

TESTING FOR NONSTATIONARY PARAMETER SPECIFICATIONS IN SEASONAL TIME SERIES MODELS¹

BY DAVID P. HASZA AND WAYNE A. FULLER

Kansas State University and Iowa State University

Let Y_t be an autoregressive process satisfying $Y_t = \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-d} + \alpha_3 Y_{t-d-1} + e_t$, where $\{e_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of iid(0, σ^2) random variables and $d \geq 2$. Such processes have been used as parametric models for seasonal time series. Typical values of d are 2, 4, and 12 corresponding to time series observed semi-annually, quarterly, and monthly, respectively. If $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$, $\alpha_3 = -1$ then $\Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t = e_t$, where $\Delta_r Y_t$ denotes $Y_t - Y_{t-r}$. If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (1, 1, -1)$ the process is nonstationary and the theory for stationary autoregressive processes does not apply. A methodology for testing the hypothesis $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (1, 1, -1)$ is presented and percentiles for test statistics are obtained. Extensions are presented for multiplicative processes, for higher order processes, and for processes containing deterministic trend and seasonal components.

1. Introduction. Let the time series $\{Y_t\}$ satisfy the seasonal autoregressive model

$$(1.1) \quad Y_t = \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-d} + \alpha_3 Y_{t-d-1} + e_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the e_t are independent identically distributed random variables with mean zero and variance σ^2 , abbreviated iid(0, σ^2). It is assumed that $d \geq 2$ and that the initial conditions $(Y_{-d}, Y_{-d+1}, \dots, Y_0)$ are fixed constants. It is further assumed that $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ and σ^2 are unknown and that n observations (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) from a realization of $\{Y_t\}$ are available.

If the roots of the associated characteristic equation

$$(1.2) \quad m^{d+1} - \alpha_1 m^d - \alpha_2 m - \alpha_3 = 0$$

are less than one in modulus then Y_t is, except for the transient effects of the initial conditions, a stationary autoregressive process of order $d + 1$. In the stationary situation the asymptotic theory applicable to the least squares (maximum likelihood when the e_t are normally distributed) estimator of α is well-known. See, for example, Fuller (1976) or Box and Jenkins (1976). However this large sample distributional theory is not applicable when the stationarity conditions are violated.

Several authors including Box and Jenkins (1976) have used nonstationary models for seasonal time series. Typical values of d in such applications are $d = 2$, $d = 4$, or $d = 12$ corresponding to time series observed semi-annually, quarterly, or monthly, respectively.

Under the hypothesis $H_0: \alpha' = (1, 1, -1)$, we have $\Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t = e_t$, where $\Delta_r Y_t$ denotes $Y_t - Y_{t-r}$. In this situation Y_t is nonstationary and has $d + 1$ characteristic roots with unit modulus. Authors who have previously considered least squares estimation and hypothesis testing for nonstationary autoregressive processes include White (1958), Anderson (1959), Rao (1961, 1978), Stigum (1974), Dickey and Fuller (1979a), Dickey and Fuller (1979b), Hasza and Fuller (1979), Evans and Savin (1981), and Hinkley (1982).

We shall present a testing methodology for the hypothesis $H_0: \alpha' = (1, 1, -1)$. The

Received October 1980; revised April 1982.

¹ This research was supported in part by Joint Statistical Agreement 81-14 with the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62M10; secondary 62J05.

Key words and phrases. Time series, seasonal process, nonstationary, autoregression.

asymptotic distribution of the test statistic is characterized and percentiles are presented. The test studied is the likelihood ratio test when the e_t are normally distributed.

It is noted that model (1.1) may be unduly restrictive and extensions are presented for higher order processes in which $\Delta_1\Delta_d Y_t$ is a stationary time series. Extensions are also presented for a multiplicative autoregressive process in which Y_t satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad Y_t - \phi_1 Y_{t-1} = \phi_2(Y_{t-d} - \phi_1 Y_{t-d-1}) + e_t.$$

In terms of model (1.1) the alternatives to H_0 are assumed to satisfy $\alpha_3 = -\alpha_1\alpha_2$ under model (1.3).

An alternative model to (1.1) with $\alpha' = (1, 1, -1)$ is

$$(1.4) \quad Y_t = \xi_0 t + \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j \delta_{jt} + \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-d} + \alpha_3 Y_{t-d-1} + e_t$$

where the roots of (1.2) are less than one modulus and the δ_j 's are zero-one dummy variables with $\delta_{jt} = 1$ if $t \bmod(d) = j$. Testing of the hypothesis $H_1: \{\alpha' = (1, 1, -1), \xi_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ is considered.

In Section 2 notation is established and preliminary order in probability results are presented for model (1.1). In Section 3, asymptotic distributions for the least squares estimator of α and the associated test statistics are established under H_0 . Extensions to higher order processes and to model (1.4) are presented in Section 4. Tables of percentiles, which permit applications of tests presented earlier, are given in Section 5.

2. Order results. Consider the autoregressive process

$$(2.1) \quad Y_t = \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \alpha_2 Y_{t-d} + \alpha_3 Y_{t-d-1} + e_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where the e_t are iid(0, σ^2) and $d \geq 2$. The asymptotic results will not depend on the initial conditions and we assume, for convenience, that $Y_{-d} = Y_{-d+1} = \dots = Y_0 = 0$. It will be convenient to reparametrize model (2.1) as

$$(2.2) \quad Y_t = \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2(Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-d-1}) + \beta_3(Y_{t-d} - Y_{t-d-1}) + e_t$$

where $\beta' = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \alpha_2)$. The condition that $\Delta_1\Delta_d Y_t = e_t$ is equivalent to $\beta' = (1, 0, 1)$. Define $Z_t = \Delta_1 Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ and $W_t = \Delta_d Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-d}$. The least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \hat{\beta} = (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t')^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t Y_t$$

where $\psi_t = (Y_{t-1}, W_{t-1}, Z_{t-d})'$.

Letting $[\cdot]$ denote the greatest integer function we have, under the null hypothesis,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_t &= Z_{t-d} + e_t = \sum_{j=0}^{[t/d]} e_{t-dj}, \\ W_t &= W_{t-1} + e_t = \sum_{j=1}^t e_j = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} Z_{t-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Under $H_0: \beta' = (1, 0, 1)$ we have $(\hat{\beta} - \beta) = \mathbf{H}_n^{-1} \mathbf{h}_n$ where $\mathbf{H}_n = \sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t'$ and $\mathbf{h}_n = \sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t e_t$. The test statistic we shall consider for testing H_0 is analogous to the usual likelihood ratio F test statistic in a fixed normal regression model. Define the test statistic

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_{n-3}^{(3)} = (3\hat{\sigma}^2)^{-1} \mathbf{h}_n' \mathbf{H}_n^{-1} \mathbf{h}_n,$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = (n - 3)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \{e_t - (\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \psi_t\}^2.$$

$\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$ is the test statistic that would be the output from most standard regression programs.

In the following we shall assume, for convenience, that $n = md$ where m is a positive integer. Define

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_i &= (e_i, e_{i+d}, \dots, e_{i+(m-1)d})', & i &= 1, 2, \dots, d, \\ \mathbf{Z}_i &= (Z_i, Z_{i+d}, \dots, Z_{i+(m-1)d})', & i &= 1, 2, \dots, d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $Z_i = B e_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$, where B is an $m \times m$ lower triangular matrix with $(B)_{ij} = 1$ for $i \geq j$. Let $A = B'B$.

Define $R_n = (R_{1n}, R_{2n}, \dots, R_{7n})'$ by

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{1n} &= n^{-1/2}W_n, & R_{2n} &= n^{-3/2}Y_n, & R_{3n} &= n^{-5/2} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t, \\ R_{4n} &= n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d Z_{n-i+1}^2, & R_{5n} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i' A e_i, & R_{6n} &= n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d e_i' A e_j, \\ R_{7n} &= n^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d e_i' A^2 e_j. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.1 *Let Y_t satisfy model (2.2) with $\beta' = (1, 0, 1)$. Assume the e_t are iid(0, σ^2) and $d \geq 2$. Let $D_n = \text{diag}\{n^{-2}, n^{-1}, n^{-1}\}$. Then*

$$D_n (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t') D_n = \begin{pmatrix} dR_{7n} - R_{2n}^2 + 2R_{2n}R_{3n} & (\frac{1}{2})dR_{2n}^2 & \frac{1}{2}R_{2n}^2 \\ & dR_{6n} & R_{6n} \\ & & R_{5n} \end{pmatrix} + O_p(n^{-1}),$$

$$D_n (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t e_t) = (R_{1n}R_{2n} - R_{6n}, \frac{1}{2}R_{1n}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2, \frac{1}{2}R_{4n} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)' + o_p(1).$$

PROOF. The methods needed to prove the result for each element of $D_n (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t') D_n$ and $D_n (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t e_t)$ are similar. As examples of the methods employed, the results shall be proved for $n^{-4} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_{t-1}^2$ and for $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_{t-d} e_t$.

The t th element of $A \sum_{i=1}^d e_i$ is given by $Y_n - Y_{(t-1)d}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d e_i' A^2 e_j &= \sum_{t=1}^m (Y_n - Y_{(t-1)d})^2 \\ &= mY_n^2 - 2Y_n \sum_{t=1}^m Y_{(t-1)d} + \sum_{t=1}^m Y^2(t-1)d \\ &= mY_n^2 - 2d^{-1}Y_n \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t + d^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t^2 + O_p(n^3). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-4} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_{t-1}^2 &= dn^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d e_i' A^2 e_j - n^{-3}Y_n^2 + 2n^{-4}Y_n \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t + O_p(n^{-1}) \\ &= dR_{7n} - R_{2n}^2 + 2R_{2n}R_{3n} + O_p(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_{t-d} e_t &= n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n Z_t e_t - \sigma^2 + o_p(1) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d Z_i' e_i - \sigma^2 + o_p(1) \\ &= \frac{n^{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d (Z_{n-i+1}^2 + nd^{-1}\sigma^2) - \sigma^2 + o_p(1) = \frac{1}{2} R_{4n} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 + o_p(1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Asymptotic distributions. Let $\lambda_m = (\lambda_{1m}, \lambda_{2m}, \dots, \lambda_{mm})'$ denote the eigenvalues of A where $\lambda_{1m} > \lambda_{2m} > \dots > \lambda_{mm}$, and let $X_{im} = (x_{i1m}, x_{i2m}, \dots, x_{imm})'$ denote the eigenvector of unit length associated with λ_{im} . We have (see Dickey and Fuller, 1979)

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{im} &= \frac{1}{4} \sec^2\{(2m+1)^{-1}(m+1-i)\pi\}, \\ x_{ijm} &= 2(2m+1)^{-1/2} \cos\{(2m+1)^{-1}(2i-1)(j-\frac{1}{2})\pi\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define the orthogonal transformation $(e_1', e_2', \dots, e_d')$ into $(u_{1m}', u_{2m}', \dots, u_{dm}')'$, where $u_{im}' = (u_{i1m}, u_{i2m}, \dots, u_{imm})'$, by

$$(3.2) \quad u_{ijm} = \sum_{t=1}^m x_{jtm} e_{t+(t-1)d}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\gamma_t = 2\{(2t-1)\pi\}^{-1}(-1)^{t+1}$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots$. Then under model (2.2) with $\beta' = (1, 0, 1)$*

- (i) $R_{1n} = 2^{1/2}d^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l u_{ltm} + o_p(1)$,
- (ii) $R_{2n} = 2^{1/2}d^{-3/2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l^2 u_{ltm} + o_p(1)$,
- (iii) $R_{3n} = 2^{1/2}d^{-3/2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m (\gamma_l^2 - \gamma_l^3)u_{ltm} + o_p(1)$,
- (iv) $R_{4n} = 2^{-1}d^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^d (\sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l u_{ltm})^2 + o_p(1)$,
- (v) $R_{5n} = d^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l^2 u_{ltm}^2 + o_p(1)$,
- (vi) $R_{6n} = d^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^d (\sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l u_{ltm})^2 + o_p(1)$,
- (vii) $R_{7n} = d^{-4} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \gamma_l^4 u_{ltm}^2 + o_p(1)$.

PROOF. Consider $R_{1n} = n^{-1/2}W_n$. The u_{ltm} are uncorrelated and W_n is a linear combination of the u_{ltm} . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1n} &= n^{-1/2}\sigma^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \text{Cov}(W_n, u_{ltm})u_{ltm} \\ &= n^{-1/2}\sigma^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \sum_{s=1}^n \text{Cov}(e_s, u_{ltm})u_{ltm} = d^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \eta_{ltm}u_{ltm}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_{ltm} = m^{-1/2} \sum_{s=1}^m x_{tsm}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ltm} &= 2(2m+1)^{-1/2}m^{-1/2} \sum_{s=1}^m \cos\left\{(2m+1)^{-1}(2t-1)\left(s-\frac{1}{2}\right)\pi\right\} \\ &= 2^{1/2}m^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^m \cos\left\{\left(\frac{2t-1}{2}\right)\frac{s}{m}\pi\right\} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_{ltm} \rightarrow \eta_t$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\eta_t = 2^{1/2} \int_0^1 \cos\left\{\left(\frac{2t-1}{2}\right)\pi y\right\} dy = 2^{3/2}\{(2t-1)\pi\}^{-1}(-1)^{t+1} = 2^{1/2}\gamma_t.$$

We have $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \eta_t^2 = 1$ and because the \mathbf{X}_{lm} are orthonormal $\sum_{t=1}^m \eta_{ltm}^2 = 1$ for each m . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose M large enough so that, for $m > M$, $\sum_{t=M+1}^{\infty} \eta_t^2 < \varepsilon$. Then for $m > M$

$$\sum_{t=1}^m (\eta_t - \eta_{ltm})^2 = \sum_{t=1}^M (\eta_t - \eta_{ltm})^2 + \sum_{t=M+1}^m (\eta_t - \eta_{ltm})^2.$$

Because $\eta_{ltm} \rightarrow \eta_t$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the first term can be made arbitrarily small, say less than $\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^2$ by choosing m large enough. For the second term we have

$$\sum_{t=M+1}^m (\eta_t - \eta_{ltm})^2 \leq 2 \sum_{t=M+1}^m \eta_t^2 + 2 \sum_{t=M+1}^m \eta_{ltm}^2 < 8\varepsilon.$$

Therefore $\sum_{t=1}^m (\eta_t - \eta_{ltm})^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Because the u_{ltm} are uncorrelated it follows that $R_{1n} - d^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^d \sum_{l=1}^m \eta_{ltm}u_{ltm}$ converges in mean square to zero and the first result is established. The other results may be proven in a similar manner. \square

Let $\{V_{ij}: i = 1, 2, \dots, d; j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be an array of independent normal random variables with mean zero and variance σ^2 . Define $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, R_2, \dots, R_7)'$ a.e. by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad (R_1, R_2) &= (2^{1/2}d^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j V_{ij}, 2^{1/2}d^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^2 V_{ij}), \\ (R_3, R_4) &= (2^{1/2}d^{-3/2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_j^2 - \gamma_j^3) V_{ij}, 2^{-1}d^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^d (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j V_{ij})^2), \\ (R_5, R_6) &= (d^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^2 V_{ij}^2, d^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_j V_{ij})^2), \\ R_7 &= d^{-4} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^4 V_{ij}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 3.1 we characterize the asymptotic distribution of \mathbf{R}_n and as corollaries obtain the asymptotic distributions of $\hat{\beta}$ and $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let the assumptions of model (2.2) hold with $\beta' = (1, 0, 1)$. Then $\mathbf{R}_n \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{R}$.*

PROOF. Let $K \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. One may then apply the Lindeberg central limit theorem to establish that $(u_{11m}, \dots, u_{1km}, \dots, u_{d1m}, \dots, u_{dkm})' \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} N_{dk}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_{dk})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The result may then be established by an application of Lemma 6.3.1 of Fuller (1976). See also Diananda (1953) and Theorem 3.1 of Hasza and Fuller (1979). \square

COROLLARY 3.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1

$$\{n^2(\hat{\beta}_1 - 1), n\hat{\beta}_2, n(\hat{\beta}_3 - 1)\}' \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{h},$$

where

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} dR_7 - R_2^2 + 2R_2R_3 & \frac{1}{2} dR_2^2 & \frac{1}{2} R_2^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} dR_2^2 & dR_6 & R_6 \\ \frac{1}{2} R_2^2 & R_6 & R_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{h} = \left(R_1R_2 - R_6, \frac{1}{2} R_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2, \frac{1}{2} R_4 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right)'.$$

PROOF. The result follows from Theorem 3.1 because \mathbf{H} is nonsingular with probability one.

COROLLARY 3.2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold and let $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$ be defined by (2.5). Then $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} (3\sigma^2)^{-1}\mathbf{h}'\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{h}$.

In Table 5.1 we present the critical points for $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$ for various values of n and d and the limiting values of the critical points as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Often a seasonal process is modeled as a multiplicative seasonal autoregressive process.

$$(3.4) \quad Y_t - \phi_1 Y_{t-1} = \phi_2(Y_{t-d} - \phi_1 Y_{t-d-1}) + e_t.$$

If $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 1$ then $\Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t = e_t$. To test the hypothesis that this is so, consider the one-step Gauss-Newton procedure of estimating ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 with initial values $\hat{\phi}_1 = \hat{\phi}_2 = 1$. Under the null hypothesis the procedure results in the regression of e_t on W_{t-1} and Z_{t-d} , where $W_t = \Delta_d Y_{t-1}$ and $Z_{t-d} = \Delta_1 Y_{t-d}$. Let $\Phi_{n-2}^{(2)}$ denote the usual F -type statistic constructed to test $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 1$. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that $\Phi_{n-2}^{(2)} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} (2\sigma^2)^{-1}\mathbf{h}'\mathbf{H}_1^{-1}\mathbf{h}_1$, where \mathbf{H}_1 is obtained by deleting the first row and column of \mathbf{H} , and \mathbf{h}_1 is obtained by deleting the first element of \mathbf{h} . Percentiles of this test statistic are given in Table 5.1

4. Extensions. In this section we shall consider processes for which $\Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t$ is a stationary autoregressive process. We consider the model

$$(4.1) \quad Y_t = \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2(Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-d-1}) + \beta_3(Y_{t-d} - Y_{t-d-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{t-j} + e_t,$$

where $X_t = \Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t$ and the e_t are iid(0, σ^2) random variables. Note that under $H_0: \beta' = (1, 0, 1)$

$$(4.2) \quad X_t = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j X_{t-j} + e_t$$

and X_t is a p th order autoregressive process. We assume that the associated characteristic equation

$$(4.3) \quad m^p - \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j m^{p-j} = 0$$

has roots m_1, m_2, \dots, m_p with $|m_i| < 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p)(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p)'$. The error in the least squares estimator of (β', θ') is given by

$$\{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)', (\hat{\theta} - \theta)'\}' = (\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t')^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t e_t,$$

where $\psi_t = (Y_{t-1}, W_{t-1}, Z_{t-d}, X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots, X_{t-p})'$. Because $\{X_t\}$ has characteristic roots less than one in modulus, $\sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j \neq 1$. We then let $c = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j$, and note that $c \neq 0$.

TABLE 5.1
Empirical percentiles for test statistics

m	$d = 2$			$d = 4$			$d = 6$			$d = 12$			
	0.90	0.95	0.99	0.90	0.95	0.99	0.90	0.95	0.99	0.90	0.95	0.99	
$\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$	10	2.52	3.24	5.09	2.46	3.06	4.49	2.42	3.00	4.35	2.37	2.93	4.23
	20	2.48	3.09	4.51	2.44	3.01	4.30	2.41	2.97	4.24	2.37	2.92	4.19
	50	2.45	3.02	4.29	2.44	2.99	4.22	2.41	2.96	4.19	2.37	2.92	4.17
	∞	2.44	2.98	4.20	2.44	2.98	4.19	2.41	2.96	4.17	2.37	2.92	4.16
$\Phi_{n-d-4}^{(3)}$	10	8.33	10.08	14.86	8.95	10.42	13.72	10.09	11.53	14.58	13.49	15.13	18.47
	20	7.36	8.58	11.47	8.53	9.69	12.33	9.72	10.97	13.56	13.40	14.78	17.76
	50	6.97	7.93	9.92	8.26	9.28	11.36	9.54	10.68	12.86	13.24	14.55	17.46
	∞	6.67	7.50	9.17	8.04	8.96	10.90	9.36	10.39	12.43	13.16	14.41	16.93
$\Phi_{n-d-4}^{(d+4)}$	10	5.31	6.37	9.36	4.32	4.96	6.35	3.99	4.46	5.56	3.54	3.84	4.47
	20	4.44	5.09	6.69	3.94	4.44	5.42	3.71	4.08	4.87	3.40	3.65	4.18
	50	4.06	4.54	5.63	3.73	4.12	4.96	3.57	3.90	4.59	3.29	3.52	4.05
	∞	3.81	4.22	5.05	3.60	3.93	4.62	3.45	3.73	4.35	3.22	3.44	3.88
$\Phi_{n-2}^{(2)}$	10	2.61	3.46	5.76	2.58	3.36	5.20	2.53	3.28	5.04	2.46	3.19	4.90
	20	2.59	3.34	5.17	2.54	3.29	4.99	2.50	3.24	4.92	2.45	3.17	4.84
	50	2.55	3.27	4.99	2.53	3.26	4.92	2.49	3.22	4.88	2.45	3.16	4.83
	∞	2.52	3.24	4.93	2.52	3.24	4.90	2.49	3.20	4.87	2.45	3.15	4.82
$\Phi_{n-d-3}^{(2)}$	10	7.94	9.77	14.72	9.84	11.68	15.87	11.75	13.68	17.91	17.37	19.53	24.22
	20	7.43	8.89	12.03	9.63	11.12	14.39	11.57	13.24	16.81	17.29	19.24	23.65
	50	7.23	8.44	11.30	9.41	10.78	13.82	11.46	12.93	16.30	17.21	19.15	23.18
	∞	7.05	8.16	10.48	9.28	10.59	13.26	11.36	12.82	15.72	17.20	19.08	22.61
$\Phi_{n-d-3}^{(d+3)}$	10	4.39	5.30	7.64	3.87	4.47	5.84	3.64	4.11	5.15	3.37	3.68	4.31
	20	3.87	4.50	6.00	3.59	4.05	5.08	3.44	3.84	4.61	3.24	3.50	4.04
	50	3.61	4.14	5.26	3.45	3.86	4.74	3.34	3.68	4.38	3.16	3.40	3.90
	∞	3.45	3.92	4.88	3.35	3.73	4.48	3.26	3.58	4.23	3.11	3.34	3.80

THEOREM 4.1. Let Y_t satisfy model (4.1) with e_t that are iid(0, σ^2). Let \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{h} be as defined in Corollary 3.1. Then under $H_0: \beta' = (1, 0, 1)$

- (i) $\{n^2(\hat{\beta}_1 - 1), n\hat{\beta}_2, n(\hat{\beta}_3 - 1)\}' \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} c\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{h},$
- (ii) $n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} N_p(\mathbf{0}, \Gamma^{-1}\sigma^2),$

where $(\Gamma)_{ij} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+|i-j|})$.

PROOF. Define

$$W_t^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^t e_t, Z_t^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor t/d \rfloor} e_{t-dj}, Y_t^\dagger = \sum_{j=1}^t Z_j^\dagger.$$

It is not difficult to show that the asymptotic properties of $(\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t \psi_t')^{-1}(\sum_{t=1}^n \psi_t e_t)$ are not affected by replacing $Y_{t-1}, W_{t-1},$ and Z_{t-d} by $c^{-1}Y_{t-1}^\dagger, c^{-1}W_{t-1}^\dagger,$ and $c^{-1}Z_{t-d}^\dagger$ respectively. Furthermore

$$\sum_{t=1}^n Y_{t-1}X_{t-1} = O_p(n^2), \quad \sum_{t=1}^n W_{t-1}X_{t-1} = O_p(n), \quad \sum_{t=1}^n Z_{t-d}X_{t-1} = O_p(n),$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, p.$

The result then follows from Theorem 3.1 and the well-known asymptotic distributional theory for stationary autoregressive processes. \square

Now let $\Phi_{n-p-3}^{(3)}$ denote the test statistic for testing $H_0: \beta' = (1, 0, 1)$ analogous to the usual $F_{3,n-p-3}$ test statistic in a fixed normal regression model.

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Then*

$$\Phi_{n-p-3}^{(3)} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} (3\sigma^2)^{-1} \mathbf{h}'\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{h}$$

where \mathbf{h} and \mathbf{H} are defined in Theorem 3.1.

Because of Corollary 4.1 the tables giving the percentage points of $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$ of Corollary 3.1 are also applicable for $\Phi_{n-p-3}^{(3)}$ in large samples.

An alternative to model (2.1) is given by a stationary time series with a deterministic seasonal component and a possible linear time trend. Consider model (1.4) and the hypothesis $H_1: \{\alpha' = (1, 1, -1), \xi_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. The test H_1 can be used to discriminate between the two types of processes: one having nonstationary stochastic trend and seasonality, the other having deterministic trend and seasonality. We denote by $\Phi_{n-d-4}^{(d+4)}$ the usual F type statistic for testing H_1 and denote by $\Phi_{n-d-3}^{(3)}$ the F type statistic for testing $H_2: \alpha' = (1, 1, -1)$. The percentiles for these statistics are presented in Section 5. The characterization of the asymptotic distributions follows along the lines of Section 3. Details are presented in Hasza and Fuller (1982).

5. Percentiles for test statistics. In Table 5.1 estimates of the percentiles of the test statistics discussed in Sections 3 and 4 are presented. For the finite sample sizes the statistics were obtained from samples using the model $\Delta_1 \Delta_d Y_t = e_t$ with zero initial conditions. It is noted that the initial conditions do not affect the asymptotic distributions. The initial conditions will affect the small sample distribution of $\Phi_{n-3}^{(3)}$ but not the distribution of $\Phi_{n-d-4}^{(3)}$ or of $\Phi_{n-d-4}^{(d+4)}$. The e_t were generated as normal (0, 1) random variables using a random number generator discussed in Marsaglia, Ananthanarayanan and Paul (1978).

To estimate the percentiles of the asymptotic distributions Corollary 3.2 was used. The γ_j sequence was truncated at $i = 72$ and adjusted so that the first eight absolute moments of the resulting statistics closely approximated the moments of the R_j 's of Theorem 3.1. The estimated standard errors for the estimated percentiles are about 0.7 percent of the table entries for finite values of m and about 0.5 percent of the table entries for the asymptotic distributions. For finite values of m the empirical percentiles are based on 25,000 independent realizations of the sample statistics. For the asymptotic distributions 50,000 independent realizations were used.

REFERENCES

- ANDERSON, T. W. (1959). On asymptotic distributions of parameters of stochastic difference equations. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **30** 676-687.
- BOX, G. E. P. and JENKINS, G. M. (1976). *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. Holden-Day, San Francisco.
- DIANANDA, P. H. (1953). Some probability limit theorems with statistical applications. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **49** 239-246.
- DICKEY, D. A. and FULLER, W. A. (1979a). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **74** 427-431.
- DICKEY, D. A. and FULLER, W. A. (1979b). Autoregressive estimation is seasonally nonstationary time series. Proceedings of the Business and Economics Statistics Section of the Amer. Statist. Assoc.
- EVANS, J. B. A and SAVIN, N. E. (1981). The calculation of the limiting distribution of the least squares estimator of the parameter in a random walk model. *Ann. Statist.* **3** 1114-1118.
- FULLER, W. A. (1976). *Introduction to Statistical Time Series*. Wiley, New York.
- HASZA, D. P. and FULLER, W. A. (1979). Estimation for autoregressive processes with unit roots. *Ann. Statist.* **7** 1106-1120.
- HASZA, D. P. and FULLER, W. A. (1982). Testing for nonstationary parameter specifications in seasonal time series models. Report to the U.S. Bureau of the Census (Department of Statistics, Iowa State University, Ames).
- HINKLEY, D. V. (1982). Can frequentist inferences be very wrong? A conditional "yes". Technical Report No. 397, School of Statistics, University of Minnesota.

- MARASAGLIA, G., ANANTHANARAYANAN, K. and PAUL, N. J. (1976). Improvements on fast methods for generating normal random variables. *Information Processing Letters* **5** 2 27-30.
- RAO, M. M. (1961). Consistency and limit distributions of estimators of parameters in explosive stochastic difference equations. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **32** 195-218.
- RAO, M. M. (1978). Asymptotic distribution of an estimator of the boundary parameter of an unstable process. *Ann. Statist.* **6** 185-190.
- STIGUM, B. P. (1974). Asymptotic properties of dynamic stochastic parameter estimates (III). *J. Multivariate Analysis* **4** 351-381.
- WHITE, J. S. (1958). The limiting distribution of the serial correlation coefficient in the explosive case I. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **29** 1188-1197.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
CALVIN HALL
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
MANHATTAN, KANSAS 66506

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
SNEDECOR HALL
IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
AMES, IOWA 50011