Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.Doi Number

IEEEAccess

Technique to adjust adaptive digital filter coefficients in Residue Number System based filters

Dmitrii Kaplun¹, Alexander Voznesensky¹, Alexander Veligosha², Igor Kalmykov³, Kandarpa Kumar Sarma⁴

¹Department of Automation and Control Processes, Saint Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI", 5 Professor Popov str., 197376 St. Petersburg, Russia (e-mail: asvoznesensky@etu.ru, e-mail: dikaplun@etu.ru).

²Department 52, Military Academy of the Strategic Missile Forces named after Peter the Great, Serpukhov 142210, Russia (e-mail: aveligosha@mail.ru).
 ³Department of Information Security of Automated Systems, North-Caucasus Federal University, Stavropol 355009, Russia (e-mail: kia762@yandex.ru).
 ⁴Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Gauhati University, Guwahati, Assam, India (email: kandarpaks@gauhati.ac.in).

Corresponding author: A. Voznesensky (e-mail: asvoznesensky@etu.ru).

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation under the Grant 19-19-00566.

ABSTRACT The paper discusses adaptive filtering using Least Mean Square (LMS) and Recursive Least Square (RLS) algorithms. An algorithm for adjusting the coefficients of an adaptive digital filter in the Residue Number System and a procedure of developed algorithm applying depending on filter length and signal length are proposed. Mathematical modeling of the considered algorithms is performed. Examples are presented to demonstrate how the proposed technique can help the designer in the adjustment of the filter coefficients without the need for extensive trial-and-error procedures. The analysis of the denoising quality and computational complexity is made. Synthetic and real data (earthquake recording) were used while testing. The proposed algorithm surpasses the existing ones like LMS and RLS, and their modifications in a number of parameters: adaptation (denoising) quality, ease of implementation, execution time. The main difference between the developed algorithm is the sequential adaptation of each coefficient with zero error. In the known algorithms, the entire vector of coefficients is iteratively adapted, with some specified accuracy. The iterations (steps) number is determined by the input signal length for all algorithms.

INDEX TERMS adaptive digital filter, residue number system, Least Mean Square algorithm, Recursive Least Square algorithm, denoising quality, computational complexity

I. INTRODUCTION

Using adaptive digital signal processing (DSP) techniques in modern communication systems, the enhancement of the primary quality functioning indicators [1, 2] is achieved.

This is especially evident with the digital filtering of processed signals. The primary objective of filtering is to decompose the complex structure of the input signal into components to remove an unnecessary element that does not carry useful information.

In many applications that use modern methods and algorithms for digital signal processing, adaptive digital filters (ADF) are widely used [3, 4], including a digital filter and an adaptation system.

The most widely used in ADFs are digital filters with a finite impulse response (FIR) [5]. Adaptive filtering is used in acoustic systems [6], image processing [7], equalizers designed to level the characteristics of communication channels [8], systems, using adaptive antenna arrays [9].

The efficiency of the ADF is largely determined by the algorithm, which allows adaptation of the coefficients of the FIR-filter to obtain the reference signal. At the same time, the algorithm for adjusting the coefficients vector of the FIR filter must, at a given step, implement the adjustment procedure with minimal software and hardware costs [5].

In order to increase the speed of signal processing in different applications, the use of residue number system (RNS) is justified [10]. The use of the RNS enables the enhancement of digital signal processing systems (including digital filters) performance and reducing hardware costs [11] due to the parallel and independent processing of small bitwidth residues when performing arithmetic operations like addition, subtraction, and multiplication [12, 13]. The disadvantage of the RNS is the high computational complexity when performing non-modular operations, which include division, sign detection and numbers comparison [14, 15]. These limitations exist because the RNS is a nonpositional number system, and numbers magnitude comparison in the RNS form is impossible, so the division operation consists of a magnitude comparison operation that is also a problematic operation. Attempts to solve this problem are still being undertaken by various scientists in different directions [16-18], but there is still no universal

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085704, IEEE Access



solution suitable for any tasks. As a result, there are currently no coefficients adjustment algorithms for ADF that are implemented in the RNS.

Therefore, the development of a new adaptation algorithm (filter coefficients adjustment) using the RNS and providing specified requirements to adaptation quality and rapidity indicators is a critical task in digital signal processing.

It is known that most coefficient adjustment algorithms of ADF use the optimal Wiener filtering theory. In [19], an algorithm is presented that is based on the search for the minimum of the target function based on the application of the steepest descent method. In this case, the squared error of the ADF output signal is used as the target function. Therefore, for the ADF, it is necessary to find such coefficients values that would make it possible to obtain the value of the output signal with a given accuracy. In other words, the selected filter coefficients should contribute to the maximum approximation of the digital filter output signal to the reference signal.

When applying the Least Mean Square (LMS) algorithm, the adaptive digital filter coefficients are updated recursively. The main advantage of the LMS algorithm is its extreme computational simplicity. To adjust the coefficients at each step, N+1 pairs of addition-multiplication operations are needed to be performed (N is the filter order). The price for simplicity is the slow convergence and high error variance in the steady state [19-21].

The Recursive Least Square (RLS) adaptation algorithm allows to eliminate these shortcomings. The basis of this adaptative algorithm is a generalized least square method. In this case, digital filtering is a deterministic analogue of Wiener filtering. The RLS adaptation algorithm implementation is performed in [19-21]. To adjust the coefficients at each step, $3N^2 + 4N$ pairs of additionmultiplication operations are needed to be performed (*N* is the filter order). The advantage is fast convergence.

Given the advantages and disadvantages of the considered adaptation algorithms, we can conclude that RNS is suitable to improve performance when adjusting the coefficients and reduce the computational complexity of the tuning procedure [22]. These results can be used for building effective parallel computational systems [13] based on computers with parallel structure like FPGA [17, 18] and GPU [23, 24].

The disadvantage of the ADF operating in the RNS is the need to perform the conversion from the RNS to the traditional binary number system (BNS) at each iteration. This is due to the fact that in order to perform the comparison operation it is necessary to go to the BNS. When processing in a large range, it is also necessary to scale the calculations intermediate results in a modular digital filter in order to exclude going beyond the dynamic range [25].

First attempts to provide the ADF operating in the RNS were presented in [26, 27] but their attention was mainly paid to the efficient conversions BNS to RNS and vice versa, as well as the efficient hardware implementation of this conversion.

A sequential algorithm for adjusting the filter coefficients operating in the RNS is presented in this paper. To adjust each coefficient, it is necessary to perform one subtraction operation, one multiplication operation and one addition operation modulo the RNS, i.e., recalculation time is proportional to the filter order. A fundamentally new technique (Fig. 1) is provided that surpasses the existing ones like LMS and RLS and their modifications in a number of parameters: adaptation (denoising) quality, ease of implementation, execution time etc. The idea of the algorithm firstly appeared in [28] but it was a minor part of the work. Since that time, the algorithm has been corrected, updated and extended to the complete technique and is presented in this work.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II provides a detailed description of the developed algorithm. Section III presents an algorithm operation example and some comments. Section IV provides proposed algorithm simulation and adaptation algorithms comparison in terms of denoising quality and execution time. Discussion is presented in Section V. The conclusion of the paper is reported in Section VI.

II. DEVELOPING THE ADAPTATION ALGORITHM

The paper presents an adaptation algorithm using RNS without a reverse conversion. This algorithm (Fig. 1) is based on an iterative algorithm for sequentially calculating filter coefficients. This algorithm contains N+1 steps, whose number coincides with the length of the digital filter *L*.

Let the RNS moduli set be $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_k\}$.

Then the response of the FIR-filter operating in the modular code when processing n-th sample of the input signal is determined by:

$$y_{i}(n) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N} w_{i}(s) x_{i}(n-s)\right) \mod m_{i}$$
(1)

Here x = [x(0), x(1), ..., x(N)] is the input signal, w = [w(0), w(1), ..., w(N)] are the filter coefficients, $y_i(n) \equiv y(n) \mod m_i$, $x_i(n-s) \equiv x(n-s) \mod m_i$ and $w_i(s) \equiv w(s) \mod m_i$; i = 1, 2, ..., k.

The digital filter response will differ from the reference signal d(n) by the error value. In this case, the filter response error will be:

$$e_i(n) = (d_i(n) - y_i(n)) \mod m_i$$
 (2)

Here $d_i(n) \equiv d(n) \mod m_i$; i = 1, 2, ..., k.

The following sections provide an outline of the steps involved.

The first step
$$n = 0$$

In this case, the digital filter response will be determined from:

$$y_{i}(0) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N} w_{i}(s) x_{i}(0-s)\right) \mod m_{i};$$
(3)

Then the adaptive digital filter response error is expressed as:

$$e_i(0) = (d_i(0) - \sum_{s=0}^{N} w_i(s) x_i(0-s)) \mod m_i; \quad i = 1...k; \quad (4)$$

where w(0) represents ADF random zero coefficient.

To adapt the ADF coefficients, the convergence accuracy of the output signal y(n) and the reference signal d(n) is set. This operation is quite easily implemented in the BNS. For This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085704, IEEE

modular codes, it is necessary to perform a non-modular operation, for example, to convert numbers from the RNS into a BNS and compare the calculated error of the digital filter response with a specified limit. However, this requires significant hardware and software costs.

To eliminate this drawback, it is proposed to use an approximate algorithm for comparing numbers represented in the RNS [29, 30]. An approximate method for comparing modular numbers is based on the use of relative values $\frac{A}{M}$ of the analyzed numbers A to the full dynamic range $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_i$ of the RNS. Then the relative value $\frac{A}{M}$ is defined as:

$$\frac{A}{M} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left| M_{i}^{-1} \right|_{m_{i}}}{m_{i}} \alpha_{i} \right|_{M} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} k_{i} \alpha_{i} \right|_{M}$$

$$k_{i} = \frac{\left| M_{i}^{-1} \right|_{m_{i}}}{m_{i}} - \text{the RNS constants.}$$
(5)

Comparing two numbers A and B according to (6) in this case is performed as follows:

Reverse conversion $RNS \rightarrow BNS$ $A = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k) \to \frac{A}{M}.$ $RNS \rightarrow BNS$ conversion

Reverse

 $B = \left(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k\right) \longrightarrow \frac{B}{M}.$ Comparison $\frac{A}{M}$? $\frac{B}{M}$.

Note that the expression value does not exceed 1, since the number A represented in the RNS cannot be greater than the range M. Moreover, the integer part of the expression

 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left| M_{i}^{-1} \right|_{m_{i}}}{m_{i}} \alpha_{i} \text{ is the rank of the number } A \text{ . The rank of the}$

number A is a non-positional characteristic, showing how many times the dynamic range M has been covered during the reverse conversion $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_k)$ from the RNS to its binary representation.

From the RNS moduli sets we choose the lower moduli set, which product will determine a dynamic range of the RNS:

$$M_{acc} = \prod_{i=1}^{L} m_i \tag{6}$$

Then the remaining k - z moduli sets will relate to the RNS control moduli sets [19, 20]. If the adaptive digital filter response error value, presented in the RNS $e(n) = (e_1(n), e_2(n), \dots, e_i(n), \dots, e_k(n))$, is less than the specified accuracy, that is $e(n) < M_{acc}$, it can be said that this code belongs to the accuracy range M_{acc} . This situation means that the calculated ADF response error can be considered acceptable.

Otherwise, when $e(n) \ge M_{acc}$ the calculated digital filter response error has not reached the required accuracy. Therefore, it is necessary to fine-tune coefficients. To perform an algorithm for approximate the RNS numbers comparison, calculate the constant value from:

$$\frac{M_{acc}}{M} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left| M_{i}^{-1} \right|_{m_{i}}}{m_{i}} b_{i} \right|_{m_{i}}$$
(7)

The obtained value of the adaptive digital filter response error, presented in the RNS as:

$$e(n) = (e_1(n), e_2(n), ..., e_i(n), ..., e_k(n)),$$
 is used to

calculate the approximate value given by the expression

$$\frac{e(n)}{M} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left| M_{i}^{-1} \right|_{m_{i}}}{m_{i}} e_{i}(n) \right|_{m_{i}}$$
(8)

If the inequality is true:

$$\frac{e(n)}{M} < \frac{M_{acc}}{M} , \tag{9}$$

then the required coefficients adaptation accuracy is achieved. Otherwise, coefficients adjustment procedure continues.

Obviously, the ADF response error is determined by the incorrect value w(0). We calculate the correction value of the zero coefficient according to the expressions:

$$\Delta w_i(0) = (e_i(0) / x_i(0)) \mod m_i; \quad i = 1...k;$$
(10)

Then coefficient value after adjustment will be determined:

 $w_i^*(0) = (w_i(0) + \Delta w_i(0)) \mod m_i; \quad i = 1...k;$ (11)

Thus, as a result of the first stage of the proposed iterative sequential algorithm, the true value of zero coefficient is obtained, which is presented in the RNS as:

$$w^{*}(0) = (w_{1}^{*}(0), w_{2}^{*}(0), \dots, w_{i}^{*}(0), \dots, w_{k}^{*}(0))$$

The second step
$$n = 1$$

The second stage (n=1) includes similar steps for estimating the error value, calculating the correction value of the first coefficient and its value. As a result of the second stage, we obtained the true value of the first coefficient, which is represented in the RNS $w^{*}(1) = (w_{1}^{*}(1), w_{2}^{*}(1), \dots, w_{i}^{*}(1), \dots, w_{k}^{*}(1))$. Thus, as a result of two stages of coefficient adjustments, two adapted coefficients $w^*(0)$ and a filter $w^*(1)$ were obtained. The last step n = N

In a similar way, the values of all the remaining coefficients are corrected; at the N+1 – stage, the last coefficient is adapted.

In this case, the digital filter response will be determined:

$$y_{i}(N) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} w_{i}^{*}(s) x_{i}(N-s) + w_{i}(N) x_{i}(0)\right) \mod m_{i}$$
(12)

Then the adaptive digital filter response error is:

$$e_{i}(N) = \left[d_{i}(N) - \left(\sum_{s=0}^{N-1} w_{i}^{*}(s) x_{i}(N-s) + w_{i}(N) x_{i}(0) \right) \right] \mod m_{i}; \quad (13)$$

$$i = 1...k;$$

We calculate the correction value of the N-th coefficient according to:

$$\Delta w_i(N) = (e_i(N) / x_i(0)) \mod m_i; \quad i = 1...k;$$
(14)

Then the value of the N-th coefficient after adjustment will be determined:

$$w_i^*(N) = (w_i(N) + \Delta w_i(N)) \mod m_i; \quad i = 1...k;$$
(15)

Here: w(N) is the random coefficient of the ADF.



III. MODELING THE OPERATION OF THE ALGORITHM IN THE RNS

Let the RNS moduli set be $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 5, m_3 = 7, m_4 = 11, m_5 = 13, m_6 = 19, m_7 = 23, m_8 = 29, m_9 = 31, m_{10} = 37.$

This moduli set has been proposed for the implementation of a modular digital filter with 16-bit coefficients that processes 16-bit input data. Since when the operation of multiplying two 16-bit operands is performed, the result becomes 32-bit, and the rounding or truncation operation is not applied to the intermediate results. So, an extended moduli set has been proposed to obtain the correct resulting response. The dynamic range of this moduli set is equal M = 145504669310.

Choose the ADF order N = 2. Then the adaptive digital filter response operating in the RNS is determined by the expression:

$$y_{i}(n) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{2} w_{i}(s) x_{i}(n-s)\right) \mod m_{i}$$
(16)

where $n = 0, 1, 2; x = \{x(0), x(1), x(2)\}$ – the input vector; $w = \{w(0), w(1), w(2)\}$ represents the digital filter coefficients; $y_i(n) \equiv y(n) \mod m_i$; $x_i(n-s) \equiv x(n-s) \mod m_i$; $w_i(s) \equiv w(s) \mod m_i$; $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Let the input vector be given by samples $x = \{61, 41, 29\}$. The reference signal is determined by а set of samples d ={-10401, 12935, 107610}. As the coefficients select the following values $w = \{-150, 311, 1601\}$.

In the example, the impulse response has both positive and negative coefficients. To represent negative numbers in the RNS, it was proposed to divide the range into two parts. Positive numbers will be contained in the first half (from 0 to P/2-1), and negative numbers will be located in the second half of the range (from P/2 to P-1). So, the number in the selected moduli set is represented as: -150 = P - 150 = 145504669160 = (0, 0, 4, 4, 6, 2, 11, 24, 5, 35).



Table I presents the code combinations of the selected data.

E	E	E	A	C	C	P	S	5
	_				~	~		-

TABLE I MODULAR SOURCE DATA CODES									
N 11	Input sa	mples x(s)		Coefficie	nts w(s)		Reference s	ignal d(s)	
Modules	61	41	29	-150	311	1601	-10401	12935	107610
2	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
5	1	1	4	0	1	1	4	0	0
7	5	6	1	4	3	5	1	6	6
11	6	8	7	4	3	6	5	10	8
13	9	2	3	6	12	2	12	0	9
19	4	3	10	2	7	5	11	15	13
23	15	18	6	11	12	14	18	9	16
29	3	12	0	24	21	6	10	1	20
31	30	10	29	5	1	20	15	8	9
37	24	4	29	35	15	10	33	22	14

The first step n = 0

We calculate the response of the FIR-filter operating in the RNS i = 1, ..., k using:

$$y_i(0) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^2 w_i(s) x_i(0-s)\right) \mod m_i = x_i(0) w_i(0) \mod m_i \ .$$

Since the ADF coefficients are chosen arbitrarily, the response error of the digital filter y(0) from the reference signal d(0) is:

$$e_i(0) = (d_i(0) - y_i(0)) \mod m_i$$

We calculate the correction value of the zero coefficient according to:

 $\Delta w_i(0) = (e_i(0) / x_i(0)) \mod m_i \ .$

Then the adapted value of the zero coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_i^*(0) = (w_i(0) + \Delta w_i(0)) \mod m_i$$

Consider the execution of an algorithm for a module $m_7 = 23$. In this case, the digital filter response is equal to:

ŀ.
ŀ

The digital filter response error $y_7(0)$ from the reference signal $d_7(0)$ is:

$$e_7(0) = (d_7(0) - y_7(0)) \mod 23 = (18 - 4) \mod 23 = 14$$
.

Inverse element value is given as:

 $x_7^{-1}(0) \mod 23 = 20$.

We calculate the correction value of the zero coefficient according to the expression:

 $\Delta w_7(0) = (e_7(0) / x_7(0)) \mod 23 = (14 \cdot 20) \mod 23 = 4.$

Then the adapted value of the zero coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_7^*(0) = (w_7(0) + \Delta w_7(0)) \mod m_7 = (11+4) \mod 23 = 15.$$

We calculate the adapted response as:

 $y_7^*(0) = x_7(0)w_7^*(0) \mod 23 = (15.15) \mod 23 = 18$.

The results of coefficient w(0) adaptation in the RNS are presented in Table II.

TABLE II

Modules	x(0)	w(0)	y(0)	d(0)	e(0)	x ⁻¹ (0)	$\Delta w(0)$	w*(0)	y*(0)
2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	0	0	4	4	1	4	4	4
7	5	4	6	1	2	3	6	3	1
11	6	4	2	5	3	2	6	10	5
13	9	6	2	12	10	3	4	10	12
19	4	2	8	11	3	5	15	17	11
23	15	11	4	18	14	20	4	15	18
29	3	24	14	10	25	10	18	13	10
31	30	5	26	15	20	30	11	16	15
37	24	35	26	33	7	17	8	6	33

The last column of the Table II presents the results of the digital filter response with the adjusted value $w^*(0)$.

The second step n = 1.

At this stage, we have a set of coefficients like $w = \{w^*(0), 311, 1601\}$ among which there is an adapted set given as $w^*(0) = (1, 4, 3, 10, 10, 17, 15, 13, 16, 6)$.

Let us calculate the response of the FIR filter implemented in the RNS: i = 1, ..., k:

$$y_{i}(1) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{2} w_{i}(s)x_{i}(1-s)\right) \mod m_{i} = \left(w_{i}^{*}(0)x_{i}(1) + w_{i}(1)x_{i}(0)\right) \mod m_{i}$$

Since the ADF coefficients are chosen arbitrarily, the digital filter response error y(1) from the reference signal

$$d(1)$$
 is:

$$e_i(1) = (d_i(1) - y_i(1)) \mod m_i$$

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085704, IEEE



We calculate the correction value of the first coefficient according to:

$$\Delta w_i(1) = (e_i(1)/x_i(0)) \mod m_i$$

Then the adapted value of the first coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_i^{(1)} = (w_i^{(1)} + \Delta w_i^{(1)}) \mod m_i$$

Consider the execution of an algorithm for a module $m_7 = 23$.

In this case, the digital filter response is equal to:

 $y_7(1) = (w_7^*(0)x_7(1) + w_7(1)x_7(0)) \mod m_7 =$

 $= (15 \cdot 18 + 12 \cdot 15) \mod 23 = 13$

The digital filter response error $y_7(1)$ from the reference signal $d_7(1)$ is:

 $e_7(1) = (d_7(1) - y_7(1)) \mod 23 = (9 - 13) \mod 23 = 19$.

Inverse element value is given as: $x_7^{-1}(0) \mod 23 = 20$.

We calculate the correction value of the first coefficient according to:

$$\Delta w_7(1) = (e_7(1)/x_7(0)) \mod 23 = (19 \cdot 20) \mod 23 = 12$$

Then the adapted value of the first coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_7^{(1)} = (w_7(1) + \Delta w_7(1)) \mod m_7 = (12 + 12) \mod 23 = 1$$

We calculate the adapted response as:

 $y_7^*(1) = (w_7^*(0)x_7(1) + w_7^*(1)x_7(0)) \mod m_7 =$

 $= (15 \cdot 18 + 1 \cdot 15) \mod 23 = 9$

The results of coefficient w(1) adaptation in the RNS are presented in Table III.

Modules	x(1)	w*(0)	x(0)	w(1)	y(1)	d(1)	e(1)	x-1(0)	$\Delta w(1)$	w*(1)	
2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	
5	1	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
7	6	3	5	3	5	6	1	3	3	6	
11	8	10	6	3	10	10	0	2	0	3	
13	2	10	9	12	11	0	2	3	6	5	
19	3	17	4	7	3	15	12	5	3	10	
23	18	15	15	12	13	9	19	20	12	1	
29	12	13	3	21	16	1	14	10	24	16	
31	10	16	30	1	4	8	4	30	27	28	
37	4	6	24	15	14	22	8	17	25	3	

The third step n = 2. At this stage, we have a set of coefficients $w = \{w^*(0), w^*(1), 1601\}$

Wherein:

 $w^*(0) = (1, 4, 3, 10, 10, 17, 15, 13, 16, 6)$ and

 $w^*(1) = (0, 1, 6, 3, 5, 10, 1, 16, 28, 3)$

w(2) = 1601 = (1, 1, 5, 6, 2, 5, 14, 6, 20, 10)

Let us calculate the response of the FIR-filter, operating in the RNS, i = 1, ..., k:

$$y_i(2) = \left(\sum_{s=0}^{2} w_i(s) x_i(2-s)\right) \mod m_i =$$

 $= (w_i^*(0)x_i(2) + w_i^*(1)x_i(1) + w_i(2)x_i(0)) \mod m_i$

Since the ADF coefficients are chosen arbitrarily, the digital filter response error y(2) from the reference signal d(2) is:

$$e_i(2) = (d_i(2) - y_i(2)) \mod m_i$$

We calculate the correction value of the second coefficient according to:

$$\Delta w_i(2) = (e_i(2)/x_i(0)) \mod m_i$$

Then the adapted value of the second coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_i^*(2) = (w_i(2) + \Delta w_i(2)) \mod m_i$$

Consider the execution of an algorithm for a module $m_7 = 23$.

In this case, the digital filter response is equal to:

 $y_7(1) = (w_7^*(0)x_7(2) + w_7^*(1)x_7(1) + w_7(2)x_7(0)) \mod m_7 =$ = (15.6 + 1.18 + 14.15) mod 23 = 19

The digital filter response error $y_7(2)$ from the reference signal is:

 $e_7(2) = (d_7(2) - y_7(2)) \mod 23 = (16 - 19) \mod 23 = 20$

Inverse element value is given as: $x_7^{-1}(0) \mod 23 = 20$

We calculate the correction value of the second coefficient according to:

 $\Delta w_7(2) = (e_7(2) / x_7(0)) \mod 23 = (20 \cdot 20) \mod 23 = 9$

Then the adapted value of the first coefficient will be equal to:

$$w_7^*(2) = (w_7(2) + \Delta w_7(2)) \mod m_7 = (14+9) \mod 23 = 0$$

We calculate the adapted response:

 $y_7^*(2) = (w_7^*(0)x_7(2) + w_7^*(1)x_7(1) + w_7^*(2)x_7(0)) \mod m_7 =$ = (15.6 + 1.18 + 0.15) mod 23 = 16

The results of coefficient w(2) adaptation in the RNS are presented in the Table IV.

This article has been accepted for publication in a future issue of this journal, but has not been fully edited. Content may change prior to final publication. Citation information: DOI 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3085704, IEEE Access



				SEC	COND ADF	TABLE IV COEFFICIEN	T ADAPTAT	ION				
Modules	x(2)	w*(0)	x(1)	w*(1)	x(0)	w(2)	y(2)	d(2)	e(2)	x ⁻¹ (0)	$\Delta w(2)$	w*(2)
2	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
5	4	4	1	1	1	1	3	0	2	1	2	3
7	1	3	6	6	5	5	1	6	5	3	1	6
11	7	10	8	3	6	6	9	8	10	2	9	4
13	3	10	2	5	9	2	6	9	3	3	9	11
19	10	17	3	10	4	5	11	13	2	5	10	15
23	6	15	18	1	15	14	19	16	20	20	9	0
29	0	13	12	16	3	6	7	20	13	10	14	20
31	29	16	10	28	30	20	11	9	29	30	2	22
37	29	6	4	3	24	10	19	14	32	17	26	36

Thus, after the third stage of the proposed algorithm, the following adapted coefficients, presented in the RNS, were obtained:

• Coefficient $w^*(0) = (1, 4, 3, 10, 10, 17, 15, 13, 16, 6)$

• Coefficient $w^*(1) = (0, 1, 6, 3, 5, 10, 1, 16, 28, 3)$

• Coefficient $w^*(2) = (1,3,6,4,11,15,0,20,22,36)$.

Using these coefficients, we have the ADF response in the RNS:

• Response $y^{*}(0) = (1, 4, 1, 5, 12, 11, 18, 10, 15, 33) = -10401$

• Response $y^{*}(1) = (1, 0, 6, 10, 0, 15, 9, 1, 8, 22) = 12935$

• Response $y^*(2) = (0, 0, 6, 8, 9, 13, 16, 20, 9, 14) = 107610$.

As a result of the application of the developed adaptation algorithm of a digital filter operating in the RNS, samples of a given reference signal were obtained $d = \{-10401, 12935, 107610\}$.

Comments. In the considered example, the case is shown when the signal length Q is equal to the filter length L. If we use the classical approach [29, 30] to calculate the adaptive filter coefficients when the signal length is longer than the filter length, then we will need to compare the received response of the digital filter with the reference signal. Since we have extended the work into RNS, for this we will have to produce the reverse conversion of the RNS-BNS, do a comparison, and then, if the required error is not achieved, generate further coefficients' adaptation.

As a result, all the RNS advantages in speed will be lost $(\exp. s (5) - (9))$.

To eliminate this drawback, the following steps are proposed (see Adaptive Filter block in Figure.1). Let the filter length L = 15, and the signal length Q = 60, that is x(0)...x(59). We divide the input vector into 4 groups of L = 15 samples.

We have the following groups:

- the first group contains the input signal samples x(0)...x(14);
- the second group contains the input signal samples x(15)...x(29);
- the third group contains the input signal samples x(30)...x(44);

• the fourth group contains the input signal samples x(45)...x(59).

We take the first group of samples x(0)...x(14). Using the developed algorithm, we obtain the first group of adaptive filter coefficients w(0), w(1),..., w(14). These coefficients are stored in memory. The filter has been executed for 15 cycles.

We zero out ADF coefficients and filter content. Next the second group is presented to the input x(15)...x(29). Using the developed algorithm for this set of samples, we obtain the second group of coefficients w(0), w(1),..., w(14). These coefficients are stored in memory. The filter has been executed for 15 cycles.

Again, we zero out ADF coefficients and filter content. Next the third group is presented to the input x(30)...x(44). Using the developed algorithm for this set of samples, we obtain the third group of coefficients w(0), w(1), ..., w(14). These coefficients are stored in memory. The filter has been executed for 15 cycles.

Again, we zero out ADF coefficients and filter content. Next the fourth group is presented to the input $x(45) \dots x(59)$. Using the developed algorithm for this set of samples, we obtain the fourth group of coefficients $w(0), w(1), \dots, w(14)$. These coefficients are stored in memory. The filter is configured to run for 15 cycles.

As a result, we get four times more filter coefficients. However, we do not have to perform the operation of comparing the output response using the RNS coefficients and the ideal response. In addition, to obtain all the coefficients, we needed only 60 clock cycles (in a conventional ADF this lasts much longer).

The filter works as follows.

The first 15 samples x(0)...x(14) of the processed signal are fed to the input of the filter. At the same time, the first group of coefficients w(0), w(1),..., w(14) is selected.

After 15 clock cycles, we get 15 output samples y(0)...y(14). In this case, we get a truncated linear convolution. (The length of the filter output signal for the first group should be 15+15-1 = 29. We leave only the first 15 output samples). The filter content is reset.

Then the filter coefficients are replaced by the second group w(0), w(1),..., w(14). The second group of 15 samples



 $x(15) \dots x(29)$ of the processed signal is sequentially fed to the input. After 15 clock cycles, we get the second group of 15 output samples $y(15) \dots y(29)$ at the output. The filter content is reset.

Then the filter coefficients are replaced by the third group w(0), w(1),..., w(14). The third group of 15 samples $x(30) \dots x(44)$ of the processed signal is sequentially fed to the input. After 15 clock cycles, we get the third group of 15 output samples $y(30) \dots y(44)$ at the output. The filter content is reset.

Then the filter coefficients are replaced by the fourth group w(0), w(1),..., w(14). The fourth group of 15 samples x(45) ...x(59) of the processed signal is sequentially fed to the input. After 15 clock cycles, we get the fourth group of 15 output samples y(45) ...y(59) at the output. The filter content is reset.

Thus, we use a window size equal to the filter length.

Disadvantages:

The memory circuit costs for storing the ADF coefficients for each group increase. However, now there is a lot of memory and it is very cheap.

There is a division operation (exp. (10), (14)). Moreover, the fraction denominator, generally, can be equal to zero. To avoid dividing by zero, it is proposed to shift the input signal and the reference signal:

 $x_{sh} = x_i + \max(abs(x_i)) + C$ $d_{sh} = d_i + \max(abs(x_i)) + C$

Here: x_i – the input signal, d_i – the reference signal, x_{sh} – the shifted input signal, d_{sh} – the shifted reference signal, C-const.

Advantages:

1. Using the developed algorithm, it is possible to obtain ADF coefficients for each group without errors. This will improve the signal processing accuracy.

2. There is no comparison operation with the reference signal.

3. Increases the speed of digital filtering through using of the RNS.

Using of the adaptation algorithm with the least square method in the ADF leads to a change in the coefficients at each iteration, such that with a large input signal length, the deviation of the ADF output signal from the reference signal will be minimal.

In the proposed method for implementing the ADF in the RNS by dividing the input vector into blocks by samples L L, sets of coefficients will be obtained, the use of which will provide zero error from the reference signal. In the developed algorithm, at each step, only one ADF coefficient is adapted, and not all coefficients at once as in the LMS.

To adjust all filter coefficients, we need to perform L pairs of addition/multiplication operations, L – the filter length. So, we need to perform 60 pairs of addition/multiplication operations to adjust all filter coefficients (zero error, not iterative process). In case of using LMS we need to perform

15 pairs of addition/multiplication operations per iteration to adjust all filter coefficients (not zero error, iterative process). In case of using RLS we need to perform 644 pairs of addition/multiplication operations per iteration to adjust all filter coefficients (not zero error, iterative process).

IV. SIMULATION DETAILS

The denoising quality estimates of the considered algorithms for various test non-stationary signals (Fig. 2) and various noise levels (Q = 4096, L = 32, SNR = -6...6 dB) are shown in Fig. 3-8. The developed algorithm is hereinafter referred to as adaptive filtering – AF.



FIGURE 2. Test signals

We will use the standard RMSE metric (Root Mean Square Error) to estimate the filtering quality:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{Q} (x_i - \tilde{x}_i)^2}{Q}}$$
(17)

 x_i – initial signal; \tilde{x}_i – noised signal; Q – number of samples.



FIGURE 3. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Blocks"





FIGURE 4. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Bumps"



FIGURE 5. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Heavy Sine"

One can see (Fig. 3-5) that LMS converges more slowly than RLS. AF always provides zero error. The dependences are preserved in all the experiments conducted for different non-stationary signals and different SNR values.



FIGURE 6. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Doppler"



FIGURE 7. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Quadchirp"



FIGURE 8. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Signal "Mishmash"

However, sometimes (Fig. 6-8) LMS doesn't converge at all. RLS still converges. AF still provides zero error. The dependences are preserved in all the experiments conducted for different non-stationary signals and different SNR values.

The denoising quality estimates of the considered algorithms for the earthquake recording (MATLAB dataset) are shown in Fig. 9. The developed algorithm allows one to perform denoising without losing quality, which can improve the results of further classification (e.g., earthquake/explosion).





FIGURE 9. LMS vs RLS vs AF: denoising quality. Earthquake recording

Fig. 10 shows the dependence of the algorithm execution time on the input signal length (filter length = const = 32).



FIGURE 10. LMS vs RLS vs AF: execution time (filter length = const = 32)



Fig. 11 shows the dependence of the algorithm execution time on the filter length (signal length = const = 4096).

FIGURE 11. LMS vs RLS vs AF: execution time (signal length = const= =4096

One can see from Fig. 10-11 that RLS is very expensive in both cases, LMS and proposed algorithm AF are very fast and computationally stable (they are very close, but the upward trend continues).

Fig. 12 shows the dependence of the RMSE on the filter length (signal length = const = 4096).



FIGURE 12. LMS vs RLS vs AF: RMSE (signal length = const = 4096)

Obviously, the filter length does not have a significant effect on the RMSE.

The amplitude frequency responses and impulse responses of adaptive filters (LMS, RLS and AF) at the end of the adaptation process (last iteration or last block) are shown below (Fig. 13). The difference between LMS/RLS and AF amplitude frequency responses and impulse responses is due to block processing.

Fig. 14-15 illustrates the denoising quality using algorithms LMS, RLS and AF with a fixed signal-to-noise ratio for the earthquake recording.





FIGURE 13. LMS vs RLS vs AF: Amplitude frequency response (left) and Impulse response (right)



FIGURE 14. LMS vs RLS vs AF: Denoising quality for earthquake recording with SNR=-3 dB

VOLUME XX, 2017





FIGURE 15. LMS vs RLS vs AF: Denoising quality for earthquake recording with SNR=3 dB

All experiments were performed using real and synthetic data. The simulation was performed on a PC with the following architecture: OS Win 10 64-bit, CPU Intel Core i7 Skylake 4.0 GHz, RAM Kingston HyperX Fury 64 GB 2.4 GHz DDR4, NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 1.7 GHz DDR5 GPU 8 GB 10 GHz, 2560 CUDA Cores, MATLAB R2020b 64-bit.

V. **DISCUSSION**

Studies have shown that well-known algorithms (LMS, RLS) [31] are not advisable to use when constructing digital filters operating in the RNS, due to the complexity of the implementation. These filters are found in only a few studies and for quite some time [26, 27].

In [32], a hybrid Residue Number System (RNS) implementation of an adaptive FIR filter based on the LMS adaptation algorithm is presented. The advantages in terms of area and speed of the hybrid RNS adaptive filter with respect to the two's complement one have been evaluated for a standard cells' implementation showing savings in area and power dissipation of about 50%.

In [33] the authors investigated the implementation of a low-power adaptive filter, based on the use of binary components for the LMS algorithm and on RNS components for the variable filter.

A block LMS (BLMS) implementation [34] was preferred for the update of the adaptive FIR filter coefficients. RNS-FPL merged filters demonstrated its superiority when compared to 2C (two's complement) filters, being about 65% faster and requiring fewer logic elements for most study cases.

A sequential algorithm for adjusting the filter coefficients operating in the RNS is presented. To adjust each coefficient, it is necessary to perform one subtraction operation, one multiplication operation and one addition operation modulo the RNS, i.e., recalculation time is proportional to the filter order.

We provide a fundamentally new algorithm that surpasses the existing ones like LMS and RLS, and their modifications [26-28, 32-34] (Table V) in a number of parameters: adaptation (denoising) quality (zero error, Fig. 3-8), ease of implementation, execution time (Fig. 10-11). The main difference between the developed algorithm is the sequential adaptation of each individual coefficient with zero error. In the known algorithms, the entire vector of coefficients is iteratively adapted, with some specified accuracy.

TABLE V LMS VS RLS VS AF

LMS	RLS	AF (proposed)
Simple and can be	Increased complexity	Simple and can be easily
easily applied.	and computational	applied.
	cost.	
Takes longer to	Faster convergence.	N/A
converge.		
Adaptation is	Adaptation is based	Adaptation is performed one
based on gradient	on recursive approach	coefficient per step
based approach	that finds the filter	
filter weights in a	minimize a weighted	
manner to	linear least square	
converge to the	cost function relating	
optimum filter	to the input signals.	
weights.	1	
C		
Larger steady state	Lower steady state	Zero error
error with respect	error with respect to	
to unknown	unknown system.	
system compared		
to the RLS		
algorithm.	A . C . 1.	
No account for the	Accounts for past data	No account for the past data.
past data.	the current data point	
Objective is to	Objective is to	N/A
00,000 15 10	00,000 15 10	11/11

IEEE Access Multidisciplinary : Rapid Review : Open Access Journal

minimize the current mean square error between the desired signal and the output. No memory involved. Older error values play no role in the total error considered.	minimize the total weighted squared error between the desired signal and the output. Has infinite memory. All error data is considered in the total error. Using the forgetting factor, the older data can be less emphasized compared to the newer data. Since $0 \le \lambda < 1$, applying the factor is equivalent to	No memory involved. Older error values play no role in the total error considered.
	weighting the older error	
To adjust the coefficients at each step, $N + 1$ pairs of addition- multiplication operations are needed to be performed N is the filter order).	To adjust the coefficients at each step, $3N^2 + 4N$ pairs of addition-multiplication operations are needed to be performed (<i>N</i> is the filter order).	To adjust all filter coefficients, you need to perform N pairs of addition/multiplication operations (N is the filter order).

Thus, it is obvious that the use of the developed algorithm can significantly reduce the time spent on adjusting the filter coefficients in comparison with previously known adaptation algorithms. At the same time, the coefficients adjustment can be carried out simultaneously for the selected modules/groups and does not require the operation of converting the RNS into a BNS for comparing the obtained value of the output sample with its reference value.

The results obtained allow us to conclude that the use of the RNS provides an increase in the efficiency of the adaptive digital filter algorithm. In this case, it is necessary to take into account the fact that the filter coefficients adjustment in any case is carried out with an allowable (specified) error and the filtering algorithm (LMS, RLS) with the adjusted coefficients introduces an error into the value of the filter output sample. Therefore, the use of the RNS allows one to reduce the value of this error, which confirms the expediency of their application in digital signal processing algorithms.

Further research will be related with fast FPGA implementation of the ADF based on the proposed technique. This technique will be used to develop faster methods of digital signal processing, cryptography, machine learning.

VI. CONCLUSION

The paper provides a mathematical description of the known algorithms (LMS, RLS), as well as a new algorithm for adjusting the adaptive digital filter coefficients in the RNS. The computational complexity and speed of these algorithms are estimated. The speed and accuracy of adaptation were evaluated.

The proposed algorithm surpasses the existing ones like LMS and RLS, and their modifications in a number of parameters: adaptation (denoising) quality, ease of implementation, execution time.

REFERENCES

- A. Voznesensky and D. Kaplun, "Adaptive Signal Processing Algorithms Based on EMD and ITD," in IEEE Access, vol. 7, pp. 171313-171321, 2019.
- [2] D. M. Klionskiy, D. I. Kaplun and S. A. Romanov, "Adaptive techniques of signal processing," 2017 IEEE VI Forum Strategic Partnership of Universities and Enterprises of Hi-Tech Branches (Science. Education. Innovations) (SPUE), St. Petersburg, 2017, pp. 218-219.
- [3] Neil J. Bershad, José C.M. Bermudez, A switched variable step size NLMS adaptive filter, Digital Signal Processing, Volume 101, 2020, 102730, ISSN 1051-2004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2020.102730.
- [4] Dariush Kari, Ali H. Mirza, Farhan Khan, Huseyin Ozkan, Suleyman S. Kozat, Boosted adaptive filters, Digital Signal Processing, Volume 81, 2018, Pages 61-78, ISSN 1051-2004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2018.07.012.
- [5] Paulo S. R. Diniz. Adaptive Filtering: Algorithms and Practical Implementation, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008. - 627p.
- [6] Muhammad Tufail, Shakeel Ahmed, Muhammad Rehan, Muhammad Tahir Akhtar, A two adaptive filters-based method for reducing effects of acoustic feedback in single-channel feedforward ANC systems, Digital Signal Processing, Volume 90, 2019, Pages 18-27, ISSN 1051-2004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsp.2019.03.016.
- [7] P. A. Lyakhov, A. R. Orazaev, N. I. Chervyakov and D. I. Kaplun, "A New Method for Adaptive Median Filtering of Images," 2019 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EIConRus), Saint Petersburg and Moscow, Russia, 2019, pp. 1197-1201.
- [8] Butusov D, Karimov T, Voznesenskiy A, Kaplun D, Andreev V, Ostrovskii V. Filtering Techniques for Chaotic Signal Processing. Electronics. 2018; 7(12):450.
- [9] D. M. Klionskiy, D. I. Kaplun, A. M. Golubkov and V. V. Gulvanskiy, "Adaptive algorithm for hydroacoustic signal processing," 2017 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EIConRus), St. Petersburg, 2017, pp. 686-689.
- [10] Omondi Amos R, Premkumar A Benjamin. Residue Number System: Theory and Implementation. Imperial College Press, London, 2007. -312p.
- [11] G. Jullien, W. Miller, J. Soltis, A. Baraniecka and B. Tseng, "Hardware realization of digital signal processing elements using the residue number system.," ICASSP '77. IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, Hartford, CT, USA, 1977, pp. 506-510, doi: 10.1109/ICASSP.1977.1170347.
- [12] P.V. Ananda Mohan. Residue Number Systems Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, 2016. - 351p.
- [13] I. A. Kalmykov, A. V. Veligosha, D. I. Kaplun, D. M. Klionskiy and V. V. Gulvanskiy, "Parallel-pipeline implementation of digital signal processing techniques based on modular codes," 2016 XIX IEEE International Conference on Soft Computing and Measurements (SCM), St. Petersburg, 2016, pp. 213-214.
- [14] Chang, C.; Lee, W.; Liu, Y.; Goi, B.; Phan, R.C.-W. Signature gateway: Offloading signature generation to IoT gateway accelerated by GPU. IEEE Internet Things J. 2019, 6, 4448–4461.
- [15] Chervyakov, N.I.; Babenko, M.G.; Lyakhov, P.A.; Lavrinenko, I.N. An approximate method for comparing modular numbers and its application to the division of numbers in residue number systems. Cybern. Syst. Anal. 2014, 50, 977–984.
- [16] G. Dimauro, S. Impedovo and G. Pirlo, "A new technique for fast numbers comparison in the Residue Number System", IEEE Transactions on Computers, Vol. 42, No. 5, May 1993, pp. 608-612.
- [17] M. Valueva, G. Valuev, N. Semyonova, P. Lyakhov, N. Chervyakov, D. Kaplun, and D. Bogaevskiy, "Construction of Residue Number System Using Hardware Efficient Diagonal Function," Electronics, vol. 8, no. 6, p. 694, Jun. 2019.

IEEEAccess

- [18] P. Boyvalenkov et al., "Classification of Moduli Sets for Residue Number System with Special Diagonal Functions," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 156104-156116, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3019452.
- [19] Simon Haykin, Bernard Widrow. Least-Mean-Square Adaptive Filters. John Wiley & Sons, 2003. - 512p.
- [20] Ali H. Sayed. Adaptive Filters. John Wiley & Sons, 2011. 824p.
- [21] Haykin S. Adaptive filter theory, 5th edition. London: Pearson Education, 2014. – 912 p.
- [22] Michael A. Soderstrand. Residue Number System Arithmetic: Modern Applications in Digital Signal Processing, IEEE, 1986. - 418p.
- [23] D. I. Kaplun, A. S. Voznesenskiy, V. V. Gulvanskii and D. V. Bogaevskiy, "Application of non-positional codes for FIR-filter implementation using computers with CUDA technology," 2018 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (EIConRus), Moscow, 2018, pp. 1086-1089.
- [24] K. Isupov, "Using Floating-Point Intervals for Non-Modular Computations in Residue Number System," in IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 58603-58619, 2020.
- [25] Alexander D. Poularikas. Adaptive Filtering: Fundamentals of Least Mean Squares with MATLAB. CRC Press, 2017. - 363p.
- [26] Miller, D., and J. Polky. "An implementation of the LMS algorithm in the residue number system." IEEE transactions on circuits and systems 31.5 (1984): 452-461.
- [27] Miller and Polky, "A Residue Number System Implementation of the LMS Algorithm Using Optical Waveguide Circuits," in IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. C-32, no. 11, pp. 1013-1028, Nov. 1983.
- [28] A. V. Veligosha, D. I. Kaplun, D. V. Bogaevskiy, V. V. Gulvanskiy, A. S. Voznesenskiy and I. A. Kalmykov, "Adjustment of adaptive digital filter coefficients in modular codes," 2018 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering (ElConRus), Moscow, 2018, pp. 1167-1170.
- [29] P.V. Ananda Mohan. Residue Number Systems: Algorithms and Architectures. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012. - 253p.
- [30] Amir Sabbagh, Molahosseini, Leonel Seabra de Sousa, and Chip-Hong Chang. Embedded Systems Design with Special Arithmetic and Number Systems, Springer International Publishing, 2017. - 389p.
- [31] Shubhra Dixit, Deepak Nagaria. LMS Adaptive Filters for Noise Cancellation: A Review. International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE). Vol. 7, No. 5, October 2017, pp. 2520-2529.
- [32] G.L. Bernocchi, G.C. Cardarilli, A. Del Re, A. Nannarelli and M. Re. A Hybrid RNS Adaptive Filter for Channel Equalization. 2006 Fortieth Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers.
- [33] Bernocchi, Gian Luca, et al. "Low-power adaptive filter based on RNS components." 2007 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems. IEEE, 2007.
- [34] Javier Ramírez, Anke Meyer-Base, Antonio Garcia, Antonio Lloris-Ruíz. Design and Implementation of RNS-Based Adaptive Filters. Field Programmable Logic and Application, 13th International Conference, FPL 2003, Lisbon, Portugal, September 1-3, 2003, Proceedings.



KAPLUN DMITRII I. PhD (2009), Associate Professor (2015), senior researcher at Saint Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI" (Saint Petersburg, Russia). In 2009 he defended his PhD thesis in digital signal processing at Saint Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI". The current research and academic

work are concerned with digital signal and image processing, radio monitoring and hydroacoustic monitoring applications, embedded and reconfigurable systems, computer vision and machine learning. D. Kaplun regularly takes part in different collaborative projects connected with signal processing, artificial neural networks, and software-hardware implementation of digital signal processing algorithms. The most substantial results are in the fields of digital signal and image processing, embedded systems, artificial neural networks and machine learning. Author of more than 50 papers in journals and conference proceedings.



VOZNESENSKY ALEXANDER S. was born in Saint-Petersburg, Russia in 1991. He

received the B.S. (2012) and M.S. (2014) degrees in Applied Mathematics and Informatics from Saint-Petersburg Electrotechnical University "LETI", and currently works on the Ph.D. thesis. From 2012 to the present, he works as Data Scientist at Saint-Petersburg Electrotechnical University

"LETI" (Faculty of Computer Science and Technology, Department of Automation and Control Processes) He is the author of more than 25 articles, and 3 patents. His research interests include MATLAB, mathematical modeling, signal processing, image processing, video processing, neural networks, CNN, C/C++, CUDA, parallel computing, data mining, data science, data analysis.



KALMYKOV IGOR A. was born in January 1967. In 1989, he graduated from the Stavropol Higher Military Engineering School of Communications. From 1991 to 1994, he was PhD student at the Stavropol Higher Military Engineering School of Communications. In December 1995, PhD degree was awarded. In 2000, the academic title of assistant professor in the department was awarded. In 2006, he became a laureate of the Potanin Prize - the best teacher of a military university. In February

2007, the degree of Doctor of Technical Sciences was awarded. In February 2009, the academic title of professor in the department was awarded. In 2010, he was awarded the title of Honorary Worker of Higher Professional Education. From 2010 to the present, he has been working as a professor at the Department of Information Security of Automated Systems of the North Caucasus Federal University. Field of research: modular arithmetic in Galois fields, corrective codes; fault-tolerant nonpositional special processors and computing devices for digital signal processing, neuroinformatics, adaptive means of protecting information from unauthorized access.



VELIGOSHA ALEXANDER V. was born in May 1959 in the city of Kislovodsk. In 1981 he graduated from the Kiev Higher Military Engineering School of Communications. In 1990 he graduated from the Military Academy of Communications named after S.M. Budyonny. From 1993 to 1996 he was PhD student at the Stavropol Higher Military Engineering School of Communications. In June 1997, PhD degree was awarded. In May 1998 he was awarded the academic title of

Associate Professor. From 2010 to 2016 he worked as an associate professor of the department of the North Caucasus Federal University. From 2016 to the present, associate professor of the department of the branch of the Military Academy after Peter the Great in Serpukhov. Field of research: modular arithmetic and its application in digital signal processing (digital filtering algorithms) and in processing various types of video data. Intelligent digital signal processing algorithms. Satellite communication systems (antenna platforms for communication repeaters).



KANDARPA KUMAR SARMA, currently serves as the Professor and Head, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Gauhati University, Assam, obtained MTech in Signal India. He Processing from Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Assam India in 2005 and later obtained PhD from the same institute

in the area of mobile communication. He is a senior member of IEEE. His areas of interest include deep learning, mobile communication, speech processing, antenna design and electronic warfare.

VOLUME XX, 2017