

ON THE BERGMAN KERNEL AND BIHOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS OF PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

BY CHARLES FEFFERMAN

Communicated by E. M. Stein, October 30, 1973

THEOREM 1. *Let $D_1, D_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be strictly pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundaries and suppose that $F: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is biholomorphic (i.e., F is an analytic homeomorphism). Then F extends to a diffeomorphism of the closures, $\bar{F}: \bar{D}_1 \rightarrow \bar{D}_2$.*

The main idea in proving Theorem 1 is to study the boundary behavior of geodesics in the Bergman metrics (see [2]) of D_1 and D_2 . To do so, we use a rather explicit formula for the Bergman kernels of D_1 and D_2 . We begin with a few definitions. Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \psi(z) > 0\}$ be a strictly pseudoconvex domain, where $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfies $\text{grad } \psi \neq 0$ on ∂D .

(1) Let $\mathcal{L}(\omega)$ denote the Levi form, i.e. the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{L}(\omega) dz \bar{d}z = \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2(-\psi)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \Big|_{\omega} dz_j \bar{d}z_k$$

restricted to the subspace $\{dz \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum_j (\partial\psi/\partial z_j)|_{\omega} dz_j = 0\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n .

(2) For $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in D$, set $\rho(\omega_1, \omega_2) = |\omega_1 - \omega_2|^2 + |(\omega_2 - \omega_1) \cdot (\partial\psi/\partial\omega)|_{\omega_1}|$. (See [2] again.)

(3) A smooth function φ defined on $\bar{D} \times \bar{D}$ has *weight* k (where $k \geq 0$ is an integer or half-integer) if the following estimate holds.

$$|\varphi(\omega_1, \omega_2)| \leq C(\psi(\omega_1) + \psi(\omega_2) + \rho(\omega_1, \omega_2))^k$$

(4) Set

$$\begin{aligned} X(z, \omega) &= \psi(\omega) + \sum_j \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\omega_j} \Big|_{\omega} (z_j - \omega_j) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\omega_j \partial\omega_k} \Big|_{\omega} (z_j - \omega_j)(z_k - \omega_k). \end{aligned}$$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 32F15, 32H10; Secondary 32H15.

Key words and phrases. Pseudoconvex domains, Bergman kernel function, biholomorphic mapping, Levi form, Bergman metric, boundary behavior of mappings.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974

Elementary calculations show that $X(z, \omega)$ has weight 1, and that $|X(z, \omega)| \geq c(\psi(z) + \psi(\omega) + \rho(z, \omega))$ in a region of the form $R_\delta = \{(z, \omega) \in \bar{D} \times \bar{D} \mid \psi(z) + \psi(\omega) + |z - \omega| < \delta\}$.

THEOREM 2. *The Bergman kernel $K(z, \omega)$ for D has an asymptotic expansion*

$$(5) \quad K(z, \omega) \sim c |\text{grad } \psi(\omega)|^2 \det \mathcal{L}(\omega) X^{-(n+1)}(z, \omega) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j(z, \omega) X^{-m_j}(z, \omega) + \tilde{\varphi}(z, \omega) \log X(z, \omega),$$

where c is a constant, φ_j and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are smooth functions, “log” denotes the principal branch of the logarithm on $\{\text{Re}(\zeta) > 0\}$, weight $(\varphi_j) - m_j \geq -n - \frac{1}{2}$, and weight $(\varphi_j) - m_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. The expansion (5) is valid in a region R_δ , and the symbol “ \sim ” means that for any integer k ,

$$K(z, \omega) - c |\text{grad } \psi(\omega)|^2 \det \mathcal{L}(\omega) X^{-(n+1)}(z, \omega) - \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(z, \omega) X^{-m_j}(z, \omega) - \tilde{\varphi}(z, \omega) \log X(z, \omega) \in C^k(\bar{R}_\delta)$$

for N large enough.

COROLLARY. $K(z, z) = \Phi(z) \psi^{-(n+1)}(z) + \tilde{\Phi}(z) \log \psi(z)$, where $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi} \in C^\infty(\bar{D})$ and $\Phi \neq 0$ near ∂D .

Although $\tilde{\Phi}$ vanishes on the unit ball, it can be nonzero, even on very smooth (say, real-analytic) domains.

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on an elementary fact.

LEMMA 1. *Given $p \in \partial D$, we can find a region \tilde{D} internally tangent to D to third order at p , and an explicit biholomorphic change of co-ordinates F mapping a neighborhood of p in D to a neighborhood of $\tilde{F}(p)$ in the unit ball.*

Once Lemma 1 is established, we can use \tilde{F} to pull the Bergman kernel from the unit ball back to \tilde{D} ; and since \tilde{D} so closely approximates D near p , we may hope that the (known) Bergman kernel for D provides a close approximation to the (unknown) Bergman kernel for \tilde{D} . Having thus obtained a candidate for an approximate Bergman kernel, we use a successive approximation procedure to prove (5).

Now we can attack Theorem 1 by using the corollary to Theorem 2 to make explicit differential-geometric calculations with the Bergman metric. We need two more definitions.

(6) For a fixed point $z^0 \in D$ and a unit vector $\omega \in S^{2n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, let $t \rightarrow \gamma(t, \omega, z^0)$ be the path of a particle moving with unit speed (in the Bergman metric) along the geodesic in D starting at $t=0$ at the point

z^0 and travelling in the direction ω . We say that $(z^0, \omega^0) \in D \times S^{2n-1}$ is *pseudotransversal* if the map $\omega \rightarrow \pi_{z^0}(\omega) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(t, \omega, z^0)$ is well defined for ω close to ω^0 in S^{2n-1} and provides a diffeomorphism of a small open neighborhood of $\omega^0 \in S^{2n-1}$ onto a small open neighborhood of $\pi_{z^0}(\omega) \in \partial D$.

(7) Let $t \rightarrow \gamma(t)$ be a geodesic in D , and define $\omega_\gamma(t) =$ the unit vector in the direction $d\gamma(t)/dt$. If $(\gamma(t), \omega_\gamma(t)) \in D \times S^{2n-1}$ is pseudotransversal for all t larger than some fixed T , then we call γ a *pseudotransversal geodesic*.

LEMMA 2. (a) *Every geodesic $\gamma(t)$ not remaining in a fixed compact subset of D for all $t \geq 0$ is pseudotransversal.*

(b) *Every point $p \in \partial D$ is $\pi_{z^0}(\omega^0)$ for a certain $(z^0, \omega^0) \in D \times S^{2n-1}$.*

Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of Lemma 2 and a result of Vormoor [1] which states that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1, F extends to a continuous mapping $\bar{F}: \bar{D}_1 \rightarrow \bar{D}_2$. For, given $p_1 \in \partial D_1$, we use Lemma 2(b) to find a geodesic $\gamma_1(t)$ in D_1 with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1(t) = p_1$. Since F is an isometry of Bergman metrics, the path $\gamma_2(t) = F(\gamma_1(t))$ is a geodesic in D_2 , and by Lemma 2(a), both γ_1 and γ_2 are pseudotransversal. Set $p_2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_2(t)$, and pick T so large that $(z_1, \omega_1) = (\gamma_1(T), \omega_{\gamma_1}(T))$ and $(z_2, \omega_2) = (\gamma_2(T), \omega_{\gamma_2}(T))$ are both pseudotransversal. Since the differential of F induces a diffeomorphism $(dF) \sim$ between the unit tangent vectors based at z_1 and those based at z_2 , we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n-1} & \xrightarrow{(dF) \sim} & S^{2n-1} \\ \pi_{z_1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{z_2} \\ \partial D_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & \partial D_2 \end{array}$$

where the maps π_{z_1} and π_{z_2} are defined in small neighborhoods of $\omega_1 = \pi_{z_1}^{-1}(p_1)$ and $\omega_2 = \pi_{z_2}^{-1}(p_2)$. All the maps in the diagram, except \bar{F} , are already known to be diffeomorphisms. Hence \bar{F} must also be a diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of p_1 to a neighborhood of p_2 , which proves Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. H. Grauert, Lecture given at a conference on several complex variables held in Paris, 1972.
2. E. M. Stein, *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.