

Technology Development for the Advanced Technology Large Aperture Space Telescope (ATLAST) as a Candidate Large UV-Optical- Infrared (LUVOIR) Surveyor

**Presented by:
Matthew R. Bolcar
NASA Goddard Space Flight Center**

ATLAST Technology Development Team:

**M. R. Bolcar, K. Balasubramanian, M. Clampin, J. Crooke, L. Feinberg, M.
Postman, M. Quijada, B. J. Rauscher, D. Redding, S. Shaklan, H. P. Stahl,
C. Stahle, H. Thronson**


Background

- 2009
 - ATLAST initial design study; proposed to 2010 Decadal Survey
- 2010
 - Decadal Committee recommends “a New Worlds Technology Development Program” as the highest priority medium-scale activity
- 2014
 - NASA Astrophysics 30-year Roadmap recommends a large UV-Optical-Infrared (LUVOIR) telescope in the “Formative Era”
- 2015
 - AURA releases *From Cosmic Birth to Living Earths*; recommends the High Definition Space Telescope (HDST) as a general astrophysics observatory with the “killer app” of detecting and characterizing habitable exoplanets
- Early to mid-2016
 - NASA Astrophysics Division initiates Science and Technology Definition Teams (STDTs) to perform detailed mission concept studies in preparation of the 2020 Decadal Survey: LUVOIR is one of four missions to be studied

What Is ATLAST?

- ATLAST, LUVVOIR, HDST are all *mostly* interchangeable
 - LUVVOIR is architecture non-specific
 - HDST advocates for a large segmented aperture
 - ATLAST has engineering reference designs for segmented and monolithic systems
 - All have very similar science goals
- A multi-institutional team to continues to study ATLAST
 - Multiple engineering reference designs, discussed in:

N. Rioux, “A future large-aperture UVOIR space observatory: reference designs”, paper 9602-4



ATLAST Science

- Detect and characterize a statistically significant population of habitable exoplanets
 - Discover dozens of exoEarths
 - Look for, and potentially confirm, presence of life
 - Observe general planet populations for comparative studies
- Perform a broad array of UVOIR general astrophysics:
 - Galaxy, star, and planet formation
 - Flow of material between galaxies
 - Observations within our own solar system
- ATLAST's science portfolio is very similar to that outlined in AURA's *From Cosmic Birth to Living Earths* report

Top-Level System Requirements

| Parameter | | Requirement | Stretch Goal | Traceability |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|---|--|
| Primary Mirror Aperture | | ≥ 8 meters | 12 meters | Resolution, Sensitivity, Exoplanet Yield |
| Telescope Temperature | | 273 K – 293 K | - | Complexity, Fabrication, Integration & Test, Contamination, IR Sensitivity |
| Wavelength Coverage | UV | 100 nm – 300 nm | 90 nm – 300 nm | - |
| | Visible | 300 nm – 950 nm | - | - |
| | NIR | 950 nm – 1.8 μm | 950 nm – 2.5 μm | - |
| | MIR | Sensitivity to 5.0 μm | - | Transit Spectroscopy |
| Image Quality | UV | < 0.20 arcsec at 150 nm | - | - |
| | Vis/NIR/MIR | Diffraction-limited at 500 nm | - | - |
| Stray Light | | Zodi-limited between 400 nm – 1.8 μm | Zodi-limited between 200 nm – 2.5 μm | Exoplanet Imaging & Spectroscopy SNR |
| Wavefront Error Stability | | < 10 pm RMS uncorrected system WFE per control step | - | Starlight Suppression via Internal Coronagraph |
| Pointing | Spacecraft | ≤ 1 milli-arcsec | - | - |
| | Coronagraph | < 0.4 milli-arcsec | - | - |

Technology Development for ATLAST

- Our team identified 5 key technology areas to enable the ATLAST mission:
 - Internal Coronagraph
 - Starshade
 - Ultra-stable large aperture systems
 - Detectors
 - Mirror Coatings
- Established a technology development roadmap
 - Identifies technology gaps
 - Includes current TRL and gap-type (*e.g.* technology, engineering, manufacturing)
 - Recommends development activities

Assumptions

- Assume a new mission start with PDR circa 2024
 - Technologies must be TRL 5 by PDR
 - Technology development plan must be credible in time for 2020 Decadal Survey
- Assume flexibility with respect to ATLAST architecture
 - Explore multiple solutions at this early stage of development
 - i.e. develop for both monolithic and segmented apertures, develop both internal coronagraphs and starshades, etc.
- Adopt a conservative approach in identifying gaps
 - This a systems-level problem: every technology impacts every other
 - Requires detailed integrated design cycles
 - For now, assume “worst case” and refine as technologies develop and modeling is performed


Technologies

| Internal Coronagraph | Parameter | Need | Capability | Current TRL | Technology, Engineering, or Manufacturing |
|--|-----------------|---|---|-------------|---|
| Broadband High-Contrast Coronagraph includes Wavefront Sensing & Control (WFSC) | Raw Contrast | 1×10 ⁻¹⁰ (detect) 5×10 ⁻¹⁰ (char.) | 3.2×10 ⁻¹⁰ | 3 | Technology |
| | IWA | 3.6 λ/D (detect) 2.0 λ/D (char.) | 3 λ/D | | |
| | OWA | ~ 64 λ/D | 16 λ/D | | |
| | Bandpass | 10-20% (instantaneous) 400 nm – 1.8 μm (total) 200 nm – 2.5 μm (goal) | 10% | | |
| | Aperture | Obscured, segmented | Unobscured | | |
| | WFSC | Fast, low-order, at stellar photon rates | Slow, tip/tilt, bright lab source | | |
| Deformable Mirrors | Actuator count | 128×128 (continuous) >3000 (segmented) | 64×64 (continuous) <200 (segmented) | 3 | Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Environmental | Robust, rad. hard | Testing underway | | |
| | Electronics | >16 bits, high-throughput | ~16 bit, dense cabling | | |
| Autonomous Onboard Computation | Bandwidth | Closed-loop > a few Hz | Human-in-the-loop | 3 | Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Electronics | Rad. hard, >100 GFLOPS/W | <20 GFLOPS/W | | |
| Starlight Suppression Image Processing | PSF Calibration | Factor of 50-100× improvement in contrast | 25× demonstrated 30× goal for WFIRST | 3 | Engineering |


Internal Coronagraph



Broadband High-Contrast Coronagraph
includes Wavefront Sensing & Control (WFSC)




Deformable Mirrors



Autonomous Onboard Computation



Starlight Suppression Image Processing



| Starshade | Parameter | Need | Capability | Current TRL | Technology, Engineering, or Manufacturing |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|-------------|---|
| Starshade Construction and Deployment | - | Petal and central truss design consistent with an 80-m class starshade Demonstrate manufacturing and deployment tolerances | Demonstrated prototype petal for 40-m class starshade Demonstrated deployment tolerances with a 12-m Astromesh antenna with 4 petals | 3 | Engineering |
| Optical Edges | Edge radius | $\leq 1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ | $\geq 10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ | 3 | Technology |
| | Reflectivity | $\leq 10\%$ | - | | |
| | Stowed radius | $\leq 1.5\text{ m}$ | - | | |
| Formation Flight | Lateral sensing error | $\leq 20\text{ cm}$ | - | 3 | Engineering |
| | Peak-to-peak control | $< 1\text{ m}$ | - | | |
| | Centroid estimation | $\leq 0.3\%$ of optical resolution | $\geq 1\%$ | | |
| Contrast Performance Demonstration and Model Validation | - | 1×10^{-10} broadband contrast at Fresnel numbers ≤ 50 | 3×10^{-10} contrast, excluding petal edges, narrowband, at Fresnel number of ~ 500 | 3 | Technology |
| Starshade Propulsion & Refueling | - | Propulsion & refueling to enable > 500 slews during 3 years of a 5-year mission | Requires study; robotic refueling appears feasible | 3 | Technology, Engineering |

| Starshade | | Timeline | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|------|------|--|------|------|--|------|------|------|------|
| | | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 | FY19 | FY20 | FY21 | FY22 | FY23 | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 |
| Starshade Construction and Deployment | | Develop and demonstrate fabrication of prototype 80-meter class petals & truss | | | Demonstrate deployment of truss and petals to flight tolerances | | | Environmental qualification of materials, mechanisms, etc. | | | | |
| Optical Edges | | Leverage ongoing investments in starshade material technology development | | | | | | | | | | |
| Formation Flight | | Continue investments in formation flight | | | | | | | | | | |
| Contrast Performance Demonstration and Model Validation | | Continue investments in model validation and laboratory demonstrations of scale-designs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Starshade Propulsion & Refueling | | Investigate servicing and propulsion needs for enhanced starshade lifetime and slew rate | | | Engage human/robotic servicing community to develop infrastructure | | | | | | | |



FY26

Engage human/robotic servicing community to develop infrastructure

| Ultra-stable Large Aperture Telescopes | Parameter | Need | Capability | Current TRL | Technology, Engineering, or Manufacturing |
|--|------------------------|--|---|-------------|---|
| Mirrors | Areal Density | < 36 kg/m² (Delta IVH) < 500 kg/m² (SLS) | ~12 kg/m² (SiC) ~35 kg/m² (ULE) ~70 kg/m² (JWST) | 4 | Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Areal Cost | < \$2 M/m² | ~\$6 M/m² (JWST) | | |
| | Areal Production Rate | 30-50 m²/year | ~4 m²/year (JWST) ~1 m²/year (HST) ~100-300 m²/year planned by TMT but not yet demonstrated | | |
| Stable Structures | Moisture Expansion | Zero after initial moisture release | Continuous moisture release | 3 | Technology |
| | Lurch | < 10 pm / wavefront control step | Micro-lurch at joint interfaces | | |
| | Metrology | High-speed picometer metrology to validate performance | Nanometer speckle interferometry on JWST | | |
| Thermal Stability | Material Stability | ~10 nm/K | ~100 nm/K | 3 | Technology |
| Disturbance Isolation System | End-to-end Attenuation | 140 dB at frequencies > 20 Hz | 80 dB at frequencies > 40 Hz (JWST passive isolator only) | 4 | Technology, Engineering |
| Metrology & Actuators | Sensing Accuracy | ~1 pm | ~1 nm | 4 | Technology |
| | Control Accuracy | ~1 pm | ~5 nm | | |

Ultra-stable Large Aperture Telescopes



Mirrors

Advanced Mirror System Demonstrator (AMSD)-like program comparing materials & architectures

Downselect to ~4 candidates

Downselect to 2 candidates

Stable Structures

Demonstration of subscale (segment-level) structure system dynamics

Expand to multi-segment/larger scale;

Subscale stability testbed:

Incorporate mirrors, structure, thermal control, metrology, actuators, and dynamic isolation

Thermal Stability

(Investigate as part of Mirrors and Stable Structures efforts)

Incorporate thermal control and dynamic isolation system;

Disturbance Isolation System

Invest in high-TRL testbed demonstrations;
Study low-TRL options for risk reduction

Metrology & Actuators

Engage industry for improved metrology techniques and actuators

| Detectors | Parameter | Need | Capability | Current TRL | Technology, Engineering, or Manufacturing |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| Visible-NIR Single-photon Detectors for Enabling Exoplanet Science | Bandwidth | 400 nm – 1.8 μm (2.5 μm goal) | EMCCD is promising, need rad.-hard testing, has hard cutoff at 1.1 μm; HgCdTe APDs good for NIR but need better dark current; MKID & TES meet requirements but require cryo ops. | 3-5 | Technology, Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Read Noise | << 1 e ⁻ | | | |
| | Dark Current | < 0.001 e ⁻ /pix/s | | | |
| | Spurious Count Rate | Small compared to dark current | | | |
| | Quantum Eff. | > 80% over bandwidth | | | |
| | Format | > 2k × 2k | | | |
| UV Single-photon Detectors for Enhanced Exoplanet Science | Bandwidth | 200 nm – 400 nm | EBCMOS and MCP detectors need better quantum eff., and improvements in lifetime; MKID & TES detectors also apply here | 2-4 | Technology, Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Read Noise | << 1 e ⁻ | | | |
| | Dark Current | < 0.001 e ⁻ /pix/s | | | |
| | Spurious Count Rate | Small compared to dark current | | | |
| | Quantum Eff. | > 50% over bandwidth | | | |
| | Format | > 2k × 2k | | | |
| Large-Format High-Sensitivity UV Detectors for General Astrophysics | Bandwidth | 90 nm – 300 nm | Same as above; δ-doped EMCCD also a candidate, but needs rad.-hard testing and lower clock-induced charge | 4 | Technology, Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | Read Noise | < 5 e ⁻ | | | |
| | Quantum Eff. | > 70% | | | |
| | Format | > 2k × 2k | | | |

Detectors



Visible-NIR Single-photon Detectors for Enabling Exoplanet Science

Competitively-selected teams pursuing EMCCD, HgCdTe, superconducting techs, etc.

Downselect to focus resources

Final development of selected techs.

UV Single-photon Detectors for Enhanced Exoplanet Science

Collaboration between NASA, Industry, Universities
Pursue parallel detector technologies (EB-CMOS, MCP, etc.)

Downselect to candidate detector & develop to TRL 6

Large-Format High-Sensitivity UV Detectors for General Astrophysics

Radiation testing of EMCCDs first priority

Recommend short-list of candidates to Decadal

Flight-qualify; Develop to TRL 6

| Mirror Coatings | Parameter | Need | Capability | Current TRL | Technology, Engineering, or Manufacturing |
|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|-------------|---|
| Reflectivity | 90 nm – 120 nm | > 70% | < 50% | 2 | Technology, Engineering |
| | 120 nm – 300 nm | > 90% | 80% | 3 | |
| | > 300 nm | > 90% | > 90% | 5 | |
| Uniformity | 90 nm – 120 nm | < 1% | TBD | 2 | Engineering, Manufacturing |
| | 120 nm – 250 nm | < 1% | > 2% | 3 | |
| | > 250 nm | < 1% | 1-2% | 4 | |
| Polarization | ≥ 90 nm | < 1% | Not yet assessed; requires study | 2 | Technology |
| Durability | - | Stable performance over mission lifetime (10 years minimum) | Stable performance, but with limited starting reflectivity below 200 nm | 4 | Engineering, Manufacturing |

Mirror Coatings

2020 Decadal Review

TRL 5



FY16 FY17 FY18 FY19 FY20 FY21 FY22 FY23 FY24 FY25 FY26

Reflectivity

Develop UHV equipment with moving sources and ALD capabilities.

Process development for promising techniques such as ALD

Uniformity

Develop automated instruments, test methods, and analyses.

Uniformity studies with a large number of samples.

TRL 5 & 6 demonstrations of coating on 1.5-m mirror substrate

Polarization

Theoretical Analysis & Estimate of Requirements

Focused, practical measurements to guide development.

Durability


Detailed tests & analysis

Large-scale tests and development of protected coatings.

Mirror Coatings

(\$32.2M)

Funding Profile (\$M)



UV Coating Reflectivity

Develop UHV equipment with moving sources and ALD capabilities.

Process development for promising techniques such as ALD. Need dedicated automated equipment for performance evaluation.

UV Coating Uniformity

Develop automated instruments, test methods, and analyses.

Uniformity studies with a large number of samples.

TRL 5 & 6
Demonstration of coating on 1.5-m mirror substrate.

UV Coating Polarization

Theoretical Analysis & Estimate of Requirements

Focused, practical measurements to guide development.

Coating Environmental Durability

Detailed tests & analysis


Large-scale tests and development of protected coatings.

Conclusions

- A multi-institutional, studying a large UV-Optical-IR telescope with two science goals:
 - Detect and characterize habitable exoplanets
 - Broad array of general astrophysical observations
- Identified 5 key technologies to enable ATLAST
 - Internal Coronagraph
 - Starshade
 - Ultra-stable large-aperture telescopes
 - Detectors
 - Mirror Coatings
- Recommended actions for developing technologies to TRL 5 in time for a new mission start in 2024

BACKUP

ATLAST Segmented Architecture: At a Glance



Notional Instrument Requirements

| Science Instrument | Parameter | Requirement | Stretch Goal |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| UV Multi-Object Spectrograph | Wavelength Range | 100 nm – 300 nm | 90 nm – 300 nm |
| | Field-of-View | 1 – 2 arcmin | - |
| | Spectral Resolution | R = 20,000 – 300,000 (selectable) | - |
| Visible-NIR Wide-field Imager | Wavelength Range | 300 nm – 1.8 μ m | 300 nm – 2.5 μ m |
| | Field-of-View | 4 – 8 arcmin | - |
| | Image Resolution | Nyquist sampled at 500 nm | - |
| Visible-NIR Integral Field Spectrograph | Wavelength Range | 300 nm – 1.8 μ m | 300 nm – 2.5 μ m |
| | Field-of-View | 4 – 8 arcmin | - |
| | Spectral Resolution | R = 100 – 10,000 (selectable) | - |
| MIR Transit Spectrograph | Wavelength Range | Sensitivity to 5 μ m | - |
| | Field-of-View | TBD | - |
| | Spectral Resolution | R = 200 | - |
| Starlight Suppression System | Wavelength Range | 400 nm – 1.8 μ m | 200 nm – 2.5 μ m |
| | Raw Contrast | 1×10^{-10} | - |
| | Contrast Stability | 1×10^{-11} over integration | - |
| | Inner-working angle | 36 milli-arcsec @ 1 μ m | - |
| | Outer-working angle | > 0.5 arcsec @ 1 μ m | - |
| Multi-Band Exoplanet Imager | Field-of-View | ~0.5 arcsec | - |
| | Resolution | Nyquist sampled at 500 nm | - |
| Exoplanet Spectrograph | Field-of-View | ~0.5 arcsec | - |
| | Resolution | R = 70 – 500 (selectable) | - |