses	Understanding ecological factors leading to the problem
Understand the problem from a single perspective (e.g., personal problems)	Ecological understanding, particularly family-based intervention, is important
Formulation of services and policies with goodwill only	Evidence-based and research-driven services and policies
Supply reduction strategies only	Supply reduction and demand reduction strategies
Services and policies involving adults only	Services and policies with the involvement of adults and adolescents
Policies devised by the Government alone	Policy making involving stakeholders in different sectors
Resources mainly devoted to treatment	Resources devoted to primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention

In contrast, the following directions should be considered by Hong Kong: (a) while pointing out that adolescent substance abuse is a "problem", understanding adolescents is equally important; (b) it is

important to understand how different ecological factors lead to adolescent substance abuse; (c) ecological understanding, particularly family-based intervention, is of paramount importance; (d) evidence-based and research-driven services and policies should be desired; (e) supply reduction and demand reduction are equally important; (f) involvement of adolescents in the process of formulating services and policies is important; (g) policy making involving stakeholders in different sectors is needed; (h) resources devoted to primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention are equally important.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this paper argues for an ecological understanding of the phenomenon of adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong so that a more holistic understanding can be achieved. This approach is consistent with the spirit of "holistic perspective" as stated in the 2007 Policy Address of the Chief Executive of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. I earnestly hope that through this paper, further discussion incorporating the concepts of risk factors, protective factors, at-risk families, ecological perspective, primary prevention, secondary prevention, tertiary prevention, positive youth development, and holistic adolescent development in exploring a more long-term and coordinated approach in tackling adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong will be carried out.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The preparation for this paper was financially supported by the Wofoo Foundation Limited.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) *International Conference on Tackling Drug Abuse: Conference Proceedings.* Narcotics Division, Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C.
- 2. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Adolescent developmental issues in Hong Kong: relevance to positive youth development programs in Hong Kong. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health* **18,** 341–354.
- 3. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Tackling drug abuse in a changing world: challenges and responses. In *International Conference on Tackling Drug Abuse: Conference Proceedings*. Shek, D.T.L., Ed. Narcotics Division, Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C. pp. 3–9.
- Shek, D.T.L. and Lam, C.M. (2006) Adolescent cough medicine abuse in Hong Kong: implications for the design of positive youth development programs in Hong Kong. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health* 18, 493–503.
- 5. Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (2007) Address by the Chief Executive the Honourable Donald Tsang at the Legislative Council meeting on 10 October 2007: A New Direction for Hong Kong. Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Conceptual framework underlying the development of a positive youth development program in Hong Kong. Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health 18, 303–314.
- Weissberg, R.P., Caplan, M., and Harwood, R.L. (1991) Promoting competent young people in competenceenhancing environments: a systems-based perspective on primary prevention. J. Consult. Clin. Psychol. 59, 830–841.
- 8. Felner, R.D. and Felner, T.Y. (1989) Primary prevention programs in the educational context: a transactional-ecological framework and analysis. In *Primary Prevention and Promotion in the Schools*. Bond, L.A. and Compas, B.E., Eds. Sage, Newbury, CA. pp. 13–49.
- 9. Shek, D.T.L. (2001) Resilience in adolescence: western models and local findings. In *Centennial Conference on Counseling in China, Taiwan and Hong Kong*. Chinese YMCA, Ed. The Chinese Y.M.C.A. of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, pp. 3–21.
- 10. Hauser, S.T. (1999) Understanding resilient outcomes: adolescent lives across time and generations. *J. Res. Adolesc.* **9**, 1–24.
- 11. Smith, C. and Carlson, B.E. (1997) Stress, coping and resilience in children and youth. *Soc. Serv. Rev.* **71(2)**, 231–256.
- 12. Tobler, N.S., Roona, M.R., Ochshorn, P., Marshall, D.G., Streke, A.V., and Stackpole, K.M. (2000) School-based adolescent drug prevention programs: 1998 meta-analysis. *J. Prim. Prev.* **20**, 275–337.
- 13. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) Construction of a positive youth development program in Hong Kong. Int. J. Adolesc. Med.

- Health 18, 299-302.
- 14. Shek, D.T.L. (2005) Social stress in Hong Kong. In *Social Development Index*. Estes, J., Ed. Oxford University Press, Hong Kong. pp. 167–189.
- 15. Shek, D.T.L. and Lee, B.M. (2004) "Non-engaged" young people in Hong Kong: key statistics and observations. *Int. J. Adolesc. Med. Health* **16**, 145–163.
- Lam, C.M. and Shek, D.T.L. (2006) A qualitative study of cough medicine abuse among Chinese young people in Hong Kong. J. Subst. Abuse 11, 233–244.
- 17. Shek, D.T.L. (2006) *Drop in Family Harmony in Hong Kong: An Ecological Analysis and Related Research.* Paper presented at the Conference on Strengthening Hong Kong's Families: Awareness, Commitment and Action. Organized by the Central Policy Unit, Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, P.R.C.. September 5, 2006.
- 18. Shek, D.T.L., Han, X.Y., and Lee, B.M. (2006) Perceived parenting patterns and parent-child relational qualities in adolescents in Hong Kong and Shanghai. *Chin. J. Sociol.* **26**, 137–157.
- Shek, D.T.L. and Chan, L.K. (1999) Hong Kong Chinese parents' perceptions of the ideal child. J. Psychol. 133, 291– 302.
- Shek, D.T.L., Yiu, T.L.I., and Chan, M.L.E. (2006) Advances in Problem Gambling: Theory, Service and Research in the Asia-Pacific Region. Tung Wah Group of Hospitals and Social Welfare Practice and Research Centre, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong.
- Working Group on Net Addiction, Tang, M.Y.V., and Shek, D.T.L. (2007) Net Addiction and Non-Addiction: A
 Report on Youth Characteristics. Lutheran Ming Wah Integrated Youth Service, Hong Kong Lutheran Social Service,
 Hong Kong.
- Catalano, R.F., Berglund, M.L., Ryan, J.A.M., Lonczak, H.S., and Hawkins, J.D. (2004) Positive youth development in the United States: research findings on evaluations of positive youth development programs. *Ann. Am. Acad. Polit.* Soc. Sci. 591, 98–124.
- 23. Weissberg, R.P. (2000) Improving the lives of millions of school children. Am. Psychol. 55, 1360–1373.
- Shek, D.T.L. (2004) Family processes and development outcomes in Chinese adolescents. Hong Kong J. Pediatr. 9, 316–324.

This article should be cited as follows:

Shek, D.T.L. (2007) Tackling adolescent substance abuse in Hong Kong: where we should and should not go. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL:* TSW Child Health & Human Development 7, 2021–2030. DOI 10.1100/tsw.2007.315.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com























