The CARMENES search for exoplanets around M dwarfs LP 714-47 b (TOI 442.01): populating the Neptune desert^{*,**}

S. Dreizler¹, I. J. M. Crossfield², D. Kossakowski³, P. Plavchan⁴, S. V. Jeffers¹, J. Kemmer⁵, R. Luque^{6,7}, N. Espinoza⁸, E. Pallé^{6,7}, K. Stassun⁹, E. Matthews¹⁰, B. Cale⁴, J. A. Caballero¹¹, M. Schlecker³, J. Lillo-Box¹¹, M. Zechmeister¹, S. Lalitha¹, A. Reiners¹, A. Soubkiou¹², B. Bitsch³, M. R. Zapatero Osorio¹³, P. Chaturvedi¹⁴, A. P. Hatzes¹⁴, G. Ricker¹⁰, R. Vanderspek¹⁰, D. W. Latham¹⁵, S. Seager^{10,16,17}, J. Winn¹⁸, J. M. Jenkins¹⁹, J. Aceituno^{20,21}, P. J. Amado²¹, K. Barkaoui^{22,12}, M. Barbieri²³, N. M. Batalha²⁴, F. F. Bauer²¹, B. Benneke²⁵, Z. Benkhaldoun¹², C. Beichman^{26,27}, J. Berberian⁴, J. Burt²⁸, R. P. Butler²⁹, D. A. Caldwell^{30,19}, A. Chintada^{4,31}, A. Chontos^{32,***}, J. L. Christiansen³³, D. R. Ciardi³³, C. Cifuentes¹¹, K. A. Collins¹⁵, K. I. Collins⁴, D. Combs⁴, M. Cortés-Contreras¹¹, J. D. Crane³⁴, T. Daylan¹⁰, D. Dragomir³⁵, E. Esparza-Borges⁷, P. Evans³⁶, F. Feng²⁹, E. E. Flowers^{18,***}, A. Fukui^{37,6}, B. Fulton^{38,26,27}, E. Furlan²⁷, E. Gaidos³⁹, C. Geneser⁴⁰, S. Giacalone⁴¹, M. Gillon²², E. Gonzales^{24,***}, V. Gorjian²⁸, C. Hellier⁴², D. Hidalgo^{6,7}, A. W. Howard²⁶, S. Howell¹⁹, D. Huber³², H. Isaacson^{41,43}, E. Jehin⁴⁴, E. L. N. Jensen⁴⁵, A. Kaminski⁵, S. R. Kane⁴⁶, K. Kawauchi³⁷, J. F. Kielkopf⁴⁷, H. Klahr³, M. R. Kosiarek^{24,***}, L. Kreidberg^{15,3}, M. Kürster³, M. Lafarga^{48,49}, J. Livingston⁵⁰, D. Louie⁵², A. Mann⁵³, A. Madrigal-Aguado⁷, R. A. Matson⁵⁴, T. Mocnik⁴⁶, J. C. Morales^{48,49}, P. S. Muirhead⁵⁵, F. Murgas^{6,7}, S. Nandakumar²³, N. Narita^{6,56,51,57,58}, G. Nowak^{6,7}, M. Oshagh^{6,1}, H. Parviainen^{6,7}, V. M. Passegger^{59,60}, D. Pollacco⁶¹, F. J. Pozuelos^{44,22}, A. Quirrenbach⁵, M. Reefe⁴, I. Ribas^{48,49}, P. Robertson⁶², C. Rodríguez-López²¹, M. E. Rose¹⁹, A. Roy²⁶, A. Schweitzer⁶⁰, J. Schlieder⁶³, S. Shectman³⁴, A. Tanner⁴⁰, H. V. Şenavci⁶⁴, J. Teske^{34,****}, J. D. Twi

(Affiliations can be found after the references)

Received 25 March 2020 / Accepted 8 October 2020

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of a Neptune-like planet (LP 714-47 b, P = 4.05204 d, $m_b = 30.8 \pm 1.5 M_{\oplus}$, $R_b = 4.7 \pm 0.3 R_{\oplus}$) located in the "hot Neptune desert". Confirmation of the TESS Object of Interest (TOI 442.01) was achieved with radial-velocity follow-up using CARMENES, ESPRESSO, HIRES, iSHELL, and PFS, as well as from photometric data using TESS, *Spitzer*, and ground-based photometry from MuSCAT2, TRAPPIST-South, MONET-South, the George Mason University telescope, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope network, the El Sauce telescope, the TÜBİTAK National Observatory, the University of Louisville Manner Telescope, and WASP-South. We also present high-spatial resolution adaptive optics imaging with the Gemini Near-Infrared Imager. The low uncertainties in the mass and radius determination place LP 714-47 b among physically well-characterised planets, allowing for a meaningful comparison with planet structure models. The host star LP 714-47 is a slowly rotating early M dwarf ($T_{\text{eff}} = 3950 \pm 51 \text{ K}$) with a mass of $0.59 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$ and a radius of $0.58 \pm 0.02 R_{\odot}$. From long-term photometric monitoring and spectroscopic activity indicators, we determine a stellar rotation period of about 33 d. The stellar activity is also manifested as correlated noise in the radial-velocity data. In the power spectrum of the radial-velocity data, we detect a second signal with a period of 16 days in addition to the four-day signal of the planet. This could be shown to be a harmonic of the stellar rotation period or the signal of a second planet. It may be possible to tell the difference once more TESS data and radial-velocity data are obtained.

Key words. methods: data analysis – planetary systems – stars: late-type – stars: individual: LP 714-47 – planets and satellites: individual: LP 714-47 b

** Based on observations carried out at the Centro Astronómico Hispano Alemán (CAHA) at Calar Alto, operated jointly by the Junta de Andalucía and the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CSIC), on observations carried out at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programme 0103.C-0152(A), and data collected with the 6.5 m Magellan Telescopes located at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile. *** National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellow.

**** NASA Hubble Fellow.

***** Beatrice Watson Parrent Fellow.

^{*} RV data are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/644/A127

1. Introduction

Currently, approximately 4000 planets have been detected using the transit method, primarily with the *Kepler* space telescope. A statistical analysis of these detections indicates that at short orbital periods, there is a bimodal distribution of planets, characterised by a population of Earth-sized planets (including super-Earths) and a population of larger Jupiter-sized planets (Szabó & Kiss 2011; Mazeh et al. 2016). While intermediate Neptunemass planets have been detected at larger orbital periods, there is a distinct lack of Neptune-mass planets at short orbital periods. The rarity of planets with masses of approximately 0.1 M_{Jup} and periods of less than about 4 d is referred to as the "hot Neptune desert".

Deliberations on the cause of the hot Neptune desert have been addressed in several papers involving photo-evaporation (e.g. Owen & Wu 2013; Lopez & Fortney 2014), the preferential formation of Jupiter-sized rather than Neptune-sized planets, as a consequence of core accretion (e.g. Ida & Lin 2008; Mordasini et al. 2009), the early migration of low-mass planets (e.g. Flock et al. 2019), or core-powered mass loss (Gupta & Schlichting 2020). In an investigation of possible formation mechanisms, and to explain the distinctive triangular shape of the desert in the mass-period diagram, Owen & Lai (2018) showed that photoevaporation of Neptune-mass planets occurs very close to the host stars.

Photo-evaporation may not necessarily be required in this process. Instead, atmospheric mass-loss can be driven by the release of the primordial energy from the formation, which is comparable to the atmospheric binding energy (Ginzburg et al. 2016, 2018; Gupta & Schlichting 2019). With this scenario, it is possible to explain the radius valley spanning between Earth-sized and sub-Neptune-sized planets. Properties of the radius valley are predicted to show a different dependence on age and metallicity between the photo-evaporation and the core-powered mass-loss scenario. Another possibility is that of scattering onto high-eccentric orbits followed by a circularisation (high-eccentricity migration). This could explain the lower and upper boundary of the Neptune desert (Matsakos & Königl 2016).

A few planets or planet candidates have been detected in the hot Neptune desert. These include K2-100b (Mann et al. 2017), NGTS-4 b (West et al. 2019), TOI-132 b (Díaz et al. 2020), TOI-824 b (Burt et al. 2020), LTT 9779 b (Jenkins 2019), and TOI-849 b (Armstrong et al. 2020). The latter two are extreme cases with orbital periods shorter than one day. More planets close to or inside the Neptune desert with precise mass and radius determinations would help to shed more light on the distinction between the various explanations for the existence of the Neptune desert.

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS, Ricker et al. 2014), launched in April 2018, aims to detect close-in planets transiting bright and nearby stars. Such transiting systems are ideal for ground-based follow-up observations focused on the study of the atmospheres of exoplanets by using transmission or emission spectroscopy. Given its instrument characteristics, TESS is ideal for the detection of hot Neptunes. In this paper, the TESS Object of Interest TOI 442.01 is confirmed to be a 30-Earth-mass planet orbiting its late-type host star LP 714-47 with an orbital period of 4.05 d. From the radial velocity measurements, as well as the TESS, *Spitzer*, and ground-based photometry, presented in Sect. 3, we conclude that LP 714-47 b is a transiting Neptune-like planet close to the lower edge of the hot Neptune desert, presented in Sect. 5. Adding a new planet with precise mass and radius determination is, therefore,



Fig. 1. TESS Target Pixel File (TPF) of TOI 442 (created with tpfplotter (https://github.com/jlillo/tpfplotter), Aller et al. 2020). The pipeline aperture mask is shown as a red shaded region. Objects in the *Gaia* DR2 catalogue are overplotted with red circles (size depending on brightness as indicated in the legend). TOI 442 is marked with a white cross.



Fig. 2. Phase-folded TESS lightcurve of LP714-47 with a period of 4.0520 d, generated with lightkurve (https://github.com/KeplerG0/lightkurve) using a window length of 1001 and a sigma clipping threshold of 4.

helpful in shedding more light on the origins of the hot Neptune desert, which may, in turn, place constraints on planet formation scenarios, as discussed in Sect. 6.

2. TESS photometry

LP 714-47 was observed in TESS sector 5 in two-minute cadence mode between 15 November and 11 December 2018 (see Figs. 1 and 2). The planet candidate TOI442.01 was announced on 31 January 2019. The TESS Data Validation diagnostic (DV) (Twicken et al. 2018; Li et al. 2019) revealed a planet candidate at a period of 4.05 d (TOI 442.01) with depths of 5685 ± 134 ppm (S/N = 45.3), the planet radius of the candidate was determined as $4.7 \pm 0.7 R_{\oplus}$, slightly different values are reported on the ExoFOP web page¹. The DV difference image centroid offsets for TOI 442.01 indicate that the source of the transit signature is within 2 arcsec (0.1 TESS pixels). The TESS lightcurves produced by the Science Process Operation Centre (SPOC, Jenkins et al. 2016) are available at the Mikulski Archive

¹ https://exofop.ipac.caltech.edu/tess/target.php?id= 70899085

for Space Telescopes². For our analysis, we used the de-trended pre-search data conditioning simple aperture photometry (PDC-SAP) lightcurve (Stumpe et al. 2012, 2014; Smith et al. 2012). The total time-span of the observation covered seven transits, although one of them occurs during a gap in the data caused by the re-orientation of the spacecraft needed for the data downlink.

We independently searched for a transit signal in the light curve using the transit-least-squares method with a signal detection efficiency of 19.8 (TLS, Hippke & Heller 2019) and the boxleast-squares algorithm (BLS, Kovács et al. 2002). We recovered the 4.05 d signal in both cases with a depth of 4.8 ± 0.3 ppt. After removing the transits, the strongest peak in the periodogram of the residuals was a modulation with a period of 9.2 d and an amplitude of 230 ppm.

The announcement of TOI442.01 as a potential planet host star initiated a series of follow-up observations: space and ground based transit photometry, high-resolution and lowresolution spectroscopy, and high-contrast imaging. Between the various teams, we decided to analyse all available data jointly.

3. Follow-up observations

3.1. Spitzer photometry

We observed a transit of the system with the *Spitzer* Space Telescope's IRAC instrument (Fazio et al. 2004), as part of *Spitzer* GO 14084 (PI Crossfield). The observations on 21 May 2019 used the channel 2 (4.5μ m) broadband filter and we acquired 228 sets of 64 sub-array frames with integration times of 2 s. The observations used the standard *Spitzer* approach to observing, with an initial peak-up observation to place the target near the well-calibrated sweet spot of the IRAC2 detector. Because our target is fainter than the recommended brightness level for peak-up observations, we used the brighter, nearby star HD 27112 for peak-up. In total, the *Spitzer* observations spanned 8.3 h and covered a full transit (Sect. 5).

In order to account for the intra-pixel variations, we used the pixel-level de-correlation method developed by Deming et al. (2015). This method reconstructs the observed transit signal from a linear combination of the varying contributions from the nine pixels covered by the instrument point spread function (PSF) and a transit model. The linear fit of the correlation coefficients is part of the optimisation procedure.

3.2. Ground-based photometry

We acquired ground-based, time-series follow-up photometry of TOI 442 as part of the TESS Follow-up Observing Program³ to attempt to: (i) rule out nearby eclipsing binaries as potential sources of the TESS detection, (ii) detect the transitlike event on target to confirm the event depth and, thus, the TESS photometric deblending factor, (iii) refine the TESS ephemeris, (iv) provide additional epochs of transit centre time measurements to supplement the transit timing variation (TTV) analysis, and (v) place constraints on transit depth differences across optical filter bands. We used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customised version of the Tapir software package (Jensen 2013), to schedule our transit observations. Unless otherwise noted, the transit follow-up data were extracted using the Table 1. Summary of radial-velocity data sets.

Observatory Instrument	Spectral resolution	Observing season (2019)	Ν
Calar Alto			
CARMENES VIS	94 600	Spring + autumn	33
CARMENES NIR	80 400	Autumn	21
Paranal			
ESPRESSO	140 000	September	19
Keck		-	
HIRES	60 000	Autumn	14
Las Campanas			
PFS	127 000	Autumn	6
IRTF			
iSHELL ^(a)	75 000	December 2019–January 2020	9

Notes. ^(a)For iSHELL, we list the number of epochs, which consist of multiple co-added exposures.

AstroImageJ (AIJ) software package (Collins et al. 2017). We observed full transits from the MONET/South telescope (MOnitoring NEtwork of Telescopes) at the South African Astronomical Observatory, MuSCAT2 (Narita et al. 2019) at the 1.5 m Telescopio Carlos Sánchez at the Observatorio del Teide, the George Mason University campus 0.8 m telescope, the El Sauce private observatory, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) telescope network (Brown et al. 2013), TÜBİTAK National Observatory (TUG)⁴, TRAPPIST-South (Jehin et al. 2011), and University of Louisville Manner Telescope (ULMT). The transit light curves were linearly decorrelated using information of airmass and centroid position. the de-correlation coefficients were then kept fixed during the analysis. Overall, we covered seven transit epochs with 15 data sets in various filters summarised in Table A.1. The instruments are further described in Appendix A.

In an attempt to determine the stellar rotation period, we also compiled photometric long-term time series from public surveys including the All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS, Pojmanski 2002), the Northern Sky Variability Survey (NSVS, Woźniak et al. 2004), and the WASP survey (Pollacco et al. 2006). The time series covered nine years (ASAS), seven months (NSVS), and seven years (WASP). From the WASP dataset, the most useful data come from four months of monitoring by WASP-South in 2008–2009.

3.3. High resolution spectroscopy

As a summary of the various instruments used for radial velocity follow-up of TOI 442.01, we list the basic properties of the radial-velocity data and the number of observations from each instrument in Table 1 (see also Fig. D.1), followed by a more detailed description below. With CARMENES, we started the RV follow-up after the candidate alert in February 2019 and restarted in October 2019. HIRES and PFS observations started in August 2019, and those with iSHELL in November 2019.

3.3.1. CARMENES spectra

The CARMENES spectrograph consists of a red-optical (VIS) channel and a near-infrared (NIR) channel, covering a wide

² https://mast.stsci.edu/portal/Mashup/Clients/Mast/ Portal.html

³ https://tess.mit.edu/followup/

⁴ http://tug.tubitak.gov.tr/en

wavelength range of 550–960 nm and 960–1700 nm, and achieving spectral resolutions of 94 600 and 80 400, respectively (Quirrenbach et al. 2014, 2018). The average sampling per resolution element is 2.8 px. The spectra were processed by the standard pipeline caracal (CARMENES reduction and calibration software; Zechmeister et al. 2014) and went through the guaranteed observation time data flow (Caballero et al. 2016).

Triggered by the TESS alert, we monitored LP 714-47 with CARMENES from February 2019 to November 2019. We collected 33 spectra, each with an exposure time of 30 min (Table 1). The median typical signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) per pixel of the observations was ~63 at 746 nm. The RVs were computed with serval⁵ (Spectrum radial-velocity analyzer Zechmeister et al. 2018); the uncertainties range from about $2-4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, with a median of 2.6 m s⁻¹.

The python code serval also provides spectroscopic activity indicators and the equivalent widths of key diagnostic photospheric and chromospheric lines, such as the differential line width, dLW, and the chromatic index, CRX (Zechmeister et al. 2018). Due to an apparently systematic offset, the CARMENES NIR data from the first season were excluded from the fit.

3.3.2. ESPRESSO spectra

ESPRESSO is a new high-resolution spectrograph at the ESO Very Large Telescope (Pepe et al. 2010). It covers the wavelength range 380–788 nm with a resolution of 140 000 and sampling of 4.5 px per resolution element.

We obtained 19 spectra of LP 714-47 in September 2019 in the single-telescope high-resolution mode with 2×1 binning (HR21). The exposure times were at least 10 min, and the S/N was about 30 per pixel at 573 nm. The spectra were processed with the standard ESO pipeline. The RVs were calculated with serval.

3.3.3. HIRES spectra

We acquired 14 spectra with the HIRES spectrograph with the standard CPS setup (Howard et al. 2010): the C2 decker, the usual HIRES iodine cell (used to measure precise RVs; Marcy & Butler 1992; Butler et al. 1996), and exposures of 10–30 min (depending on seeing conditions). The HIRES observations began on 14 Aug. 2019 and ended on 15 Nov. 2019. As part of the HIRES analysis, we also acquired an iodine-free observation to serve as a template spectrum on 31 October 2019 using the B3 decker and an exposure time of 45 min.

3.3.4. PFS spectra

LP 714-47 was observed on six nights with the Carnegie Planet Finder Spectrograph (PFS; Crane et al. 2006, 2008, 2010) mounted on the Magellan 2 (Clay) 6.5 m telescope at Las Campanas Observatory in Chile. Two consecutive exposures were taken each night. The individual exposure times ranged from 15 to 20 min, depending on the conditions. Due to the faintness of this star, the CCD was 3×3 binned to minimise readout noise. The spectral resolution of all observations was 127 000 and the typical S/N of the individual observations was 25. Target stars are routinely observed through a custom built iodine cell, which was scanned by the NIST Fourier transform spectrometer at a resolution of 10^6 (Nave 2017) and provides a rich embedded reference from 5000 to 6200 Å. As with the HIRES spectra, the velocity reduction used the approach described by Butler et al. (1996).

3.3.5. iSHELL spectra

We obtained 198 spectra of LP 714-47 on nine nights, spanning a 53 d interval with the iSHELL spectrometer on the NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF, Rayner et al. 2016). The exposure times were 5 min, repeated 17–22 times over a single a night to reach a cumulative photon S/N per spectral pixel at about 2.2 μ m (at the approximate centre of the blaze for the middle order) varying from 107 to 171 to achieve a per-night RV precision of 3–10 m s⁻¹ (median 6 m s⁻¹). Spectra were reduced and RVs extracted using the methods outlined in Cale et al. (2019).

3.4. Low-resolution spectroscopy

On 3 December 2019 (UT), we collected low-resolution optical spectra of LP 714-47 using the Alhambra Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC) mounted on the Cassegrain focus of the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), located at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos on La Palma island. ALFOSC is equipped with a $2k \times 2k$ pixel e2v detector providing a plate scale of 0.2138 arcsec pixel⁻¹ on the sky. We used the grism number 5 and a long slit with a width of 1.0 arcsec, which delivered spectral data with a nominal dispersion of $3.55 \text{ Å pixel}^{-1}$ (resolution of 17 Å; resolving power of $R \approx 420$ at 700 nm) and a wavelength coverage of 500–1000 nm. A total of four exposures of 300 s each were obtained at parallactic angle and at airmass of 1.33. The observations were hampered by thin cirri and a poor seeing of about 3.0 arcsec.

The raw data were reduced following standard procedures for long-slit spectra within the IRAF environment, including debiasing and flat-fielding. The spectra were optimally extracted and wavelength calibrated using Th-Ar arc lamp exposures obtained at the beginning of the night. The dispersion of the wavelength calibration was 1.3 Å. The spectra were corrected for instrumental response using the spectrophotometric standard star G 191-B2B (white dwarf), which was observed on the same night and with an identical instrumental configuration as our target, but with a different airmass. This and the poor weather conditions prevented us from removing the telluric contribution from the ALFOSC spectra. All four individual spectra were combined to produce a final spectrum (cosmic rays were removed in the process). The ALFOSC spectrum of LP714-47 is shown in Fig. B.1. It has a S/N higher than 200. This spectrum is used in Sect. 4.1 to derive the stellar parameters. A comparison of this spectrum with stellar templates as well as model atmospheres is presented in Appendix B.

3.5. High-contrast imaging

We obtained diffraction-limited infrared images of LP 714-47 on 18 March 2019 with the NIRI instrument at the Gemini Observatory (Hodapp et al. 2003, Program GN-2019A-LP-101, PI Crossfield). We used the NIRI camera behind the ALTAIR facility adaptive optics (AO) system. Nine dithered exposures of 3 s each were acquired, using the Br- γ filter to approximate the *K* band while avoiding saturation. A sky background image was constructed from the dithered science frames. The data were reduced using a custom code that corrects for bad pixels, flatfields, subtracts the sky background, aligns the star between images, and coadds data. Sensitivity to faint companions was calculated by injecting fake PSFs and scaling them to

⁵ https://github.com/mzechmeister/serval



Fig. 3. Sensitivity to visual companions in the Gemini/NIRI data. *Inset*: Thumbnail image of the target. No stellar companions were detected anywhere in the field of view.

the magnitude at which they are detected at 5σ . No companions were seen anywhere in the field of view, which extends at least 13 arcsec from the target in all directions, and we were sensitive to candidates 7 mag fainter than the star beyond a few tenth of arcseconds. Our sensitivity to companions is shown in Fig. 3 along with a thumbnail image of the target. The candidate planet is, therefore, highly unlikely to be a false positive from a blended eclipsing binary.

Additional high-contrast imaging (Fig. C.1) was obtained on 14 January 2020 using the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South⁶. The results are reported in Appendix C. Both direct imaging observations with high contrast and high special resolution exclude a companion which could either lead to a false positive transit detection as background binary or would lead to a third light contribution for the transit light curve.

4. Host star

4.1. Basic stellar parameters

The host star LP714-47 was a poorly investigated, late-type, high-proper-motion star tabulated first by Luyten (1963) and Giclas et al. (1971), and later by Salim & Gould (2003), Lépine & Gaidos (2011), Frith et al. (2013), and Kirkpatrick et al. (2016). The TESS Input Catalog Version 8 (Stassun et al. 2019) lists TOI 442 = TIC 70899085 as a star with an effective temperature of 3779 ± 157 K, a mass of $0.59 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$, and a radius of $0.61 \pm 0.02 R_{\odot}$. The entry in the ExoFOP database also lists user-uploaded analyses by Jason Eastman and Abderahmane Soubkiou (A.S.). Both used exofastv2 (Eastman et al. 2019), which fits planetary and stellar parameters simultaneously using MIST isochrones (Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016), the spectral energy distribution (Fig. 4) and the Gaia DR2 distance (Table 2). A.S. used Gaussian priors on the effective temperature and metallicity from the CARMENES spectral analysis as well as Gaussian priors on the Gaia DR2 parallax (adding 82 µas to the reported value and adding 33μ as in quadrature to the reported error, following the recommendation of Stassun & Torres 2018). An upper limit on the extinction of $A_V = 0.15$ from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust maps was enforced. The exofastv2 fit without priors results in a slightly higher effective temperature





Fig. 4. Spectral energy distribution (SED). Coloured circles represent the photometry, where the horizontal bars represent the effective width of the passbands. Black circles are predicted magnitudes within the observed bandpasses integrated from the best-fit BT-Settl CIFIST atmosphere model (Baraffe et al. 2015; light grey curve) available to VOSA (Bayo et al. 2008).

Table 2. Basic properties of host star LP 714-47.

Parameter	Value	Reference (a)		
Name	LP 714-47	Luy63		
Name	G 160-62	Gic78		
TOI	442	TESS alerts		
TIC	70 899 085	Sta19		
Karmn	J04167-120	Cab16		
α (J2000)	04:16:45.65	Gaia DR2 ^(b)		
δ (J2000)	-12:05:05.5	Gaia DR2 ^(b)		
d (pc)	52.70 ± 0.11	Gaia DR2		
G (mag)	11.7282 ± 0.0004	Gaia DR2		
TESS (mag)	10.733 ± 0.007	Sta19		
J (mag)	9.493 ± 0.024	2MASS		
Sp. type	M0.0 V	This work		
$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	3950 ± 51	This work		
$\log g (\text{cgs})$	4.64 ± 0.04	This work		
L_{\star} (L_{\odot})	0.075 ± 0.001	This work		
R_{\star} (R_{\odot})	0.584 ± 0.016	This work		
M_{\star} (M_{\odot})	0.59 ± 0.02	This work		
[Fe/H] ^(c)	0.41 ± 0.16	This work		
pEW (H α) (Å)	< 0.3	This work		
$v \sin i (\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	<2	This work		
$P_{\rm rot}$ (d)	33 ± 3	This work		

Notes. ^(a)2MASS: Skrutskie et al. (2006); Cab16: Caballero et al. (2016); *Gaia*: Gaia Collaboration (2018); Gic78: Giclas et al. (1978); Luy63: Luyten (1963); Sta19: Stassun et al. (2019). ^(b)Note that the *Gaia* DR2 coordinates are for equinox J2000 and at epoch J2015.5. ^(c)Metallicity is not well constrained. See Appendix B.

 $(4200 \pm 160 \text{ K})$ and lower mass $(0.58 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot})$, the metallicity being slightly sub-solar. The one with priors is in very good agreement with the values reported in Table 2.

We re-determined the stellar parameters from CARMENES spectra of LP 714-47 taken during the radial-velocity follow-up (Sect. 3.3.1). As explained by Passegger et al. (2018, 2019), we used a χ^2 method together with a downhill simplex to obtain T_{eff} , log g, and [Fe/H] by fitting the most recent PHOENIX spectra (Hauschildt 1992, 1993; Passegger et al. 2019) to the co-added CARMENES spectra in both VIS and NIR channels. The luminosity, radius, and mass were determined following Schweitzer et al. (2019); that is, together with the *Gaia* DR2 parallactic distance, we obtained the luminosity, L_{\star} , by integrating the broad-band spectral energy distribution from the blue optical to the mid-infrared, using the Virtual Observatory Spectral Energy Distribution Analyser (VOSA, Bayo et al. 2008, Fig. 4). After applying Stefan–Boltzmann's law to obtain the radius, R_{\star} , we used the linear mass-radius relation from Schweitzer et al. (2019) to arrive at a mass, M_{\star} . The rotational velocity upper limit was determined as in Reiners et al. (2018), and the spectral type was estimated from a comparison with other early-type M dwarfs in their catalogue. All these results, as well as other basic parameters compiled from the literature, are listed in Table 2.

Using the HIRES data (Sect. 3.3.3), we also applied the SpecMatch-Empirical spectral characterisation tool (Yee et al. 2017) to the template spectrum, which yielded $T_{\text{eff}} = 3869 \pm$ 70 K, [Fe/H] = +0.38 ± 0.09 dex, and $R_{\star} = 0.60 \pm 0.10 R_{\odot}$. This is in agreement with the results from the CARMENES data.

In the spectroscopic analysis, the CARMENES-derived effective temperature is found to be in between the TIC v8 and exofastv2 results. However, the mass of $0.59 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$ and radius of $0.58 \pm 0.02 R_{\odot}$ match the previous results. As an independent check on the derived stellar parameters, we also performed a very similar analysis of the spectral energy distribution following the procedures described in Stassun et al. (2018), which resulted in $R = 0.590 \pm 0.015 R_{\odot}$ and $M = 0.57 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}$. The latter mass determination is also consistent with the one that is based on the spectroscopic log g and the photometry-based radius, $M = 0.55 \pm 0.06 M_{\odot}$. Finally, our mass also agrees with the results from the mass-magnitude relation by Mann et al. (2019), which yields $M = 0.595 \pm 0.015 M_{\odot}$ when applying the metallicity-independent version.

The CARMENES and HIRES spectral analyses result in a super-solar metallicity. A note of caution on such a super-solar metallicity should be included, as a low-resolution spectrum of LP 714-47 with ALFOSC at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope points to a slightly sub-solar metallicity (see Appendix B for further details). The most accurate way to determine the metallicity of LP 714-47 is thus an unsettled issue. This is not surprising, given the intensive discussion in the literature about the best way to determine M-star metallicities (Bonfils et al. 2005; Woolf & Wallerstein 2006; Rojas-Ayala et al. 2010; Neves et al. 2013; Gaidos & Mann 2014; Mann et al. 2015; Alonso-Floriano et al. 2015; Montes et al. 2018, and references therein).

For the following analysis of the radial velocity and transit light curve data, we use the CARMENES spectroscopically derived values. In particular, the stellar mass and radius, which are the most important parameters for exoplanet characterisation, are in good agreement with the various analysis results.

4.2. Stellar activity and rotation period

We investigated the stellar activity of LP714-47 using the CARMENES activity indicators (Sect. 3.3.1) presented by Schöfer et al. (2019). The variability across a variety of potential stellar activity indicators such as H α , He D3, Na I D, Ca II IRT, He I λ 10830 Å, Pa- β , TiO bands, and the differential line width (dLW, Sect. 3.3.1), all show that LP714-47 is a relatively inactive star. In particular, the measured pseudo-equivalent width of the H α line is less than +0.3 Å; LP714-47 is thus considered to be an inactive star. This is consistent with the results of Jeffers et al. (2018) and many other authors, who found that only about 10% of early-M stars are H α -active. There is an indication of variability in the TiO bands, the chromatic index (CRX), and the dLW, which would indicate a very low level of spot coverage.

Using the generalised Lomb–Scargle (GLS) periodogram⁷ and the corresponding false-alarm probabilities (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009), we investigated the available long-term ground-based photometry (see Fig. 5). While the ASAS and NSVS data do not show significant periods, the WASP-South data show a peak at 33 d and likely the first harmonic at 16 d. We interpret the 33 d signal as the stellar rotation period, as discussed further in Sect. 5.3. Finally, the very low stellar activity of LP 714-47 is consistent with the upper limit of 2 km s⁻¹ on its projected rotational velocity and with the relatively long rotation period of 33 d.

5. Analysis

The analysis of the various data sets was performed in a sequence of steps, with the modelling details described below. At first, we analyse the radial-velocity data and the transit photometry data separately. In the former, we focus on the planetary origin of the signals; in the latter, we focus on possible transit time variations. As a last step, we performed a combined analysis.

5.1. Method and modelling details

The analysis was done based on a collection of python scripts for fitting Keplerian orbits for the radial velocity and transit data together with Gaussian process regression (GP) accounting for correlated noise, either due to stellar activity or due to coming from an instrumental source or caused by the Earth's atmosphere. The GP model is therefore used as a parametric noise model to account for residuals between observations and the planetary model. For the GP modelling, we used celerite (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017), which offers a fast and reliable implementation of GP regression, and two sets of celerite kernels describing the correlation between each pair of data points, namely REAL and SHO. The former represents an exponential decay at a characteristic time scale τ , while the latter is a stochastically-driven damped harmonic oscillator with an oscillator period P and a damping time scale τ , and can describe a quasi-periodic behaviour. Mathematical details are given in Appendix E.

For the analysis of the radial-velocity data, we assume that the noise is (mostly) due to stellar activity. Sufficiently longliving active regions would cause correlations on time scale of their lifetimes and a (quasi) periodicity on the stellar rotation period or its harmonics, represented by the *SHO* kernel. Active regions with life times sufficiently below the rotation period or small-scale regions would cause correlated noise, which shows short-term correlations better represented by the *REAL* kernel. In the model, it is required for the same GP model to fit all radial-velocity data simultaneously. In order to constrain the GP regression, the fit of the GP parameters can simultaneously take the spectral activity indicators into account. We did not add a GP model for the transit data sets.

Each data set was analysed with an individual offset and with the option of a jitter, quadratically added to the measurement uncertainties, for each data set separately. We checked for a linear trend within the radial-velocity data, but found it to be consistent with zero. Therefore, we did not include a linear term in the RV fitting.

The Keplerian model had the following physical parameters: using the radial velocity we fitted the orbital period, P, the eccentricity, e, the longitude of periastron, ω , and the time of

⁷ https://github.com/mzechmeister/GLS

S. Dreizler et al.: TOI 442.01 = LP 714-47 b: populating the Neptune desert



Fig. 5. Periodogram of radial-velocity data with sufficiently long coverage, spectral activity indicators, long-term photometry, and TESS light curve. The red vertical line indicates the planet period, the blue vertical lines indicate the potential rotation period at 33 d and its first harmonic, while the corresponding dashed lines mark the 1-day alias in the ground-based data sets. For CARMENES VIS and NIR, as well as for Keck/HIRES, we also show the periodogram of the residuals after subtracting the planet signal. Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 10%, 1%, and 0.1%, respectively.

periastron, t_{peri} , as well as a semi-amplitude of the radial velocity variation, K. For the analytic transit light curve model (Mandel & Agol 2002), we used the orbital period, the time of periastron, the orbital inclination, i, the planet-to-star radius ratio, R_p/R_{\star} , as well as the semi-major axis in units of the stellar radius, a/R_{\star} , as fit parameters.

In the combined fit of radial-velocity data and transit photometry, we used the stellar mass and its uncertainty from Table 2 as input values and derived a and R_{\star} independently. Additionally, the planetary mass, radius, density, and equilibrium temperature could then be derived.

When investigating transit timing variations, the transit time of each transit was an additional free parameter. Depending on the limb darkening law applied, additional free parameters were needed. In particular, we used a quadratic limb darkening adding two parameters. Here, we only fitted the limb darkening parameters of the TESS light curve, but kept the others fixed using values from stellar atmosphere models for the ground-based (Husser et al. 2013) and *Spitzer* data (Claret et al. 2013) to limit the number of free parameters. Since the second coefficient of the quadratic limb darkening law was unconstrained by the TESS data, we also fixed to the value derived from the stellar atmosphere models.

The fitting procedure started with an initial guess of the planetary parameters guided by a periodogram analysis. These parameters were optimised using scipy.optimize.minimize. We then ran the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) with 400 walkers and 10 000 steps after already 10 000 burn-in steps, initialised with a Gaussian distribution around the previous best fit and a standard deviation 10 times larger than that of the first optimisation. We made sure that the initial distribution was far broader than the final one from the MCMC posteriors. Boundaries for the parameters were only set to guarantee positive definite values for the amplitude $K, R_p/R_{\star}$, and R_{\star} . The eccentricity is limited to bound orbits, the absolute value of the eccentricity was used when calculating the Keplerian models, as discussed in Eastman et al. (2013, Appendix D). We use uniform priors for all parameters within their bounds.

5.2. Confirmation of LP 714-47 b from radial velocity variations

For our initial values, we calculated the GLS periodogram and the corresponding false-alarm probabilities (Zechmeister & Kürster 2009) for all radial-velocity data and the spectroscopic and photometric activity indicators (Fig. 5). The CARMENES VIS and the CARMENES NIR and HIRES data sets all show a signal at the expected period of the transiting planet at 4.05 d, with daily aliasing. The structure of the peaks show the mean separation of the two runs (1/220 and $1/75 d^{-1}$ for CARMENES and HIRES, respectively) and the run length of about 20 d as width of the alias pattern. The signal is above the 0.1% falsealarm probability (FAP) in the VIS and HIRES data, and at 1% in the NIR data. The ESPRESSO data set is by itself too limited to show the 4.05 d signal, but a broad peak in that period range is present in the periodogram of the data. Likewise, the sampling was also insufficient to detect the 4.05 d signal in the PFS data set by itself; the 4.05 d signal could not be detected in the periodogram of the iSHELL data alone.

After subtracting the signal at 4.05 d, additional power is present in the periodograms in the range of 14–16 d for VIS and HIRES, but not for NIR. The inspection of the periodograms of the spectroscopic activity indicators CRX, dLW, and H α revealed power in the 14–16 d (dLW and H α) and 25–40 d (CRX) ranges, respectively. While the former period range overlaps with the second highest peak in the periodogram of the radialvelocity data, the latter is about twice that period. As mentioned in Sect. 4.2, the long-term photometry of WASP-South shows a clear peak at 33 d, which we interpret as the stellar rotation period. Therefore, we used the activity indicators in order to discriminate between the signals of a true companion and of stellar activity in the radial-velocity data as discussed in the following.

For the detailed analysis, we ran a sequence of models with increasing complexity for the radial-velocity data (Table 3). For the model selection, we used the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) defined as $-2 \ln \mathcal{L}_{max} + k \ln N$ with the maximum likelihood \mathcal{L}_{max} , the number of free parameters k, and the number of data points N. Following Kass & Raftery (1995), we convert the difference of the BIC value of two models \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 into the Bayes Factor (BF) by assuming a single Gaussian posterior distribution, $\ln BF_{21} = 1/2(BIC_{\mathcal{M}_1} - BIC_{\mathcal{M}_2})$. An appropriate

Table 3. Sequence of models for the analysis of the radial-velocity data
with $n = 0 \dots 2$ planets in circular (C) or Keplerian (K) orbits, with or
without GP kernels or jitter terms with their corresponding BIC values.

n	Orbit	GP	BIC	2	BF		
			No jitter	Jitter	No jitter	Jitter	
0	_	_	8192	889	3755	103.5	
1	С	_	1360	752	339	35	
1	Κ	_	1344	761	331	39.5	
1	K	REAL	693	720	4.5	18	
1	Κ	SHO	696	720	6	18	
2	Κ	_	709	705	12.5	10.5	
2	Κ	REAL	684	709	0	12.5	
2	Κ	SHO	690	719	3	17.5	

Notes. The BF is calculated relative to the model with the lowest BIC value. The selected model is in boldface.

threshold for the selection is $\ln BF_{21} > 5$ according to Kass & Raftery (1995).

Our base model was the no-planet model that allowed for instrumental offsets for the individual data sets. We then added one Keplerian signal with a period of 4.05 d (see Table 4). The eccentricity was small and compatible with zero, as expected for a close-in planet. We also checked the match between the mid transit time predicted from the fit of all radial-velocity data only with those from all transit data. From the former, the 1σ uncertainty is 0.095 d compared to 0.0003 d from the latter. From the radial-velocity data, the transit time of the first transit in the TESS data is predicted within 2σ . The improvement in the BIC value constitutes a clear detection of the transit signal period in the radial-velocity data (Table 3, Fig. 6). This improvement was complemented by the analysis of the high-spatial resolution imaging (Sect. 3.5), which excluded any background eclipsing binary. Further confirmation was obtained from the comparison of the analysis of the visual (CARMENES VIS, HIRES, PFS) versus infrared (CARMENES NIR, iSHELL) data. The radial-velocity semi-amplitudes determined from both data sets agree within the uncertainties of our preferred model listed in Table 4, showing that the strength of the signal is wavelength independent, as expected for a planetary signal.

5.3. Correlated noise, stellar rotation, and search for additional planets

After subtracting the Keplerian signal, a second signal at about 16 d $(0.06 d^{-1})$ is detected in the periodograms of the residuals of individual data sets (Fig. 5, panels 2, 5, and 7 from the top) as well as in the combined radial velocity data (Fig. 7). The GLS-priodogram in this figure was calculated from all radial velocity data, corrected for individual offsets taken from the best-fit model (Table 4).

As mentioned in Sect. 4.2, this period is close to half of the stellar rotation period of 33 d (5) and this signal could be due to stellar activity rather than to a second planet. Modelling this signal as a second planet or as correlated noise shows a significant improvement in the BIC value (bottom five rows in Table 3).

A fit with a second Keplerian signal results in a good fit for a Neptune-mass planet (Table 4, Figs. F.1 and F.2). In combination with the *REAL* kernel, the two-planet model has the lowest BIC value. The eccentricity of the second planet would be rather high (e = 0.26, without GP). Nonetheless, we checked

S. Dreizler et al.: TOI 442.01 = LP 714-47 b: populating the Neptune desert

Parameter	One planet + $GP^{(a)}$	Two plane	ets	Two planets + GP		
planet	b	b c		b	c	
<i>P</i> (d)	4.052037 ± 0.000004	$4.052039 \pm 0.000005 \qquad 16.04 \pm 0.04 \qquad 4.052037 \pm 0.000005$		$16.06^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$		
$K ({\rm ms^{-1}})$	17.6 ± 0.8	$17.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ 6.9 ± 0.3 17.4		$17.4^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	$6.3^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	
е	0.04 ± 0.02	$0.030^{+0.008}_{-0.009}$	0.26 ± 0.06	0.04 ± 0.02	$0.12^{+0.16}_{-0.09}$	
ω (deg)	219 ± 19	191^{+12}_{-9}	297 ± 112	21 ± 7	92^{+44}_{-31}	
$t_{\text{peri}} (\mathbf{d})^{(b)}$	1.80 ± 0.23	$1.48^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$	7.3 ± 0.9	1.82 ± 0.09	$14.0^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$	
<i>i</i> (deg)	87.3 ± 0.2	$87.2^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$		87.3 ± 0.2		
$R_{\rm p}/R_{\star}$	0.0751 ± 0.0009	$0.0754^{+0.0010}_{-0.0009}$		$0.0750\substack{+0.0010\\-0.0009}$		
R_{\star} (R_{\odot})	0.57 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.02		0.57 ± 0.02		
u_1	0.48 ± 0.08	0.5 ± 0.1		0.47 ± 0.10		
$u_2^{(c)}$	0.245	0.245		0.245		
Derived parameters						
a (au)	0.0417 ± 0.0005	0.0417 ± 0.0005	0.104 ± 0.001	0.0417 ± 0.0005	0.104 ± 0.001	
$\lambda (\mathrm{deg})^{(b,d)}$	336.1 ± 0.8	337.0 ± 0.9 135 ± 12		$336.8^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$	134 ± 27	
$t_{\rm c}$ (d) ^(b,e)	$0.38421^{+0.00025}_{-0.00024}$	$0.38401^{+0.00041}_{-0.0004}$	$13.22^{+0.92}_{-0.97}$	$0.38419^{+0.00027}_{-0.00023}$	$13.51^{+1.51}_{-1.69}$	
$m_{\rm p}~(M_\oplus)$	30.8 ± 1.5	30.7 ± 0.8	18.4 ± 1.0	30.5 ± 1.2	$17.2^{+1.4}_{-2.2}$	
a/R_{\star}	$15.9^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$	$15.8^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$	$39.5^{+3.1}_{-2.0}$	$15.7^{+1.0}_{-0.8}$	$39.4^{+2.5}_{-2.0}$	
$R_{\rm p}~(R_\oplus)$	4.7 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.3		4.7 ± 0.3	••••	
$\rho_{\rm p}~({\rm g~cm^{-3}})$	1.7 ± 0.3	$1.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$		$1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.3}$		
$T_{\rm eq} ({\rm K})^{(f)}$	700^{+19}_{-24}	703^{+21}_{-29}	444_{-18}^{+13}	706^{+21}_{-25}	445^{+13}_{-16}	
Instrumental parameters of	fset and weighted rms					
CARMENES VIS (m s ⁻¹]	$-2.5^{+1.3}_{-1.5}$ 1.64	$-1.0^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ 4	.28	$-2.4^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ 1.85		
CARMENES NIR (m s ⁻¹)	$0.0^{+2.7}_{-2.6}$ 12.3	$5.6^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ 11.8		$-2.8^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$ 12.3		
ESPRESSO $(m s^{-1})$	$3.6^{+3.2}_{-3.1}$ 0.50	$3.1_{-0.3}^{+0.2}$ 1.26		$4.8^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$ 0.52		
HIRES $(m s^{-1})$	$2.3^{+1.9}_{-1.7}$ 0.44	$1.5^{+0.4}_{-0.5} 2.80$		$3.5^{+0.7}_{-1.0}$ 0.42		
PFS $(m s^{-1})$	$-3.6^{+2.4}_{-2.5}$ 0.65	$-0.6^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ 2.49		$-4.6^{+1.0}_{-0.7}$ 0.90		
iSHELL (m s ⁻¹)	$-0.3^{+2.8}_{-3.0}$ 5.03	$0.02^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ 7.	.61	$0.0^{+0.8}_{-1.0}$ 5.49		
GP hyper parameters						
Variance $(m^2 s^{-2})$	27^{+8}_{-6}			$16.9^{+5.5}_{-4.6}$		
$ au_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{d}\right)$	$3.2^{+1.5}_{-0.9}$			$2.2^{+1.3}_{-0.7}$		
$-\ln L$	316	336		303		
Red. χ^2	0.81	2.64		1.04		

Table 4. Model and reference statistical parameters from the simultaneous fit of radial velocities and transit photometry.

Notes. Uncertainties are given as 68% intervals. The planetary parameters of the transiting planet from the different models are within 1- σ of each other, proving the consistency of this signal. Posterior distributions of the parameters are displayed in Fig. 11. The derived values for semi-major axis and planetary mass take the stellar mass uncertainty listed in Table 2 into account. The likelihood – ln *L* and the reduced χ^2 is given for the RV fit. ^(a)We found the best model to be the one planet + GP model. ^(b)Reference time is BJD = 2458438. ^(c)Fixed. ^(d)Mean longitude. ^(e)Time of inferior conjunction. ^(f)Assuming zero albedo and full heat redistribution.

the dynamical stability of this hypothetical two-planet system, which would be close to a 4:1 mean motion resonance, using the Hill stability criterion in the angular momentum deficit (AMD) framework introduced by Petit et al. (2018) implemented in the Exo-Striker package (Trifonov 2019). A second (co-planar) planet at a 4:1 period commensurability would be stable up to an eccentricity of about 0.4. The TESS data did not show indications of another transit. However, transits corresponding to most

of the viable orbital solutions of this potential planet would have gone undetected due to the gap in the TESS data during the time needed for the data downlink.

Alternatively, we fit the second signal assuming it to be caused by correlated noise due to stellar activity with *REAL* and *SHO* kernels. The oscillator period of the SHO kernel representing the stellar rotation periods was constrained by the simultaneous fit of the chromatic index with a wide uniform prior



Fig. 6. Radial-velocity data (grey symbols) and corrected for correlated noise by subtracting the contribution of the GP model (coloured symbols), and phase-folded to the planet period P = 4.052 d. CARMENES NIR RVs are not shown because of their larger uncertainties.



Fig. 7. GLS periodograms of the combined radial-velocity data corrected for individual offsets: Subsequent pre-whitening of the radial-velocity data (*top*) with the signal of LP 714-47 b (*middle*) and the GP modelling the correlated noise (*bottom*). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01%, respectively.

between 5 d and 200 d. Despite the simultaneous fit of the activity indicator, the fit with the *SHO* kernel resulted in a strongly damped oscillator equivalent to an exponential decay, which was better modelled using the *REAL* kernel. This is also indicated by the better BIC value of the fits with the *REAL* kernel (Table 3). In Fig. 7, the middle and lower panels show the subsequent removal of the two model components, that is, the one-planet and the GP model (REAL kernel). The models are sampled at the times of observation. In Fig. 8, the periodograms of these two model components are shown in comparison to the full dataset.



Fig. 8. Periodogams of the two model components of the best-fit model: the radial-velocity data (*top*), the GP modelling the correlated noise (*middle*), and the planet (*bottom*). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01%, respectively.

The two-planet model with GP has a lower BIC value compared to the one-planet model that includes a GP model, however, the Bayes factor differs slightly less than the threshold of five. This weak detection could argue for using this as our final best model, but there is currently more evidence for an interpretation of the 16 d signal as correlated noise due to stellar activity. First, the activity indicators showed periods at 33 d (H α) and 16 d (dLW and CRX), as seen in Fig. 5. The photometric monitoring with WASP-South showed a peak at 33 d. Secondly, a given GP kernel might not adequately represent the effect of stellar activity. Therefore, we interpret the 33 d as the stellar rotation period and the 16 d signal is potentially the second harmonic.

While none of these arguments rule out a second planet, its confirmation would need a longer time base to check the coherence of this signal. We show the comparison of the two-planet model with the GP in more detail in Appendix F (in particular Figs. F.1–F.4).

All the variants of the modelling do not have an impact on the parameters derived for the planet b, as demonstrated by the comparison between the parameters for the one planet + GP, the two planets, and the two planets + GP models shown in Table 4.

5.4. Analysis of the transit light curves

As the next step in our analysis, we performed a simultaneous fit of the transit light curves (Figs. 9, 10, and A.1) to obtain the additional planet parameters, namely the planet-to-star radius ratio and a refined orbital period (see Sect. 5.1). We first used the linear ephemeris to calculate all mid-transit times during the fit procedure. The good fit of the ground-based transit follow-up light curves additionally confirms the planetary origin of the 4.05 d signal.

We then allowed for small shifts in the mid-transit times for each transit. The very good match of all the transits with a Keplerian model indicates very small or absent TTVs and, hence, potential planet-planet interaction below the current detection



Fig. 9. Six transits observed by TESS (*top*) and one transit from *Spitzer* (*bottom*) phase-folded to the transiting planet period of 4.052 d. The grey model in the lower panel corresponds to the best joint model fit, whereas the black line allowed for individual mid transit time shifts (Sect. 5.4). The shift is of the order of 2 min.

threshold. The possible indication of a small shift in mid transit time of the TUG, GMU, and MONET data (Fig. A.1), on the order of 3 min, can be attributed to correlated noise. A small transit midpoint shift of about 2 min (1 σ deviation) is also visible in the *Spitzer* light curve (Fig. 9). By fitting the five TESS transits individually, we also see a scatter in transit time by that amount. The transit times were also checked and confirmed independently within the team using juliet and exofast.

This marginal transit time variation in the *Spitzer* light curve may indicate that a less massive planet could be hidden in the data. As a result, we explored this possibility using TTVFaster (Agol & Deck 2016). At a 2:1 orbit commensurability or mean motion resonance to planet b, a low-mass planet would produce TTVs of the order of minutes, strongly depending on the period and mass ratio, while its radial velocity signal could be sufficiently low to escape detection in the current data. A more in-depth investigation of the possibility of an additional planet is beyond the scope of this paper and requires more data, in particular, more transit-time measurements for planet b.



Fig. 10. MuSCAT2 *g* (*top*) and z_s (*bottom*) data phase-folded to the planet period. The grey model allowed in the lower panel corresponds to the best joint model fit, whereas the black line allowed for individual mid transit time shifts (Sect. 5.4). The other ground-based transit light curves are shown in Fig. A.1. We note the effect of the different limb darkening in the various filters.

5.5. Final model for LP 714-47 b

In a final analysis step, we simultaneously fit the radial-velocity data together with the transit light curves. The simultaneous fit slightly improves the parameters. The results are listed in Table 4. In summary, we firmly detect a transiting planet with about twice the mass of Neptune $(m_{\rm b} = 30.8 \pm 1.5 M_{\oplus})$ orbiting the early M star LP 714-47 at the period of the signal reported for TOI 442.01. The radius of $r_{\rm b} = 4.7 \pm 0.3 R_{\oplus}$ makes LP 714-47 b a Neptune-like planet with a mean density of $\rho = 1.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. We obtain the stellar radius from the stellar mass prior and the transit parameters, which results in $R_{\star} = 0.57 \pm 0.02 R_{\odot}$. This is in excellent agreement with the spectroscopic radius reported in Table 2. Additional radial velocity variations are most likely due to stellar activity. The components of our preferred model (one planet + GP) are shown in Fig. 8. The GLS-periodogram on the top panel is computed from the combined radial-velocity data, corrected for individual offsets (Table 4). The middle and bottom panels show the two model components, that is, the GP



Fig. 11. Posterior distribution of planetary parameters from our best-fit model.

model and the planetary model. The comparison to the radialvelocity data is shown in Fig. D.1, as well as to the phase-folded data in Fig. 6. In the latter, we omit CARMENES NIR data due to larger uncertainties as they would not contribute any additional information. A comparison of our final model to the transit photometric data sets is displayed in Figs. 9, 10, and A.1. The posterior parameter distribution is presented as a corner plot in Fig. 11.

6. Discussion and conclusions

We used radial-velocity data from CARMENES, ESPRESSO, HIRES, PFS, and iSHELL, and light curves from TESS, *Spitzer*, and ground-based photometry, as well as high-resolution AO imaging using Gemini/NIRI to confirm the planetary nature of LP 714-47 b and determine the parameters of the planetary system with high accuracy. The simultaneous fit of radial velocities and transits allowed a determination of the planetary mass and radius within 5% and 6%, respectively, while the planet-to-star radius ratio was determined with an even smaller fractional



Fig. 12. Mass-radius diagram for low-mass planets. Mass-radius relations for various compositions are overlayed. Coloured lines are planetary models by Zeng et al. (2016) for idealised pure compositions. Dashed lines indicate constant mean densities. The incoming stellar radiation in present terrestrial units is colour-coded.



Fig. 13. Period-radius diagrams for planets with radius precision better than 10%. The light grey shaded region represents the mean boundaries of the Neptune desert derived by Mazeh et al. (2016) and the dark grey shaded region corresponds to the interior envelope of the 1σ boundaries. The incoming stellar radiation is colour coded.

uncertainty of 1.5%. The limiting factor was the uncertainty in the host star mass. Comparing these uncertainties to those listed in the NASA Exoplanet Archive⁸ LP 714-47 b is among the well characterised planets, allowing for a meaningful comparison with planet structure models (Fig. 12).

As discussed in Sect. 5, the signal at 16 d is significant. From the existing data, it is, however, not possible to identify this signal in the radial-velocity data as a second planet due to the proximity of the period to half of the stellar rotation period at 33 d. We therefore do not claim the detection of a second planet in a 16 d orbit based on the current data set. The transit time of the *Spitzer* light curve may indicate a weak TTV, which could be due to a rocky planet close to 2:1 mean motion resonance. A longer monitoring of the radial velocity variations to check the coherence of the 16d signal, as well as the re-visit of TESS in its extended mission to check for changes in transit times and inclination, will shed new light on this issue.

As shown in Fig. 12, LP 714-47 b has the same bulk density as Neptune at twice the Neptune mass and higher equilibrium temperature. Together with its orbital period, this places LP 714-47 b as an apparently typical warm Neptune-like planet at the edge of the Neptune desert. In the orbital period range of tens of days or less, and for a given planet size, the planet occurrence density $dn/d \log P$ rises steeply at a specific period before flattening out to a roughly constant value. The position of this rise shifts to larger orbits with rising planet mass and radius (see Fig. 13), creating a diagonal boundary between a rather densely populated zone of super-Earths and an area of very low occurrence represented in grey in Fig. 13 (e.g. Szabó & Kiss 2011; Mazeh et al. 2016). The occurrence of planets such as LP 714-47 b within the Neptune desert provides constraints for the possible scenarios to explain this feature in the planetary system architectures. Multiple origins of this Neptune desert have been considered:

- Photoevaporation of the planetary atmosphere once a gasrich planet arrives close to its central star, explaining the lower boundary of the desert (Owen & Wu 2013; Lopez & Fortney 2014; Jin et al. 2014). Either additionally or alternatively, the subsequently released primordial energy from the formation may (further) clean up the Neptune desert, since this energy is comparable to the atmospheric binding energy. This core-powered mass-loss scenario was discussed later by Ginzburg et al. (2016), Gupta & Schlichting (2019, 2020);
- Interplay of gas accretion and planet migration in the core accretion scenario. Planets of low and intermediate masses undergo fast, inward type-I migration and arrive at inner orbits where they cannot accrete efficiently anymore (e.g. Cimerman et al. 2017; Lambrechts & Lega 2017). These planets presumably shape the lower border of the triangular desert region. On the other hand, planets that enter runaway gas accretion in the outer regions can open a gap in the disc and enter the less efficient type-II migration regime. These emerging gas giants move diagonally in the radius-period diagram and arrive at the upper border of the desert. In addition, scattering events could move gas giants that remained in the outer disc close to their host star, populating that region as well (e.g. Dawson & Johnson 2018). The resulting desert has been observed in several independent planet population syntheses (e.g. Ida & Lin 2008; Mordasini et al. 2009; Bitsch & Johansen 2016; Ndugu et al. 2018);
- Migration of low-mass planets of several Earth masses to the inner regions of the disc (e.g. Flock et al. 2019). At these close orbits, further gas accretion is unlikely due to the high temperatures (Lambrechts & Lega 2017; Cimerman et al. 2017) and the planets are stuck at low masses;

⁸ https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/

 High-eccentricity migration followed by circularisation (Matsakos & Königl 2016). When circularised close to the host star, planets in the intermediate mass range are preferentially subject to tidal disruption. Predictions related to the Neptune desert limits are in good agreement with the observations.

LP 714-47 b is among the few objects that populate this desert, residing at its lower boundary. The low density of the planet (see mass-radius diagram Fig. 12) suggests that it hosts an atmosphere, although its composition is not yet known. This low bulk density indicates that at some point during its evolution, LP 714-47 b accreted a sizeable gaseous envelope and retained parts of this atmosphere even if it was subject to photoevaporation, which is relatively low due to the late stellar type (see the colour code in Fig. 13). This scenario is supported by the mass of the planet, which is sufficiently high to prevent significant erosion through this mechanism (e.g. Owen & Wu 2013). If, however, a future characterisation of the atmosphere of LP 714-47 b showed a preferential loss of light elements, this would indicate that it experienced photoevaporative loss (Benneke et al. 2019).

The eccentricity of LP 714-47 b is very low. If it did indeed experience a high-eccentricity migration in the past, it obviously did survive the event. This is in agreement with its position in Fig. 1 of Matsakos & Königl (2016), where it is inside the stable region.

The close orbit of LP 714-47 b implies a warm environment with equilibrium temperatures of about 700 K. At such temperatures and at its current location, the contraction of an early atmosphere is hindered due to recycling flows that penetrate the planetary Hill sphere, preventing the planet from growing into a gas giant (e.g. Cimerman et al. 2017). This leads to the tentative conclusion that the planet most likely formed in colder regions further out, possibly beyond the water ice line, before migrating inwards while its atmosphere contracted. In summary, LP 714-47 b adds to planets in or close to the Neptune desert and, therefore, it contributes to the building up of a sufficiently large sample that can provide constraints for the planet formation scenarios discussed above.

Acknowledgements. CARMENES is an instrument for the Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán de Calar Alto (CAHA, Almería, Spain). CARMENES is funded by the German Max-Planck-Gesellschaft (MPG), the Spanish Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), the European Union through FEDER/ERF FICTS-2011-02 funds, and the members of the CARMENES Consortium (Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, Landessternwarte Königstuhl, Institut de Ciències de l'Espai, Institut für Astrophysik Göttingen, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Hamburger Sternwarte, Centro de Astrobiología and Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán), with additional contributions by the Spanish Ministry of Economy, the German Science Foundation through the Major Research Instrumentation Programme and DFG Research Unit FOR2544 "Blue Planets around Red Stars", the Klaus Tschira Stiftung, the states of Baden-Württemberg and Niedersachsen, and by the Junta de Andalucía. Based on data from the CARMENES data archive at CAB (INTA-CSIC). We acknowledge the use of public TESS Alert data from pipelines at the TESS Science Office and at the TESS Science Processing Operations Center. This research has made use of the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. Resources supporting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing (HEC) Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing (NAS) Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products. Some of the observations in the paper made use of the High-Resolution Imaging instrument Zorro. Zorro was funded by the NASA Exoplanet Exploration Program and built at the NASA Ames Research Center by Steve B. Howell, Nic Scott, Elliott P. Horch, and Emmett Quigley. Zorro was mounted on the Gemini South telescope of the international Gemini

Observatory, a program of NSF's OIR Lab, which is managed by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA) under a cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation. on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), National Research Council (Canada), Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (Chile), Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación (Argentina), Ministério da Ciência, Tecnologia, Inovações e Comunicações (Brazil), and Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute (Republic of Korea). This work makes use of observations from the LCOGT network. This article is based on observations made with the MuSCAT2 instrument, developed by ABC, at Telescopio Carlos Sánchez operated on the island of Tenerife by the IAC in the Spanish Observatorio del Teide. This paper is also based on observations made in the Observatorios de Canarias del IAC with the Nordic Optical Telescope operated on the island of La Palma by NOTSA in the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos. Data were partly obtained with the MONET/South telescope of the MOnitoring NEtwork of Telescopes, funded by the Alfried Krupp von Bohlen und Halbach Foundation, Essen, and operated by the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, the McDonald Observatory of the University of Texas at Austin, and the South African Astronomical Observatory. This research has made use of the NASA Exoplanet Archive, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. This research made use of Lightkurve, a Python package for Kepler and TESS data analysis (Lightkurve Collaboration 2018). We acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades and the European FEDER/ERF funds through projects AYA2015-69350-C3-2-P, PGC2018-098153-B-C31/C33, AYA2016-79425-C3-1/2/3-P, AYA2018-84089, BES-2017-080769, ESP2016-80435-C2-1/2-R, ESP2017-87676-C5-1/2/5-R, Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (SEV-2017-0709), the Generalitat de Catalunya through CERCA programme, the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council through grant ST/P000592/1, the JSPS KAKENHI through grants 17H04574, JP18H01265, and 18H05439, and the JST PRESTO through grant JPMJPR1775, the "la Caixa" INPhINIT Fellowship Grant LCF/BQ/IN17/11620033 for Doctoral studies at Spanish Research Centres of Excellence, V.M.P. acknowledges support from NASA Grant NNX17AG24G, Support for this work was provided to J.K.T. by NASA through Hubble Fellowship grant HST-HF2-51399.001 awarded by the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., for NASA, under contract NAS5-26555. The research leading to these results has received funding from the ARC grant for Concerted Research Actions, financed by the Wallonia-Brussels Federation. TRAPPIST is funded by the Belgian Fund for Scientific Research (Fond National de la Recherche Scientifique, FNRS) under the grant FRFC 2.5.594.09.F, with the participation of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF). M.G. and E.J. are FNRS Senior Research Associates. S.D. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Research Unit FOR2544 "Blue Planets around Red Stars", project no. RE 281/32-1. M.Z. acknowledges support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under DFG RE 1664/12-1 and Research Unit FOR2544 "Blue Planets around Red Stars", project no. RE 1664/14-1. M.S. was supported by the DFG Research Unit FOR2544 "Blue Planets around Red Stars", project no. RE 2694/4-1. I.J.M.C. and E.M. acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through grant AST-1824644. B.B. thanks the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant 757 448-PAMDORA) for their financial support. D.D. acknowledges support from NASA through Caltech/JPL grant RSA-1006130 and through the TESS Guest Investigator Program Grant 80NSSC19K1727. P.P. acknowledges support from NASA (16-APROBES16-0020 and the Exoplanet Exploration Program) and the National Science Foundation (Astronomy and Astrophysics grant 1716202), the Mt Cuba Astronomical Foundation, and George Mason University start-up and instructional equipment funds. The NASA Infrared Telescope Facility is operated by the University of Hawaii under contract NNH14CK55B with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. M.R.K is supported by the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship, grant No. DGE 1 339 067. M.Y. and H.V.S. thank to TÜBİTAK for a partial support in using T100 telescope with project number 19AT100-1474. J.N.W. thanks the Heising-Simons Foundation for support. D.H. acknowledges support from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (80NSSC18K1585, 80NSSC19K0379), and the National Science Foundation (AST-1717000). T.D. acknowledges support from MIT's Kavli Institute as a Kavli postdoctoral fellow. This work made use of tpfplotter (developed by J. Lillo-Box, which also made use of the python packages astropy, lightkurve, matplotlib and numpy. Part of this research was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). The authors wish to recognise and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community. We are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. Finally, we thank the referee for detailed and helpful comments.

References

- Agol, E., & Deck, K. 2016, ApJ, 818, 177
- Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Alexander, D. R., & Starrfield, S. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 137
- Aller, A., Lillo-Box, J., Jones, D., Miranda, L. F., & Barceló Forteza, S. 2020, A&A, 635, A128
- Alonso-Floriano, F. J., Morales, J. C., Caballero, J. A., et al. 2015, A&A, 577, A128
- Armstrong, D. J., Lopez, T. A., Adibekyan, V., et al. 2020, Nature, 583, 39
- Baraffe, I., Homeier, D., Allard, F., & Chabrier, G. 2015, A&A, 577, A42
- Bayo, A., Rodrigo, C., Barrado Y Navascués, D., et al. 2008, A&A, 492, 277
- Benneke, B., Knutson, H. A., Lothringer, J., et al. 2019, Nat. Astron., 3, 813 Bitsch, B., & Johansen, A. 2016, A&A, 590, A101
- Bonfils, X., Delfosse, X., Udry, S., et al. 2005, A&A, 442, 635
- Brown, T. M., Baliber, N., Bianco, F. B., et al. 2013, PASP, 125, 1031
- Burt, J. A., Nielsen, L. D., Quinn, S. N., et al. 2020, AJ, 160, 153
- Butler, R. P., Marcy, G. W., Williams, E., et al. 1996, PASP, 108, 500
- Caballero, J. A., Guàrdia, J., López del Fresno, M., et al. 2016, Proc. SPIE, 9910, 99100E
- Cale, B., Plavchan, P., LeBrun, D., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 170
- Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102 Cimerman, N. P., Kuiper, R., & Ormel, C. W. 2017, MNRAS, 471, 4662
- Claret, A., Hauschildt, P. H., & Witte, S. 2013, A&A, 552, A16
- Collins, K. A., Kielkopf, J. F., Stassun, K. G., & Hessman, F. V. 2017, AJ, 153, 77
- Crane, J. D., Shectman, S. A., & Butler, R. P. 2006, Proc. SPIE, 6269, 626931
- Crane, J. D., Shectman, S. A., Butler, R. P., Thompson, I. B., & Burley, G. S.
- 2008, Proc. SPIE, 7014, 701479
- Crane, J. D., Shectman, S. A., Butler, R. P., et al. 2010, Proc. SPIE, 7735, 773553
- Dawson, R. I., & Johnson, J. A. 2018, ARA&A, 56, 175
- Deming, D., Knutson, H., Kammer, J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 805, 132
- Díaz, M. R., Jenkins, J. S., Gand olfi, D., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 973
- Dotter, A. 2016, ApJS, 222, 8
- Eastman, J., Gaudi, B. S., & Agol, E. 2013, PASP, 125, 83
- Eastman, J. D., Rodriguez, J. E., Agol, E., et al. 2019, PASP, submitted [arXiv:1907.09480]
- Fazio, G. G., Hora, J. L., Allen, L. E., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 10
- Flock, M., Turner, N. J., Mulders, G. D., et al. 2019, A&A, 630, A147
- Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, PASP, 125, 306 Foreman-Mackey, D., Agol, E., Ambikasaran, S., & Angus, R. 2017, AJ, 154,
- 220
- Frith, J., Pinfield, D. J., Jones, H. R. A., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 2161
- Gaia Collaboration (Brown, A. G. A., et al.) 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gaidos, E., & Mann, A. W. 2014, ApJ, 791, 54
- Giclas, H. L., Burnham, R., & Thomas, N. G. 1971, Lowell proper motion survey Northern Hemisphere. The G numbered stars. 8991 stars fainter than magnitude 8 with motions > 0."26/year (Lowell Observatory)
- Giclas, H. L., Burnham, R., J., & Thomas, N. G. 1978, Lowell Observ. Bull., 8,
- Ginzburg, S., Schlichting, H. E., & Sari, R. 2016, ApJ, 825, 29
- Ginzburg, S., Schlichting, H. E., & Sari, R. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 759
- Gupta, A., & Schlichting, H. E. 2019, MNRAS, 487, 24
- Gupta, A., & Schlichting, H. E. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 792
- Hauschildt, P. H. 1992, J. Quant. Spec. Rad. Transf., 47, 433
- Hauschildt, P. H. 1993, J. Quant. Spec. Rad. Transf., 50, 301
- Hauschildt, P. H., Allard, F., & Baron, E. 1999, ApJ, 512, 377
- Hippke, M., & Heller, R. 2019, A&A, 623, A39
- Hodapp, K. W., Jensen, J. B., Irwin, E. M., et al. 2003, PASP, 115, 1388
- Howard, A. W., Marcy, G. W., Johnson, J. A., et al. 2010, Science, 330, 653
- Howell, S. B., Everett, M. E., Sherry, W., Horch, E., & Ciardi, D. R. 2011, AJ, 142, 19
- Husser, T. O., Wende-von Berg, S., Dreizler, S., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A6
- Ida, S., & Lin, D. N. C. 2008, ApJ, 685, 584
- Jeffers, S. V., Schöfer, P., Lamert, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 614, A76
- Jehin, E., Gillon, M., Queloz, D., et al. 2011, Messenger, 145, 2
- Jenkins, J. 2019, in AAS/Division for Extreme Solar Systems Abstracts, 51, 103.07
- Jenkins, J. M., Twicken, J. D., McCauliff, S., et al. 2016, Proc. SPIE, 9913, 99133E
- Jensen, E. 2013, Tapir: A Web Interface for Transit/Eclipse Observability (Astrophysics Source Code Library)
- Jin, S., Mordasini, C., Parmentier, V., et al. 2014, ApJ, 795, 65
- Johnson, D. R. H., & Soderblom, D. R. 1987, AJ, 93, 864
- Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. 1995, J. Am. Stat. Assoc., 90, 773
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Kellogg, K., Schneider, A. C., et al. 2016, ApJS, 224, 36
- Kovács, G., Zucker, S., & Mazeh, T. 2002, A&A, 391, 369

- Lambrechts, M., & Lega, E. 2017, A&A, 606, A146
- Leggett, S. K. 1992, ApJS, 82, 351
- Lépine, S., & Gaidos, E. 2011, AJ, 142, 138
- Li, J., Tenenbaum, P., Twicken, J. D., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 024506
- Lightkurve Collaboration (Cardoso, J. V. d. M., et al.) 2018, Lightkurve: Kepler and TESS Time Series Analysis in Python, Astrophys. Source Code Libr. [record ascl:1812.013]
- Lopez, E. D., & Fortney, J. J. 2014, ApJ, 792, 1
- Luyten, W. J. 1963, Bruce Proper Motion Survey. The General Catalogue, (1)
- Mandel, K., & Agol, E. 2002, ApJ, 580, L171
- Mann, A. W., Feiden, G. A., Gaidos, E., Boyajian, T., & von Braun, K. 2015, ApJ, 804, 64
- Mann, A. W., Gaidos, E., Vanderburg, A., et al. 2017, AJ, 153, 64
- Mann, A. W., Dupuy, T., Kraus, A. L., et al. 2019, ApJ, 871, 63 Marcy, G. W., & Butler, R. P. 1992, PASP, 104, 270
- Matsakos, T., & Königl, A. 2016, ApJ, 820, L8
- Mazeh, T., Holczer, T., & Faigler, S. 2016, A&A, 589, A75
- McCully, C., Volgenau, N. H., Harbeck, D.-R., et al. 2018, Proc. SPIE, 10707, 107070K
- Montes, D., González-Peinado, R., Tabernero, H. M., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 1332
- Mordasini, C., Alibert, Y., Benz, W., & Naef, D. 2009, A&A, 501, 1161
- Narita, N., Fukui, A., Kusakabe, N., et al. 2019, J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst., 5,015001
- Nave, G. 2017, in ESO Calibration Workshop: The Second Generation VLT Instruments and Friends, 32
- Ndugu, N., Bitsch, B., & Jurua, E. 2018, MNRAS, 474, 886
- Neves, V., Bonfils, X., Santos, N. C., et al. 2013, A&A, 551, A36
- Owen, J. E., & Wu, Y. 2013, ApJ, 775, 105
- Owen, J. E., & Lai, D. 2018, MNRAS, 479, 5012
- Passegger, V. M., Reiners, A., Jeffers, S. V., et al. 2018, A&A, 615, A6
- Passegger, V. M., Schweitzer, A., Shulyak, D., et al. 2019, A&A, 627, A161
- Pepe, F. A., Cristiani, S., Rebolo Lopez, R., et al. 2010, Proc. SPIE, 7735, 77350F
- Petit, A. C., Laskar, J., & Boué, G. 2018, A&A, 617, A93 Pojmanski, G. 2002, Acta Astron., 52, 397

Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103

Schöfer, P., Jeffers, S. V., Reiners, A., et al. 2019, A&A, 623, A44

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Stassun, K. G., Oelkers, R. J., Paegert, M., et al. 2019, AJ, 158, 138

Fitting Tool for Orbital Analysis and N-body Simulations

Yee, S. W., Petigura, E. A., & von Braun, K. 2017, ApJ, 836, 77

Zeng, L., Sasselov, D. D., & Jacobsen, S. B. 2016, ApJ, 819, 127

West, R. G., Gillen, E., Bayliss, D., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 486, 5094

- Pollacco, D. L., Skillen, I., Collier Cameron, A., et al. 2006, PASP, 118, 1407
- Quirrenbach, A., Amado, P. J., Caballero, J. A., et al. 2014, Proc. SPIE, 9147, 91471F
- Quirrenbach, A., Amado, P. J., Ribas, I., et al. 2018, Proc. SPIE, 10702, 107020W
- Rayner, J., Tokunaga, A., Jaffe, D., et al. 2016, Proc. SPIE, 9908, 990884
- Reiners, A., Zechmeister, M., Caballero, J. A., et al. 2018, A&A, 612, A49
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2014, Proc. SPIE, 9143, 914320 Rojas-Ayala, B., Covey, K. R., Muirhead, P. S., & Lloyd, J. P. 2010, ApJ, 720, L113

Schweitzer, A., Passegger, V. M., Cifuentes, C., et al. 2019, A&A, 625, A68

Smith, J. C., Stumpe, M. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, PASP, 124, 1000

Stassun, K. G., Corsaro, E., Pepper, J. A., & Gaudi, B. S. 2018, AJ, 155, 22

Stumpe, M. C., Smith, J. C., Van Cleve, J. E., et al. 2012, PASP, 124, 985

Stumpe, M. C., Smith, J. C., Catanzarite, J. H., et al. 2014, PASP, 126, 100

Trifonov, T. 2019, The Exo-Striker: Transit and Radial Velocity Interactive

Twicken, J. D., Catanzarite, J. H., Clarke, B. D., et al. 2018, PASP, 130, 064502

Woźniak, P. R., Vestrand, W. T., Akerlof, C. W., et al. 2004, AJ, 127, 2436

Zechmeister, M., Anglada-Escudé, G., & Reiners, A. 2014, A&A, 561, A59

¹ Institut für Astrophysik, Georg-August-Universität, Friedrich-

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kansas,

A127, page 15 of 22

e-mail: dreizler@astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de

Zechmeister, M., Reiners, A., Amado, P. J., et al. 2018, A&A, 609, A12

Salim, S., & Gould, A. 2003, ApJ, 582, 1011

Stassun, K. G., & Torres, G. 2018, ApJ, 862, 61

Szabó, G. M., & Kiss, L. L. 2011, ApJ, 727, L44

Woolf, V. M., & Wallerstein, G. 2006, PASP, 118, 218

Yan, R., Chen, Y., Lazarz, D., et al. 2019, ApJ, 883, 175

Zechmeister, M., & Kürster, M. 2009, A&A, 496, 577

Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

Lawrence, KS, USA

Szabó, G. M., & Kiss, L. L. 2011, ApJ, 727, L2

- ³ Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, George Mason University, 4400 University Drive MS 3F3, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA
- ⁵ Landessternwarte, Zentrum für Astronomie der Universität Heidelberg, Königstuhl 12, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁶ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ⁷ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna (ULL), 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain
- ⁸ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD, USA
- ⁹ Physics & Astronomy Department, Vanderbilt University, 6301 Stevenson Center Ln., Nashville, TN 37235, USA
- ¹⁰ Department of Physics, and Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Science, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02193, USA
- ¹¹ Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), ESAC, Camino bajo del castillo s/n, 28692 Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid, Spain
- ¹² Oukaimeden Observatory, High Energy Physics and Astrophysics Laboratory, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco
- ¹³ Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Carretera de Ajalvir km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain
- ¹⁴ Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, 07778 Tautenburg, Germany
- ¹⁵ Center for Astrophysics/Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
- ¹⁶ Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ¹⁷ Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ¹⁸ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- ¹⁹ NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, USA
- ²⁰ Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán (CSIC-Junta de Andalucía), Observatorio Astronómico de Calar Alto, Sierra de los Filabres, 04550 Gérgal, Almería, Spain
- ²¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, 18008 Granada, Spain
- ²² Astrobiology Research Unit, Université de Liège, 19C Allée du 6 Août, 4000 Liège, Belgium
- ²³ Universidad de Atacama, Instituto de Astronomía y Ciencias Planetarias, Copiapó, Chile
- ²⁴ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, USA
- ²⁵ Département de Physique, Université de Montréal, QC, Canada
- ²⁶ Department of Astronomy, California Institute of Technology,
- Pasadena, CA, USA
 ²⁷ IPAC, California Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ²⁸ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA, USA
- ²⁹ Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, 5241 Broad Branch Road NW, Washington, DC 20015-1305, USA
- ³⁰ SETI Institute, 189 Bernardo Ave, Suite 200, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA
- ³¹ Thomas Jefferson High School for Science and Technology, 6560 Braddock Rd, Alexandria, VA 22312, USA
- ³² Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawai'i, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- ³³ NASA Exoplanet Science Institute Caltech/IPAC Pasadena, CA USA

- ³⁴ The Observatories of the Carnegie Institution for Science, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA
- ³⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA
- ³⁶ El Sauce Observatory, Coquimbo Province, Chile
- ³⁷ Department of Earth and Planetary Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
- ³⁸ NASA Exoplanet Science Institute, Pasadena, CA, USA
- ³⁹ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Hawai'i, 1680 East-West Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
- ⁴⁰ Mississippi State University, 355 Lee Boulevard, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA
- ⁴¹ Astronomy Department, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ⁴² Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK
- ⁴³ University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia
- ⁴⁴ Space Sciences, Technologies and Astrophysics Research Institute, Université de Liège, 19C Allée du 6 Août, 4000 Liège, Belgium
- ⁴⁵ Department of Physics & Astronomy, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore PA 19081, USA
- ⁴⁶ Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
- ⁴⁷ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY 40292, USA
- ⁴⁸ Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (ICE, CSIC), Campus UAB, C/ Can Magrans s/n, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain
- ⁴⁹ Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), C/ Gran Capità 2-4, 08034 Barcelona, Spain
- ⁵⁰ Department of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
- ⁵¹ Astrobiology Center, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ⁵² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742-2421, USA
- ⁵³ The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Physics & Astronomy, 120 E. Cameron Ave. Phillips Hall CB3255 Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA
- ⁵⁴ The United States Naval Observatory,3450 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20392-5420, USA
- ⁵⁵ Department of Astronomy, Boston University, 725 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA
- ⁵⁶ National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588 Kanto, Japan
- ⁵⁷ Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan
- ⁵⁸ Komaba Institute for Science, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan
- ⁵⁹ Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, 440 West Brooks Street, Norman, OK 73019, USA
- ⁶⁰ Hamburger Sternwarte, Universität Hamburg, Gojenbergsweg 112, 21029 Hamburg, Germany
- ⁶¹ Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
- ⁶² Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA
- ⁶³ Exoplanets and Stellar Astrophysics Laboratory, Code 667, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- ⁶⁴ Ankara University, Department of Astronomy and Space Sciences, 06100 Ankara, Turkey

Appendix A: Photometric facilities

Table A.1. Photometric facilities.

Instrument Observatory	Transit date	Diameter (m)	r FOV (arcmin ²)	CCD	Scale (arcsec pix ⁻¹)	Filter(s)	Ν	Δt (min)
TRAPPIST-South	2019-02-17	0.6	22×22	$2k \times 2k$	0.65	Ζ'	506	190
Paranal Observato	ory					-		
LCOGT	2019-02-17	1.0	26×26	$4k \times 4k$	0.39	<i>z</i> ′	156	184
Las Cumbres Obs	ervatory Global Telescope							
El Sauce	2019-02-17	0.36	18.8×12.5	$1.5k \times 1k$	0.74	R	147	196
El Sauce Observatory								
MONET-S	2019-09-27	1.2	12.6×12.6	$2k \times 2k$	0.37	V	236	130
South African Astronomical Observatory								
TUG	2019-10-05	1.0	21.5×21.5	$4k \times 4k$	0.31	R	114	219
National Observatory, Turkey								
ULMT	2019-10-30	0.6	26×26	$4k \times 4k$	0.39	g'	88	266
Steward Observate	ory							
MuSCAT2	2019-12-17	1.52	7.4×7.4	$4 \times 1k \times 1k$	0.44	g', r', i', z'	4×230) 229
	2019-12-21					-	4×157	/ 156
Teide Observatory	7							
GMU	2019-12-25	0.8	23×23	$4k \times 4k$	0.34	$R_{\rm c}$	186	257
Georg Mason Uni	versity							

WASP-South. TOI 442 was monitored over 120 days in 2008/9 by WASP-South, the Southern station of the Wide Angle Search for Planets. WASP-South (located at SAAO, Sutherland, South Africa) was an array of 8 cameras using 200 mm, f/1.8 lenses with a broadband filter spanning 400–700 nm, equipped with 2048 × 2048 CCDs giving a plate scale of 13.7 arcsec per pixel.

LCOGT. We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-02-17 from a 1 m telescope of the LCOGT node at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory. A total of 155 frames covering 190 min of the 2019-02-17 transit were obtained in z_s -band. The telescope is equipped with a Sinistro camera with a pixel scale of 0.389 arcsec. The PSF is 1.75 arcsec, sources are extracted with a 16 pixel aperture. The images were calibrated by the LCOGT BANZAI pipeline (McCully et al. 2018) and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

MONET-South. The 1.2 m MONET/South telescope (MOnitoring NEtwork of Telescopes) is located at the South African Astronomical Observatory (Northern Cape, South Africa). It is equipped with a Finger Lakes ProLine $2k \times 2k$ e2v CCD and has a $12.6 \times 12.6 \operatorname{arcmin}^2$ field of view. We performed aperture photometry with AstroImageJ using eight comparison stars. 236 images in V have been obtained covering 130 min of the 2019-09-27 transit.

MuSCAT2. The Multicolour Simultaneous Camera for studying Atmospheres of Transiting exoplanets 2 (MuSCAT2; Narita et al. 2019) is mounted at Telescopio Carlos Sánchez in Teide observatory (Tenerife, Spain). MuSCAT2 observes simultaneously in the g, r, i, and z_s bands using a set of dichroics to split the light between four separate cameras with a field of view of $7.4 \times 7.4 \operatorname{arcmin}^2 (0.44 \operatorname{arcsec pix}^{-1})$. MuSCAT2 is designed to be especially efficient for science related to transiting exoplanets and objects varying on short timescales around cool stellar types. Aperture photometry is calculated using a Python-based pipeline especially developed for MuSCAT2 (see Narita et al. 2019, for details).

TRAPPIST-South. TRAPPIST-South at ESO's La Silla Observatory in Chile is a 60-cm Ritchey-Chrétien telescope, which has a thermoelectrically cooled $2k \times 2k$ FLI Proline CCD camera with a field-of-view of $22' \times 22'$ and resolution of 0.65 arcsec pix⁻¹. We carried out a full-transit observation of TOI 442 on 2019-02-17 with the z' filter using an exposure time of 10 s. We took 505 images, and we made use of AstroImageJ to perform aperture photometry, where the optimum aperture was 10 pixels (6.5 arcsec) and the PSF was 3.44 arcsec. We cleared all the stars from eclipsing binaries within the 2.5 arcmin around the target star.

ULMT. We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-10-30 from The University Louisville Manner Telescope (ULMT), which is located at Steward Observatory on Mount Lemmon near Tucson, Arizona. The observations employed a 0.6 m f/8 telescope equipped with an SBIG STX-16 803 CCD which has a $4k \times 4k$ array of $9\,\mu$ m pixels, yielding a 0.39 arcsec pixel scale and a 26×26 arcmin field of view. A total of 89 g'-band exposures were obtained covering 266 min. The images were calibrated and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

El Sauce. We obtained a full transit on UTC 2019-02-17 from El Sauce private observatory in Coquimbo Province, Chile. The 0.36 m telescope is equipped with an SBIG STT1603-3 camera with a pixel scale of 0.735 arcsec resulting in an 18.8×12.5 arcmin field of view. In-camera binning was used at 2×2 giving an operating pixel scale of 1.47 arcsec. A total of 146 exposures covering 196 min were obtained in R_c -band. The PSF is 5.7 arcsec and sources were extracted with a 6 pixel aperture. The photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

TUG. The 1m Ritchey-Chrétien T100 telescope located at TÜBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) National Observatory (TUG) is equipped with an SI 1100 $4k \times 4k$ CCD camera with $15 \times 15 \mu m$ pixels and $21.5' \times 21.5'$ FoV. The full-transit observations have been obtained in Bessell R filter using an exposure time of 60 s. We obtained 114 frames for a full-transit observation on 2019-10-05.

A&A 644, A127 (2020)



Fig. A.1. Ground-based transits (1 minute bins) compared to the best fit model (grey) and a model allowing small shifts in transit times (black). TRAPPIST, LCOGT, and El Sauce data were obtained at transit 24, MONET at 79, TUG at 81, ULM at 87, MuSCAT2 at 99 and 100, and GMU at 101, relative to the first TESS transit. MuSCAT2 transits in filter g and z are shown in the main text (Fig. 10).

During the data reduction, we performed aperture photometry using AstroImageJ with an aperture radius of 24 pixels (7.7 arcsec).

GMU. The 0.8 m Ritchey-Chrétien Optical Guidance Systems telescope is located at and operated by George Mason University. The observations employed an R_c filter equipped with a SBIG16803 $4k \times 4k$ CCD with $9 \times 9\mu$ m pixels and $23' \times 23'$ FoV. The images were calibrated and photometric data were extracted using AstroImageJ.

The main information of the ground-based photometry is also summarised in Table A.1. In the main text, we show the comparison of our final model to most of our data. Here, we add the comparison to the other ground-based transit data (Fig. A.1).

Appendix B: ALFOSC low-resolution spectrum

We used the spectroscopic catalogue of M dwarfs by Alonso-Floriano et al. (2015) to determine the spectral type of LP 714-47 to be M2 V, with an error of half of a subtype. This classification

A127, page 18 of 22

is later than that determined by Lee (1984). However, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. B.1, the match between our target and the M2V spectral standard is far from what is expected for a reliable classification, with significant deviations at wavelengths affected by the molecular absorption of TiO. This suggests that the metallicity of LP 714-47 is likely non-solar. We used the NEXTGEN synthetic spectra computed for different stellar parameters (Allard et al. 1997; Hauschildt et al. 1999) with a resolution degraded to the ALFOSC data, and found that when all three parameters (temperature, surface gravity, and metallicity) are free, the solution is degenerate. Models with $T_{\rm eff}$ in the interval 3700–3900 K, atmospheric gravity log g = 5.0– 5.5 (cgs), and metallicity of [M/H] = +0.3 dex (metal-rich) and -0.5 dex (metal-depleted) yield acceptable fits to the ALFOSC observations.

To conclude whether LP 714-47 is a metal-rich or metal-poor star, we used data from the literature (see next), and the modelling of the CARMENES high-resolution spectra (see main text of this paper). The optical and near-infrared colours of LP 714-47 appear to be consistent with the stars of the solar neighbourhood



Fig. B.1. *Top panel*: ALFOSC spectrum of LP 714-47 (blue) and the MaStar spectrum (orange) of star mastar-LOG-8816-1270 (degraded to the spectral resolution of our data). The comparison star has a slightly sub-solar metallicity (Yan et al. 2019). The ALFOSC spectrum is not corrected for the telluric contribution, while the MaStar spectrum is telluric-free. Some atomic and molecular features are identified. *Bottom panel*: LP 714-47 (blue) compared to an M2V standard star (from Alonso-Floriano et al. 2015).

with similar spectral types, which implies a metallicity close to that of the solar vicinity. Using *Gaia* DR2 proper motion, parallax, and radial velocity, we obtained the space motions $U = 124.4 \pm 3.5$, $V = -253.2 \pm 2.8$, and $W = -67.6 \pm 2.0$ km s⁻¹. The equations of Johnson & Soderblom (1987) were employed, where U is defined as positive away from the Galactic centre. Following the criteria of Leggett (1992), because of its very negative and high VW velocities, LP 714-47 may kinematically belong to a low-metallicity population of the Galaxy. From the extensive MaStar stellar library (described in Yan et al. 2019), we found good matches to the ALFOSC spectrum using stars with $T_{\rm eff} = 3750$ K and [Fe/H] = -0.26 dex (see top panel of Fig. B.1).

Appendix C: Additional high-contrast imaging

Direct high-resolution imaging observations of LP 714-47 were carried out on 14 January 2020 using the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South⁹. Zorro simultaneously provides speckle imaging in two bands, 562 nm and 832 nm, with output data products including a reconstructed image, and robust limits on



Fig. C.1. Direct imaging of LP 714-47 with the Zorro speckle instrument on Gemini-South. The detection limits for the two filters are 5σ limits.

companion detections (Howell et al. 2011). The observations consisted of 5 sets of 1000, 0.06 s observations each obtained during a night of good seeing (0.6 arcsec). Fig. C.1 shows the speckle imaging contrast curves in both bands and the reconstructed high-resolution images for LP 714-47. Based on these observations, we find that LP 714-47 is a single star with no companion brighter than about 5–7.5 magnitudes detected within 1.2 arcsec. These limits corresponds to a detection of no companion brighter than an M7-M9 main sequence star between the inner and outer working angle limits, for d = 52 pc, of 0.9 to 1.5 au.

Appendix D: Additional model comparison to data

In addition to the phase-folded presentation of the radial-velocity data compared to our final model (Fig. 6), here we present the entire radial-velocity data set compared to the final model over the time base of the observations. In this plot, we also show the contribution of the Keplerian model only. The modification by the added GP model is especially visible in the regions of ESPRESSO data around BJD 2458748 and HIRES data around BJD 2458788.

⁹ https://www.gemini.edu/sciops/instruments/alopekezorro/



Fig. D.1. Radial-velocity data (CARMENES VIS/NIR in light blue and orange circles, respectively, ESPRESSO in purple upper triangles, HIRES in crimson lower triangles, PFS in orange diamonds, and iSHELL in green pentagons) overlayed with our best fit model (dark grey, thick line) including the variation due to the GP (light grey). The one-planet model is shown in darker grey and thin line.

Appendix E: Modelling details

As mentioned in Sect. 5, we used celerite (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2017) as parametric noise model accounting for covariances between data points, which offers a fast and reliable implementation of GP regression. Covariances may be due to stellar, instrumental, or observational origin.

The likelihood function for N data points y_n at times t_n , with uncertainties σ_n^2 , and model parameters θ (in our case the Keplerian orbital parameters) providing the residual vector **r** is:

$$\ln p(\{y_n\} \mid \{t_n\}, \{\sigma_n^2\}, \theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{T}} K^{-1} \mathbf{r} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det K - \frac{N}{2} \ln 2\pi.$$

The covariance matrix $K_{nm} = \sigma_n^2 \delta_{nm} + k(\tau_{n,m})$ has two terms, the uncertainties on the diagonal, which may include a quadratically

added jitter $(k(\tau_{n,m}) = \sigma^2 \delta_{n,m})$, and the covariances or kernel as function of time differences $\tau_{n,m} = t_n - t_m$. For the latter, celerite restricts the parametrisation to the following sum of complex exponential functions for *J* kernels:

$$k(\tau_{n,m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{2} \left[(a_j + i b_j) e^{-(c_j + i d_j) \tau_{n,m}} + (a_j - i b_j) e^{-(c_j - i d_j) \tau_{n,m}} \right]$$
(E.1)

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha_{j} e^{-\beta_{j} \tau} + \alpha_{j}^{*} e^{-\beta_{j}^{*} \tau_{n,m}} \right].$$
(E.2)

For b = 0 and d = 0, the kernel is called *REAL* and represents an exponential decay at a characteristic time scale τ and has two free parameters, the variance *a* and $c = 1/\tau$:

$$k(\tau_{nm}) = a \exp\left(-c \tau_{n,m}\right). \tag{E.3}$$

A kernel representing damped oscillations driven by white noise is called *SHO*. It could represent solar-like oscillation or pseudo radial velocity variations from evolving spots on the rotating stellar surface. It has three parameters, the undamped oscillator period $P = 2\pi/\omega_0$, a damping time scale τ related to the quality factor Q, and the variance S_0 . For more details see Eqs. (19)–(24) in Foreman-Mackey et al. (2017). It has the form:

$$k(\tau_{nm}) = S_0 \omega_0 Q \exp{-\frac{\omega_0 \tau_{nm}}{2Q}} \begin{cases} \cosh{(\eta \omega_0 \tau_{nm})} + \frac{1}{2\eta Q} \sinh{(\eta \omega_0 \tau_{nm})} & 0 < Q < 1/2 \\ 2(1 + \omega_0 \tau_{nm}) & Q = 1/2 \\ \cos{(\eta \omega_0 \tau_{nm})} + \frac{1}{2\eta Q} \sin{(\eta \omega_0 \tau_{nm})} & 1/2 < Q \end{cases}$$

with
$$\eta = \sqrt{\left|1 - (4Q^2)^{-1}\right|}$$
.

Appendix F: Two-planet model

As discussed in Sect. 5, a second planet may be present. Its orbital period close to the first harmonic of the stellar rotation period requires a longer time base in order to check the coherence of that signal. We show the fit to the current data here. Additional ground-based data, especially longer coverage of a single instrument, would be necessary for discriminating between planetary origin and stellar activity.

Assuming the second signal to be of planetary origin, we show the fit to all radial velocity data in Fig. F.1, and the second phase-folded with the correlated noise and the first planet removed (Fig. F.2). The decomposition of the radial velocity data into the two planetary signals and the correlated noise is shown in Figs. F.3 and F.4.



Fig. F.1. Radial-velocity data (CARMENES VIS/NIR in light blue and orange circles, respectively, ESPRESSO in purple upper triangles, HIRES in crimson lower triangles, PFS in orange diamonds, and iSHELL in green pentagons). The two-planet model is shown in dark grey (thick line) including the variation due to the GP (light grey). The two-planet model is shown in darker grey and thin line.



Fig. F.2. Radial velocity data (grey symbols) and corrected for correlated noise (coloured symbols) phase folded to the period of the putative second planet.



Fig. F.3. Subsequent pre-whitening of the radial velocity data (*top*) with the signals of TOI 442.01 and the potential second planet (*second panel*), the Gaussian Process modelling the correlated noise (*third panel*), and the residuals (*bottom*). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01 %, respectively.



Fig. F.4. Periodogams of the model components: original radial-velocity data (*top*) the Gaussian process modelling the correlated noise (*second panel*), planet b (*third panel*), and the potential second planet (*bottom*). Horizontal lines indicate the false alarm probability of 1%, 0.11%, and 0.01%, respectively.