THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF FINITE p-ABELIAN p-GROUPS

BY

RICHARD M. DAVITT

If n is an integer, a group G is called n-Abelian if $(xy)^n = x^n y^n$ for all elements x, y of G. It is immediate that, for each integer n, the class of n-Abelian groups forms a variety which contains the variety of Abelian groups as a subvariety. F. Levi [8], O. Grün [5] and R. Baer [2], [3] have developed theory pertaining to n-Abelian groups for arbitrary groups. In this paper we restrict our attention to the class of finite p-Abelian p-groups, where p is a prime number. It should be noted that each p-Abelian p-group is trivially a regular p-group and also that each p-group of exponent p is a p-Abelian p-group.

It is well known that if G is a finite non-cyclic Abelian p-group of order greater than p^2 , then the order o(G) of G divides the order of the automorphism group A(G) of G [9, Lemma 1]. It is natural to conjecture that if G is a finite non-cyclic p-group of order greater than p^2 , then o(G) divides o(A(G)). In recent years this result has proved for certain classes of finite p-groups [4], [9], [10]. Corollary 3 shows that it is also true for the class of finite p-Abelian p-groups.

In the paper the following notation is used. G is a finite p-group; $\exp G$ is the exponent of $G; H \leq G$ means H is a subgroup of G and H < G means H is a proper subgroup of G; $H \bigtriangleup G$ means H is normal in G; E denotes the identity subgroup of G. If S is a subset of a group, then $\langle S \rangle$ denotes the subgroup generated by S. $C_G(H)$ is the centralizer of H in G and $N_G(H)$ is the normalizer of H in G. The commutator $h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$ of two elements h, k of G is denoted by (h, k). $G^{(1)}$ is the derived group of G, Z(G) is the center of G

$$\mathbb{U}_k(G) = \langle \{x^{p^k} : x \in G\} \rangle \text{ and } \Omega_k(G) = \langle \{x \in G : o(x) \mid p^k\} \rangle.$$

I(G) denotes the group of inner automorphisms of G and I denotes the identity element of A(G). If $\theta \in A(G)$ and $H \leq G$, then $\theta|_{H}$ denotes the restriction of θ to H. If H and K are groups, then $H \cong K$ means H is isomorphic to K. When there is no ambiguity, the indexing group G will be omitted in the above notation.

DEFINITION 1. $D(G) = \{\theta \in A(G) : \theta |_{\Omega_1(Z)} = I_{\Omega_1(Z)}\}.$

It is immediate that $I(G) \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$. The principal theorem of the paper, Theorem 3, states that if G is a finite non-Abelian *p*-Abelian *p*-group, then o(G) | o(D(G) | o(A(G))). We will prove this theorem through a series of remarks, lemmas and theorems. The first two lemmas are computational in nature.

LEMMA 1. Let $k \ge 1$. If $r = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (p+1)^{jk}$, then $p \mid r$.

Received June 2, 1969.

LEMMA 2. If $p \neq 2$ and $n \geq 0$ then

$$(p+1)^{p^n} \equiv 1 \mod p^{n+1}$$
 and $(p+1)^{p^n} \equiv (1+p^{n+1}) \mod p^{n+2}$.

Let G be a p-Abelian p-group. Since G is regular,

$$\mathbb{U}_k = \{x^{p^k} : x \in G\} \text{ and } \Omega_k = \{x \in G : o(x) \mid p^k\}.$$

Furthermore, C. Hobby has shown that $G^{(1)} \leq \Omega_1$ and $\mathfrak{V}_1 \leq Z$ [6, Theorem 1]. Consequently, exp $I(G) \leq p$.

An extremely useful decomposition of p-Abelian p-groups of exponent greater than p, which was suggested by a construction of J. Adney and T. Yen [1, Lemma 1], is found in

LEMMA 3. Let G be a p-Abelian p-group of exponent greater than p and let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \langle a^p \rangle \oplus M$, where $o(a) = p^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ and $M \leq G$. If

$$L = \{x \in G : x^p \in M\},\$$

^then $\Omega_1 \leq L, L \bigtriangleup G, G = \langle a \rangle L, \langle a \rangle \cap L = \langle a^{p^n} \rangle \leq \Omega_1(Z)$ and $G/L = \langle aL \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^n .

Proof. Clearly Ω_1 is a subset of L. Since $G^{(1)} \leq \Omega_1$, $L \Delta G$ and $\langle a \rangle L \leq G$. If $g \in G$, then $g^p = a^{kp}m$ where $0 \leq k < p^n$ and $m \in M$. Thus $m = g^p a^{-kp} = (ga^{-k})^p$, $ga^{-k} \in L$ and $G = \langle a \rangle L$. Clearly $\langle a \rangle \cap L = \langle a^{p^n} \rangle \leq \Omega_1(Z)$. Hence $G/L = \langle aL \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^n .

LEMMA 4. (i) The mapping $\sigma : G \to G$ defined by $\sigma(a^k l) = a^{k(p+1)}l$, where $0 \leq k < p^n$ and $l \in L$, is an automorphism of G of order p^n under which L is left elementwise fixed. Hence $\sigma \in D(G)$.

(ii) For any $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$, the mapping $\phi_x : G \to G$ defined by

$$\phi_x(a^k l) = (ax)^k l,$$

where $0 \leq k < p^n$ and $l \in L$, is an automorphism of G under which L is left elementwise fixed. Hence $\phi_x \in D(G)$.

(iii) If $S = \{\phi_x : x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]\}, \text{ then } S \leq D(G) \leq A(G) \text{ and } S \cong \Omega_n[Z(L)].$

Proof. (i) To see that σ is a homomorphism let g, $h \in G$. Then $g = a^{k_1}l_1$, $h = a^{k_2}l_2$, where $0 \leq k_1$, $k_2 < p^n$ and l_1 , $l_2 \in L$. Let

$$a^{k_1}l_1 a^{k_2}l_2 = a^{k_1+k_2}l_3 l_2$$

where $l_3 \in L$ and let $k_1 + k_2 = k_3 + rp^n$ where $0 \le k_3 < p^n$ and $r \ge 0$. Then $\sigma(gh) = \sigma(a^{k_3}a^{rp^n}l_3 l_2) = a^{k_3(p+1)}a^{rp^n}l_3 l_2 = a^{k_3(p+1)}a^{rp^n(p+1)}l_3 l_2 = a^{(k_1+k_2)(p+1)}l_3 l_2$ $= a^{k_1(p+1)}l_1 a^{k_2(p+1)}l_2 = \sigma(g)\sigma(h).$

Clearly σ fixes L elementwise and hence $\sigma(L) = L$. Since $\sigma(a) = a^{p+1}$ and $\langle a^{p+1}, L \rangle = G$, $\sigma \in A(G)$. Indeed, since $\Omega_1(Z) \leq L$, $\sigma \in D(G)$.

To determine the order of σ , it suffices to consider the action of the powers of σ on a alone. A routine induction proof shows that if $r \geq 0$, then

 $\sigma^r(a) = a^{(p+1)r}$. By Lemma 2,

$$\sigma^{p^n}(a) = a^{(p+1)p^n} = a^{1+\alpha p^{n+1}} = a$$

 $\sigma^{p^{n-1}}(a) = a^{(p+1)p^{n-1}} = a^{1+p^n+\beta p^{n+1}} = aa^{p^n} \neq a.$

Therefore $o(\sigma) = p^n$.

(ii) Let $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$. Since $(ax)^{p^n} = a^{p^n} x^{p^n} = a^{p^n}, \phi_x$ is an automorphism of G under which L is elementwise fixed [7, p. 174]. Indeed since $\Omega_1(Z) \leq L, \phi_x \in D(G)$.

(iii) Let $x, y \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$. Since $\phi_x \phi_y(a) = \phi_x(ay) = axy = \phi_{xy}(a)$, $S \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$. Indeed the mapping ρ which sends x into ϕ_x is clearly an isomorphism of $\Omega_n[Z(L)]$ onto S.

COROLLARY 1. If $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$, then $o(\phi_x) = o(x)$ and

$$\langle \phi_x \rangle = \{ \phi_y : y \in \langle x \rangle \}.$$

COROLLARY 2. If $M \leq \Omega_n[Z(L)]$ and $T = \{\phi_x : x \in M\}$, then $T \leq S$ and $M \cong T$.

LEMMA 5. If $R = \langle \sigma \rangle$, then

$$\sigma \in N_{\mathcal{A}(G)}(S), \qquad RS \leq D(G) \leq A(G),$$
$$R \cap S = \langle \phi_{a^{p^n}} \rangle = \langle \sigma^{p^{n-1}} \rangle, \qquad o(RS) = p^{n-1}o(\Omega_n[Z(L)])$$

and $RS/S = \langle S\sigma \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^{n-1} .

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$ and $l \in L$. Then

$$\sigma^{-1}\phi_x \sigma(l) = l$$
 and $\sigma^{-1}\phi_x \sigma(a) = ax^{p+1}$.

Hence, $\sigma^{-1}\phi_x \sigma = \phi_{x^{p+1}} \epsilon S$, $\sigma \epsilon N_{A(G)}(S)$ and $RS \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$.

In determining $R \cap S$ it suffices to consider the action of the automorphisms under consideration on *a* alone. Since $a^{p^n} \epsilon \Omega_1(Z) \cap L$,

 $\phi_{a^{p^n}}$

is defined. As in the proof of Lemma 4, $\sigma^{p^{n-1}}(a) = aa^{p^n}$. Hence,

$$\langle \sigma^{p^{n-1}} \rangle = \langle \phi_{a^{p^n}} \rangle \le R \cap S.$$

Conversely, let $\theta \in R \cap S$. Then $\theta(a) = ax$ where $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$ and $\theta(a) = aa^k$ where k is an integer. Hence,

$$x = a^k \epsilon \langle a \rangle \cap L = \langle a^{p^n} \rangle.$$

By Corollary 1,

$$\theta \in \langle \phi_{ap^n} \rangle$$
 and $R \cap S = \langle \phi_{ap^n} \rangle$.

Since $o(R \cap S) = p$, $o(RS) = p^{n-1}o(\Omega_n[Z(L)])$ and $RS/S = \langle S\sigma \rangle$ is cyclic of order $p^{n-1} \cdot \parallel$

78

while

LEMMA 6. Let $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$ and let $s, k \ge 1$. Then

$$(\phi_x \sigma^k)^s = \sigma^{sk} \phi_{xr}$$
 where $r = \sum_{j=1}^s (p+1)^{jk}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on s. Since

$$\sigma^{-k}\phi_x\,\sigma^k(a) = ax^{(p+1)k}$$

the lemma is true if s = 1. Inductively assume that for s > 1,

$$(\phi_x \sigma^k)^{s-1} = \sigma^{(s-1)k} \phi_{xq}$$

where $q = \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} (p+1)^{jk}$. Then

$$(\phi_x \sigma^k)^s = \phi_x \sigma^k \sigma^{(s-1)k} \phi_{xq} = \sigma^{sk} \phi_{x(s+1)} {}^{sk} \phi_{xq} = \sigma^{sk} \phi_{xr}$$

where $r = (p+1)^{sk} + q = \sum_{j=1}^{s} (p+1)^{jk}$.

LEMMA 7. If $\theta \in \Omega_1(RS)$, then $\theta = \phi_x$ where $x \in \Omega_1[Z(L)]$.

Proof. Let $\theta \in \Omega_1(RS)$. By Lemma 5, $\theta = \phi_x \sigma^k$ where $0 \leq k < p^{n-1}$ and $\phi_x \in S$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that k > 0. Then by Lemma 6,

$$I = \theta^p = (\phi_x \sigma^k)^p = \sigma^{kp} \phi_{xr}$$

where $r = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (p+1)^{jk}$. By Lemma 1, $p \mid r$. Let $r = \alpha p$. Since $0 < k < p^{n-1}$ and $o(\sigma) = p^n, \sigma^{kp} \neq I$. Thus

$$I \neq \sigma^{kp} = \phi_{x(-\alpha p)} \in R \cap S = \langle \phi_{a^{p^n}} \rangle$$

and by Corollary 1, $x^{-\alpha p} \epsilon \langle a^{p^n} \rangle \leq \langle a^p \rangle$. Since $x \epsilon L$,

$$x^{-\alpha p} \epsilon M \cap \langle a^p \rangle = E \text{ and } \sigma^{kp} = \phi_e = I$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\theta = \phi_x$ where $x \in \Omega_n[Z(L)]$. Finally, by Corollary 1, $x \in \Omega_1[Z(L)]$.

Let G be a non-Abelian p-Abelian p-group of exponent p^{m+1} where $m \ge 1$. Let \mathcal{U}_1 be Abelian of type $(n_1 \ge \cdots \ge n_t)$. Choose $a_1, \cdots, a_t \in G$ such that $\mathcal{U}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \langle a_i^p \rangle$ and $o(a_i) = p^{n_i+1}$. For each i, let

$$M_i = \bigoplus_{j \neq i} \langle a_j^p \rangle$$
 and $L_i = \{ x \in G : x^p \in M_i \}.$

LEMMA 8. For each i,

$$\Omega_1 \leq L_i \bigtriangleup G, \quad G = \langle a_i
angle L_i, \quad \langle a_i
angle \cap L_i = \langle a_i^{p^n_i}
angle \leq \Omega_1(Z),$$

 $G/L_i = \langle a_i L_i \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^{n_i} and $\mathfrak{V}_1(L_i) = M_i$. Furthermore if $j \neq i$, then $a_j \in L_i$.

Proof. Fix *i*. Since $\mathfrak{V}_1 = \langle a_i^p \rangle \oplus M_i$, the first part of lemma follows from Lemma 3. Also, if $j \neq i$, then $a_j^p \in M_i$ and $a_j \in L_i$. Consequently, $a_j^p \in \mathfrak{V}_1(L_i)$ and $M_i \leq \mathfrak{V}_1(L_i)$. Conversely, if $y \in \mathfrak{V}_1(L_i)$, then $y = x^p$ for some $x \in L_i$. Therefore $y = x^p \in M_i$ and $\mathfrak{V}_1(L_i) = M_i$.

We note that $\mathcal{U}_1 \leq Z$ and $\exp \mathcal{U}_1 = p^m$. Hence either $\exp Z = p^m$ or $\exp Z = p^{m+1}$.

LEMMA 9. Let $\exp Z = \exp \mathfrak{V}_1 = p^m$ and let $n_i = m$ for some fixed *i*. Then $C(L_i) = \langle a_i^p \rangle Z(L_i)$ is an Abelian normal subgroup of G and $\Omega_{n_i}[C(L_i)] = \langle a_i^p \rangle \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$.

Proof. Since $L_i \triangle G$, $C(L_i) \triangle G$. Also since $a_i^p \in Z$,

$$\langle a_i^p \rangle Z(L_i) \leq C(L_i).$$

If $x \in C(L_i)$, then $x = a_i^k l$ where $0 \leq k < p^{n_i}$ and $l \in L_i$. If $p \mid k$, then $a_i^k \in \langle a_i^p \rangle \leq Z$ and it follows immediately that $l \in Z(L_i)$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $p \upharpoonright k$. Then $o(x) = p^{n_i+1} = p^{m+1} = \exp G$ and $G = \langle x, L_i \rangle$. Since $x \in C(L_i), x \in Z$ which contradicts the fact that $\exp Z = p^m$. Thus $p \mid k$ and $C(L_i) = \langle a_i^p \rangle Z(L_i)$ is an Abelian normal subgroup of G. Finally, since $a_i^p \in \Omega_{n_i}(Z)$,

$$\Omega_{n_i}[C(L_i)] = \langle a_i^p \rangle \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]. \qquad \|$$

The following lemma which is merely an implementation of Lemma 4 is included for notational purposes.

LEMMA 10. (i) For each *i*, the mapping $\sigma_i : G \to G$ defined by $\sigma_i(a_i^k l) = a_i^{k(p+1)} l,$

where $0 \le k < p^{n_i}$ and $l \in L_i$, is an automorphism of G of order p^{n_i} . If $R_i = \langle \sigma_i \rangle$, then $R_i \le D(G) \le A(G)$.

(ii) For fixed i, let $x \in \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$. Then the mapping $_i\phi_x : G \to G$ defined by $_i\phi_x(a_i^k l) = (a_i x)^k l$, where $0 \le k < p^{n_i}$ and $l \in L_i$, is an automorphism of G. If

$$S_{i} = \{ {}_{i} \phi_{x} : x \in \Omega_{n_{i}}[Z(L_{i})] \},\$$

then $S_i \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$ and $S_i \cong \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$.

LEMMA 11. $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} R_i$ exists and $\sigma_j \in N_{A(G)}(S_i), 1 \leq i, j \leq t$.

Proof. Fix *i* and let $j \neq i$. If $l_i \in L_i$, then $l_i = a_j^k l_j$ where $0 \leq k < p^{n_j}$ and $l_j \in L_i \cap L_j$. Consequently,

$$\sigma_j^{-1}\sigma_i\,\sigma_j(l_i) = \sigma_j^{-1}\sigma_i\,\sigma_j(a_j^k\,l_j) = a_j^k\,l_j = l_i\,.$$

Since $\sigma_j^{-1}\sigma_i\sigma_j(a_i) = a_i^{p+1}$ we see that $\sigma_j \in C_{A(G)}(\sigma_i)$. If

$$\theta \in \langle \sigma_i \rangle \cap \langle \sigma_j : j \neq i \rangle,$$

then $\theta(l) = l$ for each $l \in L_i$ and $\theta(a_i) = a_i$. Since $G = \langle a_i, L_i \rangle$, $\theta = I$ and $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} R_i$ exists.

By Lemma 5, $\sigma_i \in N_{\mathcal{A}(G)}(S_i)$ for each *i*. Fix *i* and let $j \neq i$. Let $x \in \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$ and let $l_i \in L_i$. Then $l_i = a_j^k l_j$ where $0 \leq k < p^{n_j}$ and

 $l_j \in L_i \cap L_j$. Consequently,

$$\sigma_j^{-1} {}_i \phi_x \sigma_j(l_i) = a_j^k l_j = l_i.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sigma_j^{-1}{}_i\phi_x\sigma_j(a_i) = a_i\sigma_j^{-1}(x).$$

Since
$$G/L_j = \langle a_j^{p+1}L_j \rangle$$
, $x = a_j^{r(p+1)}m_j$ where $0 \le r < p^{n_j}$ and $m_j \in L_j$. Hence
 $\sigma_j^{-1}(x) = \sigma_j^{-1}(a_j^{r(p+1)}m_j) = a_j^r m_j$.

If $y = a_j^r m_j$, then $y \in \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$ and $\sigma_j^{-1} \phi_x \sigma_j = i \phi_y \in S_i$. Hence $\sigma_j \in N_{A(G)}(S_i)$.

LEMMA 12. For each i, let $W_i = \{i\phi_x : x \in \Omega_1(Z)\}$. Then

$$W_i \leq D(G) \leq A(G) \quad and \quad W_i \cong \Omega_1(Z)$$

Furthermore, if $j \neq i$, then $W_j \leq C_{A(G)}(W_i)$.

Proof. The first part of the lemma follows by Corollary 2; the last part follows by a routine computation when we observe that $\Omega_1(Z) \leq \Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_i)]$ for each *i*. \parallel

Techniques due to R. Ree [10, Theorem l] are used in the proof of the following.

THEOREM 1. Let G be a non-Abelian p-Abelian p-group of exponent p^{m+1} where $m \ge 1$. If $\exp Z = \exp \mathfrak{V}_1 = p^m$, then o(G) | o(D(G)) | o(A(G)).

Proof. Let \mathcal{O}_1 be Abelian of type $(n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t)$. Let

$$\mathbb{U}_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^t \langle a_i^p \rangle$$
 where $o(a_i) = p^{n_i+1}$.

The theorem is proved by considering two cases.

Case I.
$$\exp Z(L_1) \le \exp Z = p^{n_1}$$
. By Lemmas 5 and 9,
 $R_1 S_1 \le D(G) \le A(G)$

and

$$o(R_1S_1) = p^{n_1-1}o(\Omega_{n_i}[Z(L_1)]) = p^{n_1-1}o[Z(L_1)] = o(C(L_1)).$$

Furthermore, the mapping $\rho: C(L_1) \to C(L_1)$ defined by $\rho(x) = (a_1, x)$ is an endomorphism of $C(L_1)$ since $C(L_1)$ is a normal Abelian subgroup of G. Let $K = \text{Ker } \rho$ and $M = \text{Im } \rho$. Then $o(C(L_1)) = o(K)o(M)$. We note that $o(Z) \mid o(K)$ since $Z \leq K \leq C(L_1)$. Since $M \leq G^{(1)} \leq \Omega_1 \leq L_1$ and $M \leq C(L_1), M \leq \Omega_1[Z(L_1)]$. Let $T = \{ _1\phi_y : y \in M \}$. By Corollary 2, $T \leq S_1$ and $T \cong M$.

We shall show that $R_1 S_1 \cap I(G) = T$. Let $\theta \in R_1 S_1 \cap I(G)$. Since $\theta \in I(G)$, $o(\theta) \leq p$ and $\theta \in \Omega_1(R_1 S_1)$. By Lemma 7, $\theta = {}_1\phi_x$ where $x \in \Omega_1[Z(L_1)]$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $\theta = I_g$. If $l \in L_1$, then $\theta(l) = {}_1\phi_x(l) = l = g^{-1}lg$. Hence

 $g \in C(L_1)$ and $\rho(g)$ is defined. Also $_1\phi_x(a_1) = a_1 x = g^{-1}a_1 g$. Hence $x = (a_1, g) = \rho(g) \epsilon M$ and $_1\phi_x \epsilon T$. Conversely, let $_1\phi_x \epsilon T$. Then

 $x \in M = \operatorname{Im} \rho.$

Choose $g \in G$ such that $\rho(g) = (a_1, g) = x$. It follows that

$$_{1}\phi_{x} = I_{g} \epsilon I(G) \cap R_{1}S_{1}$$
 and $T = R_{1}S_{1} \cap I(G)$.

1

Now

$$V = R_1 S_1 I(G) \le D(G) \le A(G)$$

and

$$o(V) = o(C(L_1))o(G/Z)/o(M) = o(K)o(G/Z).$$

Since $o(Z) \mid o(K)$, we see that $o(G) \mid o(V) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G))$.

Case II. $\exp Z(L_1) = \exp G = p^{n_1+1}$. In this case $n_1 = n_2 = m$ and without loss of generality we may assume that $a_2 \in Z(L_1)$. By Lemmas 5 and 9, $R_2 S_2 \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$ and

$$o(R_2 S_2) = p^{n_2-1} o(\Omega_{n_2}[Z(L_2)]) = o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)]).$$

Since $Z \leq \Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)], o(Z) | o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)])$. Furthermore, the mapping $\rho: C(L_2) \to C(L_2)$ defined by $\rho(x) = (a_2, x)$ is an endomorphism of $C(L_2)$. If $M = \text{Im } \rho$, then $M \leq \Omega_{n_2}[Z(L_2)]$. Let $T = \{ {}_2\phi_y : y \in M \}$. By Corollary 2, $T \leq S_2$ and $T \cong M$. As in case I, $T = R_2 S_2 \cap I(G)$. Let $V = R_2 S_2 I(G) \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$. Then

$$o(V) = o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)])o(G/Z)/o(M).$$

If
$$(a_2, x) = e$$
 for each $x \in C(L_2)$, then $M = E$ and

$$o(V) = o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)])o(G/Z).$$

Since $o(Z) \mid o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)]), o(G) \mid o(V) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G))$ and the theorem is true. Hence we may assume that $(a_2, b_1) = y \neq e$ for some $b_1 \in C(L_2)$. Let $b_1 = a_1^k l_1$ where $0 \leq k < p^{n_1}$ and $l_1 \in L_1$. Since $a_2 \in Z(L_1) \bigtriangleup G$, $(a_2, a_1^k) \epsilon Z(L_1)$. Thus

$$(a_2, b_1) = (a_2, a_1^k l_1) = (a_2, l_1)(a_2, a_1^k) = (a_2, a_1^k)$$

and hence $p \not\mid k$. It now follows that $o(b_1) = p^{n_1+1} = \exp G$ and indeed that $\mathbb{U}_1 = \langle b_1^p \rangle \oplus M_1$. Without loss of generality, let $a_1 = b_1$. We note that $a_1 \in Z(L_2), (a_2, a_1) = y \neq e \text{ and } y \text{ is an element of order } p \text{ in } M = \text{Im } \rho.$ Also since $y \in Z(L_1) \cap Z(L_2)$, $y \in Z$. Let $x \in C(L_2)$. Then $x = a_1^r m_1$ where $0 \le r < p^{n_1}$ and $m_1 \in L_1$. Thus $\rho(x) = (a_1, x) = (a_2, a_1^r m_1) = (a_2, a_1^r) = y^r$ and $M = \langle y \rangle$. Therefore

$$o(M) = o(T) = p$$
 and $o(V) = o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)])o(G/Z)/p_1$

At this point in the proof of Case II it becomes convenient to turn our attention to two subcases.

82

Case II (A). m = 1. Then $\exp G = p^2$, $Z = \Omega_1(Z)$, $C(L_2) = Z(L_2)$, $\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)] = \Omega_1[Z(L_2)]$, and $R_2 S_2 = S_2$.

If $Z < \Omega_1[Z(L_2)]$, then $p^l o(Z) = o(\Omega_1[Z(L_2)])$ where $l \ge 1$. But then $o(V) = p^l o(Z) o(G/Z)/p = p^{l-1} o(G)$ and

$$o(G) \mid o(V) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G)).$$

Thus we may assume that $Z = \Omega_1[Z(L_2)]$ and hence that

$$S_2 = W_2 = \{ {}_2\phi_x : x \in \Omega_1(Z) \}.$$

Let $W_1 = \{ {}_1\phi_x : x \in \Omega_1(Z) \}$. By Lemma 12,

$$W_1 \leq S_1, \quad o(W_1) = o[\Omega_1(Z)] \quad \text{and} \quad W_1 \leq C_{A(G)}(W_2).$$

Since $\langle a_1^p \rangle \oplus \langle a_2^p \rangle \leq \Omega_1(Z)$, $o(W_1) \geq p^2$. Let $W = VW_1 = W_2I(G)W_1$. Then $W \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$ and

$$o(W) = o(G)o(W_1)/p[o(W_2I(G) \cap W_1)].$$

We recall that $(a_2, a_1) = y$. Let $U = \langle {}_1\phi_y \rangle$. Then $U \leq W_1$ and o(U) = p. Indeed, it can be shown by methods analogous to those used earlier in the proof that $U = W_2 I(G) \cap W_1$. Thus

$$o(W) = o(G)o(W_1)/p^2$$

and since $o(W_1) \ge p^2$, o(G) | o(W) | o(D(G)) | o(A(G)).

Case II (B). $m \ge 2$. Then $\exp G \ge p^3$ and $m = n_1 = n_2 \ge 2$. Since $\sigma_1 \in C_{A(G)}(R_2)$ and $\sigma_1 \in N_{A(G)}(S_2)$,

$$W = R_2 S_2 I(G) R_1 = V R_1 \le D(G) \le A(G).$$

Now $\theta(a_1^p) = a_1^p$ for each $\theta \in V$ while $\sigma_1(a_1^p) = a_1^{p^2} a_1^p \neq a_1^p$ since $o(a_1) = p^{n_1+1} \geq p^3$. Hence $\sigma_1 \notin V$, $V < W = VR_1$ and $o(W) = p^l o(V)$ where $l \geq 1$. Therefore

$$o(W) = p^{l} o(\Omega_{n_{2}}[C(L_{2})]) o(G/Z)/p = p^{l-1} o(\Omega_{n_{2}}[C(L_{2})]) o(G/Z).$$

Since $o(Z) | o(\Omega_{n_2}[C(L_2)]), o(G) | o(W) | o(D(G) | o(A(G))). \|$

LEMMA 13. If G is a non-Abelian p-group of exponent p, then

$$o(G) \mid o(D(G) \mid o(A(G)).$$

Proof. R. Ree actually proved this lemma in [10]. In Theorem 1 of that paper he showed that o(G) | o(A(G)) when G is a non-Abelian p-group of exponent p by constructing a subgroup of A(G), say W, such that o(G) | o(W) | o(A(G)). A closer investigation of that proof reveals that it is indeed true that $W \leq D(G)$ and hence that

$$o(G) \mid o(W) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G)).$$

LEMMA 14. Let G be a p-Abelian p-group of exponent p^{m+1} where $m \geq 1$

and let $\mathfrak{V}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \langle a_i^p \rangle$ where $o(a_i) = p^{n_i+1}$. Suppose $a \in Z$ for some fixed j. (i) Let $\theta \in D(L_j)$. If we extend θ to the mapping $\overline{\theta} : G \to G$ defined by $\overline{\theta}(a^k l) = a^k \theta(l)$, where $0 \leq k < p^{n_j}$ and $l \in L_j$, then $\overline{\theta} \in A(G)$.

(ii) If $V_j = \{\bar{\theta} : \bar{\theta} \text{ is an extension of } \theta \in D(L_j)\}$, then $V_j \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$ and $o(V_j) = o(D(L_j))$.

(iii) $R_j V_j \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$ and $o(R_j V_j) = p^{n_j} o(V_j) = p^{n_j} o(D(L_j)).$

Proof. (i) Let $\theta \in D(L_j)$ and let $\overline{\theta}$ be the extension of θ to G. Since $a_j \in Z, \theta(a_j^{p^{n_j}}) = a_j^{p^{n_j}}$ and $G = \langle a_j, L_j \rangle$, it is clear that $\overline{\theta} \in A(G)$.

(ii) Since $D(L_j) \leq A(L_j)$, it follows that $V_j \leq A(G)$ and $o(V_j) = o(D(L_j))$. Then since $\Omega_1(Z) \leq \Omega_1[Z(L_j)]$ and since each $\theta \in D(L_j)$ fixes $\Omega_1[Z(L_j)]$ elementwise, each $\bar{\theta} \in V_j$ fixes $\Omega_1(Z)$ elementwise and $V_j \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$.

(iii) Since $\sigma_j \in C_{A(G)}(V_j)$, $R_j V_j \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$. If $\tau \in R_j \cap V_j$, then $\tau(a_j) = a_j$ and $\tau(l) = l$ for each $l \in L_j$. Hence, $\tau = I$ and

$$o(R_j V_j) = o(R_j)o(V_j) = p^{n_j}o(D(L_j)).$$

THEOREM 2. Let G be a non-Abelian p-Abelian p-group of exponent p^{m+1} where $m \ge 1$. If exp $Z = \exp G = p^{m+1}$, then

$$o(G) \mid o(D(G) \mid o(A(G))).$$

Proof. If G is a p-Abelian p-group satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem, then \mathcal{O}_1 is a non-trivial Abelian p-group of type $(n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t)$. The proof is by induction on t.

If t = 1, then \mathcal{V}_1 is cyclic of order p^{n_1} . Choose $a_1 \in Z$ such that $o(a_1) = p^{n_1+1}$. Then $\mathcal{V}_1 = \langle a_1^p \rangle \oplus M_1$ where $M_1 = E$. Hence $L_1 = \Omega_1$ and $G/\Omega_1 = \langle a_1 \Omega_1 \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^{n_1} . Since G is not Abelian and $a_1 \in Z$, Ω_1 is a non-Abelian p-group of exponent p. By Lemma 13,

$$o(\Omega_1) \mid o(D(\Omega_1)) \mid o(A(\Omega_1)).$$

If $V_1 = \{\bar{\theta} : \bar{\theta} \text{ is an extension of } \theta \in D(\Omega_1)\}$ as defined in Lemma 14, then

$$R_1 V_1 \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$$
 and $o(R_1 V_1) = p^{n_1} o(D(\Omega_1)).$

Since $o(G) = p^{n_1}o(\Omega_1)$ and $o(\Omega_1) \mid o(D(\Omega_1))$,

 $o(G) | o(R_1 V_1) | o(D(G) | o(A(G)).$

Inductively, assume that the theorem is true for t-1 where t > 1. Let G be a p-Abelian p-group satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem such that \mathcal{V}_1 is Abelian of type $(n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_t)$ where $t \geq 2$. Choose $a_1 \in Z$ such that $o(a_1) = p^{n_1+1}$ and choose $a_2, \cdots, a_t \in G$ such that $\mathcal{V}_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \langle a_i^p \rangle$ and $o(a_i) = p^{n_i+1}$. Then $G/L_1 = \langle a_1 \ L_1 \rangle$ is cyclic of order p^{n_1} . Since $a_1 \in Z$, L_1 is a non-Abelian p-group of exponent at least p^2 . But $\mathcal{V}_1(L_1) = M_1 = \bigoplus_{i=2}^t \langle a_i^p \rangle$ has type $(n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t)$. Thus there are t-1 elements in a basis for $\mathcal{V}_1(L_1)$. If exp $Z(L_1) = \exp \mathcal{V}_1(L_1)$, then $o(L_1) \mid o(D(L_1))$ by

Theorem 1. If $\exp Z(L_1) = \exp L_1$, then $o(L_1) \mid o(D(L_1))$ by the induction hypothesis. If $V_1 = \{\bar{\theta} : \bar{\theta} \text{ is an extension of } \theta \in D(L_1)\}$ as defined in Lemma 14, then

$$R_1 V_1 \leq D(G) \leq A(G)$$
 and $o(R_1 V_1) = p^{n_1} o(D(L_1))$.

Since $o(G) = p^{m_1}o(L_1)$ and $o(L_1) | o(D(L_1))$,

$$o(G) \mid o(R_1 V_1) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G)). \qquad \parallel$$

Lemma 13, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 may be consolidated into the following.

THEOREM 3. If G is a non-Abelian p-Abelian p-group, then

 $o(G) \mid o(D(G)) \mid o(A(G)).$

COROLLARY 3. If G is a non-cyclic p-Abelian p-group of order greater than p^2 , then $o(G) \mid o(A(G))$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. J. E. ADNEY AND T. YEN, Automorphisms of a p-group, Illinois J. Math., vol. 9 (1965), pp. 137-143.
- R. BAER, Endlichkeitskriterien f
 ür Kommutatorgruppen, Math. Ann., vol. 124 (1952), pp. 161–177.
- 3. ——, Factorization of n-soluble and n-nilpotent groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 4 (1953), pp. 15–26.
- R. FAUDREE, A note on the automorphism group os a p-group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 19 (1968), pp. 1379–1382.
- 5. O. GRÜN, Beiträge zur Gruppentheorie. IV, Math. Nachr., vol. 3 (1949), pp. 77-94.
- C. HOBBY, A characteristic subgroup of a p-group, Pacific J. Math., vol. 10 (1960), pp. 853-858.
- O. J. HUVAL, A note on the outer automorphisms of finite nilpotent groups, Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 73 (1966), pp. 174-175.
- F. LEVI, Notes on group theory. I, VII, J. Indian Math. Soc., vol. 8 (1944), pp. 1-7; vol. 9 (1945), pp. 37-42.
- A. D. OTTO, Central automorphisms of a finite p-group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 125 (1966), pp. 280-287.
- R. REE, The existence of outer automorphisms of some groups. II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 9 (1958), pp. 105-109.

LAFAYETTE COLLEGE EASTON, PENNSYLVANIA