

## THE ALL-WAVELENGTH EXTENDED GROTH STRIP INTERNATIONAL SURVEY (AEGIS) DATA SETS

M. DAVIS<sup>1</sup>, P. GUHATHAKURTA<sup>2</sup>, N. P. KONIDARIS<sup>2</sup>, J. A. NEWMAN<sup>3,4</sup>, M. L. N. ASHBY<sup>5</sup>, A. D. BIGGS<sup>6</sup>, P. BARMBY<sup>5</sup>, K. BUNDY<sup>7</sup>, S. C. CHAPMAN<sup>7</sup>, A. L. COIL<sup>3,8</sup>, C. J. CONSELICE<sup>9</sup>, M. C. COOPER<sup>1</sup>, D. J. CROTON<sup>1</sup>, P. R. M. EISENHARDT<sup>10</sup>, R. S. ELLIS<sup>7</sup>, S. M. FABER<sup>2</sup>, T. FANG<sup>1</sup>, G. G. FAZIO<sup>5</sup>, A. GEORGAKAKIS<sup>11</sup>, B. F. GERKE<sup>12</sup>, W. M. GOSS<sup>13</sup>, S. GWYN<sup>14</sup>, J. HARKER<sup>2</sup>, A. M. HOPKINS<sup>15</sup>, J.-S. HUANG<sup>5</sup>, R. J. IVISON<sup>6</sup>, S. A. KASSIN<sup>2</sup>, E. N. KIRBY<sup>2</sup>, A. M. KOEKEMOER<sup>16</sup>, D. C. KOO<sup>2</sup>, E. S. LAIRD<sup>2</sup>, E. LE FLOC'H<sup>8</sup>, L. LIN<sup>2,17</sup>, J. M. LOTZ<sup>18,19</sup>, P. J. MARSHALL<sup>20</sup>, D. C. MARTIN<sup>21</sup>, A. J. METEVIER<sup>2</sup>, L. A. MOUSTAKAS<sup>10</sup>, K. NANDRA<sup>11</sup>, K. G. NOESKE<sup>2</sup>, C. PAPOVICH<sup>22,8</sup>, A. C. PHILLIPS<sup>2</sup>, R. M. RICH<sup>23</sup>, G. H. RIEKE<sup>8</sup>, D. RIGOPOULOU<sup>24</sup>, S. SALIM<sup>23</sup>, D. SCHIMINOVICH<sup>25</sup>, L. SIMARD<sup>26</sup>, I. SMAIL<sup>27</sup>, T. A. SMALL<sup>21</sup>, B. J. WEINER<sup>28</sup>, C. N. A. WILLMER<sup>8</sup>, S. P. WILLNER<sup>5</sup>, G. WILSON<sup>29</sup>, E. L. WRIGHT<sup>23</sup>, AND R. YAN<sup>1</sup>.

Submitted June 23, 2006

### ABSTRACT

In this the first of a series of *Letters*, we present a description of the panchromatic data sets that have been acquired in the Extended Groth Strip region of the sky. Our survey, the All-wavelength Extended Groth Strip International Survey (AEGIS), is intended to study the physical properties and evolutionary processes of galaxies at  $z\sim 1$ . It includes the following deep, wide-field imaging data sets: *Chandra*/ACIS<sup>30</sup> X-ray (0.5–10 keV), GALEX<sup>31</sup> ultraviolet (1200–2500 Å), CFHT/MegaCam Legacy Survey<sup>32</sup> optical (3600–9000 Å), CFHT/CFH12K optical (4500–9000 Å), *Hubble Space Telescope*/ACS<sup>33</sup> optical (4400–8500 Å), Palomar/WIRC<sup>34</sup> near-infrared (1.2–2.2 μm), *Spitzer*/IRAC<sup>35</sup> mid-infrared (3.6–8.0 μm), *Spitzer*/MIPS far-infrared (24–70 μm), and VLA<sup>36</sup> radio continuum (6–20 cm). In addition, this region of the sky has been targeted for extensive spectroscopy using the DEIMOS spectrograph on the Keck II 10 m telescope<sup>37</sup>. Our survey is compared to other large multiwavelength surveys in terms of depth and sky coverage.

*Subject headings:* surveys — galaxies: photometry — infrared: galaxies — radio continuum: galaxies — ultraviolet: galaxies — X-rays: galaxies

<sup>1</sup> Dept. of Astron., Univ. of California Berkeley, Campbell Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720.

<sup>2</sup> UCO/Lick Obs., Univ. of California Santa Cruz, 1156 High St., Santa Cruz, CA 95064.

<sup>3</sup> Hubble Fellow.

<sup>4</sup> Inst. for Nuclear & Particle Astrophys., Lawrence Berkeley Natl. Lab., Berkeley, CA 94720.

<sup>5</sup> Harvard-Smithsonian Ctr. for Astrophys., 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138.

<sup>6</sup> UK Astron. Technology Ctr., Royal Obs., Blackford Hill, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, United Kingdom.

<sup>7</sup> Dept. of Astron., California Inst. of Technology, 1201E California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125.

<sup>8</sup> Steward Obs., Univ. of Arizona, 933N Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85721.

<sup>9</sup> School of Physics & Astron., Univ. of Nottingham, Univ. Pk., Nottingham NG9 2RD, United Kingdom.

<sup>10</sup> Jet Propulsion Lab., California Inst. of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Dr., Pasadena, CA 91109.

<sup>11</sup> Imperial College London, Prince Consort Rd., London SW7 2BZ, United Kingdom.

<sup>12</sup> Dept. of Physics., Univ. of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720.

<sup>13</sup> Natl. Radio Astron. Obs., P.O. Box 0, 1003 Lopezville Rd., Socorro, NM 87801.

<sup>14</sup> Dept. of Physics and Astron., Univ. of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada.

<sup>15</sup> School of Physics, Univ. of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.

<sup>16</sup> Space Telescope Science Inst., 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218.

<sup>17</sup> Dept. of Physics, National Taiwan Univ., Roosevelt Rd., Taipei 106, Taiwan.

<sup>18</sup> Leo Goldberg Fellow.

<sup>19</sup> Natl. Optical Astron. Obs., 950N Cherry Ave., Tucson, AZ 85719.

<sup>20</sup> Kavli Inst. for Particle Astrophys. & Cosmology, MS29, 2757 Sand Hill Rd., Menlo Pk., CA 94025.

<sup>21</sup> Space Astrophys., MC 405-47, California Inst. of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125.

<sup>22</sup> Spitzer Fellow.

<sup>23</sup> Dept. of Physics & Astron., Univ. of California Los Angeles, Knudsen Hall, Los Angeles, CA 90095.

<sup>24</sup> Dept. of Astrophys., Oxford Univ., Keble Rd., Oxford, OX1 3RH, United Kingdom.

<sup>25</sup> Dept. of Astron., Columbia Univ. 550W 120 St., New York, NY 10027.

<sup>26</sup> Assoc. of Canadian Univ. for Research in Astron., Herzberg Inst. of Astrophys., National Research Council, 5071W Saanich Rd., Victoria, BC V9E 2E7, Canada.

<sup>27</sup> Inst. for Computational Cosmology, Durham Univ., South Rd., Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.

<sup>28</sup> Dept. of Astron., Univ. of Maryland, College Pk., MD 20742.

<sup>29</sup> Spitzer Science Ctr., California Inst. of Technology, 1200E California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125.

<sup>30</sup>NASA's *Chandra* X-Ray Observatory was launched in July 1999. The *Chandra* Data Archive (CDA) is part of the *Chandra* X-Ray Center (CXC) which is operated for NASA by the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.

<sup>31</sup>GALEX (Galaxy Evolution Explorer) is a NASA Small Explorer, launched in April 2003. We gratefully acknowledge NASA's support for construction, operation, and science analysis of the GALEX mission, developed in cooperation with the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales of France and the Korean Ministry of Science and Technology.

<sup>32</sup>Based on observations obtained with MegaPrime/MegaCam, a joint project of CFHT and CEA/DAPNIA, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) of France, and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at TERAPIX and the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre as part of the CFHT Legacy Survey, a collaborative project of NRC and CNRS.

<sup>33</sup>Based on GO-10134 program observations with the NASA/ESA *Hubble Space Telescope*, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.

<sup>34</sup>Based on observations obtained at the Hale Telescope, Palomar Observatory, as part of a collaborative agreement between the Cal-

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The All-Wavelength Extended Groth Strip International Survey (AEGIS) is a collaborative effort to obtain both deep imaging covering all major wavebands from X-ray to radio and optical spectroscopy over a large area of sky ( $0.5\text{--}1\text{ deg}^2$ ) with the aim of studying the panchromatic properties of galaxies over the last half of the Hubble time. The region studied, the Extended Groth Strip (EGS:  $\alpha=14^{\text{h}}17^{\text{m}}$ ,  $\delta=+52^{\circ}30'$ ) is an extension of and owes its name to a *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) survey consisting of 28 Wide-Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) pointings carried out in 1994 by the WFPC team (Rhodes, Refregier, & Groth 2000). This field benefits from low extinction, low Galactic and zodiacal infrared emission, and good schedulability by space-based observatories, and has therefore attracted a wide range of deep observations at essentially every accessible wavelength over this comparatively wide field.

Amongst deep multiwavelength fields, the EGS field provides a unique combination of area and depth at almost every waveband observable. It is two (for *HST*) to four (for *Spitzer* and *Chandra*) times larger than the combined GOODS fields (Giavalisco et al. 2004), yet has a similar range of wavelength coverage, making it ideal for studying rare classes of objects that may be absent in smaller fields. The GEMS field (Rix et al. 2004) covers a similar area to similar depths, but was studied by the COMBO-17 photometric redshift survey rather than a spectroscopic survey. Most AEGIS data sets cover  $\sim 0.5\text{--}1\text{ deg}^2$ , considerably smaller than the  $2\text{ deg}^2$  COSMOS field (Koekemoer & Scoville 2005). However, AEGIS observations are deeper at most wavelengths, benefiting from greater schedulability and lower backgrounds. Spectroscopy of the COSMOS field is in progress (Lilly & The zCOSMOS Team 2005), but will not be completed for 3–5 years. An additional advantage of AEGIS is that deep *HST*/ACS imaging is available in two bands (F606W and F814W), whereas the COSMOS field has been observed in F814W only, while the F850LP imaging in GEMS is too shallow to study subcomponent colors for most galaxies.

Even before AEGIS, the EGS region attracted a rich suite of surveys, including both spectroscopy (Lilly et al. 1995; Steidel et al. 2003; Cristóbal-Hornillos et al. 2003) and panchromatic imaging from both the ground and space, running from X-ray (Miyaji et al. 2004; Nandra et al. 2005) to ultraviolet (UV) and optical (Beckwinchitz & Anderson 1999; Brunner et al. 1999; Saraje-

dini et al. 2006), near infrared (IR) (Cardiel et al. 2003; Hopkins et al. 2000), mid-IR (Flores et al. 1999), submillimeter (Coppin et al. 2005), and radio (Fomalont et al. 1991). AEGIS has carried this work even further; for example, the first generation of the DEEP galaxy redshift survey, DEEP1, obtained 620 galaxy redshifts in the WFPC2 Groth Strip region, now publicly available (Simard et al. 2002; Vogt et al. 2005; Weiner et al. 2005). In comparison, the successor DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey has obtained 9501 redshifts in the EGS so far, with thousands more planned.

Data from DEEP2 are a linchpin for almost all AEGIS studies, providing redshifts; internal kinematics for dynamical masses; line strengths for star formation rates, AGN identification, and gas-phase metallicities; stellar population ages and metallicities; etc.. The precision and relatively dense sampling of DEEP2 redshifts allow for accurate measurement of the local environment of objects in EGS, which is a major factor driving galaxy evolution. Other surveys at similar redshifts provide weaker environmental measures due to larger redshift errors, lower sampling rates, and/or smaller areas (Cooper et al. 2005). Furthermore, we can remove cosmic variance fluctuations from observed AEGIS abundances by comparing redshift distributions to the other three, widely-separated DEEP2 fields.

Ten instrument teams and a number of theorists are now collaborating on AEGIS—nearly 100 scientists in half a dozen countries. The first fruits of this collaboration are presented in this issue of *Astrophysical Journal Letters*. These papers make use of the power of the combined AEGIS dataset in a variety of ways. Six *Letters* investigate the nature of rare objects found in the AEGIS field, illustrating the benefits of covering an area wide enough to find them in (Gerke et al. 2006; Huang et al. 2006; Kirby et al. 2006; Moustakas et al. 2006; Symeonidis et al. 2006). These studies take advantage of the full multiwavelength coverage from AEGIS, which provides each object’s spectral energy distribution (SED) in detail; we also explore the range of SEDs exhibited by a wider set of galaxies in Konidakis et al. (2006).

Five more *Letters* investigate the drivers and evolution of star formation in galaxies using the wide array of indicators available from this multifaceted dataset, based on UV, IR, and radio continuum as well as optical emission lines (Ivison et al. 2006; Lin et al. 2006; Noeske et al. 2006a,b; Weiner et al. 2006). Three *Letters* focus on the optical properties of Active Galactic Nuclei at  $z\sim 1.4$  identified using both deep X-ray and IR data, and explore their relationship to their large-scale structure environment (Georgakakis et al. 2006; Nandra et al. 2006; Pierce et al. 2006). Two *Letters* test for evolution in the relationships between mass measures to  $z\sim 1$ : between stellar mass and gas kinematics within individual galaxies (Kassin et al. 2006), and between X-ray gas emission and galaxy kinematics in groups of galaxies (Fang et al. 2006). The remaining two *Letters* use DEEP2 spectroscopy to investigate the nature of objects which are extremely red in optical-IR color, finding that a substantial fraction of the population lies at  $z<1.4$  (Conselice et al. 2006; Wilson et al. 2006).

These papers present only the first results from the AEGIS survey; we are just beginning to reach the potential of this multifaceted dataset. This *Letter* gives

ifornia Institute of Technology, its divisions Caltech Optical Observatories and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (operated for NASA), and Cornell University.

<sup>35</sup>This work is based in part on observations made with the *Spitzer Space Telescope*, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by NASA through contract numbers 1256790, 960785, and 1255094 issued by JPL/Caltech.

<sup>36</sup>The Very Large Array of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

<sup>37</sup>Data presented herein were obtained at the W. M. Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California, and NASA. The Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation.



FIG. 1.— Sky coverage maps showing the AEGIS optical and near-IR data sets. The negative grayscale image shows the *HST/ACS* mosaic image. The outlines represent: CFHT Legacy Survey MegaCam deep optical (dark blue), CFHT CFH12K optical (pink), Keck DEIMOS spectroscopy (black), and Palomar/WIRC near-IR (red) on the left, and *Chandra/ACIS* X-ray (dark blue), GALEX far- and near-UV (black), *Spitzer/IRAC* mid-IR and MIPS far-IR (green), and VLA radio continuum 6 cm (pink) and 20 cm (red) on the right. The length of the *HST/ACS* mosaic is about  $1^\circ$ . The mini test region (MTR), shown as a small light blue rectangle superposed on the *HST/ACS* image, is used to showcase the panchromatic SEDs of galaxies (Konidaris et al. 2006). The length of the yellow line segment superposed on the ACS image running along its length is  $30'$ .

details on the AEGIS data which have been obtained so far; we only describe those survey data sets in the EGS field which are used in this special issue here. Large portions of this dataset, including the DEEP2 spectra and *HST/ACS* imaging, will be publicly released in 2007, making it a legacy for the entire community.

## 2. THE PANCHROMATIC DATA SETS

The basic parameters of the AEGIS multiwavelength data sets are listed in Table 1 and their sky coverage is shown in Figure 1. The acquisition and reduction of the AEGIS data sets and the derivation of source photometry catalogs [from which panchromatic spectral energy distributions (SEDs) are measured] are described in detail below. *Spitzer* IRS mid-IR spectra exist for a relatively small number of galaxies; the details of these observations are in Le Floch et al. (2006) and Huang et al. (2006).

### 2.1. *Chandra* ACIS X-ray Images

The EGS region has been surveyed at X-ray wavelengths by *Chandra* using the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS). The observations consist of eight individual pointings obtained with the ACIS-I instrument with a pixel scale of  $\approx 0.49''$  and a field of view of  $\approx 17' \times 17'$ . The total exposure time per pointing is  $\sim 200$  ks split into at least four separate integrations obtained at different epochs.

The data reduction is performed using the CIAO data analysis software version 3.2. After identifying hot pixels and cosmic-ray afterglows, the raw data are processed through the CIAO ACIS\_PROCESS\_EVENTS task, which applies the latest gain map and charge transfer inefficiency corrections. The observations are then screened using the standard ASCA grade set (event grades 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6) and inspected for flaring spikes, when the background deviates by more than  $3\sigma$  from the mean quiescent value. Bad time intervals amount to  $< 5\%$  of the total exposure time per pointing. Individual observations of a given *Chandra* pointing are then merged into a single event file.

The final mosaic images are used to detect sources in a number of energy bands, including 0.5–2.0 keV, 2.0–7.0 keV, and 0.5–7.0 keV. The source detection is based on pre-selection of candidate sources using the

CIAO WAVDETECT task with a low likelihood probability threshold ( $10^{-4}$ ), followed by aperture count extraction using the 70% PSF radius and a local background determination to estimate the source significance (for details see Nandra et al. 2005). The final catalog comprises sources with Poisson false probability  $< 4 \times 10^{-6}$ . Point-source fluxes are estimated by integrating the net counts within the 90% encircled energy radius at the position of the source. We adopt a power-law SED with  $\Gamma=1.4$  and Galactic neutral hydrogen column density  $N_{\text{H}}=1.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , appropriate for the EGS field. The limiting fluxes in standard bands are listed in Table 1. Only a subset of the AEGIS *Chandra* observations has been analysed at this point and therefore used for followup analysis (see Georgakakis et al. 2006).

At the time of writing the AEGIS *Chandra* observations represent the third deepest X-ray survey in the sky. The Hubble Deep Field North survey is  $\sim 5 \times$  deeper over a  $\sim 5 \times$  smaller area (Alexander et al. 2003). The *Chandra* Deep Field South (Giacconi et al. 2002; Lehmer et al. 2002) covers about half the AEGIS survey area mostly to a similar depth, with a smaller central region that is twice as deep. The vast majority of X-ray sources detected in the EGS field are active galactic nuclei (AGN). At the target redshift of the DEEP2 survey,  $z=1$ , the AEGIS limiting luminosity of  $7 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  corresponds to  $\sim 0.005 \times L_*$ , where  $L_*$  is the characteristic AGN luminosity at this redshift (Barger et al. 2005).

### 2.2. GALEX Ultraviolet Images

The Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) images a  $1.25^\circ$  diameter field. GALEX images of the EGS are built from stacks of 42 far-ultraviolet (FUV) and 87 near-ultraviolet (NUV) separate one-orbit images obtained in 2003, 2004, and 2005 and processed using version 4.1 of the GALEX pipeline. The combined exposure times are  $5.8 \times 10^4 \text{ s}$  for FUV and  $1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}$  for NUV. The raw photon count images are flat-fielded and calibrated using relative response maps. The resulting calibrated intensity images are in units of  $\text{photons s}^{-1}$ , where  $1 \text{ photons s}^{-1}$  corresponds to 18.82 and 20.08 AB mag for the FUV and NUV bands, respectively. The background in the images is estimated using PoissonBG, a program written for GALEX data that uses Poisson rather than Gaussian statistics to clip suspected sources from the background map. Finally, the source catalogs are derived from the background-subtracted images with SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). More details about the GALEX pipeline can be found at <http://www.galex.caltech.edu>.

### 2.3. Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey MegaCam Optical Images

The EGS is one of four  $1 \text{ deg}^2$  fields covered by the ongoing Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS) Deep Survey<sup>30</sup>. This field (labelled “D3” by CFHTLS) has been observed for a total of 114 hr using the MegaCam imager on the 4 m Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT; Boulade et al. 2003) from April 2003 to the present; a total integration time of 330 hr is planned by the end of the five-year survey. This time is divided amongst five broad-band filters:  $u^*$ ,  $g'$ ,

<sup>30</sup> <http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Science/CFHTLS/cfht1sdeepwidefields.htm>

TABLE 1

Telescope/Instr. (Mode)	Band	PSF (FWHM)	$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$	Lim. Mag. ( $5\sigma$ in most cases)	Surf. Dens. ( $\text{deg}^{-2}$ )	Area ( $\text{deg}^2$ )	Exp. Time (ks)
<i>Chandra</i> /ACIS	HB	0.5''–6.0''	3.1 Å (4 keV)	$8.2 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	3200	0.67	200
	SB	0.5''–4.0''	12.4 Å (1 keV)	$1.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$	2500	0.67	200
GALEX	FUV	5.5''	1539 Å	25 (AB) [ $3\sigma$ ]	8720	1.13	58
	NUV	5.5''	2316 Å	25 (AB) [ $3\sigma$ ]	$2.35 \times 10^4$	1.13	120
CFHT/MegaCam (CFHLS/ <i>current</i> )	$u^*$	<1.1''	3700 Å	$\sim 27$ (AB)	$\approx 10^5$	1	6.1
	$g'$	<1.0''	4850 Å	28.3 (AB)	$\approx 10^5$	1	6.5
	$r'$	<0.9''	6250 Å	$\sim 27.5$ (AB)	$\approx 10^5$	1	15
	$i'$	<0.9''	7700 Å	$\sim 27$ (AB)	$\approx 10^5$	1	47
	$z'$	<0.9''	8850 Å	26.4 (AB)	$\approx 10^5$	1	3.6
CFHT/CFH12K	$B$	1''	4389 Å	24.5 (AB) [ $8\sigma$ ]	$1.45 \times 10^5$	1.31	6.5
	$R$	1''	6601 Å	24.2 (AB) [ $8\sigma$ ]	$1.45 \times 10^5$	1.31	3.6
	$I$	1''	8133 Å	23.5 (AB) [ $8\sigma$ ]	$1.45 \times 10^5$	1.31	4.7
<i>HST</i> /ACS (WFC)	F606W ( $V$ )	0.1''	5913 Å	28.75 (AB) [ $5\sigma$ ]	$4.0 \times 10^5$	0.197	2.3
	F814W ( $I$ )	0.1''	8330 Å	28.10 (AB)	$3.9 \times 10^5$	0.197	2.1
<i>HST</i> /NICMOS (NIC3)	F110W ( $J$ )	0.35''	1.10 $\mu\text{m}$	25.7 (AB) [ $10\sigma$ ]	$3.3 \times 10^5$	0.0128	2.6
	F160W ( $H$ )	0.35''	1.59 $\mu\text{m}$	25.5 (AB) [ $10\sigma$ ]	$3.3 \times 10^5$	0.0128	2.6
Palomar/WIRC	$J$	1''	1.25 $\mu\text{m}$	23 (Vega)	$7.64 \times 10^4$	0.2	18
	$K_s$	1''	2.14 $\mu\text{m}$	20.6 (Vega)	$5.37 \times 10^4$	0.7	11
<i>Spitzer</i> /IRAC	Band 1	1.8''	3.6 $\mu\text{m}$	0.9 $\mu\text{Jy}$	$1.66 \times 10^5$	0.33	10.1
	Band 2	2.0''	4.5 $\mu\text{m}$	0.9 $\mu\text{Jy}$	$1.68 \times 10^5$	0.33	10.1
	Band 3	2.2''	5.8 $\mu\text{m}$	6.3 $\mu\text{Jy}$	$4.90 \times 10^4$	0.33	10.1
	Band 4	2.2''	8.0 $\mu\text{m}$	5.8 $\mu\text{Jy}$	$4.86 \times 10^4$	0.33	10.1
<i>Spitzer</i> /MIPS	24 $\mu\text{m}$	5.9''	23.7 $\mu\text{m}$	77 $\mu\text{Jy}$	$1.76 \times 10^4$	0.534	1.68
	70 $\mu\text{m}$	19''	71.4 $\mu\text{m}$	10.3 mJy	1275	0.498	0.84
VLA	6 cm	1.2''	6 cm	0.55 mJy beam $^{-1}$ [ $10\sigma$ ]	88.9	5.2	0.5
	20 cm	4.2''	20 cm	100 $\mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$	1075	0.64	400

  

Telescope/Instr. (Mode)	Wavelen. Range (Å)	Spec. Res. (Å)	Spatial PSF (FWHM)	Lim. Mag.	Area ( $\text{deg}^2$ )	Num. Targ.	Exp. Time (ks)
Keck/DEIMOS (DEEP2/Spectra)	6400–9100	1.4	0.6''–1''	$R_{\text{AB}}=24.1$	0.5 ( <i>final</i> )	17,600 ( <i>final</i> )	3.6

$r'$ ,  $i'$ , and  $z'$ . Only images with seeing FWHM smaller than 0.9''–1.1'' (depending on the band) are included in the survey. Five-sigma point source detection limiting AB magnitudes in the current data set range from 26.4 (in  $z'$ ) to 28.3 (in  $g'$ ).

The catalogs used in AEGIS papers are based on a subset of the CFHTLS data set with total exposure times of 1.7/1.8/4.1/13.0/1.0 hr in  $u^*/g'/r'/i'/z'$ . After visual rejection of defective exposures, Elixir-processed frames were run through the ASTROGWYN and PHOTGWYN software packages, improving both astrometric and photometric calibrations significantly (Magnier & Cuillandre 2004; Gwyn et al. 2006); they were then corrected for distortions and coadded using SWARP<sup>31</sup>. Photometry was then obtained using the double image mode of SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), with  $i'$  used as reference image. The principal CFHTLS measurements used here are Kron aperture (SExtractor MAG\_AUTO) AB magnitudes. In addition, photometric redshifts for CFHTLS sources were determined by applying the GWYNZ code to photometry within matched, 1'' radius apertures (Gwyn et al. 2006). Additional details of the procedures used are available at: <http://www.astro.uvic.ca/grads/gwyn/cfhtls/D3.html>.

#### 2.4. Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope CFH12K Optical Images

The EGS was imaged in  $B$ ,  $R$ , and  $I$  bands using the CFH12K mosaic camera (Cuillandre et al. 2001) on CFHT. This 12K $\times$ 8K mosaic camera has a scale of 0.21'' pixel $^{-1}$  and a field of view of 0.70 $^\circ$  $\times$ 0.47 $^\circ$  (with the longer axis oriented East-West). The  $R$ -band seeing ranged from 0.75''–1'' FWHM in the four separate CFHT12K pointings covering the EGS; integration times were  $\sim 1$  hr in  $B$  and  $R$  and  $\sim 2$  hr in  $I$ . The  $R$ -band magnitudes were measured within circular apertures of radius  $3r_g$ , where  $r_g$  is the  $\sigma$  of a Gaussian fit to the image profile; for objects where  $3r_g < 1$ , a 1'' radius aperture was used instead. The  $B$ – $R$  and  $R$ – $I$  colors of each object were measured using a 1'' radius aperture. The resulting  $BRI$  photometry was calibrated to the AB system within the native CFHT12K passbands (which differ significantly from the Kron-Cousins system, particularly in  $I$ ) using stars observed by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000); the  $BRI$  stellar locus is used to ensure consistency of the color system between CFH12K pointings. Details of the data reduction, astrometry, star-galaxy separation, and catalog construction can be found in Coil et al. (2004); the resulting catalogs are available at <http://deep.berkeley.edu/DR1>.

#### 2.5. Hubble Space Telescope ACS Optical Images

Deep *HST* images of the EGS were obtained with ACS as part of GO Program 10134 (PI: M. Davis). The EGS was imaged in the  $V$  (F606W) and  $I$  (F814W) bands during the period 2004 June to 2005 March. A mosaic pattern consisting of  $21 \times 3 = 63$  contiguous “tiles” was used

<sup>31</sup> [http://terapix.iap.fr/rubrique.php?id\\_rubrique=49](http://terapix.iap.fr/rubrique.php?id_rubrique=49)

to cover an effective area of  $\sim 10.1' \times 70.5' = 710.9$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> following the IRAC imaging strip (§ 2.9). The exposure times per “tile” were 2260 and 2100 s in the *V* and *I* bands, respectively. Tiles were observed at a position angle of 130°, or rotated by multiples of 90° relative to this value to meet guide star constraints. Each tile was observed in a 4-pointing dither pattern in each filter, in order to achieve half-pixel dithering at the center of ACS WFC, bridge the detector gap, and improve tile overlap. The final mosaic is gap-free and each pixel is observed at least three times. Dithered pointings were combined with the STSDAS multidrizzle package using a square kernel. The final images have a pixel scale of  $0.03''$  pixel<sup>-1</sup> with a PSF of  $0.12''$  FWHM. The  $5\sigma$  limiting magnitudes for a point source are  $V_{F606W} = 28.14$  (AB) and  $I_{F814W} = 27.52$  (AB) within a circular aperture of radius  $0.12''$  ( $\sim 50$  pixel area). For an extended object, the  $5\sigma$  limiting magnitudes are  $V_{F606W} = 26.23$  (AB) and  $I_{F814W} = 25.61$  (AB) for a circular aperture of radius  $0.3''$  ( $\sim 314$  pixel area).

We detected objects in summed ACS *V+I* images and constructed initial galaxy segmentation maps using the SExtractor galaxy photometry software (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and a detection threshold of  $1.5\sigma$  and 50 pixels. These detection maps and the ACS zeropoints (Sirrianni et al. 2005) were applied to each band separately to create the ACS photometric catalogs.

### 2.6. Keck DEIMOS Optical Spectra

The EGS is one of the four fields observed by the DEEP2 collaboration (Davis et al. 2003). Here we briefly describe the DEEP2 data in EGS; for more details see Davis et al. (2005) for maskmaking algorithms, Faber et al. (in prep.) for full survey details, and Cooper et al. (in prep.) for data reduction pipelines. Targets were selected for DEEP2 spectroscopy from the CFHT12K *BRI* imaging described in § 2.4. Eligible DEEP2 targets have  $18.5 \leq R \leq 24.1$ ,  $>20\%$  probability of being a galaxy (based on angular size,  $B-R/R-I$  colors, and *R* mag), and surface brightness brighter than:

$$\mu_R = R + 2.5 \log_{10}(A) \leq 26.5, \quad (1)$$

where *A* is the area of the aperture used to measure the CFHT12K *R* magnitude (§ 2.4); all magnitudes are AB. Each object is given a weight based on its probability of being a galaxy, its *R* magnitude, and whether or not meets the DEEP2 color cut used to eliminate low-*z* objects in other fields [galaxies with  $(B-R) < 2.45(R-I) - 0.5$ ,  $(R-I) > 1.1$ , or  $(B-R) < 0.5$  all pass this cut]. This weight is used when randomly selecting amongst multiple objects that cannot be observed simultaneously due to DEIMOS slitmask constraints (Davis et al. 2005). Fainter objects (particularly those with  $R > 21.5$  and expected  $z < 0.75$  from the color cut) are given lower weight in order to sample a range of luminosities and roughly equal numbers of galaxies below and above  $z = 0.75$  (Faber et al. in prep.). Selection probabilities for each potential target are known to  $< 1\%$ ; the median is  $> 70\%$  for objects with  $z < 0.1$ , falls to  $54\%$  at  $z = 0.5 - 0.6$ , and is flat at  $73\%$  for  $z > 0.8$ .

All spectra were taken with the DEIMOS spectrograph (Faber et al. 2003) at the Keck II telescope. Each observation uses a unique aluminum mask milled with  $\sim 150$   $1''$  wide and  $> 3''$  long slitlets over a  $16' \times 4'$  area,

which is observed for a minimum of 1 hr (until a target signal-to-noise is reached) divided amongst 3 or more sub-exposures. The 1200 line mm<sup>-1</sup> grating used yields a dispersion of  $\sim 0.33$  Å pixel<sup>-1</sup> and a spectral resolution of FWHM = 1.4 Å. The typical wavelength range of the spectra is  $\sim 6500 - 9100$  Å, varying modestly with slit position. Slitlets are tilted up to 30° to follow the photometric major axes of extended targets.

All DEEP2 spectra were reduced with an IDL pipeline heavily modified from the IDLSPEC2D package designed for SDSS (Burles & Schlegel in prep.). Spectra were extracted using both boxcar and optimized Gaussian weighting. The pipeline determines a set of candidate redshifts for each object by fitting a linear combination of templates at each possible *z* and finding local minima in  $\chi^2(z)$ ; these redshifts are then evaluated and selected amongst by DEEP2 team members using a graphical interface. Two significant features must match the templates for a secure redshift (quality  $z_q = 3$  or 4); a resolved [O II] 3727 Å doublet is counted as two features.

Based on both repeated observations and tests with multiwavelength photometry, we estimate that  $\lesssim 5\%$  of  $z_q = 3$  redshifts (obtained for 11% of EGS targets) are incorrect, while  $\lesssim 0.5\%$  of highest-confidence,  $z_q = 4$  redshifts (60% of EGS targets) are incorrect. Lower quality redshifts are considered insecure or ambiguous and not used for any analyses. Objects with repeated observations (310 out of the 13570 galaxies observed so far in EGS) have an rms redshift uncertainty of  $30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Secure redshifts have been obtained for 9501 galaxies in EGS, with median redshift 0.74. Objects at  $z > 1.42$  tend not to have strong features in the DEEP2 spectral window; such objects appear to comprise the bulk of DEEP2 redshift failures (C. Steidel, priv. comm.).

### 2.7. Hubble Space Telescope NICMOS Near-Infrared Images

Deep *HST* Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) NIC3 images of the EGS were obtained as “parallels” of the ACS images (§ 2.5) as part of GO Program 10134 (PI: M. Davis). Each NIC3 field was observed in the *J* (F110W) and *H* (F160W) bands for 2560 s per band (one *HST* orbit). The field of view of a single NIC3 pointing is  $51.2'' \times 51.2''$ . The resulting 63 NIC3 fields cover a combined area of  $\approx 46$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>. Out of the 63, 58 fully overlap with the ACS imaging mosaic; the remaining five NIC3 pointings coincide with other AEGIS data sets. Since the NIC3 PSF is undersampled, we developed a 4-point dither pattern that simultaneously provides optimal sub-pixel dithering for ACS WFC and NIC3 to improve the final resolution of the reduced images.

The NICMOS NIC3 images were processed in similar way as the ACS images. Basic image reductions were performed with the STSDAS.CALNICA routine: flat-fielding and corrections for dark current, bias, variable quadrant bias, amplifier glow, cosmic ray persistence, detector nonlinearity, pixel defects, bad imaging regions, cosmic rays of unusual size, and the count-rate dependent nonlinearity. The four pointings per tile per filter were combined with the STSDAS.MULTIDRIZZLE package using a square kernel. The final images have a scale of  $0.1''$  pixel<sup>-1</sup> with a PSF of  $0.35''$  FWHM. Sources were detected using summed NIC3 *J+H* images using SExtractor

tor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996), using a detection threshold of  $1\sigma$  and minimum size of 10 pixels. Photometry was performed on the individual images using circular apertures of diameter  $0.52''$  calibrated using the zero-points in the NICMOS Data Handbook.

### 2.8. Palomar WIRC Near-Infrared Images

Near-IR observations of the EGS in the  $J$  and  $K$  bands were obtained using the Wide-field Infrared Camera (WIRC) on the Palomar 5 m telescope. The observations were carried out between 2003 and 2005. WIRC has an effective field of view of  $8.1' \times 8.1'$ , with a scale of  $0.25'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ . The EGS observations consist of 33 WIRC overlapping pointings in  $K$  and 10 pointings in  $J$ , each with  $4 \times 30$  s exposures dithered over a non-repeating  $7''$  pattern. Typical total exposure times per band at any given location within the EGS are 1–2 hr. The net seeing FWHM ranges from  $0.8''$  to  $1.2''$ . Photometric calibration was carried out by referencing standard stars during photometric conditions. The final images were made by combining individual mosaics obtained over several nights. The images were processed using a double-pass reduction pipeline developed specifically for WIRC. The total area covered in the  $K$  band is  $2400 \text{ arcmin}^2 = 0.67 \text{ deg}^2$ , with about a third of this area covered in the  $J$  band.

### 2.9. Spitzer IRAC Mid-Infrared Images

The *Spitzer* mid-IR observations were carried out as part of Guaranteed Time Observing (GTO) program number 8, using time contributed by G. Fazio, G. Rieke, and E. Wright. The Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004) observations were performed in two epochs, 2003 December and 2004 June/July. Each IRAC exposure covered a  $5.12' \times 5.12'$  field of view with  $256 \times 256$  pixels and a scale of  $1.2'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ . At each of 52 positions in a  $2^\circ \times 10'$  map there were dithered 200 s exposures at 3.6, 4.5, and  $5.8 \mu\text{m}$ , together with 208 dithered 50 s exposures taken concurrently at  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$ .

Data processing began with the Basic Calibrated Data produced by version 11 of the Spitzer Science Center IRAC pipeline. Individual frames were corrected for the ‘muxbleed’ artifact near bright stars. Mosaicing was done using custom IDL scripts: each individual frame was distortion-corrected and projected onto a reference frame, and the frames were combined by averaging with  $3\sigma$ -clipping. Rejection of cosmic rays, scattered light, and other image artifacts was accomplished by the sigma-clipping during mosaicing and also facilitated by having the observations done at two position angles differing by  $\sim 180^\circ$ . The scale of the mosaics,  $0.6'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , subsamples the native IRAC pixel scale by a factor of two. The two shorter-wavelength IRAC bands are more sensitive than the longer-wavelength bands.

To make catalogs, sources in the IRAC mosaics were identified using DAOPHOT/FIND, and photometered in a  $3''$  diameter aperture. Aperture corrections to the IRAC calibration photometry aperture of  $12.2''$  (multiplicative factors of 2.07, 2.15, 2.45, and 2.68 in the four bands) were applied. For each object, neighboring objects in a 200-pixel box were subtracted before photometry. Both  $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ - and  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$ -selected catalogs were generated: photometry for the objects in each was centered on the position in the mosaic in the selected band. There are about 73,000 objects in the  $3.6 \mu\text{m}$ -selected

catalog, many of which are undetected in the  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$  mosaic; the  $8.0 \mu\text{m}$  catalog contains only 16,000 objects.

### 2.10. Spitzer MIPS Far-Infrared Images

*Spitzer* far-IR observations with the MIPS instrument (Rieke et al. 2004) were also carried out as part of the same GTO program. Data were obtained in January and June 2004 using the slow rate MIPS scan mode with legs  $2.4^\circ$  long. The MIPS  $24 \mu\text{m}$  channel has a  $5.4' \times 5.4'$  field of view ( $128 \times 128$  array of  $2.55''$  pixels). The  $70 \mu\text{m}$  channel has a  $5.2' \times 5.2'$  field of view ( $32 \times 32$  array of  $9.98''$  pixels), but only half of the array is functional. The  $160 \mu\text{m}$  channel has a  $5.3' \times 2.1'$  field of view ( $20 \times 3$  array of  $16'' \times 18''$  pixels), but one row of the array is not operational. The final mosaic covers an area  $\sim 2.4^\circ \times 10'$ . The effective integration time at  $24 \mu\text{m}$  is  $\sim 1500$  s for locations near the long centerline of the strip, decreasing to  $\sim 700$  s  $5'$  from the centerline. At 70 and  $160 \mu\text{m}$ , the average integration times are  $\sim 700$  and  $100 \text{ s pixel}^{-1}$  respectively. The data were reduced and mosaiced with the MIPS Data Analysis Tool (Gordon et al. 2005). Sources were identified and photometry extracted with PSF fitting using the DAOPHOT software (Stetson 1987).

### 2.11. VLA Radio Continuum Images

Radio continuum observations at 6 cm (4.8 GHz, C band) were obtained at the Very Large Array (VLA) in BnA configuration for a total of 19 hr during 2003 October 11–13 (the continuum mode maximizes sensitivity but decreases the effective field of view). At 4.8 GHz the VLA antennas have a primary beam FWHM of  $9'$ . The mapping grid contained 74 pointings, spaced  $5'$  apart, providing roughly uniform sensitivity over a  $17' \times 2^\circ$  strip. Each pointing center was observed for 15 min. Phase stability was sufficient to yield astrometric accuracy better than  $0.1''$  rms, and flux density was calibrated relative to 3C 286. Images containing bright ( $>10 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$ ) point sources were self-calibrated.

The data were reduced using the AIPS software package. For each of the 74 pointings, we created a  $2048 \times 2048$  pixel image with a scale of  $0.4'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ . To avoid clean bias we CLEAN-ed the images to a flux level of  $260 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ , corresponding to  $\sim 4\sigma$  rms, which took  $\sim 100$ – $200$  iterations. For each quarter of the length of the strip, overlapping images were combined into a mosaic using the LINMOS task in the MIRIAD software package. The rms noise in the mosaiced images is  $42 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . Source candidates were extracted using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and photometry was performed with JMFIT in AIPS on the individual pointing images, with corrections for delay beam distortions.

Altogether 51 radio components (some of which may be double radio sources) were detected at  $\geq 10\sigma$  significance. Further details are in Table 1, and the source list and identification with IRAC and DEEP2 counterparts are given by Willner et al. (2006).

Observations at 20 cm (1.4 GHz, L band) are described by Ivison et al. (2006) where the resulting catalog, AEGIS20, containing 1123 discrete radio emitters is published in electronic form. Briefly, spectral-line data were obtained using the VLA in B configuration, with correlator mode ‘4’, for a total of 110 hr in 2003 December and 2005 April–June. Eight overlapping pointings were observed spanning the length of the EGS, concentrating on

the six furthest from the bright source, 3C 295. For each pointing the  $\sim 30'$  FWHM primary beam was blanketed with 37 images, each comprising  $512 \times 512$  pixels with a scale of  $0.8'' \text{ pixel}^{-1}$ , with 10–20 additional images centered on more distant, bright sources (including 3C 295). Central images of the six main pointings were mosaiced, correcting for the primary beam response and excluding data beyond the half-power point. The resulting image covers  $0.04, 0.36,$  and  $0.64 \text{ deg}^2$  to  $5\sigma$  limits of 50, 75, and  $100 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ . Source detection for AEGIS20 followed that described by Biggs & Ivison (2006).

### 3. SUMMARY

This *Letter* has described the multiwavelength dataset in the Extended Groth Strip assembled by the AEGIS collaboration. The remaining *Letters* in this special issue discuss a wide range of scientific results derived from these data. By combining deep observations at almost every wavelength available over  $\sim 1 \text{ deg}^2$  of sky with the relatively high resolution spectroscopy and dense sampling of the DEEP2 Galaxy Redshift Survey, AEGIS is making possible many unique studies of the evolution of galaxies over more than half the history of the universe. The high-quality internal kinematics and envi-

ronment measurements in EGS are unmatched amongst deep multiwavelength fields. The dataset is continuing to grow and its potential is only beginning to be tapped; we expect AEGIS to provide a legacy long into the future.

This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. The authors wish to recognize and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. ALC and JAN are supported by NASA through Hubble fellowship grants HF-01182 and HF-01165 awarded by STScI, which is operated by AURA, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS 5-26555. JML acknowledges support from the NOAO Leo Goldberg Fellowship, NASA/STScI grants GO-10134 and AR-10675, NASA NAG5-11513 grant to P. Madau, and a CalSpace grant to D. C. Koo. LAM's work was carried out at JPL/Caltech, under a contract with NASA. SAK would like to thank Eddie Bergeron for assistance with reducing and calibrating the NICMOS data.

### REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. M., et al. 2003, *AJ*, 126, 539  
 Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Mushotzky, R. F., Yang, Y., Wang, W.-H., Steffen, A. T., & Capak, P., *AJ*, 129, 578  
 Beck-Winchatz, B., & Anderson, S. F. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 2582  
 Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393  
 Biggs, A. D., & Ivison, R. J. 2006, *MNRAS*, submitted  
 Boulade, O., et al. 2003., *SPIE*, 4841, 72  
 Brunner, R. J., Connolly, A. J., & Szalay, A. S. 1999, *ApJ*, 516, 563  
 Cardiel, N., Elbaz, D., Schiavon, R. P., Willmer, C. N. A., Koo, D. C., Phillips, A. C., & Gallego, J. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 76  
 Coil, A. L., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 617, 765  
 Conselice, C. J., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, in press (this issue; astro-ph/0607242)  
 Cooper, M. C., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 634, 833  
 Coppin, K., Halpern, M., Scott, D., Borys, C., & Chapman, S. 2005, *MNRAS*, 357, 1022  
 Cristóbal-Hornillos, D., Balcells, M., Prieto, M., Guzmán, R., Gallego, J., Cardiel, N., Serrano, Á., & Pelló, R. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 71  
 Cuillandre, J.-C., Luppino, G., Starr, B., & Isani, S. 2001, in *Proceedings of Semaine de l'Astrophysique Française*, eds. F. Combes, D. Barret, & F. Thévenin (Les Ulis: EdP-Sciences), 605  
 Davis, M., et al. 2003, *SPIE*, 4834, 161  
 Davis, M., Gerke, B. F., Newman, J. A., & the DEEP2 team 2005, *ASP Conf. Ser.* 339: *Observing Dark Energy*, 339, 128  
 Faber, S. M., et al. 2003, *SPIE*, 4841, 1657  
 Fang, T., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Fazio, G. G., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 10  
 Flores, H., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 148  
 Fomalont, E. B., et al. 1991, *AJ*, 102, 1258  
 Georgakakis, A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, in press (this issue; astro-ph/0607274)  
 Gerke, B. F., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Giaconni, R., et al. 2002, *ApJS*, 139, 369  
 Giavalisco, M., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 600, L93  
 Gordon, K. D., et al. 2005, *PASP*, 117, 503  
 Gwyn, S., et al. 2006, in preparation  
 Hines, D. C., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 638, 1070  
 Hopkins, A. M., Connolly, A. J., & Szalay, A. S. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 2843  
 Houck, J. R., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 18  
 Huang, J.-S., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Ivison, R., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, in press (this issue; astro-ph/0607271)  
 Kassin, S. A., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Kirby, E. N., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Koekemoer, A. M., & Scoville, N. Z. 2005, *New Astron. Rev.*, 49, 461  
 Konidaris, N., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Le Floch, E., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Lehmer, B. D., et al. 2005, *ApJS*, 161, 21  
 Lilly, S. J., Hammer, F., Le Fevre, O., & Crampton, D. 1995, *ApJ*, 455, 75  
 Lilly, S., & The zCOSMOS Team. 2005, *The Messenger*, 121, 42  
 Lin, L., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue; astro-ph/0607272)  
 Magnier, E. A., & Cuillandre, J.-C. 2004, *PASP*, 116, 449  
 Miyaji, T., et al. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 3180  
 Moustakas, L., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue; astro-ph/0607239)  
 Nandra, K., et al. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 568  
 Nandra, K., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, in press (this issue; astro-ph/0607270)  
 Noeske, K. G., et al. 2006a, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Noeske, K. G., et al. 2006b, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Pierce, C. M., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Rhodes, J., Refregier, A., & Groth, E. J. 2000, *ApJ*, 536, 79  
 Rieke, G. H., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 25  
 Rix, H.-W., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 152, 163  
 Sarajedini, V., et al. 2006, *ApJS*, in press (astro-ph/0605370)  
 Simard, L., et al. 2002, *ApJS*, 142, 1  
 Sirianni, M., et al. 2005, *PASP*, 117, 1049  
 Steidel, C. C., Adelberger, K. L., Shapley, A. E., Pettini, M., Dickinson, M., & Giavalisco, M. 2003, *ApJ*, 592, 728  
 Stetson, P. B. 1987, *PASP*, 99, 191  
 Symeonidis, M., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Vogt, N. P., et al. 2005, *ApJS*, 159, 41  
 Weiner, B. J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, 620, 595  
 Weiner, B. J., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 Willner, S. P., et al. 2006, *AJ*, submitted  
 Wilson, G., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, submitted (this issue)  
 York, D. G., et al. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579