

Open access • Posted Content • DOI:10.1101/360909

The age-dependent relationship between resting heart rate variability and functional brain connectivity — Source link [2]

Deniz Kumral, Deniz Kumral, Herma Lina Schaare, Frauke Beyer ...+19 more authors

Institutions: Humboldt University of Berlin, Max Planck Society, Leipzig University, Dresden University of Technology

Published on: 03 Jul 2018 - bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)

Related papers:

- The age-dependent relationship between resting heart rate variability and functional brain connectivity
- · Local brain connectivity and associations with gender and age.
- Overweight is associated with lower resting state functional connectivity in females after eliminating genetic effects: A twin study.
- Combined structural and resting-state functional MRI analysis of sexual dimorphism in the young adult human brain: an MVPA approach.
- The organization of intrinsic brain activity differs between genders: a resting-state fMRI study in a large cohort of young healthy subjects.



| 1 | The age-dependent relationship between resting heart rate variability |
|----|--|
| 2 | and functional brain connectivity |
| 3 | |
| 4 | D. Kumral ^{1,2} , H.L. Schaare ^{1,3} , F. Beyer ^{1,4} , J. Reinelt ¹ , M. Uhlig ^{1,3} , |
| 5 | F. Liem ¹ , L. Lampe ¹ , A. Babayan ¹ , A. Reiter ^{5,1} , M. Erbey ² , J. Roebbig ¹ , M. Loeffler ⁶ , |
| 6 | M.L. Schroeter ^{1,6,7} , D. Husser ⁸ , A.V. Witte ¹ , A. Villringer ^{1,2,4,6,9} , M. Gaebler ^{1,2,6} |
| 7 | |
| 8 | ¹ Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, |
| 9 | Leipzig, Germany |
| 10 | ² MindBrainBody Institute at the Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Humboldt-Universitaet |
| 11 | zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany |
| 12 | ³ International Max Planck Research School NeuroCom, Leipzig, Germany |
| 13 | ⁴ Subproject A1, Collaborative Research Centre 1052 "Obesity Mechanisms", University of |
| 14 | Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany |
| 15 | ⁵ Lifespan Developmental Neuroscience, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany |
| 16 | ⁶ LIFE – Leipzig Research Center for Civilization Diseases, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, |
| 17 | Germany |
| 18 | ⁷ Department of Cognitive Neurology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany |
| 19 | ⁸ Department of Electrophysiology, Leipzig Heart Centre, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, |
| 20 | Germany |
| 21 | ⁹ Center for Stroke Research Berlin, Charité – Universitaetsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany |

| 2 | 2 |
|---|---|
| 7 | 7 |

Abstract

23 Resting heart rate variability (HRV), an index of parasympathetic cardioregulation and 24 an individual trait marker related to mental and physical health, decreases with age. Previous 25 studies have associated resting HRV with structural and functional properties of the brain mainly in cortical midline and limbic structures. We hypothesized that HRV may alter its 26 27 relationship with brain structure and function across the adult lifespan. In 388 healthy subjects 28 of three age groups (140 younger: 26.0±4.2 years, 119 middle-aged: 46.3±6.2 years, 129 29 older: 66.9±4.7 years), gray matter structure (voxel-based morphometry) and resting-state 30 functional connectivity (eigenvector centrality mapping and exploratory seed-based functional 31 connectivity) were related to resting HRV, measured as the root mean square of successive 32 differences (RMSSD). Confirming previous findings, resting HRV decreased with age. For 33 HRV-related gray matter volume, there were no statistically significant differences between 34 the age groups, nor similarities across all age groups. In whole-brain functional connectivity 35 analyses, we found an age-dependent association between resting HRV and eigenvector 36 centrality in the bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), driven by the younger 37 adults. Across all age groups, HRV was positively correlated with network centrality in bilateral posterior cingulate cortex. Seed-based functional connectivity analysis using the 38 39 vmPFC cluster revealed an HRV-related cortico-cerebellar network in younger but not in 40 middle-aged or older adults. Our results indicate that the decrease of HRV with age is 41 accompanied by changes in functional connectivity along the cortical midline. This extends 42 our knowledge of brain-body interactions and their changes over the lifespan. 43 44 **Keywords:** heart rate variability, aging, eigenvector centrality mapping, brain structure,

45 voxel-based morphometry, default mode network

| 46 | 1 Introduction |
|----|---|
| 10 | Dehavioral and physiclogical changes that accur with advancing age become manifest in |
| 4/ | Benavioral and physiological changes that occur with advancing age become manifest in |
| 48 | the structure and function of multiple macro- and micro-systems of the human organism |
| 49 | (Arking, 2006). Important alterations occur in the cardiovascular and the nervous systems, |
| 50 | which are coupled to react dynamically to environmental demands (McEwen, 2003). Such |
| 51 | adaptations to internal and external challenges, while leaving an imprint on body and brain, |
| 52 | underlie healthy aging (Lipsitz and Goldberger, 1992; Swank, 1996). They are also reflected |
| 53 | in brain-heart interactions – particularly in parasympathetic cardioregulation – that can be |
| 54 | measured as resting heart rate variability (HRV) |
| 55 | HRV quantifies variations in the cardiac beat-to-beat (or RR) interval that can be |
| 56 | measured with an electrocardiogram (ECG). Phasic modulation of the heart rate arises from |
| 57 | the influences of both branches of the autonomic nervous system (ANS), the parasympathetic |
| 58 | (PNS) and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) – with the PNS quickly lowering the heart |
| 59 | rate and the SNS slowly increasing it. Because the PNS has very short response latencies, |
| 60 | HRV – and some HRV measures more than others – represents parasympathetic (i.e., vagal) |
| 61 | influences on the heart (Thayer and Lane, 2007). HRV, typically acquired at rest, is known to |
| 62 | decrease with age (De Meersman and Stein, 2007; Umetani et al., 1998). Preservation of |
| 63 | autonomic function, as indexed by relatively increased HRV, has been shown a prerequisite |
| 64 | for longevity and healthy aging (Zulfiqar et al., 2010). Higher HRV has also been associated |
| 65 | with higher health (Kemp and Quintana, 2013) – for example, with less cardiovascular |
| 66 | diseases (Liao et al., 1997; Thayer et al., 2010) - and reduced overall mortality (Buccelletti et |
| 67 | al., 2009). In older adults, HRV can indicate inter-individual differences in cognitive |
| 68 | performance (Mahinrad et al., 2016; Zeki Al Hazzouri et al., 2014). Hence, resting HRV |
| 69 | could be regarded as a biomarker of healthy aging. |

70 The neurovisceral integration model considers the role of the brain in parasympathetic 71 cardioregulation and provides a framework to explain individual differences in resting vagal function (Kemp et al., 2017; Thayer et al., 2012). According to this model, frontal and 72 73 midbrain areas interact and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) inhibits subcortical regions as well as 74 the ANS. Thereby, the heart is under tonic inhibitory control by the ANS. Assuming this close 75 interaction of the brain and the ANS in heart rate regulation, it has been suggested that inter-76 individual differences in HRV may reflect structural and functional variability in the brain 77 (Thayer et al., 2012). Indeed, inter-individual differences in resting HRV have been 78 associated with cortical thickness in right anterior midcingulate cortex (aMCC) (Winkelmann 79 et al., 2017) as well as in rostral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and left lateral orbitofrontal 80 cortex (OFC) (Yoo et al., 2017). A recent study in individuals between 20 and 60 years found 81 a negative correlation between resting HRV and gray matter volume in limbic structures like 82 insula, amygdala, and parahippocampal gyrus (Wei et al., 2018). Similar brain regions have 83 also been related to HRV in functional neuroimaging studies (Holzman and Bridgett, 2017; 84 Mather and Thayer, 2018; Thayer et al., 2012); both task-based (e.g., BOLD: Critchley et al., 85 2000; regional cerebral blood flow; rCBF: Gianaros et al., 2004; meta-analyses: Beissner et 86 al., 2013; Thayer et al., 2012) and under resting state conditions (Chang et al., 2013; Jennings 87 et al., 2016; Sakaki et al., 2016). In these studies, activation and connectivity in the medial 88 prefrontal cortex (mPFC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and posterior cingulate cortex 89 (PCC) have most consistently been associated with HRV. These brain areas involved in 90 parasympathetic cardioregulation overlap with the default mode network (DMN) and 91 particularly its nodes along the cortical midline (Beissner et al., 2013). However, the only 92 fMRI study that investigated heart-brain interactions across the adult lifespan included 17 93 younger and 18 older subjects and restricted their analyses to a priori defined regions-ofinterest (Sakaki et al., 2016). Across all subjects, higher HRV was related to stronger 94

95 functional connectivity between right amygdala and medial prefrontal regions, while age
96 group differences were found in HRV-related connectivity between right amygdala and lateral
97 prefrontal regions.

98 We here investigated brain-heart interactions across the adult lifespan by combining 99 measures of brain structure and function with the assessment of resting HRV. The main aims 100 of this study were to examine (i) the relationship between resting HRV, brain structure, and 101 functional connectivity as well as (ii) its dependence on age in a large sample of healthy 102 adults across the lifespan. Based on previous findings (reviewed above), we hypothesized that 103 inter-individual differences in the brain correlate with inter-individual differences in resting 104 HRV and that this correlation changes with age. To detect HRV-related structural alterations, 105 we used voxel-based morphometry (VBM) (Ashburner and Friston, 2000). To assess HRV-106 related changes in the functional architecture across the whole brain, we used the graph-based 107 method of eigenvector centrality mapping (ECM). ECM can identify important network nodes 108 (in this case: voxels) based on their functional connectivity (similar to Google's page rank 109 algorithm) and without the need of an *a priori* selection of a specific seed region or the 110 number of networks / components (Lohmann et al., 2010; Wink et al., 2012). To further 111 explore ECM-derived whole-brain connectivity patterns, we also implemented a resting-state 112 seed-based connectivity analysis (for more details see *Methods*).

113

115

2. Methods

Data from two studies were used: (I) the Leipzig Research Centre for Civilization

114 2.1.Participants

116 Diseases (LIFE; Loeffler et al., 2015) and (II) the "Leipzig Study for Mind-Body-Emotion

117 Interactions" (LEMON; Babayan et al., under review).

118 LIFE is a large population-based cohort study from Leipzig, Germany (Loeffler et al., 119 2015). From the sample of LIFE subjects with MRI data (n = 2,667), we selected healthy 120 subjects between the ages of 20 and 80 years. We applied strict exclusion criteria in three 121 categories: I) health-related criteria; participants were excluded if they reported any 122 medication intake except vitamin food supplements, any past or present cardiovascular health 123 problems and diagnoses, or surgeries, any other medical history and/or diagnosis, in a medical 124 interview. II) ECG-related criteria (see details on ECG acquisition below); if a subject had 125 more than one ECG recording, we used the first acquired ECG file that was collected on the 126 same day as the MRI acquisition. Otherwise, we selected the ECG recording that was 127 temporally closest to MRI acquisition. Regarding data quality, we excluded data with 128 unrepairable signal artifacts or problems regarding R-peak detection. We also omitted data 129 with any abnormal ECG signal (e.g., supraventricular extrasystoles) after visual inspection as 130 well as subjects with extreme HRV values based on Tukey's (1977) criterion of 3 interquartile 131 ranges (IQR) above the LIFE sample median (N=14, Median: 30.13, IQR: 29.76). III) MRI-132 related criteria; we excluded subjects with incidental findings (e.g., brain tumor, multiple 133 sclerosis, or stroke) on T1-weighted and/or fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) 134 images. We further excluded subjects based on rs-fMRI quality assessment, for example with 135 faulty preprocessing (e.g., during denoising) or excessive head motion (criterion: mean 136 framewise displacement (FD) > 0.6 mm; Power et al., 2012).

| 137 | LEMON is a cross-sectional sample of healthy younger and older subjects from |
|-----|---|
| 138 | Leipzig, Germany, who had never participated in another "psychological or MRI research"- |
| 139 | related study, did not report any neurological disorders, head injury, any medication affecting |
| 140 | the cardiovascular and/or central nervous system, alcohol or other substance abuse, |
| 141 | hypertension, pregnancy, claustrophobia, chemotherapy and malignant diseases, current |
| 142 | and/or previous psychiatric disease (Babayan et al., under review). The LEMON sample |
| 143 | comprised 171 eligible subjects divided into two age groups (young: 20-35 years, old: 59-75 |
| 144 | years). Similar to the exclusion criteria mentioned above, subjects with incomplete data (N = |
| 145 | 38), incidental findings in MRI (FLAIR, T2-weighted, T1-weighted, SWI) (N=7), or |
| 146 | psychoactive drug intake (e.g., tetrahydrocannabinol) determined by urine test ($N = 9$) were |
| 147 | excluded. Two subjects were discarded due to the HRV outlier criterion mentioned above |
| 148 | (LEMON sample Median: 40.62, IQR: 39.82) and five subjects due to excessive head motion |
| 149 | (mean FD $>$ 0.6 mm; Power et al., 2012). To increase the statistical power and the |
| 150 | comparability, we pooled the two samples and divided them into three age groups: young (20- |
| 151 | 35 years from LIFE and LEMON), middle-aged (35-60 years from LIFE), and old (60-80 |
| 152 | years from LIFE and LEMON). Details are provided in Table 1. |
| 153 | Both studies were in agreement with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the ethics |
| 154 | committee of the medical faculty at the University of Leipzig, Germany. |
| 155 | 2.2.ECG collection and HRV analysis |
| 156 | LIFE sample. Ten seconds of a standard medical 12-lead resting ECG were acquired |
| 157 | using a Page-Writer TC50 ECG system (Philips Medical Systems, Amsterdam, Netherlands) |
| 158 | in supine position. We used lead I (from Einthoven's triangle) for the analysis. R-peaks were |
| 159 | automatically detected using the findpeaks function in Matlab 9 (The MathWorks, Inc., |
| 160 | Natick, Massachusetts) or Kubios 2.2 (Tarvainen et al., 2014). The ECG data for each subject |
| 161 | was manually checked for physiological or computational artifacts like supraventricular |

162 extrasystoles or faulty peak detection, respectively. From RR interval time series (i.e., 163 tachograms), we calculated the root mean square of successive differences (RMSSD) of 164 adjacent RR intervals (Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North 165 American Society of Pacing Electrophysiology, 1996). 166 *LEMON sample.* Four minutes of resting ECG were acquired using a Biopac MP35 167 amplifier with the acquisition software AcqKnowledge version 4.0 (Biopac Systems 168 Inc., http://www.biopac.com, Goleta, CA, USA) and three disposable electrodes on the 169 thorax: the reference electrode was attached near the right collarbone, the measuring electrode 170 on the left-hand side of the body on the same level as the 10th rib, and the ground electrode on 171 the right hip bone. The subjects were instructed to think about daily routines, relax, and 172 breathe at a comfortable rate in sitting position. The peak detection and RMSSD calculation 173 were performed using Kubios 2.2 (Tarvainen et al., 2014). 174 RMSSD values of our sample were natural log-transformed to obtain normally 175 distributed data (Shapiro-Wilk tests; W = 0.99, p = 0.12). In the rest of the paper, log-176 transformed RMSSD will be referred to as "HRV". 177 2.3.MRI acquisition Brain imaging for both datasets was performed on the same 3T Siemens Magnetom 178 179 Verio MR scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with a standard 32-180 channel head coil. In both samples, subjects were instructed to keep their eyes open and not to 181 fall asleep during the acquisition period. 182 LIFE sample. The structural T1-weighted images were acquired using a generalized 183 auto-calibrating partially parallel acquisition technique (Griswold et al., 2002) and the 184 Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative standard protocol with the following 185 parameters: inversion time (TI) = 900 ms, repetition time (TR) = 2.3 ms, echo time (TE) = 186 2.98 ms, flip angle (FA) = 9°, band width = 240 Hz/pixel, field of view (FOV) = 256 x 240 x

187 176 mm³, voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$, no interpolation. T2*-weighted functional images were 188 acquired using an echo-planar-imaging (EPI) sequence with the following parameters: TR =189 2000 ms, TE= 30 ms, FA = 90°, FOV = $192 \times 192 \times 144 \text{ mm}^3$, voxel size = 3 mm x 3 mm, 190 slice thickness = 4 mm, slice gap = 0.8 mm, 300 volumes, duration = 10.04 min. A gradient 191 echo field map with the sample geometry was used for distortion correction (TR = 488 ms, 192 TE 1 = 5.19 ms, TE 2 = 7.65 ms). 193 LEMON sample. The structural image was recorded using an MP2RAGE sequence 194 (Margues et al., 2010) with the following parameters: TI = 700 ms, TI = 2500 ms, TR = 100 ms195 5000 ms, TE = 2.92 ms, FA 1 = 4°, FA 2 = 5°, band width = 240 Hz/pixel, FOV = 256×240 196 \times 176 mm³, voxel size = 1 x 1 x 1 mm³. The functional images were acquired using a T2*-197 weighted multiband EPI sequence with the following parameters: TR = 1400 ms, TE = 30 ms, 198 $FA=69^{\circ}$, FOV = 202 mm, voxel size = 2.3 x 2.3 x 2.3 mm³, slice thickness = 2.3 mm, slice 199 gap = 0.67 mm, 657 volumes, multiband acceleration factor = 4, duration = 15.30 min. A 200 gradient echo field map with the sample geometry was used for distortion correction (TR =680 ms, TE 1 = 5.19 ms, TE 2 = 7.65 ms). 201 202 2.4.MR data preprocessing and analysis 203 Structural MRI. We analyzed structural brain alterations on the T1-weighted 3D image 204 using VBM (Ashburner and Friston, 2000) as implemented in SPM12 (Wellcome Trust 205 Centre for Neuroimaging, UCL, London, UK) and the Computational Anatomy Toolbox 206 (CAT12: http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/cat/), running on Matlab 9.3 (Mathworks, Natick, MA, 207 USA). In the LEMON sample before the preprocessing, we removed the background noise 208 from MP2RAGE on the computed uniform images via masking (Streitbürger et al., 2014). 209 The preprocessing steps consisted of segmentation, bias-correction, and normalization using 210 high-dimension Diffeomorphic Anatomical Registration Through Exponentiated Lie Algebra

211 (DARTEL; Ashburner, 2007) with the template from 550 healthy controls of all ages in the

212 IXI Dataset (http://www.brain-development.org) in MNI space. We then applied a 12-

213 parameter affine registration and nonlinear transformation to correct for image size and

214 position. The voxel size was resampled to $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ mm and smoothed using a 8-mm

215 Gaussian kernel. For each subject, whole-brain gray matter volume (GMV) was calculated.

216 An absolute threshold mask of 0.05 was specified in the analyses to cover the whole brain.

217 For quality assessment, we visually inspected the segmentation quality and image

218 homogeneity with the CAT12 toolbox. One participant from the middle-aged group was

219 excluded because of MRI inhomogeneities.

220 Functional MRI. Preprocessing was implemented in Nipype (Gorgolewski et al.,

221 2011), incorporating tools from FreeSurfer (Fischl, 2012), FSL (Jenkinson et al., 2012), AFNI

222 (Cox, 1996), ANTs (Avants et al., 2011), CBS Tools (Bazin et al., 2014), and Nitime (Rokem

et al., 2009). The pipeline comprised the following steps: (I) discarding the first five EPI

volumes to allow for signal equilibration and steady state, (II) 3D motion correction (FSL

225 mcflirt), (III) distortion correction (FSL fugue), (IV) rigid body co-registration of functional

scans to the individual T1-weighted image (Freesurfer bbregister), (V) denoising including

removal of 24 motion parameters (CPAC, Friston et al., 1996), motion, signal intensity spikes

228 (Nipype rapidart), physiological noise in white matter and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)

229 (CompCor; Behzadi et al., 2007), together with linear and quadratic signal trends, (VI) band-

230 pass filtering between 0.01-0.1 Hz (Nilearn), (VII) spatial normalization to MNI152 standard

space (3 mm isotropic) via transformation parameters derived during structural preprocessing

232 (ANTS). (VIII) The data were then spatially smoothed with a 6-mm FWHM Gaussian kernel.

233 The reproducible workflows containing all implementation details for our datasets can

be found here: LIFE; <u>https://github.com/fliem/LIFE_RS_preprocessing</u>, LEMON;

235 <u>https://github.com/NeuroanatomyAndConnectivity/pipelines/releases/tag/v2.0</u>

| 236 | Eigenvector Centrality Mapping (ECM). In ECM, each voxel in the brain receives a |
|-----|--|
| 237 | centrality value that is larger if the voxel is strongly correlated with many other voxels that |
| 238 | are themselves central (Lohmann et al., 2010). ECM is computationally efficient, enables |
| 239 | connectivity analysis at the voxel level, and does not require initial thresholding of |
| 240 | connections (Lohmann et al., 2010). Here, the fast ECM implementation was used (Wink et |
| 241 | al., 2012). We restricted our ECM analysis to GM, which we extracted with a mask from the |
| 242 | tissue priors in SPM12 by selecting voxels with a GM tissue probability of 20% or higher. |
| 243 | The resulting mask contained ~63,000 voxels covering the entire brain. |
| 244 | Exploratory Seed-based Functional Connectivity Analysis (SBCA). To further explore |
| 245 | the connectivity patterns of significant centrality changes across the whole brain, ECM was |
| 246 | complemented by SBCA. Regions detected in ECM can be used as seeds in a subsequent |
| 247 | SBCA to investigate intrinsic functional connectivity patterns (Taubert et al., 2011). A |
| 248 | bilateral vmPFC seed was created by binarizing the significant ECM findings (MNI |
| 249 | coordinates: [x=0, y=57, z=-6], cluster size k=62). Time series were extracted and averaged |
| 250 | across all voxels of the seed. For each subject, a correlation between the time series of the |
| 251 | seed and every other voxel in the brain was calculated using 3dfim+ (AFNI). The resulting |
| 252 | correlation maps were Fisher r-to-z transformed using 3dcalc (AFNI). |
| 253 | Statistical analyses. Statistical analyses were carried out using the general linear model |
| 254 | (GLM) approach implemented in SPM12. We performed one-way ANOVA with three age |
| 255 | groups (young, middle, and old) as between-subjects factor together with HRV as the variable |
| 256 | of interest and age, sex, study, and either total intracranial volume (TIV, for VBM analysis) or |
| 257 | in-scanner head motion (mean FD; Power et al., 2012 for ECM and SBCA) as covariates of |
| 258 | no interest. |
| 259 | We first calculated the interaction effect between HRV and age group. Based on the |

260 significant results of the ANOVA, we computed pairwise group differences using

261 independent t-tests. Using one-sample t-tests, we further tested the main effect of HRV across

- all subjects, as well as for each age group separately. For each statistical analysis, a positive
- and a negative contrast were computed. Only results surviving whole-brain family-wise error
- 264 (FWE) correction at p < 0.05 (cluster-level) with a voxel-level threshold of p < 0.001 were
- 265 considered significant. All (unthresholded) statistical maps are available at NeuroVault
- 266 (Gorgolewski et al., 2015) for detailed inspection in 3D
- 267 (http://neurovault.org/collections/TELEUIIY).
- 268 2.5.Cognitive measurement and potential confounding factors for HRV
- 269 Sex. As HRV has been reported to differ between sexes (Koenig and Thayer, 2016;
- 270 Voss et al., 2015), we analyzed sex differences in HRV per age group in a 2 (sex) × 3 (age
- group) ANOVA.

Smoking. Since smoking has a short- and long-term impact on HRV (Felber Dietrich
et al., 2007; Hayano et al., 1990), we examined potential effects of smoking status on HRV.
To this end, we classified subjects into three groups (smokers: N= 75, former smokers: N=84,
and non-smokers: N=220, [no info available: NA=9]). We used a 2 (sex) x 3 (smoking)
ANOVA to test the mean differences between the groups using sex as additional between-

277 subjects factor.

278 *Cognition.* The Trail Making Test (TMT) is a cognitive test measuring executive 279 function, including processing speed and mental flexibility. By drawing lines, subjects 280 sequentially connect numbers and/or letters while their reaction times are recorded (Reitan, 281 1955; Reitan and Wolfson, 1995). In the first part of the test (TMT-A) the targets are all 282 numbers (1, 2, 3, etc.), while in the second part (TMT-B), participants need to alternate 283 between numbers and letters (1, A, 2, B, etc.). In both TMT A and B, the time to complete the 284 task quantifies the performance and lower scores indicate better performance. 285 Blood Pressure. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were

measured in a seated position using an automatic oscillometric blood pressure monitor (LIFE
sample; OMRON 705IT, LEMON sample; OMRON M500) after a resting period of 5 min.
While in the LIFE sample three consecutive blood pressure measurements were taken from
the right arm in intervals of 3 minutes, in the LEMON sample measurements were taken from
participants' left arms on three separate occasions within two weeks. In each sample, all
available measurements per participant were averaged to one systolic and one diastolic blood
pressure value.

293 Anthropometric measurements. Subjects' heights and weights were taken according to a 294 standardized protocol by trained study staff. Body mass index (BMI; in kg/m²) was calculated 295 by dividing the body weight by the square of the body height, while waist to hip ratio (WHR) 296 was calculated as waist circumference measurement divided by hip circumference 297 measurement (Huxley et al., 2010). As a control, all analyses on the association between HRV 298 and the brain across the age groups were repeated with blood pressure (BP) and body mass 299 index (BMI) as additional covariates of no interest. 300 For cognition, blood pressure, and anthropometric measurements, we assessed age-group 301 differences statistically using one-way ANOVAs, and then tested their association with HRV 302 using Spearman correlations for each age group. To determine statistical significance, we 303 used a two-sided α -level of 0.05. Statistical analyses were conducted using R version 3.3.2 (R 304 Core Team 2016).

| 2 | Λ | 5 |
|---|---|---------------|
| J | υ | \mathcal{I} |

3. Results

- 306 Details about the demographic, anthropometric, cardiovascular, and cognitive
- 307 characteristics of the 388 participants can be found in Table 1. The age groups differed
- 308 significantly in all variables (Table 1).
- 309 **Table 1.** Participant characteristics for each age group. For continuous variables, data is
- 310 provided in means and standard deviations (in parenthesis). One-way ANOVAs were used to
- 311 detect age group differences.

| | Young (20-35 years) | Middle (35-60 years) | Old (60-80 years) | df | F-value | Eta- squared |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------|-------------------|
| | (N=140) | (N=119) | (N=129) | | | (η ²) |
| Age | 26.01 (4.17) | 46.39 (6.25) | 66.88 (4.68) | | | |
| Sex | 38 M /102 F | 36 M / 83 F | 50 M / 79 F | | 4.38‡ | |
| Resting HRV (RMSSD in ms) | 53 (27.11) | 32.77 (21.01) | 27.27 (22.99) | 385 | 43.01*** | 0.182 |
| Mean HR (1/min) | 64.38 (9.62) | 62.93 (10.01) | 66.24 (10.44) | 385 | 3.411* | 0.017 |
| RR interval (ms) | 952.56 (137.06) | 977.87 (149.75) | 928.32 (148.53) | 385 | 3.62* | 0.018 |
| mean FD (mm) | 0.18 (0.05) | 0.28 (0.10) | 0.31 (0.11) | 385 | 82.61*** | 0.300 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 23.58 (3.03) | 26.51 (3.62) | 26.54 (3.57) | 382 | 33.34*** | 0.148 |
| WHR | 0.86 (0.07) | 0.92 (0.08) | 0.95 (0.08) | 381 | 47.64*** | 0.200 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 122.08 (11.42) | 126.55 (13.74) | 138.76 (18.2) | 381 | 45.45*** | 0.192 |
| DBP (mmHg) | 71.23 (7.33) | 78.21 (9.11) | 80.00 (10.44) | 381 | 35.47*** | 0.156 |
| TMT A (s) | 24.95 (7.79) | 30.33 (12.73) | 40.01 (13.54) | 384 | 58.48*** | 0.233 |
| TMT B (s) | 57.72 (17.89) | 71.40 (39.04) | 95.15 (45.09) | 382 | 45.73*** | 0.193 |

312 **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001, 2-tailed

313 **‡** Kruskal-Wallis-Test

Note. HRV = heart rate variability; RMSSD = root mean square of successive differences; HR
= heart rate, FD = framewise displacement; BMI = body mass index; WHR = waist to hip
ratio; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; TMT = trail making
test.

318

319 There was a significant main effect of age group on HRV (F(2,382) = 63.552, p =2x10⁻ ¹⁶, $\eta^2 = 0.182$), sex did not show a significant main effect on HRV (F(1,382) = 0.187, p = 320 321 0.666), and there was no significant age group \times sex interaction on HRV (F(2,382) = 0.233, p 322 = 0.792). HRVs of smokers, former smokers, and non-smokers did not differ significantly 323 from each other (main effect smoking group: F(2,373) = 1.241, p = 0.290, main effect of sex: 324 F(1,373) = 0.473, p = 0.492; smoking group × sex interaction: F(2,373) = 0.606, p = 0.546). 325 HRV was negatively correlated with age (rho = -0.21, p = 0.010), BMI (rho = -0.207, p 326 = 0.020), and DBP (rho = -0.231, p = 0.012) within the middle-aged individuals. No 327 significant associations were found between HRV and mean FD, SBP, WHR, TMT A, and 328 TMT B in any of the age groups (Supplementary Table 1). 329 *Voxel-based Morphometry (VBM)*. There was no significant association between HRV 330 and GMV across all subjects. Also, an ANOVA did not yield a significant age group x HRV 331 interaction on GMV. While an exploratory one-sample t-test in the middle-aged group 332 indicated a significant HRV-related increase of GMV in left cerebellum (MNI coordinates: [-333 15, -87, -51], k = 1540, T = 3.92, pFWE = 0.004), there were no significant effects of HRV on 334 GMV for younger and older adults. Control analyses that included BP and BMI as covariates 335 of no interest did not change the results.

336 *Eigenvector Centrality Mapping (ECM)*. A significant effect of age group on the 337 relation between resting HRV and EC was detected in the bilateral vmPFC (MNI coordinates: 338 [0, 57, -6], k = 62, F = 10.79). The beta values from bilateral vmPFC for each age group are 339 plotted in Figure 1A, suggesting that younger adults show a stronger association between 340 HRV and EC in bilateral vmPFC than middle-aged and older individuals (Table 2). This was 341 supported by post-hoc two-sample t-tests, which indicated that the correlation between HRV 342 and EC in bilateral vmPFC was significantly stronger for the contrasts of young > old and 343 young > middle-age (Table 2). A one-sample t-test across all subjects showed increased EC 344 with higher HRV in bilateral PCC (Figure 1B). The negative contrast did not yield any 345 significant results. In separate one-sample t-tests for each age group, we found HRV-346 dependent EC increases in right vmPFC, bilateral PCC, and superior frontal gyrus (SFG), as 347 well as HRV-dependent EC decreases in left superior occipital gyrus (SOG) including cuneus 348 and calcarine sulcus in the group of young subjects. Our data did not show any significant 349 positive or negative correlation with HRV in the groups of middle-aged and old subjects that 350 were correctable for multiple comparisons. The complete ECM results are presented in Table 351 2. Control analyses that included BP and BMI as covariates of no interest did not change the 352 results.

353 Fig. 1. Association between resting heart rate variability (HRV), measured as root mean square 354 of successive differences (RMSSD), and eigenvector centrality (EC). A) The interaction 355 between age group and HRV was significant in the bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex 356 (vmPFC; MNI coordinates: [0, 57, -6], k = 62, F = 10.79, pFWE = 0.006), displayed at x = -3. 357 B) An increased EC in the bilateral posterior cingulate cortex (PCC; MNI coordinates [6, -54, 358 36], k = 204, T = 5.29, pFWE < 0.001) across all age groups, displayed at x = 6. Results are 359 shown at a voxel threshold of p < 0.001 with family-wise error (FWE) correction with p < 0.05360 at the cluster level.



- 362 **Table 2.** Brain regions that show significant increases or decreases in eigenvector centrality
- 363 with heart rate variability (HRV). Thresholds: p < 0.001 at the voxel and p < 0.05 with
- 364 family-wise error (FWE) correction at the cluster level.

| | Regions | Н | cluster | | MNI | | FWE | Z | F/T- |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|--------|-----|---------|------|-------|
| | | | size k (Voxel) | co | ordina | tes | | | value |
| | | | (,) | х | у | Z | | | |
| ANOVA | Ventromedial | R/L | 62 | 0 | 57 | -6 | 0.006 | 4.03 | 10.79 |
| | prefrontal | | | -3 | 48 | -6 | | 3.76 | 9.63 |
| | cortex | | | 0 | 60 | 3 | | 3.49 | 8.53 |
| Across age | Posterior | R/L | 204 | 6 | -54 | 36 | < 0.001 | 5.29 | 5.39 |
| groups (+) | cingulate | | | | | | | | |
| | cortex | | | -9 | -57 | 33 | | 4.04 | 4.09 |
| | /precuneus | | | -12 | -51 | 45 | | 3.35 | 3.38 |
| Young (+) | Ventromedial | R | 316 | 0 | 57 | -6 | < 0.001 | 5.07 | 5.16 |
| | prefrontal | | | 6 | 51 | 9 | | 4.89 | 4.98 |
| | contex | | | 15 | 60 | 15 | | 4.22 | 4.16 |
| | Posterior | R/L | 167 | 6 | -57 | 27 | < 0.001 | 4.46 | 4.52 |
| | cingulate | | | -9 | -54 | 18 | | 3.72 | 3.75 |
| | /precuneus | | | -9 | -60 | 27 | | 3.70 | 3.74 |
| | Superior frontal gyrus | R/L | 240 | 15 | 33 | 48 | 0.002 | 4.50 | 4.56 |
| | 0. | | | 15 | 48 | 39 | | 4.32 | 4.37 |
| | | | | -6 | 36 | 48 | | 4.24 | 4.29 |
| Young (-) | Superior | L | 129 | -6 | -96 | 3 | < 0.001 | 4.63 | 4.70 |
| | occipital gyrus | | | -15 | -99 | -3 | | 4.24 | 4.29 |
| | | | | 0 | -84 | -3 | | 3.71 | 3.76 |
| Middle (+) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Middle (-) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Old (+) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Old (-) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Young > Old | Ventromedial | R | 131 | 0 | 57 | -6 | < 0.001 | 4.45 | 4.51 |
| | prefrontal | | | 0 | 60 | 3 | | 4.01 | 4.05 |
| | cortex | | | -3 | 45 | -8 | | 3.62 | 3.65 |
| Young < Old | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Middle > Young | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Middle < Young | Ventromedial | R | 85 | 3 | 45 | -6 | 0.005 | 4.09 | 4.13 |
| | prefrontal | | | -12 | 48 | -9 | | 4.06 | 4.11 |
| | cortex | | | 6 | 45 | 15 | | 3.58 | 3.61 |
| Old > Middle | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Old < Middle | n.s | | | | | | | | |

365 *Note.* R= right, L = left, H = hemisphere, ANOVA = analysis of variance, MNI = Montreal
366 Neurological Institute, n.s = not significant

367

368 Exploratory Seed-based Functional Connectivity Analysis (SBCA). In the additional 369 exploratory seed-based functional connectivity analysis, a significant effect of age group on 370 the relation between resting HRV and whole-brain bilateral vmPFC connectivity was found in 371 bilateral cerebellum, right superior parietal lobe (SPL), left middle occipital gyrus (MOG) and 372 inferior occipital gyrus (IOG), and left SFG extended to supplementary motor area (SMA). 373 The beta values from the right cerebellum for each age group are plotted in Figure 2A, 374 suggesting that younger adults show stronger functional connectivity between bilateral 375 vmPFC and right cerebellum than middle-aged and older individuals (Table 3). The post-hoc 376 two-sample t-tests similarly indicated that higher HRV levels were significantly correlated 377 with stronger functional connectivity between bilateral vmPFC and bilateral cerebellum, right 378 SPL, left MOG, left post-central gyrus, and left SMA for the contrasts of young > old and 379 young > middle (Table 3). A one-sample t-test in the overall sample, to assess the association 380 between HRV and bilateral vmPFC connectivity, showed an increased functional connectivity 381 with left middle frontal gyrus (MFG) extending to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) 382 (Figure 2B). Separate one-sample t-tests for each age group showed no significant association 383 for the middle-aged and old subjects but an increased vmPFC connectivity in distributed brain 384 regions including bilateral cerebellum, bilateral MOG, and right SMA for the young subjects. 385 We did not observe any significant negative correlations neither in the overall sample nor in 386 each age group. Control analyses that included BP and BMI as covariates of no interest did 387 not change the results. The complete SBCA results are presented in Table 3.

388

389 Fig. 2. Association between resting heart rate variability (HRV), measured as root mean square 390 of successive differences (RMSSD), and brain function in an exploratory seed-based functional 391 connectivity analysis originating from bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC). A) 392 The interaction between age group and HRV was significant in the right cerebellum (MNI 393 coordinates [33, -42, -45], k = 46, F = 15.19, pFWE < 0.001), displayed at x = 33. B) An 394 increased functional connectivity in the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC; MNI 395 coordinates [-30, 54, 12], k = 67, T = 4.10, pFWE = 0.032) was found across all age groups, 396 displayed at z = 12. Results are shown at a voxel threshold of p < 0.001 with family-wise error 397 (FWE) correction with p < 0.05 at the cluster level.



398

- **Table 3.** Brain regions that show resting heart rate variability-related connectivity with the
- 400 bilateral ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) in an exploratory seed-based functional
- 401 connectivity analysis. Thresholds: p < 0.001 at the voxel and p < 0.05 with family-wise error
- 402 (FWE) correction at the cluster level.

| | Regions | Н | cluster size k (Voxels) | со | MNI ordina | ites | FWE | Z | F/T- value |
|------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----|---------------|------|---------|------|---------------|
| | | | | X | у | Z | | | |
| ANOVA | Cerebellum | R | 46 | 33 | -42 | -45 | 0.049 | 4.91 | 15.19 |
| | Superior parietal | R | 203 | 24 | -75 | 51 | < 0.001 | 4.48 | 12.94 |
| | lobe | | | 33 | -78 | 45 | | 4.34 | 12.25 |
| | | | | 36 | -75 | 30 | | 3.82 | 9.88 |
| | Middle occipital | L | 57 | -33 | -84 | 30 | 0.021 | 4.24 | 11.74 |
| | gyrus | | | -39 | -66 | 24 | | 3.31 | 7.84 |
| Li g | Inferior occipital | | 60 | -33 | -69 | -6 | 0.016 | 3.85 | 9.97 |
| | gyrus | | | -42 | -63 | -3 | | 3.76 | 9.61 |
| | | | | -51 | -69 | -15 | | 3.62 | 9.03 |
| | Cerebellum | L | 61 | -30 | -60 | -30 | 0.015 | 3.83 | 9.91 |
| | | | | -33 | -66 | -21 | | 3.59 | 8.91 |
| | | | | -39 | -72 | -18 | | 3.58 | 8.87 |
| | Superior frontal | L | 71 | 0 | 15 | 66 | 0.007 | 3.73 | 9.47 |
| | gyrus extended to supplementary | | | 0 | 3 | 57 | | 3.6 | 8.96 |
| | motor area | | | 9 | 12 | 57 | | 3.53 | 8.66 |
| Across age | Middle frontal gyrus | L | 67 | -30 | 54 | 12 | 0.032 | 4.06 | 4.10 |
| groups (+) | extended to dorsolateral | | | -36 | 54 | 3 | | 3.54 | 3.57 |
| | prefrontal cortex | | | -18 | 51 | 3 | | 3.39 | 3.42 |
| Young (+) | Cerebellum | R | 131 | 33 | -42 | -45 | 0.001 | 5.06 | 5.15 |
| | | | | 42 | -60 | -48 | | 4.68 | 4.75 |
| | | | | 36 | -63 | -39 | | 3.29 | 3.31 |

_

_

| RecebellumL116-12-57-540.0024.64.72-21-69-51-50-514.094.14Middle occipital gyrusR-63-6951-0.014.164.52R-13-69-51-12-0.014.164.52Middle occipital gyrusR-13-39-6112-0.014.134.37Middle occipital gyrusR-131-39-6012-0.014.134.13A-201-38-18-18-18-18-134.134.13Middle occipital gyrusR63-18-18-10-18-18-134.134.13A-21-30-6012-103.683.72-183.683.72A-21-31-18-18-13-18-134.134.18-134.18A-21-31-18-18-133.683.723.61-163.723.613.72A-21-20-51-103.633.61-163.723.613.613.72Supplementary motor areaR87-18-15-153.633.613.61Middle (-)n.5-15-15-16-1016-163.633.61Middle (-)n.5-15-15-15-153.633.61< | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|------|
| 4.1-21-69-544.24.25-15-48-514.094.14agrusR163-6951-0.014.464.52agrusR-13-39-62240.0014.314.37agrusL-13-39-6012-0.014.144.31agrusL-33-340123.043.09CrebellumR6318-75180.044.134.18Agrus-39-6012-0.054.134.18Agrus-38-38-38-39-39-30-31-31Agrus-3963-18-18-15-3643.07-31Agrus-4102-33-81-15-3643.073.14Agrus-4-30-60-30-5-53.643.16Agrus-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4Agrus-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4Agrus-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4Agrus-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4Agrus-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4 <td></td> <td>Cerebellum</td> <td>L</td> <td>116</td> <td>-12</td> <td>-57</td> <td>-54</td> <td>0.002</td> <td>4.6</td> <td>4.67</td> | | Cerebellum | L | 116 | -12 | -57 | -54 | 0.002 | 4.6 | 4.67 |
| Middle occipital gyrusR163-15-48-514.094.14R163-6951-0.014.154.2021-7851-0.014.314.37gyrus131-39-66240.0014.314.37gyrus1-39-68240.014.314.37gyrus1-39-6012-0.014.314.37GerebellumR63-78-840.014.134.1820-81-18-0.054.134.1820-81-18-18-183.643.6721-81-18-18-183.643.6722-81-18-18-183.643.6723-84-15-0.054.134.1824-90-15-0.054.134.1825-0.07-16-0.013.773.8126-90-15-0.053.683.7227-81-90-15-0.013.773.8129-90-15-90-15-903.693.6920-90-15-90-15-903.693.6920-90-15-90-15-903.693.6920-90-15-90-15-903.69-9020-90-15-90-15-90 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-21</td> <td>-69</td> <td>-54</td> <td></td> <td>4.2</td> <td>4.25</td> | | | | | -21 | -69 | -54 | | 4.2 | 4.25 |
| Middle occipital gyrus16339.7542<0.014.464.52R.0.6951.15.15.15.15Middle occipital gyrus131.78.66240.001.4.15.4.37Buddle occipital gyrus1.13.63.64.00.13.4.37Buddle occipital gyrus1.13.64.65.64.00.13.4.37Buddle occipital gyrus1.13.64.65.64.00.13.4.37Buddle occipital gyrus1.13.64.65.18.04.13.4.18Buddle occipital gyrus.1102.61.18.18.04.13.4.18Buddle occipital gyrus.1102.63.81.12.005.1.13.4.18Buddle occipital gyrus.1102.63.61.10.0.7.3.64.3.67Buddle occipital motor area.1102.3.61.10.3.7.3.61.3.63.3.67Supplementary motor area.1.10.12.10.1.5.1.5.1.5.1.5.1.5.3.63.3.67Middle (1).1.5.1. | | | | | -15 | -48 | -51 | | 4.09 | 4.14 |
| gyrusR3069514.154.20Middle occipital gyrus131-7864240.0014.314.37R-33-3830-0123.943.943.94R-33-6012-0.014.134.18CerebellumR6318-75180.044.134.18R-84-84-75-810.054.134.18CerebellumR102-33-81-210.0054.134.18CerebellumL102-33-81-210.0054.134.18A-21-30-81-210.0054.134.18A-21-30-81-210.0054.134.18A-21-30-60-20-503.743.693.62A-21-30-50-30-50-3.73.593.63CerebellumL102-33-81-210.0054.134.18Middle (-)n.s | | Middle occipital | | 163 | 39 | -75 | 42 | < 0.001 | 4.46 | 4.52 |
| Middle occipital gyrus131-7851.0014.314.3712-39-66240.0014.313.943.94-33-3430-39-39-393.943.94131-33-6012.0014.134.1814-39-6012.0014.134.1814-75-810.444.134.1815-81-84-15.0463.6718-84-15.0054.134.1819-30-60-30-005.1.0144.1310-81-90-15.0053.673.611010-9015.0013.773.811010-9015.0113.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-90-9015.0133.683.72101-901257.0133.683.72101-90-9015.013.0143.68101-9015.014.14.14101-9012.57< | | gyrus | R | | 30 | -69 | 51 | | 4.15 | 4.20 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 21 | -78 | 51 | | 3.63 | 3.67 |
| gyrus L -33 -84 30 3.94 3.98 Cerebellum R 63 -60 12 3.47 3.50 Cerebellum R 63 18 -75 -18 0.04 4.13 4.18 27 -81 -18 -15 3.64 3.67 Cerebellum L 102 -33 -81 -21 0.005 4.13 4.18 Cerebellum L 102 -33 -81 -21 0.005 4.13 4.18 A -21 -20 -30 -50 -50 3.71 3.74 -21 -90 -15 .59 3.62 3.72 3.59 3.62 Supplementary motor area R 87 3 6 54 0.01 3.77 3.81 Middle (-) n.s | | Middle occipital | | 131 | -39 | -66 | 24 | 0.001 | 4.31 | 4.37 |
| CerebellumR63-60123.473.5018-75-180.044.134.1827-81-18-183.683.7218-84-153.643.67CerebellumL102-33-81-210.0054.134.18-30-60-30-213.773.743.59Supplementary motor areaR8736540.013.773.8101569540.013.773.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.7291257503.683.63101(-1)n.550505050101(-1)n.550505050101(-1)n.550505050101(-1)n.550505050101(-1)1554515054101(-1)1673545 | | gyrus | L | | -33 | -84 | 30 | | 3.94 | 3.98 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | -39 | -60 | 12 | | 3.47 | 3.50 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Cerebellum | R | 63 | 18 | -75 | -18 | 0.04 | 4.13 | 4.18 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 27 | -81 | -18 | | 3.68 | 3.72 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 18 | -84 | -15 | | 3.64 | 3.67 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Cerebellum | L | 102 | -33 | -81 | -21 | 0.005 | 4.13 | 4.18 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | -30 | -60 | -30 | | 3.7 | 3.74 |
| Supplementary motor areaR8736540.013.773.81015693.683.72912573.593.63Young (-)n.sMiddle (+)n.sOld (+)n.sOld (-)n.sYoung > OldCerebellumR6733-42-450.0324.594.6615-48-51-3.633.6727-57-45-3.413.44Inferior occipital gyrusL237-33-69-6<0.001 | | | | | -21 | -90 | -15 | | 3.59 | 3.62 |
| motor area 0 15 69 3.68 3.72 9 12 57 3.59 3.63 Young (-) n.s | | Supplementary motor area | R | 87 | 3 | 6 | 54 | 0.01 | 3.77 | 3.81 |
| 912573.593.63Young (-)n.s -13 -12 | | | | | 0 | 15 | 69 | | 3.68 | 3.72 |
| Young (-) n.s Middle (+) n.s Middle (-) n.s Old (+) n.s Old (-) n.s Young > Old Cerebellum R 67 33 -42 -45 0.032 4.59 4.66 Inferior occipital gyrus L 237 -57 -45 3.63 3.67 -20 -27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Midel L 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 4.41 4.47 -29 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | | | | | 9 | 12 | 57 | | 3.59 | 3.63 |
| Middle (+)n.sMiddle (-)n.sOld (+)n.sOld (-)n.sYoung > OldCerebellumR 67 33 -42 -45 0.032 4.59 4.66 15 -48 -51 3.63 3.67 27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Inferior occipital gyrusL 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 4.41 4.47 -42 -63 -3 -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | Young (-) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Middle (-)n.sOld (+)n.sOld (-)n.sYoung > OldCerebellumRR6733-42-450.0324.594.6615-48-513.633.6727-57-453.413.44Inferior occipital gyrusL237-33-69-6<0.001 | Middle (+) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Old (+)n.sOld (-)n.sYoung > OldCerebellumR6733-42-450.0324.594.6615-48-513.633.6727-57-453.413.44Inferior occipital gyrusL237-33-69-6<0.001 | Middle (-) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Old (-)n.sYoung > OldCerebellumR67 33 -42 -45 0.032 4.59 4.66 15 -48 -51 3.63 3.67 27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Inferior occipital gyrusL 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 4.41 4.47 -42 -63 -3 4.33 4.38 -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | Old (+) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Young > OldCerebellumR 67 33 -42 -45 0.032 4.59 4.66 15 -48 -51 3.63 3.67 27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Inferior occipital gyrusL 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 4.41 4.47 -42 -63 -3 4.33 4.38 -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | Old (-) | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| 15 -48 -51 3.63 3.67 27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Inferior occipital gyrus L 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 | Young > Old | Cerebellum | R | 67 | 33 | -42 | -45 | 0.032 | 4.59 | 4.66 |
| 27 -57 -45 3.41 3.44 Inferior occipital gyrus L 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 | | | | | 15 | -48 | -51 | | 3.63 | 3.67 |
| Inferior occipital L 237 -33 -69 -6 <0.001 4.41 4.47 gyrus -42 -63 -3 4.33 4.38 -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | | | | | 27 | -57 | -45 | | 3.41 | 3.44 |
| gyrus -42 -63 -3 4.33 4.38 -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | | Inferior occipital | L | 237 | -33 | -69 | -6 | < 0.001 | 4.41 | 4.47 |
| -39 -72 -18 4.14 4.19 | | gyrus | | | -42 | -63 | -3 | | 4.33 | 4.38 |
| | | | | | -39 | -72 | -18 | | 4.14 | 4.19 |

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|------|
| 100e 36 -75 45 4.21 4.2 36 -75 27 3.95 4 Middle occipital gyrus L 140 -27 -84 27 0.001 4.30 4.3 -36 -66 24 3.89 3.9 -39 -60 12 3.62 3.62 3.6 Superior frontal gyrus extended to supplementary motor area L 147 -3 3 57 0.001 3.99 4.0 Postcentral gyrus R 72 42 -6 30 0.024 3.87 3.9 54 6 24 3.69 3.72 3.7 3 12 66 3.61 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.9 54 0 33 .353 3.53 3.5 3. | | Superior parietal | R | 275 | 24 | -78 | 51 | < 0.001 | 4.31 | 4.37 |
| Middle occipital gyrus L 140 -27 -84 27 0.001 4.30 4.3 -36 -66 24 3.89 3.9 -39 -60 12 3.62 < | | lobe | | | 36 | -75 | 45 | | 4.21 | 4.26 |
| Middle occipital gyrus L 140 -27 -84 27 0.001 4.30 4.3 -36 -66 24 3.89 3.9 -39 -60 12 3.62 3.62 3.62 Superior frontal supplementary motor area L 147 -3 3 57 0.001 3.99 4.0 12 6 63 3.72 3.72 3.72 3.71 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.62 3.62 3.61 3.62 3.63 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>36</td> <td>-75</td> <td>27</td> <td></td> <td>3.95</td> <td>4</td> | | | | | 36 | -75 | 27 | | 3.95 | 4 |
| gyrus-36-66243.893.9-39-60123.623.6Superior frontal gyrus extended to supplementary motor areaL147-33570.0013.994.0126633.723.723.723.723.723.723.723.6Postcentral gyrusR7242-6300.0243.873.9546243.693.77540333.533.53Superior frontal gyrus extended to supplementary motor areaR733-18600.0223.623.6Young < Old Youngn.sR733-18600.0223.623.6Middle > Youngn.sR18933-42-45<0.001 | | Middle occipital | L | 140 | -27 | -84 | 27 | 0.001 | 4.30 | 4.35 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | gyrus | | | -36 | -66 | 24 | | 3.89 | 3.93 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | -39 | -60 | 12 | | 3.62 | 3.65 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Superior frontal | L | 147 | -3 | 3 | 57 | 0.001 | 3.99 | 4.04 |
| motor area312663.613.62Postcentral gyrusR7242-6300.0243.873.9546243.693.7540333.533.53Superior frontal <gyrus extended="" to<br=""></gyrus> supplementary motor areaR733-18600.0223.623.6-3-36693.563.53-3-36693.563.5Young < Old | | gyrus extended to supplementary | | | 12 | 6 | 63 | | 3.72 | 3.75 |
| Postcentral gyrusR7242-6300.0243.873.9546243.693.7540333.533.53Superior frontal gyrus extended to supplementary motor areaR733-18600.0223.623.6-3-36693.563.53-3-36693.293.3Young < Old | | motor area | | | 3 | 12 | 66 | | 3.61 | 3.65 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Postcentral gyrus | R | 72 | 42 | -6 | 30 | 0.024 | 3.87 | 3.91 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 54 | 6 | 24 | | 3.69 | 3.73 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | 54 | 0 | 33 | | 3.53 | 3.56 |
| gyrus extended to supplementary motor area-3-36693.563.53-9693.293.3Young < Old | | Superior frontal gyrus extended to supplementary motor area | R | 73 | 3 | -18 | 60 | 0.022 | 3.62 | 3.66 |
| motor area3-969 3.29 3.3 Young < Old | | | | | -3 | -36 | 69 | | 3.56 | 3.59 |
| Young < Oldn.sMiddle > Youngn.sMiddle < YoungCerebellumR18933-42-45<0.0014.995.0Middle < YoungCerebellumR18933-42-45<0.0014.995.0Middle < YoungCerebellumR27027-514.344.44Middle YoungSuperior parietal lobeR27027-7251<0.0014.764.88Middle ACerebellumL239-12-57-54<0.0014.744.88-15-45-514.614.60 | | | | | 3 | -9 | 69 | | 3.29 | 3.31 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Young < Old | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Middle > Young | n.s | | | | | | | | |
| Young 42 -60 -48 4.60 4.6 18 -45 -51 4.34 4.4 Superior parietal lobeR 270 27 -72 51 <0.001 4.76 4.8 33 -78 45 4.51 4.51 4.5 36 -75 30 4.04 4.0 CerebellumL 239 -12 -57 -54 <0.001 4.74 4.8 -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.61 4.61 | Middle < | Cerebellum | R | 189 | 33 | -42 | -45 | < 0.001 | 4.99 | 5.08 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Young | | | | 42 | -60 | -48 | | 4.60 | 4.67 |
| Superior parietal lobeR27027 -72 51 <0.001 4.76 4.8 33 -78 45 4.51 4.51 4.5 36 -75 30 4.04 4.0 CerebellumL 239 -12 -57 -54 <0.001 4.74 4.8 -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.61 4.61 | | | | | 18 | -45 | -51 | | 4.34 | 4.40 |
| 100e 33 -78 45 4.51 4.5 36 -75 30 4.04 4.0 CerebellumL 239 -12 -57 -54 <0.001 4.74 4.8 -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.6 | | Superior parietal | R | 270 | 27 | -72 | 51 | < 0.001 | 4.76 | 4.83 |
| 36 -75 30 4.04 4.0 CerebellumL 239 -12 -57 -54 <0.001 4.74 4.8 -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.6 12 20 45 -51 4.61 4.6 | | lobe | | | 33 | -78 | 45 | | 4.51 | 4.58 |
| Cerebellum L 239 -12 -57 -54 <0.001 4.74 4.8 -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.6 | | | | | 36 | -75 | 30 | | 4.04 | 4.09 |
| -15 -45 -51 4.61 4.6 12 -20 -45 -51 - 4.61 - 4.6 | | Cerebellum | L | 239 | -12 | -57 | -54 | < 0.001 | 4.74 | 4.82 |
| | | | | | -15 | -45 | -51 | | 4.61 | 4.68 |
| -12 -39 -45 4.36 4.4 | | | | | -12 | -39 | -45 | | 4.36 | 4.42 |
| Middle occipital L 76 -33 -84 30 0.019 4.59 4.6 | | Middle occipital | L | 76 | -33 | -84 | 30 | 0.019 | 4.59 | 4.66 |
| -45 -72 27 3.37 3.4 | | gyrus | | | -45 | -72 | 27 | | 3.37 | 3.40 |

| | | Cerebellum | L | 313 | -30 | -60 | -30 | < 0.001 | 4.27 | 4.32 | |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------|------|--|
| | | | | | 12 | -69 | -21 | | 4.18 | 4.23 | |
| | | | | | 18 | -78 | -18 | | 3.95 | 3.99 | |
| | | Superior frontal | L | 109 | 0 | 15 | 66 | 0.003 | 4.19 | 4.24 | |
| | | gyrus extended to supplementary motor Area | | | 15 | 9 | 69 | | 3.61 | 3.64 | |
| | Old > Middle | n.s | | | | | | | | | |
| | Old < Middle | n.s | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | Note. R= right, 1 | <i>Note.</i> R= right, L = left, H = hemisphere, ANOVA = analysis of variance, MNI = Montreal | | | | | | | | | |

404 Neurological Institute

| 405 | |
|-----|--|

4. Discussion

| 406 | In the present study, we assessed the relationship between parasympathetic |
|-----|--|
| 407 | cardioregulation (using resting HRV) and brain structure (using VBM) as well as whole-brain |
| 408 | resting-state connectivity (using ECM and SBCA) in a large sample of healthy young, |
| 409 | middle-aged, and old participants. We found the frequently observed age-related decrease in |
| 410 | resting HRV (Almeida-Santos et al., 2016; Voss et al., 2015) to be accompanied by alterations |
| 411 | in brain function. Specifically, higher HRV was linked to stronger network centrality in |
| 412 | several brain regions, particularly along the cortical midline. In the PCC, this correlation was |
| 413 | present in all age groups while in the vmPFC, network centrality was related to higher HRV |
| 414 | in young but not in middle-aged and old adults. These findings support the view that altered |
| 415 | HRV during aging may have a functional brain component associated with it. |
| 416 | 4.1.Age-dependent association of resting HRV with functional connectivity |
| 417 | Given the relationship between HRV and age (Almeida-Santos et al., 2016; Voss et |
| 418 | al., 2015), HRV and brain structure (Wei et al., 2018), as well as HRV and brain function |
| 419 | (Sakaki et al., 2016), we hypothesized the neural correlates of resting HRV to be also age- |
| 420 | dependent. Our results confirm that the relationship between HRV and network centrality at |
| 421 | rest differs between age groups. Evidence is accumulating that alterations of intrinsic brain |
| 422 | activity are a key feature of normal brain aging (Damoiseaux et al., 2008): age-dependent |
| 423 | intrinsic connectivity alterations in the DMN have been found not only in healthy aging |
| 424 | (Ferreira and Busatto, 2013) but also in (age-related) pathologies, for example, in individuals |
| 425 | with a high familial risk for depression (Posner et al., 2016) and in young APOE-E4 carriers |
| 426 | (Filippini et al., 2009), which is a possible biomarker for Alzheimer's dementia (Kanekiyo et |
| 427 | al., 2014). Our results that resting HRV is related to increased network centrality in medial |
| 428 | frontal regions in the young but not in the middle-aged and old age group could be interpreted |
| 429 | in the framework of the functional plasticity hypothesis of cognitive aging (Greenwood, |

2007). According to this hypothesis, the structural vulnerability particularly of prefrontal
cortex leads to an age-related functional reorganization (e.g., Grady, 2012; for a detailed
review). Changes in the resting-state network architecture around the vmPFC that are related
to parasympathetic cardioregulation could thus represent altered cardiovascular control with
advancing age and concomitant network reorganization.

435 In addition to the age-dependent association of resting HRV with functional brain 436 network centrality in medial frontal regions, we also found an HRV-related bilateral medial 437 parietal cluster in the PCC that was independent of age. Both vmPFC and PCC are central 438 nodes of the DMN (Greicius et al., 2003; Uddin et al., 2009) and have been related to self-439 generated or internally directed mental processes like thoughts and feelings (Andrews-Hanna 440 et al., 2014; Raichle et al., 2001). Regions of the DMN – and particularly its medial frontal (e.g., vmPFC) and parietal components (e.g., PCC and precuneus) - have also been implied in 441 442 the central processing of autonomic – and particularly parasympathetic – function (Beissner et 443 al., 2013; Benarroch, 1993). It is plausible that in the absence of external stimulation, brain 444 function (i.e., activity and connectivity) is predominantly allocated to the "internal milieu", 445 that is, to monitoring and regulating bodily signals (e.g., the parasympathetic "rest-anddigest"). Fittingly, the PCC has been found active in tasks that involved the assessment of 446 447 self-relevance (Yu et al., 2011) as well as self-location and body ownership (Guterstam et al., 448 2015), while the vmPFC was related to processing bodily information (Gusnard et al., 2001), 449 autonomic control (Critchley et al., 2011), and cardiovascular arousal (Wong et al., 2007). 450 Similarly, a causal role of the PFC for cardiovascular activity (e.g., HR and HRV) was found 451 in a meta-analysis of non-invasive brain stimulation and autonomic functioning (Makovac et 452 al., 2017).

The exploratory SBCA similarly showed an age-dependent relationship between
resting HRV and functional brain connectivity. Specifically, we found stronger functional

455 connectivity between bilateral vmPFC and a widespread set of brain regions including 456 bilateral cerebellum, occipital gyrus, right SPL, and SFG extending to SMA in young but not 457 middle-aged and old adults. These results extend the ECM findings by suggesting additional 458 cortico-cerebellar regions might be involved in the modulation of visceral processes. In line 459 with this interpretation, activation in the cerebellum has been connected to the regulation of 460 visceral responses (Demirtas-Tatlidede et al., 2011), fear conditioning (Leaton, 2003; 461 Sacchetti et al., 2002), feeding (Tataranni et al., 1999), as well as the coordination and control 462 of cardiovascular activities (Bradley et al., 1991; Ghelarducci and Sebastiani, 1996). 463 Furthermore, autonomic activity during cognitive and motor tasks was positively associated 464 with activation in the cerebellum and, among other regions, the SMA and dorsal ACC 465 (Critchley et al., 2003).

466 Despite previous evidence of the relationship between brain structure and vagally-467 mediated HRV in central autonomic network regions (Wei et al., 2018), using whole-brain 468 VBM analysis, we only found a significant GMV change related with resting HRV in the 469 cerebellum for the middle-aged group. Notably, in the study by Wei et al. (2018) reduced GM 470 volume in the cerebellum was associated with HR (but not HRV) in healthy middle-aged 471 individuals. The divergent results could be due to different measurement parameters (e.g., 472 MRI sequence parameters) but also to different effect size and statistical power (for more 473 details see *Limitations*).

474 4.2.Physiological and psychophysiological interpretations of HRV

The most fundamental (purely physiological) understanding of the role of the ANS – and particularly the PNS – is to ensure visceral and cardiovascular functioning or bodily homeostasis – by allowing rapid adaptive behavioral and physiological reactions in everchanging environments or by disengagement and relaxation in resting moments ("rest-anddigest"; e.g., Cannon, 1929).

480 More *psychophysiological interpretations of ANS function have extended this view to* 481 cognitive, affective, and social phenomena: For example, the two main theoretical 482 perspectives of HRV – the polyvagal theory (Porges, 2007, 2001, 1995) and the neurovisceral 483 integration model (Smith et al., 2017; Thayer and Lane, 2000; Thayer and Ruiz-Padial, 2006) 484 - suggest that PNS activation can serve as a biomarker of what can be summarized as "top-485 down" self-regulation (Holzman and Bridgett, 2017). The polyvagal theory (Porges, 2007) 486 takes an evolutionary approach, according to which the role of the ANS and particularly the 487 vagus nerve can be understood as increasing adaptability through socially engaged behaviors 488 (e.g., self-soothing) and inhibition of sympathetic-adrenal influences on the body (Porges, 489 2007). The neurovisceral integration model (Smith et al., 2017; Thayer and Lane, 2000; 490 Thayer and Ruiz-Padial, 2006) highlights the role of vagally-mediated HRV for emotional or 491 self-regulation. This model explicitly links the brain and the rest of the body by assuming that 492 the PFC – and particularly the vmPFC – tonically inhibits the amygdala, which affects 493 autonomic function, thereby linking both nervous systems to inhibitory or (self-)regulatory 494 processes (Kemp et al., 2017; Thayer et al., 2012). Convergently, resting HRV has recently 495 been associated with vmPFC activation during a dietary self-control task in young adults 496 (Maier and Hare, 2017). Both theories draw on evidence that higher HRV is indicative of 497 better bodily functioning by enabling physiological and behavioral adaptation through 498 cognitive and socio-emotional flexibility. Taken together, our findings are consistent with 499 both the polyvagal theory and the neurovisceral integration model of HRV and extend them 500 by providing evidence for a brain network component of vagally-mediated HRV in healthy 501 aging.

502

| 5 | n | 2 |
|---|---|---|
| J | υ | 3 |

5. Limitations

504 There are a number of limitations that should be considered in the interpretation of our 505 results. The study design is cross-sectional and does not allow us to infer the directionality of 506 the association between resting HRV and the brain. Additionally, our health criteria also 507 allowed inclusion of subjects with higher BMI (>25 kg/m²) or untreated/undiagnosed 508 hypertension (SBP > 140 mmHg, DBP > 90 mmHg). This makes it difficult to disentangle 509 HRV-related influences from other bodily/cardiovascular influences – which are also 510 physiologically related (BMI: Molfino et al., 2009; BP: Singh et al., 1998). However, control 511 analyses that accounted for BP and BMI showed very similar results of the association 512 between resting HRV and the brain. Although psychological interpretations of a single 513 physiological marker like resting HRV are intrinsically limited, previous studies have 514 associated HRV with different trait or state levels of, for example, executive control (Capuana 515 et al., 2014), stress (Sin et al., 2016), and emotion regulation (Williams et al., 2015). For a 516 psychological interpretation of our finding that the association between HRV and functional 517 connectivity at rest is age-dependent, similar analyses on task-related parasympathetic and 518 neural activity could be helpful. Although we accounted for systematic study differences in 519 the second-level GLM, different acquisition parameters of the rs-f/MRI and ECG may have 520 influenced our results (e.g., for structural MRI; Streitbürger et al., 2014). Further, we 521 calculated the RMSSD using 10 s of ECG data, which has been shown to be a valid 522 measurement (Munoz et al., 2015; Nussinovitch et al., 2011a, 2011b). Nevertheless, ECG 523 data recorded over longer periods (e.g., 24-hour) can complement this "ultra-short" evaluation 524 of parasympathetic function.

525

| 526 | 6. Conclusion |
|-----|---|
| 527 | In this cross-sectional study, we examined the association of resting HRV with brain |
| 528 | structure and functional brain connectivity in different age groups of healthy adults. Our main |
| 529 | findings are correlations between resting HRV and brain network architecture in the PCC |
| 530 | across all age groups and in the vmPFC in young but not in middle-aged and old subjects. |
| 531 | These support the view that the well-known HRV decrease with age may have a functional |
| 532 | brain network component along the cortical midline. Consistent with the role of these areas in |
| 533 | affective, cognitive, and autonomic regulation, our results provide a comprehensive picture of |
| 534 | the differential effect of age on heart-brain interactions and extend our knowledge of |
| 535 | parasympathetic cardioregulation being important for healthy aging. |

- 5367. Financial disclosures
- 537 The authors declare no conflict of interest

8. Acknowledgments

538

- 539 This study was supported by LIFE Leipzig Research Center for Civilization Diseases at
- 540 the University of Leipzig funded by the European Union, European Regional Development
- 541 Fund, and the Free State of Saxony. The authors would like to thank all volunteers for their
- 542 participation in one of the two studies. Further, we thank all researchers, technicians and
- 543 students who planned, collected, entered and curated data, used in this manuscript.

| 544 | 9. References |
|-----|--|
| 545 | Almeida-Santos, M.A., Barreto-Filho, J.A., Oliveira, J.L.M., Reis, F.P., da Cunha Oliveira, |
| 546 | C.C., Sousa, A.C.S., 2016. Aging, heart rate variability and patterns of autonomic |
| 547 | regulation of the heart. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr. 63, 1-8. |
| 548 | doi:10.1016/j.archger.2015.11.011 |
| 549 | Andrews-Hanna, J.R., Smallwood, J., Spreng, R.N., 2014. The default network and self- |
| 550 | generated thought: Component processes, dynamic control, and clinical relevance. Ann. |
| 551 | N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1316, 29-52. doi:10.1111/nyas.12360 |
| 552 | Arking, R., 2006. The biology of aging: observations and principles, The Biology of Aging |
| 553 | Observations Principles. doi:10.1080/03601270701498491 |
| 554 | Ashburner, J., 2007. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. Neuroimage 38, 95- |
| 555 | 113. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.07.007 |
| 556 | Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 2000. Voxel-Based Morphometry-The Methods. Neuroimage |
| 557 | 11, 805-821. doi:10.1006/nimg.2000.0582 |
| 558 | Avants, B.B., Tustison, N.J., Song, G., Cook, P.A., Klein, A., Gee, J.C., 2011. A reproducible |
| 559 | evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. |
| 560 | Neuroimage 54, 2033–2044. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025 |
| 561 | Babayan, A., Erbey, M., Kumral, D., Reinelt, R. D., Reiter, A. M. F., Röbbig, J., Schaare, L. |
| 562 | H., Uhlig, M., Gaebler, M., Villringer, A., A Mind-Brain-Body dataset of MRI, |
| 563 | EEG, cognition, emotion, and peripheral physiology in young and old adults, under |
| 564 | review. |
| 565 | Bazin, P.L., Weiss, M., Dinse, J., Schäfer, A., Trampel, R., Turner, R., 2014. A computational |
| 566 | framework for ultra-high resolution cortical segmentation at 7 Tesla. Neuroimage 93, |
| 567 | 201-209. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.077 |
| 568 | Behzadi, Y., Restom, K., Liau, J., Liu, T.T., 2007. A component based noise correction |

- 569 method (CompCor) for BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. Neuroimage 37, 90–101.
- 570 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.04.042
- 571 Beissner, F., Meissner, K., Bar, K.-J., Napadow, V., 2013. The Autonomic Brain: An
- 572 Activation Likelihood Estimation Meta-Analysis for Central Processing of Autonomic
- 573 Function. J. Neurosci. 33, 10503–10511. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1103-13.2013
- 574 Benarroch, E.E., 1993. The Central Autonomic Network: Functional Organization,
- 575 Dysfunction, and Perspective. Mayo Clin. Proc. 68, 988–1001. doi:10.1016/S0025576 6196(12)62272-1
- 577 Bradley, D.J., Ghelarducci, B., Spyer, K.M., 1991. The role of the posterior cerebellar vermis
- 578 in cardiovascular control. Neurosci. Res. 12, 45–56. doi:10.1016/0168-0102(91)90099-K
- 579 Buccelletti, E., Gilardi, E., Scaini, E., Galiuto, L., Persiani, R., Biondi, a, Basile, F., Silveri,
- 580 N.G., 2009. Heart rate variability and myocardial infarction: systematic literature review
 581 and metanalysis. Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci. 13, 299–307.
- 582 Cannon, W.B., 1929. Organization for Physiological Homeostasis. Physiol. Rev. 9, 399–431.
 583 doi:10.1152/physrev.1929.9.3.399
- 584 Capuana, L.J., Dywan, J., Tays, W.J., Elmers, J.L., Witherspoon, R., Segalowitz, S.J., 2014.
- 585 Factors influencing the role of cardiac autonomic regulation in the service of cognitive
- 586 control. Biol. Psychol. 102, 88–97. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.07.015
- 587 Chang, C., Metzger, C.D., Glover, G.H., Duyn, J.H., Heinze, H.J., Walter, M., 2013.
- 588 Association between heart rate variability and fluctuations in resting-state functional
- 589 connectivity. Neuroimage 68, 93–104. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.038
- 590 Cox, R.W., 1996. AFNI: Software for Analysis and Visualization of Functional Magnetic
- 591 Resonance Neuroimages. Comput. Biomed. Res. 29, 162–173.
- 592 doi:10.1006/cbmr.1996.0014
- 593 Critchley, H.D., Corfield, D.R., Chandler, M.P., Mathias, C.J., Dolan, R.J., 2000. Cerebral

- 594 correlates of autonomic cardiovascular arousal: a functional neuroimaging investigation
- 595 in humans. J. Physiol. 523 Pt 1, 259–270. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7793.2000.t01-1-00259.x
- 596 Critchley, H.D., Mathias, C.J., Josephs, O., O'Doherty, J., Zanini, S., Dewar, B.K., Cipolotti,
- 597 L., Shallice, T., Dolan, R.J., 2003. Human cingulate cortex and autonomic control:
- 598 Converging neuroimaging and clinical evidence. Brain 126, 2139–2152.
- 599 doi:10.1093/brain/awg216
- 600 Critchley, H.D., Nagai, Y., Gray, M.A., Mathias, C.J., 2011. Dissecting axes of autonomic
- 601 control in humans: Insights from neuroimaging. Auton. Neurosci. Basic Clin. 161, 34–
- 602 42. doi:10.1016/j.autneu.2010.09.005
- 603 Damoiseaux, J.S., Beckmann, C.F., Arigita, E.J.S., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Stam, C.J.,
- 604 Smith, S.M., Rombouts, S.A.R.B., 2008. Reduced resting-state brain activity in the
- 605 "default network" in normal aging. Cereb. Cortex 18, 1856–1864.
- 606 doi:10.1093/cercor/bhm207
- 607 De Meersman, R.E., Stein, P.K., 2007. Vagal modulation and aging. Biol. Psychol. 74, 165–
- 608 173. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.04.008
- 609 Demirtas-Tatlidede, A., Freitas, C., Pascual-Leone, A., Schmahmann, J.D., 2011. Modulatory
- 610 effects of theta burst stimulation on cerebellar nonsomatic functions. Cerebellum 10,
- 611 495–503. doi:10.1007/s12311-010-0230-5
- 612 Felber Dietrich, D., Schwartz, J., Schindler, C., Gaspoz, J.-M., Barthélémy, J.-C., Tschopp, J.-
- 613 M., Roche, F., von Eckardstein, A., Brändli, O., Leuenberger, P., Gold, D.R.,
- 614 Ackermann-Liebrich, U., 2007. Effects of passive smoking on heart rate variability, heart
- 615 rate and blood pressure: an observational study. Int. J. Epidemiol. 36, 834–840.
- 616 doi:10.1093/ije/dym031
- 617 Ferreira, L.K., Busatto, G.F., 2013. Resting-state functional connectivity in normal brain
- 618 aging. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 37, 384–400. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.01.017

- 619 Filippini, N., MacIntosh, B.J., Hough, M.G., Goodwin, G.M., Frisoni, G.B., Smith, S.M.,
- 620 Matthews, P.M., Beckmann, C.F., Mackay, C.E., 2009. Distinct patterns of brain activity
- 621 in young carriers of the APOE- 4 allele. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106, 7209–7214.
- 622 doi:10.1073/pnas.0811879106
- 623 Fischl, B., 2012. FreeSurfer. Neuroimage 62, 774–781.
- 624 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021
- 625 Friston, K.J., Williams, S., Howard, R., Frackowiak, R.S.J., Turner, R., 1996. Movement-
- related effects in fMRI time-series. Magn. Reson. Med. 35, 346–355.
- 627 doi:10.1002/mrm.1910350312
- 628 Ghelarducci, B., Sebastiani, L., 1996. Contribution of the cerebellar vermis to cardiovascular
- 629 control. J. Auton. Nerv. Syst. 56, 149–156. doi:10.1016/0165-1838(95)00068-2
- 630 Gianaros, P.J., Van Der Veen, F., Jennings, J.R., 2004. Regional cerebral blood flow
- 631 correlates with heart period and high-frequency heart period variability during working-
- 632 memory task: Implications for the cortical and subcortical regulation of cardiac
- autonomic activity. Psychophysiology 41, 521–530. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
- 634 8986.2004.00179.x
- 635 Gorgolewski, K., Burns, C.D., Madison, C., Clark, D., Halchenko, Y.O., Waskom, M.L.,
- 636 Ghosh, S.S., 2011. Nipype: A Flexible, Lightweight and Extensible Neuroimaging Data
- 637 Processing Framework in Python. Front. Neuroinform. 5, 13.
- 638 doi:10.3389/fninf.2011.00013
- 639 Gorgolewski, K.J., Varoquaux, G., Rivera, G., Schwarz, Y., Ghosh, S.S., Maumet, C., Sochat,
- 640 V. V., Nichols, T.E., Poldrack, R.A., Poline, J.-B., Yarkoni, T., Margulies, D.S., 2015.
- 641 NeuroVault.org: a web-based repository for collecting and sharing unthresholded
- statistical maps of the human brain. Front. Neuroinform. 9.
- 643 doi:10.3389/fninf.2015.00008

- 644 Grady, C., 2012. The cognitive neuroscience of ageing. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 13, 491–505.
- 645 doi:10.1038/nrn3256
- 646 Greenwood, P.M., 2007. Functional Plasticity in Cognitive Aging: Review and Hypothesis.
- 647 Neuropsychology 21, 657–673. doi:10.1037/0894-4105.21.6.657
- 648 Greicius, M.D., Krasnow, B., Reiss, A.L., Menon, V., 2003. Functional connectivity in the
- 649 resting brain: A network analysis of the default mode hypothesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- 650 100, 253–258. doi:10.1073/pnas.0135058100
- 651 Griswold, M.A., Jakob, P.M., Heidemann, R.M., Nittka, M., Jellus, V., Wang, J., Kiefer, B.,
- Haase, A., 2002. Generalized Autocalibrating Partially Parallel Acquisitions (GRAPPA).
- 653 Magn. Reson. Med. 47, 1202–1210. doi:10.1002/mrm.10171
- 654 Gusnard, D.A., Akbudak, E., Shulman, G.L., Raichle, M.E., 2001. Medial prefrontal cortex
- and self-referential mental activity: Relation to a default mode of brain function. Proc.

656 Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 4259–4264. doi:10.1073/pnas.071043098

- 657 Guterstam, A., Björnsdotter, M., Gentile, G., Ehrsson, H.H., 2015. Posterior cingulate cortex
- 658 integrates the senses of self-location and body ownership. Curr. Biol. 25, 1416–1425.
- 659 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.059
- 660 Hayano, J., Yamada, M., Sakakibara, Y., Fujinami, T., Yokoyama, K., Watanabe, Y., Takata,
- 661 K., 1990. Short- and long-term effects of cigarette smoking on heart rate variability. Am.
- 662 J. Cardiol. 65, 84–88. doi:10.1016/0002-9149(90)90030-5
- 663 Holzman, J.B., Bridgett, D.J., 2017. Heart rate variability indices as bio-markers of top-down
- 664 self-regulatory mechanisms: A meta-analytic review. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 74, 233–
- 665 255. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.12.032
- 666 Huxley, R., Mendis, S., Zheleznyakov, E., Reddy, S., Chan, J., 2010. Body mass index, waist
- 667 circumference and waist:hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular riska review of the
- 668 literature. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 64, 16–22. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2009.68

- 669 Jenkinson, M., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Woolrich, M.W., Smith, S.M., 2012. Fsl.
- 670 Neuroimage 62, 782–790. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.015
- 671 Jennings, J.R., Sheu, L.K., Kuan, D.C.H., Manuck, S.B., Gianaros, P.J., 2016. Resting state
- 672 connectivity of the medial prefrontal cortex covaries with individual differences in high-
- 673 frequency heart rate variability. Psychophysiology 53, 444–454. doi:10.1111/psyp.12586
- 674 Kanekiyo, T., Xu, H., Bu, G., 2014. ApoE and Aβ in Alzheimer's disease: Accidental
- 675 encounters or partners? Neuron. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.045
- 676 Kemp, A.H., Koenig, J., Thayer, J.F., 2017. From Psychological Moments to Mortality: A
- 677 Multidisciplinary Synthesis on Heart Rate Variability Spanning the Continuum of Time.
- 678 Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.09.006
- 679 Kemp, A.H., Quintana, D.S., 2013. The relationship between mental and physical health:
- 680 Insights from the study of heart rate variability. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 89, 288–296.
- 681 doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.018
- 682 Kim, D.H., Lipsitz, L.A., Ferrucci, L., Varadhan, R., Guralnik, J.M., Carlson, M.C., Fleisher,
- 683 L.A., Fried, L.P., Chaves, P.H.M., 2006. Association between reduced heart rate
- 684 variability and cognitive impairment in older disabled women in the community:
- 685 Women's Health and Aging Study I. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 54, 1751–1757.
- 686 doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2006.00940.x
- 687 Koenig, J., Thayer, J.F., 2016. Sex differences in healthy human heart rate variability: A
- 688 meta-analysis. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 64, 288–310.
- 689 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.03.007
- 690 Leaton, R., 2003. Fear and the cerebellum. Mol. Psychiatry 8, 461–462.
- 691 doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4001286
- 692 Liao, D., Cai, J., Rosamond, W., Barnes, R., Hutchinson, R., Whitsel, E., Rautaharju, P.,
- 693 Heiss, G., 1997. Cardiac Autonomic Function and Incident Coronary Heart Disease: A

| 694 | Population-based | Case-Cohort Study | The ARIC Study | /. Am. J | 145, 696-706 |
|-----|------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|
| | | | | | |

- 695 doi:10.1093/aje/145.8.696
- 696 Lipsitz, L.A., Goldberger, A.L., 1992. Loss of 'Complexity' and Aging: Potential
- 697 Applications of Fractals and Chaos Theory to Senescence. JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.
- 698 267, 1806–1809. doi:10.1001/jama.1992.03480130122036
- 699 Loeffler, M., Engel, C., Ahnert, P., Alfermann, D., Arelin, K., Baber, R., Beutner, F., Binder,
- 700 H., Brähler, E., Burkhardt, R., Ceglarek, U., Enzenbach, C., Fuchs, M., Glaesmer, H.,
- 701 Girlich, F., Hagendorff, A., Häntzsch, M., Hegerl, U., Henger, S., Hensch, T., Hinz, A.,
- 702 Holzendorf, V., Husser, D., Kersting, A., Kiel, A., Kirsten, T., Kratzsch, J., Krohn, K.,
- 703 Luck, T., Melzer, S., Netto, J., Nüchter, M., Raschpichler, M., Rauscher, F.G., Riedel-
- Heller, S.G., Sander, C., Scholz, M., Schönknecht, P., Schroeter, M.L., Simon, J.-C.,
- 705 Speer, R., Stäker, J., Stein, R., Stöbel-Richter, Y., Stumvoll, M., Tarnok, A., Teren, A.,
- 706 Teupser, D., Then, F.S., Tönjes, A., Treudler, R., Villringer, A., Weissgerber, A.,
- 707 Wiedemann, P., Zachariae, S., Wirkner, K., Thiery, J., 2015. The LIFE-Adult-Study:
- 708 objectives and design of a population-based cohort study with 10,000 deeply phenotyped
- 709 adults in Germany. BMC Public Health 15, 691. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1983-z
- 710 Lohmann, G., Margulies, D.S., Horstmann, A., Pleger, B., Lepsien, J., Goldhahn, D.,
- 711 Schloegl, H., Stumvoll, M., Villringer, A., Turner, R., 2010. Eigenvector centrality
- 712 mapping for analyzing connectivity patterns in fMRI data of the human brain. PLoS One
- 5, e10232. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010232
- 714 Mahinrad, S., Jukema, J.W., Van Heemst, D., MacFarlane, P.W., Clark, E.N., De Craen,
- A.J.M., Sabayan, B., 2016. 10-Second heart rate variability and cognitive function in old
- 716 age. Neurology 86, 1120–1127. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000002499
- 717 Maier, S.U., Hare, T.A., 2017. Higher Heart-Rate Variability Is Associated with
- 718 Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex Activity and Increased Resistance to Temptation in

- 719 Dietary Self-Control Challenges. J. Neurosci. 37, 446–455.
- 720 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2815-16.2017
- 721 Makovac, E., Garfinkel, S., Bassi, A., Basile, B., Macaluso, E., Cercignani, M., Calcagnini,
- 722 G., Mattei, E., Mancini, M., Agalliu, D., Cortelli, P., Caltagirone, C., Critchley, H.,
- 723 Bozzali, M., 2017. Fear processing is differentially affected by lateralized stimulation of
- 724 carotid baroreceptors. Cortex. 99, 200–212. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2017.07.002
- 725 Marques, J.P., Kober, T., Krueger, G., van der Zwaag, W., Van de Moortele, P.F., Gruetter,
- 726 R., 2010. MP2RAGE, a self bias-field corrected sequence for improved segmentation
- and T1-mapping at high field. Neuroimage 49, 1271–1281.
- 728 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.002
- Mather, M., Thayer, J.F., 2018. How heart rate variability affects emotion regulation brain
- 730 networks. Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci. 19, 98–104. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.12.017
- 731 McEwen, B.S., 2003. Interacting mediators of allostasis and allostatic load: Towards an
- understanding of resilience in aging. Metabolism. 52, 10–16. doi:10.1016/S0026-
- 733 0495(03)00295-6
- 734 Molfino, A., Fiorentini, A., Tubani, L., Martuscelli, M., Fanelli, F.R., Laviano, A., 2009.
- Body mass index is related to autonomic nervous system activity as measured by heart
- rate variability. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 63, 1263–1265. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2009.35
- 737 Munoz, M.L., Van Roon, A., Riese, H., Thio, C., Oostenbroek, E., Westrik, I., De Geus,
- 738 E.J.C., Gansevoort, R., Lefrandt, J., Nolte, I.M., Snieder, H., 2015. Validity of (Ultra-
-)Short recordings for heart rate variability measurements. PLoS One 10, 1–15.
- 740 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138921
- 741 Nussinovitch, U., Elishkevitz, K.P., Kaminer, K., Nussinovitch, M., Segev, S., Volovitz, B.,
- 742 Nussinovitch, N., 2011a. The efficiency of 10-second resting heart rate for the evaluation
- of short-term heart rate variability indices. PACE Pacing Clin. Electrophysiol. 34,

744 1498–1502. doi:10.1111/j.1540-8159.2011.03178.x

- 745 Nussinovitch, U., Elishkevitz, K.P., Katz, K., Nussinovitch, M., Segev, S., Volovitz, B.,
- 746 Nussinovitch, N., 2011b. Reliability of ultra-short ECG indices for heart rate variability.
- 747 Ann. Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 16, 117–122. doi:10.1111/j.1542-474X.2011.00417.x
- 748 Porges, S.W., 2007. The polyvagal perspective. Biol. Psychol. 74, 116–143.
- 749 doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.009
- Porges, S.W., 2001. The polyvagal theory: Phylogenetic substrates of a social nervous system.
- 751 Int. J. Psychophysiol. 42, 123–146. doi:10.1016/S0167-8760(01)00162-3
- 752 Porges, S.W., 1995. Orienting in a Defensive World. Psychophysiology.
- 753 Posner, J., Cha, J., Wang, Z., Talati, A., Warner, V., Gerber, A., Peterson, B.S., Weissman,
- 754 M., 2016. Increased Default Mode Network Connectivity in Individuals at High Familial
- 755 Risk for Depression. Neuropsychopharmacology 41, 1759–1767.
- 756 doi:10.1038/npp.2015.342
- 757 Power, J.D., Barnes, K.A., Snyder, A.Z., Schlaggar, B.L., Petersen, S.E., 2012. Spurious but
- 758 systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject

759 motion. Neuroimage 59, 2142–2154. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018

- 760 Raichle, M.E., MacLeod, A.M., Snyder, A.Z., Powers, W.J., Gusnard, D.A., Shulman, G.L.,
- 761 2001. A default mode of brain function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 676–682.
- 762 doi:10.1073/pnas.98.2.676
- 763 Reitan, R.M., 1955. Certain differential effects of left and right cerebral lesions in human
- adults. J Comp Physiol Psychol 48, 474–477.
- 765 Reitan, R.M., Wolfson, D., 1995. Category Test and Trail Making Test as Measures of
- Frontal Lobe Functions. Clin. Neuropsychol. 9, 50–56.
- 767 doi:10.1080/13854049508402057
- 768 Rokem, A., Trumpis, M., Perez, F., 2009. Nitime: time-series analysis for neuroimaging data.

- 769 Proc. 8th Python Sci. Conf. (SciPy 2009) 1–8.
- 770 Sacchetti, B., Baldi, E., Lorenzini, C.A., Bucherelli, C., 2002. Cerebellar role in fear-
- conditioning consolidation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 99, 8406–8411.
- doi:10.1073/pnas.112660399
- 773 Sakaki, M., Yoo, H.J., Nga, L., Lee, T.-H., Thayer, J.F., Mather, M., 2016. Heart rate
- variability is associated with amygdala functional connectivity with MPFC across
- younger and older adults. Neuroimage 139, 44–52.
- 776 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.076
- 577 Sin, N.L., Sloan, R.P., McKinley, P.S., Almeida, D.M., 2016. Linking Daily Stress Processes
- and Laboratory-Based Heart Rate Variability in a National Sample of Midlife and Older
- Adults. Psychosom. Med. 78, 573–582. doi:10.1097/PSY.00000000000000306
- 780 Singh, J.P., Larson, M.G., Tsuji, H., Evans, J.C., O'Donnell, C.J., Levy, D., 1998. Reduced
- 781 heart rate variability and new-onset hypertension: Insights into pathogenesis of
- 782 hypertension: The Framingham Heart Study. Hypertension 32, 293–297.
- 783 doi:10.1161/01.HYP.32.2.293
- 784 Smith, R., Thayer, J.F., Khalsa, S.S., Lane, R.D., 2017. The hierarchical basis of
- 785 neurovisceral integration. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 75, 274–296.
- 786 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.02.003
- 787 Streitbürger, D.P., Pampel, A., Krueger, G., Lepsien, J., Schroeter, M.L., Mueller, K., Möller,
- 788 H.E., 2014. Impact of image acquisition on voxel-based-morphometry investigations of
- age-related structural brain changes. Neuroimage 87, 170–182.
- 790 doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.10.051
- 791 Swank, A.M., 1996. Physical Dimensions of Aging. Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc. 28, 398,399.
- 792 doi:10.1097/00005768-199603000-00018
- 793 Tarvainen, M.P., Niskanen, J.-P., Lipponen, J.A., Ranta-Aho, P.O., Karjalainen, P.A., 2014.

- 795 Biomed. 113, 210–220. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2013.07.024
- 796 Tataranni, P.A., Gautier, J.F., Chen, K., Uecker, A., Bandy, D., Salbe, A.D., Pratley, R.E.,
- Lawson, M., Reiman, E.M., Ravussin, E., 1999. Neuroanatomical correlates of hunger
- and satiation in humans using positron emission tomography. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
- 799 A. 96, 4569–74. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.8.4569
- 800 Taubert, M., Lohmann, G., Margulies, D.S., Villringer, A., Ragert, P., 2011. Long-term
- 801 effects of motor training on resting-state networks and underlying brain structure.
- 802 Neuroimage 57, 1492–1498. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.05.078
- 803 Thayer, J.F., Åhs, F., Fredrikson, M., Sollers, J.J., Wager, T.D., 2012. A meta-analysis of
- 804 heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: Implications for heart rate variability as a
- 805 marker of stress and health. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 36, 747–756.
- 806 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.009
- Thayer, J.F., Lane, R.D., 2007. The role of vagal function in the risk for cardiovascular
 disease and mortality. Biol. Psychol. 74, 224–242. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.11.013
- 809 Thayer, J.F., Lane, R.D., 2000. A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation
- 810 and dysregulation. J. Affect. Disord. 61, 201–216. doi:10.1016/S0165-0327(00)00338-4
- 811 Thayer, J.F., Ruiz-Padial, E., 2006. Neurovisceral integration, emotions and health: An
- 812 update. Int. Congr. Ser. 1287, 122–127. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2005.12.018
- 813 Thayer, J.F., Sollers, J.J., Friedman, B.H., Koenig, J., 2015. Gender differences in the
- 814 relationship between resting heart rate variability and 24-hour blood pressure variability.
- 815 Blood Press. 7051, 1–5. doi:10.3109/08037051.2016.1090721
- 816 Thayer, J.F., Yamamoto, S.S., Brosschot, J.F., 2010. The relationship of autonomic
- 817 imbalance, heart rate variability and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Int. J. Cardiol.
- 818 141, 122–131. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2009.09.543

⁷⁹⁴ Kubios HRV--heart rate variability analysis software. Comput. Methods Programs

- 819 Uddin, L.Q., Kelly, A.M.C., Biswal, B.B., Castellanos, F.X., Milham, M.P., 2009. Functional
- 820 Connectivity of Default Mode Network Components: Correlation, Anticorrelation, and
- 821 Causality. Hum. Brain Mapp. 30, 625–637. doi:10.1002/hbm.20531
- 822 Umetani, K., Singer, D.H., McCraty, R., Atkinson, M., 1998. Twenty-four hour time domain
- heart rate variability and heart rate: Relations to age and gender over nine decades. J.
- Am. Coll. Cardiol. 31, 593–601. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(97)00554-8
- 825 Voss, A., Schroeder, R., Heitmann, A., Peters, A., Perz, S., 2015. Short-term heart rate
- 826 variability Influence of gender and age in healthy subjects. PLoS One 10, e0118308.
- 827 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118308
- 828 Wei, L., Chen, H., Wu, G.R., 2018. Heart rate variability associated with grey matter volumes
- in striatal and limbic structures of the central autonomic network. Brain Res. 1681, 14–
- 830 20. doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2017.12.024
- 831 Williams, D.P., Cash, C., Rankin, C., Bernardi, A., Koenig, J., Thayer, J.F., 2015. Resting
- heart rate variability predicts self-reported difficulties in emotion regulation: A focus on
- 833 different facets of emotion regulation. Front. Psychol. 6, 1–8.
- doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00261
- 835 Wink, A.M., de Munck, J.C., van der Werf, Y.D., van den Heuvel, O.A., Barkhof, F., 2012.
- 836 Fast Eigenvector Centrality Mapping of Voxel-Wise Connectivity in Functional
- 837 Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Implementation, Validation, and Interpretation. Brain
- 838 Connect. 2, 265–274. doi:10.1089/brain.2012.0087
- 839 Winkelmann, T., Thayer, J.F., Pohlack, S., Nees, F., Grimm, O., Flor, H., 2017. Structural
- brain correlates of heart rate variability in a healthy young adult population. Brain Struct.
- 841 Funct. 222, 1061–1068. doi:10.1007/s00429-016-1185-1
- 842 Wong, S.W., Massé, N., Kimmerly, D.S., Menon, R.S., Shoemaker, J.K., 2007. Ventral
- 843 medial prefrontal cortex and cardiovagal control in conscious humans. Neuroimage 35,

- 844 698–708. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.12.027
- 845 Yoo, H.J., Thayer, J.F., Greening, S., Lee, T.-H., Ponzio, A., Min, J., Sakaki, M., Nga, L.,
- 846 Mather, M., Koenig, J., 2017. Brain structural concomitants of resting state heart rate
- 847 variability in the young and old: evidence from two independent samples. Brain Struct.
- 848 Funct. doi:10.1007/s00429-017-1519-7
- 849 Yu, C., Zhou, Y., Liu, Y., Jiang, T., Dong, H., Zhang, Y., Walter, M., 2011. Functional
- 850 segregation of the human cingulate cortex is confirmed by functional connectivity based
- 851 neuroanatomical parcellation. Neuroimage 54, 2571–2581.
- doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.11.018
- 853 Zeki Al Hazzouri, A., Haan, M.N., Deng, Y., Neuhaus, J., Yaffe, K., 2014. Reduced heart rate
- variability is associated with worse cognitive performance in elderly Mexican
- Americans. Hypertension 63, 181–187. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.01888
- 856 Zulfiqar, U., Jurivich, D.A., Gao, W., Singer, D.H., 2010. Relation of High Heart Rate
- 857 Variability to Healthy Longevity. Am. J. Cardiol. 105, 1181–1185.
- doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2009.12.022

859

860 **Supplementary Table 1.** Association (Spearman's rho) between heart rate variability (HRV)

and other parameters per age group.

| | Young | Middle | Old |
|--------------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | |
| Age | -0.10 | -0.21* | -0.01 |
| mean FD (mm) | -0.13 | -0.11 | 0.09 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 0.13 | -0.20* | -0.14 |
| WHR | -0.04 | -0.17 | -0.08 |
| SBP (mmHg) | 0.01 | -0.17 | -0.01 |
| DBP (mmHg) | -0.06 | -0.23* | -0.01 |
| TMT A (s) | 0.01 | -0.12 | -0.04 |
| TMT B (s) | -0.06 | -0.12 | 0.02 |

862 *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001, 2-tailed.

863 *Note.* FD = framewise displacement; BMI = body mass index; WHR = waist to hip ratio; SBP

864 = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure, TMT = trail making test.