


FULL PAPER

Open Access



The ARASE (ERG) magnetic field investigation

Ayako Matsuoka^{1*} , Mariko Teramoto², Reiko Nomura³, Masahito Nosé⁴, Akiko Fujimoto⁵, Yoshimasa Tanaka⁶, Manabu Shinohara⁷, Tsutomu Nagatsuma⁸, Kazuo Shiokawa², Yuki Obana⁹, Yoshizumi Miyoshi², Makoto Mita¹, Takeshi Takashima¹ and Iku Shinohara¹

Abstract

The fluxgate magnetometer for the Arase (ERG) spacecraft mission was built to investigate particle acceleration processes in the inner magnetosphere. Precise measurements of the field intensity and direction are essential in studying the motion of particles, the properties of waves interacting with the particles, and magnetic field variations induced by electric currents. By observing temporal field variations, we will more deeply understand magnetohydrodynamic and electromagnetic ion-cyclotron waves in the ultra-low-frequency range, which can cause production and loss of relativistic electrons and ring-current particles. The hardware and software designs of the Magnetic Field Experiment (MGF) were optimized to meet the requirements for studying these phenomena. The MGF makes measurements at a sampling rate of 256 vectors/s, and the data are averaged onboard to fit the telemetry budget. The magnetometer switches the dynamic range between ± 8000 and $\pm 60,000$ nT, depending on the local magnetic field intensity. The experiment is calibrated by preflight tests and through analysis of in-orbit data. MGF data are edited into files with a common data file format, archived on a data server, and made available to the science community. Magnetic field observation by the MGF will significantly improve our knowledge of the growth and decay of radiation belts and ring currents, as well as the dynamics of geospace storms.

Keywords: EMIC wave, Geospace, Magnetic field, Magnetometer, Radiation belts, Ring current, ULF wave

Introduction

The Arase (also known as Exploration of energization and Radiation in Geospace, ERG) satellite was successfully launched on December 20, 2016, from the Uchinoura Space Center. The spacecraft has apogee and perigee altitudes of $\sim 32,000$ and ~ 440 km, respectively, and an inclination of 32° , allowing the spacecraft to spend a majority of its time in the radiation belts. The spacecraft has an orbital period of 570 min and is spin-stabilized with a spin period of ~ 8 s.

The primary objective of the Arase mission is to reveal the generation mechanisms of relativistic electrons in radiation belts (Miyoshi et al. 2013; Miyoshi

et al. 2017). Particles of various energies and waves of various frequencies are considered to be involved in the electron acceleration process in the inner magnetosphere. The Arase spacecraft measures the fluxes of ions and electrons over a wide energy range and detects electric and magnetic field oscillations over a wide frequency range to gain insight into the interactions between particles of different energies and waves of different frequencies.

The magnetic field is a fundamental quantity in space plasma studies. The behavior of charged particles is often examined in a coordinate system referenced to the direction of the magnetic field. Moreover, temporal variations in the magnetic field play an important role in the transport, acceleration, and deceleration process of charged particles. The Magnetic Field Experiment (MGF) for the Arase mission was developed to conduct precise measurements of the static magnetic field and low-frequency magnetic field variations.

*Correspondence: matsuoka@isas.jaxa.jp

¹ Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3-1-1, Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

In "Science objectives and requirements for MGF" section of this paper, we describe the scientific objectives of the MGF and its requirements. In "Instrument design", "Performance of MGF", and "Spacecraft magnetic cleanliness" sections, we explain the instrument design, performance, and the magnetic cleanliness of the spacecraft, respectively. The compliance of the MGF to meet specification is discussed in each section. In "Operation" and "In-orbit calibration" sections, we describe the in-orbit operation of MGF and data calibration based on preflight experiments and in-orbit data analysis, respectively. In "Data processing flow" section, we show the MGF data processing flow for the scientific studies.

Science objectives and requirements for MGF

Background field strength and direction

In the region of geospace surveyed by Arase, the magnetic field is dominated by the component originating from the earth's interior; therefore, the field is well represented by the International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) model. Meanwhile, global electric currents flowing in the magnetosphere cause deviations from the intrinsic field, and temporal variations in the electric current in the magnetosphere produce temporal variations in the magnetic field configuration.

Cummings et al. (1968) first reported that during magnetospheric substorms, a tailward-stretched magnetic field recovers rapidly to a dipolar configuration, a phenomenon known as dipolarization. Magnetic dipolarization has often been discussed in association with bursty bulk flow (BBF) in the magnetotail (e.g., Angelopoulos et al. 1992; Takada et al. 2006). According to previous studies, particle acceleration occurs during dipolarization, although the causal relationship between the particle and magnetic field behaviors and the physical process connecting them are still under study (Lui 1991; McPherron and Chu 2016). In the inner magnetosphere, a change in the field configuration can induce particle acceleration (Delcourt 2002). Understanding the relationship between variation in the field configuration and the generation of energetic particles is one of the major objectives of the Arase project.

Observing the pitch-angle distribution of charged particles is essential in studying wave–particle interactions because an anisotropic distribution contains free energy to excite plasma waves. Accurate determination of the field direction is necessary to study the dependence of the particle characteristics on the pitch angle. By measuring the pitch-angle distribution of the particle flux, we may gain information on phenomena occurring at varying distances from the spacecraft along the field line. For example, particle dissipation at low altitudes could produce a loss cone distribution observed on the same field line in the equatorial region (e.g., Thorne et al. 2010).

Pc3–5 ultra-low-frequency waves

Low-frequency magnetic field variations observed in the inner magnetosphere are categorized according to frequency and waveform characteristics. Narrow-band waves having a period of several tens to a few 100 s are called Pc3–Pc5 ultra-low-frequency (ULF) waves. ULF waves have the potential to energize particles (Elkington et al. 1999; Liu et al. 1999; Shprits et al. 2008 and references therein). Charged particles in the inner magnetosphere basically have stable trajectories around the earth to keep the third adiabatic invariant constant. Meanwhile, acceleration could occur if particles periodically scattered by magnetic perturbations undergo radial diffusion.

Until the 1990s, most observations in the inner magnetosphere were made at geosynchronous orbit. The first comprehensive studies of ULF waves inward of the geostationary orbit were made using observations by the Active Magnetospheric Particle Tracer Explorers (AMPTE)/Charge Composition Explorer (CCE) at 5–8 R_E (R_E is the radius of the earth) (Anderson et al. 1990; Anderson 1994). Ali et al. (2015) investigated ULF waves observed by the Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite (CRRES) at $L = 4$ –6.5 and evaluated the radial diffusion coefficient. The contribution of ULF waves to relativistic electron generation is still controversial; Su et al. (2015) concluded that radial diffusion plays a key role, whereas a substantial number of studies support gyroresonant electron–whistler mode wave interaction as the predominant process (Miyoshi et al. 2003; Horne et al. 2005a, b; Shprits et al. 2008; Reeves et al. 2013 and references therein).

Electromagnetic ion-cyclotron waves

It is proposed that the ions having a strong anisotropic pitch-angle distribution excite electromagnetic ion-cyclotron (EMIC) waves in the Pc1–2 band (from 0.1 to several Hz).

The statistics of the EMIC wave intensity observed by AMPTE/CCE were given by Anderson et al. (1992a), and the spatial distribution was demonstrated by Anderson et al. (1992b). The spatial occurrence frequency was further studied by Min et al. (2012) using Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms (THEMIS) data and by Keika et al. (2013) using AMPTE/CCE data.

Studies that compare observation with numerical models suggest that EMIC waves contribute to the loss of energetic ions (Jordanova et al. 2003, 2006) and relativistic electrons (Summers and Thorne 2003; Miyoshi et al. 2008; Kersten et al. 2014). Nomura et al. (2012, 2016) reported proton aurora events that were supposedly caused by EMIC wave scattering. Sakaguchi et al. (2013)

showed an EMIC rising tone event observed by Akebono in the deep inner magnetosphere and suggested the precipitation of relativistic electrons via EMIC waves.

Requirements for the MGF

Magnetic field data are necessary not only for the reasons mentioned above but also for almost all scientific studies by Arase.

To capture phenomena that Arase should encounter, we defined the following requirements for MGF capability and performance: temporal variation noise level lower than $80 \text{ pT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ in the 0.1–10 Hz frequency range, field intensity error lower than 5 nT, and field direction error lower than 1° . These requirements apply to observations at $L > 2$ (L in R_E is the distance of the field line from the earth center at the magnetic equator). We designed and tested the MGF hardware, software, and spacecraft system to meet these criteria. Sensor noise is described in "MGF performance" section, spacecraft noise in "Spacecraft magnetic cleanliness" section, and the conformity of the MGF to the second and third criteria in "In-orbit calibration" section.

Instrument design

Overview

The MGF is a fluxgate magnetometer designed based on the magnetometer (MGF-I) developed for the Bepi-Colombo mission's Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter (MMO) (Baumjohann et al. 2006, 2010). The analog-type magnetometer follows the basic design adopted for many space missions (Gordon and Brown 1972; Fuku-nishi et al. 1990; Kokubun et al. 1994; Yamamoto and Matsuoka 1998; Tsunakawa et al. 2010). Nevertheless, some elements of the sensor and electronics were newly developed to withstand the harsh radiation environment

of Mercury (Matsuoka et al. 2013). These elements are appropriate for the Arase spacecraft, which is also exposed to severe radiation.

Figure 1 shows the overall configuration of the MGF. It consists of a sensor (MGF-S) and an electronics box (MGF-E). The MGF-E is installed inside the spacecraft body, on the $-Z$ panel, where the $-Z$ -direction roughly points toward the sun. It includes four electronics boards: the magnetometer circuit, the sensor temperature measurement circuit, the central processing unit (CPU), and the power supply unit (PSU). The PSU supplies $\pm 7 \text{ V}$ power to the magnetometer circuit board and $+3.3 \text{ V}$ to the CPU. Commands from the ground or issued autonomously by the spacecraft system are transferred to the CPU from the mission data processor (MDP) by Spacewire protocol. The CPU exchanges the commands and data with the magnetometer circuit board by the Spacewire protocol as well. Two types of data are generated by the magnetometer circuit board: mission (magnetic field) data and housekeeping (HK) data. Mission and HK telemetry packets are created by the CPU and sent to the ground via the MDP. Meanwhile, 'shared' magnetic field data are distributed to other instruments onboard Arase. In parallel, near-real-time magnetic field data are compiled with data from the extremely high-energy electron experiments (XEP) instrument and high-energy electron experiments (HEP) instrument in space-weather telemetry packets, and downlinked immediately for near-real-time monitoring of the inner magnetosphere. The production/processing procedure of magnetic field data is described in "Onboard data processing" section.

The sensor (MGF-S) is mounted at the tip of an extendable 5-m MAST. The cables connecting MGF-S with MGF-E are twist-pair with a shield, which is electrically connected to the spacecraft ground level.




Figure 2 shows photographs of the flight model MGF-S, MGF-E, and extendable MAST in stowed configuration.

Sensor (MGF-S)

In the MGF-S unit, three sensor elements are mounted on the sensor base to measure the three orthogonal components of the magnetic field. A sensor element consists of a metal core, a driving coil, and a pickup/feedback coil. The core has an identical design to the 20-mm-diameter ring-shaped nickel–molybdenum Permalloy core for BepiColombo MMO MGF-IS. The driving coil is wound around the ring to excite the magnetic field in the core. The center axis of the pickup/feedback coil is along the diameter of the ring; this axis corresponds to the field measurement direction of the sensor element. A Pt temperature sensor is located on the back side of the sensor base. Temperature data are used to calibrate the magnetic field data.



Fig. 2 Photographs of the flight models **a** MGF-S, **b** MGF-E, and **c** the extendable MAST in stowed configuration. The MGF-S is mounted on the MAST and is covered by a black thermal material

5-m extendable MAST

The MGF sensor is mounted on the tip of the 5-m extendable MAST to avoid magnetic interference from the spacecraft. The MAST for Arase has nearly the same design as that for Nozomi (Yamamoto and Matsuoka 1998) and is similar to those used for Geotail (Kokubun et al. 1994), Kaguya (Tsunakawa et al. 2010), and BepiColombo MMO, except for the diameter, length, and material used. The MAST was in the stowed configuration at launch, and was deployed about 1 month after launch. Arase has two MAST units, one for the MGF sensor and the other for the search-coil sensor; these were deployed simultaneously in orbit to retain the dynamic balance of the spacecraft.

Circuit design

Figure 3 shows a block diagram of the MGF magnetic field measurement function. The sensor driver circuit excites an 11 kHz current in the drive coil wound around the sensor core. The signal amplitude detected by the sensor pickup coil at the second harmonic, 22 kHz, is proportional to the magnetic field. The 22 kHz component is selectively amplified by bandpass filters. The phase detector outputs a voltage proportional to the amplitude of the 22 kHz component in the pickup signal. It is integrated and produces a feedback current to the pickup coil to cancel out the external magnetic field at the sensor element. The feedback register defines the sensitivity, which is the ratio between the magnetic field and the output voltage of the integrator. Two feedback registers correspond to the two dynamic ranges, ± 8000 and $\pm 60,000$ nT. A field-programmable gate array (FPGA) switches the feedback registers and controls synchronous timing of the phase detector according to commands sent from the ground.

When magnetic cancelation at the sensor is established, the output from the integrator represents the external magnetic field. The output is converted into a 20-bit digital signal by the analog-to-digital conversion (ADC) circuit, which consists of a delta-sigma modulator and a digital filter programmed in the FPGA. The FPGA stores the filtered magnetic field (mission) data in a first-in first-out (FIFO) buffer with time stamps. Sensor temperature data are obtained from a different ADC in the temperature measurement board. The FPGA transfers the mission data stored in the FIFO buffer to the CPU, together with the HK data. The HK data include the sensor temperature, parameters used to operate the magnetometer board (synchronous timing for the phase detector and range information), and Spacewire connection status.

Performance of MGF

Basic characteristics

Table 1 shows basic parameters representing the characteristics of the MGF. Two dynamic ranges, ± 8000 and




Fig. 3 Block diagram of MGF magnetic field measurements


Table 1 Basic parameters of MGF for Arase (ERG)

Data sampling	Dynamic range (nT)	$\pm 8000 \text{ nT} / \pm 60,000 \text{ nT}$
	Digital resolution (pT)	15/114 (20 bits)
	Original sampling frequency (Hz)	256
Accuracy (RT)	Sensitivity	$< 0.06\% (\pm 8000 \text{ nT range})$ $< 0.10\% (\pm 60,000 \text{ nT range})$
	Orthogonality ($^{\circ}$)	< 0.1
	Sensitivity change from RT	$0.016\% (\pm 8000 \text{ nT range})$
Accuracy (− 20 to 30 $^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Offset (nT)	$< 2 \text{ nT} (\pm 8000 \text{ nT range})$
	Weight	Sensor (g) 120 Electronics (g) 2780
Dimension	Sensor (mm)	71 × 58 × 41H
	Electronics (mm)	334 × 121 × 203H
Power consumption	+ 3.3 V	932 mA (nominal)
	+ 7 V	357 mA (nominal)
	− 7 V	130 mA (nominal)

$\pm 60,000 \text{ nT}$, are implemented for measurements at $L > 2$ and $L < 2$, respectively. The ADC is designed for a sampling rate of 256 vectors/s and digital resolution of 20 bits. The accuracies shown in the table are derived from ground calibration experiments, described in "Ground calibration" section.

MGF performance

Figure 4 shows the noise spectrum of the analog output when the MGF-S sensor is placed in a magnetic shielding box. The peaks at 20, 50, and 60 Hz and higher harmonics are associated with environmental noise. Noise appearing at other frequencies is mostly attributed to the Barkhausen noise of the sensor. The properties of the noise are summarized in Table 2. In the dynamic range of $\pm 8000 \text{ nT}$, the typical noise intensity at 1 Hz is 9–10 pT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, and the root mean square (RMS) amplitude of the noise in the frequency band from 0.1–10 Hz is 35–40 pT. The noise generated in the ADC part was examined by investigating the digital output with stable voltage supplied to the input. The RMS amplitude of



the output was about 2 least significant bits (LSBs) corresponding to 31 pT, which is comparable to the noise generated by the analog part. These results satisfy the sensitivity requirement that the noise floor be lower than $80 \text{ pT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ ("Requirements for the MGF" section). Similarly, in the $\pm 60,000$ nT range, the analog noise levels at 1 and 0.1–10 Hz RMS are 8–9 $\text{pT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ and 32–34 pT, respectively. However, the resolution at the digital output is never better than the ADC noise intensity, 2 LSBs, which corresponds to 230 pT.

Figure 5 shows the response characteristics of the MGF analog part. The line plots show the integrator output (Fig. 3) for calibration signals applied to the sensor. The cutoff frequency (-3 dB) is higher than 200 Hz for the ± 8000 nT range, and higher than 300 Hz for the $\pm 60,000$ nT range. In the frequency range of 1–107 Hz

(107 Hz is the frequency nearest the cutoff of the ADC digital filter described later), phase delay at each frequency is determined with an accuracy better than 35 (20) μs for the ± 8000 ($\pm 60,000$) nT range. A summary of the test results is given in Table 2.

The overall frequency characteristics of the MGF are represented by a combination of the characteristics of the analog part, ADC, and subsequent averaging processing. Figure 6 shows the time domain window function and the corresponding frequency response of the ADC, combined with the subsequent averaging processor. The ADC modulates the analog magnetic field data into delta-sigma 65 kHz signals. A finite impulse response (FIR) digital filter is applied to these signals, and 256 Hz samples are generated. The window length of the FIR filter is twice the sampling interval, 7.8 ms. The frequency response of the FIR filter, shown by a solid line in Fig. 6b, has a cutoff at 103.5 Hz, far below the cutoff frequencies of the analog signals. To reduce telemetry volume, the CPU averages the 256 Hz samples down and resamples the data at a reduced rate. Figure 6b shows the response characteristics of the digital filters for 128, 64, and 32 Hz sampling frequencies, with cutoff frequencies at 55, 28, and 14 Hz, respectively; these cutoff frequencies are far below those of analog signals. Because the time stamps are defined at the center of the data window, the FIR and averaging filters do not introduce any time delay. To summarize, MGF data are characterized by the frequency responses of the digital (FIR and averaging) filters and the time delay occurring in the analog circuit.

Ground calibration

Magnetic field sensitivity and sensor orthogonality were measured in the magnetic test facility at the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) Tsukuba Space Center. The experimental details and results are discussed in Teramoto et al. (2017). Sensitivity was determined with an accuracy of 0.06% (± 8000 nT range) and the orthogonality (angles between the measurement axes) with an accuracy of 0.03° (Table 1).

Due to deformation of the sensor by temperature variation, the sensitivity and offset depend on the sensor temperature. The sensitivity for various sensor temperatures was measured in the magnetic shielding room at JAXA's Sagami-hara Campus (Hirao et al. 1985). The increase in sensor sensitivity with temperature is caused by thermal expansion of the pickup/feedback coil dimensions. Sensitivity measurement error increased as the temperature decreased due to the difficulty in keeping the sensor temperature stable at low temperatures. Nevertheless, in the temperature range from -20 to 30°C , the sensitivity variation from that at room temperature was determined

Table 2 Frequency characteristics of MGF

	± 8000 nT range			$\pm 60,000$ nT range		
	X	Y	Z	X	Y	Z
Analog part						
Noise density @ 1 Hz (pT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$)	8.9	9.5	10.1	8.4	8.7	7.7
Noise RMS in 0.1–10 Hz (pT)	36.6	34.5	39.7	33.1	34.1	32.2
Cutoff (Hz)	275	213	275	326	326	326
Time delay @ 1 Hz (msec)	0.889	1.109	0.924	0.426	0.438	0.423
Error in 1–107 Hz (μsec)	< 35	< 20				
Digital part						
Cutoff (Hz)						
256 Hz sampling	103.5					
128 Hz sampling	55					
64 Hz sampling	28					
32 Hz sampling	14					

within 0.016%. This percentage is smaller than the determination error of the absolute sensitivity, described above (0.06% for the ± 8000 nT range and 0.1% for the $\pm 60,000$ nT range).

The measurement offset and its dependence on temperature were evaluated in the same experimental test. The offset variation was less than 2 nT for temperatures from -20 to 30 °C (Teramoto et al. 2017), which meets the requirement of 5 nT ("Requirements for the MGF" section). However, we must note that spacecraft magnetic cleanliness and sensor alignment, which contribute to the magnetic field offset, have yet to be addressed; these are discussed below.


Spacecraft magnetic cleanliness

To achieve precise measurements of the magnetic field, reduction in magnetic interference from the spacecraft is important. Many efforts were made to optimize the magnetic cleanliness of the Arase spacecraft. The design of the installed components and spacecraft system were examined in view of magnetic cleanliness and modified to reduce interference as needed. The magnetic fields generated by individual components were measured to confirm the effectiveness of these efforts. In this section, we present the final evaluation results for magnetic cleanliness.

The stray field around the powered-off spacecraft was measured in the magnetic shielding chamber at JAXA's Sagami-hara Campus. Field vectors at 2.5-m distance from the center of the spacecraft were measured in 30° steps in elevation and 45° steps in azimuth. Figure 7a shows the measurement results, and Fig. 7b shows the superposition of the fields calculated by the measured magnetic moments of the individual components. The field intensity and its dependence on the azimuth were

similar for the superposition model (Fig. 7b) and post-assembly measurements (Fig. 7a). A comparison at elevation = 0° and azimuth = 0° , which is in the same direction from the spacecraft center as MAST deployment, was most representative to check the validity of the model; measurement results indicated a field intensity of ~ 4 nT, whereas the model predicted ~ 3 nT. The difference is small; thus, the superposition model is considered to work well for evaluating the field around the spacecraft. The difference could be attributed to the properties of the magnetic materials used in the spacecraft, which would distort the magnetic field. The model indicates that the field intensity at the MGF-S position after MAST deployment is 0.18 nT, which is much smaller than the offset of the MGF instrument. Also, it is much lower than the required 5 nT described in "Requirements for the MGF" section.

Time-varying fields during system-level spacecraft function tests were also measured at the 2.5-m distance from the spacecraft center in the same magnetic shielding room. In this case, it was difficult to evaluate the noise from the spacecraft because the measured field was overwhelmed by noise believed to have originated from controllers located outside the shielding room. The noise appeared even when the spacecraft power was turned off, leading us to conclude that it must have radiated from cables connected to the controllers. For the possible worst-case scenario, in which noise from the spacecraft at the 2.5-m distance is comparable to the environmental noise, we estimated the noise to be lower than 100 pT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, except for a narrow-band enhancement at 7 Hz. If we assume that the noise decreases as the cube of the distance from the spacecraft center, it is estimated to be below 9 pT/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at the MGF-S position after MAST deployment, which is comparable to the noise of the



MGF instrument itself, as shown in Fig. 5. Moreover, it is one order of magnitude below the required noise level ($80 \text{ pT}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$) described in "Requirements for the MGF" section.

From unit-level measurements and examinations of the magnetic noise prior to the system-level measurement, we determined that battery charging and the magnetic torquer generate time-varying magnetic noise over the required level. During the system-level spacecraft function test in the shielding room, noise from the spacecraft exceeded the environmental noise when the battery was fast-charging and when the magnetic torquer was in operation. Field variation generated by the battery charging current had an amplitude of $\sim 6 \text{ nT}$ at 2.5 m from the spacecraft center, corresponding to an amplitude of $\sim 0.5 \text{ nT}$ at the tip of the deployed MAST. Variation during torquer operation was about 70 times larger. Therefore, caution is warranted when using data acquired during fast battery charging after an eclipse and at all times when using data acquired during torquer operation, which occurs at perigee in every orbital revolution.

Operation


Onboard data processing

As shown in Fig. 3, the mission and HK data from the MGF are transferred from the FPGA on the magnetometer circuit board to the CPU by the Spacewire

protocol. The CPU board processes the mission and HK data; it also edits data packets that are transferred to the MDP. The data packets are transferred to the ground via the MDP and data management component (DMC) of the spacecraft system. In addition, the CPU generates near-real-time onboard shared data. These data are written into the shared data area in the relay packet and are then circulated among the scientific instruments and transferred to other instruments and the MDP.


Table 3 shows a list of magnetic field data produced by the MGF CPU. The MGF mission telemetry packet, which comprises magnetic field data and status information necessary for data processing on the ground, has five formats that are mutually exclusive. The checkout format contains all information in the mission data from the magnetometer circuit board. With the exception of the checkout mode, the format differs with respect to the data sampling rate: 256 Hz (same as the original), 128, 64, or 32 Hz. The CPU software extracts valid data from the original magnetic field data transferred from the magnetometer circuit board and averages them according to the sampling rate.

The MGF HK telemetry packet contains all of the hardware HK status from the magnetometer circuit board, software status, and decimated magnetic field data. Although the HK telemetry packet is produced every



second, this information is often made available only at a lower rate (nominally, once every 5 s) on the ground. Selected items in the HK data are compiled in spacecraft system HK packets and made available every second to ground control.

Onboard CPU software generates near-real-time and onboard shared data from the measured magnetic field data. Data are preliminarily calibrated based on the results of ground calibration experiments. Magnetic field data at spin-time resolution are included in the Space Weather data packet and transferred to the ground immediately, where they are used as a near-real-time indicator of the inner magnetosphere's condition. In contrast, shared MGF data, which have a cadence of 16 Hz, become instantaneously available to the CPUs of other science instruments and are used for onboard data processing.



Initial operation

The initial MGF operations were carried out from January to March 2017, during which time, no science-quality data were produced. Table 4 shows the history of the operation. The initial checkout of the MGF was performed on January 10, and all functions of the MGF were confirmed to be normal. The MAST was deployed on January 17 without incident. Autonomous control of the dynamic range by the CPU software was initiated on February 8. The CPU software was updated on March 10 to accommodate regular observation.

Regular operation

MGF data have been acquired regularly since initiation on March 13, 2017. As of the time of the preparation of this manuscript (September 2017), the sampling rates of telemetry packet data are 256 Hz for $L < 4$ and 64 Hz for $L > 4$. These rates were selected to cover the proton cyclotron frequency, which is about 10 Hz at $L = 4$ and decreases with increasing L .

Table 3 MGF data produced by CPU

Data type	Available on the ground?	Data rate	B field resolution	Coordinate	Note
Mission	Y	256 Hz 128 Hz 64 Hz 32 Hz	16 pT (± 8000 nT range) 125 pT ($\pm 60,000$ nT range)	Sensor <i>XY/Z</i>	Uncalibrated
Checkout	Y	256 Hz			
Shared	N	16 Hz	B intensity $\times 3 \times 10^{-5}$ Or	$ \beta $ and co-angles in S/C coordinate	Coarsely calibrated
Near real-time (space weather)	Y	1/spin	62.5 pT (± 8000 nT range) 4 nT ($\pm 60,000$ nT range)		

Table 4 Major events of MGF in the initial operation period after launch

DATE in UT (month/day, 2017)	Events
1/10	Initial checkout
1/17	MAST deployment
1/25	Test of CPU function to switch dynamic range autonomously
2/5	Test of CPU function for onboard coarse calibration Onboard shared data verification
2/8	Upload of preliminary parameters for onboard coarse calibration Start autonomous switching of dynamic range by CPU
2/17	Start MGF operation by timeline
3/7	Update parameters for onboard coarse calibration
3/10	Update CPU software to adjust averaging process
3/13	Start regular observation

For autonomous control of the dynamic range, the CPU software checks the peak field intensity from the three axis measurements during spacecraft rotation. To avoid unnecessary switching, the CPU changes the dynamic range only when the peak value exceeds a threshold for three successive rotations.

Figure 8 shows a plot of the magnetic field intensity measured by the MGF on March 28, 2017; the intensity results obtained using the IGRF model are included for comparison. The field intensity varied from ~ 100 nT at apogee to $\sim 30,000$ nT at perigee, with an orbital period of 9.5 h. As mentioned above, the sampling rate is 256 Hz for $L < 4$ and 64 Hz for the rest period. The dynamic range is $\pm 60,000$ nT for about 1 h at perigee and ± 8000 nT for the rest. On the logarithmic scale, the measured field intensity agrees well with the IGRF model when it is larger than 1000 nT; however, differences become more pronounced when the field is weak. This means that the


external field becomes more dominant as L increases. The difference is expected because this example was taken during the recovery phase of a storm driven by a co-rotating interaction region (CIR) in the solar wind.

Figure 9 shows an example of magnetic field measurement by the three sensor elements of the MGF. The X -axis is nearly along the MAST extension direction, the Z -axis is nearly parallel to the spacecraft Z -direction, and the Y -axis completes the right-hand coordinate. Because the spacecraft rotates about a spin axis aligned with the spacecraft's Z -axis, MGF X and Y components show a sinusoidal wave form with a spin period of about 8 s. It is noteworthy that the Z -component shows a small-amplitude sinusoidal variation as well. Moreover, further inspection reveals that the amplitudes are slightly different between the X and Y components; this is caused by the inclination of the measurement directions of the sensor elements from the reference coordinates with respect to the spacecraft spin axis.

In-orbit calibration

To precisely measure the magnetic field, we need to accurately evaluate sensor element alignments in the spacecraft reference frame. Knowledge of the alignment is also necessary for accurate determination of the measurement offset.

The alignment is difficult to determine in ground experiments. Moreover, the alignment may change over time due to deformation of the MAST. The inclination angles can be calculated from the amplitude and phase of the sinusoidal wave forms in in-orbit data. Let us consider the simplest configuration when the directions of sensor elements X and Y perfectly coincide with the spacecraft X and Y directions, and the Z element directs to $(\sin \gamma \cos \phi, \sin \gamma \sin \phi, \cos \gamma)$ in the spacecraft reference frame. The ratio of the time-varying waveform amplitudes between data of X/Y elements and those of Z element is $\sin \gamma$. The phase difference between data of X element and those of Z element is ϕ . The relationship



becomes much more complicated when the inclinations of X and Y elements are considered. Figure 10 shows the relationship between non-orthogonal sensor coordinates and the spacecraft reference frame, as well as the definition of misalignment angles α and β . We calculated the misalignment angles for every spacecraft rotation and took daily statistics of the results to determine α and β for the calibration.

Figure 11 shows the distributions of the sensor misalignment angles on March 19, 2017, the same day as Fig. 9. In the ± 8000 nT dynamic range, the distribution of α (β) exhibited a clear peak at -0.89° (-0.91°) (Fig. 11a), and 73% (88%) of the α (β) samples were within $\pm 0.05^\circ$ of the peak. In the $\pm 60,000$ nT dynamic range, the distribution was broader (Fig. 11b), and 78% (93%) of the α (β) samples were within $\pm 0.20^\circ$ of the median




Fig. 9 Example of magnetic field measurements by the three MGF sensor elements. Data are plotted for 60 s, starting at 00 UT on March 19, 2017. The X-axis is nearly along the MAST extension direction, the Z-axis is nearly parallel to the spacecraft Z-direction, and the Y-axis completes the right-hand coordinate

field intensity during a spin period could cause errors and broadening of the distribution of the calculated misalignment angle. The overall measurement accuracy of the magnetic field direction in inertial reference frames (geophysical coordinates) is determined by both the sensor alignment accuracy in the spacecraft reference frame and the angular accuracy of the spacecraft attitude determination. To date, we have yet to obtain full accuracy in spacecraft attitude. Therefore, the alignment accuracy in the inertial frame of reference is yet to be determined. However, if we assume a typical maximum error of the satellite attitude determination of 0.5° , the overall accuracy of the magnetic field direction is better than 1° , the requirement described in "Requirements for the MGF" section, for both the ± 8000 and $\pm 60,000$ nT dynamic ranges. The accuracy for the $\pm 60,000$ nT range is expected to be improved by an upgraded analysis approach that considers field intensity variation.

Measurement offsets of the magnetic field in the spacecraft spin plane are evaluated using spacecraft rotation. The positive or negative shift values of the sinusoidal wave forms of the measured data are the summation of the artificial measurement offset and the spin-axis component of the natural field. The artificial measurement offsets are determined by separating these two, considering the sensor alignment. The results are consistent with those from the ground calibration test (Teramoto et al. 2017); i.e., the in-flight data offset is dominated by the MGF instrumental offset. This is reasonable, as the magnetic offset generated by the spacecraft is negligible compared with the offset by the instrument, as described in "Spacecraft magnetic cleanliness" section. We will check this point by examining the consistency between measured and model (i.e., IGRF) fields.

value of -0.93° (-0.30°). We note that the field intensity changes rapidly near the perigees, where the dynamic range is nominally $\pm 60,000$ nT. Time variation of the





Fig. 10 Relationship between sensor non-orthogonal coordinates and the spacecraft reference frame, as well as the definitions of the misalignment angles, α and β . **a** Angles of measurement directions in an orthogonal reference frame represented by $\Phi_x, \Phi_y, \Phi_z, \Theta_x, \Theta_y,$ and Θ_z are determined in the ground calibration. **b** O_1 is defined as an orthogonal coordinate that has the same X-direction and coplanar X–Y with the sensor. **c** Sensor misalignment is expressed by inclination angles α and β of O_1 in the reference coordinate system with respect to the spacecraft spin axis



Data processing flow

Arase telemetry data are stored in the Scientific Information Retrieval and Integrated Utilization System (SIRIUS) at the Institute of Space and Astronautical Science (ISAS)/JAXA. The MGF mission and HK telemetry packets are extracted from Arase telemetry data. The raw magnetic field data in the mission packets are converted into calibrated magnetic field vectors in the spacecraft reference frame using the calibration parameters.

As mentioned in "Operation" section, the sampling rate of the data in the MGF mission telemetry packet

is 256, 128, 64, or 32 Hz. Data are converted into the physical magnetic field vectors in the rotating spacecraft coordinates by calibration parameters, namely sensitivity, offset, and alignment. Besides the product from the 128 Hz telemetry raw data, 128 Hz vector calibrated data are generated by averaging 256 Hz vector data. Similarly, 64 Hz vector data are generated by averaging 256 and 128 Hz vector data. The original and averaged vectors are despun into non-rotating spacecraft coordinates and geophysical coordinates. Spin averages are generated from the vectors in the non-rotating spacecraft coordinate and converted to vectors in the geophysical coordinates. The final products are archived as CDF files and made publicly available. The data are distributed by the ERG Science Center together with Space Physics Environment Data Analysis Software (SPEDAS) to handle the data. From March to August 2017, the sampling rate of the MGF mission telemetry data was either 256 or 64 Hz, which means that continuous data are available at 64 Hz and spin-period time resolutions.

Examples of in-flight measurements


Figure 12 shows an example of MGF observation on March 27, 2017, made 1 day before the example taken during the main phase of the storm (Fig. 8). Large-amplitude ULF waves were observed, and it is clear that wave period changed over time. The wave period was ~ 60 s, and the waves exhibited Pc 3–4 characteristics in the first 30 min, corresponding to $L = 4.9$ – 5.5 . Larger amplitude waves suddenly emerged at 18:25 and were present at $L = 5.5$ – 5.9 . The period then became much longer, about 400 s, and the waves exhibited the characteristics of Pc 5 waves.

Figure 12 shows the excellent performance of the MGF in measuring ULF waves, which are major targets of the Arase mission. The wave event is presented here as a preliminary report. Detailed analyses of this and other similar events will be published elsewhere.

Summary and conclusions

Tasks including system design, performance testing, ground calibration, and onboard software coding for the MGF instrument for Arase were completed with excellent results. MGF has been under normal scientific operation since March 2017. We have used data acquired in-orbit for precise sensor alignment and offset calibration.

The well-calibrated MGF data will significantly contribute to the scientific study of radiation belts and will improve our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the production and loss of relativistic electrons.



Coordinated observation of the phenomena by multiple satellites, (e.g., the THEMIS mission, Van Allen Probes, and the Magnetospheric Multiscale (MMS) mission) and ground facilities should be promoted to further enhance the groundwork of our project (Fujimoto et al. 2012; Teramoto et al. 2016).

Abbreviations

ADC: Analog-to-digital converter; AMPTE/CCE: Active Magnetospheric Particle Tracer Explorers/Charge Composition Explorer; BBF: bursty bulk flow; CDF: Common Data Format; CIR: co-rotating interaction region; CPU: central processing unit; CRRES: Combined Release and Radiation Effects Satellite; DMC: data management component; EMIC: Electro-Magnetic Ion Cyclotron; ERG: Exploration of Energization and Radiation in Geospace; FIFO: first-in first-out; FIR: finite impulse response; FPGA: field-programmable gate array; GSM: Geocentric Solar Magnetospheric; HEP: high-energy electron experiments; HK: House Keeping; IGRF: International Geomagnetic Reference Field; ISAS: Institute of Space and Astronautical Science; ISEE: Institute for Space-Earth Environment Research; JAXA: Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency; LSB: least significant bit; MAST: extendable MAST; MDP: mission data processor; MGF: Magnetic Field Experiment; MGF-E: MGF electronic box; MGF-I: MGF Inboard (BepiColombo MMO); MGF-S: MGF sensor; MMO: Mercury Magnetospheric Orbiter; MMS: Magnetospheric Multiscale; PSU: power supply unit; RMS: root mean square; RT: room temperature; SIRIUS: Scientific Information Retrieval and Integrated Utilization System; SPEDAS: Space Physics Environment Data Analysis Software; THEMIS: Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms; ULF: ultra-low frequency; XEP: extremely high-energy electron experiments.

Authors' contributions

AM is PI of Arase MGF. MT works for the MGF hardware test, final MGF data archive and analysis. RN works for the MGF hardware test and data analysis. MN works for the MGF data evaluation and analysis. AF works for the initial MGF planning, hardware design and data analysis. YT works for the MGF hardware development and data analysis. MS works for the MGF hardware development. TN works for the MGF data application to the space-weather forecasting. KS works for the coordination of studies by the MGF data and by ground facilities. YO works for the MGF data analysis. YM is the Arase project scientist. MM works for the EMC activities of the Arase spacecraft. TT is the Arase mission manager. IS is the Arase project manager. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3-1-1, Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan. ² Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan. ³ Environmental Test Technology Unit, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 2-1-1 Sengen, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8505, Japan. ⁴ Data Analysis Center for Geomagnetism and Space Magnetism, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake Cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan. ⁵ International Center for Space Weather Science and Education, Kyushu University, 744, Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan. ⁶ National Institute of Polar Research, 10-3, Midori-cho, Tachikawa-shi, Tokyo 190-8518, Japan. ⁷ National Institute of Technology, Kagoshima College, 1460-1 Shinkou Hayato-cho, Kirishima City, Kagoshima 899-5193, Japan. ⁸ National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, 4-2-1, Nukui-kitamachi, Koganei, Tokyo 184-8795, Japan. ⁹ Department of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Electro-Communication University, 18-8 Hatsucho, Neyagawa, Osaka 572-8530, Japan.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to all of the ERG (Arase) project team members. We are also grateful for the manufacturers of MGF, Sumitomo Heavy Industries, Ltd., and Tierra Tecnica Corporation, as well as the manufacturer of the extendable MAST, NIPPI Corporation. The MGF calibration test was supported by the Environment Test Technology Unit of JAXA. The magnetic cleanliness activities were greatly supported by Dr. Mio Murashima. Ayako Matsuoka gratefully acknowledges valuable comments from Dr. Tateo Goka and Prof. Susumu Kokubun at MGF review meetings.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The ERG (Arase) MGF level-2 data will be available in the data server at the ERG Science Center operated by ISAS/JAXA and ISEE/Nagoya University. (<http://ergsc.isee.nagoya-u.ac.jp/>).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Funding

The Arase project is funded by ISAS/JAXA.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 20 September 2017 Accepted: 7 February 2018

Published online: 14 March 2018

References

- Ali AF, Elkington SR, Tu W, Ozeke LG, Chan AA, Friedel RHW (2015) Magnetic field power spectra and magnetic radial diffusion coefficients using CRRES magnetometer data. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 120:973–995. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020419>
- Anderson BJ (1994) An overview of spacecraft observations of 10 s to 600 s period magnetic pulsations in the earth's magnetosphere. In: Engebretson MJ, Takahashi K, Scholer M (eds) Solar wind sources of magnetospheric ultra-low-frequency waves. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., pp 25–43. <https://doi.org/10.1029/gm081p0025>
- Anderson BJ, Engebretson MJ, Rounds SP, Zanetti LJ, Potemra TA (1990) A statistical study of Pc 3–5 pulsations observed by the AMPTE/CCE Magnetic Fields Experiment, 1. Occurrence distributions. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 95:10495–10523. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA095iA07p10495>
- Anderson BJ, Erlandson RE, Zanetti LJ (1992a) A statistical study of Pc 1–2 magnetic pulsations in the equatorial magnetosphere: 1. Equatorial occurrence distributions. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 97:3075–3088. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91ja02706>
- Anderson BJ, Erlandson RE, Zanetti LJ (1992b) A statistical study of Pc 1–2 magnetic pulsations in the equatorial magnetosphere: 2. Wave properties. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 97:3089–3101. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91ja02697>
- Angelopoulos V et al (1992) Bursty bulk flows in the inner central plasma sheet. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 97:4027–4039. <https://doi.org/10.1029/91JA02701>
- Baumjohann W et al (2006) The magnetosphere of Mercury and its solar wind environment: open issues and scientific questions. *Adv Space Res* 38:604–609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.05.117>
- Baumjohann W et al (2010) Magnetic field investigation of Mercury's magnetosphere and the inner heliosphere by MMO/MGF. *Planet Space Sci* 58:279–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2008.05.019>
- Cummings WD, Barfield JN, Coleman PJ (1968) Magnetospheric substorms observed at the synchronous orbit. *J Geophys Res* 73:6687–6698. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA073i021p06687>
- Delcourt DC (2002) Particle acceleration by inductive electric fields in the inner magnetosphere. *J Atmos Solar Terr Phys* 64:551–559. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6826\(02\)00012-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00012-3)
- Elkington SR, Hudson MK, Chan AA (1999) Acceleration of relativistic electrons via drift-resonant interaction with toroidal-mode Pc-5 ULF oscillations. *Geophys Res Lett* 26:3273–3276. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL003659>
- Fujimoto A, Miyoshi Y, Matsuoka A (2012) Science output from Pc 5 pulsation study by the ERG spacecraft. *Trans Jpn Soc Aeronaut Space Sci Aerosp Technol Jpn* 10:Tr_11–Tr_15. https://doi.org/10.2322/tastj.10.tr_11
- Fukunishi H et al (1990) Magnetic field observations on the Akebono (EXOS-D) satellite. *J Geomagn Geoelectr* 42:385–409. <https://doi.org/10.5636/jgg.42.385>
- Gordon D, Brown R (1972) Recent advances in fluxgate magnetometry. *IEEE Trans Magn* 8:76–82. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.1972.1067268>
- Hirao K, Tsuruda K, Aoyama I, Saito T (1985) Large spherical magnetic shield room. *J Geomagn Geoelectr* 37:581–588. <https://doi.org/10.5636/jgg.37.581>
- Horne RB, Thorne RM, Glauert SA, Albert JM, Meredith NP, Anderson RR (2005a) Timescale for radiation belt electron acceleration by whistler mode chorus waves. *J Geophys Res Space Phys*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004ja010811>
- Horne RB, Thorne RM, Shprits YY, Meredith NP et al (2005b) Wave acceleration of electrons in the Van Allen radiation belts. *Nature* 437:227–230
- Jordanova VK, Boonsiriseth A, Thorne RM, Dotan Y (2003) Ring current asymmetry from global simulations using a high-resolution electric field model. *J Geophys Res Space Phys*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003ja009993>
- Jordanova VK et al (2006) Kinetic simulations of ring current evolution during the Geospace Environment Modeling challenge events. *J Geophys Res Space Phys*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006ja011644>
- Keika K, Takahashi K, Ukhorskiy AY, Miyoshi Y (2013) Global characteristics of electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves: occurrence rate and its storm dependence. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 118:4135–4150. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgra.50385>
- Kersten T, Horne RB, Glauert SA, Meredith NP, Fraser BJ, Grew RS (2014) Electron losses from the radiation belts caused by EMIC waves. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 119:8820–8837. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014ja020366>
- Kokubun S, Yamamoto T, Acuna MH, Hayashi K, Shiokawa K, Kawano H (1994) The GEOTAIL magnetic field experiment. *J Geomagn Geoelectr* 46:7–21
- Liu WW, Rostoker G, Baker DN (1999) Internal acceleration of relativistic electrons by large-amplitude ULF pulsations. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 104:17391–17407. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999ja900168>
- Lui ATY (1991) A synthesis of magnetospheric substorm models. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 96:1849–1856. <https://doi.org/10.1029/90JA02430>
- Matsuoka A, Shinohara M, Tanaka Y, Fujimoto A, Iguchi K (2013) Development of fluxgate magnetometers and applications to the space science missions. In: Oyama K-I, Cheng CZ (eds) An introduction to space instrumentation. Terra Scientific Publishing Company, Tokyo, pp 217–225. <https://doi.org/10.5047/aisi.021>
- McPherron RL, Chu X (2016) Relation of the auroral substorm to the substorm current wedge. *Geosci Lett* 3:12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-016-0044-5>
- Min K, Lee J, Keika K, Li W (2012) Global distribution of EMIC waves derived from THEMIS observations. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 117:A05219. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012ja017515>
- Miyoshi Y, Morioka A, Misawa H, Obara T, Nagai T, Kasahara Y (2003) Rebuilding process of the outer radiation belt during the 3 November 1993 magnetic storm: NOAA and Exos-D observations. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 108:SMP 3-1–SMP 3-15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001ja007542>
- Miyoshi Y, Sakaguchi K, Shiokawa K, Evans D, Albert J, Connors M, Jordanova V (2008) Precipitation of radiation belt electrons by EMIC waves, observed from ground and space. *Geophys Res Lett*. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008gl035727>
- Miyoshi Y et al (2013) The energization and radiation in geospace (ERG) project. In: Summers D, Mann IR, Baker DN, Schulz M (eds) Dynamics of the earth's radiation belts and inner magnetosphere. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., pp 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012gm001304>
- Miyoshi Y et al (2017) Geospace exploration project: Arase (ERG). *J. Phys.: Conf. Series* 869. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/869/1/012095>

- Nomura R, Shiokawa K, Sakaguchi K, Otsuka Y, Connors M (2012) Polarization of Pc1/EMIC waves and related proton auroras observed at subauroral latitudes. *J Geophys Res Space Phys.* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011ja017241>
- Nomura R et al (2016) Pulsating proton aurora caused by rising tone Pc1 waves. *J Geophys Res Space Phys* 121:1608–1618. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JA021681>
- Reeves GD et al (2013) Electron acceleration in the heart of the van allen radiation belts. *Science* 341:991–994. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1237743>
- Sakaguchi K et al (2013) Akebono observations of EMIC waves in the slot region of the radiation belts. *Geophys Res Lett* 40:5587–5591. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013gl058258>
- Shprits YY, Subbotin DA, Meredith NP, Elkington SR (2008) Review of modeling of losses and sources of relativistic electrons in the outer radiation belt II: local acceleration and loss. *J Atmos Solar Terr Phys* 70:1694–1713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2008.06.014>
- Su Z et al. (2015) Ultra-low-frequency wave-driven diffusion of radiation belt relativistic electrons 6:10096. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10096>. <http://dharmasastra.live.cf.private.springer.com/articles/ncomms10096#supplementary-information>
- Summers D, Thorne RM (2003) Relativistic electron pitch-angle scattering by electromagnetic ion cyclotron waves during geomagnetic storms. *J Geophys Res Space Phys.* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002ja009489>
- Takada T et al (2006) Do BBFs contribute to inner magnetosphere dipolarizations: concurrent cluster and double star observations. *Geophys Res Lett.* <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl027440>
- Teramoto M, Nishitani N, Nishimura Y, Nagatsuma T (2016) Latitudinal dependence on the frequency of Pi2 pulsations near the plasmopause using THEMIS satellites and Asian-Oceanian SuperDARN radars. *Earth Planets Space* 68:22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-016-0397-1>
- Teramoto M, Matsuoka A, Nomura R (2017) Ground calibration experiments of Magnetic field experiment on the ERG satellite (Japanese). JAXA Research and Development Memorandum JAXA-RM-16-003
- Thorne RM, Ni B, Tao X, Horne RB, Meredith NP (2010) Scattering by chorus waves as the dominant cause of diffuse auroral precipitation. *Nature* 467:943–946
- Tsunakawa H et al (2010) Lunar magnetic field observation and initial global mapping of lunar magnetic anomalies by MAP-LMAG onboard SELENE (Kaguya). *Space Sci Rev* 154:219–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9652-0>
- Yamamoto T, Matsuoka A (1998) PLANET-B magnetic fields investigation. *Earth Planets Space* 50:189–194. <https://doi.org/10.1186/bf03352102>

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com
