



The bio.tools registry of software tools and data resources for the life sciences

Ison, Jon; Ienasescu, Hans; Chmura, Piotr Jaroslaw; Rydza, Emil Karol; Menager, Herve; Kalaš, Matuš; Schwammle, Veit; Gruning, Björn; Beard, Niall; Lopez, Rodrigo

Total number of authors:
36

Published in:
Genome Biology

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1186/s13059-019-1772-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1772-6)

Publication date:
2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Ison, J., Ienasescu, H., Chmura, P. J., Rydza, E. K., Menager, H., Kalaš, M., Schwammle, V., Gruning, B., Beard, N., Lopez, R., Duvaud, S., Stockinger, H., Persson, B., Vaekova, R. S., Raek, T., Vondrašek, J., Peterson, H., Salumets, A., Jonassen, I., ... Brunak, S. (2019). The bio.tools registry of software tools and data resources for the life sciences. *Genome Biology*, 20(1), [164]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1772-6>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

OPEN LETTER

Open Access



The *bio.tools* registry of software tools and data resources for the life sciences

Jon Ison^{1*} , Hans Ienasescu¹, Piotr Chmura², Emil Rydza², Hervé Ménager³, Matúš Kalaš⁴, Veit Schwämmle⁵, Björn Grüning⁶, Niall Beard⁷, Rodrigo Lopez⁸, Severine Duvaud⁹, Heinz Stockinger⁹, Bengt Persson¹⁰, Radka Svobodová Vařeková¹¹, Tomáš Raček¹¹, Jiří Vondrášek¹², Hedi Peterson¹³, Ahto Salumets¹³, Inge Jonassen⁴, Rob Hooft¹⁴, Tommi Nyrönen¹⁵, Alfonso Valencia^{16,17}, Salvador Capella¹⁶, Josep Gelpi^{16,18}, Federico Zambelli^{19,20}, Babis Savakis²¹, Brane Leskošek²², Kristoffer Rapacki¹, Christophe Blanchet²³, Rafael Jimenez²⁴, Arlindo Oliveira²⁵, Gert Vriend²⁶, Olivier Collin²⁷, Jacques van Helden²⁸, Peter Løngreen¹ and Søren Brunak^{2,29}

Abstract

Bioinformaticians and biologists rely increasingly upon workflows for the flexible utilization of the many life science tools that are needed to optimally convert data into knowledge. We outline a pan-European enterprise to provide a catalogue (<https://bio.tools>) of tools and databases that can be used in these workflows. *bio.tools* not only lists where to find resources, but also provides a wide variety of practical information.

A myriad of providers - from individual scientists to large service organizations - have created thousands of databases and tools, serving a dynamic domain spanning biology, biotechnology and medicine. Scholars must contend with intrinsically complex biological data, integrated into hundreds of data formats for analysis by a vast array of methods and diverse types of software, deployments and interfaces. Developments are often ad hoc, and in the absence of a source of unified information, it is not easy to assess the scope and compatibility of new resources in context of global offerings. For example, software may lack a formalized description of its scientific and technical function, and the absence of persistent, unique tool identifiers confounds reliable citation and reproducibility of analyses. There are significant barriers to find and connect the right tools among a multitude of possibilities, making the work of the bioinformatician - developing practical workflows for scientific discovery - far from trivial.

Since the 1980s various initiatives, at a local or more global level, have catalogued bioinformatics resources to advertise their wares and guide scientists in their choices. Early single investigator initiatives include the famous Pedro's List of weblinks and Gunnar von Heijne's 1987 book 'Sequence Analysis in Molecular Biology: Treasure Trove or Trivial Pursuit' [1]. Contemporary examples include international service providers [2], laboratories (<https://www.rostlab.org/>), software suites (<https://www.bioconductor.org/>), deployment solutions [3, 4], scientific publishers [5], WIKIs such as msutils.org, and online catalogues [6] including commercial offerings such as from omicX (<https://omictools.com/>) and open lists such as from the BIG Data Center initiative (<https://bigd.big.ac.cn/tools>) based in Beijing. Such collections serve their communities well, but when taken as a corpus of information about tools in general, present a fragmented information landscape, with much redundancy. Owing to a lack of commonly adopted information standards, it can be difficult to understand what is available and compare different approaches to the same problem. Web search engines like Google provide the entry point for searches, but yield results reflecting mostly historic prevalences, insufficiently structured to allow ready comparison. Thus, in an era of highly efficient Web searches generally, barriers remain to the efficient utilization of bioinformatics resources, with continued use of suboptimal offerings, slow uptake of new tools and reinvention of existing functions.

A practical first step [7] towards a sustainable and unified resource registry engaged enthusiastic individuals from the spectrum of European bioinformatics, to share and maintain information about resources within their scope. This effort is now joined by the 22 nodes of

* Correspondence: jison@bioinformatics.dtu.dk

¹National Life Science Supercomputing Center, Technical University of Denmark, Building 208, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



ELIXIR (<https://www.elixir-europe.org/>), the European Infrastructure for Biological Information. Our aims include:

1. scientists can find, understand and compare tools for computational experiments, and access the wealth of data resources
2. bioinformaticians have clues about compatibility of tools with various data types and formats, thus, what might readily be chained into functional workflows
3. developers can find and assess implementations of desired functionality, encouraging reuse and repurposing over reinvention
4. end-users can easily find supplementary information, such as benchmarking results or training courses
5. facility managers can see the status (emerging, mature or legacy) of a resource, including licensing, and assess its applications and technical performance during service design
6. funders and reviewers have an overview of productions at various hierarchical levels such as individual, institutional or even national
7. tool developers and service providers can contribute to the registry in simple but effective ways
8. information about the legacy of resource developments does not get lost

Fulfillment of these aims requires upkeep of a high quality, non-redundant corpus of information, that is integrated with deployment solutions, scientific literature and pertinent activities including benchmarking, monitoring and training, and which can adapt to the bioinformatics landscape of tomorrow. The burden is therefore onerous. Developers and providers are best placed - and motivated - to document their own productions, but given the complex landscape they require sustained coordination and support. They have been left alone in this critical activity, and it is no surprise that a unified and enduring catalogue has remained an elusive goal. A major community-driven effort is required, sustained by long term institutional commitments. ELIXIR, as the linchpin of a network of diverse research infrastructures, is ideally positioned to promote a common strategy and deliver a portal that is broadly relevant across a range of disciplines and user groups.

Our portal (<https://bio.tools>), which has developed steadily over 5 years, now includes over 250,000 annotations on some 12,000 resources. All types of application software are within scope, across all life science domains globally. This includes everything from simple command-line tools and Web applications, to databases, workflows and integrated workbenches. Most entries describe open source or freely

accessible tools with straightforward functions, which are therefore readily combinable into functional workflows. Accessions are assigned a unique tool identifier: a manually verified, URL-safe version of the supplied tool name. When used in combination with a version label assigned by a developer, the tool IDs provide a pragmatic means to cite and trace software, especially in the absence of a traditional publication. The IDs are used in persistent *bio.tools* URLs, resolving to Tool Cards of essential information. *bio.tools* mandates only bare-bones information (name, short description and homepage), whilst supporting rich description of 50 salient scientific, technical and administrative attributes. Resource descriptions must conform to rigorous semantics and syntax, defined in a formalized schema, *biotoolsSchema* (<https://github.com/bio-tools/biotoolsSchema>). Controlled vocabularies are used extensively, and provide concise, consistent and therefore comparable information, for the convenience of the user. For example, tools may be annotated with specific topics, operations, input and output data types and supported formats from the EDAM ontology [8]. Standard identifiers are used where possible, e.g. DOIs for publications, and verbose information, such as documentation or citation instructions, are referenced by URL. Hence, the dizzying complexity of bioinformatics software is reduced to collections of readily understandable functional units, put in scientific and technical context, including information to enable access and use. The aggregation and standardization of data under the portal can help end-users in very practical ways. Consider for example a biologist who is surveying recently published tools in a general scientific area, or for a specific computational task, and wants to identify those which are freely available for use. They can search *bio.tools* using specific EDAM topics and operations to quickly make a list of candidate tools and compare alternatives, drilling down to tools available under open license and with a recent publication. Without *bio.tools* they would need to manually search and browse a large number of web pages, ranging from software repositories (e.g. GitHub) to scientific literature resources (e.g. PubMed), which can be a time-consuming and difficult process.

The initiative upholds open science principles [9], and thus far has benefited from 1127 contributors from 422 domains. Contributions to date are mostly from Europe and the USA, which simply reflects *bio.tools'* European foundation and the high volume of American tools. There are, of course, vibrant bioinformatics communities all over the world, and we warmly welcome and encourage their participation. Direct curation assistance is available from the core *bio.tools* team, through collaboration with ELIXIR partners and at community-led workshops. The effort expected from providers is thus reduced to a relatively small and maintainable level, and we hope to attract and retain many new contributors and collaborators. Direct participation in the project and

re-use of the registry is strongly encouraged. Practical information describing how scientific communities and individual software developers can contribute are available online [10, 11]. Access to the portal is unrestricted and both the registry content and portal source code (<https://github.com/bio-tools/biotoolsRegistry/>) are freely available under open license (CC BY 4.0 and GPL-3.0 respectively).

We have summarized our vision and progress towards a solution of a global and major challenge: a uniform means by which to describe, publish, discover and cite bioinformatics resources. *bio.tools* is a step towards a central point of unified information, to avoid the rewriting of resource descriptions in so many different contexts. The current implementation upholds the FAIR data principles [12] and, with progressive development, will help make bioinformatics resources more findable and accessible, and somewhat more interoperable and reusable. To fully realize our vision, however, involves much ongoing work:

1. inclusion of information about online services, deployment solutions and supported data formats, to provide users with information about availability and uptime, and enable tool use and applications such as automated workflow composition [13].
2. ease the curation process, e.g. by curation tools [14], and utilities [15] to pull tool information from workbench environments such as Galaxy, or, where applicable, directly from code repositories such as GitHub, and by new linting utilities (e.g. <https://github.com/bio-tools/biotoolslint>) to identify and fix inconsistencies in annotations.
3. leverage specialized community efforts (<https://www.elixir-europe.org/communities>) and biomedical science research infrastructures internationally, to expand coverage and improve quality in areas such as proteomics, metabolomics and bioimaging.
4. stable metadata sharing mechanisms for institutional collections such as IFB tools (<https://www.france-bioinformatique.fr/en/services/tools>) and specialized registries such as BioContainers [16].
5. inclusion of Web APIs and services for accessing the multitude of biological databases, e.g. by developing systems [17] that leverage community standards such as OpenAPI (<https://www.openapis.org>).
6. expose quality metrics to provide a trustworthy and rational means for tool assessment, including scientific benchmarking of analytical tools and monitoring of service technical robustness, from platforms such as ELIXIR openEBench (<https://openebench.bsc.es>).
7. services [18] to combine and export *bio.tools* data with execution-layer information in specific workflow configuration formats such as used by Galaxy [19] or a generic one such as the Common Workflow Language (<https://www.commonwl.org/>).
8. more convenient and powerful interfaces and features for query formulation, searching and browsing.
9. enhancing the management of user profiles and crediting of contributions, e.g. using ELIXIR AAI [20] federated user identity management, which incorporates researcher identities such as ORCID (<https://orcid.org/>).
10. crosslink with portals such as ELIXIR TeSS [21] (training resources) and FAIRSharing [22] (data standards), in order to make navigation of the broader bioinformatics resource landscape more coherent and convenient

With community support, *bio.tools* can become a standard way to disseminate publicly-funded software development. The primary long-term challenge is to nurture the community around it and ensure the portal matches end-user requirements. Here, the anchoring within ELIXIR allows us to draw upon a coordinated, European-wide community of experts, including national service managers. Long-term support from these partners, and synergistic relationships with community projects and other major international initiatives, will sustain the portal in the long term, allowing for secure planning and investment. We welcome collaborations with all scholars on common goals, and encourage life scientists worldwide to join forces in a task that can greatly benefit the whole community.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Review history. (DOCX 20 kb)

Review history

The review history is available as Additional file 1.

Authors' contributions

Jl led the work described and prepared the manuscript. HI, ER and PC developed the *bio.tools* website. HI, HM, MK and VS contributed to the technical development of registry, its content and the EDAM ontology. SD, TR and AS contributed to the content. BG, NB, RJ, KR and GV contributed to the technical development of registry. RL, HS, BP, RSV, JV, HP, IJ, RH, TN, AV, SC, JG, FZ, BS, BL, CB, AO, OC, JvH and PL coordinated the European institutional contributions to the registry content and manuscript. *bio.tools* is coordinated on behalf of ELIXIR by the Danish ELIXIR Node under the leadership of SB. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We acknowledge with gratitude the support of our funders: The Danish Ministry of Higher Education and Science; ELIXIR-EXCELERATE under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement number 676559).

Availability of data and materials

The *bio.tools* content is freely available to all via the *bio.tools* API under the Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY 4.0). The source code of the registry is available under standard GPL 3.0 license from GitHub (<https://github.com/bio-tools/biotoolsRegistry/>) [23]. EDAM and biotoolsSchema are licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (CC BY-SA 4.0).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹National Life Science Supercomputing Center, Technical University of Denmark, Building 208, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark. ²Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark. ³Hub de Bioinformatique et de Biostatistiques, Institut Pasteur, C3BI USR, 3756 IP CNRS, Paris, France. ⁴Computational Biology Unit, Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, N-5020 Bergen, Norway. ⁵Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology and VILLUM Center for Bioanalytical Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark. ⁶Department of Computer Science, Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg, Georges-Köhler-Allee 106, 79110 Freiburg, Germany. ⁷School of Computer Science, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK. ⁸The EMBL-European Bioinformatics Institute, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SD, UK. ⁹SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Quartier Sorge - Batiment Amphipole, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁰Bioinformatics Infrastructure for Life Sciences, Science for Life Laboratory, Dept of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, S-75124 Uppsala, Sweden. ¹¹CEITEC - Central European Institute of Technology, Masaryk University Brno, Kamenice 5, 625 00 Brno-Bohunice, Czech Republic. ¹²Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, Flemingovo náměstí 2, 160 00 Prague, Czech Republic. ¹³ELIXIR-EE, Institute of Computer Science, University of Tartu. J Liivi 2, Tartu, Estonia. ¹⁴Dutch Techcentre for Life Sciences, Jaarbeursplein 6, 3521, AL, Utrecht, The Netherlands. ¹⁵CSC - IT Center for Science, PO BOX 405, FI-02101 Espoo, Finland. ¹⁶Barcelona Supercomputing Centre (BSC), 08034 Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁷Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Pg. Lluís Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁸Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biomedicine, University of Barcelona, INB / BSC-CNS, Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁹Institute of Biomembranes, Bioenergetics and Molecular Biotechnologies, National Research Council (CNR), via Amendola 165/A, Bari, Italy. ²⁰Department of Biosciences, University of Milano, Via Celoria 26, Milan, Italy. ²¹Biomedical Sciences Research Centre, Alexander Fleming 34 Al. Fleming Str, 16672 Vari, Greece. ²²Faculty of Medicine / ELIXIR-SI, University of Ljubljana, Vrazov trg 2, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ²³CNRS, UMS 3601, Institut Français de Bioinformatique, IFB-core, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, F-91000 Evry, France. ²⁴ELIXIR-Hub, Wellcome Trust Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SD, UK. ²⁵INESC-ID / Instituto Superior Técnico, R. Alves Redol 9, Lisbon, Portugal. ²⁶Radboud University Medical Centre, CMBI, Postbus 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, Netherlands. ²⁷Plateforme GenOuest Univ Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA, F-35000 Rennes, France. ²⁸Aix-Marseille Univ, INSERM, lab. Theory and Approaches of Genome Complexity (TAGC), Marseille, France. ²⁹Department of Bio and Health Informatics, Technical University of Denmark, Building 208, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark.

Received: 12 April 2019 Accepted: 22 July 2019

Published online: 12 August 2019

References

- Lesk AM. Sequence analysis in molecular biology; treasure trove or trivial pursuit. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 1988;13(10):410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0968-0004\(88\)90198-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0968-0004(88)90198-3).
- Park YM, et al. The EBI search engine: EBI search as a service—making biological data accessible for all. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(W1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx359>.
- Möller S, et al. Community-driven computational biology with Debian Linux. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2010;11(S12). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-s12-s5>.
- O'Connor BD, et al. The Dockstore: enabling modular, community-focused sharing of Docker-based genomics tools and workflows. *F1000Research.* 2017;6:52. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.10137.1>.
- Editorial: the 16th annual nucleic acids research web server issue 2018. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(W1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky518>.
- Artimo P, et al. ExPASy: SIB bioinformatics resource portal. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012;40(W1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks400>.
- Ison J, et al. Tools and data services registry: a community effort to document bioinformatics resources. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015;44(D1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1116>.
- Ison, Jon, et al. "EDAM: an ontology of bioinformatics operations, types of data and identifiers, topics and formats." *Bioinformatics*, vol. 29, no. 10, 2013, pp. 1325–1332. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt113>.
- Farnham A, et al. Early career researchers want Open Science. *Genome Biol.* 2017;18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1351-7>.
- Ison J, et al. Community curation of bioinformatics software and data resources. *Briefings Bioinformatics*(accepted). <https://doi.org/10.1093/briobio/bbz075>.
- bio.tools* documentation, <https://biotools.readthedocs.io/en/latest>. Accessed Aug 2019.
- Wise J, et al. Implementation and relevance of FAIR data principles in Biopharmaceutical R&D. *Drug Discov Today.* 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2019.01.008>.
- Palmblad M, et al. Automated workflow composition in mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Bioinformatics.* 2018;35(4):656–64. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty646>.
- Brancotte B, et al. A reusable tree-based web-visualization to browse EDAM ontology, and contribute to it. *J Open Source Software.* 2018;3(27):698. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00698>.
- Doppelt-Azeroual, Olivia, et al. "ReGaTE: registration of galaxy tools in elixir." *GigaScience*, vol. 6, no. 6, 2017, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gjx022>.
- Leprevost, Felipe Da Veiga, et al. "BioContainers: an open-source and community-driven framework for software standardization." *Bioinformatics*, vol. 33, no. 16, 2017, pp. 2580–2582. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx192>.
- Willighagen, Egon, and Jonathan Mélius. "Automatic OpenAPI to bio.tools Conversion." 2017, Preprint at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1101/170274>.
- Hillion K-H, et al. Using bio.tools to generate and annotate workbench tool descriptions. *F1000Research.* 2017;6:2074. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12974.1>.
- Afgan E, et al. The galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018; 46(W1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky379>.
- Linden M, et al. Common ELIXIR Service for Researcher Authentication and Authorisation. *F1000Res.* 2018;7:1199. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.15161.1>.
- Larcombe L, et al. ELIXIR-UK role in bioinformatics training at the National Level and across ELIXIR. *F1000Research.* 2017;6:952. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11837.1>.
- Mcquilton P, et al. BioSharing: curated and crowd-sourced metadata standards, databases and data policies in the life sciences. *Database.* 2016; 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baw075>.
- Ison J, et al. The bio.tools registry of software tools and data resources for the life sciences. Github repository. 2019. <https://github.com/bio-tools/biotoolsRegistry>. Accessed Aug 2019.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.