Is re-modification of Ross operation necessary?[†]

Ismail Yurekli*, Mert Kestelli, Orhan Gokalp and Ali Gurbuz

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Izmir Ataturk Education and Research Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +90-505-5251202; fax: +90-232-2431530; e-mail: ismoyurekli@yahoo.com (I. Yurekli).

Received 9 March 2011; accepted 13 May 2011

Keywords: Aortic valve • Dilatation • Autograft

This is an interesting article [1]. We enthusiastically support the use of Dacron graft in Ross operation to prevent aortic dilation in long term. The diameter of sinus of Valsalva increases by 16% during systole in native aorta [2] (although thicker than native pulmonary artery). As the Dacron graft could not change its diameter during systole, we think that this may cause an increase in incidence of aneurysm/dissection formation. To overcome this problem, would it be more convenient to insert Dacron graft between coronary buttons?

 $^{\rm T}{\rm The}$ corresponding author of the original article [1] was invited to reply but did not respond.

REFERENCES

 Brown JW, Ruzmetov M, Shahriari AP, Rodefeld MD, Mahomed Y, Turrentine MW. Modification of the Ross aortic valve replacement to prevent late autograft dilatation. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2010;37: 1002–7.

[2] Thubrikar MJ, Nolan SP, Aouad J, Deck JD. Stress sharing between the sinus and leaflets of canine aortic valve. Ann Thorac Surg 1986;42: 434-40.

doi:10.1016/j.ejcts.2011.05.029

Tackling reperfusion injury after cardiopulmonary bypass with tetrahydrobiopterin: new therapeutic potentials for this phenylketonuria drug?[†]

Yanti Octavia, Natascha L. Assman and An L. Moens*

Maastricht University Medical Centre, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, Department of Cardiology, Maastricht, The Netherlands

* Corresponding author. Address: Maastricht University Medical Centre, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, R Delbeyelaan 25, 6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 43 387 1587; fax: +31 43 387 2870. e-mail: an.moens@mumc.nl (A.L. Moens).

Received 1 March 2011; accepted 12 April 2011

Keywords: Tetrahydrobiopterin • Cardiopulmonary bypass • Myocardial function • Endothelial function • Nitric oxide synthases

Szabo et al. [1] demonstrated that administration of tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) during early reperfusion improves cardiopulmonary recovery after cardioplegic arrest and extracorporeal circulation in dogs. Application of BH4 restored myocardial, endothelial, and pulmonary function and increases plasma cyclic guanosine monophosphatase (cGMP) levels.

Szabo et al. concluded that the beneficial effect of BH4 on reperfusion injury after cardiopulmonary bypass can be explained by recoupling of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS), as supported by increased cGMP levels measured by radioimmunoassay. However, there are many factors that can cause induction of cGMP other than NO, such as carbon

[']The corresponding author of the original article [1] was invited to reply but did not respond.

monoxide and natriuretic peptides [2], which are also released in ischemia/reperfusion damage [3]. In addition, plasma arterial cGMP is correlated with atrial natriuretic peptide levels, and its production is secondary to an enhancement of the local tissue cGMP of the vascular bed [4]. It is required to measure natriuretic peptide to exclude this as another factor of cGMP production. Moreover, there are several more direct ways to evaluate eNOS coupling, such as eNOS monomer/dimer analysis, eNOS activity, eNOS- dependent superoxide generation, and quantification of BH4 and BH2 levels [5].

In addition, it is not clear whether the dose of BH4 that is used in the study of Szabo et al. increases myocardial and endothelial levels of BH4. Subsequently, it is unclear whether the improved leftventricular function is due to improved myocyte function or is secondary to improved endothelial function. Furthermore, administration of BH4 results in increased BH2 levels, which have been described to have an inhibitory role on eNOS function. Therefore, measurement of myocardial and endothelial levels of both BH4 and BH2 is recommended from both a safety and a mechanistic point.

Szabo et al. have paved the way to further reduce reperfusion injury after cardioplegic arrest and extracorporeal circulation. However, more focused molecular analysis is needed to further unravel the mechanism of action and optimize of the use of this eNOS-modulator (BH4), which is already approved as phenylketonuria (PKU) drug, in this clinical relevant model of reperfusion injury.

REFERENCES

 Szabo G, Seres L, Soos P, Gorenflo M, Merkely B, Horkay F, Karck M, Radovits T. Tetrahydrobiopterin improves cardiac and pulmonary function after cardiopulmonary bypass. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40: 695-700.

- [2] Schulz S. C-type natriuretic peptide and guanylyl cyclase B receptor. Peptides 2005;26:1024–34.
- [3] Siriwardena M, Kleffmann T, Ruygrok P, Cameron VA, Yandle TG, Nicholls MG, Richards AM, Pemberton CJ. B-type natriuretic peptide signal peptide circulates in human blood: evaluation as a potential biomarker of cardiac ischemia. Circulation 2010;122:255–64.
- [4] Tsutamoto T, Kinoshita M, Ohbayashi Y, Wada A, Maeda Y, Adachi T. Plasma arteriovenous cGMP difference as a useful indicator of nitrate tolerance in patients with heart failure. Circulation 1994;90:823–9.
- [5] Moens AL, Takimoto E, Tocchetti CG, Chakir K, Bedja D, Cormaci G, Ketner EA, Majmudar M, Gabrielson K, Halushka MK, Mitchell JB, Biswal S, Channon KM, Wolin MS, Alp NJ, Paolocci N, Champion HC, Kass DA. Reversal of cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis from pressure overload by tetrahydrobiopterin: efficacy of recoupling nitric oxide synthase as a therapeutic strategy. Circulation 2008;117:2626-36.

doi:10.1016/j.ejcts.2011.04.040

The philosophy of a nerve-sparing thoracotomy closure[†]

Sabita Jiwnani, George Karimundackal and C. S. Pramesh*

Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgical Oncology, Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai 400012, India

* Corresponding author. Tel: +91-22-24177000; fax: +91-22-24146937; e-mail: cspramesh@gmail.com (C.S. Pramesh).

Received 22 March 2011; accepted 25 May 2011

Keywords: Thoracotomy · Nerve sparing

We read with interest Bayram and colleagues' recent article [1] on the randomized trial evaluating a modified thoracotomy closure technique to reduce compression of the intercostal nerve and thereby reduce post-thoracotomy pain. The authors' report a statistically significant reduction in postoperative pain using this technique. The described procedure is a modification of a technique proposed by Cerfolio and colleagues [2, 3], who harvest the intercostal muscle flap at the beginning of surgery, retract the flap outside the thoracotomy field using a soft Penrose drain, and, at closure, drill holes in the lower rib to avoid compressing the nerve. This approach is theoretically a more attractive concept as it avoids nerve entrapment both by the chest retractor during surgery and the pericostal sutures after closure. Bayram's technique, on the other hand, does not avoid nerve compression intraoperatively by the chest retractor, and nerve compression at this stage could still cause postoperative neuropathic pain. We have seen Cerfolio's group perform their procedure with impressive results and are halfway through a randomized trial to evaluate the technique in our unit. Similar to Cerfolio's group, we retract the harvested flap with a soft drain and this gives unrestricted intrathoracic access.

We agree with the authors' results that postoperative pain appears to be less in patients where the modified thoracotomy closure technique was adopted; however, we are unclear

¹The corresponding author of the original article [1] was invited to reply but did not respond.

regarding the primary outcome measure on which the sample size was calculated – was it the mean analgesic drug consumption or the actual pain scores? If they were pain scores, at what time interval after surgery? This is especially important considering the multiplicity of variables compared in the study. Calculating mean drug consumption, though technically possible by statistical methods, may not be a very relevant clinical endpoint; pain scores, though necessarily subjective, would be a more important outcome. We also disagree with the authors' conclusion that the reduced pain resulted in earlier discharge from hospital, especially as the authors' own results (Table 1) show otherwise! Nevertheless, we congratulate Bayram and colleagues on their important randomized trial which adds to the growing evidence supporting the hypothesis that avoidance of nerve entrapment at thoracotomy helps reduce postoperative pain.

REFERENCES

- Bayram AS, Ozcan M, Kaya FN, Gebitekin C. Rib approximation without intercostal nerve compression reduces post-thoracotomy pain: a prospective randomized study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;39:570–4.
- [2] Cerfolio RJ, Price TN, Bryant AS, Bass CS, Bartolucci AA. Intracostal sutures decrease the pain of thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;76:407-12.
- [3] Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Patel B, Bartolucci AA. Intercostal muscle flap reduces the pain of thoracotomy: a prospective randomized trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;130:987–93.