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#### Abstract

It is shown by purely Lie algebraic methods that the C. Neumann problem-the motion of a material point on a sphere under the influence of a quadratic potential-is a completely integrable system of Euler-Poisson equations on a minimal-dimensional orbit of a semidirect product of Lie algebras.


1. The C. Neumann problem. The motion of a point on the sphere $S^{n-1}$ under the influence of a quadratic potential $U(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2} A \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. For $n=3$ this has been shown by C. Neumann in 1859 [12] and for arbitrary $n$ by K. Uhlenbeck [16], R. Devaney [3], J. Moser [10], [11], M. Adler, and P. van Moerbeke [2]. In this paper we show how this problem fits naturally in the framework of Euler-Poisson equations [4], [5], [14], [17] proving that the C. Neumann problem is a Hamiltonian system on a minimaldimensional adjoint orbit in a semidirect product of Lie algebras. Thus its complete integrability will follow entirely from Lie algebraic considerations.

The equations of motion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{i}=-a_{i} x_{i}+\lambda x_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=A \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}-\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}$ is chosen such that $\mathbf{x} \in S^{n-1}$ during the motion. Set $\dot{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{y}$ and get the equivalent system to (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{i}=y_{i}, \quad \dot{y}_{i}=-a_{i} x_{i}+\left(A \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}-\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}\right) x_{i}, \quad\|\mathbf{x}\|=1, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following crucial remark that motivated the present investigation is due to $K$. Uhlenbeck and can be verified without any difficulties.

Lemma 1.1. Put $X=\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right), P=\left(y_{i} x_{j}-x_{i} y_{j}\right)$. System (1.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}=[P, X], \quad \dot{P}=[X, A], \quad\|\mathbf{x}\|=1, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that if one replaces $X$ and $A$ by $X-\mathrm{Id} / n$ and $A-(\operatorname{Tr}(A)) \mathrm{Id} / n$ respectively, where Id is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, equations (1.3) remain unchanged. From now on we shall assume that in (1.3) this change has been made so that $X, P, A \in s l(n)$. The next section gives a Lie algebraic interpretation to these equations.

[^0]2. The equations of motion as a Hamiltonian system on an adjoint orbit. We start by recalling a few facts about the ad-semidirect product $\mathbb{S F}_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{(5)}$ of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathbb{S}^{5}$ with the abelian Lie algebra $\overline{\mathscr{S}}$ having underlying vector space $\mathbb{S}^{(S)}$. If $\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{S}_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{\mathscr{S}}$, their bracket is defined by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right)\right]=\left(\left[\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right],\left[\xi_{1}, \eta_{2}\right]-\left[\xi_{2}, \eta_{1}\right]\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

If $\kappa$ denotes a bilinear, symmetric, nondegenerate, bi-invariant, two-form on $\mathfrak{G}$, the form $\kappa_{s}$, called the semidirect product of $\kappa$ with itself and defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{s}\left(\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{1}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{2}\right)\right)=\kappa\left(\xi_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)+\kappa\left(\xi_{2}, \eta_{1}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bilinear, symmetric, nondegenerate, bi-invariant, two-form on $\mathscr{S}_{\text {ad }} \times \bar{\Phi}$.
Let $G$ be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathbb{E}$. The Ad-semidirect product $G_{\text {Ad }} \times \overline{\mathscr{E}}$ is a Lie group with underlying manifold $G \times \mathscr{S}$ and composition law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)\left(g_{2}, \xi_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{2}, \xi_{1}+\operatorname{Ad}_{g_{1}} \xi_{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the identity element is $(e, 0)$ and the inverse $(g, \xi)^{-1}=\left(g^{-1},-\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \xi\right)$. The Lie algebra of $G_{\text {Ad }} \times \overline{\mathscr{E}}$ is $\mathbb{S}_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{\mathscr{A}}$. The adjoint action of the Lie group $G_{\text {Ad }} \times \overline{\mathfrak{G}}$ on $\mathbb{G S}_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{\mathfrak{G}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ad}_{(g, \theta)}(\xi, \eta)=\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi, \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \eta+\left[\theta, \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right]\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the considerations that follow, the orbit symplectic structure plays a central role (see Abraham and Marsden [1] and Ratiu [14] for proofs). If a Lie algebra (5) has a bilinear, symmetric, nondegenerate, bi-invariant two-form $\kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\xi}\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right)\left(\left[\eta, \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right],\left[\zeta, \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right]\right)=-\kappa\left([\eta, \zeta], \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in \mathscr{F}, g \in G$, defines the canonical symplectic structure on the adjoint orbit $G \cdot \xi$ through $\xi$. If $E, E^{\prime}: \mathscr{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, the Hamiltonian vector field of $E \mid G \cdot \xi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{E \mid G \cdot \xi}\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right)=-\left[(\operatorname{grad} E)\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right), \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Poisson bracket of $E\left|G \cdot \xi, E^{\prime}\right| G \cdot \xi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E\left|G \cdot \xi, E^{\prime}\right| G \cdot \xi\right\}\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right)=-\kappa\left(\left[(\operatorname{grad} E)\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right),\left(\operatorname{grad} E^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right)\right], \operatorname{Ad}_{g} \xi\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where grad denotes the gradient with respect to $\kappa$.
For the semidirect product these formulas become

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega(\xi, \eta)\left(\left[(\xi, \eta),\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right],\left[(\xi, \eta),\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \\
=-\kappa_{s}\left((\xi, \eta),\left[\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{1}^{\prime}\right),\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
X_{E}(\xi, \eta)=-\left(\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E\right)(\xi, \eta), \xi\right],\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E\right)(\xi, \eta), \eta\right]+\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} E\right)(\xi, \eta), \xi\right]\right) \\
\left\{E, E^{\prime}\right\}(\xi, \eta)=-  \tag{2.9}\\
-\kappa\left(\xi,\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} E^{\prime}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right]\right) \\
-\kappa\left(\xi,\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} E\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E^{\prime}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right]\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
-\kappa\left(\eta,\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} E^{\prime}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right]\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1}, \operatorname{grad}_{2}\right)$ denotes the usual gradient with respect to $\kappa \times \kappa$; note that the gradient with respect to $\kappa_{s}$ is $\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2}, \operatorname{grad}_{1}\right)$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{R} \oplus \mathfrak{R}$, where $\mathfrak{R}$ is a vector subspace and $\mathfrak{R}$ a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{E S}, \mathfrak{R}$ having $N$ as underlying closed Lie subgroup of $G$. Denote by $\Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}, \Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}$ the projections of $\mathscr{S}$ on $\Omega$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ respectively. Then $\mathscr{S}^{*}=\mathfrak{R}^{*} \oplus \mathfrak{R}^{*}$. The nondegeneracy of $\kappa$ on $\mathfrak{G}$ defines the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{R}^{\perp} \cong \mathfrak{R}^{*}, \mathfrak{R}^{\perp} \cong \mathfrak{R}^{*}$ and thus the coadjoint action of $N$ on $\mathfrak{R}^{*}$ induces an action of $N$ on $\Omega^{\perp}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \times \Omega^{\perp} \ni(n, \xi) \mapsto \Pi_{\Omega^{\perp}} \operatorname{Ad}_{n} \xi \in \Omega^{\perp} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^{\perp}}: \mathscr{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\perp}$ denotes the canonical projection defined by the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{S}=\Omega^{\perp} \oplus \Re^{\perp}$. Thus the orbit $N \cdot \xi$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \cdot \xi=\left\{\Pi_{\Omega^{\perp}}\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{n} \xi\right) \mid n \in N\right\} \subseteq \Omega^{\perp}, \quad \xi \in \Re^{\perp} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose tangent space at $\bar{\xi} \in N \cdot \xi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\bar{\xi}}(N \cdot \xi)=\left\{\Pi_{\Omega^{\perp}}[\bar{\xi}, \eta] \mid \eta \in \mathfrak{R}\right\} \subseteq \Omega^{\perp} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symplectic structure on $N \cdot \xi$ equals, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\xi}(\bar{\xi})\left(\Pi_{\Omega^{\perp}}[\eta, \bar{\xi}], \Pi_{\Omega^{\perp}}[\zeta, \bar{\xi}]\right)=-\kappa([\eta, \zeta], \bar{\xi}), \quad \bar{\xi} \in N \cdot \xi \subseteq \Omega^{\perp} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hamiltonian vector field defined by $E \mid N \cdot \xi, E: ⿷ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, is, by (2.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{E \mid N \cdot \xi}(\bar{\xi})=-\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^{\perp}}\left[\Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}(\operatorname{grad} E)(\bar{\xi}), \bar{\xi}\right], \quad \bar{\xi} \in N \cdot \xi \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^{\perp} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally the Poisson bracket of $E\left|G \cdot \xi, E^{\prime}\right| G \cdot \xi$ is given by (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E\left|G \cdot \xi, E^{\prime}\right| G \cdot \xi\right\}(\bar{\xi})=-\kappa\left(\left[\Pi_{\Re}(\operatorname{grad} E)(\bar{\xi}), \Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}\left(\operatorname{grad} E^{\prime}\right)(\bar{\xi})\right], \bar{\xi}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\bar{\xi} \in N \cdot \xi \subseteq \Omega^{\perp}$. All previous considerations naturally live on the duals but this is the form we shall use for the C. Neumann problem; see Ratiu [14] for a parallel description on duals.

We shall apply all previous results to a specific Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{S H}^{\prime}=s l(n)_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{s l(n)}, G=S l(n)_{\text {Ad }} \times \overline{s l(n)}, \mathfrak{R}=\operatorname{so}(n) \times \operatorname{sym}, N=S O(n) \times s y m$, $\Re=\operatorname{sym} \times \operatorname{so}(n)$, where $\operatorname{sym} \subset \operatorname{sl}(n)$ denotes the vector space of all symmetric matrices. Clearly $\mathfrak{R}$ is a Lie subalgebra and $\Omega$ a vector subspace of $\mathscr{E}, N$ a Lie subgroup of $G$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{R}$. Thus by our general considerations $N$ acts on $\Omega^{\perp}$. It is easy to check that with respect to $\kappa_{s}$, where $\kappa(A, B)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(A B), \Omega^{\perp}=\Omega$, $\mathfrak{R}^{\perp}=\mathfrak{\Re}$. In what follows we shall determine explicitly a particular $N$-orbit; note first that in the case above $\Pi_{\Omega^{+}}$in formula (2.11) is not necessary, i.e. the action of $N$ on $\Omega^{\perp}$ is given by (2.4).

If $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, denote by $\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ the matrix having entries $y_{i} z_{j}$ and remark that if $g \in S O(n), g(\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}) g^{-1}=(g \mathbf{y}) \otimes(g \mathbf{z})$. Let $\mathbf{z}=(1, \ldots, 1) / \sqrt{n}$ and take $(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ $-\mathrm{Id} / n, 0) \in \mathfrak{R}^{\perp}$. Let $g \in S O(n)$ be arbitrary and denote $\mathbf{x}=g z$. Then $\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|\mathbf{z}\|$ $=1$ and $g(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}-\mathrm{Id} / n) g^{-1}=\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}-\mathrm{Id} / n$ which is a matrix $X$ having all off-diagonal entries equal to $x_{i} x_{j}$ and diagonal entries $x_{i}^{2}-1 / n$. Thus the first component of the $N$-orbit through $(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}-\mathrm{Id} / n, 0)$ is the matrix $X$ occurring in Lemma 1.1. We compute the second component. If $\theta \in \operatorname{sym}, X_{i j}=x_{i} x_{j}, X_{i i}=x_{i}^{2}$ $-1 / n$, then

$$
[\theta, X]_{i j}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_{i k} x_{k}-x_{i} C(\mathbf{x}, \theta)\right) x_{j}-x_{i}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_{j k} x_{k}-x_{j} C(\mathbf{x}, \theta)\right)
$$

where $C(\mathbf{x}, \theta)=\sum_{k, i} x_{i} x_{k} \theta_{i k}$. Put $y_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\theta_{i k} x_{k}-x_{i} C(\mathbf{x}, \theta)\right)$ and remark that if $\mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$ since $\|\mathbf{x}\|=1$. Thus the second component of the $N$-orbit consists of matrices $P \in \operatorname{so}(n), P_{i j}=y_{i} x_{j}-x_{j} y_{i}, \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$. We showed hence that this $N$-orbit consists of pairs $(X, P) \in \operatorname{sym} \times \operatorname{so}(n)$ with $X, P$ defined as in Lemma 1.1.
Remark that the correspondence $(X, P)=\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ defines a diffeomorphism of this orbit onto the tangent bundle $T S^{n-1}$ of the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. A tangent vector at $(X, P)$ to this orbit is $[(X, P),(\xi, \eta)]$ for $(\xi, \eta) \in \operatorname{so}(n) \times \operatorname{sym}$ and is of the form $(V, W) \in \operatorname{sym} \times \operatorname{so}(n)$, where $V_{i j}=v_{j} x_{i}+x_{j} v_{i}, v_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \xi_{k i}, W_{i j}=w_{i} x_{j}$ $-x_{i} w_{j}+y_{i} v_{j}-y_{j} v_{i}, w_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(y_{k} \xi_{k i}-x_{k} \eta_{k i}\right)+x_{i} \Sigma_{k, i} x_{i} x_{k} \eta_{i k}$ as a short calculation shows. Thus the tangent map of $\lambda$ is given by $(V, W) \mapsto(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right), \mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$.
$T S^{n-1}$ has a natural symplectic structure induced by the canonical symplectic form $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} \wedge d y_{i}$ of $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$. By (2.8) the canonical symplectic structure $\omega$ on the orbit is given by

$$
\omega(X, P)\left(\left[(X, P),\left(\xi^{1}, \eta^{1}\right)\right],\left[(X, P),\left(\xi^{2}, \eta^{2}\right)\right]\right)=\kappa_{s}\left(\left[\left(\xi^{2}, \eta^{2}\right),\left(\xi^{1}, \eta^{1}\right)\right],(X, P)\right)
$$

Let $V^{i}, W^{i}, \mathbf{v}^{i}, \mathbf{w}^{i}$ be defined by $\xi^{i}, \eta^{i}, i=1,2$. We have by bi-invariance of $\kappa_{s}$, antisymmetry of $\xi^{2}$, symmetry of $\eta^{1}$, and by (2.8), (2.14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\lambda_{*} \omega\right)(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) & \left(\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}^{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}^{2}\right)\right)=\omega(X, P)\left(\left(V^{1}, W^{1}\right),\left(V^{2}, W^{2}\right)\right) \\
= & -\kappa_{s}\left(\left(\xi^{2}, \eta^{2}\right),\left(V^{1}, W^{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\xi^{2} W^{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\eta^{2} V^{1}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(w_{k}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \xi_{i k}^{2}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(v_{k}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \xi_{i k}^{2}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(v_{k}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \eta_{i k}^{2}\right) \\
= & -\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(v_{k}^{1} w_{k}^{2}-w_{k}^{1} v_{k}^{2}\right) \\
= & \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{n} d x_{k} \wedge d y_{k}\right)\left(\left(\mathbf{v}^{1}, \mathbf{w}^{1}\right),\left(\mathbf{v}^{2}, \mathbf{w}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\lambda$ is a symplectic diffeomorphism:

$$
\lambda_{*} \omega=\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} \wedge d y_{i}\right) \mid T S^{n-1}
$$

Let $L$ : $s l(n) \rightarrow s l(n)$ be given by $L(\xi)=-\xi$. $L$ is clearly a $\kappa$-symmetric isomorphism. The following Euler-Poisson Hamiltonian (see [4], [5], [15], [17] for motivations) $E(\xi, \eta)=\frac{1}{2} \kappa(\eta, L(\eta))+\kappa(A, \xi)$ for $A \in s l(n)$ a fixed diagonal matrix, induces a Hamiltonian vector field on the $N$-orbit through $(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ - Id $/ n, 0)$ given by (2.15), $(X, P) \mapsto([P, X],[X, A])$, i.e. we get equations (1.3). Hence we proved the following.

Theorem 2.1. The $N=\operatorname{so}(n) \times$ sym-orbit through $(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{Id} / n, 0)$ in $\Omega^{\perp}=\Omega$ $=\operatorname{sym} \times \operatorname{so}(n), \mathrm{z}=(1, \ldots, 1) / \sqrt{n}$, consists of all pairs $(X, P), X_{i j}=x_{i} x_{j}$ for $i \neq j, \quad X_{i i}=x_{i}^{2}-1 / n, P_{i j}=y_{i} x_{j}-x_{i} y_{j},\|\mathbf{x}\|=1, \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$. With the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic structure this $(2 n-2)$-dimensional orbit is symplectically diffeomorphic via $(X, P) \mapsto(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ to $T S^{n-1}$ with the symplectic structure induced from $\mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ by $-\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} \wedge d y_{i}$. The Hamiltonian $E(X, P)=-\frac{1}{2} \kappa(P, P)+\kappa(A, X)$ defines on this orbit the equations of motion of the $C$. Neumann problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{X}=[P, X], \quad \dot{P}=[X, A], \quad\|\mathbf{x}\|=1, \quad \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke [2] have independently observed that (2.17) is a Hamiltonian system in a semidirect product.

## 3. The complete set of integrals and their involution.

Lemma 3.1. The equations $\dot{X}=[P, X], \dot{P}=[X, A],\|\mathbf{x}\|=1, \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}=0$ are equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{\cdot}=\left[-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2},-P-A \lambda\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any parameter $\lambda$.
The proof is a straightforward verification. It follows that the functions $(1 / 2(k+1)) \operatorname{Tr}\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{k+1}$ are conserved on the flow of (3.1). If $t \mapsto$ $(X(t), P(t))$ denotes the flow of (2.17), then $t \mapsto-X(t)+P(t) \lambda+A \lambda^{2}$ is the flow of (3.1) and we conclude that the coefficients of $\lambda$ in the expansion of $(1 / 2(k+1)) \operatorname{Tr}\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{k+1}$ are conserved along the flow of (2.17). Let $f_{k}(X, P)$ be the coefficient of $\lambda^{2 k}$ in this expansion for $k=1, \ldots, n-1$. We shall prove in this section that all $f_{k}$ are in involution. The method of the proof follows Ratiu [13], [15] closely.

Theorem 3.2. Let ©s be a Lie algebra with a bilinear, symmetric, nondegenerate, bi-invariant, two-form $\kappa$. Let $f, g: \mathscr{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfy $[(\operatorname{grad} f)(\xi), \xi]=0,[(\operatorname{grad} g)(\xi), \xi]$ $=0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{G}$. Denote $f_{a}(\xi, \eta)=f\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right), g_{b}(\xi, \eta)=g\left(\xi+b \eta+b^{2} \varepsilon\right)$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{S}$ fixed and $a, b$ arbitrary parameters. Then $f_{a}, g_{b}$ Poisson commute in the bracket of $\mathscr{S}^{\mathrm{ad}} \times \overline{\mathfrak{G}}$ defined by its symplectic decomposition in adjoint orbits.

Proof. Clearly $\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} f_{a}\right)(\xi, \eta)=(\operatorname{grad} f)\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} f_{a}\right)(\xi, \eta)=$ $a(\operatorname{grad} f)\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right)$ and similarly for $g_{b}$. By (2.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{f_{a}, g_{b}\right\}(\xi, \eta)= & -\kappa\left(\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} f_{a}\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} g_{b}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right], \xi\right) \\
& -\kappa\left(\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} f_{a}\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{1} g_{b}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right], \xi\right) \\
& -\kappa\left(\left[\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} f_{a}\right)(\xi, \eta),\left(\operatorname{grad}_{2} g_{b}\right)(\xi, \eta)\right], \eta\right) \\
= & -\kappa\left((a+b) \xi+a b \eta,\left[(\operatorname{grad} f)\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right),\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\left.(\operatorname{grad} g)\left(\xi+b \eta+b^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right]\right)
$$

$$
=\left(b^{2} /(a-b)\right) \kappa\left(\left[\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon,(\operatorname{grad} f)\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right],\right.
$$

$$
\left.(\operatorname{grad} g)\left(\xi+b \eta+b^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\left(a^{2} /(a-b)\right) \kappa\left(\left[\xi+b \eta+b^{2} \varepsilon,(\operatorname{grad} g)\left(\xi+b \eta+b^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right]\right.
$$

$$
\left.(\operatorname{grad} f)\left(\xi+a \eta+a^{2} \varepsilon\right)\right)=0
$$

by hypothesis. By continuity $\left\{f_{a}, g_{b}\right\}=0$ holds also for $a=b$.
Remark. The condition $[(\operatorname{grad} f)(\xi), \xi]=0$ for all $\xi \in \mathscr{S}$ is the infinitesimal version of Ad-invariance of $f$ as an easy computation shows.

Theorem 3.3. Let $G$ be a Lie group, $N$ a closed subgroup, with Lie algebras $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathfrak{R}$ respectively. Assume $\mathscr{S}=\Omega \oplus \Re, \Re$ a vector subspace, $[\Re, \mathfrak{N}] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$, and that $\mathbb{B}$ has a bilinear, symmetric, nondegenerate, bi-invariant, two-form $\kappa$. Assume that $f, g$ : $\mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ Poisson commute on $\mathfrak{F}$, i.e.

$$
\kappa([(\operatorname{grad} f)(\xi),(\operatorname{grad} g)(\xi)], \xi)=0
$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{B}$. If either
(1) $\Omega$ is a Lie subalgebra, or
(2) $\Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}\left[\Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}(\operatorname{grad} f)(\eta), \Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}(\operatorname{grad} g)(\eta)\right]=0$ for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{R}^{\perp}$,
then on any $N$-orbit in $\Re^{\perp}$, the functions $f, g$ Poisson commute.
Proof. Let $\eta \in \Omega^{\perp}$. By hypothesis and (2.16) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-\kappa([(\operatorname{grad} f)(\eta),(\operatorname{grad} g)(\eta)], \eta) \\
& =\{f|N \cdot \eta, g| N \cdot \eta\}(\eta)-\kappa\left(\Pi_{\mathfrak{R}}\left[\Pi_{\Re}(\operatorname{grad} f)(\eta), \Pi_{\mathfrak{\Omega}}(\operatorname{grad} g)(\eta)\right], \eta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term vanishes in either hypothesis 1 or 2.
Remark. Both theorems have identical versions on duals and $\kappa$ is not needed there.

These two general theorems prove the involution of the functions $f_{k}$ in the following way. In Theorem 3.2 take $\mathbb{S}=s l(n)$ and let

$$
\phi_{k}(\xi, \eta)=(1 / 2(k+1)) \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\xi+\eta \lambda_{k+1}+A \lambda_{k+1}^{2}\right)^{k+1}
$$

Then $\left\{\phi_{k}, \phi_{l}\right\}=0$ on $s l(n)_{\mathrm{ad}} \times \overline{s l(n)}$ for any parameters $\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{l+1}$, i.e. $\phi_{k+1}$ is constant on the flow defined by $\phi_{l+1}$ no matter what $\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{l+1}$ are, i.e. the coefficients of $\lambda_{k+1}$ in $\phi_{k+1}$ are constant on the flow defined by $\phi_{l+1}$ for all $\lambda_{l+1}$. Hence $\left\{f_{k}, \phi_{l}\right\}=0$ for all $\lambda_{l}$ and thus $\left\{f_{k}, f_{l}\right\}=0$ for any $k, l$. In Theorem 3.3 take $\mathfrak{S S}=\operatorname{sl}(n)_{\text {ad }} \times \overline{s l(n)}, \mathfrak{R}=\operatorname{sym} \times \operatorname{so}(n), \mathfrak{R}=\operatorname{so}(n) \times \operatorname{sym}$ and remark that $[\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{N}]$ $\subseteq \Omega$. $f_{k}, f_{l}$ Poisson commute on ©s by what we just proved, so in order to conclude that they Poisson commute on the $N$-orbit through $(\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}-\mathrm{Id} / n, 0)$ we have to check condition (2) of Theorem 3.3 for $\eta=(X, P) \in \Omega^{\perp}=\Omega$. An easy computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(X, P)=\frac{1}{2(k+1)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} A^{i} X A^{k-i}+\sum_{\substack{i+j+l=k-1 \\ i, j, l>0}} A^{i} P A^{j} P A^{l}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the gradient of $f_{k}$ with respect to $\kappa_{s}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{k}\right)(X, P)=\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A^{i} P A^{k-1-j}, A^{k}\right) \in \operatorname{so}(n) \times \operatorname{sym}=\mathfrak{R} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\Pi_{\Omega}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{k}\right)(X, P)=0$.
Theorem 3.4. The functions $f_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$, are constants of the motion in involution for the $C$. Neumann problem. $f_{1}=-E, E=$ energy function.

Remark. Equation (3.1) is Hamiltonian in the Kac-Moody extension of $s l(n)$; see Adler and van Moerbeke [2].
4. Independence. Throughout this section we assume that $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ has all entries distinct.

Let $\mathscr{V}=\operatorname{span}\left\{X_{f_{k}}(X, P) \mid k=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. We have to show that generically $\operatorname{dim}(\mathscr{V})=n-1$.

Denote by $U_{k i}$ the coefficient of $\lambda^{i}$ in the expansion of $\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{k}$. From (3.3) it follows that $\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{k}\right)(X, P)=\left(-U_{k, 2 k-1}, U_{k, 2 k}\right)$, so that $\mathfrak{V}=$ $\operatorname{ad}_{(X, P)} \mathbb{Q}_{0}$, where $\mathbb{Q}_{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(-U_{k, 2 k-1}, U_{k, 2 k}\right) \mid k=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. Since $U_{k, 2 k}=$ $A^{k}$ and $A$ has all entries distinct we conclude that $\left\{A^{k} \mid k=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$ are linearly independent in $s l(n)$ and thus $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)=n-1$; in particular $\operatorname{dim}(\mathscr{V}) \leqslant$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)=n-1$ which was already obvious from the definition of $\mathbb{V}$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(-U_{k, 2 k-1-2 j}, U_{k, 2 k-2 j}\right) \mid k=j, \ldots, n-1\right\}$ where we make the convention that any $U_{k i}$ with $i<0$ is identical zero; thus $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right) \leqslant n-j, j=$ $1, \ldots, n-1$. Denote $\mathbb{V}_{j}=\operatorname{ad}_{(X, P)} \mathbb{Q}_{j}, j=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, so that $\mathscr{V}=\mathscr{V}_{0}$.

Lemma 4.1. The linear map $f_{A, P}: s l(n) \times s l(n) \rightarrow s l(n) \times s l(n)$ defined by

$$
f_{A, P}(\xi, \eta)=([\eta, P]-[\xi, A],[A, \eta])
$$

is injective on all $\mathbb{Q}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n-1$, for generic $(X, P)$.
This is a direct, but somewhat lengthy verification (see [15] for a more complicated similar proof).

Lemma 4.2. The following relations hold for any $k=1, \ldots, n-1$ :

$$
-\left[U_{k, 2 k-j}, X\right]+\left[U_{k, 2 k-j-1}, P\right]+\left[U_{k, 2 k-j-2}, A\right]=0
$$

This is obvious if one notes that the expression above is the coefficient of $\lambda^{j}$ in the expansion of $\left[\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{k},-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right] \equiv 0$.

We have thus by the two prior lemmas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad}_{(X, P)}(- & \left.U_{k, 2 k-1-2 j}, U_{k, 2 k-2 j}\right) \\
& =\left(\left[U_{k, 2 k-1-2 j}, X\right],\left[X, U_{k, 2 k-2 j}\right]+\left[U_{k, 2 k-1-2 j}, P\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\left[U_{k, 2 k-2-2 j}, P\right]+\left[U_{k, 2 k-3-2 j}, A\right],\left[A, U_{k, 2 k-2 j-2}\right]\right) \\
& =f_{A, P}\left(-U_{k, 2 k-2 j-3}, U_{k, 2 k-2 j-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $f_{A, P}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ad}_{(X, P)}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right)=\mathbb{V}_{j} . f_{A, P}$ injective implies $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}\right), j=$ $0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Assume from now on that for any $j=1, \ldots, n-1, X^{j} \neq 0$; this condition is generically satisfied. Since $U_{j, 0}=(-1)^{j} X^{j}$ we conclude $\operatorname{ad}_{(X, P)}\left(0, U_{j, 0}\right)$ $=(0,0)$ and hence $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{Q}_{j}\right) \geqslant 1+\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{V}_{j}\right)$ for $j \geqslant 1$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j}\right) \geqslant 1+\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{j+1}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n-1, \mathbb{Q}_{n}=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\mathbb{Q}_{n-1}=\operatorname{span}\left(0, U_{n-1,0}\right)$ so that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n-1}\right)=1$. Repeated application of (4.1) yields then $\operatorname{dim}\left(Q_{1}\right) \geqslant n-1$, which combined with $n-1 \geqslant \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{V}_{0}\right) \geqslant$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ gives $\operatorname{dim}(\mathscr{V})=n-1$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ have all entries distinct. The C. Neumann problem is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, $n-1$ generically independent integrals in involution being given by

$$
f_{k+1}(X, P)=\frac{1}{2(k+1)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{k} A^{i} X A^{k-i}+\sum_{\substack{i+j+l=k-1 \\ i, j, l>0}} A^{i} P A^{j} P A^{l}\right) .
$$

Remarks. (1) The geodesic spray on an ellipsoid in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with all axes distinct is also completely integrable and given by the Euler-Poisson equations on the same orbit

$$
\dot{X}=[Q, X], \quad \dot{P}=[Q, P]+\left[X, A^{-1}\right]
$$

for $Q_{i j}=-P_{i j} / a_{i} a_{j}$, with Hamiltonian $E(X, P)=-\frac{1}{2} \kappa(P, Q)+\kappa\left(X, A^{-1}\right)$. It has the same integrals $f_{k}$ since the previous equations can be written as

$$
\left(-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2}\right)^{\cdot}=\left[-X+P \lambda+A \lambda^{2},-Q-A^{-1} \lambda\right] .
$$

This follows easily from the work of Moser [10], [11] and has been independently observed by Adler and van Moerbeke [2] who also linearize the flow.
(2) The geodesic spray on $S^{n-1}$ corresponds to $A=0$ in the C. Neumann problem, or to $A=\mathrm{Id}$ in the ellipsoidal problem. The Euler-Poisson equations on the same orbit are $\dot{X}=[P, X], \dot{P}=0$ and the integrals in involution are

$$
f_{k}(X, P)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa\left(P^{k}-\left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} P^{k}\right) \operatorname{Id}, X\right), \quad k=\text { even } \\
\frac{1}{2(k+1)} \operatorname{Tr}\left(P^{k+1}\right), \quad k=\text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Hamiltonian is $-f_{1}(X, P)=-\frac{1}{2} \kappa(P, P)$. The prior proof of independence can be easily modified step-by-step to show that $X_{f_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$, are generically independent.
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