Manuscript Details

Manuscript number	TIFS_2017_28
Title	TECHNOLOGICAL TOOLS TO INCLUDE WHEY PROTEINS IN CHEESE: CURRENT STATUS AND PERSPECTIVES
Article type	Review Article

Abstract

Background: Cheese manufacturing consists of a dehydration process by which most of the whey is drained off. Whey proteins (WP) represent a nutritionally valuable fraction largely lost in the whey stream. The increasing consumer demand for food with novel texture and functionality prompted the dairy industry to exploit the valorization of WP. Scope and approach: Cheese milk is considered a vehicle for the inclusion of WP. In this review, we discuss technological tools to fortify cheese with WP. We focus on both the pioneer approaches (the heat treatment of cheese milk, membrane separation technologies and the direct addition of milk- or whey-based derivatives) and on more recent techniques such as high hydrostatic pressure, ultra-high pressure homogenization, transglutaminase treatment of cheese milk and direct addition of buttermilk. Key findings and conclusions: Milk fortification with WP influences the yield and overall properties of resulting cheeses. Processing conditions need to be adapted to successfully manufacture WP-fortified conventional cheeses as well as novel varieties (such as low-fat cheeses). As yet, only some technological tools to include WP in cheese are exploited at industrial scale. The combination of different techniques is a strategy to overcome the impairment of cheese attributes.

Keywords	whey proteins; fortification; cheese; yield; whey-based derivatives.
Manuscript region of origin	Europe
Corresponding Author	MASOTTI FABIO
Corresponding Author's Institution	Dipartimento di Scienze per gli Alimenti, la Nutrizione e l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi di Milano
Order of Authors	MASOTTI FABIO, Stefano Cattaneo, Milda Stuknytė, Ivano De Noni
Suggested reviewers	Linda Giblin, Paul L. H. McSweeney, John A. Lucey, Thomas Henle, Nadia Innocente

Submission Files Included in this PDF

File Name [File Type]

Cover letter.doc [Cover Letter]

Manuscript.docx [Manuscript]

Fig. 1.tif [Figure]

Fig. 2.tif [Figure]

Highlights.docx [Highlights (for review)]

To view all the submission files, including those not included in the PDF, click on the manuscript title on your EVISE Homepage, then click 'Download zip file'.

January 24th, 2017

Dear Editor,

On behalf of all Authors, I have a pleasure to submit the manuscript "Technological tools to include whey proteins in cheese: current status and perspectives" by Stefano Cattaneo, Milda Stuknytė, Ivano De Noni and myself to be considered for publication in *Trends in Food Science & Technology*. In this review, we discuss the progress in technological approaches useful to enhance the recovery of whey proteins in cheese. Focus is addressed both to recent developments in research and to strategies based on the combination of multi-step processes. In our opinion, this topic in dairy technology captures the interest of *i)* researchers for a better knowledge of the comparative quality of cheeses, *ii)* consumers for their expectations in foods with novel texture and functionality and *iii)* cheese makers for the subsequent increase in cheese yield.

We slightly exceeded the limit of 10,000 words due to the large amount of data collected in tables.

We hope that the manuscript could be considered for the publication in *Trends in Food Science & Technology*.

Sincerely,

Fabio Masotti

Corresponding author

Università degli Studi di Milano,
Dipartimento di Scienze per gli Alimenti, la Nutrizione e l'Ambiente (DeFENS),
Via G. Celoria 2,
20133 Milan, Italy
E-mail: fabio.masotti@unimi.it
Phone: +39 02 50316665
Fax: +39 02 50316672

1   **TECHNOLOGICAL TOOLS TO INCLUDE WHEY PROTEINS IN CHEESE: CURRENT STATUS**

2   **AND PERSPECTIVES**

3

4   Fabio Masotti[*], Stefano Cattaneo, Milda Stuknytė, Ivano De Noni

5

6   Dipartimento di Scienze per gli Alimenti, la Nutrizione e l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via G.

7   Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy

8   [*]Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0250316665; fax: +39 0250316672.

9   E-mail address: fabio.masotti@unimi.it (F. Masotti).

10

11   ABSTRACT

12   *Background*: Cheese manufacturing consists of a dehydration process by which most of the whey is drained off.

13   Whey proteins (WP) represent a nutritionally valuable fraction largely lost in the whey stream. The increasing

14   consumer demand for food with novel texture and functionality prompted the dairy industry to exploit the

15   valorization of WP.

16   *Scope and approach:* Cheese milk is considered a vehicle for the inclusion of WP. In this review, we discuss

17   technological tools to fortify cheese with WP. We focus on both the pioneer approaches (the heat treatment of

18   cheese milk, membrane separation technologies and the direct addition of milk- or whey-based derivatives) and

19   on more recent techniques such as high hydrostatic pressure, ultra-high pressure homogenization,

20   transglutaminase treatment of cheese milk and direct addition of buttermilk.

21   *Key findings and conclusions:* Milk fortification with WP influences the yield and overall properties of resulting

22   cheeses. Processing conditions need to be adapted to successfully manufacture WP-fortified conventional

23   cheeses as well as novel varieties (such as low-fat cheeses). As yet, only some technological tools to include WP

24   in cheese are exploited at industrial scale. The combination of different techniques is a strategy to overcome the

25   impairment of cheese attributes.

26

28

29   **Introduction**

30   Cheesemaking is the process by which milk components present as colloidal dispersion (casein (CN) and

31   calcium phosphate) and emulsion (fat) form a network, while the soluble constituents (whey proteins (WP),

32   lactose and some minerals) are largely drained off in the whey. More than half of solids of the whole milk are

33   contained in whey, making it stand out as a useful resource of nutrients. About 80 to 90% in volume of milk-in-

34   vat is recovered as whey after cheesemaking. The management of this by-product has been a topic of interest for

35   a long time, due to the increasing ongoing volumes worldwide, the high organic content and environmental- and

36   health-related issues. Extensive investigations focused on the exploitation of technofunctional, biological and

37   nutritional properties of the whey (Guyomarc'h et al., 2015; Yadav et al., 2015). By far, membrane technology

38   enabled the breakthrough of whey processing into several derivatives favoring their incorporation as ingredients

39   into different foods. WP are perceived as high-quality proteins, and for this reason, their application field covers

40   different food and dairy industry sectors. Cheese represents an attractive delivery vehicle for protein-based

41   ingredients due to the simultaneous increase of yield (Yadav et al., 2015) and the widespread consumption. The

42   relevance of this topic, going far back in time, was demonstrated by the pioneering development of ultrafiltration

43   (UF) techniques on behalf of dairy industry. The target to make cheeses with ultrafiltered milk consists in the

44   retention or the reintegration of WP to increase the yield of the end product. Fortification of cheese with WP to

45   boost its nutritional profile has been identified as an additional target with a steadily growing trend. Nutritional

46   investigations on high-protein diet evidenced the considerable value of whey-derived protein sources because of

47   their metabolic influence on weight management and body composition (Pichon et al., 2008). WP and CN differ

48   markedly in terms of amino acid composition and behavior during digestion and absorption in the intestine. CN

49   is relatively rich in tyrosine and phenylalanine, while WP in sulfur-containing amino acids, lysine, threonine and

50   tryptophan (Pellegrino, Masotti, Cattaneo, Hogenboom, & De Noni, 2013). More recently, Singh, Øiseth,

51   Lundin, and Day (2014) highlighted that processing conditions (shearing) applied to WP promote structural

52 changes affecting their digestibility. Such knowledge could have an outcome in the release of biologically active

53 peptides.

54   Different pre-treatments of cheese milk have been developed to incorporate native or denatured WP in the

55 cheese matrix. These options opened new avenues for progress in cheesemaking. However, several issues related

56 to the inclusion of WP in cheese and contributing to scale-up capability should be evaluated (Fig. 1). The

57 inclusion of WP in conventional cheese is a theoretically viable strategy, because according to the definition

58 adopted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex, 2011), cheese is defined as the product in which the

59 whey protein/casein ratio does not exceed that of milk. However, the slow growth rate at industrial level of a

60 technique for the enhancement of WP content in cheese is partly ascribable to the uncertainty of its use in

61 compliance with regulations and the varying state laws.

62   This review focuses on novel insights and recent developments reported in literature on the processing

63 techniques to increase the recovery of WP in cheese. We surveyed approaches to verify their feasibility alone or

64 in combination, pointing out benefits and drawbacks in terms of overall quality attributes of the deriving cheeses.

65

66 **Technologies to include whey proteins in cheese**

67   Figure 2 illustrates cheese milk pre-treatments targeted toward the inclusion of WP in cheese and

68 characterized by different viability at cheese factory and variable effectiveness in WP recovery. We reported the

69 current literature on these technological tools, including the influence on the yield and the overall quality of

70 resulting cheeses, in Tables 1 and 2. The strategy based on the combination of several cheese milk pre-

71 treatments to recover WP in cheese could be successfully exploited to overcome cheese defects subsequent to the

72 adoption of a single-step procedure (Table 3). Finally, we detailed a synoptic presentation of the technological

73 tools herein reviewed with advantages and drawbacks in terms of cheese quality in Table 4.

74

75 **Heat treatment of milk**

76   The influence of heating on cheesemaking properties of milk has been an issue of large interest for research

77 studies (Singh & Waungana, 2001). Differently from CN, which is a heat-stable protein, WP starting from about

60 °C denature in a temperature/time-dependent manner (Guyomarc'h, 2006). Conventional pasteurization (72 °C for 20 s) triggers only 2 to 5% denaturation of WP (Walstra, Wouters, & Geurts, 2006). In detail, WP unfold, denature and, eventually, aggregate via thiol-disulfide interchange reactions and hydrophobic or electrostatic interactions. Reactive thiol groups of ß-lactoglobulin (ß-Lg) can self-aggregate or interact with κ-CN forming both soluble and micelle-bound complexes (Donato & Guyomarc'h, 2009), similar in the appearance to filamentous appendages protruding from the surface of the micelles (Fox, Guinee, Cogan, & McSweeney, 2017). The *para*-κ-CN/ß-Lg network is characterized by reduced syneretic properties. Thus, in addition to the incorporation of denatured WP in the curd, also an increase in moisture contributes to the improvement of the cheese yield (Kelly, Huppertz, & Sheehan, 2008). Provided cheese quality is maintained, milk heating is considered an economically profitable way to increase the cheese yield (Fox et al., 2017). The use of pasteurized milk at 72 °C for 15 s promotes only a fractional increase in cheese yield. However, too severe WP denaturation of cheese milk excessively prolongs rennet coagulation time and, as a consequence, weaker and finer curds form, retaining more water than normal (Huppertz et al., 2005; Singh & Waungana, 2001). Cheeses show a crumbly and soft texture with poor meltability (Guyomarc'h, 2006). Generally, clotting and syneresis can be satisfactory, upon adjusted cheesemaking conditions, up to about 20% WP denaturation. Under these conditions, protein yield can be increased by about 4% (Walstra et al., 2006). Atasoy, Yetişmeyen, Türkoğlu, and Özer (2008) evaluated the influence of heat treatment of cheese milk on the properties of Urfa cheese. By heating milk at 65 °C for 20 min or 75 °C for 5 min the cheese yield increased 3.5 and 9.9%, respectively. However, the higher temperature impaired chemical and sensory properties of the cheese. Hougaard, Ardö, and Ipsen (2010), applying instant infusion to cheese milk at temperatures of 72, 100 and 120 °C (with holding time of 0.2 s), recorded prolonged rennet coagulation time, reduced curd firming rate and increased the yield reflecting the intensity of heat treatment. Obtained cheeses differed from those deriving from raw or high-temperature short-time pasteurized milk in terms of composition, texture and ripening. Thermal treatment of cheese milk was proposed as a solution to limit or prevent undesirable rheological and textural characteristics typical of reduced-fat cheeses (Table 1).

An application of milk heating to increase cheese yield, enabling WP recovery, would result more appropriate in lactic or fresh cheeses (Donato & Guyomarc'h, 2009). Hinrichs (2001) reported that the

104 increasing intensity of milk heating in fresh acid-cheese technology translated in a progressive increase in the

105 retention of WP. Obtained curds were smoother than those achieved with conventional pasteurization process,

106 due to the increased water solvation of denatured WP.

107 Literature suggested various modifications to overcome deleterious heat-induced changes, favoring WP

108 retention into cheeses, namely:

109 i) to increase soluble calcium levels of milk by addition of $CaCl_2$ prior to renneting. This option was adopted

110 in Cottage cheese to restore the cheesemaking properties of heated milk (Makhal, Kanawjia, & Giri, 2015).

111 Milk heating at 90 °C for 5 min (plus 0.02% $CaCl_2$) enhanced the yield by about 17%, keeping the cheese

112 quality of control cheese;

113 ii) to combine heat treatment of milk and membrane separation technology. The increase in protein

114 concentration by microfiltration counteracts the thermal impact (see the following paragraph "Membrane

115 processes").

116 iii) to use a mixture of raw/pasteurized milk and a minor amount of high-heated milk. This strategy,

117 successfully adopted in soft cheeses, allows only a slight recovery of denatured WP in the cheese (Heino,

118 Rauva, & Outinen, 2010), resulting in a drastic economic improvement in a large-scale process.

119 iv) to fortify milk with heat-denatured WP. In particular, addition of heat-denatured WP recovered from

120 severely heated whey is an effective solution. This approach could be realized by adding a whey cheese,

121 such as Ricotta, to milk-in-vat. Thomet, Bachmann, and Schafroth (2005) verified that the large flocks of

122 WP in Ricotta remained entrapped into the coagulum creating a soft texture with an increased capacity to

123 absorb water into the cheese. Ismail, Ammar, and El-Metwally (2011) reported this practice to be

124 particularly suited to low-fat semi-hard cheeses.

125 v) to heat milk at alkaline pH. The progressive increase of the pH of heat treatment is paralleled by both an

126 increase in the dissociation rate of κ-CN and the formation of aggregates of denatured WP and κ-CN in the

127 serum phase rather than on surface micelles (Guyomarc'h, 2006). This effect minimizes deleterious

128 consequences on the rennet coagulation properties of the milk.

Summing up, the recovery of WP by milk or whey heating is economically appealing, because it does not require additional equipment other than heat exchangers. Fresh acid and semi-soft cheeses do not require extensive drainage and the high-heat treatment of milk is a realistic and feasible approach for the enhancement of cheese yield by transfer of WP to the cheese matrix (Donato & Guyomarc'h, 2009), thus offering the advantage of a greater nutritional value. Differently, heated milks show reduced rennet coagulation properties. Corresponding curds appear soggy and ragged with poor stretching and melting properties (Singh & Waungana, 2001). In semi-hard and hard cheeses the consequence of the excessive inclusion of denatured WP would outcome in too high moisture of the cheese with the development of texture and flavor defects (Huppertz et al., 2005).

Membrane processes

Membrane processes consist of a pressure-driven separation and concentration of a feed through a membrane (Mistry & Maubois, 2004). The mechanism of separation is based on size and shape of molecules as well as on charge and affinity for the membrane. Milk and dairy industry have greatly benefited of membrane filtration technology for its effective and economic implementation. Among the classes of membrane techniques, differing for their molecular weight cut-off, UF was the first to be exploited for WP enrichment of cheese (Fox et al., 2017; Kelly et al., 2008). Basically, WP could be incorporated in cheese both in their native form (by their retention during processing) or in a denatured state (either after interaction with CN or as inert aggregates). In the first case, the cheese milk is directly submitted to UF, whereas the inclusion of denatured WP implies also the combined use of a heat treatment. The most commonly used UF retentates of cheese milk are as follows (Mistry & Maubois, 2004):

- *Low-concentration UF retentate* − Cheese milk is concentrated up to a maximum of 2-fold (generally, at a molecular cut-off $<2 \times 10^4$ g mol^{-1}), allowing to improve cheese yield by conventional cheese manufacturing techniques. Whey proteins, remaining entrapped in the curd matrix, contribute to the enhanced yield of cheese. Rennet-curd cheeses, such as Mozzarella, Cottage and Cheddar, can be manufactured by this technique (Guinee, O'Kennedy, & Kelly, 2006; Lipnizki, 2010). Agrawal and Hassan (2007) adopted this practice to make reduced-fat Cheddar cheese with the addition of strains of

155   lactic acid bacteria producing exopolysaccharides to retain moisture, minimizing in this way some typical

156   defects of low-fat cheeses (Table 1). Under the described conditions, the improved texture, melting and

157   viscoelastic properties of the end product matched with the slightly higher WP content, which positively

158   affected water-binding capacity;

159   - *Medium-concentration UF retentate* − Cheese milk concentration ranges from 2- to about 5-fold. WP

160   preserved in the retentate are responsible for the increase in cheese yield. Lipnizki (2010) described the

161   application of partially concentrated milk to make Queso Fresco, structure Feta, Camembert and Brie

162   cheeses. Kelly et al. (2008) refer that the adoption of medium-concentration UF retentate is currently of

163   little commercial interest;

164   - *High-concentration UF retentate or liquid pre-cheese* − Level of milk concentration is 6- to 7-fold,

165   generally reaching the total solid content of the final cheese with the exception of harder varieties as their

166   protocol requires a subsequent drainage (Fox et al., 2017). Following the adoption of this retentate in

167   cheesemaking, a complete entrapment of WP into the cheese matrix is possible when further syneresis is

168   not expexted. The use of liquid pre-cheese was assessed in different cheeses (soft or semi-hard rennet

169   varieties) including Camembert, Blue cheese, Havarti and Mozzarella (Banks, 2007). An acceptable

170   structure of the cheese was observed only when WP in the milk retentate were largely denatured by heat

171   treatment. The so-called Cast Feta is made from liquid pre-cheese, acidified with starter culture and set

172   with rennet. Both, cutting and drainage are not necessary, and the final cheese is characterized by the

173   absence of conventional openings of Feta, with the advantage of greatly increased yield due to the

174   retention of WP (Kethireddipalli & Hill, 2015). Lower UF retentates combined with heat treatment are

175   preferred to obtain a more typical open-structured Feta. Six-fold concentrated UF milk allowed to increase

176   the yield of industrial low-fat Camembert-like cheese (Hannon, Lortal, Tissier, & Famelart, 2009).

177   However, resulting cheese showed several surface defects which were ascribed to a mineral layer acting as

178   a barrier of lactate. The subsequent modification of the metabolism and the growth of surface moulds

179   contributed to the reduced rate of ripening. More generally, to counteract this last drawback in UF-cheeses

180   (promoted by extra WP as well as by the increase of buffering capacity), preserving their sensory quality,

181   milk acidification prior to UF can be adopted. Hannon, Lopez, Madec, and Lortal (2006) observed that pH

182   reduction (from 6.6 to 5.2) of renneting in UF-cheese induced an earlier onset of the starter bacteria

183   autolysis. Upon this process, intracellular enzymes were released into the cheese matrix and, as a

184   consequence, the rate of cheese proteolysis increased.

185   Hinrichs (2001) reported different possibilities to extend the inclusion of WP in fresh acid cheese. The

186 author suggested the addition to the curd of the UF retentate from the acid whey after heating. Such procedure

187 allowed a large integration of WP (from 50 to 100%), as a function of the amount of added retentate. Meanwhile,

188 excessive supplementation developed taste defects during cheese ripening. Another process to extend WP yield

189 in fresh cheese consisted in the concentration of the heated cheese milk prior to fermentation. This option,

190 although effective in terms of yield, resulted in the development of bitter taste as a consequence of the

191 proteolytic activity of starter culture. This defect was overcome when UF process was applied to fermented curd.

192 The prior acidification of the curd resulted in a fresh cheese with smooth taste.

193   Generally, a substantial benefit in cheese yield is gained by UF technology, in particular when applied to

194 the heated cheese milk. Successful developments were recorded in soft varieties either fresh acid or ripened

195 (Kethireddipalli & Hill, 2015). The ongoing investigations on this technology aim to enlarge the field of

196 applications by proper adjustments and optimization of processing conditions. As a rule of thumb, a profitable

197 application of UF technology to all cheeses requires a careful evaluation of the physicochemical properties of the

198 retentate as they contribute to the UF-cheese quality and consequently to the consumer acceptance.

199   To a lesser extent than UF, microfiltration (molecular weight cut-off $>2 \times 10^5$) may be used to retain

200 denatured WP into cheese as well. Thomet, Bachmann, and Schafroth (2004) coupled heat treatment and

201 microfiltration of milk to recover heat denatured WP in Raclette-type cheese (Table 3). The authors observed a

202 yield increase. However, suitable processing conditions were crucial to avoid cheese defects and to obtain a

203 product with good melting properties. This strategy was applied in Gouda-type cheesemaking, too (Chromik,

204 Partschefeld, Jaros, Henle, & Rohm, 2010). A significant amount of WP was retained by cheesemakng milk after

205 microfiltration and subsequent heating (up to 85 °C for 60 s). Selected processing conditions allowed to reduce

206 by 15 to 30% the loss of protein in the permeate.

208 **High hydrostatic pressure treatment**

209   High hydrostatic pressure (HHP) treatment of milk developed in recent years in comparison to heating. In

210 depth studies on this non-thermal technology applied to milk and dairy products, evolved starting from the 1990s

211 as an alternative tool to guarantee high safety, shelf life and reduced changes in quality (Chawla, Patil, & Singh,

212 2011). Several reports focused on the effects over yield and cheese properties (Table 1). High hydrostatic

213 pressure treatment of milk triggers change in WP structure in a manner similar to thermal treatment. Huppertz,

214 Fox, and Kelly (2004) reported that 90% of ß-Lg is denatured after treatment at 400 MPa for 30 min. Casein

215 fraction is affected too, and extensive disruption of micelles occurs when the HHP exceeds 300 MPa, due to

216 higher solubility of calcium phosphate. The authors observed that the denaturation of ß-Lg followed by the

217 interaction with CN micelles is the major contributor to the enhanced cheese yield of HHP-treated milk.

218   Voigt, Donaghy, Patterson, Stephan, and Kelly (2010) reported that HHP-treated milks at 400 and 600 MPa

219 (for 10 min at 20 °C) resulted in an increase in Cheddar yield of 1.2 and 7.8% in comparison to untreated cheese,

220 respectively. Meanwhile, only a slight enhancement of moisture level (0.6 and 2.1%, respectively) was recorded.

221 Differently, San Martín-González et al. (2007), carrying out pilot trials on Cheddar cheese from HHP-treated

222 milk at 483 MPa, obtained a higher cheese yield (+ 11%) in comparison to control cheese from pasteurized milk

223 and a similar increase in moisture (Table 1). The feasibility of HHP treatment (400 MPa for 20 min at 20 °C) of

224 cheese milk was investigated also in Queso Fresco, a fresh white cheese (Sandra, Stanford, & Goddik, 2004). In

225 this case, the entrapment of denatured WP raised the cheese yield as a consequence of their water-binding

226 affinity. The cheese was less firm, less crumbly and stickier in comparison to the control from raw milk. The

227 authors concluded that this milk pre-treatment represented an alternative to obtain Queso Fresco with lower

228 microbial load, acceptable sensory attributes and similar composition to the conventional cheese from raw milk.

229 Camembert cheese obtained from HHP-treated milk (500 MPa for 10 min at 20 °C) was characterized by high

230 yield, extended denatured WP content and an accelerated hydrolysis of α_{s1}-CN in relation to the control from raw

231 milk (Voigt, Patterson, Linton, & Kelly, 2011). The cheese was deemed similar by sensory evaluation to cheese

232   from raw milk. However, further investigations were considered necessary to evaluate the effect on flavor

233   pathways.

234   Overall, HHP pre-treatment of milk allows the increase of cheese yield with no detrimental consequences

235   on coagulation properties and slight modifications of sensory attributes. However, numerous research studies on

236   the effects of this technology in cheesemaking do not reflect the up-scale at industrial level in large dairies. The

237   major drawback of the process is that it is discontinuous and time-consuming allowing the treatment of only

238   limited milk quantities (Vannini et al., 2008). The size of the available processing units represents the industrial

239   challenge. Nowadays, it is possible to treat up to 600 L in a semi-continuous process. To date, market

240   applications have been launched only for treatment of some functional and fermented dairy products (Kelly et

241   al., 2008). The high cost of processing is the other limit in comparison to the thermal treatment of cheese milk.

242

243   **Ultra-high pressure homogenization**

244   Ultra-high pressure homogenization (UHPH), also called dynamic high-pressure homogenization, is a

245   technique of large interest in dairy sector (Dumay et al., 2013). This physical treatment differs from HHP as it is

246   a continuous process, which combines homogenization and pressure effects. Its action mechanism is similar to

247   that of conventional valve homogenizers except for the higher levels of pressure, reaching levels up to 400 MPa.

248   Milk, submitted to UHPH treatment, passes throughout the homogenization valve and is exposed to high

249   turbulence associated with some physical phenomena such as shear stress, hydrostatic pressure and cavitation

250   (Escobar et al., 2011), which may be partly transformed into thermal energy. This phenomena reduce microbial

251   load in milk, inactivate enzymes and modify functionality of milk proteins and fat. In addition,

252   denaturation/aggregation of WP (as a function of the intensity of mechanical forces and/or temperature applied)

253   is observed. UHPH enhances rennet coagulation properties of milk, by decreasing clotting time and increasing

254   curd firming rate and curd firmness (Lodaite, Chevalier, Armaforte, & Kelly, 2009). The hyperbaric treatment of

255   milk causes the retention of denatured WP in the curd as a function of the adopted homogenization conditions.

256   The resulting cheese is characterized by increased yield and moisture retention. We listed recent applications of

257   UHPH-treated milk as a means to increase WP retention and yield in cheese in Tables 1 and 3. Zamora, Ferragut,

Juan, Guamis, and Trujillo (2011) and Zamora, Juan, and Trujillo (2015) observed that UHPH treatment of milk (300 MPa at 30 °C) triggered higher water retention and textural changes (i.e., higher firmness, lower deformability) of a starter-free cheese in comparison to the conventional variety from pasteurized milk (80 °C for 15 s). The poor cohesion of curd grains caused crumbling and improper curd matting during cutting as a consequence of the incorporation of CN and WP at the milk fat globule membrane following to the reduction of fat globule size.

A benefit of UHPH treatment of milk consists in the reduction of cheese ripening time, as proteolysis and lipolysis of milk are enhanced by naturally occurring or microbial enzymes. Milk pressurization at 100 MPa induced in Crescenza (an Italian soft rindless cheese) higher yield (1%) together with earlier and significant lipolysis, whereas proteolysis was very limited as in the conventional product from pasteurized milk (Lanciotti et al., 2004). Research studies on the effects of UHPH were carried out also with semi-hard varieties, such as Caciotta cheese (Lanciotti et al., 2006). An increase in yield (+ 23 and + 32% in comparison to cheeses obtained from pasteurized and raw milk, respectively) by retention of WP was observed, along with no detrimental effects on technological and sensorial attributes.

Heating and UHPH treatment of milk in the manufacture of Queso fresco resulted in higher yield and moisture content in comparison to the cheese from pasteurized milk alone (Escobar et al., 2011). Combined milk treatments promoted both thermal denaturation of WP and homogenization-induced dissociation of CN micelles. In this way, Queso fresco contained a thin CN-WP matrix capable of retaining more whey, so that avoiding the quality decay ascribable to excessive syneresis during ripening. UHPH technique (200 MPa at 30 °C) was also verified in goat milk to make semi-hard cheeses. Yield resulted + 3 and + 14% than that of cheeses obtained from homogenized-pasteurized milk (15 + 2 MPa and 72 °C for 15 s) and pasteurized milk (72 °C for 15 s), respectively (Juan, Zamora, Quevedo, & Trujillo, 2016). In ewe milk, the potential of UHPH treatment (100 MPa) was assessed in semi-hard Pecorino-like cheese (Vannini et al., 2008). The high retention of WP in the coagulum induced a sensitive increase of the yield (23 and 38% in comparison to the control cheese from thermized and raw milk, respectively). The accelerated ripening time with different proteolytic and lipolytic

patterns characterized the cheese in comparison to the control. The authors concluded that UHPH treatment of milk contributed to the production of a new type of Pecorino-like variety with specific sensory characteristics.

The use of continuous or semi-continuous high-pressure homogenizers in cheesemaking is an encouraging and effective approach for yield increase, descending from the higher retention of WP in the curd and the improved water-binding capacity of proteins. Milk UHPH-treatment for the improvement of cheese quality or the development of novel dairy product varieties has not been yet implemented at industrial level. However, upscaling of the technology from laboratory-scale equipment to pilot-plant prototypes has been carried out.

Addition of dairy protein derivatives

Dairy protein derivatives are increasingly employed as milk extensions in cheese manufacture (Sharma, Jana, & Chavan, 2012). Main protein-based ingredients included for cheesemaking consist of skim milk powder (SMP), milk protein concentrate (MPC), WP concentrate (WPC) and microparticulated WP (MWP) (Fig. 2). Skim milk powder is a suitable ingredient for cheesemaking, being perhaps the most popular derivative used to improve the cheese yield (Lucey, 2015). However, the use of SMP can increase cheese browning and decrease pH due to the presence of residual sugars. Generally, MPC are preferred to enhance the cheese yield (Ur-Rehman, Farkye, Considine, Schaffner, & Drake, 2003). This dairy ingredient is identified by a protein content varying from 35 to 85% (Mistry & Maubois, 2004) and a CN to WP ratio equal to that of milk. Generally, the adoption of this fortification technique requires that specific attention is paid both to the proper amount of added MPC and to selected adaptations of cheesemaking process to avoid impairment of cheese properties. Several research studies assessed the influence of milk protein standardization with MPC to increase cheese yield and WP recovery (Table 2). In a previous work, by supplementing milk with variable amounts of WP sources (MPC or MWP), we observed an increase in the moisture of the deriving rennet-curds (Masotti, Cattaneo, Stuknytė, & De Noni, 2016). At the same time, we revealed a linear relationship between the amount of denatured WP added to cheese milk and that recovered in the curds.

Whey-based derivatives, are protein-enriched fractions exploitable for standardization of cheese milk and contributing to cheese yield. Research reports on this practice agree on: i) the preferred use of denatured WP to

12

309   assure their entrapment in the cheese network, ii) the improved serum-holding capacity of the cheese, iii) the

310   effect of WP aggregate dimensions on the attributes of the resulting fortified-cheese and iv) the flavor

311   modifications in comparison to the derivative-free cheese. Jooyandeh (2009) investigated the combined effect of

312   pre-acidification, starter culture concentration and WP incorporation on the texture of Iranian white cheese. The

313   author tested the addition of fermented WPC to pre-acidify cheese milk prior to enzymatic coagulation. In this

314   way, by removing some of the colloidal calcium, the cross-linking of CN was reduced leading to a cheese with

315   higher moisture, softer texture and higher yield. However, excessive incorporation of fermented WPC (>15%)

316   caused too soft structure of the cheese. Henriques, Gomes, Pereira, and Gil (2013), by adding different amounts

317   of liquid denatured WPC (25 or 50 g per 100 g of milk proteins) to milk, obtained a fresh cheese with less

318   pronounced reduction in yield during storage, in comparison to a control cheese supplemented with a CN

319   derivative. This evidence was attributed to the improved water retention promoted by the entrapped WP

320   aggregates. Sensorial parameters were modified and higher levels of added WPC reduced cheese acceptability.

321   Microparticulated WP have emerged over the last years as sources of WP with various functionalities.

322   Currently, cheese makers can successfully exploit such ingredient. This derivative, in form of colloidal particles,

323   consists of a mixture of native and aggregated denatured WP (Renard, Lavenant, Sanchez, Hemar, & Horne,

324   2002). Generally, to obtain MWP a WPC solution is firstly heated to denature WP and then physically sheared

325   forming small protein aggregates. Microparticulated WP can differ for degree of denaturation, the shear applied

326   during processing and the composition of the raw whey material (Skeie, Alseth, Abrahamsen, Jihansen, &

327   Øyaas, 2013). Size and hydration of WP aggregates are crucial parameters controllable by physical and chemical

328   means (Guyomarc'h, 2006). Particles with diameters ranging between 5 and 10 μm showed good results as

329   additives in cheese enhancing the fatty-like mouthfeel. Above these values, the formation of cheese matrix is

330   disturbed, resulting in reduced firmness and poor retention of WP (Suárez, Fernández, Balbarie, Iglesias, &

331   Riera, 2016). These fillers act inertly, weakening the protein network and in this way lubricating the cheese

332   matrix. However, the behavior of MWP is somewhat different from the simple non-interacting filling theory,

333   Some components of MWP could interact with CN micelles, limiting gel formation and contraction (Perreault,

334   Morin, Pouliot, & Britten, 2017).

As a general remark, addition of denatured MWP promotes an increase in the cheese yield by improved water-binding capacity and obstruction effect on whey drain-off. Hinrichs (2001) measured a positive relationship between the extent of WP denaturation of added MWP and the retention of WP in cheese. The reason of this phenomenon was ascribed to the fact that denatured and highly hydrated WP hindered syneresis. The recoverable amount of WP in MWP-fortified cheese is variable. Due to the higher moisture of the deriving cheese, this practice is advantageous in soft and semi-hard varieties. One challenge in the use of MWP is the optimization of their water-binding capacity. Actually, a limitation in the cheese milk supplementation with MWP is imposed by the consequent excessive cheese moisture. Saffon, Britten, and Pouliot (2011) proposed to circumvent this defect by including protein aggregates deriving from co-denaturation (pH 4.6, 90 °C) and homogenization (65.5 MPa) of cheese whey and buttermilk concentrates (Table 3). The relative increase of buttermilk amount up to a WP:buttermilk ratio of 25:75 reduced water holding capacity in the resulting cheese. In addition, the combination of ultrasound treatment (20 kHz) and heating of the mixture both increased the yield and decreased the water-holding capacity of aggregates. A further study explained the multi-step mechanism of the modified heat-induced denaturation of WP in presence of buttermilk (Saffon, Jiménez-Flores, Britten, & Pouliot, 2014). The rate of MWP addition to cheese milk represents a critical point. Indeed, excessive fortification adversely affects coagulation properties and quality of the cheese. Sturaro, Penasa, Cassandro, Varotto, and De Marchi (2014) revealed a significant increase in coagulation time when MWP addition exceeded 3.0%. A similar effect was observed for curd firming time, whereas curd firmness remained unaffected. The authors concluded with the necessity to adjust cheese processing (longer time for rennet activity) when MWP fortification was adopted.

Microparticulated WP, when added to milk as fat replacers in low-fat cheeses, not only enrich the cheese nutritionally due to inclusion of WP, but also improve its texture. Small aggregates of WP, remaining entrapped in the pores of the CN network, make the cheese softer. Including into milk increasing amounts (0.5, 1.0 or 2.0%) of commercial concentrated MPW, an increase in reduced-fat Gouda-type cheese yield was obtained, and its textural attributes were improved (Schenkel, Samudrala, & Hinrichs, 2011). In any case, the higher the concentration of MWP was, the lower resulted the firming rate. Such a drawback was overcome by adjusting

361 processing technology. Low-fat Norvegia cheese (Gouda-type) with improved texture and flavor was obtained

362 on pilot-plant scale, when buttermilk and different levels of liquid MWP (WP denaturation >85%) were included

363 and selected adjunct cultures were implemented (Skeie et al., 2013). The cheese obtained by the recipe including

364 buttermilk and 3% MWP in milk gave the highest liking scores. Differently, excessive addition of MWP (6%)

365 was not beneficial for texture. Schenkel, Samudrala, and Hinrichs (2013) reported that MWP particles act as

366 inert fillers within the *para*-κ-CN matrix in fat-reduced Gouda-type cheese. Addition of 1% denatured MWP

367 (Simplesse®) to cheese milk improved thermo-rheological and texture attributes of the fortified cheese in

368 comparison to the fat-reduced counterpart. Other studies evidenced similar results by exploring the effects of

369 commercial MWP as fat replacers on the overall properties of different low-fat cheeses (soft and semi-hard)

370 (Table 2).

371 The inclusion of denatured and aggregated WP to cheese milk represents a practical solution to satisfy

372 consumer expectations in terms of texture and sensory attributes of fresh cheeses. A challenge to pursue is the

373 optimization of the size and the water-binding capacity of these derivatives. Interaction mechanisms of added

374 products with milk components upon processing, as well as the effect on overall cheese properties need further

375 elucidation. This approach to include WP is preferable to heat treatment of milk due to the lower drawbacks in

376 terms of curd-forming properties and cheese texture.

377

378 **Addition of buttermilk and derivatives**

379 Buttermilk is the aqueous phase released during destabilization of milk fat globules (churning) of cream in

380 buttermaking. It contains components derived both from fragments of milk fat globule membrane (MFGM),

381 mainly consisting of proteins and neutral as well as polar lipids, and all water-soluble components of cream

382 (Vanderghem et al., 2010). However, buttermilk composition varies as a function of the source of origin and

383 processing conditions adopted during buttermaking. In addition to sweet buttermilk (the main commercial type),

384 also cultured buttermilk (in case of European-style buttermaking) and whey buttermilk (obtained from whey

385 cream) are available (Sodini, Morin, Olabi, & Jiménez-Flores, 2006). This heterogeneity should be taken into

386 account when buttermilk is used in cheesemaking. Main goal of milk fortification with buttermilk consists in the

387   increase in yield provided cheese quality is unchanged. This result is reached through enhanced solvation,

388   mainly ascribable to inclusion of phospholipids and denatured WP (Vanderghem et al., 2010). Industrially,

389   buttermilk is separated from cream previously submitted to high pasteurization. Therefore, denatured WP

390   interact with MFGM (Morin, Jiménez-Flores, & Pouliot, 2007). Furthermore, also the pasteurization of

391   buttermilk, before drying, promotes a substantial denaturation of the membrane proteins and the consequent

392   further association with residual WP (ß-Lg). Denatured WP attached to MFGM and retained in buttermilk are

393   characterized by high water-binding capacity. Sweet buttermilk, condensed by heat and vacuum, supplemented

394   at levels of 4 or 6% in regard to cheese milk improved the yield of pizza cheese with the contribution of

395   denatured WP (Govindasamy-Lucey, Lin, Jaeggi, Johnson, & Lucey, 2006) (Table 2). Fortified pizza cheese

396   melt and stretched less than control. In any case, only a slight effect on sensory attributes was observed by

397   altering processing conditions. In addition, standardization of cheese milk with sweet buttermilk, concentrated

398   by UF, increased moisture with no impairment of functional properties of pizza cheese (Govindasamy-Lucey et

399   al., 2007). Morin, Pouliot, and Britten (2008) observed that cream heating prior to churning did not cause

400   coagulation problems, when subsequently minute amounts of buttermilk were added to cheese milk. Differently,

401   large substitution (50% of protein) of cheese milk adversely affected rennet gel properties through long cutting

402   time, reduced firmness of the rennet gel and low contraction capacity. In this case, increasing percentages (up to

403   20%) of buttermilk led to softer and moister curd and acceptable sensory properties. Kumari, Kumar, Gupta, and

404   Kumar (2012) verified the effect of buttermilk as ingredient in buffalo milk Chhana, an Indian-style soft cottage

405   cheese analogue. By using excessive amounts of sweet buttermilk, the authors obtained an end product with

406   undesired texture and sensory attributes. Changes in cheesemaking protocol were required to counteract the

407   excessive increase of moisture responsible for texture defects. Substitution of milk with variable proportions of

408   sweet buttermilk (from 0 to 50%) was technologically tested in cream cheese (Bahrami, Ahmadi,

409   Beigmohammadi, & Hosseini, 2015). The authors revealed that the progressive increase of buttermilk percentage

410   was followed by increase in moisture and yield. However, levels over 25% resulted in impaired sensory

411   properties.

412   The valorization of buttermilk as cheese ingredient to improve quality attributes of reduced-fat cheeses has

413   been investigated. Reduced-fat Paneer, an Asian soft cheese obtained by acid and heat coagulation of milk, made

414   with different levels of sweet buttermilk (up to 40%) showed higher yields due to the moisture retention and

415   binding of WP (Suneeta, Bhatt, & Prajapati, 2014). Addition of buttermilk in the range 20 to 30% improved

416   sensory scores in comparison to the control and overcame the defects related to fat reduction.

417   In summary, buttermilk proves to be a valuable additional source of denatured WP in cheesemaking.

418   However, a careful evaluation of the whole manufacturing procedure should be carried out not to adversely

419   affect properties of the fortified cheese.

420

421   **Transglutaminase treatment**

422   The enzyme transglutaminase (EC 2.3.2.13) (TGase) has been widely investigated for its applications in

423   dairy sector (Jaros, Partschefeld, Henle, & Rohm, 2006). The mode of action of TGase in milk consists in an

424   acyl transfer reaction involving protein bound glutamyl and lysyl side chains and the subsequent formation of

425   isopeptide bonds. In milk, CN are the preferred substrate of TGase, whereas native WP show a lower

426   susceptibility due to their compact globular structure. Structural modifications, such as denaturation, make WP

427   susceptible to the action of TGase (Jaros et al., 2006). WP denaturation by milk preheating before TGase

428   incubation facilitates cross-link formation between CN and denatured WP. Transglutaminase reaction,

429   negatively affecting rennetability of milk by extension of clotting time and reduction of gel firmness (Bönisch,

430   Heidebach, & Kulozik, 2008), is less commonly experimented in semi-hard and hard cheesemaking (Aaltonen,

431   Huumonen, & Myllärinen, 2014). To overcome the impairment of coagulation, the TGase treatment was

432   proposed to be performed simultaneously or following the rennet action, resulting in yield increase due to

433   covalently incorporated native WP in the cheese (Cozzolino et al., 2003; Di Pierro et al., 2010; Domagala et al.,

434   2016). The co-addition of glutathione (a food grade reducing agent) and TGase to cheese milk simultaneously to

435   rennet is the other alternative. Under these conditions, the TGase reaction promotes an enhanced water-holding

436   capacity following the WP recovery in the coagulum. Bönisch et al., (2008) reported that the cheese yield

437   increased from 11% for TGase-free curd to 20% for the curd obtained from milk renneted with a TGase (plus

438 glutathione) at a concentration of 3.0 U per g protein. These authors supported a novel functionality of the gel

439 network allowing manifold applications in the manufacture of soft and semi-hard cheeses. Özer, Hayaloglu,

440 Yaman, Gürsoy, and Şener (2013), adopting the above mentioned reaction steps of cheese milk, proposed an

441 effective tool for the manufacture of white brined cheese with increased moisture-adjusted yield (+ 9%)

442 accompanied by modified texture (increased hardness). Generally, to pursue both yield increase and overall

443 acceptability in semi-hard cheeses, TGase treatment of milk should be fully controlled by enzyme inactivation

444 before cheesemaking. To this purpose, milk is heated up to 80 °C for 2 min. However, in this way WP

445 denaturation impairs coagulation properties. A putative alternative procedure to the heat treatment is the addition

446 of TGase inhibitor. In this way, the following rennet action is not affected. In this regard, Aaltonen et al. (2014)

447 exploited TGase treatment for Edam cheesemaking in a controlled way. Ultrafiltered milk retentate (a TGase

448 inhibitor-free substrate due to its low molecular weight) was submitted to TGase treatment. Subsequently, the

449 enzyme was inactivated by diluting the retentate with raw milk (containing TGase inhibitor) in the

450 standardization step. Following the cheesemaking, Edam yield increased (+ 4%) due to the moisture retention.

451 Although the authors stated that TGase did not cross-link WP significantly, a slight recovery of WP was

452 explained because of the improved whey retention. The parallel improvement of the rheological properties was

453 measured in comparison to untreated control cheese, throughout ripening.

454 Gelation of proteins via acid coagulation is another way of cheesemaking. TGase treatment of cheese milk

455 promotes the development of novel physical and functional properties of acid gels and the increase of cheese

456 yield by improved whey recovery (Mazuknaite, Guyot, Leskauskaite, & Kulozik, 2013). In this case, TGase

457 treatment was applied for the production of fresh and unripened cheeses, such as Quark and Cottage cheese

458 (Kuraishi, Yamazaki, & Susa, 2001). To date, different patents on TGase application in cheesemaking to favor

459 the enrichment in WP are available (Han, Pfeifer, Lincuort, & Schuerman, 2003; Han and Spradlin, 2000).

460 Mazuknaite et al. (2013) evaluated conditions of milk incubation with TGase to optimize cross-linking of

461 proteins. Increase of enzyme concentrations (from 1 to 4 U per g), incubation time (from 20 to 60 min) or

462 incubation temperature (from 40 to 50 °C) expanded the degree of polymerization and changed the properties of

463 the protein network formed during fermentation. Such acid gels, used to make Cottage-type cheese, allowed to

464 increase the yield and improve textural properties by higher cohesiveness and lower gumminess values.

465 However, proper pre-treatment conditions with TGase were necessary to avoid deterioration of the textural

466 properties of Cottage-type cheese, due to excessive protein cross-linking.

467 While scientific interest has grown rapidly, the commercial application of TGase treatment of cheese milk is

468 not a realistic processing alternative of interest.

469

470 **Conclusions**

471 The enhancement of WP content in cheese through either the adoption of techniques favoring WP retention

472 in the cheese network or the direct addition of dairy-based ingredients or their combination is a challenging area

473 in dairy sector. This topic is mainly targeted to increase cheese yield and to develop cheese varieties with novel

474 texture and functional attributes, rather than duplicating conventional cheeses. Some techniques have been

475 implemented and emerged in industrial processes, becoming routine steps for the inclusion of WP in cheese.

476 Other solutions remained untapped due to their reduced cost-effectiveness and/or failure on quality attributes of

477 cheese.

478 Perspectives for the implementation of cheese fortified in WP are supposed to be conditioned by various

479 factors, namely: i) the advancement in basic research to deepen the understanding of the functionalities of WP

480 assemblies in a real food system, such as cheese; ii) the improvement and scale-up of existing technologies; iii)

481 the selection of proper adaptations in cheesemaking processing to overcome undesired drawbacks; iv) the proper

482 integration in production lines of different techniques to enlarge the range of applications (e.g. membrane

483 processes in multiple steps, etc.).

484

485 **References**

486 Aaltonen, T., Huumonen, I., & Myllärinen, P. (2014). Controlled transglutaminase treatment in Edam cheese-

487 making. *International Dairy Journal*, 38, 179–182.

488 Agrawal, P., & Hassan, A. N. (2007). Ultrafiltered milk reduces bitterness in reduced-fat Cheddar cheese made

489 with an exopolysaccharide-producing culture. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, 3110–3117.

490   Akin, M. S., & Kirmaci, Z. (2015). Influence of fat replacers on the chemical, textural and sensory properties of

491   low-fat Beyaz pickled cheese produced from ewe's milk. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 68,

492   127–134.

493   Atasoy, A. F., Yetişmeyen, A., Türkoğlu, H., & Özer, B. (2008). Effects of heat treatment and starter culture on

494   the properties of traditional Urfa cheeses (a white-brined Turkish cheese) produced from bovine milk. *Food*

495   *Control*, 19, 278–285.

496   Bahrami, M., Ahmadi, D., Beigmohammadi, F., & Hosseini, F. (2015). Mixing sweet cream buttermilk with

497   whole milk to produce cream cheese. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 54, 73–78.

498   Banks, J. M. (2007). Ultrafiltration of cheese milk. In P. L. H. McSweeney (Ed.), *Cheese problems solved* (pp.

499   30–33). Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited.

500   Banville, V., Morin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Britten, M. (2013). Physical properties of pizza Mozzarella cheese

501   manufactured under different cheese-making conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 96, 4804–4815.

502   Bönisch, M. P., Heidebach, T. C., & Kulozik, U. (2008). Influence of transglutaminase protein cross-linking on

503   the rennet coagulation of casein. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22, 288–297.

504   Chawla, R., Patil, G. R., & Singh, A. K. (2011). High hydrostatic pressure technology in dairy processing – A

505   review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 48, 260–268.

506   Chromik, C., Partschefeld, C., Jaros, D., Henle, T., & Rohm, H. (2010). Adjustment of vat milk treatment to

507   optimize whey protein transfer into semi-hard cheese: a case study. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 100, 496–

508   503.

509   Codex (2011). *General standard for cheese*. Codex Stan 283-1978, Rev. 2-2011. Geneva: World Health

510   Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

511   Cozzolino, A., Di Pierro, P., Mariniello, L., Sorrentino, A., Masi, P., & Porta, R. (2003). Incorporation of whey

512   proteinsinto cheese curd by using transglutaminase. *Biotechnology and Applied Biochemistry*, 38, 289–295.

513   Delgado, D., Salazar, G., & García, M. (2013). Sequential optimisation of yield and sensory quality of semi-hard

514   cheese manufactured from a mixture of ultrafiltered ewes' and cows' milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 32,

515   89–98.

516 Di Cagno, R., De Pasquale, I., De Angelis, M., Buchin, S., Rizzello, C. G., & Gobbetti, M. (20149. Use of

517   microparticulated whey protein concentrate, exopolysaccharide-producing *Streptococcus thermophilus* and

518   adjunct cultures for making low-fat Italian Caciotta-type cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 97, 72–84.

519 Di Pierro, P., Mariniello, L., Sorrentino, A., Giosafatto, C. V. L., Chianese, L., & Porta, R. (2010).

520   Transglutaminase-induced chemical and rheological properties of cheese. *Food Biotechnology*, 24, 107–120.

521 Domagala, J., Najgebauer-Leiko, D., Wieteska-Śliwa, I., Sady, M., Wszolek, M., Bonczar, G., & Filipczak-

522   Fiutak, M. (2016). Influence of milk protein cross-linking by transglutaminase on the rennet coagulation time

523   and the gel properties. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96, 3500–3507.

524 Donato, L., & Guyomarc'h, F. (2009). Formation and properties of the whey protein/kappa-casein complexes in

525   heated skim milk – a review. *Dairy Science and Technology*, 89, 3–29.

526 Dumay, E., Chevalier-Lucia, D., Picart-Palmade, L., Benzaria, A., Gràcia-Julià, A., & Blayo, C. (2013).

527   Technological aspects and potential applications of (ultra) high-pressure homogenisation. *Trends in Food

528   Science and Technolology*, 31, 13–26.

529 Escobar, D., Clark, S., Ganesan, V., Repiso, L., Waller, J., & Harte, F. (2011). High-pressure homogenization of

530   raw and pasteurized milk modifies the yield, composition, and texture of queso fresco cheese. *Journal of

531   Dairy Science*, 94, 1201–1210.

532 Fox, P. F., Guinee, T. P., Cogan, T. M., & McSweeney, P. L. H. (2017). Factors that affect cheese quality. In P.

533   F. Fox, T. P. Guinee, T. M. Cogan, & P. L. H. McSweeney (Eds.). *Fundamentals of cheese science* (pp. 533–

534   542). New York: Springer.

535 Francolino, S., Locci, F., Ghiglietti, R., Iezzi, R., & Mucchetti, G. (2010). Use of milk protein concentrate to

536   standardize milk composition in Italian citric Mozzarella. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 43, 310–314.

537 Govindasamy-Lucey, S., Lin, T., Jaeggi, J. J., Johnson, M. E., & Lucey, J. A. (2006). Influence of condensed

538   sweet cream buttermilk on the manufacture, yield, and functionality of pizza cheese. *Journal of Dairy

539   Science*, 89, 454–467.

540 Govindasamy-Lucey, S., Lin, T., Jaeggi, J. J., Martinelli, C. J., Johnson, M. E., & Lucey, J. A. (2007). Effect of

541   type of concentrated sweet cream buttermilk on the manufacture, yield, and functionality of pizza cheese.

542   *Journal of Dairy Science*, 90, 2675–2688.

543 Guinee, T. P., O'Kennedy, B. T., & Kelly, P. M. (2006). Effect of milk protein standardization using different

544   methods on the composition and yields of Cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89, 468–482.

545 Guyomarc'h, F. (2006). Formation of heat-induced protein aggregates in milk as a means to recover the whey

546   protein fraction in cheese manufacture, and potential of heat-treating milk at alkaline pH values in order to

547   keep its rennet coagulation properties. A review. *Lait*, 86, 1–20.

548 Guyomarc'h, F., Famelart, M. H., Henry, G., Gulzar, M., Leonil, J., Hamon, P., Bouhallab, S., Croguennec, T.

549   2015. Current ways to modify the structure of whey proteins for specific functionalities – a review. *Dairy*

550   *Science Technology*, 95, 795–814.

551 Han, X-Q., Pfeifer, J. K., Lincuort, R. H., & Schuerman, J. M. (2003). Process for making a cheese product

552   using transglutaminase. US Patent 6572901B2.

553 Han, X-Q., & Spradlin, J. E. (2000). Process for making cheese using transglutaminase and a non-rennet

554   protease. Eur Patent 1057411A2.

555 Hannon, J. A., Lopez, C., Madec, M-N., & Lortal, S. (2006). Altering renneting pH changes microstructure, cell

556   distribution, and lysis of *Lactococcus lactis* AM2 in cheese made from ultrafiltered milk. *Journal of Dairy*

557   *Science*, 89, 812–823.

558 Hannon, J. A., Lortal, S., Tissier, J. P., & Famelart, M. H. (2009). Limited ripening of low-fat UF-cheese due to

559   CaPO$_4$ barrier? *Dairy Science and Technology*, 89, 555–568.

560 Harvey, J. (2006). Protein fortification of cheese milk using milk protein concentrate – yield improvement and

561   product quality. *Australian Journal of Dairy Technology*, 61, 183–185.

562 Heino, A., Rauva, J. U., & Outinen, M. (2010). Pre-treatment methods of Edam cheese milk. Effect on cheese

563   yield and quality. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 43, 640–646.

564   Henriques, M. H. F., Gomes, D. M. G. S., Pereira, C. J. D., & Gil, M. H. M. (2013). Effects of liquid whey

565   protein concentrate on functional and sensorial properties of set yogurts and fresh cheese. *Food and*

566   *Bioprocess Technology*, 6, 952–963.

567   Hinrichs, J. (2001). Incorporation of whey proteins in cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 11, 495–503.

568   Hougaard, A. B., Ardö, Y., & Ipsen, R. H. (2010). Cheese made from instant infusion pasteurized milk: Rennet

569   coagulation, cheese composition, texture and ripening. *International Dairy Journal*, 20, 449–458.

570   Huppertz, T., Fox, P. F., & Kelly, A. L. (2004). Effects of high pressure treatment on the yield of cheese curd

571   from bovine milk. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 5, 1–8.

572   Huppertz, T., Hinz, K., Zobrist, M. R., Uniacke, T., Kelly, A. L., & Fox, P. F. (2005). Effects of high pressure

573   treatment on the rennet coagulation and cheese-making properties of heated milk. *Innovative Food Science*

574   *and Emerging Technologies*, 6, 279–285.

575   Ismail, M., Ammar, E. T., & El-Metwally, R. (2011). Improvement of low fat mozzarella cheese properties using

576   denatured whey protein. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 64, 207–217.

577   Jaros, D., Partschefeld, C., Henle, T., & Rohm, H. (2006). Transglutaminase in dairy products: chemistry,

578   physics, applications. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 37, 113–155.

579   Jooyandeh, H. (2009). Effect of fermented whey protein concentrate on texture of Iranian white cheese. *Journal*

580   *of Texture Studies*, 40, 497–510.

581   Juan, B., Zamora, A., Quevedo, J. M., & Trujillo, A. J. (2016). Proteolysis of cheese made from goat milk

582   treated by ultra high pressure homogenisation. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 69, 17–23.

583   Kavas, G., Oysun, G., Kinik, O., & Uysal, H. (2004). Effect of some fat replacers on chemical, physical and

584   sensory attributes of low-fat white pickled cheese. *Food Chemistry*, 88, 381–388.

585   Kheadr, E. E., Vachon, J. F., Paquin, P., & Fliss, I. (2002). Effect of dynamic high pressure on microbial,

586   rheological and microstructural quality of Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 12, 435–446.

587   Kelly, A. L., Huppertz, T., & Sheehan, J. J. (2008). Pre-treatment of cheese milk: principles and developments.

588   *Dairy Science and Technology*, 88, 549–572.

589 Kethireddipalli, P., & Hill, A. R. (2015). Rennet coagulation and cheesemaking properties of thermally

590   processed milk: overview and recent developments. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 63, 9389–

591   9403.

592 Koca, N., & Metin, M. (2004). Textural, melting and sensory properties of low-fat fresh kashar cheeses

593   produced by using fat replacers. *International Dairy Journal*, 14, 365–373.

594 Kumari, J., Kumar, S., Gupta, V. K., & Kumar, B. (2012). The influence of mixing sweet cream buttermilk to

595   buffalo milk on quality of Chhana production. *Milchwissenschaft*, 67, 57–60.

596 Kuraishi, C., Yamazaki, K., & Susa, Y. (2001). Transglutaminase: its utilization in the food industry. *Food*

597   *Reviews International*, 17, 221–246.

598 Lanciotti, R., Chaves-López, C., Patrignani, F., Paparella, A., Guerzoni, M. E., Serio, A., & Suzzi, G. (2004).

599   Effects of milk treatment with dynamic high pressure on microbial population as well as on the lipolytic and

600   proteolytic profiles of Crescenza cheese. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 57, 1–25.

601 Lanciotti, R., Vannini, L., Patrignani, F., Iucci, L., Vallicelli, M., Ndagijimana, M., & Guerzoni, M. E. (2006).

602   Effects of high pressure homogenisation of milk on cheese yield and microbiology, lipolysis and proteolysis

603   during ripening of Caciotta cheese. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 73, 216–226.

604 Lipnizki, F. (2010). Cross-flow membrane applications in the food industry. In K-V. Peinemann, S. Pereira

605   Nunes, & L. Giorno (Eds.), *Membrane technology. Vol. 3: membrane for food applications*. Weinheim:

606   Wiley–VCH.

607 Lodaite, K., Chevalier, F., Armaforte, E., & Kelly, A. L. (2009). Effect of high-pressure homogenisation on

608   rheological properties of rennet-induced skim milk and standardised milk gels. *Journal of Dairy Research*,

609   76, 294–300.

610 Lucey, J. (2015). Powders, retentates can increase yield. *Dairy Foods*, 10, 28.

611 Madadlou, A., Mousavi, M. E., Khosrowshahi, A., Emam-Djome, Z., & Zargaran, M. (2007). Effect of cream

612   homogenization on textural characteristics of low-fat Iranian White cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 17,

613   547–554.

614 Makhal, S., Kanawjia, S. K., & Giri, A. (2015). Role of calcium chloride and heat treatment singly and in
615   combination on improvement of the yield of direct acidified Cottage cheese. *Journal of Food Science and*
616   *Technology*, 52, 535–541.

617 Masotti, F., Cattaneo, S., Stuknytė, M., & De Noni, I. (2016). An analytical approach to reveal the addition of
618   heat-denatured whey proteins in lab-scale cheese making. *Food Control*, 63, 28–33.

619 Mazuknaite, I., Guyot, C., Leskauskaite, D., & Kulozik, U. (2013). Influence of transglutaminase on the physical
620   and chemical properties of acid gel and cottage type cheese. *Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*,
621   11, 119–124.

622 Mistry, V. V., & Maubois, J. L. (2004). Application of membrane separation technology to cheese production. In
623   P. F. Fox, P. L. H. McSweeney, T. M. Cogan, & T. P. Guinee (Eds.), *Cheese: chemistry, physics and*
624   *microbiology* (pp. 261–286). London: Elsevier Academic press.

625 Morin, P., Jiménez-Flores, R., & Pouliot, Y. (2007). Effect of processing on the composition and microstructure
626   of buttermilk and its milk fat globule membranes. *International Dairy Journal*, 17, 1179–1187.

627 Morin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Britten, M. (2008). Effect of buttermilk made from creams with different heat treatment
628   histories on properties of rennet gels and model cheeses. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 91, 871–882.

629 Özer, B., Hayaloglu, A. A., Yaman, H., Gürsoy, A., & Şener, L. (2013). Simultaneous use of transglutaminase
630   and rennet in white-brined cheese production. *International Dairy Journal*, 33, 129–134.

631 Pellegrino, L., Masotti, F., Cattaneo, S., Hogenboom, J. A., & De Noni, I. (2013). Nutritional quality of milk
632   proteins. In P. L. H. McSweeney, & P. F. Fox (Eds), *Advanced dairy chemistry Vol. 1A Proteins: basic*
633   *aspects* (pp. 515–538). New York: Springer.

634 Perreault, V., Morin, P., Pouliot, Y., & Britten, M. (2017). Effect of denatured whey protein concentrate and its
635   fractions on rennet-induced milk gels. *International Dairy Journal*, 64, 48–55.

636 Pichon, L., Potier, M., Tome, D., Mikogami, T., Laplaize, B., Martin-Rouas, C., & Fromentin, G. (2008). High-
637   protein diets containing different milk protein fractions differently influence energy intake and adiposity in
638   the rat. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 99, 739–748.

639   Renard, D., Lavenant, L., Sanchez, C., Hemar, Y., & Horne, D. (2002). Heat-induced flocculation of

640   microparticulated whey proteins (MWP); consequences for mixed gels made of MWP and ß-lactoglobulin.

641   *Colloids and Surfaces B*: *Biointerfaces*, 24, 73–85.

642   Rynne, N. M., Beresford, T. P., Kelly, A. L., & Guinee, T. P. (2004). Effect of milk pasteurization temperature

643   and in situ whey protein denaturation on the composition, texture and heat-induced functionality of half-fat

644   Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 14, 989–1001.

645   Saffon, M., Britten, M., & Pouliot, Y. (2011). Thermal aggregation of whey proteins in the presence of

646   buttermilk concentrate. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 103, 244–250.

647   Saffon, M., Jiménez-Flores, R., Britten, M., & Pouliot, Y. (2014). On the use of buttermilk components as

648   aggregation nuclei during the heat-induced denaturation of whey proteins. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 132,

649   21–28.

650   San Martín-González, M. F., Rodriguez, J. J., Gurram, S., Clark, S., Swanson, B. G., & Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V.

651   (2007). Yield, composition and rheological characteristics of Cheddar cheese made with high pressure

652   processed milk. *LWT–Food Science and Technology*, 40, 697–705.

653   Sandra, S., Stanford, M. A., & Goddik, L. M. (2004). The use of high-pressure processing in the production of

654   Queso Fresco cheese. *Journal of Food Science*, 69, 153–158.

655   Schenkel, P., Samudrala, R., & Hinrichs, J. (2011). Fat-reduced semi-hard cheese enriched with a

656   microparticulated whey protein concentrate: impact on cheese-making properties and rheological

657   characteristics. *Milchwissenschaft*, 66, 43–47.

658   Schenkel, P., Samudrala, R., & Hinrichs, J. (2013). The effect of adding whey protein particles as inert filler on

659   thermophysical properties of fat-reduced semihard cheese type Gouda. *International Journal of Dairy*

660   *Technology*, 66, 220–230.

661   Sharma, A., Jana, A. H., & Chavan, R. S. (2012). Functionality of milk powders and milk-based powders for end

662   use applications – A review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 11, 518–528.

663   Singh, T. K., Øiseth, S. K., Lundin, L., & Day L. (2014). Influence of heat and shear induced protein aggregation

664   on the in vitro digestion rate of whey proteins. *Food & Function*, 5, 2686–2698.

665   Singh, H., & Waungana, A. (2001). Influence of heat treatment of milk on cheese-making properties.

666   *International Dairy Journal*, 11, 543–551.

667   Skeie, S., Alseth, G. M., Abrahamsen, R. K., Jihansen, A. G., & Øyaas, J. (2013). Improvement of the quality of

668   low-fat cheese using a two-step strategy. *International Dairy Journal*, 33, 153–162.

669   Sodini, I., Morin, P., Olabi, A., & Jiménez-Flores, R. (2006). Compositional and functional properties of

670   buttermilk: a comparison between sweet, sour and whey buttermilk. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89, 525–536.

671   Sturaro, A., Penasa, M., Cassandro, M., Varotto, A., & De Marchi, M. (2014). Effect of microparticulated whey

672   proteins on milk coagulation properties. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 97, 6729–6736.

673   Suárez, A., Fernández, L., Balbarie, P., Iglesias, J. R., & Riera, F. A. (2016). Predicting the properties of whey

674   protein microparticles produced by heat and mechanical treatments. *European Food Research and*

675   *Technology*, 242, 1211–1220.

676   Suneeta, P., Bhatt, J. D., & Prajapati, J. P. (2014). Evaluation of selected emulsifiers and buttermilk in the

677   manufacture of reduced-fat Paneer. *Basic Research Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 1(4), 1–14.

678   Thomet, A., Bachmann, H. P., & Schafroth, K. (2004). Raclettekäse mit molkenproteinen – innovativ und

679   wirtschaftlich. *Deutsche Milchwirtschaft*, 55, 397–400.

680   Thomet, A., Bachmann, H. P., & Schafroth, K. (2005). Cheese with whey proteins – innovative and economical

681   (pp. 443–449). 10th Aachen membrane colloquium, 3 January 2005. Swiss Federal Research Station for

682   Animal Production and Dairy Products (ALP), Berne: Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux.

683   Ur-Rehman, S., Farkye, N. Y., Considine, T., Schaffner, A., & Drake, M. A. (2003). Effects of standardization of

684   whole milk with dry milk protein concentrate on the yield and ripening of reduced-fat Cheddar cheese.

685   *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86, 1608–1615.

686   Ur-Rehman, S., Farkye, N. Y., & Yim, B. (2003). Use of dry milk protein concentrate in Pizza cheese

687   manufactured by culture and direct acidification. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 86, 3841–3848.

688   Vanderghem, C., Bodson, P., Danthine, S., Paquot, M., Deroanne, C., & Blecker, C. (2010). Milk fat globule

689   membrane and buttermilks: from composition to valorization. *Base*, 14, 485–500.

690 Vannini, L., Patrignani, F., Iucci, L., Ndagijimana, M., Vallicelli, M., Lanciotti, R., & Guerzoni, M. E. (2008).

691 Effect of a pre-treatment of milk with high pressure homogenization on yields as well as on microbiological,

692 lipolytic and proteolytic patterns of "Pecorino" cheese. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 128,

693 329–335.

694 Voigt, D. D., Donaghy, J. A., Patterson, M. F., Stephan, S., & Kelly, A. L. (2010). Manufacture of Cheddar

695 cheese from high-pressure-treated whole milk. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 11,

696 574–579.

697 Voigt, D. D., Patterson, M. F., Linton, M., & Kelly A. L. (2011). Effect of high-pressure treatment of milk prior

698 to manufacture on ripening of Camembert cheese. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 12,

699 1–5.

700 Walstra, P., Wouters, J. T. M., & Geurts, T. J. (2006). *Dairy science and technology*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

701 Yadav, J. S. S., Yan, S., Pilli, S., Kumar, L., Tyagi, R. D., & Surampalli, L. Y. (2015). Cheese whey: a potential

702 resource to transform into bioprotein, functional/nutritional proteins and bioactive peptides. *Biotechnology*

703 *Advances*, 33, 756–774.

704 Zalazar, C. A., Zalazar, C. S., Bernal, S., Bertola, N., Bevilacqua, A., & Zaritzky, N. (2002). Effect of moisture

705 level and fat replacer on physicochemical, rheological and sensory properties of low fat soft cheeses.

706 *International Dairy Journal*, 12, 45–50.

707 Zamora, A., Ferragut, V., Juan, B., Guamis, B., & Trujillo, A. J. (2011). Effect of ultra- high pressure

708 homogenisation of milk on the texture and water-typology of a starter-free fresh cheese. *Innovative Food*

709 *Science* and *Emerging Technologies*, 12, 484–490.

710 Zamora, A., Juan, B., & Trujillo, A. J. (2015). Compositional and biochemical changes during cold storage of

711 starter-free fresh cheeses made from ultra-high-pressure homogenised milk. *Food chemistry*, 176, 433–440.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Schematic overview of tasks related to the inclusion of whey proteins in cheese.

Fig. 2 Pre-treatments of cheese milk and main sources of protein-based derivatives to fortify cheese with whey proteins. WP: whey proteins; MWP: microparticulated whey proteins; WPC: whey protein concentrate; WPI: whey protein isolate.

Table 1 – Recent reports on milk pre-treatments to include whey proteins in cheese. Impact on cheese yield and overall properties.

Cheese	Milk pre-treatment	Processing conditions	Cheese yield[c] (*vs* control)	Cheese properties (*vs* untreated control)	Reference
Reduced-fat Cheddar with EPS-Ll[d]	UF[a]	VRF[b] = 1.2	Increased	Improved textural, melting and viscoelastic properties. Reduced bitterness.	(Agrawal and Hassan, 2007)
Semi-hard pressed cheese	UF	Total solid content of retentate from 36 to 41%	Up to + 2%	Improved quality by optimization of different technological parameters.	(Delgado, Salazar, & García, 2013)
Low-fat Camembert-type cheese	UF	VRF = 6	Increased	Delayed onset of proteolysis. Occorrence of surface defects.	(Hannon, Lortal, Tissier, & Famelart, 2009)
Half-fat Cheddar	Pasteurization	72 to 87 °C for 26 s	Increased	Decreased fracture stress, firmness, flowability and stretchability. Increase of viscosity.	(Rynne, Beresford, Kelly, & Guinee, 2004)
Urfa	Heat treatment	65 °C for 20 min 75 °C for 5 min	+ 3.5% at 85 °C + 9.9% at 90 °C	Severe heating impaired chemical and sensory properties.	(Atasoy, Yetişmeyen, Türkoğlu, & Özer, 2008)
Cottage	Heat treatment	85 °C for 5 min 90 °C for 5 min + 0.02% CaCl$_2$	+ 8% at 85 °C + 17% at 90 °C (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Texture and flavor unaffected.	(Makhal, Kanawjia, & Giri, 2015)
Low-fat Iranian white cheese	Cream homogenization (two-stage)	6.0−2.5 MPa and 9.0−2.5 MPa	Increased	Improvement of texture, flavor and appearance by homogenized cream.	(Madadlou, Mousavi, Khosrowshahi, Emam-Djome, & Zargaran, 2007)
Queso Fresco	HHP[e]	400 MPa for 20 min at 20 °C	Increased	Similar composition and texture properties. Less firm, less crumbly and more sticky.	(Sandra, Stanford, & Goddik, 2004)
Cheddar (65-day-old)	HHP	483 MPa for 5 min at 10 °C	+ 11.5% (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Treatment at 10 °C positively affected cheesemaking properties and rheological parameters.	(San Martin-González et al., 2007)

Cheddar (1-day-old)	HHP	400 and 600 MPa for 10 min at 20 °C	+ 1.2% at 400 MPa + 7.8% at 600 MPa	Increased cheese whiteness. Rennet coagulation time decreased at 400 MPa and increased at 600 MPa.	(Voigt, Donaghy, Patterson, Stephan, & Kelly, 2010)
Camembert	HHP	500 MPa for 10 min at 20 °C	Increased	Altered composition and accelerated hydrolysis of α_{s1}-casein. Similar sensory acceptability.	(Voigt, Patterson, Linton, & Kelly, 2011)
Full-fat Cheddar	UHPH[f]	200 MPa at 28 °C	+ 4.4% (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Firmer, more elastic and cohesive (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk). Lowered microbial population.	(Kheadr, Vachon, Paquin, & Fliss, 2002)
Crescenza	UHPH	100 MPa	+ 1% (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Modified sensory and structural characteristics (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk). No detrimental effects on shelf-life and safety. Early and significant lipolysis.	(Lanciotti et al., 2004)
Caciotta	UHPH	100 MPa at 5–7 °C	+ 23% (*vs* cheese from raw milk) + 32% (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Extensive proteolysis and volatile profile modified. Microbial population decreased (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk).	(Lanciotti et al., 2006)
Pecorino-like cheese	UHPH	100 MPa at 5 °C	+ 23% (*vs* cheese from thermized milk) + 38% (*vs* cheese from raw milk)	Accelerated ripening with different proteolytic and lipolytic patterns. Different volatile profile.	(Vannini et al., 2008)
Starter-free fresh cheese	UHPH	300 MPa at 30 °C	Increased (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Less syneresis during storage and lower levels of lipolysis and proteolysis. Longer shelf-life. Occurrence of slight lipid oxidation.	(Zamora, Juan, & Trujillo, 2015)
Semi-hard goat cheese (1-day-old)	UHPH	200 MPa at 30 °C	+ 0.5% (*vs* cheese from homogenized-pasteurized milk) + 2% (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk)	Higher hydrolysis of α_{s1}- and ß-casein (*vs* cheese from pasteurized milk). Lower hydrolysis of α_{s1}- and ß-casein (*vs* cheese from homogenized-pasteurized milk).	(Juan, Zamora, Quevedo, and Trujillo, 2016)

[a] ultrafiltration.
[b] volume reduction factor.
[c] actual or moisture-adjusted yield.
[d] milk inoculation with exopolysaccharide-producing *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *Cremoris*.
[e] high hydrostatic pressure.

[f] ultra-high pressure homogenization.

Table 2 – Recent reports on cheeses manufactured with milk fortification with dairy protein-based derivatives or buttermilk. Impact on cheese yield and overall properties.

Cheese variety	Added derivative	Milk-in-vat	Cheese yield (vs control, derivative-free)	Cheese properties (vs control, derivative-free)	Reference
Reduced-fat Cheddar	MPC63[a] (high WP denaturation)	S[b] to 5.3% casein	Doubled[c]	Reduced primary and secondary proteolysis. Starter adjuncts required to enhance ripening and flavor development.	(Ur-Rehman, Farkye, Considine, Schaffner, & Drake, 2003)
Pizza cheese	MPC64	S to 5.0% protein	+ 61%	Improved meltability during storage in Pizza cheese made by direct acidification.	(Ur-Rehman, Farkye, & Yim, 2003)
Cheddar	MPC85	S to 4.6% protein or S to 6.5% protein	Increased	No sensory defects in cheese from milk standardized to 4.6% in protein. Lower fat retention and adverse effects on texture in cheese from milk standardized to 6.5% in protein.	(Harvey, 2006)
Feta and Mozzarella	MPC85	S to 5.4% protein	Increased	No sensory defects.	(Harvey, 2006)
Cheddar	MPC84	S to 3.6% protein or S to 4.0% protein	+ 11% + 23%	Not investigated.	(Guinee, O'Kennedy, & Kelly, 2006)
Mozzarella	MPC83	S to 4.0% protein	+ 21%	Improved fat recovery by adapting stretching procedures. Positive evaluation by untrained panel of consumers.	(Francolino, Locci, Ghiglietti, Iezzi, & Mucchetti, 2010)
Iranian white cheese	Fermented WPC[d]	+ 5, + 10 or + 20% fermented WPC	Increased	Softer texture. Decreased hardness and chewiness. Impaired overall quality when fermented WPC >15%.	(Jooyandeh, 2009)
Low-moisture part-skim Mozzarella	WPC55	+ 0.25% WPC	Recovery of denatured WP	Reduced melting performance by cross-linked WP. Reduced fines production during shredding.	(Banville, Morin, Pouliot, & Britten, 2013)
Low-fat Cremoso Argentino	MWP[e] (Dairy-Lo™)	+ 1% MWP	Increased moisture	Extensive softening after 30 d of ripening. Low scores in taste and aroma. Similar meltability. Good quality without Dairy-Lo™.	(Zalazar et al., 2002)

Low-fat white pickled cheese	MWP (Simplesse®) or (Dairy-Lo™)	+ 0.5% MWP	Increased moisture	Hardness and sensory properties similar. Acceptable quality. Absence of off-flavor or bitterness.	(Kavas, Oysun, Kinik, & Uysal, 2004)
Low-fat fresh Kashar cheese	MWP (Simplesse® D-100) (Dairy-Lo™)	+ 1% MWP	Increased moisture	Improved flavor, texture and acceptability. Simplesse® D100 reduced hardness. Appearance defects corrected with Simplesse® D-100. Decrease of sensory scores over 60th day of storage.	(Koca & Metin, 2004)
Reduced-fat semi-hard cheese (Gouda-type)	MWP concentrate (CreamoProt®)	+ 0.5, + 1 or + 2% MWP	Increased	Improvement of texture attributes was concentration-dependent. Changed meltability.	(Schenkel, Samudrala, & Hinrichs, 2011)
Fresh cheese	MWP (liquid)	+ 25 or + 50 g MWP per 100 g milk protein	Increased	Lower syneresis and higher stability over storage. Reduced sensorial acceptability at higher levels of added MWP.	(Henriques, Gomes, Pereira, & Gil, 2013)
Reduced-fat Gouda-type cheese	MWP (Simplesse®)	+ 1% MW	Increased moisture	Improved thermo-physical properties. Reduced hardness. MWP acted as inert fillers in the melting cheese matrix.	(Schenkel, Samudrala, & Hinrichs, 2013)
Low-fat Caciotta-type cheese	MWP concentrate	+ 0.5% MWP + EPS-St[f] + cultures[g]	+ 33%	Improved textural properties. Overall acceptability Sensory scores similar to full-fat variant.	(Di Cagno et al., 2014)
Low-fat Beyaz pickled cheese	MWP (Simplesse®)	+ 1% MWP	Increased moisture	Enhanced texture properties. Sensory scores similar to full-fat cheese.	(Akin & Kirmaci, 2015)
Pizza cheese	Buttermilk condensed by heat and vacuum	+ 4 or + 6% condensed buttermilk	+ 10 or + 15%	Decrease of melt and stretch attributes.	(Govindasamy-Lucey, Lin, Jaeggi, Johnson, & Lucey, 2006)
Pizza cheese	Buttermilk UF[h]	Blend of retentate plus other ingredients	+ 11%	Structural and flavor attributes acceptable through adaptations. Slightly lower oiling off.	(Govindasamy-Lucey et al., 2007)
Reduced-fat Paneer	Buttermilk UF	+ 20, + 30 or + 40% retentate	+ 2%	Improved sensory attributes.	(Suneeta, Bhatt, & Prajapati, 2014)

[a] milk protein concentrate with xx% protein.
[b] standardization.

^c actual or moisture-adjusted yield.
^d whey protein concentrate.
^e microparticulated whey proteins.
^f milk inoculation with exopolysaccharide-producing *Streptococcus thermophilus*.
^g milk inoculation with adjunct cultures.
^h ultrafiltered retentate.

Table 3 – Recent reports on combined pre-treatments of milk to include whey proteins in cheese. Impact on cheese yield and overall properties.

Cheese	Milk pre-treatment	Processing conditions	Cheese yield (*vs* control)	Cheese properties (*vs* control cheese)	Reference
Raclette	Pasteurization + MF[a]	80 to 85 °C for 30 s + MF up to 17% of total solids	Increased	Good melting properties.	(Thomet, Bachmann, & Schafroth, 2004)
Gouda	Pasteurization + MF + Pasteurization	80 to 85 °C for 30 to 60 s + VRF[b] = 1.5 and 2.0 + 72 °C for 15 s	Increased	Heat-induced delay in rennet coagulation compensated by MF, and by adjusting coagulant and $CaCl_2$ addition. Sensory quality and behavior during industrial slicing satisfactory and according to specification.	(Chromik, Partschefeld, Jaros, Henle, & Rohm, 2010)
Starter-free fresh cheese	Two-stage Homogenization + Pasteurization	15−3 MPa at 57 to 60 °C + 72 °C for 15 s	Increased	Firmer cheese, less deformable and whiter color. Increase in bound water, but less water-mouth feeling.	(Zamora, Ferragut, Juan, Guamis, & Trujillo, 2011)
Queso Fresco	Heat treatment + UHPH[c]	65 °C for 30 min + 100 to 300 MPa	+ 1% each 100 MPa	Improved retention of sweet whey.	(Escobar et al., 2011)
Low-fat Norvegia cheese (Gouda-type)	MWP[d] + buttermilk	+ 3 or 6% MWP and + 15% buttermilk	Increased moisture	Recipe with 3% MWP and 15% buttermilk reduced firmness, improved texture and liking scores. Texture defects with + 6% MWP addition.	(Skeie, Alseth, Abrahamsen, Jihansen, & Øyaas, 2013)

[a] microfiltration.
[b] volume reduction factor.
[c] ultra-high pressure homogenization.
[d] microparticulated whey proteins.

Table 4 – Technological tools to enhance the content of whey proteins in cheese and consequences in terms of cheese characteristics.

Cheese milk pre-treatment	Pros	Cons
Heat	- Yield increase in some fresh acid cheeses. - Cheap technology.	- Impairment of rennet-induced coagulum in semi-hard and hard cheeses. - Reduced whey syneresis. Excessive softness and brittleness. - Possible delayed maturation and adverse effects on texture and flavor.
UF[a]	- Yield increase. - Industrial adoption for manufacturing of several UF-cheese varieties.	- Possible structure and flavor defects without proper cheesemaking adjustments.
HHP[b]	- Yield increase. - Possible duplication of conventional cheese. - Novel texture and sensory quality. - Accelerated proteolysis and lipolysis. - Extended shelf-life of fresh cheeses.	- Possible altered composition with modifications of structure and volatile profile. - High investment, operation and maintenance costs. - Long treatments.
UHPH[c]	- Yield increase. - Ripening acceleration by more extensive proteolysis and lipolysis. - Longer chemical and microbiological shelf life. - Novel texture and sensory quality.	- Possible textural and sensorial modifications. - Possible lipid oxidation. - Additional equipment required.
Addition of protein-based derivatives	- Yield increase. - Reduced defects of low-fat cheeses and improved sensory attributes. - Microparticulated whey proteins used also to control moisture and texture of certain cheeses.	- Slight texture modification (softer curd). - Possible modification of ripening and flavor development. - Possible bitter defects by denatured whey proteins.
Addition of buttermilk or derivatives	- Yield increase. - Moisture increase.	- Adaptations in cheesemaking protocol to mantain functional properties of the cheese. - Recovery in whey proteins dependent on composition of buttermilk and processing conditions of the cream.

TGase[d]	- Yield increase. - Fresh and unripened cheeses with reduced wheying-off during storage. - Upon acid coagulation novel physical and functional properties.	- Limited industrial applications due to the impairment of rennet coagulation properties. - Changes in cheesemaking protocol to keep cheese acceptability.
Combined processes	- (Heat + UF) treatments of milk restore coagulation aptitude. - (UHPH + heat) treatments of milk increase yield and improve textural quality of fresh cheeses. - (Heat + TGase) treatments of milk increase yield and improve textural properties of cheese obtained by acid coagulation. - (UF + buttermilk) or (evaporation + buttermilk) in the manufacture of high-moisture cheeses.	- Adaptations in cheesemaking protocol.

[a]UF = ultrafiltration.
[b]HHP = high hydrostatic pressure.
[c]UHPH = ultra-high pressure homogenization.
[d]TGase = transglutaminase.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

HIGHLIGHTS

- Valorization of whey proteins upon their inclusion into cheese
- Feasibility of several technological tools at industrial-scale or pilot-plant level
- Adaptations of manufacturing process to keep overall quality of the cheese
- Cheese varieties with novel functionalities following inclusion of whey proteins