ORIGINAL PAPER

The Autism Spectrum Quotient: Children's Version (AQ-Child)

Bonnie Auyeung · Simon Baron-Cohen · Sally Wheelwright · Carrie Allison

Published online: 7 December 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract The Autism Spectrum Quotient—Children's Version (AQ-Child) is a parent-report questionnaire that aims to quantify autistic traits in children 4-11 years old. The range of scores on the AQ-Child is 0-150. It was administered to children with an autism spectrum condition (ASC) (n = 540) and a general population sample (n = 1,225). Results showed a significant difference in scores between those with an ASC diagnosis and the general Receiver-operating-characteristic population. analyses showed that using a cut-off score of 76, the AQ-Child has high sensitivity (95%) and specificity (95%). The AQ-Child showed good test-retest reliability and high internal consistency. Factor analysis provided support for four of the five AQ-Child design subscales. Future studies should evaluate how the AQ-C performs in population screening.

Keywords

Autism Spectrum Quotient—Children's Version · Autism · Sex differences

Introduction

The Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ-Adult) was developed to quantitatively measure traits associated with the autistic spectrum in adults of normal intelligence. The AQ-Adult is a brief, self-administered, 50-item questionnaire. Results from the AQ-Adult demonstrate that those with an autism

B. Auyeung $(\boxtimes) \cdot S$. Baron-Cohen $\cdot S$. Wheelwright \cdot C. Allison

Department of Psychiatry, Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge, Douglas House, 18b Trumpington Road, Cambridge, UK e-mail: ba251@cam.ac.uk spectrum condition (ASC) diagnosis score significantly higher than a sample from the general population. 80% of individuals with a diagnosis of Asperger Syndrome (AS) or high functioning autism (HFA) scoring at or above a cutoff of 32 (out of 50), whilst only 2% of controls score at or above this cut-off (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001).

The AQ-Adult shows strong heritability as demonstrated in a general population twin study (Hoekstra et al. 2007), and in family genetic studies of parents of children with ASC, who score higher than unrelated individuals (Bishop et al. 2004; Wheelwright and Baron-Cohen, submitted). As such it not only identifies the narrow autism phenotype (i.e., individuals with a diagnosis of childhood autism) but also the broader autism phenotype¹ (Bolton et al. 1994; Landa et al. 1992; Le Couteur et al. 1996; Sears et al. 1999). The AQ-Adult has also been found to predict a diagnosis of AS in a clinic sample (Woodbury-Smith et al. 2005). It shows high inter-rater and test-retest reliability (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001). The norms reported in the 2001 sample have been replicated very closely in independent samples in the UK (Austin et al. 2002; Wheelwright et al. 2006), in a Dutch sample (Hoekstra et al., submitted) and several Japanese samples (Kurita et al. 2005; Wakabayashi et al. 2004, 2006). In the Dutch sample there was also a test of the clinical specificity of the AQ-Adult, where it was found that adults with AS or HFA scored significantly higher than general adult psychiatric clinical controls (Hoekstra et al. 2007).

¹ The concept of the broader autism phenotype has emerged from research which suggests that in addition to causing autism, the genetic liability for this disorder may also be expressed, in the nonautistic relatives of autistic individuals, through behavioral and cognitive characteristics that are milder but qualitatively similar to the defining features of autism.

An adolescent version of the AO has also been developed (AQ-Adol) (Baron-Cohen et al. 2006). The AQ-Adol is completed by a parent about their child, but in almost all respects items on the AQ-Adol are the same as the AQ-Adult. Results showed that adolescents scored very similarly to adults, with individuals with ASC scoring significantly higher than matched controls (Baron-Cohen et al. 2006). For the AQ-Adol, 90% of adolescents with a clinical diagnosis of an ASC scored at or above the cut-off of 30, compared to 0% of controls (maximum score = 50). Sex differences were found on both the adult and adolescent versions of the AQ, with typical males scoring higher than females. Specifically, the mean score for males on the AQ-Adult was 17.8 (SD = 6.8) and for females was 15.4 (SD = 5.7), which is comparable to the mean score for males (M = 20.2, SD = 4.8) and females (M = 15.3, M = 10.3)SD = 5.7) on the AQ-Adol.

Given the similar results on the AQ-Adult and the AQ-Adol, it is of interest to design a child version of the AQ (AQ-Child). A questionnaire of similar structure would allow for comparison with adolescent and adult data for individual items or sub-domains. Over a longer period it may also be possible to measure any changes in the profile of autistic traits with age. This might help to determine how stable autistic traits are across the lifespan. In addition, if the AQ-Child is found to predict the presence of a clinical diagnosis of an ASC in clinical samples, this brief questionnaire may be a useful screening measure that could identify children at risk for ASC in a clinical setting as well as being invaluable for epidemiological research that requires large samples.

The AQ-Adult and AQ-Adol are divided into five different domains or categories of autistic traits. These are: social skills, attention to detail, attention switching, communication and imagination. Each domain is assessed by ten questions. AQ items consist of statements that are answered in a Likert scale (Definitely Agree, Slightly Agree, Slightly Disagree and Definitely Disagree). The minimum score on the AQ-Adult and AQ-Adol is 0 and the maximum score is 50. These questionnaires have demonstrated good internal consistency indicated by high α coefficients for items measuring each of the five subdomains.

The present study aimed to develop a version of the Autism Spectrum Quotient suitable for children (AQ-Child). The questionnaire format is maintained and questions are modified in order to identify autistic traits through parent report. The psychometric properties of this measure are investigated by administering the AQ-Child to n = 1,225 control and n = 540 children with an ASC diagnosis. Data from these samples are analyzed to identify an appropriate cut-off that may predict the presence of ASC as well as to analyze the factor structure for

comparison with the AQ-Adult and AQ-Adol. It was expected that similar scoring patterns would be found in children as has been found previously in older populations: children with ASC scoring the highest, followed by control males then by control females (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001, 2006).

Method

The AQ-Child

The AQ-Child is a 50-item parent-report questionnaire developed to detect autistic traits in children at 4–11 years of age. The AQ-Child was designed to be a parent-report questionnaire, since self-report by children might be restricted by reading and comprehension difficulties. It was adapted from the adult and adolescent versions of the AQ, and items that were not age-appropriate in the adult questionnaires were revised accordingly. Items in the AQ-Child were kept as close to the AQ-Adult and AQ-Adol as possible, with most questions aimed at the same behaviors (see Appendix 1). Items were worded to produce an approximately equal agree/disagree response in order to avoid a response bias.

The AQ-Child consists of a series of descriptive statements designed to assess five areas associated with autism and the broader phenotype: social skills (items 1, 11, 13, 15, 22, 36, 44, 45, 47, 48), attention switching (items 2, 4, 10, 16, 25, 32, 34, 37, 43, 46), attention to detail (items 5, 6, 9, 12, 19, 23, 28, 29, 30, 49), communication (items 7, 17, 18, 26, 27, 31, 33, 35, 38, 39) and imagination (items 3, 8, 14, 20, 21, 24, 40, 41, 42, 50), each represented by ten items. Higher scores correspond to more 'autistic-like' behavior.

In the scoring system of Baron-Cohen et al. (2001) items are scored as 1 for a response in the 'autistic' direction and 0 for a 'non-autistic' response. The response scale in the present study adopted the scoring scheme used in recent studies of the AQ-Adult (Austin 2005; Hoekstra et al. 2007) where the response scale is treated as a 4-point Likert scale. Parents rate to what extent they agree or disagree with the statements about their child, with the following answer categories: 0 representing definitely agree; 1 slightly agree; 2 slightly disagree; and 3 definitely disagree. Items were reverse scored as necessary. This method was used because it was felt that the degree of endorsement of each item contained additional information and was therefore retained. Total AQ scores were represented by the sum each item score. The minimum AQ score (0) indicates no autistic traits; the maximum score (150) suggests full endorsement on all autistic items.

Participants

Group 1 (n = 1,225,618 girls, 607 boys) included children who were participating in a large epidemiological study of social and communication skills in children aged 4-9 years old (Scott et al. 2002; Williams et al. 2005). Children were excluded if the child had any of the following conditions: ASC (n = 34), language delay (n = 58), dyspraxia (n = 13), epilepsy (n = 3), or ADHD (n = 28). Twin births (n = 32) or siblings (n = 62) of children with an ASC were also excluded. In the case where more than one child in a family was participating, the child whose age was closest to the mean age of the control group (M = 9.82, SD = 1.27) was retained, and the other siblings were excluded (n = 48). Siblings and twin births were excluded to ensure independence of data. Initially, 2,777 questionnaires were sent out by post, resulting in a response rate of approximately 50%. This sample was drawn from primary schools in Cambridgeshire, UK. Results from a smaller sample of 89 control children (42 girls, 47 boys) suggest that IQ [measured by the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; Wechsler 1999)] was not correlated to the AQ-Child, therefore IQ data were not collected (r = -0.10, p > 0.5).

Group 2 comprised children diagnosed with an ASC by psychiatrists using established DSM-IV criteria (APA 1994). Children with a diagnosis of autism [n = 192, mean age = 7.58 (SD = 2.43)] or AS/HFA (n = 348) were included in the study, mean age = 9.31 (SD = 2.10). Children with a diagnosis of PDD-NOS (n = 26) or atypical autism (n = 4) were excluded from the study due to the small sample sizes. Children with a diagnosis of autism were grouped separately from the children with an AS/HFA diagnosis. Mothers of these children were recruited via the University of Cambridge Autism Research Centre website and completed the questionnaires online (http://www.autismsresearchcentre.com).

Questionnaires with more than five blank items were considered incomplete and these data were discarded in subsequent analyses (n = 57). If five or fewer answers were missing, the AQ-Child score was corrected for missing items by making the following calculation: total AQ-Child score + (mean item score × number of missing items) (Hoekstra et al. 2007).

Results

Item Analysis

An item analysis was conducted to examine scoring patterns on each item (see Table 1). Inspection of these scores showed that there were three items where controls scored

Table 1 Item analysis—mean scores for each item by group each group

Item	Subdomain	Controls $(n = 1,225)$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{AS/HFA} \\ (n = 348) \end{array}$	Autism $(n = 191)$
AQ1	S	0.89	1.89	2.08
AQ2	А	1.06	2.48	2.50
AQ3	Ι	0.61	1.47	1.99
AQ4	А	1.75	2.77	2.68
AQ5	D	1.23	2.51	2.31
AQ6	D	1.38	2.20	1.98
AQ7	С	0.45	2.41	2.51
AQ8	Ι	0.46	1.70	2.16
AQ9	D	0.82	1.43	1.09
AQ10	А	0.97	2.63	2.76
AQ11	S	0.70	2.69	2.61
AQ12	D	1.78	2.52	2.36
AQ13	S	0.34	1.48	1.32
AQ14	Ι	0.74	1.79	2.30
AQ15	S	0.93	2.21	2.18
AQ16	А	1.57	2.74	2.59
AQ17	С	0.58	2.33	2.49
AQ18	С	1.49	2.30	1.53
AQ19	D	1.10	1.70	1.48
AQ20	Ι	0.59	2.20	2.32
AQ21	Ι	0.46	1.50	1.54
AQ22	S	0.60	2.50	2.45
AQ23	D	1.10	2.05	1.87
AQ24	Ι	0.83	1.45	1.56
AQ25	А	0.80	2.32	2.22
AQ26	С	0.42	2.43	2.57
AQ27	С	1.08	2.66	2.68
AQ28	D	1.25	2.40	2.51
AQ29	D	1.86	1.72	1.23
AQ30	D	2.02	1.67	1.77
AQ31	C	1.07	2.62	2.64
AQ32	А	0.75	2.46	2.32
AQ33	С	0.54	2.20	2.26
AQ34	А	0.55	2.18	1.87
AQ35	C	0.80	2.24	2.46
AQ36	S	0.74	2.49	2.38
AQ37	A	0.70	2.18	1.96
AQ38	C	0.57	2.63	2.75
AQ39	C	1.18	2.61	1.97
AQ40	l	0.67	2.43	2.63
AQ41	l I	1.18	2.17	1.52
AQ42	1	0.97	2.40	2.39
AQ43	A	1.39	1.85	1.46
AQ44	5	0.32	1.90	1.72
AQ45	S	1.10	2.61	2.58
AQ46	A	1.30	2.64	2.45
AQ47	2	0.09	1.95	1.88

Table 1 continued

Item	Subdomain	Controls $(n = 1,225)$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{AS/HFA}\\ (n = 348) \end{array}$	Autism $(n = 191)$
AQ48	S	0.64	2.35	2.45
AQ49	D	1.69	1.36	1.04
AQ50	Ι	0.48	2.12	2.42

Key: C communication, S social skills, A attention switching, D attention to detail, I imagination

higher than Group 2 (items 29, 30, 49). All three of these items focus on 'attention to detail'. Closer inspection suggests that these items may be difficult to examine for young children and so these were eliminated in subsequent analyses.

Internal Consistency

Cronbach's α coefficients were calculated and for the measure as a whole, the α coefficient was high ($\alpha = 0.97$). The internal consistency of the five AQ-Child subscales were also satisfactory (social skills = 0.93; attention to detail = 0.83; attention switching = 0.89; communication = 0.92; and imagination = 0.88).

Test-Retest Reliability

A random selection of 500 parents were asked to complete a second copy of the AQ-Child to examine test-retest reliability, resulting in 272 test-retest pairs (141 girls, 131 boys). The mean time interval between the first and second test was 12.3 weeks (SD = 2.01). For the AQ-Child, the correlation between the two tests was good (r = 0.85, p < 0.001).

Factor Analysis of the AQ-Child

The retained 47 items of the AQ-Child were subjected to principal components analysis (PCA). Prior to performing PCA, the suitability of the control AQ-Child data for factor analysis was assessed. Inspection of the correlation matrix revealed the presence of many coefficients of 0.3 and above. Diagnostic checks suggested that the data were suitable for analysis: the Kaiser–Meyer–Oklin value was 0.93, and the Bartlett's test of sphericity reached statistical significance ($\chi^2 = 19841.29$, df = 1081, p < 0.001).

An oblique rotation was used, since it is reasonable to assume that the autism sub-components would be related. Five components arose explaining 21.8, 8.9, 5.1, 4.2 and 3.2% of the variance. An inspection of the scree plot revealed a clear break after the first, second and fourth components. It was decided to retain four components for further investigation. Before rotation, the four-component solution explained a total of 40% of the variance. A correlation (r = 0.33) was found between the first and third factors, confirming that the factors are not independent. To aid in the interpretation of these components, oblimin rotation was performed. All items with factor pattern matrix elements greater than 0.3 are included. The factors were named mind-reading, attention to detail, social skills and imagination. These factors were found to be respectively highly correlated with the original AQ sub-scales of communication (r = 0.97, p < 0.001), attention to detail (r = 0.95, p < 0.001) social skills (r = 0.97, p < 0.001), and imagination (r = 0.97, p < 0.001). Table 2 presents the items of the four scales in order of loadings on the components (highest first). The eigen values of the rotated factors and the percentages explained by each of the factors

Table 2 Factor structure of the AQ-Child

Item	Content	Loading
<i>Mind-reading-</i> variance =	-Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.96$, eigen value = 21.77	6.73, %
39	Keeps going on and on about the same thing	0.663
45	Finds it difficult to work out people's intentions	0.590
18	Does not let others to get a word in edgeways	0.577
35	Often the last to understand a joke	0.555
31	Knows how to tell if someone bored	0.539
37	Can switch back after an interruption	0.529
4	Gets strongly absorbed in one thing	0.484
27	Finds it easy to 'read between the lines'	0.468
36	Finds it easy to work out feelings by looking at faces	0.455
2	Prefers to do things the same way	0.454
7	Is impolite, even though s/he thinks it is polite	0.410
10	Can easily keep track of several conversations	0.402
32	Finds it easy to do more than one thing at once	0.376
42	Finds it difficult to imagine being someone else	0.376
33	Does not know when it is their turn on the phone	0.355
48	Is a good diplomat	0.331

Table 2 continued

Attention to detail—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.85$, eigen value = 4.73, % variance = 8.856Notices numbers or strings of information0.783 information23Notices patterms0.7359Fascinated by dates0.71419Fascinated by numbers0.70012Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.12-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social stuations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.51315Finds it hard to make new friends-0.510 conversation-0.51313Would rather go to a library than a disturbed-0.279 the library-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.279 the library-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture variance = 4.20-0.211 finds making up stories easy0.75136Can easily imagine what story reading fiction0.698 fiction-0.222 rather than details10Finds making up stories easy0.75134Finds m	Item	Content	Loading						
6Notices numbers or strings of information0.783 information23Notices patterns0.7359Fascinated by dates0.71419Fascinated by numbers0.70012Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.120.77744Enjoys social chit-chat-0.70778Good at social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.51526Does not know how to keep up a-0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a-0.503 party46New situations make him/her-0.224 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture-0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 14Finds making up stories easy0.654 reading fiction29Can easily imagine what story0.698 characters' look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.514 reading fiction30Finds it to easy	Attention to % variar	Attention to detail—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.85$, eigen value = 4.73, % variance = 8.85							
23Notices patterns0.7359Fascinated by dates0.71419Fascinated by numbers0.70012Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys meeting new people-0.70717Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.6351Prefers to do things with others-0.51326Does not know how to keep up a conversation-0.510 	6	Notices numbers or strings of information	0.783						
9Fascinated by dates0.71419Fascinated by numbers0.70012Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59 , % variance = 5.12 44Enjoys social occasions -0.777 38Good at social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.513 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.513 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a -0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed -0.224 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 -0.634 14Finds making up stories easy 0.751 25Gets upset when daily routine is characters look like -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 -0.634 <td< td=""><td>23</td><td>Notices patterns</td><td>0.735</td></td<>	23	Notices patterns	0.735						
19Fascinated by numbers0.70012Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59 , % variance = 5.12 44Enjoys social occasions -0.777 38Good at social chit-chat -0.704 47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.513 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a -0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.224 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 -0.634 14Finds making up stories easy 0.751 8Concentrates on the whole picture characters look like -0.638 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21	9	Fascinated by dates	0.714						
12Notices details that others do not0.6905Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, $%$ variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions -0.777 38Good at social chit-chat -0.744 47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.513 26Does not know how to keep up a -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a -0.630 24Would rather go to a library than a -0.224 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.224 anxious24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 $\%$ variance = 4.20 14Finds making up stories easy 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy 0.548 reading fiction <td>19</td> <td>Fascinated by numbers</td> <td>0.700</td>	19	Fascinated by numbers	0.700						
5Notices small sounds when others do not0.548 do not41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys meeting new people-0.70717Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.51526Does not know how to keep up a conversation-0.51013Would rather go to a library than a marious-0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed-0.222 rather than details24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 -0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a reading fiction0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.548 reading fiction20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.480 characters'40Enjoyed playing games involving0.447 pretending	12	Notices details that others do not	0.690						
41Likes to collect information0.52943Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, $\%$ variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys meeting new people-0.70717Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.6351Prefers to do things with others-0.51315Finds it hard to make new friends-0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a-0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed-0.41525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.227 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80, $\%$ variance = 4.200.51114Finds making up stories easy characters look like0.548 reading fiction3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.548 reading fiction30Finds it to easy to play games that novolve pretending0.511 nivolve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	5	Notices small sounds when others do not	0.548						
43Likes to plan activities carefully0.43616Tends to have very strong interests0.421Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys meeting new people-0.70717Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.53315Finds it hard to make new friends-0.51526Does not know how to keep up a-0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a-0.503 party46New situations make him/her-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.548 reading fiction3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture3Finds it to easy to play games that novolve pretending0.511 nivolve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	41	Likes to collect information	0.529						
16Tends to have very strong interests 0.421 Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions -0.777 38Good at social chit-chat -0.744 47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.510 26Does not know how to keep up a -0.510 27conversation -0.422 13Would rather go to a library than a -0.503 14Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.279 24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed -0.279 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.698 characters look like3Finds making up stories easy 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story mental picture 0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 40Enjoyed playing games involving pretending 0.447 <td>43</td> <td>Likes to plan activities carefully</td> <td>0.436</td>	43	Likes to plan activities carefully	0.436						
Social skills—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = 7.59, % variance = 5.1244Enjoys social occasions-0.77738Good at social chit-chat-0.74447Enjoys meeting new people-0.70717Enjoys social chit-chat-0.69411Finds social situations easy-0.63622Finds it hard to make new friends-0.6351Prefers to do things with others-0.53315Finds it hard to make new friends-0.51026Does not know how to keep up a-0.510conversation-0.503party46New situations make him/her disturbed-0.42224Would rather go to a library than a-0.29425Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.22224Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed-0.27925Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.22226Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.22214Finds making up stories easy0.7518Can easily imagine what story characters look like0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy0.548 reading fiction90Finds it difficult to work out the ocharacters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	16	Tends to have very strong interests	0.421						
44Enjoys social occasions -0.777 38Good at social chit-chat -0.744 47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.516 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than details <i>Imaginatiom</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.698 characters look like3Finds making up stories easy nental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that novlve pretending 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	Social skill % variar	ds—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.94$, eigen value = $1 \text{ ace} = 5.12$	7.59,						
38Good at social chit-chat -0.744 47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a -0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is 	44	Enjoys social occasions	-0.777						
47Enjoys meeting new people -0.707 17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a -0.510 conversation -0.503 party13Would rather go to a library than a -0.503 party46New situations make him/her -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 0.511 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction50Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	38	Good at social chit-chat	-0.744						
17Enjoys social chit-chat -0.694 11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.633 46New situations make him/her anxious -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.224 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than disturbed -0.227 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that nurolve pretending 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	47	Enjoys meeting new people	-0.707						
11Finds social situations easy -0.636 22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.503 party46New situations make him/her disturbed -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 0.698 characters look like3Finds making up stories easy nematal picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	17	Enjoys social chit-chat	-0.694						
22Finds it hard to make new friends -0.635 1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than library -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	11	Finds social situations easy	-0.636						
1Prefers to do things with others -0.533 15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination $\%$ variance = 4.20 -0.90 , eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 14Finds making up stories easy characters look like 0.654 mental picture3Finds it very easy to create a reading fiction 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	22	Finds it hard to make new friends	-0.635						
15Finds it hard to make new friends -0.515 26Does not know how to keep up a conversation -0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party -0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious -0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than the library -0.222 rather than details28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	1	Prefers to do things with others	-0.533						
26Does not know how to keep up a conversation-0.510 conversation13Would rather go to a library than a party-0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than the library-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80, % variance = 4.200.75114Finds making up stories easy characters look like0.7513Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	15	Finds it hard to make new friends	-0.515						
13Would rather go to a library than a party-0.503 party46New situations make him/her anxious-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than the library-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.222 rather than details <i>Imagination</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80, % variance = 4.200.75114Finds making up stories easy characters look like0.7513Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	26	Does not know how to keep up a conversation	-0.510						
46New situations make him/her anxious-0.422 anxious34Enjoys doing things spontaneously disturbed-0.40525Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed-0.294 anxious24Would rather go to the theatre than the library-0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details-0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80, % variance = 4.200.75114Finds making up stories easy characters look like0.7513Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.548 reading fiction50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.447 pretending	13	Would rather go to a library than a party	-0.503						
34Enjoys doing things spontaneously -0.405 25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.294 24Would rather go to the theatre than the library -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 14Finds making up stories easy 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	46	New situations make him/her anxious	-0.422						
25Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed -0.294 disturbed24Would rather go to the theatre than the library -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.751 14Finds making up stories easy characters look like 0.751 3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	34	Enjoys doing things spontaneously	-0.405						
24Would rather go to the theatre than the library -0.279 the library28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , $\%$ variance = 4.20 14Finds making up stories easy 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.698 characters3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	25	Gets upset when daily routine is disturbed	-0.294						
28Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details -0.222 rather than detailsImagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80 , % variance = 4.20 0.751 14Finds making up stories easy characters look like 0.751 8Can easily imagine what story characters look like 0.698 characters3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture 0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction 0.511 involve pretending20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story 0.447 pretending	24	Would rather go to the theatre than the library	-0.279						
Imagination—Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = 4.80, % variance = 4.2014Finds making up stories easy0.7518Can easily imagine what story characters look like0.698 characters look like3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.654 mental picture21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.548 characters' number of the story50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.511 characters' intentions in a story40Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447 pretending	28	Concentrates on the whole picture rather than details	-0.222						
14Finds making up stories easy0.7518Can easily imagine what story characters look like0.6983Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.65421Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.54850Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.51120Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.48040Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447	Imagination % variar	<i>n</i> —Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.90$, eigen value = $ace = 4.20$	4.80,						
8Can easily imagine what story characters look like0.6983Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.65421Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.54850Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.51120Finds it difficult to work out the 	14	Finds making up stories easy	0.751						
3Finds it very easy to create a mental picture0.65421Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.54850Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.51120Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.48040Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447	8	Can easily imagine what story characters look like	0.698						
21Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction0.54850Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.51120Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.48040Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447	3	Finds it very easy to create a mental picture	0.654						
50Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending0.51120Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.48040Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447	21	Does not particularly enjoy reading fiction	0.548						
20Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story0.48040Enjoyed playing games involving pretending0.447	50	Finds it to easy to play games that involve pretending	0.511						
40 Enjoyed playing games involving 0.447 pretending	20	Finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions in a story	0.480						
	40	Enjoyed playing games involving pretending	0.447						

are also shown. The internal reliabilities of the new factors were 0.96, 0.85, 0.94 and 0.90 respectively.

Group Differences

Group differences were examined using both the original AQ-Child subscales and on scores for the factors discussed above. Mean AQ scores (total) for each group, broken down by sex and by subdomain, are shown in Table 3.

Examination of the original subscales using an ANOVA of Total AQ score by group (control, AS/HFA and autism) and sex showed a significant effect of group [F(2, 1759) = 1277.66, p < 0.001]. Post hoc Dunnett T3 tests revealed that the two clinical groups scored significantly higher than the typically developing group (p < 0.0001), but that the two clinical groups did not differ from each other. The main effect of sex was also significant [F(1, 1759) = 6.33, p = 0.01]. The interaction between group and sex was also significant [F(2, 1759) = 3.56, p < 0.05].

T-tests confirmed that there was a significant sex difference [t(1154.11) = 7.02, p < 0.001, equal variances not assumed] in the control group (males scoring higher than females), confirming the same effect reported with the AQ-Adult and AQ-Adol. There were no significant sex differences in the clinical groups [Autism group: t(190) = 0.26, p > 0.05; AS/HFA group: t(346) = 0.15, p > 0.05]. See Fig. 1 for a visual representation of AQ distribution scores for control girls and boys and for the AS/HFA and Autism groups. The clinical groups differed from the control group on all subdomain scores (for *t*-tests results see Table 3). No association between age and AQ total was found (r = 0.03, p > 0.05) suggesting that AQ scores are independent of age in this sample.

Cut-off Scores

Figure 2 shows the receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) curve. The area under the ROC curve was 0.99 (95% C.I.: 0.98–0.99), indicating that total score is a good indicator of ASC diagnosis.

Table 4 also shows sensitivity and specificity values for a range of potential cut-offs as well as the percentage of each group (by sex) scoring at or above each cut-off (maximum obtainable score = 141 when only using retained items). Adult (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001) and adolescent (Baron-Cohen et al. 2006) data are also shown. The ROC analysis showed that a score of 76 showed both high sensitivity (95%) as well as high specificity (95%). With a cut-off of 76, less than 2% of control girls and 7% of control boys scored at or above the ASC cut-off,

Table 3	Mean	scores	for	subscales	and	total	by	group
---------	------	--------	-----	-----------	-----	-------	----	-------

	AQ total	Communi- cation	Attention to detail	Social skills	Imagination	Attention switching	Factor1- mind-reading	Factor 2-Atten- tion to detail	Factor3- social skills	Factor4- imagination
Control	ls ($n = 1, 2$	225)								
x	41.7	8.2	8.7	7.0	7.0	10.9	15.3	11.6	10.8	4.0
SD	18.6	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.6	5.1	7.9	5.7	7.4	3.7
Control	l boys (n =	= 607)								
x	45.7	9.0	8.9	7.8	8.5	11.5	16.6	12.0	12.0	5.1
SD	20.0	5.4	4.7	5.3	4.9	5.6	8.5	6.0	7.8	4.0
Control	l girls (n =	= 618)								
x	37.7	7.4	8.5	6.1	5.5	10.3	14.0	11.1	9.7	2.9
SD	16.1	4.4	4.4	4.6	3.7	4.6	7.1	5.4	6.7	3.1
AS/HF.	A $(n = 34)$	8)								
x	104.8	24.4	14.7	22.1	19.2	24.2	39.4	19.2	33.0	13.2
SD	15.6	4.0	4.0	5.1	5.4	4.2	5.7	4.9	7.0	4.7
AS/HF.	A boys (n	= 312)								
x	104.8	24.4	14.9	21.9	19.4	24.2	39.3	19.4	32.8	13.3
SD	15.7	4.0	4.0	5.1	5.2	4.2	5.6	4.9	7.1	4.6
AS/HF	A girls (n	= 36)								
x	104.7	24.9	13.7	23.4	17.9	24.7	40.2	17.7	34.6	12.2
SD	15.7	4.2	3.5	4.4	6.7	3.6	5.8	4.3	6.1	5.7
Autism	(n = 192))								
x	103.0	23.9	13.7	21.7	20.9	10.9	38.1	16.7	32.7	15.4
SD	16.3	4.1	4.4	5.1	5.4	22.8	5.9	5.5	7.2	4.2
Autism	boys $(n =$	= 156)								
x	103.6	24.0	13.7	21.7	21.3	22.9	38.2	16.9	32.9	15.7
SD	15.1	3.9	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.2	5.7	5.5	6.5	4.0
Autism	girls $(n =$	= 36)								
x	100.2	23.5	13.3	21.8	19.2	22.4	38.1	15.9	32.0	14.2
SD	20.8	5.0	4.8	6.2	6.2	5.3	6.6	5.9	9.6	4.7
Control	ls vs. AS/H	IFA								
t	57.89**	56.02**	22.92**	49.17**	42.27**	44.62**	53.08**	22.68**	59.99**	38.25**
Control	ls vs. autis	m								
t	43.26**	41.71**	14.22**	37.72**	38.61**	30.59**	38.35**	11.69**	38.47**	38.75**
AS/HF	A vs. autis	m								
t	1.25	1.47	3.12*	0.75	3.51**	3.70**	2.47*	5.35**	0.37	5.42**

* *p* < .01

** *p* < .001

whereas 95% of children with AS/HFA and 95% of children with Autism scored at or above this cut-off.

For the AQ-Adult, a score of 32 or above was chosen as the cut-off since 79.3% of the clinical group scored at or above this score, whereas only 2% of the control adults did so. The AQ-Adol used a cut-off of 30.

Discussion

This study examined the psychometric properties of the Autism Spectrum Quotient-Children's version (AQ-Child) The AQ-Child showed excellent test–retest reliability and high α coefficients for the questionnaire as a whole as well as for each of the original five sub-scales (communication, attention to detail, social skills, imagination and attention switching). Factor analysis provided support for four factors which were highly correlated with four of the original subscales: communication (renamed mind-reading), attention to detail, social skills and imagination. All of these four factors also showed high reliability coefficients.

A cut-off of 76 was adopted and showed high sensitivity (0.95) and specificity (0.95). In addition, 95% of the AS/ HFA and 95% of the autism group scored at or above this









Fig. 2 ROC curve of the sensitivity and specificity of AQ-Child Score. Area under the curve = 0.99

cut-off, whereas only 4% of controls did so. These results demonstrate that the AQ has good construct validity as those with a diagnosis score significantly higher than those without a diagnosis. Figure 1 shows the clear differentiation of total score between those with and without a clinical diagnosis. However, caution should be exercised when using any cut-off to indicate diagnosis because diagnosis is not dependent on an absolute score but on whether the traits cause impairments in everyday functioning (APA 1994; ICD-10 1994). A further limitation is that we have no confirmation that individuals in Group 1 with no clinical diagnosis do not have an ASC, and future population-based studies could assess those who score above the cut-off to see whether those without a diagnosis would warrant one. Such studies would inform the psychometrics of the AQ.

Comparisons between the AS/HFA and autism group showed no significant difference in total AQ-Child score. This may be because as a whole, this instrument is not biased toward language skills. However, several items (e.g., 10, 27, 33) concern behaviors that may require some conversational competence, and we recommend that the AQ would be most useful for individuals with some speech, and with an intelligence in the borderline average range (70) or above. It would be interesting for future researchers to examine the relationship between AQ-Child score and severity of symptoms, as this was outside the scope of this study.

Sex differences in the control group were found, with typically developing boys scoring higher than girls. Similar results are reported in many measures of ASCs. No sex differences were found in the AS/HFA or autism group. This may be because in the clinical groups, many more boys were in the study than girls. This is a common issue since the high male to female ratio of ASC limits the number of females available to participate in research studies. It would be beneficial for future research to obtain larger samples that can more closely examine scoring patterns between males and females with ASC.

Age was not associated with AQ-Child score. These results are consistent with those obtained from the Adolescent version of the AQ (Baron-Cohen et al. 2006). The items in the AQ-Child were designed to be appropriate for children between the ages of 4 and 11, which is the broadest range that the AQ has measured. Our results

Table 4 Comparison of cut-off points

	AQ-Child cut-off points				AQ-Adult cut-off score		AQ-Adol cut-off score	
Indices	n	66	76	86	n	32	n	30
Sensitivity	-	0.99	0.95	0.86	-	-	-	-
Specificity	-	0.90	0.95	0.98	-	_	-	_
% Controls scoring above cut-off	1,225	9.7	4.3	2.2	174	2.3	50	0.0
% Control females	618	4.7	1.6	1.0	98	1.0	25	0.0
% Control males	607	14.8	7.1	3.5	76	3.9	25	0.0
% AS/HFA scoring above cut-off	348	98.9	95.1	87.1	58	79.3	52	90.4
% AS/HFA females	36	97.2	94.4	94.4	13	92.3	14	92.3
% AS/HFA males	312	99.0	95.2	86.2	45	75.6	38	89.5
% Autism scoring above cut-off	192	99.5	94.8	82.8	-	_	79	88.6
% Autism females	36	97.2	86.1	80.6	-	_	16	81.3
% Autism males	156	100.0	96.8	83.3	-	_	63	90.5

Adult data from Baron-Cohen et al. 2001

Adolescent data from Baron-Cohen et al. 2006

suggest that the AQ-Child measures traits that are consistent throughout the age groups. It would be useful in future studies to test the correlation between the AQ-Child and related measures (such as the Childhood Autism Spectrum Test²) (Scott et al. 2002; Williams et al. 2005) which has been used in primary school age children, and to test if one instrument is more sensitive than another.

The use of a Likert format for responses in the questionnaire allowed parents to express the severity of a particular trait in their child. This was believed to be more appropriate for conditions like ASC where individuals lie on a spectrum of conditions. Eaves et al. (2006) comment on how a categorical 'yes/no' response can lead to missing data where the parent skips or misses questions or responds with a written answer in similarly designed questionnaires.

In summary, the AQ-Child is a strong measure that is able to discriminate the presence of ASC in a clinical sample and will be an important research tool for investigating autistic traits. The AQ-Child could also be a useful tool for researchers to follow the developmental trajectory in clinical samples, or as a measure of the broader autism phenotype in epidemiological samples. Future work needs to consider whether scores on the AQ-Child or AQ-Adol predict AQ-Adult score, and the potential value of the AQ as a developmentally sensitive screening instrument used to detect ASC in the general population.

Conclusions

This study reports the development of the AQ-Child, a new instrument that aims to quantify autistic traits in children aged 4-11 years. This 50-item parent-report questionnaire records a child's behavior on a range of autistic traits. Factor analysis identified four subscales (named mind-reading, attention to detail, social skills and imagination). These were highly correlated with four of the original five designed subscales for the AO-Child (communication, attention to detail, social skills and imagination, respectively). The high test-retest and reliability coefficients further support the structure of the questionnaire. The measure was administered to a group with an ASC diagnosis (n = 540) and a control group with no history of ASC in their immediate family (n = 1,225). Scoring patterns show a very clear differentiation between those with ASC and a control group. The questionnaire may be useful in screening for ASC rather than as a diagnostic tool, and is not a substitute for a clinical diagnosis. The development of the AQ-Child also presents the possibility of following autistic traits throughout an individual's lifetime in a longitudinal study. Understanding the nature of the AQ continuum, in terms of its components and how it can be derived and predicted from these (Wheelwright et al. 2006), is an important goal for future research.

² Formerly known as the Childhood Asperger syndrome test, but renamed keeping the same acronym because it can be used for all subgroups on the autistic spectrum.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Nancy Lurie-Marks Family Foundation and the MRC, UK. Bonnie Auyeung was supported by a scholarship from Trinity College, Cambridge. We are grateful to the families who have taken part in this study over several years and to Rosa Hoekstra and Nigel Goldenfeld for valuable discussions.

Appendix 1: The Autism Spectrum Quotient—Children's Version (AQ-Child)

	Definitely Agree	Slightly Agree	Slightly Disagree	Definitely Disagree
1. S/he prefers to do things with others rather than on her/his own				
2. S/he prefers to do things the same way over and over again				
3. If s/he tries to imagine something, s/he finds it very easy to create a picture in her/his mind				
4. S/he frequently gets so strongly absorbed in one thing that s/he loses sight of other things				
5. S/he often notices small sounds when others do not				
6. S/he usually notices house numbers or similar strings of information ^a				
7. S/he has difficulty understanding rules for polite behavior ^a				
8. When s/he is reading a story, s/he can easily imagine what the characters might look like ^a				
9. S/he is fascinated by dates				
10. In a social group, s/he can easily keep track of several different people's conversations				
11. S/he finds social situations easy				
12. S/he tends to notice details that others do not				
13. S/he would rather go to a library than a birthday party ^a				
14. S/he finds making up stories easy				
15. S/he is drawn more strongly to people than to things ^a				
16. S/he tends to have very strong interests, which s/ he gets upset about if s/he cannot pursue				
17. S/he enjoys social chit-chat				
18. When s/he talks, it is not always easy for others to get a word in edgeways				
19. S/he is fascinated by numbers				
20. When s/he is reading a story, s/he finds it difficult to work out the characters' intentions or feelings ^a				
21. S/he does not particularly enjoy fictional stories ^a				
22. S/he finds it hard to make new friends				
23. S/he notices patterns in things all the time				
24. S/he would rather go to the cinema than a museum ^a				
25. It does not upset him/her if his/her daily routine is disturbed				
26. S/he does not know how to keep a conversation going with her/his peers ^a				
27. S/he finds it easy to "read between the lines" when someone is talking to her/him				
28. S/he usually concentrates more on the whole picture, rather than the small details				
29. S/he is not very good at remembering phone				

D Springer

continued

	Definitely Agree	Slightly Agree	Slightly Disagree	Definitely Disagree
30. S/he does not usually notice small changes in a situation, or a person's appearance				
31. S/he knows how to tell if someone listening to him/her is getting bored				
32. S/he finds it easy to go back and forth between different activities ^a				
33. When s/he talks on the phone, s/he is not sure when it is her/his turn to speak				
34. S/he enjoys doing things spontaneously				
35. S/he is often the last to understand the point of a joke.				
36. S/he finds it easy to work out what someone is thinking or feeling just by looking at their face				
37. If there is an interruption, s/he can switch back to what s/he was doing very quickly				
38. S/he is good at social chit-chat				
39. People often tell her/him that s/he keeps going on and on about the same thing				
40. When s/he was in preschool, s/he used to enjoy playing games involving pretending with other children ^a				
41. S/he likes to collect information about categories of things (e.g., types of car, types of bird, types of train, types of plant, etc.)				
42. S/he finds it difficult to imagine what it would be like to be someone else				
43. S/he likes to plan any activities s/he participates in carefully				
44. S/he enjoys social occasions				
45. S/he finds it difficult to work out people's intentions				
46. New situations make him/her anxious				
47. S/he enjoys meeting new people				
48. S/he is good at taking care not to hurt other people's feelings ^a				
49. S/he is not very good at remembering people's date of birth				
50. S/he finds it very to easy to play games with children that involve pretending				

Note: Aside from the self-report to parent-report format change, items were changed as little as possible

^a Denotes items that were changed substantially

References

- APA. (1994). DSM-IV diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (4th ed.). Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Austin, E. J. (2005). Personality correlates of the broader autism phenotype as assessed by the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ). *Personality and Individual Differences*, *38*, 451–460.
- Austin, E. J., Manning, J. T., McInroy, K., & Mathews, E. (2002). A preliminary investigation of associations between personality, cognitive ability and digit ratio. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33, 1115–1124.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Hoekstra, R., Knickmeyer, R., & Wheelwright, S. (2006). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ)—Adolescent version. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36, 343– 350.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J., & Clubley, E. (2001). The Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31, 5–17.
- Bishop, D. V. M., Maybery, M., Maley, A., Wong, D., Hill, W., & Hallmayer, J. (2004). Using self-report to identify the broad phenotype in parents of children with autistic spectrum

disorders: A study using the Autism-Spectrum Quotient. *Journal* of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 45, 1431–1436.

- Bolton, P., Macdonald, H., Pickles, A., Rios, P., Goode, S., Crowson, M., et al. (1994). A case–control family history study of autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 35, 877–900.
- Eaves, L. C., Wingert, H. D., Ho, H. H., & Mickelson, E. (2006). Screening for autism spectrum disorders with the Social Communication Questionnaire. *Developmental and Behavioural Pediatrics*, 27, S95-S103.
- Hoekstra, R., Bartels, M., Cath, D. C., & Boomsma, D. I. (submitted). Factor structure of the broader autism phenotype and its diagnostic validity: A study using the Dutch translation of the autism-spectrum quotient (AQ).
- Hoekstra, R., Bartels, M., Verweij, C., & Boomsma, D. I. (2007). Heritability of autistic traits in the general population. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine, 161, 372–377.
- ICD-10. (1994). International classification of diseases (10th ed.). Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Kurita, H., Koyama, T., & Osada, H. (2005). Autism-Spectrum Quotient-Japanese version and its short forms for screening normally intelligent persons with pervasive developmental disorders. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 59, 490–496.
- Landa, R., Piven, J., Wzorek, M. M., Gayle, J. O., Chase, G. A., & Folstein, S. E. (1992). Social language use in parents of autistic individuals. *Psychological Medicine*, 22, 245–254.
- Le Couteur, A., Bailey, A., Goode, S., Pickles, A., et al. (1996). A broader phenotype of autism: The clinical spectrum in twins. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *37*, 785–801.
- Scott, F. J., Baron-Cohen, S., Bolton, P., & Brayne, C. (2002). The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Preliminary

J Autism Dev Disord (2008) 38:1230-1240

development of a UK screen for mainstream primary-schoolage children. Autism, 6, 9–13.

- Sears, L. L., Vest, C., Mohamed, S., Bailey, J., Ranson, B. J., & Piven, J. (1999). An MRI study of the basal ganglia in autism. *Progress in Neuro-psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 23, 613–624.
- Wakabayashi, A., Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., & Tojo, Y. (2006). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) in Japan: A crosscultural comparison. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36, 263–270.
- Wakabayashi, A., Tojo, Y., Baron-Cohen, S., & Wheelwright, S. (2004). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) Japanese version: Evidence from high-functioning clinical group and normal adults. *Shinrigaku Kenkyu*, 75, 78–84.
- Wechsler, D. (1999). Wechsler abbreviated scale of intelligence. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wheelwright, S., & Baron-Cohen, S. (submitted). Defining the broader, medium and narrow autism phenotype in parents of children with autism.
- Wheelwright, S., Baron-Cohen, S., Goldenfeld, N., Delaney, J., Fine, D., Smith, R., et al. (2006). Predicting Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) from the Systemizing Quotient-Revised (SQ-R) and Empathy Quotient (EQ). *Brain Research*, 1079, 47–56.
- Williams, J., Scott, F., Stott, C., Allison, C., Bolton, P., Baron-Cohen, S., et al. (2005). The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Test accuracy. *Autism*, 9, 45–68.
- Woodbury-Smith, M. R., Robinson, J., Wheelwright, S., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2005). Screening adults for Asperger syndrome using the AQ: A preliminary study of its diagnostic validity in clinical practice. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 35, 331–335.