Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/0200000097

The Business of Electric Vehicles: A Platform Perspective

Other titles in Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

 $Service\ Industrialization,\ Employment\ and\ Wages\ in\ the\ US\ Information\ Economy$

Hiranya Nath, Uday Apte and Uday Karmarkar

ISBN: 978-1-68083-694-3

 $Worker\ Productivity\ in\ Operations\ Management$

Diwas KC

ISBN: 978-1-68083-666-0

Cultural Research in the Production and Operations Management Field

Richard Metters, Donna Marshall and Mark Pagell

ISBN: 978-1-68083-608-0

The Business of Electric Vehicles: A Platform Perspective

Jonas Boehm

Dartmouth College USA jonas.e.boehm@gmail.com

Hemant K. Bhargava

University of California
Davis
USA
hemantb@ucdavis.edu

Geoffrey G. Parker

Dartmouth College USA

Geoffrey.G.Parker@dartmouth.edu



Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Published, sold and distributed by: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 1024 Hanover, MA 02339 United States Tel. +1-781-985-4510 www.nowpublishers.com sales@nowpublishers.com

Outside North America: now Publishers Inc. PO Box 179 2600 AD Delft The Netherlands Tel. +31-6-51115274

The preferred citation for this publication is

J. Boehm, H. K. Bhargava and G. G. Parker. *The Business of Electric Vehicles: A Platform Perspective*. Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 203–323, 2020.

ISBN: 978-1-68083-763-6

© 2020 J. Boehm, H. K. Bhargava and G. G. Parker

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

Photocopying. In the USA: This journal is registered at the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by now Publishers Inc for users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC). The 'services' for users can be found on the internet at: www.copyright.com

For those organizations that have been granted a photocopy license, a separate system of payment has been arranged. Authorization does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as that for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale. In the rest of the world: Permission to photocopy must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to now Publishers Inc., PO Box 1024, Hanover, MA 02339, USA; Tel. +1 781 871 0245; www.nowpublishers.com; sales@nowpublishers.com

now Publishers Inc. has an exclusive license to publish this material worldwide. Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright license holder. Please apply to now Publishers, PO Box 179, 2600 AD Delft, The Netherlands, www.nowpublishers.com; e-mail: sales@nowpublishers.com

Foundations and Trends® in Technology, Information and Operations Management

Volume 14, Issue 3, 2020

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

Charles Corbett
UCLA, Anderson School of Management
United States

Editors

Fernando Bernstein $Duke\ University$

Cheryl Gaimon Georgia Institute of Technology

Uday Karmarkar University of California, Los Angeles

Sunder Kekre
Carnegie Mellon University

Panos Kouvelis $Washington\ University$

Michael Lapré Vanderbilt University

Karl Ulrich University of Pennsylvania

Luk van Wassenhove INSEAD

Editorial Scope

Topics

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management publishes survey and tutorial articles in the following topics:

- B2B Commerce
- Business Process Engineering and Design
- Business Process Outsourcing
- Capacity Planning
- Competitive Operations
- Contracting in Supply Chains
- E-Commerce and E-Business Models
- Electronic markets, auctions and exchanges
- Enterprise Management Systems
- Facility Location
- Information Chain Structure and Competition
- International Operations
- Marketing/Manufacturing Interfaces
- Multi-location inventory theory

- New Product & Service Design
- Queuing Networks
- Reverse Logistics
- Service Logistics and Product Support
- Supply Chain Management
- Technology Management and Strategy
- Technology, Information and Operations in:
 - Automotive Industries
 - Electronics manufacturing
 - Financial Services
 - Health Care
 - Media and Entertainment
 - Process Industries
 - Retailing
 - Telecommunications

Information for Librarians

Foundations and Trends[®] in Technology, Information and Operations Management, 2020, Volume 14, 4 issues. ISSN paper version 1571-9545. ISSN online version 1571-9553. Also available as a combined paper and online subscription.

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/0200000097

Contents

1	IIILI	oduction: Are fou Flaying a Flationii Game:	3
2	Bat	tery Electric Vehicles as a Platform Good	7
	2.1	Competitive Entry: Challenging a Leader	8
	2.2	A BEV is a Platform Good	11
	2.3	The Charging Station Dilemma	16
	2.4	The Buyer's Dilemma: Gasoline or Electric Vehicles	17
	2.5	Needed: A Platform-Aware Strategy and	
		Policy for BEVs	19
	2.6	Organization and Summary	20
3	BE\	/ Industry to Date: Decisions and Challenges	22
	3.1	Toward Sustainable Mobility with	
		Electric Driving (1830–2000)	22
	3.2	Early Experimentation (2000–2010)	24
	3.3	Conquering Mass Markets (2010–2018)	25
	3.4	Challenges	34
	3.5	New Generations and Consumer Choice	
		(2020 and Beyond)	35
	3.6	General Economics and Operational Aspects of BEVs	37

Full text available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1561/0200000097

4	Intr	oduction to Platform Literature	40		
	4.1	What Are Multi-Sided Platforms (MSP)?	40		
	4.2	View Electric Vehicles as MSPs	42		
	4.3	Platforms Face Specific Challenges	43		
5	Understanding Actors' Decisions				
	in t	he BEV Market	61		
	5.1	Platform Launch and the Chicken-and-Egg Problem	62		
	5.2	Aiming for Growth and Potentially the			
		Dominant Platform	70		
	5.3	Management of Value Chains versus Platforms	77		
	5.4	Governing Openness	80		
6	Outlining Future Strategic Directions				
	6.1	Finding an Answer for Both Sides of the Market	87		
	6.2	How OEMs Will Deal with Tesla's Lead in			
		Supercharger Stations	88		
	6.3	Tesla Switches the Game, But When?	89		
	6.4	Effectively Work Scarce Capacity and			
		Fragmented Markets	91		
	6.5	Create and Make Use of Good Quality and Dense Data	91		
	6.6	Investors Need to Accept the "Platform Game"	92		
	6.7	Productivity Gains in Building Charging Network	92		
	6.8	Ultimately: Prepare for a "Joint Industry Wide			
		Supercharging Network"	93		
	6.9	What Should Policy Makers Do, If Anything,			
		to Promote Competition?	94		
7	Research Agenda				
	7.1	Final Observations	99		
References					

The Business of Electric Vehicles: A Platform Perspective

Jonas Boehm¹, Hemant K. Bhargava² and Geoffrey G. Parker³

ABSTRACT

Platform business tactics are highly visible and dominant in business today. Prominent firms in industries such as information services, retail, and travel, have embraced platform thinking. Still, many others are engaged in a platform game without realizing it, often to negative consequences. This monograph develops this theme with an illustrative focus on the battery electric vehicle segment of the automobile industry. It traces the development of the industry, identifies key decisions by various participants, and analyzes these decisions from a platform strategy lens.

We emphasize that platform characteristics and network effects are at the core of the electric vehicle industry. A battery electric vehicle is not just a vehicle whose fuel happens to be supplied by the battery. Rather, the vehicle purchase decision is heavily influenced by the availability of a widespread supercharging network as a complementary good. Hence, it is vital for the vehicle maker to ensure that customers have access to both sides of the market—an exciting vehicle and robust supercharging network. An electric vehicle differs from gasoline-powered vehicles in this regard because

Jonas Boehm, Hemant K. Bhargava and Geoffrey G. Parker (2020), "The Business of Electric Vehicles: A Platform Perspective", Foundations and Trends $^{\odot}$ in Technology, Information and Operations Management: Vol. 14, No. 3, pp 203–323. DOI: 10.1561/0200000097.

¹Dartmouth College, USA; jonas.e.boehm@qmail.com

² University of California, Davis, USA; hemantb@ucdavis.edu

³Dartmouth College, USA; Geoffrey.G.Parker@dartmouth.edu

the refueling network for gasoline vehicles is already robust, widespread, and interoperable across brands, thereby becoming inconsequential to customer adoption decision and firms' strategy.

For electric vehicles, the two-sided platform nature of the industry underlines the need for a well-coordinated strategy on both sides of the market. Our analysis reveals that industry participants (vehicle producers, providers of charging locations, government and policy makers)—with the notable exception of an industry newcomer, Tesla, which has astutely employed platform thinking in its core decisions—have executed a flawed strategy that fails to recognize and leverage the platform aspect of the industry.

Introduction: Are You Playing a Platform Game?

The most successful companies of the last decade have all been platform businesses. Platform businesses create value in unprecedented ways. In April 2020, the five largest stocks in the S&P 500 accounted for 20% of its total market cap, exceeding the 18% concentration level reached during the dot-com bubble. Those stocks are Microsoft, Apple, Amazon, Alphabet (Google), and Facebook. All of them are platform businesses and, with other often cited examples such as Alibaba, AirBnB, Uber and the like, they spearhead what has come to be known as the *platform economy*.

Looking beyond the headlines, however, chances are quite good that firms are developing platform products even when they don't recognize them as such. This is because platform thinking extends far beyond the digital platforms and tech giants that everybody is now able to spot. One example is the electric car industry; the various entry approaches of traditional automakers and newer disruptors, such as Tesla, provide the backdrop for our analysis throughout this monograph.

In particular, platform firms increasingly depend upon external actors to provide the necessary complements to create a complete system that delivers value to end users. Another feature of platforms in

Introduction: Are You Playing a Platform Game?

the way that we discuss them is that the value to a platform's user is dependent upon the number of other users who also affiliate with the system. This leads to a simplified test to help spot a platform: a product or service value is a combination of an independent product/service value and network value. This means that the utility to a user can be expressed as a function of the standalone value that a user derives from the product or service plus the value that the user gains because other users also join the system. That is, user i's utility for brand jwith quality level q_i is of the form $V_{ij} = V_i(q_i) + f(N_i)$ where N_i is the network or number of users of brand j. The implication of this network effect is that, unlike traditional goods, a user's utility is not a constant, and increases as more buyers adopt the good. This creates opportunity for the firm to continue expanding the market as previously low-value users develop higher utility as N_i grows, as well as to increase its product monetization e.g., through recurring fees or add-on features. But this network effect also creates some distinctive challenges which call for distinctive business strategies which we discuss in Sections 4 and 5.

Recognizing that a firm is in the platform game is important, as platform markets are fundamentally different from traditional markets, and these differences must lead to distinctive business tactics and strategies. A rule of thumb goes as follows: Platforms exist if a firm is working on a platform product where the value of their product is a function of standalone value and network value consisting of value from same-side (Katz and Shapiro, 1985) and cross-side network effects (Parker and Van Alstyne, 2005; Rochet and Tirole, 2003). Consider, for example, Microsoft's spreadsheet program, Excel. Excel offers the single user a broad spectrum of functions and features, and delivers great value to users even when they act alone. This value increases even further because users can easily share spreadsheets and collaborate with one another. This collaboration makes it highly unlikely that users who must collaborate would adopt different spreadsheet programs because they would forgo the network component of the value proposition.

Over the last years, a solid theory of multi-sided platforms has been developed and has diffused into management practice, often by using the famous digital platforms mentioned above to explain the mechanisms.

By now, many managers won't have trouble spotting and understanding the mechanisms at play when a new digital platform emerges. However, the phenomenon extends far beyond digital platforms and chances are good that you are in the midst of developing a platform product, but don't recognize it.

We use the case of electric cars and charging networks to highlight the differences in business strategies between product and platform thinking, and to give a detailed analysis of these to explore the reasons why firms make different choices depending upon the lens they use. Indeed, as we shall demonstrate below, electric cars follow the same platform architectural logic as the widely known examples of digital platforms. The platform logic, in essence, is the idea that there are two sides in the market, one side is the network of car owners and the other is the network of charging stations. The platform is the entity that coordinates both sides, ensures they grow in suitable balance, and it may own proprietary technologies relevant to one or both sides.

There are three key issues for managers of platform products in the electric car industry. First, managers need to actively work both sides and doing so opens additional strategic options. For example, Tesla actively manages both sides and has the option to switch sides, while traditional car makers who have been passive and have waited for others to solve the problem have given up many strategic options. Second, openness is a critical decision variable. Only when you manage both sides (such as Tesla does) can you afford to have a closed system, otherwise you need to actively pursue an ecosystem strategy. Third, revenue models are not straight forward and can sometimes be counterintuitive. For example, it is almost impossible to develop viable independent business models only for the electric vehicle charger market. The ability to cross-subsidize depends upon participating in more than one part of a multi-sided platform. Firms that operate only on one side of a multisided platform are constrained in the business models that they can deploy.

As a case in point, our analysis supports the view that Tesla's—to many unexplainable—success and lead in the EV segment is predominantly on account of pursuing a platform strategy. In fact, Tesla has achieved and maintained this leadership despite substantial weakness

Introduction: Are You Playing a Platform Game?

on the product front. Journalists and industry analysts alike have often pointed out or even belittled the product performance of the Tesla vehicles: Tesla ranks last on JD Power quality survey with 250 problems per 100 vehicles, for a long time didn't seem to get basic production right and lived through the infamous production hell, turned to outside body shops to repair scratches and paint defects before cars were delivered to customers. However, from a platform's good perspective, that is the vehicle and its complementary charging infrastructure, Tesla has the best product and the best options to monetize either side of this multi-sided platform.

This monograph elaborates on these perspectives by highlighting the platform strategy elements that are relevant in this industry, and then analyzing the crucial factors that have led to the competitive outcomes we observe today.

- ACEA (2020). "Paving the way to carbon-neutral transport: 10-point plan to help implement the European green deal". Retrieved on 9 June 2020 from URL: https://www.acea.be/publications/article/paving-the-way-to-carbon-neutral-transport-10-point-plan-to-help-imple.
- Ackerberg, D. A. and G. Gowrisankaran (2006). "Quantifying equilibrium network externalities in the ACH banking industry". *RAND Journal of Economics*. 37(3): 738–761.
- Akerlof, G. A. (1978). "The market for lemons: Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism". In: *Uncertainty in Economics*. Academic Press. 235–251.
- Almirall, E. and R. Casadesus-Masanell (2010). "Open versus closed innovation: A model of discovery and divergence". *Academy of Management Review*. 35(1): 27–47.
- Ambrus, A. and R. Argenziano (2004). "Network markets and consumer coordination". cESifo Working Paper 1317.
- Anderson, Jr., E. G., G. G. Parker, and B. Tan (2014). "Platform performance investment in the presence of network externalities". *Information Systems Research.* 25(1): 152–172.
- Armstrong, M. (2006). "Competition in two-sided markets". *RAND Journal of Economics*. 37(3): 668–691.

Armstrong, M. and J. Wright (2007). "Two-sided markets, competitive bottlenecks and exclusive contracts". *Economic Theory*. 32(2): 353–380.

- Baldwin, C. Y. and K. B. Clark (2000). Design Rules, Vol. 1: The Power of Modularity. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Baldwin, C. Y. and C. J. Woodward (2009). "The architecture of platforms: A unified view". In: *Platforms, Markets and Innovation*. Ed. by A. Gawer. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing. 19–44.
- Basole, R. C. and J. Karla (2011). "On the evolution of mobile platform ecosystem structure and strategy". Business and Information Systems Engineering. 3(5): 313.
- Behrmann, E. (2019). "No one else built charging stations, so automakers will do it". Retrieved on 27 March 2019 from URL: https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-02-26/no-one-else-built-charging-stations-so-automakers-will-do-it.
- Benlian, A., D. Hilkert, and T. Hess (2015). "How open is this platform? The meaning and measurement of platform openness from the complementers' perspective". *Journal of Information Technology*. 30(3): 209–228.
- Bennett, J. and M. Ramsey (2015). "German electric car makers to install U.S. fast-charger networks; BMW, VW plan to cooperate on placing 100 chargers along east and west coasts". *The Wall Street Journal*. 22 January 2015.
- Bhargava, H. K. (2014). "Platform technologies and network goods: Insights on product launch and management". *Information Technology and Management*. 15(3): 199–209.
- Bhargava, H. K. and V. Choudhary (2004). "Economics of an information intermediary with aggregation benefits". *Information Systems Research*. 15(1): 22–36.
- Bhargava, H. K., B. C. Kim, and D. Sun (2013). "Commercialization of platform technologies: Launch timing and versioning strategy". *Production and Operations Management.* 22(6): 1374–1388.
- Binken, J. L. and S. Stremersch (2009). "The effect of superstar software on hardware sales in system markets". *Journal of Marketing*. 73(2): 88–104.

Blaesser, B. and S. Negro (2019). "Electric vehicle charging stations—Retail primer update". Retrieved on 21 May 2020 from URL: https://www.icsc.com/newsletters/article/electric-vehicle-charging-stationsretail-primer-update.

- Bloomberg (2020). "The future. According to Elon Musk". Retrieved on 25 April 2020 from URL: https://www.bloomberg.com/features/elon-musk-goals/.
- BMW (2011). "Combined charging: Das Universelle Ladesystem für Elektrofahrzeuge Wird Erstmals an Fahrzeugen Deutscher Hersteller Gezeigt". Retrieved on 6 March 2019 from URL: https://www.press.bmwgroup.com/deutschland/article/detail/T0122497DE/combined-charging:-das-universelle-ladesystem-fuer-elektrofahrzeuge-wird-erstmals-an-fahrzeugen-deutscher-hersteller-gezeigt?lan guage=de.
- Bogers, M., A. K. Zobel, A. Afuah, E. Almirall, S. Brunswicker, L. Dahlander, L. Frederiksen, A. Gawer, M. Gruber, S. Haeflinger, and J. Hagedoorn (2017). "The open innovation research landscape: Established perspectives and emerging themes across different levels of analysis". *Industry and Innovation*. 24(1): 8–40.
- Bomey, N. (2016). "Musk says Tesla to start taking orders on new model 3". USA Today. 12 February 2016.
- Boston, W. (2017). "Daimler accelerates push into electric cars as it teams up with ChargePoint; Daimler becomes latest auto maker to develop electric vehicles and prepare continent wide charging networks". The Wall Street Journal. 2 March 2017.
- Boston, W. and M. Bernhard (2018). "Volkswagen vows to overtake Tesla with world's largest electric-car fleet; traditional auto makers' aggressive push into electric vehicles is putting pressure on Tesla". The Wall Street Journal. 13 March 2018.
- Boudreau, J. (2012a). "Tesla Motors begins delivering model S electric cars in a silicon valley milestone". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://www.mercurynews.com/2012/06/22/tesla-motors-begins-delivering-model-s-electric-cars-in-a-silicon-valley-milestone-2/.
- Boudreau, K. (2010). "Open platform strategies and innovation: Granting access vs. devolving control". *Management Science*. 56(10): 1849–1872.

Boudreau, K. J. (2012b). "Let a thousand flowers bloom? An early look at large numbers of software app developers and patterns of innovation". Organization Science. 23(5): 1409–1427.

- Boudreau, K. J. and A. Hagiu (2009). "Platform rules: Multi-sided platforms as regulators". *Platforms, Markets and Innovation*. 1: 163–191.
- Boudreau, K. J. and L. B. Jeppesen (2015). "Unpaid crowd complementors: The platform network effect mirage". *Strategic Management Journal*. 36(12): 1761–1777.
- Boudreau, K., L. B. Jeppesen, and M. Miric (2019). "Competing on free (mium): Digital competition with network effects". Available at SSRN 2984546.
- BP (2019). "Industry statement on ultra-rapid charging". Retrieved on 15 April 2019 from URL: https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/who-we-are/keep-advancing/future-of-transport/industry-statem ent-on-ultra-rapid-charging.html.
- Brandenburger, A. M. and B. J. Nalebuff (1995). "The right game: Use game theory to shape strategy". *Harvard Business Review*. 76: 57–71.
- Bresnahan, T. F. and S. Greenstein (1999). "Technological competition and the structure of the computer industry". *Journal of Industrial Economics*. 47(1): 1–40.
- British Broadcasting Corporation (2019). "Grand challenges". Retrieved on 15 May 2019 from URL: http://www.bbc.com/future/columns/grand-challenges.
- Cabral, L. (2011). "Dynamic price competition with network effects". Review of Economic Studies. 78(1): 83–111.
- Caillaud, B. and B. Jullien (2001). "Competing cybermediaries". European Economic Review. 45(4–6): 797–808.
- Caillaud, B. and B. Jullien (2003). "Chicken and egg: Competition among intermediation service providers". RAND Journal of Economics. 34(2): 309–328.
- Cennamo, C. (2018). "Building the value of next-generation platforms: The paradox of diminishing returns". *Journal of Management.* 44(8): 3038–3069.

Cennamo, C. and J. Santaló (2013). "Platform competition: Strategic trade-offs in platform markets". Strategic Management Journal. 34(11): 1331–1350.

- Cennamo, C. and J. Santaló (2015). "How to avoid platform traps". MIT Sloan Management Review. 57(1): 12.
- CHAdeMO (2013). "CHAdeMO. Our mission". Retrieved on 27 March 2020 from URL: http://www.chademo.com/wp2016/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/20130925 brochure long.pdf.
- CHAdeMO (2019). "History and timeline". Retrieved on 19 October 2019 from URL: https://www.chademo.com/about-us/history-and-timeline/#sc-tabs-1551866589351.
- Chen, K. M. and R. J. Liu (2005). "Interface strategies in modular product innovation". *Technovation*. 25(7): 771–782.
- Chesbrough, H. (2003). "The logic of open innovation: Managing intellectual property". California Management Review. 45(3): 33–58.
- Chintakananda, A. and D. P. McIntyre (2014). "Market entry in the presence of network effects: A real options perspective". *Journal of Management*. 40(6): 1535–1557.
- Choudary, S. P. (n.d.). "Piggybacking mechanics: WhatsApp, Instagram and network effect marketing: Platform thinking". Retrieved on 29 May 2018 from URL: http://platformed.info/whatsapp-instagram-marketing/.
- Collie, S. (2018). "UPDATE—EV roadmap: How the big brands will deliver electric vehicles in the years ahead". Retrieved on 27 March 2020 from URL: https://www.caradvice.com.au/611867/ev-roadmap-how-the-big-brands-will-deliver-electric-vehicles-in-the-years-ahead/.
- Cooper, A. and K. Schefter (2018). "Electric vehicle sales forecast and the charging infrastructure required through 2030". Edison Electric Institute (EEI) and the Institute for Electric Innovation (IEI).
- Copeland, D. G., R. O. Mason, and J. L. McKenney (1995). "Sabre: The development of information-based competence and execution of information-based competition". *IEEE Annals of the History of Computing.* 17(3): 30–57.

Copeland, D. G. and J. L. McKenney (1988). "Airline reservations systems: Lessons from history". *MIS Quarterly*. September: 353–370.

- Corts, K. S. and M. M. Lederman (2009). "Software exclusivity and the scope of indirect network effects in the US home video game market". *International Journal of Industrial Organization*. 27(2): 121–136.
- Cowan, R. (1990). "Nuclear power reactors: A study in technological lock-in". *Journal of Economic History*. 50(3): 541–567.
- Cusumano, M. (2010). "Technology strategy and management. The evolution of platform thinking". Communications of the ACM. 53(1): 32–34.
- Cusumano, M. A., Y. Mylonadis, and R. S. Rosenbloom (1992). "Strategic maneuvering and mass-market dynamics: The triumph of VHS over beta". *Business History Review*. 66(1): 51–94.
- Daimler (2011). "Combined charging: The universal charging system for electric vehicles jointly demonstrated by German vehicles manufactures for the first time". Retrieved on 6 March 2019 from URL: https://media.daimler.com/marsMediaSite/en/instance/ko.xhtml?oid=9915786.
- DeBord, M. (2017). "UBS predicts Tesla will need to spend \$8 billion to expand its supercharger network". Business Insider. Retrieved on 12 July 2019 from URL: https://www.businessinsider.com/tesla-supercharger-network-expansion-costs-8-billion-ubs-2017-3? r=US&IR=T.
- de Reuver, M., C. Sørensen, and R. C. Basole (2018). "The digital platform: A research agenda". *Journal of Information Technology*. 33(2): 124–135.
- den Uijl, S. and H. J. de Vries (2013). "Pushing technological progress by strategic manoeuvring: The triumph of Blu-Ray over HD-DVD". *Business History.* 55(8): 1361–1384.
- Dou, G., P. He, and X. Xu (2016). "One-side value-added service investment and pricing strategies for a two-sided platform". *International Journal of Production Research*. 54(13): 3808–3821.

Eckhardt, J. T., M. P. Ciuchta, and M. Carpenter (2018). "Open innovation, information, and entrepreneurship within platform ecosystems". Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal. 12(3): 369–391.

- Egbue, O. and S. Long (2012). "Barriers to widespread adoption of electric vehicles: An analysis of consumer attitudes and perceptions". *Energy Policy*. 48: 717–729.
- Eisenmann, T. R. (2006a). "Platform-mediated networks: Definitions and core concepts". Harvard Business School Module Note 807-049, September 2006 (Revised October 2007).
- Eisenmann, T. R. (2006b). "Internet companies' growth strategies: Determinants of investment intensity and long-term performance". Strategic Management Journal. 27(12): 1183–1204.
- Eisenmann, T. R. (2008). "Managing proprietary and shared platforms". California Management Review. 50(4): 31–53.
- Eisenmann, T. R. and A. Hagiu (2007). "Staging two-sided platforms". Harvard Business School Background Note 808-004, July 2007 (Revised March 2008).
- Eisenmann, T., G. Parker, and M. Van Alstyne (2006). "Strategies for two-sided markets". *Harvard Business Review*. 84(10): 92.
- Eisenmann, T., G. Parker, and M. Van Alstyne (2009). "Opening platforms: How, when and why? Platforms". *Markets and Innovation*. 6: 131–162.
- Eisenmann, T., G. Parker, and M. Van Alstyne (2011). "Platform envelopment". *Strategic Management Journal*. 32(12): 1270–1285.
- European Union (2014). "Directive 2014/94/Eu of the european parliament and of the council of 22 October 2014 on the deployment of alternative fuels infrastructure". Retrieved from URL: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX: 32014L0094&from.
- European Union (2018). "Long-term strategy". Retrieved on 15 May 2020 from URL: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/2050 en.
- Evans, D. S. (2003). "Some empirical aspects of multi-sided platform industries". *Review of Network Economics*. 2(3): 191–209.
- Evans, D. S., H. H. Chang, and S. Joyce (2019). "What caused the smartphone revolution?" Available at SSRN 3455247.

Evans, D. S., A. Hagiu, and R. Schmalensee (2006). "Software platforms". In: *Industrial Organization and the Digital Economy*. 31.

- Evans, D. S. and M. D. Noel (2008). "The analysis of mergers that involve multisided platform businesses". *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*. 4(3): 663–695.
- Evans, D. S. and R. Schmalensee (2013). "The antitrust analysis of multi-sided platform businesses (No. w18783)". *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Farrell, J. V. R. and G. Saloner (1984). "Standardization, compatibility and innovation". RAND Journal of Economics. 16(1): 70–83.
- Farrell, J. and G. Saloner (1986). "Installed base and compatibility: Innovation, product preannouncements, and predation". *American Economic Review.* 76(5): 940–955.
- Fuentelsaz, L., E. Garrido, and J. P. Maicas (2015a). "A strategic approach to network value in network industries". *Journal of Management*. 41(3): 864–892.
- Fuentelsaz, L., E. Garrido, and J. P. Maicas (2015b). "Incumbents, technological change and institutions: How the value of complementary resources varies across markets". *Strategic Management Journal*. 36(12): 1778–1801.
- Fuhrmanns, V. (2011). "BMW unveils 'i' sub-brand". The Wall Street Journal. 21 February 2011.
- Funke, S., P. Plötz, and M. Wietschel (2019). "Invest in fast-charging infrastructure or in longer battery ranges? A cost-efficiency comparison for Germany". *Applied Energy*. 235: 888–899.
- Gandal, N., D. Salant, and L. Waverman (2003). "Standards in wireless telephone networks". *Telecommunications Policy*. 27(5–6): 325–332.
- Garrett, J. (2012). "Tesla motors unveils a 3rd model". The New York Times. 12 February 2012.
- Garud, R., A. Kumaraswamy, and R. Langlois, eds. (2009). Managing in the Modular Age: Architectures, Networks, and Organizations. John Wiley & Sons.
- Gawer, A. (2009). "Platform dynamics and strategies: From products to services". *Platforms, Markets and Innovation*. 45: 57.

Gawer, A. (2014). "Bridging differing perspectives on technological platforms: Toward an integrative framework". *Research Policy*. 43(7): 1239–1249.

- Gawer, A. (2015). "What drives shifts in platform boundaries: An organizational perspective". In: *Academy of Management Proceedings*. Vol. 1. Briarcliff Manor, NY: Academy of Management. 13765.
- Gawer, A. and M. Cusumano (2008). "How companies become platform leaders". MIT Sloan Management Review. 49(2): 28–35.
- Gawer, A. and M. A. Cusumano (2002). *Platform Leadership: How Intel, Microsoft, and Cisco Drive Industry Innovation*. Vol. 5. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press. 29–30.
- Gawer, A. and M. A. Cusumano (2014). "Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation". *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. 31(3): 417–433.
- Gawer, A. and R. Henderson (2007). "Platform owner entry and innovation in complementary markets: Evidence from intel". *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy.* 16(1): 1–34.
- Gazé, P. and A. G. Vaubourg (2011). "Electronic platforms and two-sided markets: A side-switching analysis". *Journal of High Technology Management Research*. 22(2): 158–165.
- General Motors (2012). "Global automakers to demo BEV fast charging at EVS26". Retrieved on 27 March 2020 from URL: https://media.gm.com/media/us/en/gm/news.detail.html/content/Pages/news/us/en/2012/May/0503 combocharging.html.
- Ghazawneh, A. and O. Henfridsson (2013). "Balancing platform control and external contribution in third-party development: The boundary resources model". *Information Systems Journal*. 23(2): 173–192.
- Gnann, T., T. S. Stephens, Z. Lin, P. Plötz, C. Liu, and J. Brokate (2018). "What drives the market for plug-in electric vehicles? A review of international PEV market diffusion models". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 93: 158–164.
- Green, C. (2013). "Tesla unveils battery-pack swap for its model S Sedan". Los Angeles Times. Retrieved on 16 March 2018 from URL: https://www.latimes.com/business/autos/la-fi-hy-tesla-battery-swap-20130620-story.html.

Griswold, A. and J. Karaian (2018). "It took Amazon 14 years to make as much in net profit as it did last quarter". Retrieved on 16 October 2019 from URL: https://qz.com/1196256/it-took-amazon-amzn-14-y ears-to-make-as-much-net-profit-as-it-did-in-the-fourth-quarter-of-2017/.

- Haddadian, G., M. Khodayar, and M. Shahidehpour (2015). "Accelerating the global adoption of electric vehicles: Barriers and drivers". *Electricity Journal*. 28(10): 53–68.
- Hagerty, J. and M. Ramsey (2011). "Charging stations multiply but electric cars are few". Retrieved on 14 March 2018 from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052970203405504576599060894172004.
- Hagiu, A. (2006). "Pricing and commitment by two-sided platforms". RAND Journal of Economics. 37(3): 720–737.
- Hagiu, A. (2009). "Quantity vs. quality and exclusion by two-sided platforms". Harvard Business School Strategy Unit Working Paper (09–094).
- Hagiu, A. and T. R. Eisenmann (2007). "Staging two-sided platforms". Harvard Business School Cases.
- Hagiu, A. and D. Spulber (2013). "First-party content and coordination in two-sided markets". *Management Science*. 59(4): 933–949.
- Hagiu, A. and J. Wright (2011). "Multi-sided platforms". Harvard Business School Working Paper 12-024. November 2011.
- Hagiu, A. and J. Wright (2015). "Multi-sided platforms". *International Journal of Industrial Organization*. 43: 162–174.
- Henfridsson, O. and B. Bygstad (2013). "The generative mechanisms of digital infrastructure evolution". MIS Quarterly. 37(3): 907–931.
- Hertzke, P., N. Müller, P. Schaufuss, S. Schenk, and T. Wu (2019). "Expanding electric-vehicle adoption despite early growing pains". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/automotive-and-assembly/our-insights/expanding-electric-vehicle-adoption-despite-early-growing-pains.
- Hidrue, M. K., G. R. Parsons, W. Kempton, and M. P. Gardner (2011). "Willingness to pay for electric vehicles and their attributes". *Resource and Energy Economics*. 33(3): 686–705.

Higgins, T. (2017a). "Tesla to double number of BEV chargers at stations; Tesla addresses complaints from owners of its electric vehicles about long waits". The Wall Street Journal. 24 April 2017.

- Higgins, T. (2017b). "Tesla CEO Elon Musk signals new factory for model Y SUV; auto maker on track to begin production of model 3 next month, CEO says". The Wall Street Journal. 7 June 2017.
- Hirsch, J. (2012). "Tesla facing model S production delays; the electric car maker cuts revenue projections. It plans to sell stock to raise cash". Los Angeles Times. 26 September 2012.
- Hirsch, J. (2014). "Tesla motors names its third car model 3; the electric vehicle maker preferred model E, but ford owns the rights to it". Los Angeles Times. 17 July 2014.
- Høyer, K. G. (2008). "The history of alternative fuels in transportation: The case of electric and hybrid cars". *Utilities Policy*. 16(2): 63–71.
- Hu, B., M. Hu, and Y. Yang (2016a). "Open or closed? Technology sharing, supplier investment, and competition". *Manufacturing Service Operations Management*. 19(1): 132–149.
- Hu, B., H. Ming, and Y. Yi (2016b). "Why did Tesla give away patents for free? An analysis of the open-technology strategy from an operational perspective". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://www.informs.org/Blogs/M-SOM-Blogs/M-SOM-Review/Why-did-Tesla-Give-Away-Patents-for-Free-An-Analysis-of-the-Open-Technology-Strategy-from-an-Operational-Perspective.
- Hull, D. and H. Recht (2018). "Tesla doesn't burn fuel, it burns cash. A complete guide to how Elon Musk has raised, and then spent, billions of dollars". Retrieved on 21 May 2019 from URL: https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/2018-tesla-burns-cash/.
- Isaacson, W. (2011). Steve Jobs. New York, Toronto: Simon & Schuster. Isidore, C. (2019). "Tesla's next big thing is on horizon". Retrieved on 27 March 2020 from URL: https://edition.cnn.com/2019/02/04/business/tesla-model-y/index.html.
- Jackson, T. P. (1999). Findings of Fact: United States of America v. Microsoft Corporation, CA 98-1232: State of New York, ex rel. Eliot Spitzer, et al., v. Microsoft Corporation, CA 98-1233. US District Court for the District of Columbia.

JPMorgan (2015). "Another generation of electric car projections out of sync with reality". Retrieved on 15 May 2020 from URL: https://www.jpmorgan.com/jpmpdf/1320733144307.pdf.

- Jullien, B. (2005). "Two-sided markets and electronic intermediaries". CESifo Economic Studies. 51(2–3): 233–260.
- Jullien, B. and A. Pavan (2017). "Information management and pricing in platform markets". *Review of Economic Studies*. 86(4): 1666–1703.
- Katz, M. L. and C. Shapiro (1985). "Network externalities, competition, and compatibility". *American Economic Review*. 75(3): 424–440.
- Katz, M. L. and C. Shapiro (1986). "How to license intangible property". Quarterly Journal of Economics. 101(3): 567–589.
- Katz, M. L. and C. Shapiro (1992). "Product introduction with network externalities". *Journal of Industrial Economics*. 40(1): 55–83.
- Katz, M. L. and C. Shapiro (1994). "Systems competition and network effects". *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 8(2): 93–115.
- Kirsch, D. A. (2000). The Electric Vehicle and the Burden of History. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
- Lane, C. (2013). "The electric car mistake". The Washington Post. 12 February 2013.
- Langbroek, J. H., J. P. Franklin, and Y. O. Susilo (2016). "The effect of policy incentives on electric vehicle adoption". *Energy Policy*. 94: 94–103.
- Langlois, R. N. and P. L. Robertson (1992). "Networks and innovation in a modular system: Lessons from the microcomputer and stereo component industries". Research Policy. 21(4): 297–313.
- Laursen, K. and A. Salter (2006). "Open for innovation: The role of openness in explaining innovation performance among UK manufacturing firms". Strategic Management Journal. 27(2): 131–150.
- Lavie, D. (2006). "The competitive advantage of interconnected firms: An extension of the resource-based view". Academy of Management Review. 31(3): 638–658.
- Lee, H. and A. Clark (2018). "Charging the future: Challenges and opportunities for electric vehicle adoption". In: *HKS Working Paper No. RWP18-026*. 2018. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier. 1–77.

Legrand (2010). "Creation of the EV plug alliance by three major industrial players". Retrieved on 23 April 2019 from URL: https://www.legrand.com/en/news/creation-ev-plug-alliance-three-major-industrial-players.

- Li, J. (2016). "Compatibility and investment in the U.S. electric vehicle market". Job Market Paper, Harvard University.
- Li, S., L. Tong, J. Xing, and Y. Zhou (2017). "The market for electric vehicles: Indirect network effects and policy design". *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists*. 4(1): 89–133.
- Liebowitz, S. J. and S. E. Margolis (1994). "Network externality: An uncommon tragedy". *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 8(2): 133–150.
- Liebowitz, S. J. and S. E. Margolis (1995). "Are network externalities a new source of market failure". Research in Law and Economics. 17: 1–22.
- Linebaugh, K. (2010). "Nissan prices electric leaf for Japan, U.S. markets". The Wall Street Journal. 30 March 2010.
- Linke, R. (2017). "The real barriers to electric vehicle adoption". Retrieved on 15 May 2020 from URL: https://mitsloan.mit.edu/ideas-made-to-matter/real-barriers-to-electric-vehicle-adoption.
- Manthey, N. (2018). "VW reveals €20,000 electric car as long range e-up". Retrieved on 27 March 2019 from URL: https://www.electrive.com/2018/12/03/vw-reveals-base-of-e20000-electric-car-as-long-range -e-up/.
- Markovich, S. and J. Moenius (2009). "Winning while losing: Competition dynamics in the presence of indirect network effects". *International Journal of Industrial Organization*. 27(3): 346–357.
- Matulka, R. (2014). "The history of the electric car". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://www.energy.gov/articles/history-electric-car.
- McFadden, C. (2018). "A brief history and evolution of electric cars". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://interestingengineering.com/a-brief-history-and-evolution-of-electric-cars.
- McIntyre, D. P. (2011). "In a network industry, does product quality matter?" *Journal of Product Innovation Management.* 28(1): 99–108.

McIntyre, D. P. and A. Srinivasan (2017). "Networks, platforms, and strategy: Emerging views and next steps". *Strategic Management Journal*. 38(1): 141–160.

- McLain, S. (2017). "Leaked photos of Nissan leaf indicate mass-market styling; Nissan is betting heavily on the new Leaf to reassert its electric-vehicle leadership". *The Wall Street Journal.* 7 August 2017. Retrieved from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/is-it-a-micra-nissans-new-leaf-blows-across-twitter-1502104087.
- Mennekes (2012). "Type 2 charging plug proposed as the common standard for Europe". Retrieved on 16 June from URL: http://www.mennek.es/index.php?id=latest0&L=2&tx_ttnews[tt_news] =929&cHash=.
- Miles, A. (2019). "Tesla supercharger supremacy in UK soon to be lost to gridserve?" *Cleantechnica*. Retrieved on 28 April 2019 from URL: https://cleantechnica.com/2019/04/28/tesla-supercharger-supremacy-soon-to-be-lost-to-gridserve-in-the-uk/.
- Mitchell, R. (2016). "Elon Musk's new road map; laying out the path beyond the model 3, the tech mogul's master plan for Tesla Motors is long on vision and short on specifics". Los Angeles Times. 22 July 2016.
- Mitchell, R. (2017). "BEV charging firm has plan for Europe; charge-point, which has 42,000 chargers in U.S., partners with TSG for expansion". Los Angeles Times. 9 October 2017.
- Murphy, C. (2014). "BMW unveils plans to build electric-car charging stations in Shanghai". *The Wall Street Journal*. Retrieved on 25 April 2020 from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/bmw-unveils-plans-to-build-electric-car-charging-stations-in-shanghai-1401266088.
- Muzellec, L., S. Ronteau, and M. Lambkin (2015). "Two-sided internet platforms: A business model lifecycle perspective". *Industrial Marketing Management*. 45: 139–150.
- Nair, H., P. Chintagunta, and J. P. Dubé (2004). "Empirical analysis of indirect network effects in the market for personal digital assistants". *Quantitative Marketing and Economics*. 2(1): 23–58.
- Nalebuff, B. (2004). "Bundling as an entry barrier". Quarterly Journal of Economics. 119(1): 159–187.

Nam, I. (2014). "Nissan may hit electric-car sales target before 2020; Japanese car maker is looking to emerging markets for growth". *The Wall Street Journal.* 15 March 2014.

- Nambisan, S. and M. Sawhney (2011). "Orchestration processes in network-centric innovation: Evidence from the field". *Academy of Management Perspectives*. 25(3): 40–57.
- Neil, D. (2010). "Getting a charge from Nissan's new leaf; The allelectric sedan is a homely commuting appliance—But a thrilling one for what cars like it could mean". The Wall Street Journal. 27 August 2010.
- Neil, D. (2015). "Five big hits at the detroit auto show; Dan Neil reflects on the big winners at the North American international auto show in Detroit". The Wall Street Journal. 17 January 2015.
- Neil, D. (2017). "Chevy Bolt: Meet the first practical, mass-market electric vehicle; with range anxiety in the rearview, general motors' under-\$30,000 electric family car charges into the future. Dan Neil takes it for a looong spin". The Wall Street Journal. 19 October 2017.
- Neil, D. (2018). "Nissan leaf: A worthy electric rival for the Chevy Bolt? Starting seven grand cheaper than the Bolt, the redesigned Nissan Leaf BEV got an upgrade in range to 151 miles on a full charge; Dan Neil ponders its relative virtue". The Wall Street Journal. 22 March 2018.
- Nicholas, M., D. Hall, and N. Lutsey (2019). Quantifying the Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Gap Across US Markets. Washington, DC: International Council on Clean Transportation.
- Niculescu, M. F. and D. J. Wu (2014). "Economics of free under perpetual licensing: Implications for the software industry". *Information Systems Research*. 25(1): 173–199.
- Ondrus, J., A. Gannamaneni, and K. Lyytinen (2015). "The impact of openness on the market potential of multi-sided platforms: A case study of mobile payment platforms". *Journal of Information Technology*. 30(3): 260–275.

Panico, C. and C. Cennamo (2015). "What drives a platform's strategy? Usage, membership, and competition effects". In: *Academy of Management Proceedings*. Vol. 2015. Briarcliff Manor, NY: Academy of Management. 15942.

- Papachristos, G. (2017). "Diversity in technology competition: The link between platforms and sociotechnical transitions". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 73: 291–306.
- Parker, G., G. Petropoulos, and M. Van Alstyne (2020). "Digital platforms and antitrust". In: Oxford Handbook of Transnational Economic Governance. Ed. by E. Brousseau and J.-M. Glachant. Available at SSRN 3608397.
- Parker, G., B. Tan, and O. Kazan (2019). "Electric power industry: Operational and public policy challenges and opportunities". *Production and Operations Management*. 28: 11–2777.
- Parker, G. and M. Van Alstyne (2005). "Two-sided network effects: A theory of information product design". *Management Science*. 51(10): 1494–1504.
- Parker, G. and M. Van Alstyne (2016). "Platform strategy". In: *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Strategic Management*. Ed. by M. Augier and D. Teece. 1290–1298.
- Parker, G. and M. Van Alstyne (2018). "Innovation, openness, and platform control". *Management Science*. 64(7): 3015–3032.
- Parker, G., M. Van Alstyne, and S. P. Choudary (2016). *Platform Revolution: How Networked Markets Are Transforming the Economy and How to Make Them Work For You.* New York: WW Norton.
- Parker, G., M. Van Alstyne, and X. Jiang (2017). "Platform ecosystems: How developers invert the firm". MIS Quarterly. 41(1): 255–266.
- Pon, B., T. Seppälä, and M. Kenney (2014). "Android and the demise of operating system-based power: Firm strategy and platform control in the post-PC world". *Telecommunications Policy*. 38(11): 979–991.
- Qiu, Y., A. Gopal, and I. H. Hann (2011). "Synthesizing professional and market logics: A study of independent iOS app entrepreneurs". In: *Academy of Management Proceedings*. Vol. 2012. Briarcliff Manor, NY: Academy of Management. 12803.
- Ramsey, M. (2010). "Nissan to take reservations for leaf electric car". The Wall Street Journal. 19 April 2010.

Ramsey, M. (2011). "Nissan raises price of leaf; new model to cost 7% more due to higher costs, standard features and strong yen". The Wall Street Journal. 20 July 2011.

- Ramsey, M. (2014). "Tesla motors offers open licenses to its patents; CEO Musk says approach will expand the market for electric cars". The Wall Street Journal. Retrieved on 20 April from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/tesla-motors-says-it-will-allow-others-to-us e-its-patents-1402594375.
- Ramsey, M. (2015a). "Tesla owners will have to pay for supercharger access; the fast-charging network is an important marketing feature for the company". The Wall Street Journal. 1 June 2016.
- Ramsey, M. (2015b). "Tesla prepares to launch electric SUV; long-delayed model X has fans charged; fold-down seats may prove a letdown". The Wall Street Journal. 29 September 2015.
- Ramsey, M. (2015c). "Tesla sets \$81,200 price for base model X SUV; delivery will take about a year for customers who order the vehicle now". The Wall Street Journal. 24 November 2015.
- Ramsey, M. and E. Proper (2015). "Tesla owners frustrated by recharge waits; complaints about long lines to top-off batteries has sparked warnings to frequent users". The Wall Street Journal. 30 June 2015.
- Randall, T. (2019). "The future according to Elon Musk". Retrieved on 20 April from URL: https://www.bloomberg.com/features/elon-musk-goals/.
- Rao, R. and O. Turut (2019). "New product preannouncement: Phantom products and the osborne effect". *Management Science*. (8): 3776–3799. 2019.
- Roberts, A. (2017). "Volkswagen forms U.S. unit for zero-emission vehicles; electrify America will oversee investment in efforts like electric-car charging stations". *The Wall Street Journal.* 7 February 2017.
- Rochet, J. C. and J. Tirole (2003). "Platform competition in two-sided markets". *Journal of the European Economic Association*. 1(4): 990–1029.
- Rochet, J. C. and J. Tirole (2006). "Two-sided markets: A progress report". RAND Journal of Economics. 37(3): 645–667.

Rysman, M. (2009). "The economics of two-sided markets". *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 23(3): 125–143.

- Sanchez-Cartas, J. M. and G. Leon (2019). "Multisided platforms and markets: A literature review". Retrieved from URL: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Juan_Manuel_Sanchez-Cartas/publication/325225786_Multisided_Platforms_and_Markets_A_Literature_Review/links/5c598d03299bf1d14cadb3b9/Multisided-Platforms-and-Markets-A-Literature-Review.pdf.
- Schilling, M. A. (2002). "Technology success and failure in winner-takeall markets: The impact of learning orientation, timing, and network externalities". *Academy of Management Journal.* 45(2): 387–398.
- Schilling, M. A. (2003). "Technological leapfrogging: Lessons from the US video game console industry". *California Management Review*. 45(3): 6–32.
- Scrosati, B., J. Garche, and Tillmetz, W. W. (Eds.) (2015). Advances in Battery Technologies for Electric Vehicles. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing.
- Shankar, V. and B. L. Bayus (2003). "Network effects and competition: An empirical analysis of the home video game industry". *Strategic Management Journal*. 24(4): 375–384.
- Shapiro, C., S. Carl, and H. R. Varian (1998). *Information Rules:* A Strategic Guide to the Network Economy. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Sheremata, W. A. (2004). "Competing through innovation in network markets: Strategies for challengers". *Academy of Management Review.* 29(3): 359–377.
- Springel, K. (2017). "Network externality and subsidy structure in two-sided markets: Evidence from electric vehicle incentives". *Job Market Paper*. Retrieved on 14 April 2019 from URL: https://energy.umich.edu/te3/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2018/09/Final-Springel-paper_EV.pdf.
- Spulber, A. and B. Smith (2018). "Are we building the electric vehicle charging infrastructure we need?" Retrieved on 15 April 2020 from URL: https://www.industryweek.com/technology-and-iiot/are-we-building-electric-vehicle-charging-infrastructure-we-need.

Squatriglia, C. (2009a). "First look at Tesla's stunning model S". Retrieved on 18 April 2020 from URL: https://www.wired.com/2009/03/first-look-of-t/.

- Squatriglia, C. (2009b). "Nissan scrambles to create EV charging stations". Retrieved on 13 June 2019 from URL: https://www.wired.com/2009/10/nissan-ecotality-ev-project/.
- Srinivasan, R., G. L. Lilien, and A. Rangaswamy (2004). "First in, first out? The effects of network externalities on pioneer survival". Journal of Marketing. 68(1): 41–58.
- Stabell, C. B. and Ø. Fjeldstad (1998). "Configuring value for competitive advantage: On chains, shops, and networks". *Strategic Management Journal*. 19(5): 413–437.
- Stern, N. (2007). The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review. Cambridge University Press.
- Stoll, J. D. (2014). "Tesla to install first battery swap facility; battery swaps would be faster than charging but come with cost". *The Wall Street Journal.* 19 December 2014. Retrieved on 15 April 2019 from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/tesla-to-install-first-battery-swap-facility-1419020657.
- Stringham, E. P., J. K. Miller, and J. R. Clark (2015). "Overcoming barriers to entry in an established industry: Tesla Motors". *California Management Review*. 57(4): 85–103.
- Stummer, C., D. Kundisch, and R. Decker (2018). "Platform launch strategies". Business & Information Systems Engineering. 60(2): 167-173.
- Suarez, F. F. (2005). "Network effects revisited: The role of strong ties in technology selection". *Academy of Management Journal*. 48(4): 710–720.
- Suarez, F. F. and J. Kirtley (2012). "Dethroning an established platform". MIT Sloan Management Review. 53(4): 35–41.
- Sun, B., J. Xie, and H. H. Cao (2004). "Product strategy for innovators in markets with network effects". *Marketing Science*. 23(2): 243–254.

Teece, D. J. (2017). "Dynamic capabilities and (digital) platform lifecycles". In: Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Platforms (Advances in Strategic Management, Vol. 37). Ed. by J. Furman, A. Gawer, B. S. Silverman, and S. Stern. Bingley, UK: Emerald Publishing Limited. 211–225.

- Tellis, G. J., E. Yin, and R. Niraj (2009). "Does quality win? Network effects versus quality in high-tech markets". *Journal of Marketing Research*. 46(2): 135–149.
- Terlep, S. (2012). "Sales of battery-powered GM volt gain". The Wall Street Journal. 4 January 2012.
- Tesla/Cleantechnica (2019). "Tesla supercharger network evolution—From 6 to 1,533 superchargers in 6 years". Retrieved on 18 April 2020 from URL: https://cleantechnica.com/2019/07/06/tesla-supercharger-networks-evolution/.
- Thompson, E. P. (1967). "Time, work-discipline, and industrial capitalism". *Past and Present.* (38): 56–97.
- Tiwana, A. (2013). Platform Ecosystems: Aligning Architecture, Governance, and Strategy. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Tiwana, A. (2015). "Evolutionary competition in platform ecosystems". Information Systems Research. 26(2): 266–281.
- Tiwana, A., B. Konsynski, and A. A. Bush (2010). "Research commentary—Platform evolution: Coevolution of platform architecture, governance, and environmental dynamics". *Information Systems Research.* 21(4): 675–687.
- UNFCCC (2015). "The Paris declaration on electro-mobility and climate change and call to action". Retrieved on 15 May 2019 from URL: https://unfccc.int/news/the-paris-declaration-on-electro-mobility-and-climate-change-and-call-to-action.
- U.S. Department of Energy (2020). "History of the loan programs office". Retrieved on 18 April 2020 from URL: https://www.energy.gov/lpo/about-us/history.
- Van Alstyne, M. W., G. G. Parker, and S. P. Choudary (2016). "Pipelines, platforms, and the new rules of strategy". *Harvard Business Review*. 94(4): 54–62.

Wade, J. (1995). "Dynamics of organizational communities and technological bandwagons: An empirical investigation of community evolution in the microprocessor market". Strategic Management Journal. 16(S1): 111–133.

- Walton, R. (2018). "EV charging providers scale up amid a 'revolution in transportation'". Retrieved on 25 April 2020 from URL: https://www.utilitydive.com/news/ev-charging-providers-scale-up-amid-a-revolution-in-transportation/532530/.
- Wan, X., J. Cenamor, G. Parker, and M. Van Alstyne (2017). "Unraveling platform strategies: A review from an organizational ambidexterity perspective". Sustainability. 9(5): 734.
- Wareham, J., P. B. Fox, and J. L. Cano Giner (2014). "Technology ecosystem governance". Organization Science. 25(4): 1195–1215.
- Weiller, C. M. and M. G. Pollitt (2016). *Platform Markets and Energy Services*. Smart Grid Handbook. 1–23.
- Wessel, M., F. Thies, and A. Benlian (2017). "Opening the floodgates: The implications of increasing platform openness in crowdfunding". Journal of Information Technology. 32(4): 344–360.
- West, J. (2003). "How open is open enough?: Melding proprietary and open source platform strategies". Research Policy. 32(7): 1259–1285.
- West, J. and S. Gallagher (2006). "Challenges of open innovation: The paradox of firm investment in open-source software". $R\mathcal{E}D$ Management. 36(3): 319–331.
- Weyl, E. G. (2010). "A price theory of multi-sided platforms". *American Economic Review*. 1642.
- White, A. and E. G. Weyl (2016). "Insulated platform competition". NET Institute Working Paper 10–17.
- White House (2010). "Grand challenges of the 21st century". Retrieved from URL: https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/blog/2010/02/04/grand-challenges-21st-century.
- White, J. (2013a). "BMW launches its first mass-production electric car; auto maker needs to boost sales of electric cars to meet regulatory requirements". The Wall Street Journal. 29 July 2013.

White, J. B. (2012). "Tesla takes luxurious route to the electric car". Wall Street Journal. Retrieved on 2 April 2019 from URL: https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702303404704577307630051869946.

- White, R. (2013b). "QUICK SPIN/ELECTRIC CARS; Tesla delays release of its model X". Los Angeles Times. 9 March 2013.
- Woody, T. (2012). "Tesla hits accelerator despite Q2 revenue miss". Retrieved on 18 April 2020 from URL: https://www.forbes.com/sites/toddwoody/2012/07/25/investors-hit-accelerator-despite-tesla-q2-revenue-miss/#570eb3cd4425.
- Woodyard, C. (2015a). "Musk aims to crank out millions of Teslas: CEO maps bright future with \$30,000 EVs". USA Today. 4 January 2015.
- Woodyard, C. (2015b). "Tesla pulls out stops with sleek new SUV: At 0 to 60 mph in 3.2 seconds, the model X is world's fastest SUV". USA Today. 30 September 2015.
- Xie, J. and M. Sirbu (1995). "Price competition and compatibility in the presence of positive demand externalities". *Management Science*. 41(5): 909–926.
- Yoffie, D. B. and M. Kwak (2006). "With friends like these: The art of managing complementors". *Harvard Business Review*. 84(9): 88–98.
- Zahra, S. A. and S. Nambisan (2012). "Entrepreneurship and strategic thinking in business ecosystems". *Business Horizons*. 55(3): 219–229.
- Zhu, F. and M. Iansiti (2012). "Entry into platform-based markets". Strategic Management Journal. 33(1): 88–106.