This article was downloaded by: [106.51.226.7] On: 04 August 2022, At: 11:22

Publisher: Institute for Operations Research and the Management Sciences (INFORMS)

INFORMS is located in Maryland, USA



# **Operations Research**

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <a href="http://pubsonline.informs.org">http://pubsonline.informs.org</a>

Technical Note—Optimality of a Heuristic Solution for a Class of Knapsack Problems

T. C. Hu, M. L. Lenard,

#### To cite this article:

T. C. Hu, M. L. Lenard, (1976) Technical Note—Optimality of a Heuristic Solution for a Class of Knapsack Problems. Operations Research 24(1):193-196. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.24.1.193

Full terms and conditions of use: <a href="https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions">https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions</a>

This article may be used only for the purposes of research, teaching, and/or private study. Commercial use or systematic downloading (by robots or other automatic processes) is prohibited without explicit Publisher approval, unless otherwise noted. For more information, contact permissions@informs.org.

The Publisher does not warrant or guarantee the article's accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications, or inclusion of an advertisement in this article, neither constitutes nor implies a guarantee, endorsement, or support of claims made of that product, publication, or service.

© 1976 INFORMS

Please scroll down for article—it is on subsequent pages



With 12,500 members from nearly 90 countries, INFORMS is the largest international association of operations research (O.R.) and analytics professionals and students. INFORMS provides unique networking and learning opportunities for individual professionals, and organizations of all types and sizes, to better understand and use O.R. and analytics tools and methods to transform strategic visions and achieve better outcomes.

For more information on INFORMS, its publications, membership, or meetings visit <a href="http://www.informs.org">http://www.informs.org</a>

## Optimality of a Heuristic Solution for a Class of Knapsack Problems

## T. C. HU

University of California, La Jolla, California

#### M. L. LENARD

University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

(Received original March 24, 1975; final, May 23, 1975)

This paper presents a simpler proof for a result of Magazine, Nemhauser, and Trotter, which states recursive necessary and sufficient conditions for the optimality of a heuristic solution for a class of knapsack problems.

A RECENT paper by Magazine, Nemhauser, and Trotter<sup>[3]</sup> gives recursive necessary and sufficient conditions for the optimality of the greedy solution to a class of knapsack problems. We present a simpler proof of one of their main theorems, using an argument we developed in reference 1 for the special case where all cost coefficients are equal to one. We also give some useful sufficient conditions and pose a related problem.

We consider the problem of finding the minimum weight of coins needed to make an amount of change b, given n kinds of coins, where the value of the *i*th coin is  $a_i$  and its weight is  $c_i$ . Thus, we wish to solve the knapsack problem

min 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} c_i x_i$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} a_i x_i = b$ ,  $x_i \ge 0$ , integers  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . (1)

We assume throughout that

- (i)  $a_i$  and  $c_i$  are integers,  $1 \le i \le n$ ,
- (ii)  $c_1/a_1 \ge \cdots \ge c_n/a_n$ , and
- (iii)  $1 = a_1 < a_i, 2 \le i \le n$ .

Define the function  $F_k(y)$  as follows:  $(1 \le k \le n, 0 \le y \le b)$ ,

$$F_k(y) = \min \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} c_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} a_i x_i = y, x_i \ge 0, \text{ integers, } i = 1, \dots, k.$$
 (2)

Note that  $F_n(b)$  is the solution to (1). The function  $F_k(y)$  is the minimum weight of coins necessary when the amount of change is y and the first k kinds of coins  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$  are allowed. The problem (2) has a

## 194 Technical Notes

trivial solution when k=1, i.e.,  $F_1(y) = c_{1} y/a_{1} = c_{1} y$ , where  $x_{1}$  is the integer part of x. In general we have the recursive definition of  $F_k(y)$ :

$$F_k(y) = \min_{x_k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor y/a_k \rfloor} [F_{k-1}(y - a_k x_k) + c_k x_k] \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$
and

$$F_1(y) = c_1 y/a_1 = c_1 y.$$

A heuristic algorithm for solving (1), also known as the 'greedy' algorithm, would use the largest possible number of the nth kind of coin (that is, the one with least weight per unit value), then the largest possible number of coin n-1, and so on.

Define  $H_k(y)$  to be the weight of coins required in the heuristic algorithm when the amount of change is y and only the first k kinds of coins are used. It is easy to see that  $H_1(y) = F_1(y)$ . Now we want to know: For what values of  $a_{k+1}$  would the heuristic algorithm work for all y? This question is answered by the following theorem.

THEOREM 1 (Also Theorem 1 in reference 3). Suppose  $H_k(y) = F_k(y)$  for all positive integers y and some fixed k. If  $a_{k+1} > a_k$  and p and  $\delta$  are the unique integers for which  $a_{k+1} = pa_k - \delta$  and  $0 \le \delta < a_k$ , then the following are equivalent.

- (a')  $H_{k+1}(y) \leq H_k(y)$  for all positive integers y,
- (a)  $H_{k+1}(y) = F_{k+1}(y)$  for all positive integers y,
- (b)  $H_{k+1}(pa_k) = F_{k+1}(pa_k),$
- (c)  $c_{k+1} + H_k(\delta) \leq pc_k$ .

*Proof.* The method of proof will be  $(a') \Rightarrow (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (a')$ . (It is the inclusion of statement (a') that simplifies the proof.)

From (a') and the optimality of  $F_{k+1}$  we have

$$H_k(y) \ge H_{k+1}(y) \ge F_{k+1}(y)$$
 for all positive integers y. (4)

Note that the number of the (k+1)st kind of coin used in  $H_{k+1}(y)$  is always equal to or greater than  $x_{k+1}$ , where  $x_{k+1}$  is the number of the (k+1)st kind of coin used in  $F_{k+1}(y)$ .

Let  $y' = y - x_{k+1}a_{k+1}$ . Clearly,

$$F_{k+1}(y') = F_k(y') = H_k(y').$$
 (5)

Since (4) applies to y', it follows from (5) that  $H_{k+1}(y') = F_{k+1}(y')$ . Adding  $a_{k+1}x_{k+1}$  to both sides, we have  $H_{k+1}(y) = F_{k+1}(y)$ , which is (a). Then, choosing  $y = pa_k$ , we have (b).

Next, since the optimal value with k+1 coins can be no larger than the optimal value with k coins,  $F_{k+1}(y) \leq F_k(y) = H_k(y)$  for all positive integers

Published in Operations Research on February 01, 1976 as DOI: 10.1287/opre.24.1.193. This article has not been copyedited or formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

y. As a consequence, (b) implies

$$H_{k+1}(pa_k) \le H_k(pa_k). \tag{6}$$

Evaluating both sides of inequality (6), we have  $c_{k+1} + H_k(\delta) \leq pc_k$ , which is (c).

It remains to prove that  $(c) \Rightarrow (a')$ . We shall prove [not (a')]  $\Rightarrow$  [not (c)]. Suppose  $\bar{y}$  is the *smallest* integer for which (a') fails. Obviously  $\bar{y} > a_{k+1}$ ; hence  $H_k(\bar{y}) < H_{k+1}(\bar{y}) = c_{k+1} + H_{k+1}(\bar{y} - a_{k+1})$ . Adding  $H_k(\delta)$  to both sides of the above inequality yields

$$c_{k+1} + H_k(\delta) + H_{k+1}(\bar{y} - a_{k+1}) > H_k(\delta) + H_k(\bar{y}).$$
 (7)

Since the heuristic algorithm is optimal for k coins,

$$H_k(\delta) + H_k(\bar{y}) \ge H_k(\bar{y} + \delta).$$
 (8)

Since  $\bar{y} + \delta = (a_{k+1} + \delta) + (\bar{y} - a_{k+1}) = pa_k + (\bar{y} - a_{k+1}),$ 

$$H_k(\bar{y}+\delta) = pc_k + H_k(\bar{y}-a_{k+1}). \tag{9}$$

Combining (7), (8), and (9), we have

$$c_{k+1} + H_k(\delta) > pc_k + H_k(\bar{y} - a_{k+1}) - H_{k+1}(\bar{y} - a_{k+1}). \tag{10}$$

By assumption, (a') holds for  $y < \bar{y}$ ; in particular, (a') holds for  $y = \bar{y} - a_{k+1}$ . Thus, it follows from (10) that  $c_{k+1} + H_k(\delta) > pc_k$ . This is the negation of (c), and the proof is complete.

Next we prove a corollary stating sufficient conditions for the optimality of the heuristic algorithm.

COROLLARY 1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, if

$$c_{k+1} \leq pc_k - \delta c_1, \tag{11}$$

then  $H_{k+1}(y) = F_{k+1}(y)$  for all positive integers y.

*Proof.* Because of the optimality of  $H_k$ ,  $H_k(\delta) \leq \delta H_k(1) = \delta c_1$ , and condition (c) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

We note that since  $c_k/a_k \le c_1$ , (11) implies

$$c_{k+1} \leq [pa_k - \delta c_1(a_k/c_k)][c_k/a_k] \leq [pa_k - \delta][c_k/a_k] = a_{k+1}(c_k/a_k).$$

That is, (11) is also sufficient to maintain the ordering of the variables required by assumption (ii).

A special case of Corollary 1 where  $c_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $c_{k+1} \le 1$  appears as Corollary 4 in the paper by Magazine et al. This result for the same special case was also obtained by Kernighan and Johnson. (The major thrust of the Kernighan and Johnson paper is somewhat different, however, in that they consider conditions for the optimality of  $H_{k+1}$  without requiring the optimality of  $H_k$ .)

Our second corollary establishes a lower limit on  $a_{k+1}$  sufficient for op-

## Technical Notes

196

timality of the heuristic algorithm. (A related result appears as Corollary 5 in Magazine et al. [3])

COROLLARY 2. If  $c_1 = c_k = c_{k+1} = 1$ , then for any  $a_{k+1} \ge m_k$ , where  $m_k = (a_k - 1)^2 + 1$ ,  $H_{k+1}(y) = F_{k+1}(y)$  for all positive integers y.

*Proof.* For this special case, the hypothesis of Corollary 1 reduces to  $\delta \leq p-1$ . First, we see that the value of  $m_k$  may be written as  $m_k = (a_k-1)a_k-(a_k-2)$ . Thus, for values of  $a_{k+1}$  in the interval from  $m_k$  to  $m_k+(a_k-2)$ , the hypothesis of Corollary 1 is satisfied with  $p=a_k-1$ . Beyond this point—that is, for values of  $a_{k+1}$  satisfying  $a_{k+1} > m_k + (a_k-2) = (a_k-1)a_k$ —we will have  $p \geq a_k$ . Since by definition  $\delta < a_k$ ,  $p \geq a_k$  implies that  $\delta \leq p-1$ ; and again, the hypothesis of Corollary 1 is satisfied.

Finally, we pose an open question related to the change-making problem. Suppose there are N amounts of change to be made  $b_j, j=1, \dots, N$ . If one is allowed to use only m kinds of coins (where m < N), what values of  $a_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$  should be chosen such that the total number (i.e.,  $c_i=1$ ,  $i=1, \dots, m$ ) of coins used in all N transactions is a minimum? The question should have a neat answer when  $b_j=j, j=1, \dots, N$ .

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work was sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DA-31-124-ARO-D-462.

### REFERENCES

- T. C. Hu and M. L. Lenard, "A Study of a Heuristic Algorithm," Technical Report No. 1370, Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1974.
- S. C. Johnson and B. W. Kernighan, "Making Change with a Minimum Number of Coins," Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey, Internal Technical Memorandum, 1971.
- 3. M. MAGAZINE, G. L. NEMHAUSER, AND L. E. TROTTER, JR., "When the Greedy Solution Solves a Class of Knapsack Problems," Opns. Res. 23, 207-217 (1975).

Copyright 1976, by INFORMS, all rights reserved. Copyright of Operations Research is the property of INFORMS: Institute for Operations Research and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.