

Testing the physical driver of Eigenvector 1 in Quasar Main Sequence

Swayamtrupta Panda^{1,2}, Bożena Czerny^{1,2},
Conor Wildy¹ and Marzena Śniegowska^{1,3}

1. Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland

2. Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, Bartycka 18, 00-716 Warszawa, Poland

3. Astronomical Observatory, University of Warsaw, Al. Ujazdowskie 4, 88-478 Warszawa, Poland

Quasars are among the most luminous sources characterized by their broad band spectra ranging from radio through optical to X-ray band, with numerous emission and absorption features. Using the Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Boroson & Green (1992) were able to show significant correlations between the measured parameters of quasars. Among the significant correlations projected, the leading component, related to Eigenvector 1 (EV1) was dominated by the anti-correlation between the FeII optical emission and [OIII] line where the EV1 alone contained 30% of the total variance. This introduced a way to define a quasar main sequence, in close analogy to the stellar main sequence in the Hertzsprung-Russel (HR) diagram (Sulentic et al. 2001). Which of the basic theoretically motivated parameters of an active nucleus: Eddington ratio, black hole mass, accretion rate, spin, or viewing angle, is the main driver behind the EV1 yet remains to be answered. We currently limited ourselves to the optical waveband, and concentrated on the theoretical modelling of the FeII to H β ratio, and test the hypothesis that the physical driver of EV1 is the maximum of the accretion disk temperature, reflected in the shape of the spectral energy distribution (SED). We performed computations of the H β and optical FeII emission for a broad range of SED peak position using CLOUDY photoionisation code. We assumed that both H β and FeII emission come from the Broad Line Region represented as a constant density cloud in a plane-parallel geometry. We compare the results for two different approaches: 1) considering a fixed bolometric luminosity for the SED; 2) considering $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 1$.

1 Introduction

Quasars are among the most luminous objects in the observable universe associated with rapidly accreting supermassive black holes (SMBH). We classify active galactic nuclei (AGN) depending on how the AGN is viewed – whether the detected radiation allows us to *see* the nucleus directly (Type-1 AGNs); or the radiation is partially obscured due to the presence of the dusty torus lying in-between the observer and the source (Type-2 AGNs). In Type-1 AGNs, the continuum emission is dominated by the energy output in the optical-UV band that comes from the accretion disk which surrounds a SMBH (e.g. Czerny & Elvis, 1987; Capellupo et al., 2015) and the broad emission lines in the optical-UV, including FeII pseudo-continuum and Balmer Component are usually considered to be coming from the Broad Line Region (BLR) clouds. The spectral properties of the broad band spectra and the line emissivities are strongly correlated (Boroson & Green 1992; Sulentic et al. 2000, 2002, 2007; Yip et al. 2004; Shen & Ho 2014; Sun & Shen 2015). The Principal Component Analysis

(PCA) is a powerful tool that allows to procure the dominating correlations which can be used to identify the quasar main sequence. This sequence is analogous to the stellar main sequence in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram. Quasar main sequence was suggested to be driven mostly by the Eddington ratio (Boroson & Green 1992; Sulentic et al. 2000; Shen & Ho 2014), but also by the additional effect of the black hole mass, viewing angle and the intrinsic absorption (Shen & Ho 2014; Sulentic et al. 2000; Kuraszkiewicz et al. 2009). Among the significant correlations projected, the leading component, related to Eigenvector 1 (EV1) is dominated by the anti-correlation between the FeII optical emission and [OIII] line where the EV1 alone contained 30% of the total variance. The parameter R_{FeII} , defined as the ratio of the equivalent width (EW) of FeII line to the EW of H β line, strongly correlates with the EV1, which is the FeII line strength.

We postulate that the true driver behind the R_{FeII} is the maximum of the temperature in a multicolor accretion disk which is also the basic parameter determining the broad band shape of the quasar continuum emission. The hypothesis seems natural because the spectral shape determines both broad band spectral indices as well as emission line ratios, and has already been suggested by Bonning et al. (2007). We expect an increase in the maximum of the disk temperature as the R_{FeII} increases. According to Fig. 1 from Shen & Ho (2014), the increase in R_{FeII} implies the increase in the Eddington ratio or decrease in the mass of the black hole. We expect that this maximum temperature depends not only on the Eddington ratio (Collin et al., 2006), but on the ratio of the Eddington ratio to the black hole mass (or, equivalently, on the ratio of the accretion rate to square of the black hole mass).

2 Basic Theory

The spectral energy distribution (SED) for a typical quasar reveals that most of the quasar radiation comes from the accretion disk and forms the Big Blue Bump (BBB) in the optical-UV (Czerny & Elvis 1987; Richards et al. 2006), and this thermal emission is accompanied by an X-ray emission coming from a hot optically thin mostly compact plasma, frequently referred to as a corona (Czerny & Elvis 1987; Haardt & Maraschi 1991; Fabian et al. 2015). The ionizing continuum emission thus consists of two physically different spectral components. We parametrized the BBB component by the maximum of the disk temperature, which according to the standard Shakura-Sunyaev accretion disk model, is related to the black hole mass and the accretion rate.

$$T_{\text{BBB}} = \left[\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma r^3} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_{\text{in}}}{r}} \right) \right]^{0.25} = 1.732 \times 10^{19} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{M^2} \right)^{0.25}, \quad (1)$$

where T_{BBB} is maximum temperature corresponding to the BBB, G – gravitational constant, M – black hole mass, \dot{M} – black hole accretion rate, r – radial distance from the AGN centre, and R_{in} – radius corresponding to the innermost stable circular orbit. M and \dot{M} are in cgs units. Similar formalism has been used by Bonning et al. (2007) although the coefficient from Eq. 1 differs by a factor of 2.6. This maximum is achieved not at the innermost stable orbit around a non-rotating black hole ($3R_{\text{Schw}}$) but at $4.08\bar{3}R_{\text{Schw}}$. The SED component peaks at the frequency

$$\nu_{\max} \sim \left[\frac{L}{L_{\text{Edd}} M} \right]^{0.25} \quad (2)$$

where ν_{\max} is frequency corresponding to T_{BBB} , L – accretion luminosity ($= \eta \dot{M} c^2$ with η being an accretion efficiency), L_{Edd} – Eddington limit ($= 4\pi G M m_p c / \sigma_T$, where m_p is mass of a proton, and σ_T – Thompson cross section).

We used a power law with a fixed slope (α_{uv}) for the accretion disk spectrum with an exponential cutoff which is determined by the value of T_{BBB} . The X-ray coronal component shape is defined by the slope (α_x) and has an X-ray cut-off at 100 keV (Frank et al., 2002, and references therein). The relative contribution of both spectral components is determined by fixing the broad band spectral index α_{ox} , and finally the absolute normalization of the incident spectrum is set assuming the source bolometric luminosity. We fixed most of the parameters, and T_{BBB} is then the basic parameter of our model.

Some of the radiation originating from AGN nucleus is reprocessed in the BLR which produces the emission lines. In order to calculate the line emissivity, we need to assume the mean hydrogen density (n_{H}) of the cloud, and a limiting column density (N_{H}) to define the outer edge of the cloud, and we use here a single cloud approximation. Ionization state of the clouds depends also on the distance of the BLR from the nucleus. We fixed it using the observational relation by Bentz et al. (2013)

$$\left(\frac{R_{\text{BLR}}}{1 \text{ lt} - \text{day}} \right) = 10^{\left[1.555 + 0.542 \log \left(\frac{\lambda L_{\lambda}}{10^{44} \text{ ergs}^{-1}} \right) \right]} \quad (3)$$

The values for the constants considered in Eq. 3 are taken from the Clean H β $R_{\text{BLR}} - L$ model from Bentz et al. (2013) where $\lambda = 5100 \text{ \AA}$.

3 Results and Discussions

In Panda et al. (2017), we checked the dependence of the change in the R_{FeII} as a function of the maximum of the accretion disk temperature T_{BBB} , at constant values of L_{bol} , α_{uv} , α_{ox} , n_{H} and N_{H} . Here, we refer to the approach by Panda et al. (2017) as Method-1 (M1).

In M1, the source bolometric luminosity is fixed, $L_{\text{bol}} = 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ with accretion efficiency $\eta = 1/12$, since we consider a non-rotating black hole in Newtonian approximation (see Eq. 1). This determines the accretion rate, \dot{M} . For the range of disk temperatures from 5×10^4 to $5 \times 10^5 \text{ K}$, we computed the range of black hole masses to be between $[2.2 \times 10^6, 2.2 \times 10^8] M_{\odot}$ using the Eq. 1. Next, from the incident continuum, we estimated the L_{5100} which we then used as an input to derive the R_{BLR} using the Eq. 3. The ratio of the optical to X-ray luminosities given by α_{ox} was fixed at -1.6 . This allowed us to determine the normalization of the X-ray bump. The resulting two-power law SED was constructed with an optical-UV slope, $\alpha_{\text{uv}} = -0.36$, and X-ray slope, $\alpha_x = -0.91$ (Róžańska et al., 2014) and their corresponding exponential cutoffs (the optical-UV cutoff is determined from the T_{BBB} while for the X-ray case it was fixed at 100 keV). We tested two cases by changing the mean hydrogen density from (i) $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to (ii) $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, keeping the hydrogen column density $N_{\text{H}} = 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, in accordance to Bruhweiler & Verner (2008). We had dropped the X-ray power-law component in M1 for simplic-

ity. Knowing the irradiation, we computed the intensities of the broad FeII emission lines using the corresponding levels of transitions present in CLOUDY 13.05 (Ferland et al., 2013). We calculated the FeII strength (R_{FeII}), which is the ratio of FeII EW within 4434-4684 Å to the broad H β EW. This prescription is taken from Shen & Ho (2014). All the simulations have been considered without including microturbulence.

In Method-2 (M2), we now make three important modifications: (i) we allow for the presence of the hard X-ray power law (ii) we fix the Eddington ratio (i.e., $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} = 1$) instead of a constant bolometric luminosity (iii) we use the observational relation between the UV and X-rays to obtain α_{ox} . Fixing the Eddington ratio provides us with a relation between the mass accretion rate (\dot{M}) and black hole mass (M_{BH}). For the same range of maximum of the disk temperature as in M1, we calculate the black hole masses using the Eq. 1 which we have in the range $[6.06 \times 10^5 ; 6.06 \times 10^9] M_{\odot}$. We then determine the normalisation factor by integrating the optical-UV spectrum to the bolometric luminosity (which we calculated for each case from the range of M_{BH} as mentioned above). We then compute the values of L_{2500} and L_{5100} from the incident continuum. We use the L_{2500} as the L_{UV} in the Eq. 1 from Lusso & Risaliti (2017) to compute the L_{X} at 2 keV:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\log L_{\text{X}} - 25) = (0.610 \pm 0.019)(\log L_{\text{UV}} - 25) + \\ (0.538 \pm 0.072)[\log v_{\text{FWHM}} - (3 + \log 2)] + (-1.978 \pm 0.100) \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

Here, v_{FWHM} is estimated using the corresponding M_{BH} , R_{BLR} and assuming a virial factor, $f=1$. Subsequently, the value of α_{ox} is determined from usual formula, $\alpha_{\text{ox}} = -0.384(L_{2500}/L_{2\text{keV}})$.

The results from the photoionization modeling for both the methods (M1 and M2) are shown in Fig.1. In M1, the dependence of R_{FeII} versus T_{BBB} is monotonic (the red dotted curve) for the case (ii), while we see a turnover for the trend in case (i) at 1.45×10^4 K (the blue dotted curve) although the monotonic trend reappears after this turnover and continues to the limit of the T_{BBB} considered for that case, i.e., $10^{7.5}$ K. This upper limit for the maximum of the disk temperature is solely considered for the modelling. In Panda et al. (2017), we overplotted R_{FeII} values obtained from two observational data on the modelled trends. We found that the trends did not justify the results from the observations. We intended to re-evaluate the trends by adopting the prescription of M2 model.

In M2, we test the same two cases of changing n_{H} from 10^{10} cm^{-3} (iii) to 10^{11} cm^{-3} (iv), keeping the hydrogen column density at $N_{\text{H}} = 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. For both these cases, we now clearly see the turnover in the trend between R_{FeII} and T_{BBB} close to 10^6 K which was absent in case (i) and its presence was speculative in case of (ii). In the considered range of T_{BBB} (marked by the vertical red lines in the figure), we now have a proportional dependence of R_{FeII} on T_{BBB} . For values of $T_{\text{BBB}} \leq 2.24 \times 10^4$ K, we again see a rising trend which we suspect is due to the variation in the value of α_{ox} which is biased by the observationally-derived Eq. 4, where the authors preferentially selected bluer candidates (Lusso & Risaliti, 2017).

For the considered T_{BBB} range the R_{FeII} lies within $[0.75, 5.53]$ for $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $[0.66, 3.01]$ for $n_{\text{H}} = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In case (iv) we see that the FeII strength goes down by a factor of 2 compared to case (iii), i.e., FeII emission is suppressed with rising mean density. The maximum values of R_{FeII} obtained in M2 is 6.48 at $T_{\text{BBB}} = 1.26 \times 10^6$ K corresponding to M_{BH} as low as $1.5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$. From a preliminary analysis of the Shen et al. (2011) SDSS DR7 quasar catalog, when the sample




Fig. 1: The comparison between R_{FeII} and T_{BBB} for four different constant-density single cloud models. The blue ($n_{\text{H}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and red ($n_{\text{H}} = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) dotted curves are for M1, the cyan ($n_{\text{H}} = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and orange ($n_{\text{H}} = 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) dotted curves are for M2. Vertical red lines indicate the range of disk temperature considered [5×10^4 ; 5×10^5] K for which the black hole mass varies from 6.06×10^5 to $6.06 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$.

is z -corrected (i.e. $0.1 \leq z \leq 0.9$) and errors in determining FeII and H β fluxes are kept within 20%, we do obtain the maximum value of R_{FeII} to be equal 6.56.

References

- Bentz, M. C., et al., *ApJ* **767**, 149 (2013)
- Bonning, E. W., et al., *ApJ* **659**, 211 (2007)
- Boroson, T. A., Green, R. F., *ApJS* **80**, 109 (1992)
- Bruhweiler, F., Verner, E., *ApJ* **675**, 83-95 (2008)
- Capellupo, D. M., et al., *MNRAS* **446**, 3427 (2015)
- Collin, S., Kawaguchi, T., Peterson, B. M., Vestergaard, M., *A&A* **456**, 75 (2006)
- Czerny, B., Elvis, M., *ApJ* **321**, 305 (1987)
- Fabian, A. C., et al., *MNRAS* **451**, 4375 (2015)
- Ferland, G. J., et al., *RMxAA* **49**, 137 (2013)
- Frank, J., King, A., Raine, D. J., *Accretion Power in Astrophysics: Third Edition*, 398 (2002)
- Haardt, F., Maraschi, L., *ApJL* **380**, L51 (1991)
- Kuraszkiewicz, J., et al., *ApJ* **692**, 1180 (2009)

- Lusso, E., Risaliti, G., *A&A* **602**, A79 (2017)
- Panda, S., Czerny, B., Wildy, C., *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences* **4**, 33 (2017),
URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fspas.2017.00033>
- Richards, G. T., et al., *ApJS* **166**, 470 (2006)
- Róžańska, A., et al., *NA* **28**, 70 (2014)
- Shen, Y., Ho, L. C., *Nature* **513**, 210 (2014)
- Shen, Y., et al., *ApJS* **194**, 45 (2011)
- Sulentic, J. W., Calvani, M., Marziani, P., *The Messenger* **104**, 25 (2001)
- Sulentic, J. W., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., Marziani, P., in S. Kurtz (ed.) *Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica Conference Series, Revista Mexicana de Astronomía y Astrofísica, vol. 27*, volume 28, 83–88 (2007)
- Sulentic, J. W., Zwitter, T., Marziani, P., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., *ApJL* **536**, L5 (2000)
- Sulentic, J. W., et al., *ApJL* **566**, L71 (2002)
- Sun, J., Shen, Y., *ApJL* **804**, L15 (2015)
- Yip, C. W., et al., *AJ* **128**, 2603 (2004)