

Scientists have a responsibility to provide accurate information about their disciplines to the public. Since more and more people look to the web for information—sometimes exclusively—providing information online is an effective way to reach the public and fulfill this obligation.

The video archive¹ is part of AAI's ongoing effort to communicate the science of AI to interested people around the world. AAI currently maintains the web portal AI Topics² as a means of providing credible and timely information to the general public as well as the AI community (Buchanan and Glick 2002). The site has grown to include a variety of textual materials including overviews, news stories, technical articles, and some audio and video materials about AI. While search engines such as Google and Yahoo will find everything online that mentions a topic of interest, a mediated site such as AI Topics offers well-written, accurate materials that provide good starting places for learning about the topic and paves the way for further exploration.³

The AI Topics site is heavily used by a diverse group of visitors from around the world, and our statistics show that usage has increased steadily over the years. Requests⁴ come from colleges and universities of all sizes, research labs, industrial sites, government and military institutions, and many other domains not readily categorized. Spikes in the usage charts around the ends of academic terms suggest that students are using the site to find material for term papers and projects. Frequency of access from more than two hundred nations suggests that the site is filling gaps in library collections. An informal look at many undergraduate syllabi for AI courses reveals that the site provides useful background information and discussion material for classroom use. Unsolicited feedback suggests that high school students as well as graduate students are finding the site useful. The site consistently ranks high in online search results for AI-related inquiries.

It is now much easier for anyone with a computer to access video content online than it was when AI Topics was launched. One result is that the

The AAI Video Archive

*Bruce G. Buchanan, Jonathan Glick,
and Reid G. Smith*

■ *The AAI video archive is a central source of information about videotapes and films with information about AI that are stored digitally on other sites or physically in institutional archives. For each video, the archive includes a brief description of the contents and personae, one or more representative short clips for classroom or individual use, and the location of the archival copy (for example, at a university library).*

public now expects more than text-based information and it is clear that video is fast becoming the most effective communications channel. A recent article in *New Scientist* (Busse 2007) reports:

TV is already the dominant format for communicating science. In November 2006, the Pew Internet and American Life project based in Washington DC found that it is the general public's number one portal for science information, with the internet a close second. However, with the rise of broadband internet connections, people are increasingly getting their video fix, and that means science communicators have to keep up. "We have to be looking pretty closely at online video as an outlet for the public understanding of science," says Stewart Wills, online editor of the journal *Science*, based in Washington DC.

In addition, the *New York Times* (Richtel 2007) reports:

ComScore, which measures consumer Internet habits, reported today that 75 percent of Internet users in the United States watch an average of three hours of online video a month. The average online video duration was 2.7 minutes....

Moreover, the survey indicates that

more than 2.5 billion videos are watched by Internet users every day. Although these numbers may not reflect the habits of AI students and professionals, they certainly point to a trend among the general population we would like to reach.

As yet another confirmation of the timeliness of using video, a new website⁵ has been launched with NSF funding specifically to use video to communicate scientific results (CORDIS News 2007). Called SciVee, the site puts emphasis on making published work more accessible to a new audience. To date most of its videos are in biology and astronomy.

"SciVee, created for scientists, by scientists, moves science beyond the printed word and lecture theatre, taking advantage of the Internet as a communication medium where scientists young and old have a place and a voice," explains the website. The benefit for scientists is the opportunity to disseminate their research to a wider and potentially new audience. They are also able to create a professional profile and join science groups. The larger scientific community is able to access new scientific information, comment on what is published, and subscribe to relevant channels and groups. Authors must have published their paper in an open access journal in order to upload it to SciVee. This dynamic form of presentation could also encourage a lay audience to investigate science. The appeal of the website to a general audience does however depend on the quality of the content, and the presentation skills of the scientists submitting their work. Users are able to tag, rate and comment on videos. The current offerings are all biology-

Figure 1. The Browse Videos Page.

Many early demonstrations of AI programs and many of the pioneers' lectures explaining basic AI concepts have been captured on videotape and film, but not all have been digitized, and few of these have been preserved in archival form. Videotapes and films stored on closet shelves will become brittle or unreadable when players are no longer available. Thus the historical record is increasingly threatened as time passes.

The purpose of the AAAI video archive is to be a central source of information about AI-related videotapes and films that are available somewhere, thereby providing scholars with a single starting place when searching for videos about AI.

The three main goals for the archive are to (1) collect a master catalog of AI-related videos already digitized, (2) archive as many videos of historical importance as we can for posterity, and (3) make interesting clips available for pedagogical purposes now.

The media and formats utilized must ensure that the information will be available for the longest time. In addition, we need to take account of the remaining half-life of the videotapes and films as well as the historical interest of the content. Three audiences are envisaged for the short clips: individual students accessing the site through a variety of devices, including hand-held devices and cell phones, professors wishing to assemble video materials for lectures, and media crews looking for video material on short notice. We can count on XML, MP2, MP3 (for audio), and maybe MP4 (or other formats) to make clips available now and count on future browsers to be downward compatible for a while, or migrate the interesting content to newer formats. We may find that most people will access the video clips through the web, using hand-held devices or cell phones.

History

The AAAI video archive began in late 2006 with a pilot project whose goals are to create an archival video record to preserve the historical archive of the early days of the AI field and share the information for widespread peda-

focused, dealing with subjects from evolution to proteins (CORDIS News 2007).

Therefore, it is now appropriate to make video an important component of AI Topics by incorporating more video materials of informational and pedagogical value. Moreover, it is in the best interests of the community to have a central place for the public to

turn for videos that responsibly represent research and applications in AI and also topical social and ethical issues.

It is also the case that although the written record of AI's history is deposited in library collections—including books, journals, and conference proceedings, not to mention papers available on the Web—the video record demands attention.

gological purposes. We set out to create a site that is easily maintained and extended without large cost. We also set out to design procedures and standards acceptable to archivists for handling fragile tapes and films, digitizing them with noise reduction and color correction, and storing original copies with appropriate metadata.

A pilot project was funded by NSF, and the website⁶ was officially announced at the AAI-07 conference in July 2007. Continuation funding has recently been granted by NSF.

The Wiki Model

The AAI video archive has been implemented as a Wiki to enable and encourage the AI community to annotate videos, comment, add historical context, link to seminal papers and course materials, and so on.⁷ Figure 1 shows the entry page, Browse Videos. As shown in the figure, videos can be browsed by topic (drawn from AI Topics), by institution (for example, Stanford), alphabetically, by year, or by "tag" (that is, keyword or social bookmark). Videos may also be found by searching for any term used anywhere in their descriptions.

Content

As of October 2007, the AAI video archive includes about 100 videos and pointers to several collections from major universities, research labs, and other institutions shown in figure 1. Figure 2 is a sample page showing the kinds of information collected on each video.

The Submit New Video button in every sidebar opens a form in which anyone can submit information about a video. Figure 2 shows the kinds of information that is saved for each video, but only the briefest amount is necessary at the initial submission since other users can supply the rest. Contributors are encouraged to add tags⁸ (social bookmarks) that will be useful to others who might want to find a video. Tags already used for other videos can be viewed, and all videos with a given tag can be listed. As protection against offensive material, each submission is sent to the site

Recent Changes - Search: Go

Welcome Rgsmith View Edit History Print

AAAI Home

AI Topics / AI Videos
Popular Tags

AI Videos
- Browse Videos
- Submit New Video
- Edit Existing Video
Policies
Project Notes

AI Topics
- A-Z Index
- Site Map
AI in the news
AI Overview
Agents
Applications
Cognitive Science
Education
Ethical & Social
Expert Systems
Games & Puzzles
History
Interfaces
Machine Learning
Natural Language
Philosophy
Reasoning
Representation
Robots
Science Fiction
Speech
Systems & Languages
Turing Test
Vision
Resources:
- for Educators
- for Students
- Reports & Projects
FAQs
Reference Shelf
Videos

Changes [XML](#)

Fair Use Notice
© AAI 2000-2008

pmwiki.org
pmwiki-2.1.27
[edit SideBar](#)

AI Videos / 2007-0055

[Browse Videos](#) > [Edinburgh Videos](#) >

Video Title: Lighthill Controversy Debate at the Royal Institution with Professor Sir James Lighthill, Professor Donald Michie, Professor Richard Gregory and Professor John McCarthy.

URL: <http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/events.html>

Description: Professors Donald Michie [Edinburgh], Richard Gregory [Bristol] and John McCarthy [Stanford] challenge the pessimistic findings & views of Professor Sir James Lighthill [Cambridge], author of "The Lighthill Report" [Artificial Intelligence: A General Survey, in Artificial Intelligence: a paper symposium, Science Research Council (1973)].

Date of Video: June 1973

Color. Sound. Length (min:sec): 81:00.

Copyright: BBC

Info:

Interesting: NOTE: all start times are approximate

Clips:

- opening segment: video of Shakey (robot)
- 21:00 Lighthill: "The general purpose robot is a mirage."
- 24:30 Michie: explains the difference between "research purpose robots" and "general purpose robots"
- 27:40 video of Freddy (robot)
- 34:30 Michie: introduces his thesis that AI is a distinct area of science
- 37:45 McCarthy: defines the term "Artificial Intelligence"
- 46:00 McCarthy: explains the origin of the term "Artificial Intelligence"
- 56:00 Gregory: Robot research is making the logical cognitive processes scientifically respectable.

Location of Original: BBC. (AAI has a DVD.)

Comments:

- Streamed Quicktime at Merlin Rocket <http://aiai.merlinrocket.org>
- Streamed Quicktime at UoE <http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/events/lighthill1973/1973-BBC-Lighthill-Controversy.qtl>
- Downloadable Quicktime .mov <http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/events/lighthill1973/>
- Sony PSP .mp4 (put in VIDEO folder) <http://www.aiai.ed.ac.uk/events/lighthill1973/Sony-PSP-Format/>
- Machinima in Second Life <http://shared.merlinrocket.org/sections/movies/sciences/1973>

Contributor: [Jon Glick](#)

Tags: [Aioverview](#) [Robots](#) [Machinelearning](#) [Cognitivescience](#) [History](#) [Shakey](#) [Freddy](#) [chess](#) [generalpurposerobot](#) [researchpurposerobot](#) [neuralnetworks](#) [Lighthill](#) [Michie](#) [Mccarthy](#) [Gregory](#) [Dartmouthconference](#) [1973](#) [Edinburgh](#) [BBC](#) [L](#)

[Edit](#) - [History](#) - [Print](#) - [Recent Changes](#) - [Search](#)
Page last modified on December 14, 2007, at 04:29 PM

Figure 2. The Sample Video Page.

manager who creates the new page.

There is a trade-off with any Wiki, which has been much-noted with Wikipedia, between accepting all modifications from users and controlling the quality of modifications. The accuracy of information is of primary importance, and part of the Wiki model assumes that modifications by a large community will converge on accurate, understandable material (Sil-

verthorne 2007). A 2005 *Nature* study lent some support to this assumption by concluding that the accuracy of the Wikipedia was comparable to that of the *Encyclopedia Britannica* (Giles 2005). But as editors at the *Boston Globe* (2007) have pointed out:

The Internet is great at fostering democracy when it creates more access to original sources of information, from scientific databases to the

Congressional Record. And Wikipedia shines when it does the same, offering entries with links to such sources. But democracy alone doesn't create truth. Users, especially students, still have to be wary of spin, fluff, and falsehoods. It's exciting to try to get at the truth in sprawling editing groups. But as WikiScanner shows, it's also important to identify the editors and judge the truth they tell.

Self-serving changes are discouraged by this process (Blakely 2007a, Blakely 2007b, Borland 2007, Greenberg 2007, Hafner 2007), as are blatantly commercial or offensive additions. It has also been found highly desirable to institute an editorial review to maintain standards.⁹ As an additional control, links to new videos are added only by the project coordinator after information has been submitted. We assume AAI members will want the site to be useful and will avoid cluttering it with junk.

Conclusion

The site is ready for use. We invite the AAI community to submit videos, add comments or tags, and highlight short clips that are particularly interesting in the current videos. In addition, suggestions for improvements are most welcome (some are already noted on the Project Notes/Suggested Improvements page). We especially welcome offers to help write new code for the Wiki and review new submissions.

In short, the site belongs to the AI community, and its utility will increase in direct proportion to the involvement of the community.

Acknowledgements

The AAI video archive could not have been launched without the ongoing help and support provided by Carol Hamilton, Richard Skalsky, and others named on the Project page of the site. In addition to the authors, the advisory board members are Adam Farquhar, Jim Hendler, Neil Jacobstein, Nils Nilsson, and Raj Reddy. We also wish to acknowledge the consultation and support provided by Hannah Frost, Henry Lowood, and Nancy Hoebelheinrich of the Stanford University Libraries, and Gabrielle

Michalek and Chris Kellen of the Carnegie Mellon University Libraries. Thomas J. Greene, Ph.D., outreach officer at MIT's CSAIL, supervised the interviewing, recording, transcription, and editing done by Matt Peddie, Luis Gomez, Chih-yu Chao, Jiwon Kim, Varun Agarwal, and Heather-Marie C. Knight for the 2006 symposium of the AAAI Fellows. We gratefully acknowledge support from the NSF (Grant Numbers #0609678 and #***000).

Notes

1. www.aaai.org/video/.
2. www.aaai.org/AITopics.
3. The AI Topics site includes a "springboard" page that encourages exploration. See www.aaai.org/AITopics/html/springbd.html.
4. AI Topics receives about close to a million hits a month. In a recent summer month (July 2007) there were about 810,000 hits to AI Topics pages from about 130,000 visitors. About 5500 hits were to the robots pages, nearly 4000 to expert systems, 2500 to agents, and about 2500 to ethics.
5. www.scivee.tv/.
6. www.aaai.org/video.
7. We used Patrick Michaud's PmWiki implementation (www.pmwiki.org) due to its simplicity and robustness and the wealth of functional add-ons that have been contributed by the PmWiki community.
8. Tags have been popularized by their use in Flickr and other sites that emphasize community sharing.
9. We have not yet set up a formal review process because all suggested changes come from just a few people, namely those named on the site (under "Project Notes /People").

References

- Blakely, R. 2007a. Firms Accused of Rewriting Their Entry on Wikipedia. *Times* (London), 16 August, Business section 36.
- Blakely, R. 2007b. Exposed: Guess Who has been Polishing their Wikipedia Entries? *Times Online*. 15 August. London: News International Ltd. (business.timesonline.co.uk/tol/business/industry_sectors/media/article2264150.ece).
- Borland, J. 2007. See Who's Editing Wikipedia—Diebold, the CIA, a Campaign. *Wired Digital*. 14 August. New York: CondéNet (www.wired.com/politics/online/rights/news/2007/08/wiki_tracker/).
- Boston Globe*. 2007. Editorial: Behind the E-

Curtain. *Boston Globe*, 26 August, D8.

Buchanan, B. G., and Glick, J. 2002. AI Topics: A Responsibility to Celebrate AI Responsibly. *AI Magazine* 23(1): 87–94.

Buchanan, B. G., and Glick, J. 2001. AI Topics—Organizing Online Knowledge Sources about AI for the Lay Public. *ACM intelligence* 12(3): 25–30.

Busse, M. 2007. Online Video Is Transforming Perceptions of Science. *New Scientist* 195(2612): 26–27.

CORDIS News. 2007. YouTube for Scientists Launched. CORDIS (the Community Research & Development Information Service operated by the European Union). 28 August. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities (cordis.europa.eu/fetch?CALLER=EN_NEW_S&ACTION=D&SESSION=&RCN=28250).

Giles, J. 2005. Internet Encyclopaedias Go Head to Head. *Nature* 438(7070): 900–901.

Greenberg, A. 2007. The Perils of Wiki PR. Technology Section, *Forbes.com*. 15 August. New York: Forbes.com LLC (www.forbes.com/technology/2007/08/15/wikipedia-editing-publishing-tech-security-cx_ag_0815wiki.html).

Hafner, K. 2007. Seeing Corporate Fingerprints in Wikipedia Edits. *The New York Times*, 19 August, A18.

Nature Publishing Group. 2005. Wiki's Wild World. *Nature* 438(7070): 890.

Richtel, M. 2007. Web Video's Audience Likes 2.7 Minutes at a Time. Bits, *The New York Times Technology Blog*, 12 September. New York: The New York Times Co. (bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2007/09/12/web-videos-audience-likes-27-minutes-at-a-time).

Silverthorne, S. 2007. HBS Cases: How Wikipedia Works (or Doesn't). *Harvard Business School Working Knowledge, an E-Mail Newsletter*. 23 July. Boston: President & Fellows of Harvard College (hbswk.hbs.edu/item/5605.html).

Bruce G. Buchanan is a professor emeritus of computer science, philosophy, and medicine at the University of Pittsburgh. He is a former president of AAAI, and received a BA in mathematics from Ohio Wesleyan University, and MS and Ph.D. degrees in philosophy from Michigan State University.

Reid G. Smith is the enterprise content management director of Marathon Oil Corporation in Houston, Texas. Previously he worked for Medstory, Schlumberger, and as an independent consultant. He is an AAAI fellow and received his Ph.D. in electrical engineering from Stanford University.

Jon Glick is the AI Topics webmaster. He received a J.D. and an M.L.I.S. from the University of Pittsburgh.