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#### Abstract

Polyploidization, or genome duplication, has played a critical role in the diversification of animals, fungi, and plants. Little is known about the population structure and multiple origins of polyploid species because of the difficulty identifying multiple homeologous nuclear genes. The allotetraploid species Arabidopsis kamchatica is closely related to the model species A. thaliana and is distributed in a broader climatic niche than its parental species. Here, we performed direct sequencing of homeologous pairs of the low-copy nuclear genes $W E R$ and $C H S$ by designing homeolog-specific primers, and obtained also chloroplast and ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences. Phylogenetic analysis showed that 50 individuals covering the distribution range including North America are allopolyploids derived from A. lyrata and A. halleri. Three major clusters within A. kamchatica were detected using Bayesian clustering. One cluster has widespread distribution. The other two are restricted to the southern part of the distribution range including Japan, where the parent $A$. lyrata is not currently distributed. This suggests that the mountains in Central Honshu and surrounding areas in Japan served as refugia during glacial-interglacial cycles and retained the diversity. We also found that multiple haplotypes of nuclear and chloroplast sequences of $A$. kamchatica are identical to those of their parental species. This indicates that multiple diploid individuals contributed to the origin of $A$. kamchatica. The haplotypes of lowcopy nuclear genes in Japan suggest independent polyploidization events rather than introgression. Our findings suggest that self-compatibility and gene silencing occurred independently in different origins.


## Introduction

Allopolyploidization, or genome-wide duplication with hybridization, has played an important role in evolution and diversification in plants (Stebbins 1950, 1971; Ohno 1970; Levin 2002; Comai 2005; Marhold \& Lihová 2006; Otto 2007). It was suggested that nearly all angiosperms experienced polyploidization in the past and that $57 \%$ to $70 \%$ of them experienced polyploidy relatively recently (Otto 2007). Despite the prevalence of polyploids, the identification of the parental species of polyploids has been difficult. Common markers used in molecular phylogenetic studies include chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) sequences with uniparental inheritance and nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences, which often retain only one parental unit because of concerted evolution. When the cpDNA and ITS are derived from different species, the incongruence can help identify the parents of an allotetraploid. However, when concerted evolution of ITS has resulted in maintenance of the haplotype from the same parent as that of cpDNA, only one of the parents can be identified. In addition, it is technically difficult to separate homeologs derived from multiple parental species because of their high degree of similarity with each other. Cloning of PCR products would result in false sequences because of PCR errors and artificial recombination between alleles and homeologs (Cronn et al. 2002; Lihová et al. 2006). To solve these problems, it is necessary to design homeolog-specific primers for 'low-copy nuclear genes'. Here, genes of a polyploid that are orthologous to a singlecopy nuclear gene in the parental species are referred to as low-copy nuclear genes, and each copy is referred to as a homeolog.

We recently suggested that Arabidopsis kamchatica had an allotetraploid origin derived
from A. lyrata and A. halleri (Shimizu et al. 2005). Arabidopsis kamchatica has been exploited as a model species to study the evolution of polyploids by using the extensive genomic and genetic resources of $A$. thaliana and the ongoing whole genome sequencing of its putative parent, A. lyrata (http://genome.jgipsf.org/Araly1/Araly1.home.html) (Shimizu 2002; Shimizu \& Purugganan 2005). Selfcompatibility (Dart et al. 2004; Mable et al. 2004; Sugisaka \& Kudoh 2008), flowering time (under the nomenclature as A. lyrata from Alaska, Kuittinen et al. 2008), and the epigenetically regulated FWA gene (Fujimoto et al. 2008) of $A$. kamchatica have been studied recently. Arabidopsis kamchatica is distributed in East Asia and North America. The overlap of $A$. kamchatica, A. lyrata, and A. halleri is limited only to Far East Russia (Shimizu et al. 2005). While we suggested an allopolyploid origin based on a low-copy nuclear gene in two individuals from Japan (Shimizu et al. 2005), an autopolyploid origin of North American individuals was proposed based on cpDNA and ITS sequences (Koch \& Matschinger 2007).

Soltis et al. (2003) emphasized that recurrent origin of polyploid species is the rule rather than the exception. The independent origin cases allow one to examine the repeatability of evolution (Adams \& Wendel 2005). Geographically independent origins (or polytopic origins) have been documented in a few polyploid species that appeared very recently. In Tragopogon, multiple origins during the 20th century were suggested by a concordance between geographic variation patterns of the diploids and polyploids (Tate et al. 2006). Levin (2002) noted that such a concordance is the best evidence for multiple independent origins. However, unless the origin was very recent, geographic variation may not be useful to identify independent origins of most polyploid species, including $A$. kamchatica, because previous studies have suggested that the current
ranges of hybrids and parental species are poor predictors of the site of hybridization and that polyploid species tend to expand their distribution range by shifting to new ecological niches (Anderson \& Stebbins 1954; Watanabe \& Yahara 1984; Levin 2002; Beck et al. 2008). Apart from geographic data, independent origins have been supported strongly by multiple haplotypes (or alleles) shared by polyploid and parental species. The sharing of a polyploid with more than one chloroplast haplotype with a parental species, or more than two haplotypes of a nuclear homeolog indicates that multiple haplotypes of parental species contributed to the polyploid species, and suggests the independent origins of the polyploid species. A number of studies have shown the sharing of multiple polymorphic markers such as isozyme and cpDNA among polyploid and parental species, and have suggested that independent origins are widespread (reviewed by Soltis \& Soltis 1993, 1999). However, it has also been suggested that haplotype sharing with parental species can result from both independent polyploidization events and introgression from diploid parental species (Ramsey \& Schemske 1998; Husband 2004).

In this study, we address the population structure and polyploid origin of $A$. kamchatica by examining multiple populations distributed across its distribution range. In addition to cpDNA and ITS regions, we sequenced homeologous pairs of low-copy nuclear genes. We focused on the contribution of multiple parental individuals rather than the geographically independent origins. We discuss scenarios of independent polyploidization events vs. introgression based on low-copy nuclear genes.

## Materials and Methods

## Sampling

For $A$. kamchatica, two subspecies are recognized based on morphology, life history, and habitats. The first subspecies, A. kamchatica subsp. kamchatica, is a perennial, described originally from Kamchatka, Russia. It is reported from East Asia (Far East Russia, China, Korea, Japan, and Taiwan) and North America (Alaska, Canada, and Pacific Northwest of the United States). The second subspecies, A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana, is an annual found in sandy open habitats along seashores or lakeshores in lowlands in western Japan. Tetraploid chromosome number counts ( $2 n=32$ and $n=$ $16_{\text {II }}$ ) were reported from samples in Japan, Far East Russia, Alaska, and Canada, and represent both subspecies (see references in Mulligan 1995; Shimizu et al. 2005; Warwick \& Al-Shehbaz 2006). Arabidopsis kamchatica is morphologically similar to $A$. lyrata, and the taxon has been treated either as an infraspecific taxon of $A$. lyrata (O’Kane \& Al-Shehbaz 1997) or as a distinct species (see references in Mulligan 1995; Shimizu et al. 2005).

Altogether 45 populations of the tetraploid $A$. kamchatica (both subspecies) were sampled, including one or two individuals per locality, giving a total of 50 individuals (Table 1). The sample locations ranged from the southwestern (Taiwan) to the northeastern (Alaska and Washington state in the USA, Canada) areas of the species range. The emphasis was on Kamchatka, from which $A$. kamchatica was described originally, and on Japan, where A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana has been recognized (see Fig. 1, Table 1) (Mulligan 1995; Shimizu et al. 2005). To facilitate the reference to the areas sampled, they are denoted by letters A-I (Fig. 1, Table 1).

For the potential parental species, diploids $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri, we collected at least one sample from each subspecies described by O'Kane and Al-Shehbaz (1997) and Kolník and Marhold (2006). Within A. halleri, subspecies gemmifera is distributed in Eastern Asia, and the other four subspecies occur in Europe. Within A. lyrata, subspecies petraea is reported from Eurasia and subspecies lyrata from North America. Thus, the current distribution of $A$. kamchatica overlaps only partly with those of the diploids. Because A. lyrata is not found in Taiwan and Japan and A. halleri does not occur in North America, Far East Russia is the only area where the three species cooccur. Here we sampled the diploids mainly from Eastern Asia (Far East Russia, Japan) and from more remote areas (Europe and the USA). The samples represent 15 individuals of $A$. halleri from 13 populations and seven individuals of $A$. lyrata from four populations (Table 1). Although our sampling did not represent species-wide coverage (which was not the aim of the present study), we exploit here much of the sequence data on these diploids published previously (Ramos-Onsins et al. 2004; Koch \& Matschinger 2007; Schmickl et al. 2008).

## Chromosome number counts

Chromosome number was counted to check ploidy. Root tips were treated with cold water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, fixed in $3: 1$ (vol:vol) ethanol-acetic acid at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour, and stained in $1 \%$ acetic-orcein (see Shimizu et al. 2005). An individual from the population of kamC11, from which CHS-hal was not amplified, and two individuals from Kamchatka (the population of kamG39), where A. kamchatica was described originally, were assayed. Ihara (1976) reported triploid plants (as Arabis sp.) from the same site as kamC11 (Mt. Shikokutsurugi), but our chromosomal count showed a
tetraploid count.

Primer designs and strategies for separating homeologs and alleles
We sequenced two low-copy nuclear genes WER (WEREWOLF) and CHS (CHALCONE SYNTHASE), two cpDNA regions (the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron and the $\operatorname{trnL} L$-trnF intergenic spacer region, see Koch et al. 2005; Ansell et al. 2007), and the ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) (Alvarez \& Wendel 2003). The WER gene encodes a protein with an myb DNA-binding domain and is involved in the root hair development in A. thaliana (Lee \& Schiefelbein 1999). A WU-BLAST search (www.arabidopsis.org) showed that the $W E R$ coding sequence has only $62 \%-80 \%$ identity with its closest homologs, GLI and MYBRTF, and is considered a single-copy gene. The CHS gene forms multigene families in some taxa but has been reported to be a single-copy gene in A. thaliana and other related diploid Brassicaceae taxa (Shimizu et al. 2005; Lihová et al. 2006).

To infer a phylogeny based on nuclear sequences, natural and artificial recombination between homeologs and between alleles should be excluded. To obtain sequences of two homeologs separately for both $W E R$ and $C H S$ genes from the tetraploid $A$. kamchatica, we designed homeolog-specific primers using the methods described by Lihová et al. (2006) (Supporting Fig. S1a, Table S1, and Text S1). To obtain haplotypes (or allele sequences) within each homeolog, we applied the following strategies while avoiding cloning.

1. Plants were self-fertilized in a growth chamber to obtain homozygous individuals. This was feasible for $A$. kamchatica because selfing was possible in all 18 individuals we tried (Table 1). In several individuals of the self-incompatible diploids $A$. halleri and
A. lyrata (Castric \& Vekemans 2004), pollination at the flower bud stage often avoided the self-incompatibility reaction and allowed self-fertilization.
2. When only one heterozygous site was found by direct sequencing, the haplotypes were resolved (e.g., CHS sequences named haltat1a1 and haltat1a2 from the individual haltat1, see Table 1).
3. When only one indel was found in direct sequencing, sequencing from both directions resolved the haplotypes (e.g., $W E R$ sequences kamA3Ha1 and kamA3Ha2; Table 1).
4. We sequenced multiple individuals of $A$. halleri subsp. halleri and subsp. dacica to find homozygotes.

Sequence alignments, copy numbers, phylogenetic and population genetic analysis, intrapopulation polymorphism, and Bayesian clustering

The details are given in Supporting Text S1 and Tables S1-S3. All sequences obtained in this study were deposited under GenBank accession numbers GQ303456-GQ303550. The sequence assemblies and alignments were performed in BioLign version 4.0.6.2 (http://www2.maizegenetics.net/index.php?page=bioinformatics/index.html) and edited manually using the program BioEdit version 7.0.4.1 (Hall 1999). After the alignments were assembled, identical sequences were detected using MacClade 4.0 PPC (Maddison \& Maddison 2000) and merged, which reduced the alignments to comprise only the sets of unique sequences.

The final alignments of the nuclear regions ( $W E R$, CHS , $C H S$-lyr and ITS) were subjected to maximum-parsimony (MP) analysis (Swofford 2001) and to Bayesian inference based on a Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm (MCMC; Huelsenbeck \& Ronquist 2001). Bootstrap analyses (Felsenstein 1985) were performed using 100,000
resamplings with the fast-heuristic search as implemented in PAUP* (Swofford 2001). Gaps were used as additional characters in the MP analyses (see also Text S1). Except for short 1- or 2-bp gaps that appeared to be caused by slipped-strand mispairing, each gap was scored, and the scoring was appended to the alignment. In the case of simple, nonoverlapping gaps, these were coded as binary characters using the "simple gapcoding" approach as suggested by Simmons and Ochoterena (2000). More complex gaps (i.e., of different lengths and overlapping) were coded as multistate (up to four states) characters.

The haplotype network of the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron region was constructed by a minimumspanning network using the NETWORK program v. 4.5.1.0 (Bandelt et al. 1999; freely available at www.fluxus-engineering.com). To survey the extent of polymorphism within populations, sequences of the $\operatorname{trnL} L$ trn $F$ region were obtained from additional individuals of three populations (see Text S1 and Table S3). MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007) was used for neighbor-joining analysis. The minimum numbers of recombination events were detected using the four-gamete test (Hudson \& Kaplan 1985), and the levels of silent-site nucleotide diversity of each homeolog were estimated as $\pi$ (Tajima 1983) (Table S2) as implemented in DnaSP version 4.10.7 (Rozas et al. 2003). To survey the associations between different loci, the gametic disequilibrium $D^{\prime}$ (Hedrick 1987) was calculated.

To infer the population structure of A. kamchatica, the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in the program structure version 2.2 (http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html) (Pritchard et al. 2000) was used. The data were treated as haploid data as recommended for complete-selfing species (Gao et al.
2007) and as commonly done for predominantly-selfing species (e.g., Nordborg et al. 2005; Beck et al. 2008). The programs CLUMPP (Jakobsson \& Rosenberg 2007), distruct (http://rosenberglab.bioinformatics.med.umich.edu/distruct.html) (Rosenberg 2004), and $\Delta K$ statistic (Evanno et al. 2005) were used to summarize and interpret the outputs.

## Results

## Chromosome counts

We counted chromosome numbers of two individuals from the population of kamG39 in Kamchatka and one individual from the population of kamC11 in Japan (see Table 1 for population origins). All were tetraploids with $2 n=32$ (Fig. 2).

## Homeologous pairs of WER and CHS

Amplification of the nuclear genes $W E R$ and $C H S$ in the tetraploid $A$. kamchatica using homeolog-specific primers resulted in two homeologs in each gene, named here as $W E R$-hal, $W E R$-lyr, $C H S$-hal, and $C H S$-lyr (Table 1). Among the 50 individuals analyzed, $C H S$-hal was not amplified from three individuals from Central Honshu and Shikoku (kamC11, kamC12, and kamD23), which suggests a large deletion or a rearrangement (see Text S1 for details). The tetraploid count of one of them (kamC11) indicates that it was not caused by the change in ploidy. These results were also supported by the survey of copy numbers using PCR and restriction patterns (Fig. S1) (following Lihová et al. 2006).

No natural recombination was detected in either $W E R$ or $C H S$ homeologs of $A$. kamchatica using the four-gamete test (Hudson \& Kaplan 1985). However, natural recombination was detected in three of eight $C H S$ sequences obtained from European diploids (lyrpet2, haltat1a2, and halovi1) (Fig. S2a). We conducted a phylogenetic analysis without these three sequences.

Homeologous pairs of $A$. kamchatica were aligned with those of the diploid species A. lyrata and A. halleri, and with A. pedemontana and A. thaliana as outgroups. The phylogenetic trees of all $W E R$ and $C H S$ sequences are shown in Figs 3 and 4. The phylogenetic tree of the lyrata-originated CHS homeolog alone was also inferred because we obtained longer sequences than for the halleri-originated homeolog, and several unique haplotypes were identified (Fig. S3a). Maximum parsimony analysis and Bayesian inference resulted in very similar tree topologies with slight differences only in weakly supported clades (see also Fig. S3, Text S1 and Table S2 for more details).

Three major clades with high bootstrap supports are resolved in the phylogenetic tree of $W E R$ (Fig. 3): one clade comprised all individuals of $A$. halleri and the corresponding homeolog from the tetraploids ( $W E R$-hal), another clade comprised three individuals of A. lyrata and the other homeolog from the tetraploids (WER-lyr), and the third clade included three individuals of $A$. lyrata. In the analysis of $C H S$, three major clades were resolved similarly (Fig. 4). These results strongly support the allopolyploid origin of $A$. kamchatica (including both subspecies) from the diploids $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri.

Nucleotide diversity $(\pi)$ of silent sites of the tetraploid $A$. kamchatica is in the range of 0.0006-0.0026 among the four nuclear loci (average 0.0013) (Table S2). The number of haplotypes resolved in the tetraploid is in the range of 8-11 among the four
loci when indel polymorphisms are included.
The geographic distribution of the nuclear haplotypes of $A$. kamchatica was not random. We found a widespread and common haplotype within each locus (shown with one or two black stars in Figs 3, 4; for $C H S$-lyr, see also Fig. S3a based on longer sequences), which was observed mainly from the broad area F-I (Figs 1 and S4), along with several geographically more restricted haplotypes. In particular, two regions harbored one or more haplotypes that were geographically restricted in all four loci: lowlands in Western Honshu (B), represented by A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana, and mountains in Central Honshu together with mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku (C and D) (Figs 1 and S4). The division of these areas suggested by the association between homeologs is also supported by the Bayesian clustering analysis (see below).

Although our sampling of the parental diploid species was limited, we identified one or two haplotype sequences that were identical to those of $A$. kamchatica in each of the four loci (Figs 3 and 4, Text S1). First, in $W E R$-hal and $C H S$-hal, two haplotypes observed in A. kamchatica are identical to those of A. halleri. Second, in WER-lyr and CHS-lyr, a haplotype found in North America is identical to a haplotype of A. lyrata individuals from Far East Russia (lyrpet4 and 5). In addition, in CHS-lyr, an intermediate frequency haplotype (kamCL,DL in Fig. S2a and S3a) is identical to a haplotype of $A$. lyrata individuals from western Russia (lyrpet2) over more than 1 kb to the left of the recombination breakpoint (Fig. S2a).

To increase the sequences of diploid taxa, 22 CHS sequences from $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri reported by Ramos-Onsins et al. (2004) were combined with our data. Critically, Ramos-Onsins et al. (2004) used cloning, and the possibility of artificial recombination
cannot be excluded. Thus, we estimated the gene genealogy, in which the identity of the haplotypes could be revealed but the branch pattern might not reflect the historical phylogenetic relationship of the entire region (Fig. S5). We observed the same pattern of haplotype sharing between polyploid and diploid parents with or without the sequences reported by Ramos-Onsins et al. (2004); two haplotypes of CHS-hal were shared with $A$. halleri, and a haplotype of $C H S$-lyr was shared with $A$. lyrata (Figs 4 and S5). The haplotype of lyrpet 2 mentioned above was identical to that from the same population sequenced by Ramos-Onsins et al. (2004).

These results confirm further that $A$. kamchatica is allopolyploid derived from $A$. halleri and $A$. lyrata, and that at least two distinct haplotypes of both $A$. halleri and $A$. lyrata were incorporated into $A$. kamchatica.

## Chloroplast and ITS sequences

Once allopolyploidy is confirmed by low-copy nuclear genes, cpDNA is highly suitable to infer the independent origin of a polyploid because a unique uniparental haplotype is transmitted in each hybridization event without recombination. Sequencing two cpDNA regions (trnL intron and the $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trn} F$ intergenic spacer region) resulted in 18 cpDNA haplotypes in A. kamchatica, A. lyrata, and A. halleri. In 50 individuals of $A$. kamchatica, we identified seven cpDNA haplotypes (cpHap1-7) (Table 1, Fig. S2c). Four of them (cpHap1, 2, 3, 5) were also found in diploid A. halleri subsp. gemmifera but not in any other diploid taxa. These results suggest that at least four individuals of $A$. halleri contributed to the origin of the allopolyploid species $A$. kamchatica.

We further analyzed our data in the context of previously published data of the two cpDNA regions (582 individuals from Koch \& Matschinger 2007, Schmickl et al.
2008). Whereas the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron region was alignable, the alignment of the $\operatorname{trn} L-\operatorname{trn} F$ intergenic spacer region was uncertain because of frequent and possibly parallel mutations in tandemly duplicated copies (Fig. S2c). Thus, as the first step, the trnL intron region was used to construct a haplotype network. In our 50 samples of $A$. kamchatica, three haplotypes of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron (one in cpHap2, 3, 4, and 5, one in cpHap6, one in cpHap1 and 7) were identified (Fig. 5a). The resolution of the trnL intron region alone was not enough to infer the parental species because the same haplotypes have been observed in the published data of $A$. arenosa, A. halleri, and $A$. lyrata. Therefore, the identities of the $\operatorname{trnL-trnF}$ intergenic spacer region were considered to distinguish the cpDNA haplotypes from different species (Figs 5 b and 6). Among seven haplotypes of $A$. kamchatica (cpHap1-7), we found that cpHap1, 2, 3, and 5 were shared with $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera and that they were not found in any other diploid taxa. In turn, cpHap4, 6, and 7 were found exclusively in A. kamchatica analyzed here. These results agree with our conclusions reported above, suggesting that at least four cpDNA haplotypes of $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera were incorporated into $A$. kamchatica.

In the tree based on the ITS region (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2), $A$. kamchatica, except for one individual (kamD17), formed a clade with diploid A. lyrata. In contrast, the ITS of the single individual from Japan (kamD17) was distinct from all other allotetraploids and formed a clade with $A$. halleri (Figs 7, S2b, and S3d).

## Population structure of Arabidopsis kamchatica

We used a model-based Bayesian clustering method (Pritchard et al. 2000) to infer the population structure of $A$. kamchatica integrating the information from the four nuclear
loci (WER-hal, $W E R$-lyr, $C H S$-hal, $C H S$-lyr) and the cpDNA (Fig. 8 and Text S1). The high values of the mean posterior probability of data $\operatorname{Ln} P(X \mid K), \Delta K$ and the symmetric similarity coefficient (SSC) supported the clustering of $K=3$ (Fig. 8b-d). The three clusters correspond to those described above in the section of phylogeny. Cluster 1 (light green in Fig. 8a) covers a wide range of distribution including northern Japan, Kamchatka, Alaska, Canada, and the Pacific Northwest of the USA (areas F-I). Cluster 2 (orange) includes $A$. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana from lowlands in Western Honshu (B) and three individuals from Taiwan (A). Cluster 3 (blue) comprises the individuals from mountains in Central Honshu, Western Honshu, and Shikoku (C and D) (Figs 1 and 8a).

Genetic admixtures between different clusters were suggested mostly in geographic border regions. For example, one individual from the lowlands of Northern Honshu (kamE26 in area E) had haplotypes characteristic of subsp. kawasakiana in WER-hal and CHS-hal, whereas haplotypes typical for subsp. kamchatica were seen in CHS-lyr and cpDNA, suggesting that it is a hybrid between these two subspecies. In addition, individuals in area F had common haplotypes of low-copy nuclear genes and belonged to cluster 1, but its cpDNA (cpHap3) was mainly found in cluster 3. Such 'plastid capture' is observed often in plant species (Okuyama et al. 2005).

## Discussion

Allopolyploid origin of Arabidopsis kamchatica from A. lyrata and A. halleri
In contrast to the previous studies on Arabidopsis species, exploring mainly cpDNA and

ITS nuclear ribosomal data (Koch \& Matschinger 2007), we focused the present study on biparentally inherited low-copy nuclear genes. By designing homeolog-specific primers, we targeted two genes ( $W E R$ and $C H S$ ) in the tetraploid A. kamchatica, for which both allo- and autopolyploidy were argued previously (Shimizu et al. 2005, Koch \& Matschinger 2007). Confounding factors in the analyses of low-copy nuclear genes are artificial and natural recombination. In the present study, the former problem was excluded by avoiding cloning procedure, and the latter was not detected by the fourgamete test in our Asian and American materials. The subsequent phylogenetic analysis of both $W E R$ and CHS genes revealed that one of the homeologs retrieved from $A$. kamchatica clustered with A. lyrata, whereas the other clustered with A. halleri. We obtained congruent results for the recently studied $F W A$ genes for two individuals of $A$. kamchatica (representing both subspecies), which showed homeologs corresponding to A. lyrata and A. halleri, respectively (Fujimoto et al. 2008). These results provide strong evidence that A. kamchatica (both subspecies recognized by Shimizu et al. 2005) is an allopolyploid derived from the diploids $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri.

Bayesian cluster analysis that integrated the nuclear and cpDNA haplotype data identified three geographically defined clusters. Cluster 1 covered a broad range from Northern Japan and Kamchatka to North America. Cluster 2 comprised mainly $A$. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana in lowlands of Western Honshu, Japan. Cluster 3 included the individuals from mountains in Central Honshu, in which a number of rare haplotypes were found. In the next sections, we discuss the origin of polyploidy as a possible explanation of this population structure.

Hybrid and allopolyploid origins have quite often been inferred from the incongruence between cpDNA and ITS data. However, this approach can fail to detect
the hybrid origin in cases when both cpDNA and ITS represent only one of the parents or when the sampling and/or the resolution is not adequate (Kim et al. 2008). Thus, it may not be suitable for species-wide analysis of polyploid species. The cpDNA and ITS of A. kamchatica (Koch \& Matschinger 2007) did not suggest the hybrid origin of American individuals, although these support the hybrid origin of Japanese individuals that was shown previously using the low-copy nuclear gene CHS (Shimizu et al. 2005). We suggest that, provided that the effects of natural and artificial recombination are avoided, low-copy nuclear genes provide critical information for the study of hybrid and allopolyploid origins, as well as the geographic organization of their genetic variation.

The origin of the allopolyploid Arabidopsis kamchatica from multiple individuals of its diploid parents

Critical data to support the independent origins of polyploid species include the sharing of multiple haplotypes between diploid and polyploid species (Soltis et al. 2003). Here we found ample evidence in both cpDNA and low-copy nuclear DNA that multiple haplotypes of the parental species (A. lyrata and A. halleri) contributed to the polyploid A. kamchatica. Because ITS sequences displayed a low level of variation, we discuss these only briefly.

Here we identified seven cpDNA haplotypes in the allopolyploid A. kamchatica, and four of them were shared with the Asian diploid taxon, A. halleri subsp. gemmifera. Even when we increased the sample size by incorporating the large-scale surveys of cpDNA in the genus Arabidopsis by Koch and Matschinger (2007) and Schmickl et al. (2008), the same pattern of haplotype sharing was found. These results suggest strongly that at least four individuals of $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera contributed to the origin of $A$.
kamchatica because a unique uniparental haplotype of cpDNA is usually transmitted at each generation without recombination. This also indicates that $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera was always the maternal parent, although the possibility of shared polymorphism caused by rare introgression or by incomplete lineage sorting between $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri cannot be excluded (Ramos-Onsins et al. 2004).

In $W E R$-hal and CHS-hal homeologs, two haplotypes observed in A. kamchatica were identical to those of $A$. halleri. In addition, two haplotypes of $C H S$-lyr were found in A. lyrata over more than 1 kb . We increased the sample size by incorporating 22 CHS sequences of $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri reported by Ramos-Onsins et al. (2004). Again, the same pattern of haplotype sharing was found. These results are consistent with independent origins, although it is also possible that two haplotypes may have entered the tetraploid $A$. kamchatica through an unreduced diploid gamete of a parent in a single polyploidization event.

It is expected that many haplotypes of polyploid species cannot be identified from diploid parents, possibly because the sampling may not be dense enough or because the haplotypes may be derived in polyploids or lost in diploids. The loss in diploid would be pronounced and complicated in low-copy nuclear genes because they are subjected to recombination. Recombination was detected in our relatively long sequence length ( $\sim 1$ kb ) in diploid parental species, which are self-incompatible (Castric \& Vekemans 2004). For example, over 1 kb of $C H S$ was shared between diploid (lyrpet2) and polyploid individuals (CHS-lyr of kamCL,DL in Fig. S2a), but a recombination breakpoint was identified. It is possible that the haplotype sharing of low-copy nuclear genes is limited only if gene flow occurred very recently or if a haplotype was common and maintained for a long time. We suggest that cpDNA is useful for studying independent origins
because of the uniparental inheritance and the absence of recombination between haplotypes, once allopolyploidy is confirmed by low-copy nuclear genes. Our data suggest strongly that multiple individuals of parental species contributed to the origin of the allopolyploid $A$. kamchatica.

## Independent polyploidization events vs. introgression

Although a common interpretation of the sharing of multiple haplotypes has been independent polyploidization events, it has been also noted that introgression from diploid into polyploid can also result in haplotype sharing (Ramsey \& Schemske 1998; Husband 2004). Introgression is possible through a triploid bridge or through the hybridization of polyploids with unreduced gametes or with autopolyploids of a parental diploid, although the fertility of such hybrid individuals tends to be low. Distinguishing these two scenarios is challenging because their effects would be similar. However, we propose that the two scenarios would have different effects on low-copy nuclear genes when combined with cpDNA (Fig. 9), although they cannot be distinguished with certainty. In the introgression scenario, only the introgression parent would contribute additional sequence diversity to the homeologous loci, whereas the loci from the other parent would not receive any new haplotypes. Thus, homeologs derived from only one parent should have distinct haplotypes compared with other polyploid individuals, whereas the homeologs from other parents would be maintained. In contrast, in the scenario of independent polyploidization events, homeologs derived from both parents could be distinct from those of other polyploid individuals.

In our data, a few individuals in North America among the cluster 1 may represent the scenario of introgression. Most of the individuals in cluster 1 (areas $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{I}$ ) displayed a
single haplotype (most common and widespread among the tetraploids) in all four nuclear loci as well as cpDNA (Fig. 9, represented by kamG34 individual). Its cpDNA haplotype (cpHap1) was also found in diploid A. halleri subsp. gemmifera. Nevertheless, a few individuals (including kamH46 and kamI48 in Fig. 9) showed different lyratahomeolog sequences (in both $W E R$ and CHS datasets; Figs 3, 4, and 9). These homeologs are shared with two individuals of $A$. lyrata from Far East Russia (lyrpet4, lyrpet5, see Table 1), suggesting a recent gene flow. Similarly, the ITS sequence of a few individuals was consistent with the overlap of a common haplotype of $A$. kamchatica and a haplotype of A. lyrata (lyrlyr1,2, lyrpet4,5; Fig. S2b), suggesting again a recent gene flow. These data might suggest introgression from A. lyrata rather than independent polyploidization events. We note that it is difficult to exclude the possibility that it represents another independent polyploidization event and that the halleri-parent was nearly identical.

On the other hand, populations of $A$. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana (area B in cluster 2) are suggested to represent an independent polyploidization event. In both lyrata- and halleri-originated homeologs (WER-lyr, WER-hal, CHS-lyr and CHS-hal), subsp. kawasakiana exhibited rare (mostly unique to this group) haplotypes that are distinct from other individuals (Fig. 9, represented by kamkwsB8). This is not consistent with introgression from a parent. Its cpDNA haplotype (cpHap2) was shared between the polyploid subsp. kawasakiana and the diploid A. halleri subsp. gemmifera (Fig. 5). These results suggest strongly that A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana originated by an independent polyploidization event compared with other polyploid individuals. Although we cannot exclude formally the possibility of introgression from both parents or of recurrent derived mutations, they would be much less parsimonious.

The most complex pattern appeared in cluster 3 , which had a number of unique haplotypes along with the widespread haplotype. Two cases in area D (mountains in Central Honshu) fulfill the same criterion of independent origins as subspecies kawasakiana. First, in many individuals with cpHap3 (represented by kamD16 in Fig. 9), both lyrata- and halleri-originated homeologs were distinct, and their cpDNA haplotype was also found in $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera. Second, a single individual (kamD18 in Fig. 9) had unique haplotypes in both lyrata- and halleri-originated homeologs (CHS-lyr and CHS-hal), and its cpDNA haplotype (cpHap5) was shared with A. halleri subsp. gemmifera. In addition, kamD18 had a distinct ITS haplotype, which is consistent with its unique history. These data suggest that those individuals had independent origins from the other tetraploids analyzed, although more data from the same population are needed to provide more details.

In summary, our data suggest that $A$. kamchatica comprises individuals with independent origins. The independent origins of subspecies kawasakiana from other individuals were strongly suggested, and two more independent origins of individuals in the mountains in Central Honshu are also suggested. In the Bayesian clustering (Fig. 8), three of the four suggested independent origins appeared as distinct clusters, except for one represented by a single individual kamD18. These results suggest strongly that the independent origins had a profound effect on the population structure of A. kamchatica. In addition, introgression from $A$. lyrata into $A$. kamchatica would explain the distinct haplotypes found in some North American populations.

Genetic diversity in Arabidopsis kamchatica
The nucleotide diversity was lower in the self-compatible species $A$. kamchatica
(average 0.0013 ) than in the outcrossing parental species ( 0.0150 in $A$. halleri, 0.0230 in A. lyrata subsp. petraea, and 0.0031 in A. lyrata subsp. lyrata) (Ramos-Onsins et al. 2004). It was also lower than in the self-compatible A. thaliana $(\sim 0.0035-0.0055$ at synonymous sites and $\sim 0.007$ at intronic regions) (Nordborg et al. 2005). This low nucleotide diversity of $A$. kamchatica might reflect its self-compatibility, and it might also reflect a bottleneck in which only a few haplotypes of the parental species were incorporated into $A$. kamchatica and a relatively recent origin of this species. Consistently, many haplotypes of the $W E R$ and $C H S$ genes and cpDNA of $A$. kamchatica are identical to those of the parental species, supporting the idea that the origin of $A$. kamchatica is relatively recent.

Interestingly, diverse haplotypes arising from independent origins of $A$. kamchatica were found in the mountains in Central Honshu and surrounding areas in Japan, where $A$. lyrata is not found currently. Thus, this diversity cannot be explained solely by contemporary or very recent polyploidization and introgression. Because the mountains of Central Honshu, Japan, are known to have acted as refugia for many plant species (Fujii \& Senni 2006), we suggest that $A$. kamchatica or its parental species might have survived there during Pleistocene glacial periods. The independent origins of $A$. kamchatica contrast with the single origin of A. suecica (Jakobsson et al. 2006), which is distributed in Northern Europe and might not have originated in refugial areas.

Arabidopsis kamchatica as a model to study the molecular basis of polyploid evolution Although polyploid species with very recent independent origins such as Tragopogon and artificial polyploids provide insights into the immediate responses of
polyploidization (Comai 2005; Tate et al. 2006; Otto 2007), A. kamchatica offers a different case, in which evolutionary changes occurred over a longer timescale. Our previous report on the epigenetically regulated $F W A$ gene showed that the homeolog derived from $A$. halleri is silenced in both subspecies. In conjunction with the finding of independent origins, this case represents an example of repeatable gene silencing after polyploidization (Adams \& Wendel 2005). We have also shown that $A$. kamchatica is self-compatible (Table 1; Sugisaka \& Kudoh 2008), whereas $A$. halleri and most $A$. lyrata have been reported to be predominantly self-incompatible (Castric \& Vekemans 2004; Mable et al. 2004). This suggests either that self-incompatibility was lost independently, as reported in a few species (Okamoto et al. 2007; Shimizu et al. 2008), or that self-compatible haplotypes spread beyond different populations. A biogeographic study of the genus Arabidopsis (Hoffmann 2005) reported that A. kamchatica (as $A$. lyrata subsp. kamchatica, most of which should correspond to A. kamchatica) grows in a broader range of climate in terms of temperature and precipitation than other subspecies of A. lyrata and A. halleri. Arabidopsis kamchatica will be a unique model to understand the molecular basis of parallel evolution and habitat exploitation in polyploid species.
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## Figure legends

Fig. 1. Map showing the sample sites and geographic distribution of haplotypes of the CHS-hal homeolog. Circles indicate Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica and asterisks indicate subsp. kawasakiana. Eight haplotypes are depicted in different colors, as shown also in Fig. 4. Heterozygotes are shown as half circles. Populations with missing $C H S$-hal data are indicated by white circles. The Honshu and Shikoku islands of the Japanese archipelago are magnified.

Fig. 2. Chromosome number count in Arabidopsis kamchatica. Mitotic metaphase chromosomes $(2 n=32)$ from the population named kamG32 in Kamchatka, Russia (A), and from the population kamC11 in mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan (B). For population origin, see Table 1.

Fig. 3. Strict consensus tree of the 78 most-parsimonious trees based on nuclear $W E R$ sequence data ( 955 aligned positions plus additional coding of 14 indels). Bootstrap values above $50 \%$ are shown along the branches. The tree displays 24 unique sequences, representing 120 sequences obtained from 72 individuals. Haplotype names follow those in Table 1, and the numbers preceding the names indicate the number of sequences represented by a given branch. WER-lyr of E26L and A1-3L are not included in the tree because of the existence of long indels.

Fig. 4. Strict consensus tree of the four most-parsimonious trees based on nuclear CHS sequence data (1314 aligned positions plus additional coding of six indels). Bootstrap
values above $50 \%$ are shown along the branches. The tree displays 22 unique sequences, representing 118 sequences obtained from 68 individuals. Haplotype names follow those in Table 1, and the numbers preceding the names indicate the number of sequences represented by a given branch. The colored symbols correspond to those in Fig. 1.

Fig. 5. Two cpDNA regions (trnL intron and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer) of Arabidopsis kamchatica and other Arabidopsis species. a. Minimum spanning network based on the trnL intron region of Arabidopsis species. The trnL intron sequences obtained in this study and obtained from GenBank were used to construct the network. GenBank data (Koch \& Matschinger 2007; Schmickl et al. 2008) are marked with stars and are categorized as explained in Text S 1 . The circle size indicates the number of individuals. Crossbars on a branch represent unsampled or extinct haplotypes. b. Inset: cpDNA haplotypes incorporating data from the trnL-trnF intergenic spacer region as well as $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron region. cpHap2-5 have the same haplotype at the $t r n L$ intron and are distinguishable in their deletion pattern in the $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trn} F$ intergenic spacer region. Likewise, cpHap1 and cpHap7 have the same haplotype at the trnL intron. The haplotype cpHap6 represents another haplotype at the trnL intron. The haplotypes that are not identical to those of $A$. kamchatica are represented as "others". The number below each circle represents the number of individuals included.

Fig. 6. Map showing the geographic distribution of cpDNA haplotypes. Circles indicate Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica, asterisks subsp. kawasakiana, triangles $A$. halleri, and squares A. lyrata. Haplotypes are depicted in different colors: haplotype 1,
pink; 2, green; 3 orange; 4 , sky blue; 5, dark blue; 6, yellow; and 11, red. Haplotype 7 is not visible because of overlapping with 6 , and the distributions of 8 to 10 and 12 to 18 are outside of the range of this map (see Table 1). The Honshu and Shikoku islands of the Japanese archipelago are magnified. Seven haplotypes were found in A. kamchatica (including both subspecies). Four of them (cpHap1, 2, 3, 5) were found both in diploid A. halleri. subsp. gemmifera and A. kamchatica; cpHap1 was common and widespread throughout $A$. kamchatica, cpHap2 was restricted mostly to subsp. kawasakiana (area B), cpHap3 was found in Honshu and Hokkaido (areas C, D, and F), and cpHap5 was found in one individual in Central Honshu (kamD18). Three other haplotypes of $A$. kamchatica (cpHap4, 6, 7) were not shared with diploid taxa; срHap4 was short and found in A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana and may represent a deletion derivative, and срНар6 and срНар7 were found in Taiwan. See also Fig. 8a.

Fig. 7. Fifty-percent majority-rule consensus tree of 74,944 most-parsimonious trees based on nuclear ribosomal ITS sequence data. Values above the branches indicate the percentage of the most-parsimonious trees bearing their respective clades. The values in brackets below the branches are bootstrap values (above 50\%). The tree displays 25 unique sequences, representing 75 individuals.

Fig. 8. Genetic structure of Arabidopsis kamchatica based on cpDNA and nuclear $W E R$ and $C H S$ data, as inferred by the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in structure software.
a. Population structure of $A$. kamchatica. Each individual is shown as a thin vertical column partitioned into $K$ colored components representing inferred membership in $K$
genetic clusters. The regional origin of the individuals (A-I) is shown on the top. The individual name (ID 1-50) and the cpDNA haplotype (cpHap1-7) of each individual are shown below. b. Mean symmetric similarity coefficient $(S S C) \pm$ SD over 190 pairs of 20 runs for each $K$ value. c. Mean posterior probability of data $\operatorname{Ln} P(X \mid K) \pm \mathrm{S}$ over 20 runs for each $K$ value. d. Plot of $\Delta K$ for each $K$.

Fig. 9. Schematic diagram of haplotypes of A. kamchatica and two scenarios, introgression from a diploid vs. independent polyploidization events.

The four haplotypes of cpDNA (cpHap1, 2, 3, and 5) are shared with diploid A. halleri subsp. gemmifera. The haplotypes of six loci (cpDNA, ITS, WER-hal, CHS-hal, WERlyr, and $C H S$-lyr) of six representative individuals are shown. In each locus, different haplotypes are shown by different shapes, and also by colors that correspond to those in Figs $1,4,6, \mathrm{~S} 3$ and S 4 . The ITS sequence of the kamI48 individual was heterogeneous. See text for details.

| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | A | Taiwan | kamA1 | Taiwan, Taroko N.P., close to the entrance of the park, 2930 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | A | Taiwan | kamA2 | Taiwan, Taroko N.P., close to the entrance of the park, 2930 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | A | Taiwan | kamA3 | Taiwan, Taroko N.P., close to the high altitude experimental station, 3000 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB4 | Japan, Mie, Meiwa, Fukiiura, 2 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB5 | Japan, Mie, Meiwa, Fukiiura, 2 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB6 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima, 85m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB7 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima, 85m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB8 | Japan, Shiga, Ohtsu, Ohmimaiko, 85 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB9 | Japan, Shiga, Hikone, 85 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB10 | Japan, Toyama, Hamakurosaki, 2 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC11 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi, 1740 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC12 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi, 1740 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC13 | Japan, Tottori, Daisenji, 580 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC14 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen, 1600 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan $\dagger$ | kamD15 | Japan, Toyama, along Jintsu River at Toyama airport, 30 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD16 | Japan, Ishikawa, Mt. Hakusan, Ichinose, 1080 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD17 | Japan, Toyama, Mt. Shirouma, 2800 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD18 | Japan, Toyama, Tsurugigozen, 2740 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD19 | Japan, Toyama, Tateyama, Mikurigaike, 2400 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD20 | Japan, Toyama, Tateyama, Midorigaike, 2400 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD21 | Japan, Toyama, Kurobe-dam, 1500 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD22 | Japan, Nagano, Kamikochi, Myojin, 1520 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD23 | Japan, Nagano, Kamikochi, Shimomatashirodani-deai, 1570 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD24 | Japan, Yamanashi, Mt. Kitadake, 3090 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | D | Mountains in Central Honshu, Japan | kamD25 | Japan, Shizuoka, Mt. Fuji, Subashiri, 1300 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | E | Lowland in Northern Honshu, Japan | kamE26 | Japan, Niigata, Tsugawa |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | F | Hokkaido, Japan | kamF27 | Japan, Hokkaido, Takinoue |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | F | Hokkaido, Japan | kamF28 | Japan, Hokkaido, Asahikawa, Sounkyo, 640 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | F | Hokkaido, Japan | kamF29 | Japan, Hokkaido, Kushiro, Obirashike, 20 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | F | Hokkaido, Japan | kamF30 | Japan, Hokkaido, Kushiro, Kombumori, 5 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG31 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Nachiki, basin of the Nachikinskoe ozero lake, 400 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG32 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, near the road from Petropavlovsk Kamchatskii to Esso, 260 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG33 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Ganaly, close to the bridge over the river Vaktan Malkinskii, 3001 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG34 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Pushchino, close to the bridge over the river Denokhonok, 265 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG35 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Petropavlovsk Kamchatskii, Mishenaya gora, 10 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG36 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Elizovo, 70 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG37 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Nachiki, Mt. Nachikinskoe zerkaltse, 730 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG38 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Petropavlovsk Kamchatskii, Avachinskaya sopka, 640 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG39 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Ganaly, close to the bridge over the river Vaktan Ganal'skii, 320 n |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG40 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Pushchino, close to the bridge over the river Pravaya Kamchatka, |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | G | Far East Russia | kamG41 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, Srednii kamchatskii khrebet, 910 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH42 | USA, Alaska, Kenai, 300 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH43 | USA, Alaska, Chugach State Park, Potter, 5 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH44 | USA, Alaska, Healy |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH45 | USA, Alaska, Chena River, Chena Hot Springs Rd. |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH46 | USA, Alaska, Richardson Highway, South of Darling Creek bridge |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | H | Alaska | kamH47 | USA, Alaska, Portage Bay Rd |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | I | Canada and Washington | kamI48 | Canada, Yukon, Mush Lake, 670 m |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | I | Canada and Washington | kamI49 | USA, Washington, Mt. Baker |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | I | Canada and Washington | kamI50 | USA, Washington, Mt. Baker |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. lyrata |  |  | lyrlyr1 | USA, North Carolina, Pores Knob, ca. 780 m |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. lyrata |  |  | lyrlyr2 | USA, North Carolina, Pores Knob, ca. 780 m |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. petraea |  |  | lyrpet1 | Russia, Karhumaki |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. petraea |  |  | lyrpet2 | Russia, Karhumaki |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. petraea |  |  | lyrpet3 | Germany, Stolberg, 300 m |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. petraea |  |  | lyrpet4 | Russia, Yakutiya (Sakha Republic), alluvium of Kolyma, banks of Suharnaya river |
| Arabidopsis lyrata subsp. petraea |  |  | lyrpet5 | Russia, Yakutiya (Sakha Republic), alluvium of Kolyma, banks of Suharnaya river |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem1 | Japan, Hyogo, Taka, Omoide River, 200 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem2 | Japan, Osaka, Inagawa, Tadaginzan, 140 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem3 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, Srednii kamchatskii khrebet, 660 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem4 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, Srednii kamchatskii khrebet, 520 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem5 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, valley of the river Ulavkavchan, 470 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem6 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, valley of the river Ulavkavchan, 470 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem7 | Russia, Kamchatskii krai, Esso, 580 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. gemmifera |  |  | halgem8 | Japan, Nagano, Kamikochi, Shimomatashirodani-deai, 1570 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. dacica |  |  | haldac 1 | Romania, Fagaras, Mts., Saua Caprei glacial lake, 2270 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. tatrica |  |  | haltat 1 | Slovakia, Belianske Tatry, 1200 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. tatrica |  |  | haltat2 | Slovakia, Vysoke Tatry, 1800 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. tatrica |  |  | haltat 3 | Slovakia, Slovensky Raj, 1000 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. ovirensis |  |  | halovi1 | Austria, Carinthia, Ebriach, 1557 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. halleri |  |  | halhal1 | Switzerland, Ticino, Giubiasco, 400 m |
| Arabidopsis halleri subsp. halleri |  |  | halhal2 | Switzerland, Ticino, Giubiasco, 400 m |
| Arabidopsis pedemontana |  |  | ped | Italy, north of Valle Po, north of Crissolo, Colle delle Porte, 2260 m |

*two ITS haplotypes are obtained from this sample because one-base pair indel was identified.
** At trnL intron: a difference in a simple repeat, that was not considred in the analyses.
$\dagger$ Mountains in Central Honshu include Japan Alps, Mt. Fuji and Mt. Hakusan.
Selfing: n.a. not assayed, y: successful
Voucher specimens are deposited in the herbaria KYO, SAV, Z, and SHO (herbaria of Shoei Junior College, Kobe, Japan) when available.

| Collector | selfing $W E R$-lyr |  | WER-hal | CHS-lyr | CHS-hal | ITS | cpDNA haplotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. Tsukaya | n.a. | kamA1L | kamA1H | kamA1L | kamA1H | kamA1 | cpHap6 |
| H. Tsukaya | n.a. | kamA2L | kamA2H | kamA2L | kamA2H | kamA2 | cpHap6 |
| H. Tsukaya | n.a. | kamA3L | kamA3Ha1, a2 | kamA3L | kamA3H | kamA3a1, a2* | срНар7 |
| HK | n.a. | kamkwsB4L | kamkwsB4H | kamkwsB4L | kamkwsB4H | kamkwsB4 | cpHap2 |
| HK, KKS | n.a. | kamkwsB5L | kamkwsB5H | kamkwsB5L | kamkwsB5H | kamkwsB5 | cpHap2 |
| S. Fujii, KKS | y | kamkwsB6L | kamkwsB6H | kamkwsB6L | kamkwsB6H | kamkwsB6 | cpHap4 |
| S. Fujii, KKS | y | kamkwsB7L | kamkwsB7H | kamkwsB7L | kamkwsB7H | kamkwsB7 | cpHap4 |
| S. Fujii, KKS | y | kamkwsB8L | kamkwsB8H | kamkwsB8L | kamkwsB8H | kamkwsB8 | cpHap2 |
| HK | n.a. | kamkwsB9L | kamkwsB9H | kamkwsB9L | kamkwsB9H | kamkwsB9 | срНар4 |
| HK | y | kamkwsB10L | kamkwsB10H | kamkwsB10L | kamkwsB10H | kamkwsB10 | cpHap1 |
| M. Kanaoka, KKS | y | kamC11L | kamC11H | kamC11L | deletion | kamC11 | cpHap1 |
| M. Kanaoka, KKS | y | kamC12L | kamC12H | kamC12La1, a2 | deletion | kamC12 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | y | kamC13L | kamC13H | kamC13L | kamC13H | kamC13 | срНар3 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamC14L | kamC14H | kamC14L | kamC14H | kamC14 | срНар3 |
| HK, J. Sugisaka | y | kamD15L | kamD15H | kamD15L | kamD15H | kamD15 | cpHap3 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamD16L | kamD16H | kamD16L | kamD16H | kamD16 | cpHap3 |
| M. Kanaoka, KKS | n.a. | kamD17L | kamD17H | kamD17La1, a2 | kamD17H | kamD17 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamD18L | kamD18H | kamD18L | kamD18H | kamD18 | cpHap5 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamD19L | kamD19H | kamD19L | kamD19H | kamD19 | срНар3 |
| KKS | y | kamD20L | kamD20H | kamD20L | kamD20H | kamD20 | срНар3 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamD21L | kamD21H | kamD21L | kamD21H | kamD21 | срНар3 |
| KKS | y | kamD22L | kamD22H | kamD22L | kamD22H | kamD22 | cpHap3 |
| HK | n.a. | kamD23L | kamD23H | kamD23L | deletion | kamD23 | cpHap2 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamD24L | kamD24H | kamD24L | kamD24Ha1, 22 | kamD24 | срНар3 |
| HK | y | kamD25L | kamD25H | kamD25L | kamD25H | kamD25 | срНар3 |
| A. Kawabe | y | kamE26L | kamE26H | kamE26L | kamE26H | kamE26 | cpHapl |
| KKS | n.a. | kamF27L | kamF27H | kamF27L | kamF27H | kamF27 | cpHap3 |
| H. Nakai, KKS | y | kamF28L | kamF28H | kamF28L | kamF28H | kamF28 | cpHap3 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamF29L | kamF29H | kamF29L | kamF29H | kamF29 | срНар3 |
| KKS | y | kamF30L | kamF30H | kamF30L | kamF30H | kamF30 | срНар3 |
| KM, VVY | n.a. | kamG31L | kamG31H | kamG31L | kamG31H | kamG31 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. | kamG32L | kamG32H | kamG32L | kamG32H | kamG32 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | a. | kamG33L | kamG33H | kamG33L | kamG33H | kamG33 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a | kamG34L | kamG34H | kamG34L | kamG34H | kamG34 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. | kamG35L | kamG35H | kamG35L | kamG35H | kamG35 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY | n.a. | kamG36L | kamG36H | kamG36L | kamG36H | kamG36 | cpHap1** |
| KM, VVY | n.a. | kamG37L | kamG37H | kamG37L | kamG37H | kamG37 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY | n.a. | kamG38L | kamG38H | kamG38L | kamG38H | kamG38 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. | kamG39L | kamG39H | kamG39L | kamG39H | kamG39 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. | kamG40L | kamG40H | kamG40L | kamG40H | kamG40 | cpHap1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. | kamG41L | kamG41H | kamG41L | kamG41H | kamG41 | cpHap1 |
| A. Caicedo | n.a. | kamH42L | kamH42H | kamH42L | kamH42H | kamH42 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | y | kamH43L | kamH43H | kamH43L | kamH43H | kamH43 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | n.a. | kamH44L | kamH44H | kamH44L | kamH44H | kamH44 | cpHap1 |
| OS | y | kamH45L | kamH45H | kamH45L | kamH45H | kamH45 | cpHap1 |
| OS | y | kamH46L | kamH46H | kamH46L | kamH46H | kamH46 | cpHap1 |
| OS | y | kamH47L | kamH47H | kamH47L | kamH47H | kamH47 | cpHap1 |
| H. Schöb | n.a. | kamI48L | kamI48H | kamI48L | kamI48H | kamI48 | cpHap1 |
| OS | n.a | kamI49L | kamI49H | kamI49L | kamI49H | kamI49 | cpHap1 |
| OS | n.a. | kamI50L | kamI50H | kamI50L | kamI50H | kamI50 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | n.a. | lyrlyr1 |  | lyrlyr1a1, a2 |  | lyrlyr 1 | cpHap8 |
| KKS | y | lyrlyr2 |  | lyrlyr2 |  | lyrlyr2 | cpHap8 |
| OS | n.a. | lyrpet1 |  |  |  | lyrpet1 | срНар9 |
| OS | n.a. |  |  | lyrpet2 |  | lyrpet2 | срНар9 |
| M. Clauss, KKS | n.a. | lyrpet3 |  | lyrpet3 |  | lyrpet3 | cpHap 10 |
| VVY | n.a. | lyrpet4 |  | lyrpet4 |  | lyrpet 4 | cpHap11 |
| VVY | n.a. | lyrpet5 |  | lyrpet5 |  | lyrpet5 | cpHap11 |
| T. Kawagoe, KKS | y |  | halgem1 |  | halgem1 | halgem1 | cpHap1 |
| KKS | y |  | halgem2 |  | halgem2 | halgem2 | срНар1 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. |  | halgem 3 |  | halgem 3 | halgem3 | cpHap2 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. |  | halgem4 |  | halgem4 | halgem4 | cpHap2 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. |  | halgem5 |  | halgem5 | halgem5 | cpHap2 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n. |  | halgem6 |  | halgem6 | halgem6 | cpHap2 |
| KM, VVY, HK, RSI, KKS | n.a. |  | halgem7 |  | halgem7 | halgem7 | cpHap5 |
| KM, HK | n.a. |  | halgem8 |  | halgem8 | halgem8 | срНар3 |
| M. Kolnik | n.a. |  | haldac1 |  |  | haldac1 | cpHap 12 |
| KM, JL, HK, KKS | n.a. |  | haltat 1 |  | haltat1a1, a2 | haltat 1 | cpHap 13 |
| KM, JL, HK, KKS | n.a. |  | haltat2 |  | haltat2 | haltat2 | cpHap14 |
| KM, JL, HK, KKS | n.a. |  | haltat3 |  |  | haltat3 | cpHap 15 |
| M. Kolnik | n.a. |  | halovil |  | halovi1 | halovi1 | cpHap16 |
| RSI, KKS, T. Tsuchimatsu, M. Helling | n.a. |  | halhal1a1, a 2 |  |  | halhal1 | cpHap17 |
| RSI, KKS, T. Tsuchimatsu, M. Helling | n.a. |  | halhal2 |  |  | halhal2 | cpHap18 |
| KKS, KM, JL | n.a. |  | ed |  | ed | ped |  |



Fig. 1 CHS-hal map


Fig. 2 chromosome

*kamC11L, C12L, D15L, D17L-D25L, F29L, F30L, G31L, G33L-G40L, H42L, H44L, H45L, H47L, 149L, I50L
**kamA3Ha1, C11H, C12H, D15H, D17H, D18H, F27H, F29H, F30H, G31H, G32H, G34H-G38H, G40H, G41H, H42H-47H, $148 \mathrm{H}-150 \mathrm{H}$

*kamC11L, C12La1, C13L, C14L, D15L, D17La1, D19L-D25L, E26L, F27L-F30L, G32L-G41L, H42L-H45L, H47L, I48L-I50L
**kamF27H-F30H, G31H-G41, H42H-H47H, $148 \mathrm{H}-150 \mathrm{H}$
Fig. 4 CHS MP tree



Fig. 6 chloroplastHap map

*kamA1, A2, A3a1, C11-C14, D16, D19-D23, D25, F27-F30, G31, G33-G41, H42, H44-H46, I49, I50
Fig. 7 ITS MP


Fig. 8 Structure


Homeologs derived from both parents are distinct from those of other individuals --> Not consistent with the introgression from a diploid parent

Fig. 9

## Shimizu-Inatsugi et al. <br> Supporting Information (5 figures, 3 tables, 1 section of text)

## Supporting Fig. S1. Primers used for amplification of $W E R$ and $C H S$ genes, and the number of homeologs

a. Each arrow indicates the position of the primer and its direction. Gray boxes represent the coding region of each gene. See Supporting Table S1 for the details of the primers used.
b. Amplification of $W E R$-hal and $W E R$-lyr using primers WERF4-R3e1. The primers were designed in the conserved region so that they amplified both $W E R$-hal and $W E R$-lyr (e.g., E26, G31 and B4). Two homeologs of C13 and C14 had the same length, and see Text S1.
c. Digestion of PCR fragments to confirm the homeolog type. Each fragment was amplified with primers CHSkamF1-CHSR3 and digested by $X b a \mathrm{I}$. The primers were designed in the conserved region so that they amplified both halleri- and lyrata-derived homeologs of CHS. $C H S$-hal but not $C H S$-lyr was digested, resulting in shorter bands.

## Supporting Fig. S2. Segregating sites of the CHS gene and ITS region, and sequences of distinct cpDNA haplotypes

$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$. Summary of the segregating sites among the a. CHS gene and b. ITS region from $A$. kamchatica, A. lyrata, and A. halleri. Dots indicate the nucleotide identical to that of the upper row sequence, - indicates the deletion site and N indicates the site where the sequence was not obtained. The haplotype names correspond to those in Table 1. In ITS region, IUPAC ambiguity codes were used for coding polymorphic positions. In three individuals of North American A. kamchatica ( kamH 43 , kamH47 and kamI48), three heterozygous sites were observed, which is consistent with the overlap of two haplotypes: a common haplotype in $A$. kamchatica, and a haplotype identical to the haplotype observed in four individuals of $A$. lyrata.
c. Sequences of the $\operatorname{trn} L$ intron and $\operatorname{trnL} L$ trn $F$ intergenic spacer regions of distinct 18 cpDNA haplotypes (cpHap1-18) observed in A. kamchatica, A. lyrata, and A. halleri. The cpHap1* from the individual kamG36 has one additional nucleotide T at a polyT site of the $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron region, shown as * at the 300th site compared with cpHap1. This difference between cpHap1 and cpHap1* was ignored in all analyses. The alignment of $\operatorname{trnL}$ - $\operatorname{trn} F$ region was not clear due to tandem duplications. See Table 1 for the correspondence between individuals and haplotypes.

## Supporting Fig. S3. Phylogenetic trees of WER, CHS and ITS

Accession abbreviations follow Table 1.
a. A single most-parsimonious tree obtained from the analysis based on nuclear CHS sequence data of the lyrata-clade. The data matrix includes 1589 aligned positions and additional coding of eight indels, i.e., it is longer than that of Fig. 4 because of inclusion of the longer promoter region, which was lacking or not amplified in the halleri clade. Bootstrap values above $50 \%$ are shown along the branches. The number to the right of each branch indicates how many sequences are included in the branch. The colored symbols correspond to that in Fig. S4c. The tree displays 14 unique sequences, representing 57 sequences obtained from 55 individuals.
b. Majority-rule consensus tree of the Bayesian inference based on nuclear $W E R$ sequence data ( 955 aligned positions). The posterior probability values of the nodes are indicated above the branches. The colored symbols correspond to that in either of Fig. S4a (for $W E R-1 \mathrm{lyr}$ ) or Fig. S4b (for $W E R$-hal). $W E R$-lyr of E26L (dark blue in Fig. S4a) and A1-3L (dark green in Fig. S4a) are not included in the tree because of the existence of long indels. The tree displays 24 unique sequences, representing 120 sequences obtained from 72 individuals.
c. Majority-rule consensus tree of the Bayesian inference based on nuclear CHS sequence data (1314 aligned positions). The posterior probability values of the nodes are indicated next to the branches. The tree displays 22 unique sequences, representing 118 sequences obtained from 68 individuals. Accession abbreviations follow Table 1.
d. Majority-rule consensus tree of the Bayesian inference based on nuclear ITS sequence data. The posterior probability values of the nodes are indicated above the branches. The tree displays 25 unique sequences, representing 75 individuals.

## Supporting Fig. S4. Geographic distribution of WER and CHS haplotypes

Haplotype maps showing the geographic distribution of each haplotype of a. WER-lyr, b. $W E R$-hal and c. CHS-lyr. Circles indicate A. kamchatica subsp. kamchatica and asterisks indicate subsp. kawasakiana. Heterozygotes are shown as half-circles. The upper map shows the Pacific Ocean rim, and the lower magnified map shows the Japanese archipelago. Different haplotypes of each homeolog are depicted in different colors. The color symbols in $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ correspond to those in the $W E R$-lyr clade in Fig. S3b, $W E R$-hal clade in Fig. S3b and $C H S$-lyr in Fig. S3a, respectively.

## Supporting Fig. S5. Neighbor-joining tree of CHS including publicly available data

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length $=0.11702052$ is shown. In addition to our data, published sequences, namely, seven sequences of $A$. lyrata subsp. lyrata from America (noted as lyrlyr AL), four sequences of $A$. lyrata subsp. petraea from Europe (as lyrpet AP), and eleven sequences of $A$. halleri from Europe (as hal CH) were included. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test ( 500 replicates) is shown next to the branches. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree.

## Supporting Text 1. Sequencing, alignments, phylogenetic analyses, intrapopulation polymorphism, and Bayesian clustering

## DNA extraction and sequencing

We conducted one round of selfing using 18 individuals to propagate, and repeated up to four rounds for subspecies kawasakiana with a short life-cycle. Genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen). DNA sequencing was conducted at the Institute of Plant Biology, University of Zurich, with a Prism 373048 -capillary automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The sequence alignments were done in Biolign version 4.0.6.2 (http://www2.maizegenetics.net/index.php?page=bioinformatics/index.html) and edited manually using the program BioEdit version 7.0.4.1 (Hall 1999). Ambiguous polymorphisms were rechecked with PCR reamplification and sequencing. The two cpDNA regions were concatenated and analyzed as a haplotype (called superhaplotype by Koch \& Matschinger 2007).

## Primer design

We designated the genes of polyploids, which are orthologs of "single-copy nuclear genes" in the parental species, as "low-copy nuclear genes" of polyploids. In contrast, the nuclear ribosomal ITS region is tandemly repeated and is not a low-copy nuclear gene. The PCR primers used in the study are listed in Table S1 and are shown schematically in Fig. S1. Design of the homeolog-specific primers and PCR amplification were conducted using the methods described by Lihova et al. (2006). Because of redundancy of genes in polyploid
species, homeologs often exhibit rearrangements or gene loss. In addition, the indels and SNPs used for the design of homeolog-specific primers are often polymorphic, even among individuals in the species. Thus, homeolog-specific primers designed based on a particular individual often yield nonspecific or unsuccessful amplification in other individuals, as we reported in the study of hexaploid Cardamine asarifolia (Lihova et al. 2006). In the present study, we designed multiple homeolog-specific primers to amplify the $W E R$ and $C H S$ genes.

To amplify $W E R$ homeologs, we designed three primers in the $5^{\prime}$-upstream region and six primers in the $3^{\prime}$-downstream region based on the genome sequence of the closely related species $A$. thaliana. The PCR product by WERFU3 and WERRD6 turned out to be lyrata-homeolog specific. The PCR products by WERFU1 and WERRD1 included both homeologs. The product was sequenced directly using WERRD1 primer and yielded single peak sequences followed by double peaks. Using the methods described in Figure 2 of Lihova et al. (2006), we identified a 4-bp indel polymorphism and designed the halleri-homeolog specific primer WERgemRD1 at this position. Although sequencing of several individuals was successful using this primer, PCR amplification was weak in many individuals. Thus, based on the 3-bp indel polymorphism in the third exon, another specific primer, WERgemR3e2, was designed.

Three individuals from Taiwan did not yield a lyrata-homeolog of $W E R$ using primers WERFU3 and WERRD6. The forward primer WERlyrF1 in the second intron in combination with the reverse primer WERRD6 yielded specific amplification of a lyratahomeolog, suggesting that rearrangements occurred in the former half of the gene.

To amplify CHS homeologs, Shimizu et al. (2005) designed the homeolog-specific primers CHSlyrFU1 and CHSgemFU1, which were designed at $\sim 500$ upstream of the start codon, and a common reverse primer CHSR1. In several individuals, these primers yielded low or no amplification, presumably because of rearrangements and mutations. To amplify the halleri-homeolog, the primer CHSgemFU15 was designed at $\sim 150$ upstream of the start codon. These primers amplified the halleri-homeolog in 47 of the 50 individuals; the other three individuals had presumable deletions or rearrangements (see below). The primer CHSlyrFU4 was designed to encompass two SNPs near the primer CHSlyrFU1, based on a comparison of the sequence of $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri ssp. gemmifera.

## Copy numbers

To verify the deletion and the copy numbers, primers were designed in the conserved sequences to amplify both homeologs together. As for the $W E R$ homeologs, WERF4 in exon 2 and WERR3e1 in exon 3 were designed to encompass the 54-bp insertion found in most of the halleri-homeologs. PCR yielded a longer band of the halleri-homeolog and a shorter band of lyrata-homeolog in all 50 individuals of $A$. kamchatica (Fig. S1b; e.g., G31 and B4), except for individuals with different indels (kamE26 from Tsugawa and kamC13 and kamC14 from Daisen). In kamE26, the lyrata-homeolog was longer than the halleri-homeolog (Fig. S1b). The PCR products of kamC13 and kamC14 were digested by PstI and were confirmed to have two homeologs (data not shown). As for the CHS homeologs, CHSkamF1 in exon 1 and CHSR3 in the exon 2 were designed to encompass an SNP at the $X b a \mathrm{I}$ restriction site. By digesting the PCR products with $X b a \mathrm{I}, 47$ of 50 individuals yielded bands of both lyrata and halleri-homeologs (Fig. S1c; e.g., G31 and B4). Three individuals (kamC11 and kamC12 from Shikokutsurugi and kamD23 from Shimomatashirodani-deai) yielded only one band that corresponded to the lyrata-homeolog. Direct sequencing (as described in Lihova et al. 2006) confirmed that only the lyrata-homeolog was amplified. In conjunction with the PCR failure of the halleri-homeolog described above, these data suggest strongly that the halleri-homeolog of $C H S$ is deleted or rearranged in the three individuals. In contrast, diploid samples showed only one band (Fig. S1b, c and data not shown). In short, allopolyploidy was supported in all

50 individuals either by $W E R$ or $C H S$, and rearrangement or deletion occurred in several individuals.

## Alignments and phylogenetic analyses

We aimed to achieve as much sample overlap between the individual data sets ( $W E R$, CHS and ITS) as possible, although this was not always possible for several reasons (sequence recombination, PCR failure, deletion, etc.).

MP analyses were conducted with PAUP* version 4.0 b 10 (Swofford 2001). Heuristic searches were made with the following settings: gaps treated as missing data, singlesite polymorphisms as uncertainties, tree construction with stepwise addition, 1,000 replicates with random taxon addition, TBR branch swapping, no MAXTREES limits, and MULTREES option in effect. For character-state optimization, the ACCTRAN (accelerated character transformation) option was used. The most-parsimonious trees generated were summarized in the strict consensus and $50 \%$ majority-rule consensus trees. Bootstrap analyses (Felsenstein 1985) were performed using 100,000 resamplings with the fast-heuristic search as implemented in PAUP*.

The Bayesian inference was run using MrBayes version 3.0 beta4 (Huelsenbeck \& Ronquist 2001). Four Markov chains were run for 20 million generations while adjusting the temperature difference between the cold and heated chains to achieve efficient swapping between the chains. Six substitution rates ( $\mathrm{nst}=6$ ) and a gamma distribution (rates = gamma) were assumed. The trees were sampled every 100 generations and, finally, majority-rule consensus trees were computed that excluded the trees found in the burn-in phase (i.e., those generated before the likelihood values reached a plateau and fluctuated within a more or less stable range). The percentage of trees recovering an individual node is indicated on the consensus trees by the node's posterior probability.

## WER homeologs

The alignment of $W E R$ homeologs (spanning from the middle of exon 1 to the middle of exon 3 ) comprised 120 sequences obtained from 72 individuals (summarized in Table S2). Only $A$. pedemontana was used as the outgroup species because inclusion of $A$. thaliana would introduce a high number of additional indels and complicate the sequence alignment and data analyses. The final alignment of $W E R$ comprised 24 unique haplotypes and included 955 aligned positions. Fourteen indels longer than 1 bp were introduced in the alignment, coded as additional binary to four-state characters, and included in the MP analyses. In total, 83 sites were variable, and 60 of them were parsimony informative.

MP analyses and Bayesian inferences resulted in very similar tree topologies, and in the following text, we report on the MP results only. The MP analysis of the WER data set resulted in a strict consensus tree ( 78 most-parsimonious trees, $L=96$ steps, CI $=0.96, \mathrm{RI}=$ 0.99 ), which displayed three main and well-supported ( $100 \%$ bootstrap) clades (Fig. 3). The $W E R$ sequences from the diploids $A$. lyrata and $A$. halleri were clearly differentiated from each other (placed in distinct clades). Two apparently different homeologs were retrieved from the tetraploids (A. kamchatica, including both subspecies) and placed in the respective clades of the diploids. Among the three clades resolved, one clade comprised all individuals of $A$. halleri and the corresponding homeolog from tetraploids ( $W E R$-hal), and the other clade comprised the Russian (both western and easternmost Russia) accessions of A. lyrata and the other homeolog from tetraploids ( $W E R-\mathrm{lyr}$ ). Two accessions of $A$. lyrata from USA (North Carolina) and one from Germany of $A$. lyrata formed an additional clade.

Despite our limited sampling of the diploids, both A. lyrata and A. halleri were found to be more diverse than the tetraploids. We also identified haplotypes identical to those found in some tetraploids. Two accessions of A. lyrata from Far East Russia (Yakut, lyrpet4,
5) shared the same haplotype with $A$. kamchatica from Alaska and Yukon. Similarly, one accession of $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera from Shimomatashirodani-deai (halgem8) shared the haplotype with $A$. kamchatica from the central mountains of Japan; and seven accessions of $A$. halleri subsp. gemmifera from Japan and Kamchatka (halgem1-7, along with two individuals of A. halleri from Europe) shared another, apparently widespread, haplotype with many individuals of $A$. kamchatica from nearly the entire area sampled.

Some geographic structure seems to be present among the $A$. kamchatica haplotypes. Within both the lyrata- and halleri-homeologs we found a widespread and common haplotype, which was observed in many accessions from the broad area sampled, and several haplotypes geographically restricted to one of the areas delimited (see Table 1 and area denoted as A-I). A specific haplotype was also found in the accessions of $A$. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana (lowland in western Honshu). In the halleri-homeolog this haplotype was shared only with a single sample from the lowland of northern Honshu (kamE26H).

## CHS homeologs

Two alignments of $C H S$ homeologs were assembled (summarized in Table S2). Alignment 1 spanned from the promoter sequence to near the end of exon 2 and comprised 118 sequences obtained from 68 individuals. Alignment 2 included a longer region of the promoter sequence obtained only for the lyrata-clade (A. lyrata and lyrata-homeolog of tetraploids, see Results), i.e., 57 sequences obtained from 55 individuals. Alignment 2 was analyzed to determine whether better resolution can be seen within that clade. Arabidopsis thaliana and $A$. pedemontana were used as outgroups. Because of recombination, we excluded the CHS haplotypes of the three European diploid accessions from phylogenetic analyses. The recombinant sequences are shown in Fig. S2a. The all-accession-CHS alignment (Alignment 1) displayed 22 unique sequences. It had 1314 aligned positions and involved six indels longer than 1 bp , which were coded as additional binary to four-state characters in the MP analyses. One hundred and ten sites were variable, and 44 of them were parsimony informative.

The lyrata-CHS alignment (Alignment 2) comprised 14 unique sequences. It had 1589 aligned positions, and eight indels longer than 1 bp , which were coded as binary to fourstate characters in the MP analyses. One hundred and seventeen sites were variable, and 25 of them were parsimony informative.

The MP analysis of the all-accession-CHS data set resulted in a strict consensus tree (four most-parsimonious trees, $L=127$ steps, $\mathrm{CI}=0.92$, $\mathrm{RI}=0.95$ ), which displayed three main clades comprising: 1) all accessions of the diploid $A$. halleri and the respective homeolog from the tetraploids; 2) two accessions of $A$. lyrata (lyrpet4, 5) and the respective homeolog from the tetraploids; and 3) three accessions of A. lyrata (Fig. 4). Thus, as in the case of the $W E R$ data, the haplotypes from the diploids are clearly differentiated from each other, and two homeologs are proved to be present in the tetraploids.

We found considerable variation in A. lyrata. Two accessions of this species from Far East Russia (Yakut, lyrpet4, 5) shared their haplotype with A. kamchatica from Alaska (kamH46). All eight accessions of A. halleri subsp. gemmifera from Japan and Kamchatka (halgem1-8) had a haplotype identical to that found in A. kamchatica from Hokkaido, Far East Russia, Alaska, Yukon, and Washington state. Three accessions of A. kamchatica had a haplotype otherwise found in A. halleri in Europe (Slovakia, haltat1a1). In addition, CHS of lyrpet2 from western Russia was identical to the widespread haplotype of A. kamchatica along $>1 \mathrm{~kb}$ at the left side of the recombination breakpoint (Fig. S2a), whereas the $3^{\prime}$-side was identical to a few individuals of $A$. lyrata.

In both the lyrata- and halleri-homeologs, one widespread and common haplotype along with several restricted ones were found in A. kamchatica. Especially within the halleri-
homeolog, the haplotypes showed a geographic structure. Three subclades or groups can be recognized here: 1) accessions from lowland in western Honshu (= A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana), northern Honshu, and from Taiwan formed one subclade (areas A, B, and E); 2) those from the mountains of western and central Honshu (areas C and D) formed a second subclade; and 3) all the other accessions (i.e., Hokkaido, Far East Russia, Alaska, Yukon, Washington state; areas F-I) were characterized by another haplotype. The consensus tree based on the lyrata-CHS data set (Fig. S3a) showed a similar structure among the $A$. kamchatica haplotypes: those found in accessions from lowland in western Honshu, northern Honshu, from Taiwan (areas A, B, and E), and the common haplotype (areas F-I) formed a distinct clade, and were differentiated from the haplotypes from western and central Honshu (areas C and D ).

Published CHS sequence data (Ramos-Onsins et al. 2004) were included in a Neighbor-Joining analysis using MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007) (Fig. S5). The Genbank accession numbers are AJ619886, AJ619888-619906, AJ619938, and AJ619939. They represent seven sequences of $A$. lyrata subsp. lyrata from America, four sequences of $A$. lyrata subsp. petraea from Europe, and eleven sequences of $A$. halleri from Europe (representing subsp. halleri as defined by Kolnik and Marhold 2006, judged from localities). The sequence AJ619887 was not used because the sequence was short. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (Complete deletion option). There were a total of 1275 positions in the final dataset.

## ITS region

The ITS alignment comprised sequences from 75 individuals, was 619 positions long, and included only a single 1 bp -long indel, which was not coded separately. Those of Arabidopsis thaliana and A. pedemontana were obtained from GenBank (AC006837 and DQ914842) and used as the outgroups. The alignment comprised 25 unique sequences; 59 sites were variable, and 14 of them were parsimony informative.

Intraindividual polymorphic sites were present only scarcely, and IUPAC ambiguity codes were used for coding such polymorphic positions. These sites were found more frequently in the diploids than in the tetraploids, which might suggest that the ITS sequences in the polyploids have been largely homogenized towards one of the parental types possibly due to higher rate of selfing by self-compatibility (see Alvarez \& Wendel 2003).

The MP analysis resulted in a $50 \%$ majority-rule consensus tree ( 74,944 mostparsimonious trees, $L=64$ steps, $\mathrm{CI}=0.98, \mathrm{RI}=0.99$ ) with two main and relatively wellsupported ( $100 \%$ and $79 \%$ bootstrap) clades (Fig. 7). The two clades corresponded to $A$. lyrata and A. halleri, respectively. All A. kamchatica accessions (with one exception, kamD17) were placed in the clade of $A$. lyrata. A single individual, kamD17, originating from the central mountains in Japan was found in the clade of $A$. halleri. This indicates clearly that concerted evolution of the ITS region was highly effective here and homogenized its sequences towards a repeat type of one parental species, $A$. lyrata. Very little resolution was found within the two main clades, precluding any further inferences (Figs. 7 and S3d).
cpDNA haplotype network and intrapopulation polymorphism
Sequences of two regions of cpDNA (trnL intron and trnL-trnF intergenic spacer region) were obtained from 50 individuals of $A$. kamchatica, seven individuals of $A$. lyrata, and 15 individuals of $A$. halleri (Table 1). The final alignment of the trnL intron was 494 bp long. The $\operatorname{trnL}-\operatorname{trnF}$ sequences varied considerably in length (271-772 bp) because of multiple trnF gene duplications and subsequent pseudogene formation (Koch et al. 2005). The alignment
was extremely difficult because of the tandem duplications, although it was based on the analysis by Koch et al. (2005). Multiple overlapping gaps at the $3^{\prime}$-end of the spacer were identified after alignment. The final alignment comprised 850 aligned positions. Sequences of the two regions from each individual were combined, and a single cpDNA haplotype was produced. Distinct $18-\mathrm{cpDNA}$ haplotypes (cpHap1-18) were observed from A. kamchatica, A. lyrata, and A. halleri (Table 1). The sequences of these cpDNA haplotypes are shown in Fig. S2c.

Seven haplotypes (cpHap1-7) were observed from A. kamchatica (including subsp. kawasakiana). Four of them (cpHap1, 2, 3, 5) were observed also from A. halleri. subsp. gemmifera, and their sequences were distinct with different duplicated structure of trnF pseudogenes (Fig. S2c). Three other haplotypes were found only in A. kamchatica. The cpHap4 was short and found in A. kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana, and may represent a deletion derivative. The cpHap6 was found in Taiwan. The cpHap7 was also found in Taiwan, and is close to the cpHap1 with a SNP.

The minimum spanning network was drawn based on the $t r n L$ intron region of Arabidopsis species. In total 27 trnL intron region haplotypes obtained in this study and in Koch and Matschinger 2007 and Schmickl et al. 2008 (GenBank accession numbers DQ313494-313502, DQ313504-313508, DQ313510-313520, DQ914841, DQ529016) were included in this analysis (Fig. 5). Arabidopsis thaliana and A. suecica were not included because their cpDNA haplotypes are highly divergent. Total 582 individuals from Koch \& Matschinger 2007 (SI Table 1) and Schmickl et al. 2008 (Supplementary material Table 1) are categorized according to the rules described below:

Arabis umbrosa from East Russia is categorized into A. lyrata, Asia. Because Schmicle et al. (2008) noted "the difficulties in assigning herbarium vouchers from Canada to ssp. lyrata or ssp. kamchatica", and because the taxonomic treatment of $A$. kamchatica has been a matter of debates, the individuals designated as A. kamchatica or lyrata from America or Asia in these references are categorized into one category, A. lyrata or kamchatica, America and Asia. Arabidopsis arenosa and $A$. neglecta are categorized into $A$. arenosa. The individuals designated as hybrid or without the information of haplotype were excluded.
Several individuals most possibly overlapping in these two references (marked with the same herbarium number) were counted only once.

The network was constructed using median-joining method ( $\varepsilon=0$ ), implemented in the NETWORK program v. 4.5.1.0 (Bandelt et al.1999; freely available at www.fluxusengineering.com). Every insertion and deletion was scored as a single mutational event regardless of its length. The $\operatorname{trnL} L$ trn $F$ intergenic spacer region was not included in the construction of the network, because frequent and possibly parallel mutations in tandemlyduplicated copies made the alignment uncertain (Koch et al. 2005). Instead, we used the trnL$\operatorname{trnF}$ intergenic spacer region to assess if cpDNA haplotype between those of $A$. kamchatica observed in this study and those of other Arabidopsis species are identical. In some of the individuals reported by Koch and Matschinger 2007 and Schmickl et al. 2008, only trnL intron sequence was reported and $t r n L-t r n F$ regions was not available. Those individuals were included in $\operatorname{trnL}$ intron network (Fig. 5b), but were not used to count the haplotype including the $\operatorname{trnL} L$-trnF intergenic spacer region (Fig. 5a).

To survey the extent of polymorphism within populations, sequences of the trnL$\operatorname{trnF}$ region were obtained from additional individuals from three selected populations: two populations of subsp. kamchatica (eight individuals from the population kamC14, and six individuals from the population kamC11, respectively) and one population of subsp. kawasakiana (five individuals from the population kamkwsB6) (Table S3). Despite the high levels of variation among populations in the $\operatorname{trnL}$ - $\operatorname{trn} F$ region, no polymorphism in $\operatorname{trnL}$ - $\operatorname{trn} F$
was found in any local populations. This suggests that most of the polymorphisms are distributed among populations.

## Bayesian clustering

To detect the population structure of $A$. kamchatica and assign individuals to populations, we used the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in program structure version 2.2 (http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html) (Pritchard et al. 2000) (Fig. 8). The algorithm uses individual multilocus genotypic data and attempts to assign individuals to clusters under the predefined model with a certain number of clusters ( $K$ ). In this study, two homeologous pairs of nuclear $W E R$ and $C H S$ genes ( $W E R$-lyr, $W E R$-hal, $C H S$-lyr and $C H S$-hal), and the cpDNA haplotype were included in the structure analysis. A high rate of selfing in $A$. kamchatica (Sugisaka \& Kudoh 2008) violates the assumption of the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium within a population in the structure analysis. Therefore, as recommended for complete-selfing species (Gao et al. 2007) and as commonly done in predominantly selfing species (e.g., Nordborg et al. 2005; Beck et al. 2008), the data were treated as haploid data. To prepare the haploid data set, the cpDNA haplotype and one haplotype from each nuclear locus were selected randomly and scored for each individual. Both substitutions and indels were used as information to distinguish haplotypes. As described in Results, the locus WERlyr in three individuals from Taiwan appeared to have rearrangement or indels in the $5^{\prime}$-half of the gene and was treated as a distinct haplotype. The locus $C H S$-hal in three individuals was not amplified and was treated as missing data for these three individuals. The genetic distances between haplotypes were not considered in this program.

The model used in the structure analysis assumes no association between alleles from different loci arising from physical linkage by chromosomal proximity. To survey the association between different loci, the degree of the gametic disequilibrium $D^{\prime}$ (average of the absolute value of $D_{i j} / D_{\max }$ over all pairs of alleles from different loci weighted by the frequencies of the gametes) (Hedrick 1987) was calculated for four nuclear loci (six pairs of loci). Four of the six pairs (WER-lyr-WER-hal; CHS-lyr-CHS-hal; $W E R-\mathrm{lyr}-$ CHS-hal and CHS-lyr-WER-hal) must reside on different chromosomes because they are the pairs from different parents. The $D^{\prime}$ value between these four pairs of loci was $0.788,0.937,0.806$, and 0.818 , respectively. These high gametic disequilibria observed between the loci from different parents were probably not the result of physical linkage but arose because of other factors such as the population structure. The other two pairs could potentially be in physical linkage ( $W E R$-hal-CHS-hal and $W E R$-lyr-CHS-lyr), which would result in a higher $D^{\prime}$ value because $W E R$ and $C H S$ reside on the same chromosome in the related species $A$. thaliana. However, the $D^{\prime}$ values ( 0.892 and 0.719 for $W E R$-hal-CHS-hal and $W E R$-lyr-CHS-lyr, respectively) are similar or lower than the pairs from different parents compared with the range of $D^{\prime}$ value of the pairs from different chromosomes described above (0.788-0.937). This indicates that the two pairs derived from the same parents do not have an elevated level of gametic (linkage) disequilibrium because of physical linkage and were thus treated as independent loci in the structure analysis.

Twenty independent runs with 100,000 iterations for the burn-in phase and 100,000 iterations for the data collection phase were conducted for different numbers of clusters ranging from $K=1$ to 10 . For all runs, admixture and correlated allele frequency models were used. Using the program CLUMPP (Jakobsson \& Rosenberg 2007), the optimal alignments of 20 replicate clustering estimates were found for each number of clusters $K$. The Greedy algorithm (for $K=2$ to 7) and the LargeKGreedy algorithm (for $K=8$ to 10) of the program with 1,000 random input orders of 20 replicates were used. The averages of cluster membership coefficients were taken for all runs of each $K$ with the optimal alignment, and the outputs were graphically displayed by the program distruct
(http://rosenberglab.bioinformatics.med.umich.edu/distruct.html) (Rosenberg 2004). To investigate the similarity of clustering estimates between different runs, the symmetric similarity coefficient (SSC) (Jakobsson \& Rosenberg 2007) was computed for all pairs of runs with a given $K$ using the program CLUMPP. The optimal number of clusters $(K)$ was inferred based on evaluation of the $\Delta K$ statistic (Evanno et al. 2005).

| Gene | homeolog type | Primer name | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ ) | annealing ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WER | lyrata | WERFU3 WERRD6 | TATACATAAATATTCCACTAGGTTCTG AATTGAAGAAACATTTAAAACATT | 57 |
|  | lyrata (Taiwan) <br> (Taiwan) | WERIyrF1 WERRD6 | CTATTTCAAGAGAAGAAAAAACAGC AATTGAAGAAACATTTAAAACATT | 53 |
|  | lyrata \& halleri | WERFU1 WERRD1 | TCTCTCGTTTTATGATCTCTCTCG AGCCAATCATACACTACCACATCA | 57 |
|  | halleri | WERFU1 WERgemRD1 | TCTCTCGTTTTATGATCTCTCTCG GTTTGATCAGCTTTGCATGCA | 53 |
|  | halleri | WERFU1 WERgemR3e2 | TCTCTCGTTTTATGATCTCTCTCG TGTTTGGTTTTCTCATGATCT | 53 |
|  | lyrata \& halleri | WERF4 <br> WERR3e1 | TGTAGATTGAGGTGGATGAA TGAACCCAAAGTGAACTCAAGTAG | 53 |
| CHS | lyrata | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHSlyrFU1 } \\ \text { CHSR1 } \end{gathered}$ | TGGGAAGTGAAATCTCCTTATGGTG AGAGGAACGCTGTGCAAGAC | 57 |
|  | lyrata | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHSlyrFU4 } \\ \text { CHSR1 } \end{gathered}$ | GGTGGAGAAACTATACAACAAAT AGAGGAACGCTGTGCAAGAC | 57 |
|  | halleri | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CHSgemFU1 } \\ & \text { CHSR1 } \end{aligned}$ | GAAATCTCCGTAGTCCGTATGGTG AGAGGAACGCTGTGCAAGAC | 57 |
|  | halleri | CHSgemFU15 CHSR1 | CTAACAACTAGCCACGTATATCTTC AGAGGAACGCTGTGCAAGAC | * 67.5 |
|  | lyrata \& halleri | $\begin{gathered} \text { CHSkamF1 } \\ \text { CHSR3 } \end{gathered}$ | CTAACCCTGAGAACCATGTG <br> TATGGCACCATCAGAGTCTG | 53 |
| ITS |  | ITSP1A <br> ITSP4 | GGAAGGAGAAGTCGTAACAAGG TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC | 45 |
| trnL_trnF |  | trnL/FIGSF <br> trnL/FIGSR | GGTTCAAGTCCCTCTATCCC GATTTTCAGTCCTCTGCTCTAC | 45 |
| trnL intron |  | trnLintrF trnLintrR | CGAAATCGGTAGACGCTACG GGGGATAGAGGGACTTGAAC | 38 |

[^0]Supporting Table S2. Comparative information for nuclear DNA.

|  | nDNA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WER | CHS-all-accessions | CHS-lyr-clade | ITS |
| no. individuals | 72 | 68 | 55 | 75 |
| no. outgroup | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| no. sequences | 120 | 118 | 57 | 75 |
| sequence length (bp) | 835-925 | 1286-1305 | 1551-1574 | 618-619 |
| aligned length (bp) | 955 | 1314 | 1589 | 619 |
| no. coded indels | 14 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
| no. haplotypes | 24 | 22 | 14 | 25 |
| variable characters (\% by aligned length, incl. indel | 83 (8.6\%) | 110 (8.3\%) | 117 (7.4\%) | 59 (9.5\%) |
| parsimony-informative characters (\%,incl. indel coding) | 60 (6.2\%) | 44 (3.3\%) | 25 (1.6\%) | 14 (2.3\%) |
| no. MP trees | 78 | 4 | 1 | 74,944 |
| tree length (steps) | 96 | 127 | 127 | 64 |
| CI (consistency index) | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| RI (retention index) | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.99 |
| no. haplotypes of halleri-homeolog of A. kamchatica | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| nucleotide diversity $\pi$ of halleri-homeolog of $A$. kamchatica | 0.0008 | 0.0026 |  |  |
| no. haplotypes of lyrata-homeolog of A. kamchatica | 8 | 11 |  |  |
| nucleotide diversity $\pi$ of lyrata-homeolog of $A$. kamchatica | 0.0006 | 0.0012 |  |  |

One haplotype was used from each individual in the calculation of nucleotide diversity $\pi$ (Tajima 1983)
WER-lyrata of kamA1-3 and kamE26 accessions were removed due to large indels

Table S3 Samples for intra-populaton variation analyses (only trnL-trnF of cpDNA was surveyed)

| Name of taxon | Area |  | sample name | Population | Collector | trnL-trnF of cpDNA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC101 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC102 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC103 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC104 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC105 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC106 | Japan, Tokushima, Mt. Shikokutsurugi | M. Kanaoka, KKS | cpHap1 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC107 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC108 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC109 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC110 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC111 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC112 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC113 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kamchatica | C | Mountains in Western Honshu and Shikoku, Japan | kamC114 | Japan, Tottori, Mt. Daisen | KKS | cpHap3 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB115 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima | KKS | cpHap4 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB116 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima | KKS | cpHap4 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB117 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima | KKS | cpHap4 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB118 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima | KKS | cpHap4 |
| Arabidopsis kamchatica subsp. kawasakiana | B | Lowland in Western Honshu, Japan | kamkwsB119 | Japan, Shiga, Takashima | KKS | cpHap4 |

a.


## H : used to amplify halleri type <br> L: used to amplify lyrata type


b. WER fragment (F4-R3e1)

C13 C14 E26 G31 B4 hal- lyr-
gem4 pet4

c. CHS fragment (kamF1-R3) digested by Xbal C11 C12 D23 G31 B4 hal- lyr-



* kamC11L, C12La1, C13L, C14L, D15L, D19L-D25L
** kamE26L, F27L-F30L, G32L-G41L, H42L-H45L, H47L, 148L-I50L


## Supporting Fig. S2a Segregating sites of CHS

| Haplotype name | Frequency |  |  | reg | n | sis | sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kamA,C,D,F,G,H,I, kamkwsB4-B10 | 41 | C | c | G | C | C | T | T | G | C | G | A | G | G | A | G | G | T |  |  |  | C | C 0 | T | T |  |  |  |  | C T A C |  | G |
| kamA3a2 | 1 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | . | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . . |  |  |
| kamD15 | 1 | G |  | . |  | . | . | . |  | . | . | . | . |  | . |  | . | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . . . |  | R |
| kamD17 | 1 | . |  |  | T | T | C |  | A | T | A | T | T | A | G | \% | A |  |  |  |  |  | T | c | c |  |  |  |  | . C G |  | . |
| kamD18 | 1 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | - |  |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | , G |  | - |
| kamD24 | 1 | . |  |  |  | . | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  | K | . |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . . . | . | - |
| kamE26 | 1 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | - | . |  | W | . | - | . |  |  |  | Y | . . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| kamG32 | 1 | - |  | . | . | . | . | . |  |  | R | . | . |  |  |  | R | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - • . | . | - |
| kamH43,H47,148 | 3 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | - | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | $Y . R S$ |  |  |
| lyrpet1 | 1 | - |  | . | . | . | . | - |  | . | R | . | . |  |  | . | . | W | K |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - . . |  |  |
| lyrpet2,3 | 2 | . |  | . |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A | T |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| \|yrlyr1,2,1yrpet4,5 | 4 | . |  | . | . | . | . | . |  | . | . | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | T. G G | G |  |
| haldac1 | 1 | - |  |  |  |  | C | c |  | . | A | T | . | A | G | . | A |  |  |  |  |  | . | C | C |  |  |  |  | . C G . | . |  |
| halgem1-4, 8 | 5 | . |  |  |  | . | C |  |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | . | A |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | C |  |  |  |  | . C G . | . | . |
| halgem5 | 1 | . |  |  |  | . | C | . |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | . | A | . | A |  |  |  | . | Y | O |  |  |  |  | . CG . |  |  |
| halgem6 | 1 | - |  |  | Y | . | C | . | R | T | A | T | . | A | G | , | A |  | A |  |  |  | Y |  | Y |  |  |  |  | . CG . |  | . |
| halgem7 | 1 | - |  | . |  | . | c |  |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | . | A |  |  |  |  |  | Y |  | O |  |  |  |  | . CG. | $Y$ |  |
| halhal1 | 1 | . |  | . |  | . | C |  |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | , | A |  | A |  |  |  | . |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | . CG | . | . |
| halhal2 | 1 | . |  | R |  | . | C |  | R | T | A | T | . | A | G |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | - C G | . | . |
| haltat1 | 1 | . |  |  |  | . | C |  |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | , | A |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | C |  |  |  |  | . CG |  |  |
| haltat2 | 1 | . |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | c |  |  | T | A | T | , |  | G | A | A |  |  |  |  |  | . |  | C |  |  | W |  | . C G | . | . |
| haltat3 | 1 | . |  |  |  | . | C | . |  | T | A | T | . | A | G | . | A | . |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  | . C G | . |  |
| halovi1 | 1 |  |  | . | . | . | C | . | . | T | A | T | . | A | G | . | A | A | A |  |  | . | . | C | 0 | A |  |  |  | . C G . | . |  |

* kamA1, A2, A3a1, C11-C14, D16, D19-D23, D25, F27-F30, G31, G33-G41, H42, H44-H46, I49, I50, kamkwsB4-B10
$\qquad$
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| cpHap_1 | ACHT |
| :---: | :---: |
| cpHap_2 | ${ }_{\text {ACPIT }}$ |
| cpHap_3 | ACTH |
| cpHap_4 | ACTI |
| cpHap_5 | ACTH |
| cpHap_6 | ACTH |
| cpHap_7 | ACTH |
| cpHap_8 | ACHT |
| cpHap_9 | ACT |
| cpHap_10 | ACT |
| cpHap_11 | AC4 |
| cpHap_12 | ACTH |
| cpHap_13 | ACHT |
| cpHap_14 | ACHT |
| cpHap_15 | ACTH |
| cpHap_16 | ACTH |
| cpHap_17 | ACTH |
| cpHap_18 | ACTH |

trnL-trnF intergenic region



| cpHap_1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| cpHap_2 |  |
| cpHap_3 |  |
| cpHap_4 |  |
| cpHap_5 |  |
| срНap_6 |  |
| cpHap_7 | CTI |
| срНap_8 |  |
| cpHap_9 | AGEAAAMAGC |
| cpHap_10 |  |
| cpHap_11 |  |
| cpHap_12 |  |
| cpHap_13 |  |
| cpHap_14 | СНTCGGr上ATG |
| cpHap_15 | CrHCGGrAATGGTCGGCATAGCHMTHTGCGGGGGACHT |
| cpHap_16 |  |
| cpHap_17 |  |
| cpHap_18 |  |
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*kamC11L, C12La1, C13L, C14L, D15L, D19L-D25L
**kamE26L, F27L-F30L, G32L-G41L, H42L-H45L, H47L, I48L-I50L

Supporting Fig. S3a CHS most-parsimonious tree, lyrata clade

$\overline{0.0030}$
*kamC11L, C12L, D15L, D17L-D25L, F29L, F30L, G31L, G33L-G40L, H42L, H44L, H45L, H47L, I49L, I50L **kamA3Ha1, C11H, C12H, D15H, D17H, D18H, F27H, F29H, F30H, G31H, G32H, G34H-G38H, G40H, G41H, $\mathrm{H} 42 \mathrm{H}-47 \mathrm{H}, 148 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I} 50 \mathrm{H}$


0.008
*kamA1, A2, A3a1, C11-C14, D16, D19-D23, D25, F27-F30, G31, G33-G41, H42, H44-H46, I49, I50


Supporting Fig. S4a WER lyrata type


Supporting Fig. S4b WER halleri type


Supporting Fig. S4c CHS lyrata type



[^0]:    *Temp. used for kamchatica, 55 for halleri

