



# The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

AJMAA

Volume 6, Issue 1, Article 8, pp. 1-6, 2009



---

## A FIXED POINT APPROACH TO THE STABILITY OF THE EQUATION

$$f(x + y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)}$$

SOON-MO JUNG

*Special Issue in Honor of the 100th Anniversary of S.M. Ulam*

*Received 13 February, 2009; accepted 13 March, 2009; published 4 September, 2009.*

MATHEMATICS SECTION, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
HONG-IK UNIVERSITY, 339-701 CHOCHIWON  
REPUBLIC OF KOREA.  
smjung@hongik.ac.kr

ABSTRACT. We will apply a fixed point method for proving the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equation  $f(x + y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)}$ .

*Key words and phrases:* Functional equation, Fixed point method, Stability, Hyers–Ulam stability.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82; secondary 39B62, 39B52.

---

ISSN (electronic): 1449-5910

© 2009 Austral Internet Publishing. All rights reserved.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [24] gave a wide ranging talk before the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among these was the following question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

*Let  $G_1$  be a group and let  $G_2$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with  $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?*

The Ulam problem for the case of approximately additive functions was solved by D.H. Hyers [8] under the assumption that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Banach spaces. Indeed, Hyers proved that each solution of the inequality  $\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$ , for all  $x$  and  $y$ , can be approximated by an exact solution, say an additive function. In this case, the Cauchy additive functional equation,  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , is said to satisfy the Hyers–Ulam stability.

Th.M. Rassias [20] attempted to weaken the condition for the bound of the norm of the Cauchy difference as follows

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

and derived Hyers' theorem for the stability of the additive mapping as a special case. Thus in [20], a proof of the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability for the linear mapping between Banach spaces was obtained. A particular case of Th.M. Rassias' theorem regarding the Hyers–Ulam stability of the additive mapping was proved by T. Aoki [1]. The stability concept that was introduced by Th.M. Rassias' theorem provided some influence to a number of mathematicians to develop the notion of what is known today with the term Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the linear mapping. Since then, the stability of several functional equations has been extensively investigated by several mathematicians (see for example [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 21, 22, 23] and the references therein).

The terminologies Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability and Hyers–Ulam stability can also be applied to the case of other functional equations, differential equations, and to various integral equations.

The function

$$f(x) = \frac{c}{x} \quad (x > 0)$$

is a solution of the functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) = \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x) + f(y)}.$$

In this paper, we will adopt the ideas from [3, 15, 16, 19] and prove the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For a nonempty set  $X$ , we introduce the definition of the generalized metric on  $X$ . A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a generalized metric on  $X$  if and only if  $d$  satisfies

- (M<sub>1</sub>)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (M<sub>2</sub>)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (M<sub>3</sub>)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

We remark that the only one difference of the generalized metric from the usual metric is that the range of the former is permitted to include infinity.

We now introduce one of fundamental results of fixed point theory. For the proof, we refer to [17]. This theorem will play an important role in proving our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a generalized complete metric space. Assume that  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow X$  is a strictly contractive operator with the Lipschitz constant  $L < 1$ . If there exists a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $d(\Lambda^{k+1}x, \Lambda^kx) < \infty$  for some  $x \in X$ , then the following are true:*

- (a) *The sequence  $\{\Lambda^n x\}$  converges to a fixed point  $x^*$  of  $\Lambda$ ;*
- (b)  *$x^*$  is the unique fixed point of  $\Lambda$  in*

$$X^* = \{y \in X \mid d(\Lambda^k x, y) < \infty\};$$

- (c) *If  $y \in X^*$ , then*

$$d(y, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda y, y).$$

### 3. HYERS–ULAM STABILITY OF (1.1)

Recently, Cădariu and Radu [3] applied a fixed point method to the investigation of the Cauchy additive functional equation. Using such an idea they presented a proof for the Hyers–Ulam stability of that equation (ref. [2, 19]).

By using the idea of Cădariu and Radu, we prove our main theorem concerning the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.1).

In the following theorem, we will set  $\frac{0^2}{0} = 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If a function  $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \left| f(x+y) - \frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(x)+f(y)} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

*for all  $x, y > 0$  and for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists a unique solution function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  of Eq. (1.1) such that*

$$(3.2) \quad |f(x) - F(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

*for any  $x > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define a set  $\mathcal{X}$  by

$$\mathcal{X} = \{h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid h \text{ is a function}\}$$

and introduce a generalized metric  $d$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad d(g, h) = \inf\{C \in [0, \infty] \mid |g(x) - h(x)| \leq C\varepsilon \text{ for all } x > 0\}.$$

(Here we will give a proof for the triangle inequality only. Assume that  $d(g, h) > d(g, k) + d(k, h)$  would hold for some  $g, h, k \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then, by (3.3), there would exist an  $x_0 > 0$  with

$$|g(x_0) - h(x_0)| > [d(g, k) + d(k, h)]\varepsilon \geq |g(x_0) - k(x_0)| + |k(x_0) - h(x_0)|,$$

a contradiction.)

We assert that  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is complete. We will follow the idea from [16] to prove the completeness of  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Let  $\{h_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Then, for any  $C > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N_c > 0$  such that  $d(h_m, h_n) \leq C$  for all  $m, n \geq N_c$ . It further follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \forall C > 0 \exists N_c \in \mathbb{N} \forall m, n \geq N_c \forall x > 0 : |h_m(x) - h_n(x)| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

If  $x$  is a fixed positive real number, (3.4) implies that  $\{h_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $([0, \infty), |\cdot|)$ . Since  $([0, \infty), |\cdot|)$  is complete,  $\{h_n(x)\}$  converges for each  $x > 0$ . Thus, we can define a function  $h : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$h(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x),$$

and hence  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

If we let  $m$  increase to infinity, it then follows from (3.4) that

$$\forall C > 0 \exists N_c \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N_c \forall x > 0 : |h(x) - h_n(x)| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Further if we consider (3.3), then we conclude that

$$\forall C > 0 \exists N_c \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N_c : d(h, h_n) \leq C,$$

that is, the Cauchy sequence  $\{h_n\}$  converges to  $h$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ . Hence,  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  is complete.

Now, let us define an operator  $\Lambda : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  by

$$(3.5) \quad (\Lambda h)(x) = \frac{1}{2}h\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) \quad (x > 0)$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{X}$ . (It is obvious that  $\Lambda h \in \mathcal{X}$ .)

We assert that  $\Lambda$  is strictly contractive on  $\mathcal{X}$ . For any  $g, h \in \mathcal{X}$ , let us choose a  $C_{gh} \in [0, \infty]$  satisfying  $d(g, h) \leq C_{gh}$ . Then, using (3.3), we have

$$(3.6) \quad |g(x) - h(x)| \leq C_{gh}\varepsilon$$

for all  $x > 0$ . Using (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$|(\Lambda g)(x) - (\Lambda h)(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{2}g\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) - \frac{1}{2}h\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}C_{gh}\varepsilon$$

for all  $x > 0$ , that is,  $d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq \frac{1}{2}C_{gh}$ . Hence, we may conclude that

$$d(\Lambda g, \Lambda h) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

with  $L = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Moreover, it follows from (3.1) and (3.5) that

$$|(\Lambda f)(x) - f(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right) - f(x) \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every  $x > 0$ . Thus, (3.3) implies that

$$(3.7) \quad d(\Lambda f, f) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 2.1 (a) that there exists a function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\Lambda^n f \rightarrow F$  in  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  and  $\Lambda F = F$ . Indeed, it holds that

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Lambda^n f)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x\right)$$

for any  $x > 0$ . Thus, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(x+y) - \frac{F(x)F(y)}{F(x)+F(y)} \right| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left| f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}(x+y)\right) - \frac{f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x\right)f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}y\right)}{f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}x\right)+f\left(\frac{1}{2^n}y\right)} \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varepsilon \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y > 0$ . Hence,  $F$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.1).

Moreover, Theorem 2.1 (c), together with (3.7), implies that

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Lambda f, f) \leq 2.$$

That is, in view of (3.3), the inequality (3.2) is true for each  $x > 0$ .

Finally, let  $G : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be another solution of the functional equation (1.1) with

$$|f(x) - G(x)| \leq 2\varepsilon$$

for all  $x > 0$ . (It easily follows from (1.1) that  $G$  is a fixed point of  $\Lambda$ , that is,  $\Lambda G = G$ .) Then, since  $d(f, G) < \infty$ , we have

$$G \in \mathcal{X}^* = \{g \in \mathcal{X} \mid d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

Therefore, since both  $F$  and  $G$  are fixed points of  $\Lambda$ , Theorem 2.1 (b) implies that  $F = G$ , that is,  $F$  is unique. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. AOKI, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [2] L. CĂDARIU and V. RADU, Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **4** (2003), no. 1, Art. 4 (<http://jipam.vu.edu.au>)
- [3] L. CĂDARIU and V. RADU, On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach, *Grazer Math. Ber.*, **346** (2004), 43–52.
- [4] S. CZERWIK, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, 2002.
- [5] V. A. FAIZEV, Th. M. RASSIAS and P. K. SAHOO, The space of  $(\phi, \alpha)$ -additive mappings on semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **354** (2002), no. 11, 4455–4472.
- [6] G. L. FORTI, Hyers–Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables, *Aequationes Math.*, **50** (1995), 143–190.
- [7] P. GĂVRUTĂ, A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [8] D. H. HYERS, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [9] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC and Th. M. RASSIAS, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, 1997.
- [10] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC and Th. M. RASSIAS, On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers–Ulam stability of mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1998), no. 2, 425–430.
- [11] D. H. HYERS and Th. M. RASSIAS, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.*, **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [12] G. ISAC and Th. M. RASSIAS, On the Hyers–Ulam stability of  $\phi$ -additive mappings, *J. Approx. Theory*, **72** (1993), 131–137.
- [13] G. ISAC and Th. M. RASSIAS, Stability of additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **19** (1996), no. 2, 219–228.
- [14] S.-M. JUNG, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [15] S.-M. JUNG, A fixed point approach to the stability of isometries, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **329** (2007), 879–890.
- [16] S.-M. JUNG, A fixed point approach to the stability of a Volterra integral equation, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2007** (2007), Article ID 57064, 9 pages, doi: 10.1155/2007/57064
- [17] B. MARGOLIS and J. DIAZ, A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **74** (1968), 305–309.

- [18] M. S. MOSLEHIAN and Th. M. RASSIAS, Stability of functional equations in non-Archimedean spaces, *Appl. Anal. Disc. Math.*, **1** (2007), 325–334.
- [19] V. RADU, The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations, *Fixed Point Theory – An International Journal on Fixed Point Theory Computation and Applications*, **4** (2003), 91–96.
- [20] Th. M. RASSIAS, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [21] Th. M. RASSIAS, On a modified Hyers–Ulam sequence, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **158** (1991), 106–113.
- [22] Th. M. RASSIAS, On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [23] A. REDOUANI, E. ELQORACHI and Th. M. RASSIAS, The superstability of d’Alembert’s functional equation on step 2 nilpotent groups, *Aequationes Math.*, **74** (2007), 226–241.
- [24] S. M. ULAM, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.