# The Catalase-Hydrogen Peroxide System

# ROLE OF SUB-UNITS IN THE THERMAL DEACTIVATION OF BACTERIAL CATALASE IN THE ABSENCE OF SUBSTRATE

By PETER JONES AND A. SUGGETT Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Type NE1 7RU

## (Received 8 March 1968)

1. Kinetic studies of the thermal deactivation of bacterial catalase in the absence of substrate suggest that the reaction involves a protonation-induced reversible dissociation of catalase into catalatically inactive sub-units, followed by an irreversible transformation of the sub-units into deactivated products. It is possible that the sub-units are mono-haem species. The rate of deactivation decreases with increasing pressure in accordance with the predictions of the proposed model. 2. The results also imply that the addition of hydrogen peroxide substrate induces the re-formation of active catalase. Under appropriate conditions the activity of catalase is found to increase with time in a manner that is quantitatively consistent with the results of deactivation studies.

It has been known for many years that solutions of catalase are more stable the more concentrated the solution. In preparative work the recommended optimum storage conditions are at concentrations of about 10 mg./ml. (about  $40 \,\mu M$ ) in phosphate buffer, pH7, and  $0^{\circ}$ . Using these conditions we have found that the activity of our bacterial catalase preparations did not change significantly over several months.

The rapid decrease in catalase activity at much lower concentrations has been discussed in terms of surface effects (i.e. the adsorption of catalase at interfaces), but there are few experimental data in the literature. Bonnichsen, Chance & Theorell (1947) considered this problem briefly and mentioned work of Agner on the effect of increasing the container surface area by the addition of glass beads. In their own experiments, however, no effect of changing (solution volume)/(container surface area) ratio was found, though this involved a comparison of data from flow experiments in which a solution/air interface was absent. We have found that changing the nature of the container surface from Pyrex glass to polythene had no effect on the rate of deactivation. The case for deactivation occurring by interfacial adsorption of catalase cannot be regarded as established. The major objective of our present work was the kinetic study of catalase action over the widest possible range of conditions, and we considered that a quantitative knowledge of the rate of deactivation of catalase in solution was desirable.

The range of catalase concentration over which

the deactivation process may be studied is, at present, rather limited. As Bonnichsen et al. (1947) have shown, if the catalase concentration is too low the activity assay procedure becomes inaccurate. If the catalase concentration is too high there are severe manipulative difficulties in carrying out an activity assay. We have therefore restricted our measurements to the range of conditions that Bonnichsen et al. (1947) have shown to yield precise activity assays, remembering that bacterial catalase has an activity about twice that of horse erythrocyte catalase.

Attempts to study the effect of changing pH on the thermal deactivation process may be complicated by specific effects of buffer components. In the following discussion we use the term 'stock catalase solution' to imply a solution with a catalase concentration in excess of  $1 \mu M$ . In the assay procedure of Bonnichsen et al. (1947), a pH7 (phosphate buffer) stock catalase solution is diluted to about  $1 m \mu M$  with similar buffer containing hydrogen peroxide. If the stock catalase is diluted with buffers of a different composition and pH, the 'zero-time' catalase activity is unaltered, but the activity decreases with time at different rates, owing to differences in the rate of inactivation in the presence of substrate under these conditions. Thus, with this procedure, the maximal activity is substantially independent of pH (except under extreme conditions). We have confirmed this result, both with buffered solutions (see below) and with substantially unbuffered systems (P. Jones & A. Suggett, unpublished work), and it is supported

833

by the lack of pH-dependence of the rate constants, both for the formation of Compound I and the reaction of Compound I with reductants (Chance, 1952).

If, on the other hand, stock catalase solutions are prepared in different buffer systems of various pH and allowed to stand for some time, dilution to about 1 mum followed by rapid activity assay yields steady 'zero-time' activities that differ from the values found in phosphate buffer at pH7, and that depend markedly on the nature of the buffer system. Similar effects are observed when the activity is measured at much higher catalase concentrations by the quenched-flow technique. If a stock catalase solution is diluted with buffer of similar composition and then allowed to stand before its activity is measured, a continuous decrease in activity with time occurs. It is this irreversible process that we have termed the 'thermal deactivation' of catalase.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Bacterial catalase was obtained from Micrococcus lysodeikticus (American Type Culture Collection no. 4698) and purified by repeated fractionations with (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and acetone, and dialysis against 10 mm-KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer, pH6-8. The purity measure (RZ= $E_{407}/E_{280}$ ) was 0.85 for the samples used in this work. In later work we found that a purification procedure using ion-exchange chromatography on a DEAE-cellulose column, followed by gel filtration, yielded samples with RZ 0.95, which gave identical kinetic results. Catalase concentration was determined spectrophotometrically at the Soret band maximum (407 m $\mu$ ); the extinction coefficient at this wavelength was taken (Herbert & Pinsent, 1948; Brill & Williams, 1961) as  $\epsilon_{407} 4.10 \times 10^5 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ .

The  $H_2O_2$  was obtained as an 85% (w/w) unstabilized aqueous solution from Laporte Chemicals Ltd., Luton, Beds. Water was triply distilled. All other materials were of A.R. grade.

Grade-A burettes were used for iodometric titrations. For permanganate titrations a Radiometer automatic titrator was used. Pipettes were selected for reproducibility and calibrated. Agla micrometer syringes and B-D Cornwall automatic syringes were calibrated before use. Time was measured with a Favag precision timing unit. pH measurements were made with a Pye Dynacap pH-meter. Reaction were carried out in an air thermostat at 21°, except where otherwise stated.

Catalase activity measurements. The method used was a modification of that described by Bonnichsen et al. (1947). The latter method involved taking three samples from the reaction mixture by pipette within 30sec. of initiating the reaction. This technique is susceptible to volumetric error when the solution is evolving oxygen. Our modified procedure was as follows. First, 50ml. of 10mM buffer solution and 5ml. of approx.  $0.1 \text{ N-H}_2\text{O}_2$  were pipetted into a 250ml. beaker, and 5ml. of this solution was transferred to a 50ml. conical flask containing 5ml. of  $5 \text{ N-H}_2\text{O}_4$  and, after the addition of 3ml. of 1% KI solution and 1 drop of saturated ammonium molybdate solution, was titrated with 0.01 N- Table 1. Dependence on pH of the activity of bacterial catalase as determined by a modification of the method of Bonnichsen et al. (1947)

The temperature was  $21^{\circ}$  and the initial enzyme concentration ([ $E_0$ ]) was  $0.640 \, \text{m} \mu \text{M}$ .

pН	$10^{-7}k_{\rm B} \ ({ m M}^{-1}{ m sec.}^{-1})$	pН	$10^{-7}k_{\rm B}$ I (m <sup>-1</sup> sec. <sup>-1</sup> )		
5·34	6.45 + 0.1	7.80	6.41 + 0.1		
6.29	$6.35\pm0.1$	8.26	$6.45\pm0.1$		
7.00	$6.45 \pm 0.1$	9.49	$6.35 \pm 0.1$		

Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution with a 10ml. micro-burette. A 0.02ml. sample of catalase stock solution (in 10mm-phosphate buffer, pH7) was accurately measured on to a small watch glass with the micrometer syringe. While the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-buffer mixture was being magnetically stirred the watch glass was carefully dropped into the solution and the timer started simultaneously. After a selected time-interval, 10ml. of 5N-H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was injected into the stirred solution with a Cornwall syringe fitted with a wide-bore needle, and the timer was stopped on the first addition of acid. Two 5ml. samples of the resulting solution were transferred to separate 50ml. conical flasks, and KI and ammonium molybdate were added as before. The flasks were sealed with Parafilm before titration. The precautions necessary in the iodometric estimation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of haemin and haemoproteins have been described elsewhere (Brown & Jones, 1968). The experiment was repeated several times with various reaction times and the catalase activity  $(k_{\rm B})$  was determined from the results by the procedure of Bonnichsen et al. (1947). The results are shown in Table 1. The values compare well with the literature [Brill & Williams (1961) give a Kat.f. that corresponds to  $k_{\rm B} = 6.4 \times 10^7 \,\mathrm{m}^{-1} \,\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ]. The errors quoted are the maximum deviations from the means.  $k_{\rm B}$  was found to be independent of pH in the range  $5 \cdot 3 - 9 \cdot 5$ .

Kinetics of thermal deactivation. Stock catalase solutions were prepared in a variety of buffers at different pH values in the range  $5 \cdot 3 - 9 \cdot 7$  and kept overnight in the air thermostat at 21°. Deactivation was initiated by adding, with magnetic stirring, the appropriate volume of stock catalase solution to 50ml. of a 10mm buffer solution that had the same composition as the stock buffer. After a measured period of time the catalatic reaction was initiated by injecting 5ml. of about 0.1 N-H2O2 into the mixture, the timer being started after 2.5ml. had been added. After a selected catalatic reaction time, the reaction was quenched and analysis carried out by the procedure described in the preceding section. We define  $k_t$  as the observed first-order rate constant for the catalatic reaction, measured after a deactivation time t, divided by the stoicheiometric catalase concentration;  $k_0$  is the activity (as defined by Bonnichsen et al. 1947) of catalase that has been equilibrated as a stock solution with a particular buffer at fixed pH and is obtained by extrapolation of the values of  $k_t$  to the instant at which deactivation was initiated.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Fig. 1 shows that  $k_t$  initially varies linearly with time and at different rates depending on the



Deactivation time (min.)

Fig. 1. Dependence of  $k_t$  on deactivation time in 10mmphosphate buffer, pH7.00, at 21°.  $\Box$ , [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.906m $\mu$ M;  $\bigcirc$ , [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.604m $\mu$ M;  $\triangle$ , [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.3624m $\mu$ M.



Fig. 2. Dependence of  $k_t$  on deactivation time at 21° with [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.604 m $\mu$ M.  $\Box$ , pH5.34 (citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>); (**)**, pH6.16 (citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>); (**)**, pH8.26 (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>); (**)**, pH9.04 (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-NaHCO<sub>3</sub>);  $\triangle$ , pH9.56 (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-NaHCO<sub>3</sub>);  $\bigtriangledown$ , pH9.66 (borax-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>).



Fig. 3. Dependence of  $k_0$  on pH.  $\triangle$ , Citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffers;  $\bigcirc$ , KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffers;  $\square$ , Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-NaHCO<sub>3</sub> buffers;  $\triangledown$ , borax-Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> buffer.

stoicheiometric catalase concentration.  $k_0$  is independent of catalase concentration. Fig. 2 shows the results for different buffers at fixed catalase concentration. In Fig. 3 the values of  $k_0$ are plotted against pH and show that there are



Fig. 4. Variation in initial deactivation rate with [H+].



Fig. 5. Plot of log (initial deactivation rate) against  $\log[E_0]$ .

significant specific buffer effects; it is noteworthy that  $k_0$  in phosphate buffer at pH8.26 is higher than  $k_B$ . The slopes of the lines in Figs. 1 and 2 represent the initial rates of deactivation under various conditions and we define the reaction rate in terms of the time derivative of the active catalase concentration by the expression:

$$d[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{a}}]/dt = ([\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0}}]/k_0) \cdot dk_t/dt$$
(1)

where  $[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{a}}]$  and  $[\mathbf{E}_0]$  are the active and stoicheiometric catalase concentrations respectively. Fig. 4 shows that  $-d[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{a}}]/dt$  varies smoothly and monotonically with H<sup>+</sup> ion concentration at fixed catalase concentration (in contrast with the values of  $k_0$ ) so that it appears probable that specific buffer effects are absent. Fig. 5 shows a logarithmic plot for the dependence on catalase concentration, over the rather small accessible range. Combining the two results, the following rate law satisfactorily describes the data:

$$-d[\mathbf{E}_{a}]/dt = k[\mathbf{E}_{0}]^{0} d^{\cdot 28} [\mathbf{H}^{+}]^{0.25}$$
(2)

Fractional reaction orders can arise in rate laws in a variety of ways. For this reaction we have the

additional kinetic information that catalase solutions are stable at high concentrations and this result, together with eqn. (2), strongly suggests that the thermal deactivation reaction involves a preliminary dissociation of the catalase molecule. Recalling that catalase is a tetranuclear ferric haemoprotein, we consider the following simple reaction scheme, which incorporates the idea of a reversible protonation-induced dissociation of catalase into four mono-haem sub-units. The sub-units are considered to have insignificant catalatic activity and can undergo an irreversible change that leads to an overall irreversible thermal deactivation of catalase. This model may be represented as:

$$(\text{Haem})_4 + \text{H}^+ \xleftarrow{K_{\text{D}}} 4 \text{ (Haem)} \qquad (i)$$

(Haem) 
$$\xrightarrow{\kappa}$$
 Deactivated product (ii)

where  $(Haem)_4$  represents catalase. Under conditions where the equilibrium concentration of sub-units is a small fraction of the total catalase concentration this model yields the rate law:

$$-d[E_{a}]/dt = k'K_{D}[E_{0}]^{0.25}[H^{+}]^{0.25}$$
(3)

which agrees reasonably well with the experimental rate law (eqn. 2).

Even if the dissociation model is conceptually valid it would be unrealistic to suppose that, in the simple form given above, it would apply at all catalase concentrations. By analogy with the dissociation of haemoglobin, the occurrence of partially dissociated species would be expected under some conditions. Nevertheless, quantitative calculations based on the simple model provide an illustration of its implications and prove useful in further tests. From the data we calculate, by successive approximations, a value for  $K_{\rm D}$  of about  $10^{-22}M^2$  at pH7 and 21° and hence the fraction of catalase present as catalatically active tetramer at equilibrium at various stoicheiometric catalase concentrations: for stoicheiometric catalase concentrations of 10, 1, 0.1 and  $0.01 \text{ m}\mu\text{M}$ , the percentage of catalase present as tetramers is calculated as 98, 92, 56 and 1.5 respectively. Fig. 6 shows the variation with temperature of  $k_0$  and  $-d[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{a}}]/dt$ , with a stoicheiometric catalase concentration of  $0.6 \,\mathrm{m}\mu\mathrm{M}$  in 10 mm-phosphate buffer, pH7. From the latter data we may estimate the stability of catalase solutions of different concentrations under normal storage conditions, by calculating a 'half deactivation time',  $t_1$ , based on the initial deactivation rate, obtained by using  $K_{\rm D} = 10^{-22} {\rm M}^2$ : for stoicheiometric catalase concentrations of 1, 10 and  $40\,\mu\text{M}$  (the last is equivalent to  $10\,\text{mg./ml.}$ ),  $t_{\star}$  (0°) is estimated to be 8 months, 2 years and 6 years respectively. The results shown in Fig. 6



Fig. 6. Temperature dependencies of  $k_0$  and  $-d[\mathbf{E}_a]/dt$ ;  $\bigcirc$ ,  $\log k_0$  against 1/T;  $\bigcirc$ ,  $\log (initial - d[\mathbf{E}_a]/dt)$  against 1/T.

yield an overall activation energy of  $1.01 \pm 0.06$  kcal.mole<sup>-1</sup> for the catalatic reaction, in good agreement with the literature.

The formation of catalase sub-units has been demonstrated at very high pH (Samejima, 1959a,b) and after freeze-drving (Tanford & Lovrien, 1962). A liver catalase sub-unit of insignificant catalatic activity has been shown to possess powerful peroxidatic properties both in vitro (Caravaca & May, 1964) and in vivo (Caravaca, Dimond, Sommers & Wenk, 1967). Jones, Pain & Suggett (1968) have shown, by sedimentation-velocity studies in the ultracentrifuge, that bacterial catalase Compound II is a sub-unit species with a molecular weight of about  $5 \times 10^4$ . This result provided the first indication that sub-unit species might be involved in the mechanism of catalase action at physiological pH in vitro. From our present kinetic data it is clear that significant dissociation of catalase would not be expected under conditions where direct ultracentrifugal studies are possible. It was therefore necessary to consider alternative ways in which the dissociation model for the thermal deactivation of catalase might be tested.

Effect of pressure on thermal deactivation. Catalase is a globular protein and it is likely that dissociation into sub-units would lead to an increase in volume. The irreversible deactivation of the sub-unit would probably involve conformational changes in the polypeptide chains, leading to an increased volume in the transition state. Overall, the dissociation model would predict a substantial positive volume of activation for the thermal deactivation reaction, Expt. II vields:

#### CATALASE-HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SYSTEM

# Table 2. Dependence on pressure of the rate of deactivation of bacterial catalase

The initial enzyme concentration ([E<sub>0</sub>]) was  $0.604 \text{ m}\mu\text{M}$ . Expt. I yields:

 $\frac{\text{Deactivation rate at 1 atm. at 21}^{\circ}}{\text{Deactivation rate at 2550 atm. at 24}^{\circ}} = 1.6$ 

	D	eactivation rate at 1 atm.	at 23°				
$\frac{1}{\text{Deactivation rate at } 2550 \text{ atm. at } 21^{\circ}} = 2.7$							
Expt.	Time at >1000 atm. (min.)	Time at <1000 atm. + time at 1 atm.	Total time (min.)	Lab. temp.	$10^{-7}k_t$ (m <sup>-1</sup> sec. <sup>-1</sup> )		
I	155	40	195	$24^{\circ}$	3.05		
		195	195	21	$2 \cdot 10$		
		40	40	21	4.60		
II	175	30	205	21	3.61		
		205	205	23	1.40		
		30	30	21	4.90		

which implies that the process may be retarded by the application of high pressure to the solution. Though precise models for the proposed deactivation at interfaces have not been formulated, it is unlikely that the adsorption of catalase as a monolayer would be accompanied by a significant increase in volume.

We have studied the effect of pressure on the thermal deactivation of catalase in the following Stock catalase solution (in 10mmmanner. phosphate buffer, pH7) was diluted to the required concentration with buffer of similar composition and placed in a polythene bag. Air was squeezed out of the bag, which was then tied tightly and placed in a 'bomb' that contained silicone oil as the pressurizing fluid. The pressure was increased as quickly as possible until a pressure of 2550 atm. was attained. The catalase solution was left under this pressure for about 3hr. After release of the pressure, the polythene bag was removed, cleaned and dried, after which the catalase solution was extracted and its activity assayed as described above. A blank run at 1 atm. was carried out simultaneously, the same conditions (apart from pressure) being maintained as rigorously as possible. The results are shown in Table 2. The average rate of deactivation at 1 atm. is about twice that at 2550 atm. Since in the high-pressure experiments the large increase in pressure is likely to increase the temperature of the solution by about  $10^{\circ}$ initially, our result probably underestimates the pressure effect on the deactivation process. The results clearly indicate an appreciable positive volume of activation for the thermal deactivation process.

Effect of time on catalase activity. We have found the activity of bacterial catalase, under fixed conditions of buffer composition, pH and temperature, to be independent of catalase concentration between 0.1 and  $10 \text{m}\mu\text{M}$  (for the higher concentrations a quenched-flow method was used; P. Jones & A. Suggett, unpublished work). From our estimated value of  $K_D$ , at equilibrium 44% of the catalase should be present as sub-units at  $0.1 \text{m}\mu\text{M}$  whereas at  $10 \text{m}\mu\text{M}$  only 2% of sub-units should be present. In the light of these results the dissociation model for thermal deactivation can only be maintained with the aid of the further assumption that the addition of hydrogen peroxide substrate brings about a re-formation of active tetrameric catalase from sub-units. This suggests that measurements of the change in the catalatic activity of dilute catalase solution with time, at short reaction times, might reveal an increase in activity with time, associated with the tetramer re-formation process.

This idea receives some support from results reported by George (1949) for the variation with time of the rate of evolution of oxygen (measured manometrically) in the catalatic reaction with a low concentration of ox liver catalase. At peroxide concentrations below 40mM the rate was found to increase at first before gradually decreasing. At higher peroxide concentrations no initial rise was observed. George (1949) does not comment on these results and they are ignored in the equation proposed to describe the variation of the reaction rate with time. It seems possible that the low rates at short times were considered an artifact of the manometric technique.

We have carried out experiments using the titrimetric assay procedure. It is necessary to employ a suitably low catalase concentration and, since it is likely that the rate of the presumed re-formation reaction will increase with hydrogen peroxide concentration, a low substrate concentration consistent with the maintenance of analytical accuracy. Stock catalase (in 10mm-phosphate buffer, pH7) was diluted with similar buffer and allowed to stand for 30 sec. before initiation of the catalatic reaction. The initial hydrogen peroxide



Fig. 7. Variation in catalase activity with reaction time in 10mm-phosphate buffer, pH 7.00, at 21°.  $\bigcirc$ , [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.18m $\mu$ M, [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] 10mM; **①**, [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.18m $\mu$ M, [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] 2mM; **①**, [E<sub>0</sub>] 0.09m $\mu$ M, [H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] 2mM.

concentration was between 2 and 10mm and it proved possible to use catalatic reaction times as short as 5 sec. After quenching, the hydrogen peroxide was estimated by permanganate titration (2-10mn-potassium permanganate) in the automatic titrimeter. Values of the activity  $k_t$  were calculated for each reaction time. The results (Fig. 7) clearly show the predicted increases of activity with time. A quantitative test may be made by extrapolating the activities to zero reaction time; the intercept yields a value of the fraction of the stoicheiometric catalase concentration present as active tetramer and hence a value of  $K_{\rm D}$ . These experiments yield  $K_{\rm D} = 4 \times 10^{-22} {\rm M}^2$ , in excellent agreement with the value obtained previously.

Conclusions. Though a contribution to the thermal deactivation of catalase by adsorption at interfaces cannot be ruled out, our results show that the major effects arise via a reversible dissociation of catalase into catalatically inactive sub-units. Dissociation is induced by protonation of catalase and the association-dissociation process appears to be a rather mobile equilibrium. Since catalase is a tetrameric ferric haemoprotein and our results suggest that catalase dissociates into four subunits, it seems reasonable to suppose that the subunits are mono-haem species, though this is not proved and is not required by the tests of the dissociation model that we have made.

Addition of substrate to a catalase solution that contains an appreciable proportion of sub-units produces two opposing effects: (i) re-formation of tetrameric catalase leading to increased catalatic activity; (ii) inhibition of catalase activity in the presence of substrate. If process (ii) proceeds appreciably before (i) is complete, maximal catalatic activity will not be exhibited. This suggests an explanation for the low activities typically obtained in assay procedures with very low catalase concentrations.

We are greatly indebted to Professor J. Baddiley, F.R.S., for making available facilities for the growth and manipulation of bacteria in the Science Research Council Microbiological Chemistry Research Unit laboratories. We thank Dr A. L. Davison for assistance with microbiological work, Professor A. S. Brill and Dr R. H. Pain for advice on the purification of bacterial catalase and Professor H. R. Thirsk and Dr D. A. Lown for facilities for high-pressure experiments. We also thank the Science Research Council for a studentship and the University of Newcastle upon Tyne for a Sir James Knott Fellowship (both to A.S.).

#### REFERENCES

- Bonnichsen, R. K., Chance, B. & Theorell, H. (1947). Acta chem. scand. 1, 685.
- Brill, A. S. & Williams, R. J. P. (1961). Biochem. J. 78, 253.
- Brown, S. B. & Jones, P. (1968). Trans. Faraday Soc. 64, 999.
- Caravaca, J., Dimond, E. G., Sommers, S. C. & Wenk, J. (1967). Science, 155, 1284.
- Caravaca, J. & May, M. D. (1964). Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun. 16, 528.
- Chance, B. (1952). J. biol. Chem. 194, 471.
- George, P. (1949). Biochem. J. 44, 197.
- Herbert, D. & Pinsent, J. (1948). Biochem. J. 43, 193.
- Jones, P., Pain, R. H. & Suggett, A. (1968). Nature, Lond., 217, 1050.
- Samejima, T. (1959a). J. Biochem., Tokyo, 46, 155.
- Samejima, T. (1959b). J. Biochem., Tokyo, 46, 1101.
- Tanford, C. & Lovrien, R. (1962). J. Amer. chem. Soc. 84, 1892.