# THE $A_\ell$ AND $C_\ell$ BAILEY TRANSFORM AND LEMMA

#### STEPHEN C. MILNE AND GLENN M. LILLY

ABSTRACT. We announce a higher-dimensional generalization of the Bailey Transform, Bailey Lemma, and iterative "Bailey chain" concept in the setting of basic hypergeometric series very well-poised on unitary  $A_{\ell}$  or symplectic  $C_{\ell}$  groups. The classical case, corresponding to  $A_{1}$  or equivalently U(2), contains an immense amount of the theory and application of one-variable basic hypergeometric series, including elegant proofs of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities. In particular, our program extends much of the classical work of Rogers, Bailey, Slater, Andrews, and Bressoud.

### 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to announce a higher-dimensional generalization of the Bailey Transform [2] and Bailey Lemma [2] in the setting of basic hypergeometric series very well-poised on unitary [19] or symplectic [14] groups. Both types of series are directly related [14, 18] to the corresponding Macdonald identities. The series in [19] were strongly motivated by certain applications of mathematical physics and the unitary groups U(n) in [10, 11, 15, 16]. The unitary series use the notation  $A_{\ell}$ , or equivalently  $U(\ell+1)$ ; the symplectic case,  $C_{\ell}$ . The classical Bailey Transform, Lemma, and very well-poised basic hypergeometric series correspond to the case  $A_{1}$ , or equivalently U(2).

The classical Bailey Transform and Bailey Lemma contain an immense amount of the theory and application of one-variable basic hypergeometric series [2, 12, 25]. They were ultimately inspired by Rogers' [24] second proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities [23]. The Bailey Transform was first formulated by Bailey [8], utilized by Slater in [25], and then recast by Andrews [4] as a fundamental matrix inversion result. This last version of the Bailey Transform has immediate applications to connection coefficient theory and "dual" pairs of identities [4], and q-Lagrange inversion and quadratic transformations [13].

The most important application of the Bailey Transform is the Bailey Lemma. This result was mentioned by Bailey [8;  $\S4$ ], and he described how the proof would work. However, he never wrote the result down explicitly and thus missed the full power of *iterating* it. Andrews first established the Bailey Lemma explicitly in [5] and realized its numerous possible applications in terms of the iterative "Bailey chain" concept. This iteration mechanism enabled him to derive many *q*-series identities by "reducing" them to more elementary ones. For example,

Received by the editors April 28, 1991.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 33D70, 05A19.

S. C. Milne was partially supported by NSF grants DMS 86-04232, DMS 89-04455, and DMS 90-96254.

G. M. Lilly was fully supported by NSA supplements to the above NSF grants and by NSA grant MDA 904-88-H-2010.

the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities can be reduced to the q-binomial theorem. Furthermore, general multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities are a direct consequence of iterating suitable special cases of Bailey's Lemma. In addition, Andrews notes that Watson's q-analog of Whipple's transformation is an immediate consequence of the second iteration of one of the simplest cases of Bailey's Lemma. Continued iteration of this same case yields Andrews' [3] infinite family of extensions of Watson's q-Whipple transformation. Even Whipple's original work [26, 27] fits into the q=1 case of this analysis. Paule [22] independently discovered important special cases of Bailey's Lemma and how they could be iterated. Essentially all the depth of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Schur identities and their iterations is embedded in Bailey's Lemma.

The process of iterating Bailey's Lemma has led to a wide range of applications in additive number theory, combinatorics, special functions, and mathematical physics. For example, see [2, 5, 6, 7, 9].

The Bailey Transform is a consequence of the terminating  $_4\phi_3$  summation theorem. The Bailey Lemma is derived in [1] directly from the  $_6\phi_5$  summation and the matrix inversion formulation [4, 13] of the Bailey Transform. We employ a similar method in the  $A_\ell$  and  $C_\ell$  cases by starting with a suitable, higher-dimensional, terminating  $_6\phi_5$  summation theorem extracted from [19] and [14], respectively. The  $A_\ell$  proofs appear in [20, 21], and the  $C_\ell$  case is established in [17]. Many other consequences of the  $A_\ell$  and  $C_\ell$  generalizations of Bailey's Transform and Lemma will appear in future papers. These include  $A_\ell$  and  $C_\ell$  q-Pfaff-Saalschütz summation theorems, q-Whipple transformations, connection coefficient results, and applications of iterating the  $A_\ell$  or  $C_\ell$  Bailey Lemma.

## 2. RESULTS

Throughout this article, let i, j, N, and y be vectors of length  $\ell$  with nonnegative integer components. Let q be a complex number such that |q| < 1. Define

(2.1a) 
$$(\alpha)_{\infty} \equiv (\alpha; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{k>0} (1 - \alpha q^k)$$

and, thus,

(2.1b) 
$$(\alpha)_n \equiv (\alpha; q)_n := (\alpha)_{\infty} / (\alpha q^n)_{\infty}.$$

Define the Bailey transform matricies, M and  $M^*$ , as follows.

**Definition** (M and  $M^*$  for  $A_\ell$ ). Let  $a, x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$  be indeterminate. Suppose that none of the denominators in (2.2a-b) vanishes. Then let

(2.2a) 
$$M(\mathbf{i}; \mathbf{j}; A_{\ell}) := \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left( q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{j_r - j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left( a q \frac{x_k}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{i_k + (j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell})}^{-1};$$

and

$$(2.2b) M^{*}(i; j; A_{\ell})$$

$$:= \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[ 1 - a \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} q^{i_{k} + (i_{1} + \dots + i_{\ell})} \right] \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left( a q \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{j_{k} + (i_{1} + \dots + i_{\ell}) - 1}$$

$$\times \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left( q \frac{x_{r}}{x_{s}} q^{j_{r} - j_{s}} \right)_{i_{r} - i_{r}}^{-1} (-1)^{(i_{1} + \dots + i_{\ell}) - (j_{1} + \dots + j_{\ell})} q^{\binom{(i_{1} + \dots + i_{\ell}) - (j_{1} + \dots + j_{\ell})}{2}}.$$

**Definition** (M and  $M^*$  for  $C_\ell$ ). Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$  be indeterminate. Suppose that none of the denominators in (2.3a-b) vanishes. Then let

(2.3a) 
$$M(\mathbf{i}; \mathbf{j}; C_{\ell}) := \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left[ \left( q \frac{x_r}{x_s} q^{j_r - j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \left( q x_r x_s q^{j_r + j_s} \right)_{i_r - j_r}^{-1} \right];$$

and

(2.3b)

$$M^{*}(\mathbf{i}; \mathbf{j}; C_{\ell})$$

$$:= \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left[ \left( q \frac{x_{r}}{x_{s}} q^{j_{r}-j_{s}} \right)_{i_{r}-j_{r}}^{-1} \left( x_{r} x_{s} q^{j_{r}+i_{s}} \right)_{i_{r}-j_{r}}^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq \ell} \left[ \frac{1 - x_{r} x_{s} q^{j_{r}+j_{s}}}{1 - x_{r} x_{s} q^{i_{r}+i_{s}}} \right] \times (-1)^{(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{\ell})-(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{\ell})} q^{\binom{(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{\ell})-(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{\ell})}{2}}.$$

As in the classical case [1], we have the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Bailey Transform for  $A_{\ell}$  and  $C_{\ell}$ ). Let  $G = A_{\ell}$  or  $C_{\ell}$ . Let M and  $M^*$  be defined as in (2.2) and (2.3), with rows and columns ordered lexicographically. Then M and  $M^*$  are inverse, infinite, lower-triangular matricies. That is,

(2.4) 
$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \delta(i_k, j_k) = \sum_{\substack{j_k \leq y_k \leq i_k \\ k=1,2}} M(i; y; G) M^*(y; j; G),$$

where  $\delta(r, s) = 1$  if r = s, and 0 otherwise.

Equations (2.2) and (2.3) motivate the definition of the  $A_{\ell}$  and  $C_{\ell}$  Bailey pair.

**Definition** (G-Bailey Pair). Let  $G = A_{\ell}$  or  $C_{\ell}$ . Let  $N_k \geq 0$  be integers for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell$ . Let  $A = \{A_{(y;G)}\}$  and  $B = \{B_{(y;G)}\}$  be sequences. Let M and  $M^*$  be as above. Then we say that A and B form a G-Bailey Pair if

(2.5) 
$$B_{(N;G)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k=1}} M(N; y; G) A_{(y;G)}.$$

As a consequence of the Bailey transform, (2.4), and the definition of the G-Bailey pair, (2.5), we have the following result.

Corollary (Bailey Pair Inversion). A and B satisfy equation (2.5) if and only if

(2.6) 
$$A_{(N;G)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \le y_k \le N_k \\ k-1 \ge 2}} M^*(N; y; G) B_{(y;G)}.$$

Define the sequences  $A' = \{A'_{(y;A_t)}\}$  and  $B' = \{B'_{(y;A_t)}\}$  by

(2.7a) 
$$A'_{(N;A_{\ell})} := \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left( \frac{aq}{\rho} \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{N_{k}}^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left( \sigma \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{N_{k}} \times \frac{(\rho)_{N_{1} + \dots + N_{\ell}}}{(aq/\sigma)_{N_{1} + \dots + N_{\ell}}} (aq/\rho\sigma)^{N_{1} + \dots + N_{\ell}} A_{(N;A_{\ell})}$$

and

(2.7b)

$$B'_{(N;A_{\ell})} := \sum_{\substack{0 \leq v_{k} \leq N_{k} \\ k=1,2,...,\ell}} \left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[ \left( \sigma \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{y_{k}} \left( \frac{aq}{\rho} \frac{x_{k}}{x_{\ell}} \right)_{N_{k}}^{-1} \right] \prod_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \left( q \frac{x_{r}}{x_{s}} q^{y_{r}-y_{s}} \right)_{N_{r}-y_{r}}^{-1} \right.$$

$$\times \frac{(aq/\rho\sigma)_{(N_{1}+\cdots+N_{\ell})-(y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell})} (\rho)_{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}}}{(aq/\sigma)_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{\ell}}} \times (aq/\rho\sigma)^{y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}} B_{(\mathbf{y};A_{\ell})} \right\}$$

Define the sequences  $A' = \{A'_{(v;C_t)}\}$  and  $B' = \{B'_{(v;C_t)}\}$  by

$$(2.8a) A'_{(N;C_{\ell})} := \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[ \frac{(\alpha x_{k})_{N_{k}} (q x_{k} \beta^{-1})_{N_{k}}}{(\beta x_{k})_{N_{k}} (q x_{k} \alpha^{-1})_{N_{k}}} \right] \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{N_{1} + \dots + N_{\ell}} A_{(N;C_{\ell})}$$

and

(2.8b)

$$B'_{(N; C_{\ell})} := \sum_{0 \le y_{k} \le N_{k} \atop k=1, 2, \dots, \ell} \left\{ cr \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[ \frac{(\alpha x_{k})_{y_{k}} (q x_{k} \beta^{-1})_{y_{k}}}{(\beta x_{k})_{N_{k}} (q x_{k} \alpha^{-1})_{N_{k}}} \right] \prod_{r, s=1}^{\ell} \left( q \frac{x_{r}}{x_{s}} q^{y_{r}-y_{s}} \right)_{N_{r}-y_{r}}^{-1} \right. \\ \times \prod_{1 \le r < s \le \ell} \left[ \left( q x_{r} x_{s} q^{y_{r}+y_{s}} \right)_{N_{s}-y_{s}}^{-1} \left( q x_{r} x_{s} q^{N_{s}-y_{s}} \right)_{N_{r}-y_{r}}^{-1} \right. \\ \times \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)_{(N_{1}+\dots+N_{\ell})-(y_{1}+\dots+y_{\ell})} \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{y_{1}+\dots+y_{\ell}} B_{(y; C_{\ell})} \right\}$$

These definitions lead to our generalization of Bailey's lemma.

**Theorem** (The G-generalization of Bailey's Lemma). Let  $G = A_{\ell}$  or  $C_{\ell}$ . Suppose  $A = \{A_{(N;G)}\}$  and  $B = \{B_{(N;G)}\}$  form a G-Bailey Pair. If  $A' = \{A'_{(N;G)}\}$  and  $B' = \{B'_{(N;G)}\}$  are as above, then A' and B' also form a G-Bailey Pair.

### 3. Sketches of Proofs

Proof of (2.4). In each case,  $A_{\ell}$  and  $C_{\ell}$ , we begin with a terminating  $_4\phi_3$  summation theorem. In the  $C_{\ell}$  case, it is first necessary to specialize Gustafson's  $C_{\ell}$   $_6\psi_6$  summation theorem, see [14], terminate it from below and then from above, and further specialize the resulting terminating  $_6\phi_5$  to yield a terminating  $_4\phi_3$ . In both the  $A_{\ell}$  and  $C_{\ell}$  cases, the  $_4\phi_3$  is modified by multiplying both the sum and product sides by some additional factors. Finally, that result is transformed term-by-term to yield the sum side of (2.4).  $\Box$ 

*Proof of* (2.6). Equation (2.6) follows directly from the definition, (2.5), and the termwise nature of the calculations in the proof of (2.4).  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Bailey's Lemma.* The definitions in (2.7) and (2.8) are substituted into (2.5). After an interchange of summation, the inner sum is seen to be a special case of the appropriate  $_6\phi_5$ . The  $_6\phi_5$  is then summed, and the desired result follows.  $\Box$ 

Detailed proofs of the  $C_{\ell}$  case will appear in [17], as will a discussion of the  $C_{\ell}$  Bailey chain and a connection coefficient result associated with the  $C_{\ell}$  Bailey Transform.

### REFERENCES

- 1. A. K. Agarwal, G. Andrews, and D. Bressoud, *The Bailey lattice*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 51 (1987), 57-73.
- G. E. Andrews, q-Series: Their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics and computer algebra, CBMS Regional Con. Ser. in Math., no. 66, Conf. Board Math. Sci., Washington, DC, 1986.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, Theory and Applications of Special Functions (R. Askey, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191-224.
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_, Connection coefficient problems and partitions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., (D. Ray-Chaudhuri, ed.), vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1979, pp. 1-24.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, Multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, Pacific J. Math. 114 (1984), 267-283.
- 6. G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, and P. J. Forrester, Eight-vertex SOS model and generalized Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, J. Statist. Phys. 35 (1984), 193-266.
- 7. G. E. Andrews, F. J. Dyson, and D. Hickerson, *Partitions and indefinite quadratic forms*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), 391-407.
- 8. W. N. Bailey, *Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **50** (1949), 1-10.
- R. J. Baxter, Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, Academic Press, London and New York, 1982.
- 10. L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, Angular momentum in quantum physics: Theory and applications, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 8, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_, The Racah-Winger algebra in quantum theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 9, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- 12. G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, (G.-C. Rota, ed.), vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

- 13. I. Gessel and D. Stanton, Applications of q-Lagrange inversion to basic hypergeometric series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 173-201.
- 14. R. A. Gustafson, The Macdonald identities for affine root systems of classical type and hypergeometric series very well-poised on semi-simple Lie algebras, Ramanujan International Symposium on Analysis (December 26th to 28th, 1987, Pune, India) (N. K. Thakare, ed.), 1989, pp. 187-224.
- 15. W. J. Holman, III, Summation Theorems for hypergeometric series in U(n), SIAM J. Math. Anal. 11 (1980), 523-532.
- 16. W. J. Holman III, L. C. Biedenharn, and J. D. Louck, On hypergeometric series well-poised in SU(n), SIAM J. Math. Anal. 7 (1976), 529-541.
- 17. G. M. Lilly and S. C. Milne, The  $C_{\ell}$  Bailey transform and Bailey lemma, preprint.
- 18. S. C. Milne, An elementary proof of the Macdonald identities for  $A_{\ell}^{(1)}$ , Adv. in Math. 57 (1985), 34-70.
- 19. \_\_\_\_\_, Basic hypergeometric series very well-poised in U(n), J. Math. Anal. Appl. 122 (1987), 223-256.
- 20. \_\_\_\_\_, Balanced  $_3\phi_2$  summation theorems for U(n) basic hypergeometric series, (in preparation).
- 21. A U(n) generalization of Bailey's lemma, in preparation.
- 22. P. Paule, Zwei neue Transformationen als elementare Anwendungen der q-Vandermonde Formel, Ph.D. thesis, 1982, University of Vienna.
- 23. L. J. Rogers, Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, Proc. London Math. Soc. 25 (1894), 318-343.
- 24. \_\_\_\_\_, On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities, Proc. London Math. Soc (2) 16 (1917), 315-336.
- 25. L. J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge University Press, London and New York, 1966.
- 26. F. J. W. Whipple, On well-poised series, generalized hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 24 (1924), 247-263.
- 27. \_\_\_\_\_, Well-poised series and other generalized hypergeometric series, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 25 (1926), 525-544.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210 E-mail address: milne@function.mps.ohio-state.edu