

Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding population of Native Americans

Moreno-Mayar, J Víctor; Potter, Ben A; Vinner, Lasse; Steinrücken, Matthias; Rasmussen, Simon; Terhorst, Jonathan; Kamm, John A; Albrechtsen, Anders; Malaspinas, Anna-Sapfo; Sikora, Martin *Total number of authors:*

18

Published in: Nature

Link to article, DOI: 10.1038/nature25173

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Moreno-Mayar, J. V., Potter, B. A., Vinner, L., Steinrücken, M., Rasmussen, S., Terhorst, J., Kamm, J. A., Albrechtsen, A., Malaspinas, A-S., Sikora, M., Reuther, J. D., Irish, J. D., Malhi, R. S., Orlando, L., Song, Y. S., Nielsen, R., Meltzer, D. J., & Willerslev, E. (2017). Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding population of Native Americans. *Nature*, *553*(7687), 203-207. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25173

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



LJMU Research Online

Moreno-Mayar, JV, Potter, BA, Vinner, L, Steinrücken, M, Rasmussen, S, Terhorst, J, Kamm, JA, Albrechtsen, A, Malaspinas, A-S, Sikora, M, Reuther, JD, Irish, JD, Malhi, RS, Orlando, L, Song, YA, Nielsen, R, Meltzer, DJ and Willerslev, E

Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding population of Native Americans

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/7887/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Moreno-Mayar, JV, Potter, BA, Vinner, L, Steinrücken, M, Rasmussen, S, Terhorst, J, Kamm, JA, Albrechtsen, A, Malaspinas, A-S, Sikora, M, Reuther, JD, Irish, JD, Malhi, RS, Orlando, L, Song, YA, Nielsen, R, Meltzer, DJ and Willerslev. E (2018) Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1 Terminal Pleistocene Alaskan genome reveals first founding population of Native

J. Víctor Moreno-Mayar^{1,*}, Ben A. Potter^{2,*}, Lasse Vinner^{1,*} Matthias Steinrücken

^{3,4,5}, Simon Rasmussen ⁶, Jonathan Terhorst ⁴, John A. Kamm^{4,7}, Anders

Albrechtsen⁸, Anna-Sapfo Malaspinas^{1,9,10}, Martin Sikora¹, Joshua D. Reuther²,

- 2 Americans
- 3 4

5

6

Joel D. Irish¹¹, Ripan S. Malhi ^{12,13}, Ludovic Orlando ¹, Yun S. Song ^{3,4,14,15}, Rasmus 7 Nielsen ^{1,4,14}, David J. Meltzer ^{1,16} and Eske Willerslev ^{1,7,17,**}. 8 9 10 1. Centre for GeoGenetics, Natural History Museum of Denmark, University of Copenhagen, 1350 Copenhagen, Denmark. 11 2. Department of Anthropology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK 99775. 12 3. Computer Science Division, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. 13 4. Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. 14 15 5. Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, 16 MA 01003, USA. 6. Center for Biological Sequence Analysis, Department of Systems Biology, Technical 17 18 University of Denmark, 2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. 19 7. Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge, CB10 1SA, UK. 20 21 8. The Bioinformatics Centre, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, 2200 22 Copenhagen, Denmark. 23 9. Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland. 24 10. Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. 11. Research Centre in Evolutionary Anthropology and Palaeoecology, Liverpool John 25 Moores University, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK. 26 27 12. Department of Anthropology, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. 28 13. Carle R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana-29 Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. 30 14. Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, 31 32 USA. 15. Department of Mathematics and Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, 33 34 PA 19104. 35 16. Department of Anthropology, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, 36 USA. 37 17. Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Downing St, Cambridge CB2 3EJ, 38 UK 39 * These authors contributed equally to this work. 40 ** Corresponding author: ewillerslev@snm.ku.dk 41 42 Despite broad agreement that the Americas were initially populated via Beringia, 43 when and how this happened is debated 1-5. Key to this debate are human remains 44 from Late Pleistocene Alaska. The first and only such remains were recovered at 45 Upward Sun River (USR), and date to ~11.5 kya^{6,7}. We sequenced the USR1 genome 46 to an average coverage of ~17X. We find USR1 is most closely related to Native 47 Americans, but falls basal to all previously sequenced contemporary and ancient 48 Native Americans ^{1,8,9}. As such, USR1 represents a distinct Ancient Beringian (AB) 49

population. Using demographic modelling we infer the AB population and ancestors 50 of other Native Americans descend from a single founding population that initially 51 split from East Asians \sim 36 ± 1.5 kya, with gene flow persisting until \sim 25 ± 1.1 kya. 52 Gene flow from ancient north Eurasians into all Native Americans took place 25-20 53 kya, with AB branching off ~22-18.1 kya. Our findings support long-term genetic 54 55 structure in ancestral Native Americans, consistent with the Beringian Standstill Model ¹⁰. We find that the basal Northern (NNA) and Southern (SNA) branches, to 56 which all other Native Americans belong, diverged ~17.5-14.6 kya, likely south of 57 the North American ice sheets. After 11.5 kya, some NNA populations received gene 58 59 flow from a Siberian population most closely related to Koryaks, but not Paleoeskimos¹, Inuit or Kets¹¹, and that Native American gene flow into Inuit was 60 via NNA and not SNA groups¹. Our findings further suggest the far northern North 61 62 American presence of NNA is from a back migration that replaced or absorbed the initial AB founding population. 63

64

65 The peopling of the Americas, and particularly the population history of Beringia, the 66 land bridge that connected far northeast Asia to northwestern North America during the Pleistocene, remains unresolved ^{2,3}. Humans were present in the Americas south of the 67 continental ice sheets by ~14.6 kya ¹², indicating they traversed Beringia earlier, possibly 68 around the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Then, the region was marked by harsh 69 climates and glacial barriers ⁵, which may have led to the isolation of populations for 70 extended periods, and at times complicated dispersal across the region ¹³. Still 71 72 controversial are questions of whether and how long Native American ancestors were 73 isolated from Asian groups in Beringia prior to entering the Americas ^{2,10,14}; if one or more early migrations gave rise to the founding population of Native Americans^{1-4,8,15} 74 (it is commonly agreed Paleoeskimos and Inuit represent separate and later migrations 75 ^{1,16,17}); and, when and where the basal split between SNA and NNA occurred. Unresolved 76 too is whether the genetic affinity between some SNA groups and indigenous 77 Australasians ^{2,3}, reflects migration by non-Native Americans ^{3,4,15}, early population 78 structure within the first Americans³, or later gene flow². Key to resolving these 79 80 uncertainties is a better understanding of the population history of Beringia, the entryway 81 for the Pleistocene peopling of the Americas.

82

83 Genomic insight into that population history has now become available with the recently recovered infant remains (USR1 and USR2) from the Upward Sun River site, Alaska 84 (eastern Beringia), dated to ~11.5 kya^{7,18}. Mitochondrial DNA sequences (haplogroups 85 C1 and B2, respectively) were previously acquired from these individuals 7,18 (SI 1,4.5). 86 We have since obtained whole-genome sequence data, which provides a broader 87 opportunity to investigate the number, source(s) and structure of the initial founding 88 89 population(s), and the timing and location of their subsequent divergence. We sequenced the genome of USR1 to an average depth of ~17X, based on eight sequencing libraries 90 from USER-treated extracts previously confirmed to contain DNA fragments with 91 92 characteristic ancient DNA misincorporation patterns (SI 2-4). We estimated modern 93 human contamination at ~0.14% based on the nuclear genome and ~0.15% based on

- mtDNA (SI 4.). As expected, the error rate in the USER-treated sequencing data was low
 (0.09% errors per-base), and comparable to other high-coverage contemporary genomes,
 based on called genotypes (SI 4). While USR2 ⁷ did not show sufficient endogenous DNA
 for high-coverage genome sequencing, we found both individuals were close relatives (SI
 5), equally related to worldwide present-day populations (Figure S4g).
- 99

We assessed the genetic relationship between USR1, a set of ancient genomes ^{2,8,9,15,17}, 100 and a panel of 167 worldwide populations genotyped for 199,285 SNPs ^{1,2,19} (SI 6), using 101 outgroup f3 statistics ²⁰, model-based clustering ^{21,22} and multidimensional scaling (MDS) 102 23 (SI 7-9). Outgroup f3 statistics of the form f3(Yoruba; X, USR1) revealed that USR1 is 103 more closely related to present-day Native Americans than to any other tested population, 104 105 followed by Siberian and East Asian populations ^{1,2} (Figure 1a). Pairwise comparisons of 106 the f3-statistics for USR1 and a set of ancient and contemporary Native American genomes ^{2,8,15} (SI 6) showed that all are similarly related to Old World populations, 107 though other Native American genomes (Aymara², Athabascan1¹⁶, 939², Anzick1⁸ and 108 109 Kennewick¹⁵) have a higher affinity for contemporary Native Americans than USR1 does (SI 9). MDS and ADMIXTURE analysis showed that the USR1 genome did not cluster 110 111 with any specific Native American group (Figures 1d, S3b). These results imply that USR1 belonged to a previously unknown Native American population not represented in 112 113 the reference dataset, herein identified as Ancient Beringians (SI 8.3).

114

115 To investigate if USR1 derived from the same source population that gave rise to contemporary Native Americans, we computed 11,322 allele frequency based-D-116 statistics ^{1,20} of the form D(Native American, USR1; Siberian1/Han, Siberian2/Han) (SI 117 **10.4**). The resulting Z-score distribution corresponds qualitatively to the expected normal 118 distribution under the null hypothesis that USR1 forms a clade with Native Americans to 119 the exclusion of Siberians and East Asians - except for a set of Eskimo-Aleut, Athabascan 120 121 and Northern Amerind-speaking populations for which recent Asian gene flow has been previously documented (Figures 1c, S5a, S6)^{1,2,15,19}. Additionally, we found that present-122 day Native Americans and USR1 yield similar results for D(Native American/USR1, 123 124 Han; Mal'Ta, Yoruba), suggesting they are equally related to the ancient north Eurasian population represented by the 24 kya Mal'ta individual ⁹ (SI 10.5). These results confirm 125 126 that USR1 and present-day Native Americans derived from the same ancestral source, 127 which carried a mixture of East Asian and Mal'ta-related ancestry. We infer that 128 descendants of this source represent the basal group that first migrated into the Americas. 129

130 To explore the relationship between USR1 and present-day Native Americans, we computed allele frequency-based and genome-wide D-statistics of the form D(Native 131 American, Aymara; USR1, Yoruba). We could not reject the null hypothesis that USR1 132 is an outgroup to any pair of Native Americans, with the exception of a set of populations 133 bearing recent Asian gene flow ^{1,2,15,19} (Figures 1b, S7). We confirmed the phylogenetic 134 135 placement of USR1 at a basal position in the Native American clade using TreeMix ²⁴ and two methods to estimate average genomic divergence and genetic drift, respectively 136 (SI 14-16). These results support the branching of USR1 within the Native American 137

- clade, but being equidistant to NNA and SNA. Below we discuss the potential geographic
 locations of the USR1-NNA+SNA and the NNA-SNA splits (Figure 2) based on the
 genetic results, the glacial geography of terminal Pleistocene North America ^{25,26} and the
- 141 extant archaeological evidence (also SI 20).
- 142

Recent detection of an Australasian-derived genetic signature in some Native American groups ^{2,3} led us to explore whether USR1 bears that signal (SI 10.7, 11-13). Using frequency-based and 'enhanced' D-statistics, we found no support for USR1 being closer to Papuans (a proxy for Australasians) than other Native Americans.

147

148 We leveraged the position of USR1 on the Native American branch prior to the NNA-149 SNA split to re-assess the origins of Athabascan and Eskimo populations by fitting admixture graphs. We considered a whole-genome dataset including Siberian, East Asian, 150 Native American and Eskimo groups, as well as Mal'ta (SI 17). The heuristic approach in 151 TreeMix ²⁴ showed that the best proxies for the Asian component in Athabascans and 152 153 Greenlandic Inuit are Koryaks and the Saggag individual, respectively. We then followed an incremental approach for fitting an *f*-statistic-based admixture graph ²⁰, including the 154 Kets, previously suggested to share a linguistic and perhaps a genetic link with 155 Athabascans ^{11,27}. This approach recapitulated the TreeMix results , and yielded a model 156 in which both Athabascans and Greenlandic Inuit derive from the NNA branch. However, 157 158 the Asian ancestry in Athabascans is most closely related to the Asian component in Koryaks, while the Saggag genome is the best proxy for the Siberian component in the 159 Greenlandic Inuit (Figure 3). We infer the latter is a consequence of Palaeo- and Neo-160 Eskimos having been derived from a similar Siberian population 1,16 . This model appears 161 to be a good fit to the data, as the observed *f*-statistic that deviated the most from the 162 model prediction yielded Z=3.27. In SI 17.3 we tested the robustness of this model and 163 predictions by computing individual D statistics, and re-fitting the model using alternative 164 165 datasets.

166

Lastly, we inferred the demographic history of USR1 with respect to Native Americans, 167 Siberians and East Asians, using two independent methods: *diCal2*²⁸ and *momi2*²⁹ (SI 168 **18-19**). *diCal2* results indicate that the founding population of USR1, Native Americans, 169 170 and Siberians had a very weak structure from \sim 36 kya up to \sim 24.5 kya (Table S7), when 171 the ancestors of USR1 and Native Americans began to diverge substantially from 172 Siberians. USR1 diverged from other Native Americans around 20.9 kya, with a period 173 of ensuing moderate gene flow between them (Table S6 and S7), as indicated by a 174 simulation study that showed a significant increase in likelihood when comparing a 'clean split' model to an 'isolation with migration' model (SI 18.4). Using *momi2* and SMC++175 176 we estimated a backbone demography where Karitiana and Athabascans split at ~15.7 kya, while their ancestral population split from Koryaks ~23.3 kya (Figure 4). With 177 178 momi2, we inferred the most likely branch (the population immediately ancestral to 179 NNA+SNA) and time (~21 kya) for the USR1 population to join the backbone demography, while allowing for possible gene flow between USR and other populations 180 (SI 19, Figure 4b), results consistent with 14 and the *diCal2* inference. 181

These new findings, along with existing data, allow us to place Ancient Beringians (AB) 183 184 within the broader context of the Pleistocene peopling of the Americas. The Native 185 American founding population (comprised of both AB and NNA+SNA) began to diverge from ancestral Asians as early as ~36 kya, likely in northeast Asia, as there is no evidence 186 of people in Beringia or northwest North America at this period. A high level of gene 187 flow was maintained between them and other Asians until as late as ~ 25 kya 2,14 . The 188 subsequent isolation of the Native American founding population ~24 kya roughly 189 corresponds with a decline in archaeological evidence for a human presence in Siberia³⁰. 190 191 Both changes may result from the same underlying cause: the onset of harsh LGM climatic conditions 2 . These findings, coupled with a divergence date of ~20.9 kya 192 193 between USR1 and Native Americans, are in agreement with the Beringian Standstill 194 Model ¹⁰ (SI 21). The common ancestor of NNA+SNA and AB began to diverge ~ 20.9 kya, after which gene flow ensued, although whether it was with NNA+SNA, or the 195 196 already differentiated NNA and SNA branches, cannot be determined owing to shallow 197 divergence times among the groups.

198

182

199 These findings allow us to consider possible scenarios regarding where ancient Native American populations diverged (SI 20-21, Figure 2). Scenarios C-E require extended 200 201 periods of strong population structure marking AB, NNA, and SNA as separate groups, for which we do not see compelling genetic evidence; hence these can be rejected. 202 Scenarios A and B are compatible with our evidence of continuous gene flow among 203 204 these groups, but differ as to the location of the AB versus NNA+SNA split at 20.9 kya, 205 whether in northeast Asia (Scenario A) or eastern Beringia (Scenario B). Each has 206 strengths and weaknesses relative to genetic and archaeological evidence: Scenario A best 207 fits the archaeological and paleoecological evidence, as the earliest securely dated sites in Beringia are no older than ~15-14 kya, and the LGM cold period is unlikely to be 208 associated with northward expanding populations ³⁰. Scenario B is genetically most 209 210 parsimonious, given evidence of continuous gene flow between the AB and NNA+SNA, suggesting their geographical proximity 20.9-11.5 kya, and that all three were isolated 211 212 from Asian/Siberian groups after ~24 kya and form a clade.

213

Scenarios A and B are both consistent with the NNA-SNA split at ~15 kya ² having occurred in a region south of eastern Beringia. The ice sheets were then still a significant barrier to movement that would have helped maintain separation from the AB population. While members of the SNA branch have not been documented in regions that were once north of the glacial ice ^{1,19}, NNA groups (including Athabascan-speakers) are present in Alaska today; thus, the latter are likely descendants of a population that moved north sometime after 11.5 kya ²⁶.

221

The USR1 results provide the first direct genomic evidence that all Native Americans can be traced back to the same source population from a single Late Pleistocene founding event. Descendants of that population were present in eastern Beringia until at least 11.5 kya. By then, however, a separate branch of Native Americans had already established

- itself in unglaciated North America, and diverged into the two basal groups that ultimatelybecame the ancestors of most of the indigenous populations of the Americas.
- 228
- 229 Data availability
- 230 231
 - Sequence data was deposited in the ENA under accession: PRJEB20398.
- 233 Acknowledgements
- 234

232

235 The Upward Sun River excavations and analysis were conducted under a Memorandum 236 of Agreement (MOA) signed by the State of Alaska, the National Science Foundation, 237 the Healy Lake Tribal Council, and the Tanana Chiefs Conference. We appreciate the cooperation of all parties. We thank Morten Allentoft, Shyam Gopalakrishnan, Thorfinn 238 239 Korneliussen, Pablo Librado, Jazmín Ramos-Madrigal, Gabriel Renaud and Filipe Vieira for discussions. We thank the Danish National High-throughput Sequencing Centre for 240 assistance in data generation. GeoGenetics members were supported by the Lundbeck 241 242 Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF94) and KU2016. J.V.M.-M. was supported by Conacyt (Mexico). Samples were recovered during 243 244 excavations by B.A.P. supported by NSF Grants 1138811 and 1223119. Research 245 supported in part by NIH grant R01-GM094402 (M.S., J.T., J.A.K., and Y.S.S.) and a Packard Fellowship for Science and Engineering (Y.S.S.). D.J.M. is supported by the 246 247 Quest Archaeological Research Fund. A.-S.M. is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation and the ERC. 248

249

250 Author Contributions

251 Project conceived by E.W. and B.A.P., and headed by E.W. and J.V.M.-M. L.V. 252 processed ancient DNA. J.V.M.-M. and S.R. assembled datasets. J.V.M.-M., M.S., J.T., 253 J.A.K. and A.A. analysed genetic data. B.A.P. led the USR field investigation, and B.A.P. 254 and D.J.M. provided anthropological contextualization. B.A.P., J.D.R., and J.D.I. 255 conducted archaeological and bioanthropological work. R.N., Y.S.S., M.Si., A.-S.M., and L.O. supervised bioinformatic and statistical analyses. B.A.P. engaged with indigenous 256 257 communities. J.V.M.-M., B.A.P., D.J.M and E.W. wrote the manuscript with input from 258 L.V., A.-S.M., M.Si., R.S.M., L.O., Y.S.S, R.N. and remaining authors.

- 259
- 260 **References**
- 261
- 262 1. Reich, D. *et al.* Reconstructing Native American population history. *Nature* 488, 370–374 (2012).
- 264 2. Raghavan, M. *et al.* Genomic evidence for the Pleistocene and recent population
 265 history of Native Americans. *Science* 349, aab3884–aab3884 (2015).
- 3. Skoglund, P. *et al.* Genetic evidence for two founding populations of the
 Americas. *Nature* (2015). doi:10.1038/nature14895
- 4. von Cramon-Taubadel, N., Strauss, A. & Hubbe, M. Evolutionary population history of early Paleoamerican cranial morphology. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602289 (2017).
- 270 5. Hoffecker, J. F., Elias, S. A., O'Rourke, D. H., Scott, G. R. & Bigelow, N. H.

271 Beringia and the global dispersal of modern humans: Beringia and the Global Dispersal of Modern Humans. Evol. Anthropol. Issues News Rev. 25, 64-78 (2016). 272 273 6. Potter, B. A., Irish, J. D., Reuther, J. D., Gelvin-Reymiller, C. & Holliday, V. T. 274 A Terminal Pleistocene Child Cremation and Residential Structure from Eastern 275 Beringia. Science 331, 1058–1062 (2011). 276 Potter, B. A., Irish, J. D., Reuther, J. D. & McKinney, H. J. New insights into 7. 277 Eastern Beringian mortuary behavior: A terminal Pleistocene double infant burial at Upward Sun River. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, 17060-17065 (2014). 278 279 8. Rasmussen, M. et al. The genome of a Late Pleistocene human from a Clovis 280 burial site in western Montana. Nature 506, 225-229 (2014). Raghavan, M. et al. Upper Palaeolithic Siberian genome reveals dual ancestry of 281 9. 282 Native Americans. Nature 505, 87–91 (2013). Tamm, E. et al. Beringian Standstill and Spread of Native American Founders. 283 10. 284 PLoS ONE 2, e829 (2007). Flegontov, P. et al. Na-Dene populations descend from the Paleo-Eskimo 285 11. 286 migration into America. (2016). Dillehay, T. D. et al. Monte Verde: seaweed, food, medicine, and the peopling 287 12. 288 of South America. Science 320, 784-786 (2008). 289 13. Goebel, T. & Potter, B. A. First Traces: Late Pleistocene Human Settlement of 290 the Arctic. in The Oxford handbook of the prehistoric Arctic 223-252 (Oxford 291 University Press, 2016). 292 14. Llamas, B. et al. Ancient mitochondrial DNA provides high-resolution time 293 scale of the peopling of the Americas. Sci. Adv. 2, e1501385–e1501385 (2016). 294 Rasmussen, M. et al. The ancestry and affiliations of Kennewick Man. Nature 15. 295 (2015). doi:10.1038/nature14625 Raghavan, M. et al. The genetic prehistory of the New World Arctic. Science 296 16. 297 **345,** 1255832–1255832 (2014). 298 17. Rasmussen, M. et al. Ancient human genome sequence of an extinct Palaeo-299 Eskimo. Nature 463, 757–762 (2010). Tackney, J. C. et al. Two contemporaneous mitogenomes from terminal 300 18. 301 Pleistocene burials in eastern Beringia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 201511903 (2015). 302 doi:10.1073/pnas.1511903112 Verdu, P. et al. Patterns of Admixture and Population Structure in Native 303 19. 304 Populations of Northwest North America. PLoS Genet. 10, e1004530 (2014). 305 20. Patterson, N. et al. Ancient Admixture in Human History. Genetics 192, 1065-1093 (2012). 306 307 21. Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of 308 ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome Res. 19, 1655-1664 (2009). 309 Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S. & Albrechtsen, A. Estimating Individual 22. 310 Admixture Proportions from Next Generation Sequencing Data. Genetics 195, 693–702 311 (2013). Malaspinas, A.-S. et al. bammds: a tool for assessing the ancestry of low-depth 312 23. whole-genome data using multidimensional scaling (MDS). Bioinformatics 30, 2962-313 314 2964 (2014). Pickrell, J. K. & Pritchard, J. K. Inference of Population Splits and Mixtures 315 24. from Genome-Wide Allele Frequency Data. PLoS Genet. 8, e1002967 (2012). 316 25. Dyke, A. S., Moore, A. & Robertson, L. Deglaciation of North America. (2003). 317 318 26. Pedersen, M. W. et al. Postglacial viability and colonization in North America's 319 ice-free corridor. Nature (2016). doi:10.1038/nature19085 320 Kari, J. M. & Potter, B. A. The Dene-Yeniseian connection. (University of 27.

321 Alaska Department of Anthropology/Alaska Native Language Center, 2011).

322 28. Steinrücken, M., Kamm, J. A. & Song, Y. S. Inference of complex population
323 histories using whole-genome sequences from multiple populations. *bioRxiv* (2015).
324 doi:10.1101/093468

325 29. Kamm, J. A., Terhorst, J. & Song, Y. S. Efficient computation of the joint
326 sample frequency spectra for multiple populations. *J. Comput. Graph. Stat.* 26, 182–194
327 (2016).

328 30. Goebel, T. The 'microblade adaptation' and recolonization of Siberia during the

329 late Upper Pleistocene. Archeol. Pap. Am. Anthropol. Assoc. 12, 117–131 (2002).

330 331

332 Figure 1. Genetic affinities between USR1, present-day Native Americans, and world-wide populations. a. f3 statistics of the form f3(San; X, USR1), for each 333 334 population in the genotype panel. Warmer colors represent greater shared drift between a 335 population and USR1. b. D-statistics of the form D(Native American, Aymara; USR1, Yoruba) (points). The Andean Aymara were used to represent SNA. *: Native American 336 337 populations with Asian admixture (|Z| for D(H1, Aymara; Han, Yoruba) > 3.3) (Figure 338 **S5a**). Error bars represent 1 and ~ 3.3 standard errors (*p*-value ~ 0.001). Native American populations were grouped by language family ¹. c. Quantile-quantile plot comparing 339 observed Z-scores to the expected normal distribution under the null hypothesis (H₀), for 340 all possible D(Nat. Am., USR1; Siberian1, Siberian2). Colors correspond to the Z-score 341 342 obtained for D(H1, Aymara; Han, Yoruba). The expected normal distribution under the null hypothesis was computed for all groups jointly (SI Section 10.4). Thick and thin lines 343 344 represent a Z-score of ~3.3 (p-val~0.001) and a Z-score of ~4.91 (p-val~0.01 after applying a Bonferroni correction for 11,322 tests). The bottom-right panel shows the 345 expected tree under the null hypothesis. d. Admixture proportions estimated by 346 347 ADMIXTURE ³⁷ assuming K=20 ancestral populations. Bars represent individuals, and colors represent admixture proportions from each ancestral component. Admixture 348 349 proportions in ancient genomes (wider bars) were estimated using a genotype likelihoodbased approach ³⁸. 350

351

352 Figure 2. Possible geographic locations for the USR1 and NNA-SNA splits. We 353 propose two possible locations for the split between USR1 and other Native Americans: the Old World (A, C, E) and Beringia (B, D); and three possible locations for the 354 355 NNA SNA split: the Old World (E), Beringia (C, D), and North America south of 356 Beringia (A, B). Schematics show estimated glacial extent ~14.8 kya. Dashed lines 357 represent the Native American migration south of eastern Beringia, but they do not 358 correspond to a specific migration route Model discussion (SI 20) is based on extant 359 archaeological evidence and inferred demographic parameters: a USR1-NNA+SNA split 360 ~ 20 kya with ensuing moderate gene flow and a NNA-SNA split ~ 15 kya (SI 18-19)...

361

362 Figure 3. A model for the formation of the different Native American populations.

We fitted an admixture graph by sequentially adding admixed leaves to a 'seed' graph including the Yoruba, Han, Mal'ta, Ket, USR1, Anzick1 and Aymara genomes. For each

³⁶⁵ 'non-seed' admixed group, we found the pair of edges that produced the best-fitting graph,

based on the fitting and maximum |Z| scores (3.27 for this graph). Ellipse-shaped nodes: sampled populations; box-shaped nodes: metapopulations; *: single high-depth ancient genome. **: single low-depth genome. †: subgraphs whose structure we were unable to resolve due to sequencing and genotyping error in the Saqqaq genome (SI 17). Sample sizes and locations are shown at the top.

371

372 Figure 4. USR1 demographic history in the context of East Asians, Siberians and other Native Americans. a. SMC++ inferred effective population sizes with respect to 373 time for Athabascans (NNA), Karitiana (SNA), Han, Koryaks and USR1 (SI 19.1). We 374 used these demographic histories as a basis for fitting a joint model for these populations. 375 376 **b.** A 'backbone demography' was fitted excluding USR1 using *momi2*, an SFS-based 377 maximum likelihood approach (Figure S27), along with the most likely join-on point for 378 USR1 onto the backbone demography (SI 19). We show the likelihood heatmap for the latter; warmer colors correspond to a higher likelihood of USR1 joining at a given point. 379 380 These estimates agree with those obtained through *diCal2*, a method based on haplotype 381 data (SI 18). 382

383