

THE BANNAI-ITO POLYNOMIALS AS RACA H COEFFICIENTS OF THE $sl_{-1}(2)$ ALGEBRA

VINCENT X. GENEST, LUC VINET, AND ALEXEI ZHEDANOV

(Communicated by Sergei K. Suslov)

ABSTRACT. The Bannai-Ito polynomials are shown to arise as Racah coefficients for $sl_{-1}(2)$. This Hopf algebra has four generators, including an involution, and is defined with both commutation and anticommutation relations. It is also equivalent to the parabosonic oscillator algebra. The coproduct is used to show that the Bannai-Ito algebra acts as the hidden symmetry algebra of the Racah problem for $sl_{-1}(2)$. The Racah coefficients are recovered from a related Leonard pair.

INTRODUCTION

The $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebra was introduced recently in [20] as a deformation of the classical $sl(2)$ Lie algebra; it is defined in terms of four generators, including an involution, satisfying both commutation and anticommutation relations. This algebra can also be obtained from the quantum algebra $sl_q(2)$ by taking the limit $q \rightarrow -1$ and is furthermore the dynamical algebra of a parabosonic oscillator [10, 13]. Here we consider the Racah problem for this algebra.

Recently, a series of orthogonal polynomials corresponding to limits $q \rightarrow -1$ of q -polynomials of the Askey scheme were discovered [19, 22, 25, 26]. These polynomials are eigenfunctions of operators of Dunkl type, which involve the reflection operator [7, 24]. Interestingly, these polynomials have also been related to Jordan anticommutator algebras [21]. In most references, so far, these $q = -1$ polynomials have been left buried in the standard classifications. In view of their bispectrality and remarkable properties, a -1 scheme would deserve to be highlighted.

At the top of the discrete variable branch of this $q = -1$ class of polynomials lie the Bannai-Ito (BI) polynomials [2] and their kernel partners, the complementary Bannai-Ito polynomials [22]. Both sets depend on four parameters and are expressible in terms of Wilson polynomials [2, 12, 22]. The BI polynomials possess the Leonard duality property, which in fact led to their initial discovery in [2]. In contradistinction, the complementary BI polynomials and their descendants, the dual $q = -1$ Hahn polynomials [19], are also bispectral but fall beyond the scope of the Leonard duality.

The Clebsch-Gordan problem for $sl_{-1}(2)$ was first solved in [20]; it was shown that the coupling coefficients for two $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras, also called Clebsch-Gordan or Wigner coefficients, are proportional to the dual $q = -1$ Hahn polynomials [19]. In this paper, we investigate the Racah problem for $sl_{-1}(2)$, which is tantamount to finding the coupling coefficients for three parabosonic oscillators. It is shown

Received by the editors May 18, 2012 and, in revised form, May 31, 2012 and June 13, 2012.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16T05, 17B80, 33C45, 33C47, 81R05.

that these coefficients are also expressed in terms of $q = -1$ polynomials, in this case the Bannai-Ito polynomials. Our approach consists of constructing the Jordan algebra of the intermediary Casimir operators that appear in the coproduct [6] of three $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras; this anticommutator algebra coincides with the Bannai-Ito algebra [22], a special case of the Askey-Wilson algebra introduced in [27]. The two Casimir operators are then shown to form a Leonard pair [3, 5, 11, 16–18], an observation which allows us to recover the recurrence relation of the Bannai-Ito polynomials for the overlap (Racah) coefficients.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 1, we recall the definition of the $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebra, its irreducible representations and its coproduct structure. We also provide a review of the theory of the Bannai-Ito polynomials and go over the basics of Leonard pairs and the corresponding Askey-Wilson relations [16, 23]. In section 2, we review the Clebsch-Gordan problem for the parabolic algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$. In section 3, we show that the intermediary Casimir operators (K_1, K_2) of the sum of three $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras form the Bannai-Ito algebra. In section 4, the operators (K_1, K_2) are re-expressed as a Leonard pair which is used to recover the recurrence relation satisfied by the overlap coefficients (Racah) coefficients. The exact expression for the Racah coefficients is finally obtained up to a phase factor using the orthogonality relation of the BI polynomials. In section 5, we discuss the degenerate case of the Bannai-Ito algebra corresponding to the anticommutator spin algebra [1, 3, 8, 14]. We conclude by explaining that the operators K_1 and K_2 , together with their anticommutator K_3 , form a Leonard triple. A different Racah problem, which involves modifying the addition rule of $sl_{-1}(2)$, is considered to that end.

1. THE $sl_{-1}(2)$ ALGEBRA, BANNAI-ITO POLYNOMIALS AND LEONARD PAIRS

1.1. $sl_{-1}(2)$ **essentials.** The Hopf algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$ [20] is generated by four operators J_0, J_+, J_- and R satisfying the relations

$$(1.1) \quad [J_0, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}, \quad [J_0, R] = 0, \quad \{J_+, J_-\} = 2J_0, \quad \{J_{\pm}, R\} = 0,$$

where $[x, y] = xy - yx$ and $\{x, y\} = xy + yx$. The operator R is an involution, which means that it satisfies the property

$$R^2 = \mathbf{id},$$

where \mathbf{id} is the identity. The Casimir operator, which commutes with all $sl_{-1}(2)$ elements, is given by

$$(1.2) \quad Q = J_+ J_- R - J_0 R + R/2.$$

Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$ be two parameters; we denote by (ϵ, μ) the infinite-dimensional vector space spanned by the basis $|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, endowed with the actions

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_0|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle &= (n + \mu + 1/2)|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle, & R|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle &= \epsilon(-1)^n|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle, \\ J_+|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle &= \sqrt{[n+1]_{\mu}}|\epsilon; \mu; n+1\rangle, & J_-|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle &= \sqrt{[n]_{\mu}}|\epsilon; \mu; n-1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $[n]_{\mu}$ denotes the μ -number

$$(1.4) \quad [n]_{\mu} = n + \mu(1 - (-1)^n).$$

With the actions (1.3), the vector space (ϵ, μ) forms an irreducible $sl_{-1}(2)$ -module. On this module, the Casimir operator is a multiple of the identity

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{Q}|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle = -\epsilon\mu|\epsilon; \mu; n\rangle,$$

as expected from Schur’s lemma. On the space (ϵ, μ) , the algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$ is equivalent to the parabosonic oscillator algebra. Indeed, one has

$$[J_-, J_+] = \{J_-, J_+\} - 2J_+J_- = 2J_0 - 2J_+J_-.$$

Using the expression (1.2) for the Casimir operator and its action on vectors of (ϵ, μ) , we find

$$(1.6) \quad [J_-, J_+] = 1 + 2\epsilon\mu R.$$

The operators J_{\pm} satisfying the commutation relation (1.6), together with the operator R obeying the relations $R^2 = i\mathfrak{d}$ and $\{R, J_{\pm}\} = 0$, define the parabosonic oscillator algebra [6, 13, 15].

The algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$ admits a non-trivial addition rule, or coproduct. Let (ϵ_1, μ_1) and (ϵ_2, μ_2) be two $sl_{-1}(2)$ -modules. A third module can be obtained by taking the tensor product $(\epsilon_1, \mu_1) \otimes (\epsilon_2, \mu_2)$ equipped with the transformations

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} J_0(v \otimes w) &= (J_0v) \otimes w + v \otimes (J_0w), \\ J_{\pm}(v \otimes w) &= (J_{\pm}v) \otimes (Rw) + v \otimes (J_{\pm}w), \\ R(v \otimes w) &= (Rv) \otimes (Rw), \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in (\epsilon_1, \mu_1)$ and $w \in (\epsilon_2, \mu_2)$. The addition rule for $sl_{-1}(2)$ can also be presented without referring to any representation. Let $J_0^{(i)}, J_{\pm}^{(i)}$ and $R^{(i)}$ be two mutually commuting sets of $sl_{-1}(2)$ generators. A third algebra, denoted symbolically $3 = 1 \oplus 2$, is obtained by defining

$$(1.8) \quad J_0^{(3)} = J_0^{(1)} + J_0^{(2)}, \quad J_{\pm}^{(3)} = J_{\pm}^{(1)}R^{(2)} + J_{\pm}^{(2)}, \quad R^{(3)} = R^{(1)}R^{(2)}.$$

It is easily verified that the generators $J_0^{(3)}, J_{\pm}^{(3)}$ and $R^{(3)}$ satisfy the defining relations of $sl_{-1}(2)$ given in (1.1). The Casimir operator for the third algebra, denoted by \mathcal{Q}_{12} , is

$$(1.9) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{12} = J_+^{(3)}J_-^{(3)}R^{(3)} - J_0^{(3)}R^{(3)} + (1/2)R^{(3)}.$$

1.2. Bannai-Ito polynomials. Bannai and Ito discovered their polynomials in 1984 in their complete classification of orthogonal polynomials satisfying the Leonard duality property [2]. These polynomials were shown to be $q = -1$ limits of the q -Racah polynomials, and many of their properties (e.g. recurrence relation, weight function, hypergeometric representation) were given in their book [2]. Recently, it was shown in [22] that the Bannai-Ito polynomials also occur naturally as eigensolutions of Dunkl shift operators. In the following, we review some of the properties of the BI polynomials.

The monic BI polynomials satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(1.10) \quad P_{n+1}(x) + (\rho_1 - A_n - C_n)P_n(x) + A_{n-1}C_nP_{n-1}(x) = xP_n(x),$$

where

$$(1.11) \quad A_n = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+1+2\rho_1-2r_1)(n+1+2\rho_1-2r_2)}{4(n+1-r_1-r_2+\rho_1+\rho_2)}, & n \text{ even,} \\ \frac{(n+1-2r_1-2r_2+2\rho_1+2\rho_2)(n+1+2\rho_1+2\rho_2)}{4(n+1-r_1-r_2+\rho_1+\rho_2)}, & n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$(1.12) \quad C_n = \begin{cases} -\frac{n(n-2r_1-2r_2)}{4(n-r_1-r_2+\rho_1+\rho_2)}, & n \text{ even,} \\ -\frac{(n-2r_2+2\rho_2)(n-2r_1+2\rho_2)}{4(n-r_1-r_2+\rho_1+\rho_2)}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The polynomials satisfying (1.10) are called positive definite if $U_n = A_{n-1}C_n > 0$ for all n . This condition is also equivalent to the existence of a positive orthogonality measure for the polynomials $P_n(x)$. In the case of the BI polynomials, it is seen that this condition cannot be fulfilled for all values of n . However, if $U_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $U_{N+1} = 0$, it is known that one has a finite system of orthogonal polynomials $P_0(x), P_1(x), \dots, P_N(x)$ satisfying the discrete orthogonality relation

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{s=0}^N \omega_s(x_s)P_n(x_s)P_m(x_s) = h_n\delta_{nm}, \quad h_n = u_1, \dots, u_n,$$

on the lattice x_s , where $s = 0, 1, \dots, N$. The discrete points x_s are the simple roots of the polynomial $P_{N+1}(x)$ [4].

When N is an even integer, the truncation condition $U_{N+1} = 0$ is equivalent to one of the four possible conditions:

$$(1.14) \quad 2(r_i - \rho_k) = N + 1, \quad i, k = 1, 2.$$

The relevant case here is

$$(1.15) \quad 2(r_2 - \rho_1) = N + 1.$$

We introduce the following parametrization:

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\rho_1 &= (b + c), & 2\rho_2 &= (2a + b + c + N + 1), \\ 2r_1 &= (c - b), & 2r_2 &= (b + c + N + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where a, b and c are arbitrary positive parameters. Assuming (1.16), the coefficient U_n takes the form

$$(1.17) \quad U_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n(N+2c+1-n)(n+2a+2b)(n+2a+2b+2c+N+1)}{16(a+b+n)^2}, & n \text{ even,} \\ \frac{(N+1-n)(2a+n)(2b+n)(n+2a+2b+N+1)}{16(a+b+n)^2}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

From this expression, it is obvious that $U_{N+1} = 0$ and that the positivity condition $U_n > 0$ is satisfied for $n = 0, \dots, N$. With this parametrization, the Bannai-Ito polynomials obey the orthogonality relation

$$(1.18) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^N \Omega_\ell P_n(x_\ell)P_m(x_\ell) = \Phi_{N,n}\delta_{nm}.$$

The orthogonality grid is given by

$$(1.19) \quad x_\ell = \frac{1}{2} [(-1)^\ell(\ell + b + c + 1/2) - 1/2].$$

The weight function Ω_ℓ takes the form

$$(1.20) \quad \Omega_\ell = (-1)^q \frac{(-N/2)_{k+q}(1/2+b)_{k+q}(1+b+c)_k(3/2+a+b+c+N/2)_k}{(1/2+c)_{k+q}(1+b+c+N/2)_{k+q}(1/2-a-N/2)_k!},$$

where $\ell = 2k + q$ with $q = 0, 1$ and where $(x)_n = (x)(x + 1) \cdots (x + n - 1)$ stands for the Pochhammer symbol. Furthermore, the normalization factor $\Phi_{N,n}$ is found to be

$$(1.21) \quad \Phi_{N,n} = \frac{m!k!}{(m - k - q)!} \left[\frac{(1 + a + b + k)_{m-k}(1 + b + c)_m}{(1/2 + a + k + q)_{m-k-q}(1/2 + c)_{m-k}} \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{(1/2 + b)_{k+q}(m + 1 + a + b)_{k+q}(m + 3/2 + a + b + c)_k}{(k + 1 + a + b)_{k+q}^2} \right],$$

where $m = N/2$ and $n = 2k + q$ with $q = 0, 1$. The other truncation conditions in (1.14) can be treated similarly.

When N is an odd integer, the truncation condition $U_{N+1} = 0$ is equivalent to one of the three conditions

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} i) \quad \rho_1 + \rho_2 &= -\frac{N + 1}{2}, & ii) \quad r_1 + r_2 &= \frac{N + 1}{2}, \\ iii) \quad \rho_1 + \rho_2 - r_1 - r_2 &= -\frac{N + 1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Condition *iii*) leads to a singular U_n for $n = (N + 1)/2$. Consequently, only conditions *i*) and *ii*) are admissible. The relevant case here is

$$(1.23) \quad 2(\rho_1 + \rho_2) = -(N + 1).$$

We introduce the following parametrization:

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\rho_1 &= (\beta + \gamma), & 2\rho_2 &= -(\beta + \gamma + N + 1), \\ 2r_1 &= (\gamma - \beta), & 2r_2 &= -(2\alpha + \beta + \gamma + N + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where α, β and γ are arbitrary positive parameters. Assuming (1.24), the coefficient U_n becomes

$$(1.25) \quad U_n = \begin{cases} \frac{n(N+1-n)(n+2\alpha+2\beta)(n+2\alpha+2\beta+N+1)}{16(\alpha+\beta+n)^2}, & n \text{ even,} \\ \frac{(N+2\gamma+1-n)(2\alpha+n)(2\beta+n)(n+2\alpha+2\beta+2\gamma+N+1)}{16(\alpha+\beta+n)^2}, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this form, the truncation and positivity conditions are manifestly satisfied. With these parameters, the Bannai-Ito polynomials obey the orthogonality relation

$$(1.26) \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^N \Omega_\ell P_n(x_\ell) P_m(x_\ell) = \Phi_{N,n} \delta_{nm}.$$

The grid is given by

$$(1.27) \quad x_\ell = \frac{1}{2} [(-1)^\ell (\ell + \beta + \gamma + 1/2) - 1/2].$$

The weight function takes the form

$$(1.28) \quad \Omega_\ell = (-1)^q \frac{(\frac{1-N}{2})_k (\frac{1}{2} + \beta)_{k+q} (1 + \beta + \gamma)_k (1 + \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \frac{N}{2})_{k+q}}{(\frac{1}{2} + \gamma)_{k+q} (-\alpha - \frac{N}{2})_{k+q} (\frac{3}{2} + \beta + \gamma + \frac{N}{2})_k k!},$$

where $\ell = 2k + q$ with $q = 0, 1$ and the normalization factor can be evaluated to

$$(1.29) \quad \Phi_{N,n} = \frac{(m - 1)!k!}{(m - k - 1)!} \left[\frac{(1 + \alpha + \beta + k)_{m-k}(1 + \beta + \gamma)_m}{(1/2 + k + q + \alpha)_{m-k-q}(1/2 + \gamma)_{m-k-q}} \right] \\ \times \left[\frac{(1/2 + \beta)_{k+q}(m + 1 + \alpha + \beta)_k (m + 1/2 + \alpha + \beta + \gamma)_{k+q}}{(k + 1 + \alpha + \beta)_{k+q}^2} \right],$$

where $m = (N + 1)/2$ and $n = 2k + q$ with $q = 0, 1$.

The Bannai-Ito polynomials correspond to the limit $q \rightarrow -1$ of the classical Wilson polynomials and admit a hypergeometric representation. The truncated generalized hypergeometric series is defined by

$$(1.30) \quad {}_{p+1}F_q \left(\begin{matrix} -n, a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; x \right) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-n)_j (a_1)_j \cdots (a_p)_j}{(b_1)_j (b_2)_j \cdots (b_q)_j} \frac{x^j}{j!}.$$

We define

$$(1.31) \quad W_{2n}(x) = \kappa_n^{(1)} {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + g + 1, \rho_2 + x, \rho_2 - x \\ \rho_1 + \rho_2 + 1, \rho_2 - r_1 + \frac{1}{2}, \rho_2 - r_2 + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; 1 \right),$$

$$(1.32) \quad W_{2n+1}(x) = \kappa_n^{(2)} (x - \rho_2) {}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, n + g + 2, \rho_2 + 1 + x, \rho_2 + 1 - x \\ \rho_1 + \rho_2 + 2, \rho_2 - r_1 + \frac{3}{2}, \rho_2 - r_2 + \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; 1 \right),$$

with $g = \rho_1 + \rho_2 - r_1 - r_2$ and where the factors which ensure that the polynomials are monic are given by

$$(1.33) \quad \kappa_n^{(1)} = \frac{(1 + \rho_1 + \rho_2)_n (\rho_2 - r_1 + 1/2)_n (\rho_2 - r_2 + 1/2)_n}{(n + g + 1)_n},$$

$$(1.34) \quad \kappa_n^{(2)} = \frac{(2 + \rho_1 + \rho_2)_n (\rho_2 - r_1 + 3/2)_n (\rho_2 - r_2 + 3/2)_n}{(n + g + 2)_n}.$$

The monic BI polynomials have the following expression:

$$(1.35) \quad P_n(x) = W_n(x) - C_n W_{n-1}(x),$$

where C_n is given by (1.11).

1.3. Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations. Let V be a \mathbb{C} -vector space of dimension $N + 1$. A square matrix X is said to be *irreducible tridiagonal* if each of its non-zero entries lies on either the diagonal, sub-diagonal or super-diagonal and if each entry on the super-diagonal and sub-diagonal are non-zero. A *Leonard pair* on V is an ordered pair of linear transformations $(K_1, K_2) \in \text{End } V$ satisfying the following conditions [16]:

- There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing K_1 is diagonal and the matrix representing K_2 is irreducible tridiagonal.
- There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing K_2 is diagonal and the matrix representing K_1 is irreducible tridiagonal.

Leonard pairs have deep connections with orthogonal polynomials on finite grids and have also appeared in combinatorics [2, 16]. Given a Leonard pair (K_1, K_2) , it is known [18, 23, 27] that K_1, K_2 obey the so-called Askey-Wilson relations

$$(1.36) \quad \begin{aligned} K_1^2 K_2 - \beta K_1 K_2 K_1 + K_2 K_1^2 - \gamma_1 \{K_1, K_2\} - \rho_1 K_2 &= \gamma_2 K_1^2 + \omega K_1 + \eta_1 \mathfrak{d}, \\ K_2^2 K_1 - \beta K_2 K_1 K_2 + K_1 K_2^2 - \gamma_2 \{K_1 K_2\} - \rho_2 K_2 &= \gamma_1 K_1^2 + \omega K_1 + \eta_2 \mathfrak{d}, \end{aligned}$$

with scalars $\{\beta, \gamma_i, \eta_i, \rho_i\} \in \mathbb{C}$. These scalars are uniquely defined provided that the dimension of the vector space is at least 4. The converse is not always true. Indeed, if one sets $\beta = q + q^{-1}$ and q a root of unity, two linear transformations obeying relations (1.36) do not necessarily form a Leonard pair [17]. In the present work we will nonetheless obtain a Leonard pair satisfying the relations (1.36) with $q = -1$.

We briefly recall how orthogonal polynomials occur in this context. Consider a Leonard pair (K_1, K_2) on a vector space V of dimension $N + 1$. By definition, the

eigenvalues of K_1 and K_2 are mutually distinct. Denoting the eigenvalues of K_1 by $\lambda_i^{(1)}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, there exists a basis of V in which the matrices representing K_1 and K_2 are of the form

$$(1.37) \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0^{(1)} & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \lambda_1^{(1)} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \lambda_N^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & c_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ x_0 & a_1 & c_2 & & \\ & x_1 & a_2 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & c_N \\ \mathbf{0} & & & x_{N-1} & a_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can define the sequence of polynomials p_i with $i = 0, \dots, N$ and initial condition $p_{-1} = 0$ satisfying the recurrence relation

$$(1.38) \quad y p_i(y) = c_{i+1} p_{i+1}(y) + a_i p_i(y) + x_{i-1} p_{i-1}(y).$$

The matrix $P_{ij} = p_i(\lambda_j^{(2)})$, where $\lambda_j^{(2)}$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, denotes the eigenvalues of K_2 , defines the similarity transformation which brings the matrix K_2 to its diagonal form. In physical terms, given a pair (K_1, K_2) of operators expressed in the form (1.37) acting on a state space, the polynomials defined by the recurrence relation (1.38) are the overlap coefficients between the bases in which either K_1 or K_2 is diagonal. For more details, see [16].

2. THE CLEBSCH-GORDAN PROBLEM

The Clebsch-Gordan (CG) problem of $sl_{-1}(2)$ has been solved in [20]. We recall here some of the results concerning this problem which shall prove useful.

The CG problem can be posited in the following way. We consider the $sl_{-1}(2)$ -module $(\epsilon_1, \mu_1) \otimes (\epsilon_2, \mu_2)$ or equivalently the addition of two $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras. It is seen that the operator $J_0^{(3)} = J_0^{(1)} + J_0^{(2)}$ has eigenvalues of the form $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + N + 1$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $|q_{12}, N\rangle$ the state with eigenvalue q_{12} of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_{12} and with a given value N of the total projection. We have

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{12}|q_{12}, N\rangle = q_{12}|q_{12}, N\rangle, \quad J_0^{(3)}|q_{12}, N\rangle = (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1 + N)|q_{12}, N\rangle.$$

In view of formula (1.5), the eigenvalues q_{12} of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_{12} can be decomposed as the product

$$(2.2) \quad q_{12} = -\epsilon_{12}\mu_{12}, \quad \epsilon_{12} = \pm 1, \quad \mu_{12} \geq 0,$$

whence we have $|q_{12}| = \mu_{12}$. The Casimir operator (1.9) of the added algebras can be re-expressed in terms of the local Casimir operators \mathcal{Q}_1 and \mathcal{Q}_2 in the following way:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{12} = \left(J_-^{(1)} J_+^{(2)} - J_+^{(1)} J_-^{(2)} \right) R^{(1)} - (1/2)R^{(1)}R^{(2)} + \mathcal{Q}_1 R^{(2)} + \mathcal{Q}_2 R^{(1)}.$$

The state $|q_{12}, N\rangle$ can be decomposed as a linear combination of the tensor product states

$$(2.4) \quad |q_{12}, N\rangle = \sum_{n_1+n_2=N} C_{n_1 n_2 N}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 q_{12}} |\epsilon_1, \mu_1, n_1\rangle \otimes |\epsilon_2, \mu_2, n_2\rangle.$$

The coefficients $C_{n_1 n_2 N}^{\mu_1 \mu_2 q_{12}}$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of the $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebra. We note that these coefficients vanish unless $n_1 + n_2 = N$ and that their dependence on ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 is implicit.

The possible values of the eigenvalues q_{12} of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_{12} are given by

$$(2.5) \quad q_{12} = (-1)^{s+1} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2 + s), \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

This result can be derived in the following way. In a given module (ϵ, μ) , the eigenvalues λ_{J_0} of J_0 are

$$(2.6) \quad \lambda_{J_0} = n - \epsilon \mathcal{Q} + 1/2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, for a given eigenvalue $\lambda_{J_0} > 0$ of J_0 , the eigenvalues q of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q} which are compatible with λ_{J_0} are, in absolute value,

$$(2.7) \quad |q| = |\lambda - 1/2|, |\lambda - 3/2|, \dots$$

When considering the coproduct of two $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras, the eigenvalues of $J_0^{(3)}$ are $\lambda^{(3)} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + N + 1$. Consequently, for a given value of N , the set of allowed values for the eigenvalues q_{12} of the Casimir \mathcal{Q}_{12} , which should be of cardinality $N + 1$, is

$$(2.8) \quad |q_{12}| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + N + 1/2, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + N - 1/2, \dots, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2.$$

Thus the admissible values of $\mu_{12} = |q_{12}|$ are given by the above set (2.8).

There remains for us to evaluate the corresponding values of ϵ_{12} . To that end, we consider the eigenstate $|x\rangle$ of \mathcal{Q}_{12} corresponding to the maximal value $\mu_{12})_{\max} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + N + 1/2$. It is seen that this state satisfies the properties

$$(2.9) \quad J_0^{(3)}|x\rangle = (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + N + 1)|x\rangle, \quad J_-^{(3)}|x\rangle = 0.$$

On one hand, it then follows from (2.9) and (1.3) that

$$(2.10) \quad R^{(3)}|x\rangle = \epsilon_{12})_{\max}|x\rangle,$$

where $\epsilon_{12})_{\max}$ is the value of ϵ_{12} corresponding to the maximal value of μ_{12} . On the other hand, it stems from (2.1) and (1.3) that

$$(2.11) \quad R^{(3)}|q_{12}, N\rangle = (-1)^N \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 |q_{12}, N\rangle.$$

We thus have $\epsilon_{12})_{\max} = (-1)^N \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2$. It follows that the eigenvalue q_{12} of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_{12} corresponding to the maximal value of $|q_{12}|$ is given by

$$(2.12) \quad q_{12} = (-1)^{N+1} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2 + N).$$

By induction on N , one is led to the announced form of the eigenvalues (2.5). The Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_{12} is tridiagonal in the tensor product basis. This allows us to obtain a recurrence relation for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients which, given the spectrum (2.5), is seen to coincide with that of the dual -1 Hahn polynomials [19, 20].

3. THE RACA H PROBLEM AND BANNAI-ITO ALGEBRA

The addition rule (1.8) possesses an associativity property when three $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras are added. We consider three mutually commuting sets of $sl_{-1}(2)$ generators, $J_0^{(j)}$, $J_{\pm}^{(j)}$ and $R^{(j)}$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$. The resulting fourth algebra can be obtained by two different addition sequences. Indeed, one has the two equivalent schemes $4 = (1 \oplus 2) \oplus 3$ and $4 = 1 \oplus (2 \oplus 3)$. The Racah problem consists of finding the overlap between the respective eigenstates of the intermediary Casimir operators \mathcal{Q}_{12} and \mathcal{Q}_{23} with a fixed eigenvalue q_4 of the total Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_4 .

Denoting such eigenstates by $|q_{12}; q_4, m\rangle$ and $|q_{23}; q_4, m\rangle$, the Racah coefficients are defined as

$$(3.1) \quad |q_{12}; q_4, m\rangle = \sum_{q_{23}} R_{q_{12}q_{23}\mu_4}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} |q_{23}; q_4, m\rangle,$$

where we have by definition

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{12}|q_{12}, q_4, m\rangle = q_{12}|q_{12}, q_4, m\rangle, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{23}|q_{23}, q_4, m\rangle = q_{23}|q_{23}, q_4, m\rangle.$$

We note that the Racah coefficients $R_{q_{12}q_{23}\mu_4}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}$ do not depend on the total projection number m and that their dependence on $\epsilon_i, i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$, is implicit. The problem of finding the overlap coefficients is non-trivial because the operators \mathcal{Q}_{12} and \mathcal{Q}_{23} do not commute; hence they cannot be simultaneously diagonalized. The two intermediary Casimir operators have the following expressions:

$$(3.3) \quad K_1 = \mathcal{Q}_{12} = \left(J_-^{(1)} J_+^{(2)} - J_+^{(1)} J_-^{(2)} \right) R^{(1)} - R^{(1)} R^{(2)} / 2 + \mathcal{Q}_1 R^{(2)} + \mathcal{Q}_2 R^{(1)},$$

$$(3.4) \quad K_2 = \mathcal{Q}_{23} = \left(J_-^{(2)} J_+^{(3)} - J_+^{(2)} J_-^{(3)} \right) R^{(2)} - R^{(2)} R^{(3)} / 2 + \mathcal{Q}_2 R^{(3)} + \mathcal{Q}_3 R^{(2)}.$$

The full Casimir operator of the fourth algebra \mathcal{Q}_4 can also be obtained in a straightforward manner; one finds

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{Q}_4 = \left(J_-^{(1)} J_+^{(3)} - J_+^{(1)} J_-^{(3)} \right) R^{(1)} - \mathcal{Q}_2 R^{(1)} R^{(3)} + \mathcal{Q}_{12} R^{(3)} + \mathcal{Q}_{23} R^{(1)}.$$

The paramount observation is that the operators K_1, K_2 are closed in frames of a simple algebra with three generators. To see this, one first defines

$$(3.6) \quad K_3 = (J_+^{(1)} J_-^{(3)} - J_-^{(1)} J_+^{(3)}) R^{(1)} R^{(2)} + R^{(1)} R^{(3)} / 2 - \mathcal{Q}_1 R^{(3)} - \mathcal{Q}_3 R^{(1)}.$$

Since the operators \mathcal{Q}_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ commute with K_1, K_2, K_3 and among themselves, we shall replace them by their corresponding eigenvalues $-\lambda_j$ where $\lambda_j = \epsilon_j \mu_j$. A direct computation shows that the following relations hold:

$$(3.7) \quad \{K_1, K_2\} = K_3 + \alpha_3, \quad \{K_2, K_3\} = K_1 + \alpha_1, \quad \{K_1, K_3\} = K_2 + \alpha_2,$$

where the structure constants are given by

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha_1 = -2(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4), \quad \alpha_2 = -2(\lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3), \quad \alpha_3 = 2(\lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_4).$$

Note that the first relation of (3.7) can be considered as a *definition* of K_3 . The algebra (3.7) is known as the Bannai-Ito algebra [22], which is, as will be seen below, a special case of the Askey-Wilson algebra (1.36). It admits the Casimir operator

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{BI} = K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2,$$

which commutes with all generators. Given the realization (3.8) of this algebra, the Casimir operator takes the value

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{BI} = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2 - 1/4.$$

We now look to construct irreducible BI-modules; the degree of these representations is prescribed by the range of possible eigenvalues of the operators \mathcal{Q}_{12} and \mathcal{Q}_{23} . For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case where ϵ_1, ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 are all equal to 1. The other cases can be treated in a similar fashion. It is worth mentioning that ϵ_4 cannot be fixed *a priori* and will in fact depend on the degree of the given module.

In the CG problem, the possible eigenvalues of q_{12} were determined by the value of the total projection operator. For the Racah problem, the overlap coefficients are

independent of the total projection and the spectrum of \mathcal{Q}_{12} is restricted only by the value of the total Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_4 . From (2.8), one finds that the minimal value of the absolute value of q_{12} is given by

$$(3.11) \quad |q_{12}|_{\min} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2.$$

In addition, in view of the addition scheme $4 = (1 \oplus 2) \oplus 3$, we have that the absolute values of the eigenvalues q_4 of the total Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_4 are of the form

$$(3.12) \quad |q_4| = |q_{12}| + \mu_3 + 1/2 + s_{12,3}, \quad s_{12,3} = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

where m is the total projection of the state $|q_{12}; q_4, m\rangle$. It is clear that for a given absolute value of $|q_4| = \mu_4$, the maximal value of $|q_{12}|$ corresponds to setting $s_{12,3} = 0$. It then follows that

$$(3.13) \quad |q_{12}|_{\max} = \mu_4 - \mu_3 - 1/2.$$

Considering finite-dimensional representations of degree $N + 1$, we find from (3.11) that the eigenvalues q_{12} of the Casimir \mathcal{Q}_{12} are of the form

$$(3.14) \quad |q_{12}| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 3/2, \dots, \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2 + N.$$

Using (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad N + 1 = \mu_4 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 - \mu_3.$$

The spectra of the intermediary Casimir operators \mathcal{Q}_{12} and \mathcal{Q}_{23} are thus given by

$$(3.16) \quad q_{12} = (-1)^{s_{12}+1}(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2 + s_{12}), \quad s_{12} = 0, \dots, N,$$

$$(3.17) \quad q_{23} = (-1)^{s_{23}+1}(\mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1/2 + s_{23}), \quad s_{23} = 0, \dots, N.$$

The parameter ϵ_4 is prescribed by the value of N . Indeed, from the CG problem it is known that the allowed eigenvalues q_4 of the Casimir operator \mathcal{Q}_4 are of the form

$$q_4 = (-1)^{k+1}(\mu_{12} + \mu_3 + 1/2 + k), \quad k = 0, \dots, m,$$

where m is the total projection. Taking into account condition (3.15), one finds

$$(3.18) \quad \epsilon_4 = (-1)^N.$$

Having found the explicit expressions for the spectra and dimension in terms of the representation parameters, the matrix representation of the BI algebra can be made explicit.

4. LEONARD PAIR AND RACAHA COEFFICIENTS

Let μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 be fixed (positive) representation parameters and N a positive integer as in (3.15). The operators K_1, K_2 are square matrices of dimension $N + 1$ which are easily seen to satisfy the following Askey-Wilson relations:

$$(4.1) \quad K_1^2 K_2 + 2K_1 K_2 K_1 + K_2 K_1^2 - K_2 = \kappa_3 K_1 + \kappa_2,$$

$$(4.2) \quad K_2^2 K_1 + 2K_2 K_1 K_2 + K_1 K_2^2 - K_1 = \kappa_3 K_2 + \kappa_1,$$

where the constants $\kappa_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, are given by

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa_1 &= -2(\mu_1 \mu_2 + \epsilon_4 \mu_3 \mu_4), \\ \kappa_2 &= -2(\mu_2 \mu_3 + \epsilon_4 \mu_1 \mu_4), \\ \kappa_3 &= 4(\mu_1 \mu_3 + \epsilon_4 \mu_2 \mu_4), \end{aligned}$$

with $\epsilon_4 = (-1)^N$. The matrix representing K_1 can be made diagonal with eigenvalues prescribed by (3.16) and (3.2). In this basis, it is easily seen that the relations (4.1) and (4.2) imply that K_2 must be irreducible tridiagonal. The pair (K_1, K_2) thus forms a Leonard pair. Consequently, there exists a basis in which the matrices K_1 and K_2 can be expressed as

$$(4.4) \quad K_1 = \text{diag}(\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N), \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ u_1 & b_1 & 1 & & & \\ & u_2 & b_2 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & b_{N-1} & 1 \\ & & & & u_N & b_N \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\theta_i = (-1)^{i+1}(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + 1/2 + i)$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$ and u_n, b_n are indeterminate. Imposing the relations (4.1) and (4.2) on the two operators and solving for b_n and u_n , one finds

$$(4.5) \quad -b_n = \begin{cases} \left((\mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1/2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{n(n + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 - \epsilon_4 \mu_4)}{(n + \mu_1 + \mu_2)} \right) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+1+2\mu_2)(n+1+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3-\epsilon_4\mu_4)}{(n+1+\mu_1+\mu_2)}, & \\ \left((\mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1/2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+2\mu_1)(n+\mu_1+\mu_2-\mu_3+\epsilon_4\mu_4)}{(n+\mu_1+\mu_2)} \right) & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+1+2\mu_1+2\mu_2)(n+1+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+\epsilon_4\mu_4)}{(n+1+\mu_1+\mu_2)}, & \end{cases}$$

$$(4.6) \quad u_n = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4} \frac{n(n+2\mu_1+2\mu_2)(n+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3+\epsilon_4\mu_4)(n+\mu_1+\mu_2-\mu_3-\epsilon_4\mu_4)}{(n+\mu_1+\mu_2)^2}, & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ -\frac{1}{4} \frac{(n+2\mu_2)(n+2\mu_1)(n+\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3-\epsilon_4\mu_4)(n+\mu_1+\mu_2-\mu_3+\epsilon_4\mu_4)}{(n+\mu_1+\mu_2)^2}, & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

The overlap coefficients of the bases in which either Q_{12} or Q_{23} is diagonal will thus be proportional to the monic polynomials $\tilde{P}_n(\theta_i^*)$ with $\theta_i^* = (-1)^{i+1}(\mu_2 + \mu_3 + 1/2 + i)$ which obey the recurrence relation

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{P}_{n+1}(x) + b_n \tilde{P}_n(x) + u_n \tilde{P}_{n-1}(x) = x \tilde{P}_n(x).$$

Defining $P_n(x) = (-2)^{-n} \tilde{P}_n(x)$, we recover the recurrence relation of the monic Bannai-Ito polynomials

$$(4.8) \quad P_{n+1}(x_s) + (\rho_1 - A_n - C_n)P_n(x_s) + A_{n-1}C_nP_n(x_s) = x_sP_n(x_s),$$

with $x_s = -\theta_s^*/2 - 1/4$ and where the identification with the Bannai-Ito parameters is

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_2 + \mu_3), & \rho_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 + \epsilon_4\mu_4), \\ r_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mu_3 - \mu_2), & r_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_4\mu_4 - \mu_1). \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients A_n and C_n are as defined in (1.11). The truncation conditions are the following. On the one hand, if N is even, we have

$$(4.10) \quad 2(r_2 - \rho_1) = N + 1,$$

as well as the identification $a = \mu_1, b = \mu_2$ and $c = \mu_3$. On the other hand, if N is odd, we have

$$(4.11) \quad 2(\rho_1 + \rho_2) = -(N + 1),$$

and the identification $\alpha = \mu_1, \beta = \mu_2$ and $\gamma = \mu_3$. It is seen that the Bannai-Ito grids (1.19) and (1.27) coincide, as expected, with the predicted spectrum of

the Casimir operator Q_{23} . To determine the normalization constant, we use the unitarity of the transformation which imposes the following orthogonality relation for Racah coefficients:

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{q_{23}} R_{qq_{23}\mu_4}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} R_{q'q_{23}\mu_4}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = \delta_{qq'}.$$

Using the relations (1.18), (1.26) and (4.12), we obtain

$$(4.13) \quad R_{q_{12}q_{23}\mu_4}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_\ell(x_\ell)}{\Phi_{N,n}}} P_n(\rho_1, \rho_2, r_1, r_2; x_\ell),$$

where $P_n(\rho_1, \rho_2, r_1, r_2; x_\ell)$ is the monic Bannai-Ito polynomial. In addition, we have

$$(4.14) \quad x_\ell = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_\ell^* + 1/2), \quad \ell = |q_{23}| - \mu_2 - \mu_3 - 1/2, \quad n = |q_{12}| - \mu_1 - \mu_2 - 1/2,$$

along with the identifications (3.15), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11). The Racah coefficients (4.13) are thus determined up to a phase factor. Returning to the Bannai-Ito algebra (3.7), it is seen that the realization (3.8) is invariant under the permutations $\pi_1 = (12)(34)$, $\pi_2 = (13)(24)$ and $\pi_3 = (14)(23)$ of the representation parameters $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$. These transformations generate the Klein four-group. In addition, the operation $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\lambda_i$ also leaves (3.7) and (3.8) invariant.

5. THE RACA H PROBLEM FOR THE ADDITION OF ORDINARY OSCILLATORS

When $\mu = 0$, the $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebra reduces to the Heisenberg oscillator algebra endowed however with a non-trivial coproduct. Therefore, the algebra obtained from the Hopf addition rule (1.8) of two $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras with $\mu_i = 0$ is not as a result a pure oscillator algebra, but a parabosonic algebra. The same assertion holds for the addition of three $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras with Casimir parameters μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 all equal to zero. This corresponds to adding three pure oscillator algebras with the addition rule (1.8). Due to the importance of the oscillator algebra, it is worth recording this reduction in some detail. Most algebraic results connected to this skewed addition of three quantum harmonic oscillators have interestingly been obtained previously in [1, 3, 8, 14]. In the case $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$, the Bannai-Ito (3.7) algebra becomes

$$(5.1) \quad \{K_1, K_2\} = K_3, \quad \{K_2, K_3\} = K_1, \quad \{K_1, K_3\} = K_2.$$

This algebra can be seen as an anticommutator version of the classical $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ Lie algebra. The Askey-Wilson relations simplify to

$$(5.2) \quad K_1^2 K_2 + 2K_1 K_2 K_1 + K_2 K_1^2 - K_2 = 0,$$

$$(5.3) \quad K_2^2 K_1 + 2K_2 K_1 K_2 + K_1 K_2^2 - K_1 = 0.$$

The spectra of the operators K_1 and K_2 are then given by the formula

$$(5.4) \quad \theta_i = (-1)^{i+1}(i + 1/2).$$

Moreover, the degree of the module is $N + 1 = \mu_4$ with $\epsilon_4 = (-1)^N$. With these observations, the pair (K_1, K_2) again forms a Leonard pair. The matrices K_1 and K_2 can thus be put in the form

$$(5.5) \quad K_1 = \text{diag}(\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_N), \quad K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & 1 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ u_1 & b_1 & 1 & & & & \\ & u_2 & b_2 & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & b_{N-1} & 1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & u_N & b_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, solving for the coefficients b_n and u_n yields on the one hand

$$(5.6) \quad b_0 = -(N + 1)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 0,$$

and on the other hand

$$(5.7) \quad u_n = \frac{(n + N + 1)(N + 1 - n)}{4}.$$

The positivity and truncation conditions $u_n > 0$ and u_{N+1} are manifestly satisfied here. As expected, the obtained sequences $\{b_n\}$, $\{u_n\}$ correspond to the specializations $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$ of the formulas (4.5) and (4.6). Similarly to the Bannai-Ito case, the similarity transformation bringing K_2 into its diagonal form can be constructed with the Bannai-Ito polynomials reduced with the parametrizations $a = 0$, $b = 0$ and $c = 0$ in the N even case and $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0$ in the N odd case. The explicit hypergeometric representation (1.35) of the corresponding polynomials, the weight functions (1.20), (1.28), as well as the normalization constants, can be imported directly without need of a limiting procedure.

CONCLUSION: THE LEONARD TRIPLE

We considered the Racah problem for the algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$ which acts as the dynamical algebra for a *parabosonic oscillator* and showed that the algebra of the intermediary Casimir operators coincides with the Bannai-Ito algebra. From the knowledge of the Clebsch-Gordan problem, the spectra of the Casimir operators were determined, and this allowed us to build the relevant finite-dimensional modules for the BI algebra. It was then recognized that the operators $\mathcal{Q}_{12} = K_1$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{23} = K_2$ form a Leonard pair, and this observation was used to see that the overlap (Racah) coefficients are given in terms of the Bannai-Ito polynomials.

As is manifest from (3.7), the Bannai-Ito algebra has a Z_3 symmetry with respect to a relabeling of the operators K_i with $i = 1, 2, 3$. However, the Racah problem considered here provides a specific realization of the BI algebra in terms of the distinct operators \mathcal{Q}_{12} , \mathcal{Q}_{23} and K_3 , for which this symmetry is not present. In this regard, it is natural to ask whether there exists a situation for which it is the pair (K_2, K_3) or (K_1, K_3) that is realized by intermediate Casimir operators. This question can be answered by considering the Racah problem for the addition of three $sl_{-1}(2)$ algebras with different addition rules that lead to a fourth algebra that has nevertheless the same total Casimir \mathcal{Q}_4 . The first intermediate algebra $(31) = \tilde{3} \oplus \tilde{1}$ is obtained by defining

$$(5.8) \quad J_0^{(31)} = J_0^{(1)} + J_0^{(3)}, \quad J_{\pm}^{(31)} = J_{\pm}^{(1)} R^{(3)} + J_{\pm}^{(3)} R^{(2)}, \quad R^{(31)} = R^{(1)} R^{(3)},$$

which differs from the original coproduct by the presence of $R^{(2)}$. Note that (5.8) implicitly uses (ϵ_2, μ_2) as an auxiliary space. The intermediate Casimir operator \widetilde{Q}_{13} is then found to coincide with the negative of K_3 as defined in (3.6):

$$\widetilde{Q}_{31} = -K_3.$$

A second intermediate Casimir operator is obtained by using the standard coproduct (1.8) in two ways: one forms the algebra (12) for which $\widetilde{Q}_{12} = K_1$, or one forms the algebra (23) for which $\widetilde{Q}_{23} = K_2$. To ensure consistency, as mentioned before, the full Casimir operator of the fourth algebra (4) = $(31) \oplus (2)$ should coincide with (3.5). This is done by defining

$$(5.9) \quad J_0^{(4)} = J_0^{(31)} + J_0^{(2)}, \quad J_{\pm}^{(4)} = J_{\pm}^{(31)} R^{(2)} + J_{\pm}^{(2)} R^{(3)}, \quad R^{(4)} = R^{(31)} R^{(2)}.$$

It is readily seen that the generators defined in (5.8) and (5.9) satisfy the defining relations (1.1) of $sl_{-1}(2)$. This fourth algebra is easily seen to admit the same full Casimir operator (3.5). Defining $\widetilde{K}_3 = -\widetilde{Q}_{31}$, $\widetilde{K}_1 = K_1$ and $\widetilde{K}_2 = K_2$, the algebra (3.7) is recovered, with the pair $(\widetilde{K}_1, \widetilde{K}_3)$ or $(\widetilde{K}_2, \widetilde{K}_3)$ playing the role of the intermediate Casimir operators. The steps of sections 3 and 4 can then be reproduced, and this leads one to conclude that K_3 also has a Bannai-Ito type spectrum $\lambda_i^{(3)} = (-1)^i(\mu_1 + \mu_3 + 1/2 + i)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$, and that (K_2, K_3) and (K_1, K_3) form Leonard pairs. In addition, it follows from this observation that in the realization (3.8) of the Bannai-Ito algebra (3.7) obtained from the operators (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6), the set (K_1, K_2, K_3) constitutes a *Leonard Triple*, which have been studied intensively for the q -Racah scheme in [5, 11].

In the case of the algebras $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $sl_q(2)$, it is known that the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients can be obtained from the Racah coefficients in a proper limit. It is not so with the algebra $sl_{-1}(2)$. Indeed, the dual -1 Hahn polynomials are beyond the Leonard duality and do not occur as limits of the Bannai-Ito polynomials. Furthermore, the question of the symmetry algebra underlying the Clebsch-Gordan problem for $sl_{-1}(2)$ remains open. We plan to report on this elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author holds a scholarship from Fonds de recherche du Québec-Nature et technologies (FQRNT). The research of the second author is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada. The third author wishes to thank the Centre de Recherches Mathématiques (CRM) for the hospitality extended to him.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Arik and U. Kayserilioglu, *The anticommutator spin algebra, its representations and quantum group invariance*, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A **18** (2003), no. 27, 5039–5045, DOI 10.1142/S0217751X03015933. MR2020304 (2004j:81072)
- [2] Eiichi Bannai and Tatsuuro Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics. I. Association schemes*, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co. Inc., Menlo Park, CA, 1984. MR882540 (87m:05001)
- [3] G.M.F. Brown. Totally bipartite/abipartite Leonard pairs and Leonard triples of Bannai/Ito type, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **26** (2013), 258–299. MR3084644
- [4] T. S. Chihara, *An introduction to orthogonal polynomials*, Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1978. MR0481884 (58 #1979)

- [5] Brian Curtin, *Modular Leonard triples*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), no. 2-3, 510–539, DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2007.02.024. MR2329491 (2008d:16045)
- [6] C. Daskaloyannis, K. Kanakoglou, and I. Tsohantjis, *Hopf algebraic structure of the parabosonic and parafermionic algebras and paraparticle generalization of the Jordan-Schwinger map*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), no. 2, 652–660, DOI 10.1063/1.533157. MR1737022 (2000m:17012)
- [7] Charles F. Dunkl, *Orthogonal polynomials of types A and B and related Calogero models*, Comm. Math. Phys. **197** (1998), no. 2, 451–487, DOI 10.1007/s002200050460. MR1652751 (2000a:33021)
- [8] M. F. Gorodniĭ and G. B. Podkolzin, *Irreducible representations of graded Lie algebras* (Russian), Spectral theory of operators and infinite-dimensional analysis, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Inst. Mat., Kiev, 1984, pp. 66–77, iii. MR817219 (87c:17015)
- [9] Y. I. Granovskii and A. S. Zhedanov, *Hidden symmetry of the Racah and Clebsch-Gordan problems for the quantum algebra $sl_q(2)$* . arXiv:hep-th/9304138, 1993.
- [10] H. S. Green, *A generalized method of field quantization*, Physical Rev. (2) **90** (1953), 270–273. MR0055215 (14,1046c)
- [11] H.W. Huang, *The classification of Leonard Triples of QRacah type*. Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 1442–1472. MR2890930
- [12] Roelof Koekoek, Peter A. Lesky, and René F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q -analogues*, with a foreword by Tom H. Koornwinder, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010. MR2656096 (2011e:33029)
- [13] N. Mukunda, E. C. G. Sudarshan, J. K. Sharma, and C. L. Mehta, *Representations and properties of para-Bose oscillator operators. I. Energy position and momentum eigenstates*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), no. 9, 2386–2394, DOI 10.1063/1.524695. MR585590 (81k:81033)
- [14] V. L. Ostrovskii and S. D. Sil'vestrov, *Representations of real forms of the graded analogue of a Lie algebra* (Russian, with Russian and Ukrainian summaries), Ukraïn. Mat. Zh. **44** (1992), no. 11, 1518–1524, DOI 10.1007/BF01071514; English transl., Ukrainian Math. J. **44** (1992), no. 11, 1395–1401 (1993). MR1213895 (94c:17042)
- [15] M. Rosenblum, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus*. In *Non Self-Adjoint Operators and Related Topics* (Beer Sheva, 1992), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 73, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994. MR1320555 (96b:33005)
- [16] Paul Terwilliger, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other*, Linear Algebra Appl. **330** (2001), no. 1-3, 149–203, DOI 10.1016/S0024-3795(01)00242-7. MR1826654 (2002h:15021)
- [17] Paul Terwilliger, *Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations*, Physics and combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 377–398, DOI 10.1142/9789812810199_0013. MR1865045 (2003a:16044)
- [18] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas, *Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations*, J. Algebra Appl. **3** (2004), no. 4, 411–426. MR2114417 (2005k:05247)
- [19] S. Tsujimoto, L. Vinet, and A. Zhedanov, *Dual -1 Hahn polynomials: “classical” polynomials beyond the Leonard duality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 3, 959–970. MR3003688
- [20] Satoshi Tsujimoto, Luc Vinet, and Alexei Zhedanov, *From $sl_q(2)$ to a parabosonic Hopf algebra*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), Paper 093, 13. MR2861183
- [21] Satoshi Tsujimoto, Luc Vinet, and Alexei Zhedanov, *Jordan algebras and orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), no. 10, 103512, 8, DOI 10.1063/1.3653482. MR2894610
- [22] Satoshi Tsujimoto, Luc Vinet, and Alexei Zhedanov, *Dunkl shift operators and Bannai-Ito polynomials*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 4, 2123–2158, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2011.12.020. MR2880217
- [23] Raimundas Vidūnas, *Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), no. 4, 479–495, DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2007.03.037. MR2376472 (2009b:33026)
- [24] Luc Vinet and Alexei Zhedanov, *A Bochner theorem for Dunkl polynomials*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **7** (2011), Paper 020, 9, DOI 10.3842/SIGMA.2011.020. MR2804576 (2012f:33014)
- [25] L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, *A limit $q = -1$ for big q -Jacobi polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 10, 5491–5507. MR2931336

- [26] Luc Vinet and Alexei Zhedanov, *A ‘missing’ family of classical orthogonal polynomials*, J. Phys. A **44** (2011), no. 8, 085201, 16, DOI 10.1088/1751-8113/44/8/085201. MR2770369 (2012c:42065)
- [27] A. S. Zhedanov, *“Hidden symmetry” of Askey-Wilson polynomials* (Russian, with English summary), Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **89** (1991), no. 2, 190–204, DOI 10.1007/BF01015906; English transl., Theoret. and Math. Phys. **89** (1991), no. 2, 1146–1157 (1992). MR1151381 (93f:33019)

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, C.P. 6128, SUCCURSALE CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, CANADA, H3C 3J7

E-mail address: `genestvi@crm.umontreal.ca`

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, C.P. 6128, SUCCURSALE CENTRE-VILLE, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, CANADA, H3C 3J7

E-mail address: `luc.vinet@umontreal.ca`

DONETSK INSTITUTE FOR PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, DONETSK 83114, UKRAINE

E-mail address: `zhedanov@yahoo.com`