

An Acad Bras Cienc (2021) 93(3): e20210077 DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202120210077 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências | *Annals of the Brazilian Academy of Sciences* Printed ISSN 0001-3765 | Online ISSN 1678-2690 www.scielo.br/aabc | www.fb.com/aabcjournal

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

The 2020 Brazilian Pantanal fires

MIKHAELA A.J.S. PLETSCH, CELSO H.L. SILVA JUNIOR, THALES V. PENHA, THALES S. KÖRTING, MARIA E.S. SILVA, GABRIEL PEREIRA, LIANA O. ANDERSON & LUIZ E.O.C. ARAGÃO

As the world's largest tropical wetland (UNESCO 2020), the Brazilian Pantanal covers an area of 150,355 km², approximately 62% of United Kingdom territory. In January 2020, 3,506 fires were detected in the region, an increase of 302% in relation to the 2012-2019 average for the same month (Fig. 1a). Fire occurrence continued with an accelerated pace, when cumulative number of fires at the end of 2020 reached 189,440, 508% higher than the average (Fig. 1a). Considering all fire occurrences since 2012, the number of fires in 2020 exceeded detections in any other previous year, with 43% of the total (Fig. 1a).

Spatially, the 2020 fires were located mainly in the north and west of the region (Fig. 1b). About 31% of fires occurred in areas covered by forests, 32% in grasslands, and 28% in wetland areas, totaling 91% of all fires detections (NASA 2020a, Souza et al. 2020) there is still a gap of annual land use and land cover (LULC (Fig. 1c). Besides, along all months of 2020, Brazilian Pantanal fires were responsible for emissions of 524,453 tons of health-damaging fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) and 115,576,561 tons of CO₂ to the atmosphere (VIIRS NRT Emissions) (NASA 2020b).

The 2020 perfect firestorm in Brazilian Pantanal was induced by a combination of the greatest drought in 60 years (Libonati et al. 2020) and broad environmental setbacks in Brazil (ASCEMA 2020). The weakening of environmental enforcement and monitoring institutions, by cutting or reducing funds, certainly compromised their ability to fight and prevent fires in the region. The consequences of Brazilian Pantanal fires are triggering a set of countless negative impacts on Brazilian environmental, social, and economic systems.

Uncontrolled fires threaten Brazil's biodiversity asset protected under the Convention on Biological Diversity and the national environmental laws. The long-term commitment to tackle greenhouse gas emissions under the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) is also compromised, negatively interfering in the National Policy on Climate Change. Furthermore, the increase in smoke emissions due to fires leads to an escalation of the respiratory-health vulnerability of about 11,717 native indigenous populations (ISA 2020, Reddington et al. 2015).

To prevent similar disasters in the Brazilian Pantanal or in other Brazilian biomes during 2021 and in the future, different actions levels will be required. In the short-term, in parallel with a




Figure. 1. The state of Suomi NPP-VIIRS Active Fires (NASA 2020a) (here defined only as fire), at 375-m spatial resolution, in the Pantanal biome between 2012 and 2020. (a) Accumulated monthly fires for each year and for the 2012-2019 average period. (b) Spatial distribution of 2020 Brazilian Pantanal fires (5km of spatial resolution). (c) The 2020 Brazilian Pantanal fires classified by land-use and land-cover types (MapBiomas for the year of 2019). We excluded all fires with low confidence level in the analyses.

fire moratorium throughout Pantanal mainly in the driest and hottest seasons, reinforcement of firefighters is urgently needed to avoid ignition sources and fire spread. In the long-term, the Brazilian diplomacy must be re-established to attract international funds, which can be used to support the financing of infrastructure and human resources for the environmental monitoring, law enforcement, and fighting fires. Finally, we argue that the urgency of the Pantanal's fire crises must concern multiple stakeholders, involving both civil society and scientific community to call for strategic decisions to urgently curb these environmental crimes and hinder future fire crisis.

REFERENCES

ASCEMA. 2020. Cronologia de um desastre anunciado: Ações do governo Bolsonaro para desmontar as políticas de meio ambiente no Brasil. Available at: http://www.ascemanacional.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Dossie_Meio-Ambiente_Governo-Bolsonaro_revisado_02-set-2020-1.pdf (accessed 9.2.20).

ISA. 2020. Terras Indígenas no Brasil. Available at: https://terrasindigenas.org.br/ (accessed 9.15.20).

LIBONATI R ET AL. 2020. Rescue Brazil's burning Pantanal wetlands. Nature 588: 217-219. https://doi.org/10.1038/ d41586-020-03464-1.

NASA. 2020a. VIIRS I-Band 375 m Active Fire Data. Available at: https://earthdata.nasa.gov/earth-observation-data/ near-real-time/firms/viirs-i-band-active-fire-data (accessed 1.1.20).

NASA. 2020b. Global Fire Emissions Database. Available at: https://www.globalfiredata.org (accessed 9.1.20).

REDDINGTON CL ET AL. 2015. Air quality and human health improvements from reductions in deforestation-related fire in Brazil. Nat Geosci 8: 768-771. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2535.

SOUZA CM ET AL. 2020. Reconstructing Three Decades of Land Use and Land Cover Changes in Brazilian Biomes with Landsat Archive and Earth Engine. Remote Sens 12: 2735. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12172735.

UNESCO. 2020. Pantanal Conservation Area. Available at: https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/999/ (accessed 9.14.20).

How to cite

PLETSCH MAJS, SILVA JUNIOR CHL, PENHA TV, KÖRTING TS, SILVA MES, PEREIRA G, ANDERSON LO & ARAGÃO LEOC. 2021. The 2020 Brazilian Pantanal fires. An Acad Bras Cienc 93: e20210077. DOI 10.1590/0001-3765202120210077.

Manuscript received on January 19, 2021; accepted for publication on February 2, 2021

MIKHAELA A.J.S. PLETSCH¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1690-1175

CELSO H.L. SILVA JUNIOR^{1,2} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1052-5551

THALES V. PENHA³ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9560-4186

THALES S. KÖRTING¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0876-0501

MARIA E.S. SILVA³ https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6067-9260

GABRIEL PEREIRA^{3,4} https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2093-9942

LIANA O. ANDERSON⁵

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9545-5136

LUIZ E.O.C. ARAGÃO¹

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4134-6708

¹Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), Divisão de Observação da Terra e Geoinformática, Avenida dos Astronautas, 1758, Jd. Granja, 12227-010 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

²Universidade Estadual do Maranhão (UEMA), Departamento de Engenharia Agrícola, Cidade Universitária Paulo VI, Avenida Lourenço Vieira da Silva, 1000, Jardim São Cristóvão, 65055-310 São Luís, MA, Brazil

³Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Departamento de Geografia, Avenida Professor Lineu Prestes, 338, Cidade Universitária, 05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

⁴Universidade Federal de São João del-Rei (UFSJ), Departamento de Geografia, Praça Frei Orlando, 170, Centro, 36307-352 São João del-Rei, MG, Brazil

⁵Centro Nacional de Monitoramento e Alertas de Desastres Naturais (CEMADEN), Estrada Dr. Altino Bondensan, 500, Eugênio de Melo, 12247-016 São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil

Correspondence to: **Mikhaela Pletsch** *E-mail: mkmapa@qmail.com*

Author contributions

All authors contributed equally to the intellectual conception, writing and preparation of the letter.

