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## ABSTRACT.

The subtle or overt ways in which women ind men students are often treated differently are discussed, and actions that can be taken to create a learning climate that fosters the 'intellectuml growth of all students are jdentikied. Information was obtained from everal kinds of sources, including ompirical studies of postsecondary and other classrooms, reports and surveys, and responses to requests for information. Topics include the following: how "chilly" climate for women affacts all students and can interfere with the educational process; devaluiation and the postserondacy leaprning climate; experiences in early schooling; experiences in society and everyday incquities; everyday inequities in the postsefondary setting and in individual student-teacher interchange; the power of words and the classrom's silent language; everyday jequities in talk that may be carried into the classroom; ways of conducting discussion that can discourage women students; groups of women who may be especially affected; including women graduate students, women in traditionaily "masculine" Eields, women minority students, and older women students. Policy and general recomerdations are offered along with recomendations for the following parties: presidents, deans, department chairs, student affairs personnel, faculty, women students and special groupr of women students, ind professional associations and organizations. Recomendations regarding curriculum, promotion of institutional research, faculty development programs, and classroom climate issues are also presented-A selected Iist of areas for further research, a student-faculty comunication checklist, and a student perception questionnaire are eppended. (SW)
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#### Abstract

"My impression (and beliel) is that most protessors do not know that they behave dilferently towards women (in class, in conference, and in administratlve alfairs)." (Female, Humanilies, Berkelove p. 78 "Students in one of my classes did a tally and found that male prolessors called on men more oflin than in women students. What male students have to say or contributo is viewed as having more im Rottance than what female students have to contribute in class." ("Illustratlve Problems")


 1have I been overtly discriminated against? Possibly no. Have I been encouragco, helped, congratulatedi received recognition. gotten a friendly hello. a sollcifous 'can I help you out?' The answer is no Being a woman here just makes you be tougher, work harder, and hope Ilyou get a 4.0 GPA someone will say. 'You're good.' Perhaps like p-fellow-sludent told me, 'you're only here to gef a husband.' II that were true. I can think of easler. less painful and discouraging ways." - (Female. Business Admin/siration, Berkeley. p. 64)
"You come in the door...equal but having experienced the discrimination-the relusal of prolessors to :ake you seriously; the sexual overtires and the llke-youllmp out doubting your own ability. to do very much of anything."
(Female Ph.D. cándidate. Harvard. quoted ín

- "Harvard Women Protest Unequal Job Opportunilles,"

Washington Slar 10/24/80)
(Continued on page 3).

## INTRODUCTION

As greater numbers of women students enter the higher educa. 'on system. the postsecondary community has become increas. ingly concerned about such issues as the continumg'low enrollment of women in "traditionally masculine" Relds," the fact that women undergraduates teel less confident about their prepara. tion for graduate school than men attending the same institution. ${ }^{2}$ and the surprising decilne in academic and career aspirations experienced by many women students during their college years.' These concerns take on a new significance given current and projected enrollment patterns. although higher education has traditıonallybeen associated with the educational and professional preparation of men. viomen students are the "new majonity" of undergraduates The education of women is literally central to the post secondary enterprise.

However, despite, women's gains in access to higher educa tion-especially since the passage of Title, IX-women undergraduate and graduate students may not enjoy full equality, of educational opportunity on campus Indeed. women's educar

[^1] Status and Education of Women ol the Association of American Colieges its development was alsc guided by an Advisory Committee comprised of Paula $L$ Goldsmid Dean of Faculty Scripps College Joseph Katz, Director of Research for Human Development and Educational Policy, SUNY at Stony Brook, John F Noonan Diractor Center for Improving Teaching Eflectiveness, Virginia Commonwealth Ujiversity, William R O Connell, Vice Prosident for Programs, Associalion of American Colleges and Barre Thorne. Associate Professor of Sociolegy, Michigan State University While members of the committee provided in-- 'e information and guidance the vier sexpressed in this paper are the soie responsibility of the Project on the Status and Education of Women Project
tional experiences may differ considerably from those of men, even when they attend the same institutions, share the same classrooms, and work with the same graduate advisors. The most extensive longitudinal study of student development conducted towate concildes that:
[elven though men"sand wormen are presumably exposed to common liberal arts curriculum and other educational pro grams during the undergraduate years. it would seem that these programs serve more to preserva, rathe than to reduce, stereotypic differences between men and women in behavior. . personality, aspirations and achievement.4
ifany factors, including familial and social expectations, ma, contribute to the preservation of these differences. However, faculty behaviors which express different expectations for women than for men students, or which lead women to feel their academic and career ambitions are not as important as those of men students may play a major role in limiting women studerits' development.
Most faculty want to treat all stuofents fairly and as individuals with particular talents and abilities. However, some faculty may' overily-or, more often, Inadvertently-treat men and women students differently in the classroom and In related learning situtations. Subtle biases in the way teachers behave toward students may seem so "normal" that the particular behaviors which express them often go unnoticed. Neveriheless, these patterns, by which women students are either singled out or ignored because of their sex; may leaye women students feeling less confident than their male classmates about th3ir abillties and their place in the college community.

## the classroom climate

"Althought more dilficult to dociumant than other areas wo atudled, the question of campus mviconment and general 'atmospherg' is no loss vital. Not only do these intengibles affact the educationul neipds and gosis of women-. but they also to some extent detsinine those goals. Indeed, shace the campus climite. can help'shupe -woman's self-concept, especially during yoars of rapid devilopmental change, it ctil aflect not on'ly her acmplumto choters and'achiovements, but also her abllity to develop the shllio sht will need in inder to meet future acadom/c and professional challonges."
("The Educaflon of Women af Oberlin,"pp 16-17)

Many postsecondary Institutions have evaluated their policies and practices toward women primarily in terms of legal issues and requirements. More recently, however, a number of colleges and universities have begun to recognize the importance of the institutional atmosphere, environment or climate-both within and outside the classroom-in fostering or impeding women students' full personal, academic and professional development." Indeed, as one study notes, "There is persuasive evidence that, in selecting and reacting to educational environments, females tend more than màles to be attuned to the personal supportiveness of these environments." ${ }^{"}$
Institutions as diverse as Oberlin College (OH), Hope College (MII, the University of Wisconsin, the University of Delaware, Har. vard University (MA), Yale Unlversity (CT), the University of Callfornla at Berkeley, and the institutions involved in The Brown Project [Barnåd (NY), Brcwn (RI), Dartmouth (NH), Princeton (NJ), SUNY at Stonybrook, and Wellesley (MA)I-to name but a few-have conducted surveys and other research to determine how adequately the institution as a whole meqets the needs of lis women sludents. Researchers are asking questlons about how women are treated in the classroom, the laboratory, the undergraduate and graduate advising relationship, and in the tess formal in. tellectual exchanges that occur with faculty and with other students.' All of these contexts may affect how women students view themsolves. They can encourage women's full intellectual development and academic and career asplrations, or dampen women's energles and ambitions.
Although many persons and experiences can help shape the
found effect-especially for women students.' As Joseph Katz writes in Men and Women Learning Together. A Study of College* Students in the Late 1970's:
The newly raised consciousness of women [students] is in some respects fragile. In the intellectual and academic spheres there is still a tendency for women to think themselves as not quite on a par with men ... there is some indication that women are meeting the challenge creatively,
but they also could use more help from their teachers. ...." In part because of the sisproportionate number of male faculty at the college and university level, women may not always get this help." Seve:ai studies indicate that men faculty tend to affirm students of their own sex more than students of the other sex, and often perceive women students primarily as sexual beings who are less capable and less serious than men students.' ${ }^{2}$ Although these attitudes may be changing, a host of behaviors which can convey`such atlitudes are still prevalent in the academic setting.

Both men and women faculty-even those who are most con-. cerned, about sex discrimination-may inadvertently commiunicate to their students limiting preconceptions about appropriate and expected behaviors, abilities, zareer directions and personal goals which are based on sex rather than on individual interest and ability. For instance, some professors may $r$, ibltually

## FACULTY BEHAVIORS: SOME NEW QUESTIONS

Faculty, administrators, researchers and women students' triemselves ${ }^{\text {b }}$ are begifining to consider the importance of faculty behaviors in creating an institutional "cilimate" that fosters the full development of all students, ánd to ask questlons such as the following:

- Are women students less likely to be called, upon directly than men stusents? Do faculty tend to ask women and men students the same kinds of ques. tions? Do they encourage women as much as men to think for themselves?
- no women students recelve às much Informal feedback, encouragement or pralse as men for their acadomic eftorts?
- Are women interrupted more often than men during class discusslon? Can thls lead women to feel that their vlews are not being listened to or taken as seriously as those of their male peers?
- Do teachers tend to make more eye-contact with men whon they ask a question of the class as a whole, thus "racognizing" men and linviting responses from them?
- Di.professors often assume that women students are uncertain about what they want to say (or perthaps,
, not saying much that is worthwhile) becquse women may tend to state their classroom comments hesitantly or in "overly poilte", fashlon"
- Are some professors more likely to remember the nemes of the men students In their clazses thar: those of the women?
- Are teachers as. Ilkely to choose women as men for student asslistants and to give them the same responsibilities?
- Do some professors inadvertently discourage women from enrolling in traditlonally "mascuilne". majors or from the ""larder" subspecialtes?.
- Are graduate advisors . iore iikely to, contect men sturients when pubilication, research, alnd cther pro-
- fessional opportunities arise? Does thla make it more difficult for ivomen than for men to see themselves as potential profe: slonats and collesques?
- Do some profeseors usi sexist humor to "spice up a oull subject" or make disparaging comments about womer as a group? How does ifils affect women in the classroum?
useclassroom examples in which the nan is aiways "the profes slonat," the woman always the "client!" or "patient," thus making It moredifflcult for women to imagine themselves in professional roles." ${ }^{\text {M }}$ Men and women faculty a!ike may ask questions and then logk at men students only-as if no women were expected to respond." Some faculty may tend to ask women "lower order" fac. tual questions ("When did Wordsworth write the first version of The Prelude?") and resarve "higher order" critical questions for men's ("What do you see as the majof thematic differences between the 1805 and the 1850 verslons?") Others may make seemingly helpful comments which nevertheless imply that women in general are not as compete, it as men ("I know women have trouble with spatial concepts, but t'll be happy to give you extra help"). Some professors may be unaware that they interrupt women more often than men students, or allow women 10 be eastly literrupted by others in class discussion.

In addition to subtle forms of discrimination in classroom interaction, more obvious behaviors can also create a chilling climate. These may include disparaging comments about women as a group and the use of sexist humor or demeaning sexual alluslon for example, a slide in an accounting class that features a bikini-clau woman ".guaranteed to provide accurate measurements.")
Whether overt or subtie, differentral treatment based on sex is far from innocuous. Its cumulative effects can be damaging not oniy to individua! , ,omen and men students but also to the educa. tional process itseit.

## HOW A "CHILLY' CLIMATE FOR WOMEN <br> AFFECTS ALL STUDENTS

## Women Students

A chilling classroom climaie puts women students at a significant educational disadvantage. Overtly disparaging remarks about women, as well as more subtie differentiz! behaviors, can have a critical and lasting effect. When they occur fre-quently-especially when they involve "gatekeepers" who teach required courses, act as advisors, or serve as chairs of depart. ments-such behaviors can have a profcund negative in'sact on women's academic and career development by:

- discouraging classroom participation;
- prevënting students from seeking heip outside of class;
- causing students to drop or avoid certain classes, to switsh majors or subspeclalties within majors, and in some instances even to leave a given institution;
- minimizing the deveiopment ol the individual collegiai relationships with faculty which are crucial for future profes. sional development:-
- dampening career aspirations; and
- undèrmining confidence."

Instead of sharpening their intellectual abilities, women may begin to believe and act as though:

- their presence In a given class, department, program or institution is at best peripheral, or at worst an unwelcome in: tyusion;
- their participation in ctass discussion is not expected, and their contributions are not important;
- their capacity for full intellecitual development and profes. sional success is limited; and
- their academic and, career goals are not matters for serious attentinn or concern.


## Mon Studenta

While women students may be most directly harmed by an in. hospitade climate, mrn students are also affected. If ilmited views of women are overtly or subtly communicated by faculity, some men students may experience reinforcement of their own negative vlews about women especially because such views are conlirmed by persons of knowledge and status. This may make it more difficult for men to perceive women students as full peers, to work with them in collaborative learning situations, and to offer informal support as colleagues in the undergraduate or ate school selting. Moreover, it may hamper men's ability to
relate to women as equais in the larger worfo of work and family beybnd the institution."

## how a chilly climate can interfere with THE EDUCATIONAL PROCF.SS

In addition to its effects on individual women and men students, a learning cilmate that subtly or overtly communicates differgnt expectations for women than for men can interfere with the educational process itself. If, for example, it is taken for granted that women are less apt than men to participate in class discussion and their input is ei,her not sought, or overtly or subtly discouragec the contribution of half the class may be lost it faculty reinforce student perceptions that some lields of study are "masculine" and some "feminine," students may shy away frơm majors considered inappropriate Thus, departments and individual teachers may lose students of talent and potentras, and many students' academic and career options may be foreclosed.

## WHAT THIS PAPER HOPES TO DO

This paper is written :.) help faculty, students and ad. ministrators become more aware, of the subtle-and not-so.

[^2]"There ls still the feeling that you cen't Invest time and Interest Ini women students as you do whth men students becaust there is uncertainty ebout the prolessionalicommitment on thespart of the women student."
(Response to Projact Call for Intotmation
foimer faculty momber In nontyadilional area)
: . . a dozen of oùr classmates walkerl out In mid-le cture ofter a Pro. lessor of Surgery plnched the breast prosthesls of e mastoctomy patient and then the breast of o woman'radlologist, saying 'l'd like to bump into efther of 'you in on olevator any time!' From such ex. amples we learned how doctors treat our women petlents and conl. leagues, and how are we to ylow women wny differently:?".
(Excerpts fromiletterio.thyir disan from groupof womeri medical'studen!s who had just recelved medical degrees, asquoted in MLA,p. 22)
"One woman eamed high grades in e traditionally male fleld. Her professor announced to a mostly male class that infs represented an unusual echlevement 'for a women' and was an Indicatlon, first, That the woman student was probably not really feminin'e, and, second, that the males in the class were not iruly masoullne, since they allowed e woman to beat them."
, (MLA p.20)
"I could write a book tut much of what ihave said is silli verypainful 10 me. I would hope that the study you are doing will shed some IIght on these vely difficult problems becifuse 1 am conulnced that whinen are being short changed by our higher aducational instliutfons .. ."
(Response ta Project Cali for Infarmation. formerfacilty member in nontraditional area) !
-The quotations thet appear throughout this paper arereal ind iecent. Many come fron survejis conducted by instimutions themselves or by campus groupe established to evaluale the institu.* tlonal cilmate for women. Uniess otherwise noted, quolations come from the souprias listed In Áppendix C. The fact that a quotation comes from a given Institution in no way implies that that institution is "chillier" for women than other inatiputions; to the contrary, it indicates that persons on that campus have sought to identify problem areas and to dovise strategiss fer chanps
8.
, subtle-ways in which women and men students are often treated differently, and to indicate specific actions thoy can take to create a leárning climate that best fosters the intellectual growth of all students. It will:

- identify a wide range of overt and subtle faculty behaviors that can create a chilly l/arniag climate;
- identify classroom behajiors of men and women students that may elicit differentl/l responses from faculty; *.
- offer specific recommondations which administrators, faculty, students, and others can use to bring about greater awareness and change; and
- provide a list of resource materials including orgainizations, -researchers, a short bibliography, and an agenda for further research.
Information for this paper has been compiled from several kinds of sources, including empirical studies of postsecondary and other classrooms; ${ }^{18}$ reports and surveys by individual resear. chers, campus groups, and postsecondary institutions; and in. dividual responses to à "Callfor Information" issued in conjunction with this project. Because classroom and other exchatiges that occur in the college context are in some respects-oimilar to the everyday interchanges that occur between and among. men and women in the larger sociely, ${ }^{\text {it }}$ information from the growing body of general researç on men's and women's verbal and nonverbal behavior has also been reviewed witi an eye toward its possible implications, for classroom climate. The examples quoted, or described in this paper are artual incidents that have occurred on campuses within the last-few years..


## HOW "SMALL"' BEHAVIORS CREATE A CHILLY CLASSROOM CHIMATE <br> DEVALUATION, EVALUATION AND DOUBT



The old saw that "a woman must be twice as good to ger half as far as a man" ṣtill contains a core of truth: our society tends in many ways to value men more than it values women, and to assume that men's work and words are important, women's iess so.

The general tendgncy to devalue wiomen and their work is $i$. lusitrated by a well-known series of celated studies ${ }^{20}$ in which two groups of people were asked to evaluate particular items, sucn as articles, paintings, resumes, and the lise. The names attached to the items given to each group of evaluators were cleariv either male or female, but reversed for each group-that is, what one group believed was originated b; a man, the other believed was originated by a womar. Regardless of the items, when they were ascribed to a man they were rated higher than when they were. ascribed to a woman. In all of these studies, women evaluators were as likely as men to downgrade those items ascribed to women.
Another form of devaluation may occur when women exhibit "behavior that is viewed as "mascullne" rather than "feminine." An ambifiqus male pre-law, student is viewed as behaving apprapriately and is likely, to receive encouragement when he speakś about wanting to be a judge; however, a female student expressing"the, identical goal may be viewed with surprise, perhaps as "odd," "unfeminine," "too striving," or "too am. bitious." Thus, fac'slty may view and respond to the same behavior differently depending on the sex of the student. Males who act dlspassionately may be viewed as "objective" but females as "cold." If a woman does exceptionally well, she may be praised for "trilnking like a man"-a back-handed complioment which implies that there is something wrong with "thinking like a () an," which she is.

The devaluation of women's accomplishments is exacerbated by the related tendency to attribute males' success to skill or ability but females' success to luck or to lack of difficulty of the task to be performed. In one study, for example, adult tutors working with etomentary school students who completed a preestablished assignment were most likely to tell high-achieving obys that they were competent, but to teil high-achieving girls that the assignment was easy. Thus, the cause for the children's Identical achievement was viewed very differently-simply on the basis of the children's sex. ${ }^{1 \prime}$ Simitar results are found in a number of studlagexamining the perceived reasons for success in a variety of tasks performed by men and women. ${ }^{12}$ The attribution of success to "skill" in the case of men implies in part that men have the ability to perform wefl or to improve upon their performance; the attribution of success to "luck" or lack of task difficulty in the case of women implies that thelr success is due to external fac., tors over whicis they have little control, and which they therefore cannot rely on for future achievement.
If, as much research indicates ${ }^{3}$ young women internalize this devaluation and "attribution" pftern of the larger society, they are likely to be especially prone to doubt their own competence, and abilities. Indeed, women students themselves may be Just as likely as males to downgrade "a woman's" academic work. In one stúdy, for example, women college students rated scholarly articles higher if they believed they were written by a man than if they believed they were written by a woman. ${ }^{24}$

## devaluation and the postsecondary LEARNING CLIMATE

"... (thel instructor spoke in snide and déragatory ferms of the role of the noustwives end womier (as nof belny econonicalty uselut fo mert) withouf exantining reiteons why this may heve bey so.".
(Female, Natural Resources, Andeley, p. 131)'

Colleges and unlversitles ideally provide an environment that differentlates betw en students only on the basis of merit. However, faculty aitd students are not automatica!iy immune from the lignlting preconceptions held by the larger society or from the everyday behaviors by which different perceptions of men and women are relnforced and expressed. To the contrary, desplte the increased enrollment of women students in jecent years, college is often still considered a "masculine" environment where success depends on skills and abilitles such as in. tellectual argumentation and competencourip mathematics which women are viewed as lacking. As with work in society at large, academilc work done by men may be valued more highly than that done by women; a woman student may have to outper. form her male peers to be taken seriously by her professors.

Because many womeń may consciously or unconsciously share society's limited yiew of women's abilities, some women (as wetl as some men faculty) maly expect less of their women students-expectations that in many instances may become self. fulfilling prophecies. Moreover, although many women students may begin their cullege careers with energy and ambition, they may at the same time, have less confidence about their capacity to achieve academic and professional success. Indeed; studies - suggest that women postsecondary students are more likely than men to doubt their abilities and to attribute thoir suçcess to luck 'or hard work rather than to skill. ${ }^{24}$ As one professor notes:
[Self confidence and the need for enicouragement and advice] is the primary area in which male and female scusenis differ quite a bit . . . I had wornen siudents who were very bright and who didn't perceive of themselvos as such. Whereas I had men studan's who were of moderate capabilities and convinced that their brilliance was going uniecognized. ${ }^{\text {.7 }}$
Women students may well nave a special neeo for a college climate that specifically acknowledges them as individuals and ' recognizes thelr abli ties, contributions and accomplis'in ants.

## EXPERIENCES IN EARLY SCHOOLING

'Women and men students are likely to enter college with differont educational histories-even if they, have attended the same elementary and high schools. Ongoing research indicates, for example, that elementary teachers frequently treat boys and girls differently in everyday classroom interaction-often without knowing that they do so. ${ }^{34}$ Primary school teachers tend to:

- talk more to boys, ask them more "higher order" questions, and urge them to "try harder" if they are initially unsuc. cessful (thus Imparting the message that they have'the abill. ty to succeed);
- give boys specific instiuctions on how to complete a project, but show girls how to do it-or, do it for them;
- talk to boys-regardless of location in the classroom, but often only to girls who are nearby; and
- praise boys for the intellectual quality of their work and criticize, them for lack of form and neatness, but do the op. posite for girls. ${ }^{\text {² }}$
Although there are obvious differences between colleges and elementary schools, some patterns of student-teacher interaction established at lower schriol levels may help set thę stage for ex. pectations and interactions in the college classroom.


## EXPERIENCES IN SOCIETY: EVERYDAY INEQUITIES

Small differential behaviors that often occur in, the course of everyday interchanges - such as those in which individuals are either singled out or ignored because of sex, race or age-have been called "micro-Inequities" by Mary P. Rowe, Special Assistant to the President at M.I.T. ${ }^{\circ 6}$ Each instance-such as a disparaging comment or an oversight which affects only members of a given group $\rightarrow$ may in and of itself seem trivial, and may even go unnoticed. However, when taken together throughout the experience of an individual, these small dif. ferences in treatment cadn create an environment which "main. tain[s] unequal opportunity, because they are the ais we breathe... and because we cannot change the personal characteristic . . . that leads to the inequity. ${ }^{13}$

## Evenyóar ineautirs in the postsecondary seting

Such "everyday inequities" can intrude into the postsecondary setting, and can "tcul the proces[s] of education" 32 for women students. A recent analysis which identifles types of incidents women in postsecondary education consider discriminatory, found that "contrary to... initial expectations that by far the greater number of reported incidents would involve direct and overt discrimination.. an eqqual or greater number concernes subtle forms of discrimination, wfrich the women involved found as trying and inequitable in their own way' as more outrageous or overt discrimination. ${ }^{י 1 s}$ In fact, subtle andior inaduertent incidents can sometimes do the most damage, because they often occur without the full awareness of the professor or the student When they occori in the classroom, or in related learning situa. tions, everyday inequities can indeed create a chilly climate for women.

## EVERYDAY INEQUITIES IN INDIVIDUALST,': ENT.TEACHER INTERCHANGES

In individual interchanges with two students, a professor disappointed in a male student's project might say. "Your work' is inexcusably sloppy; you'll never make it that way!" However, in exactly the same circumstance, the professor might say to a woman student: "My God, you're as incompētent as mij, wife! Go home where you belong!"s The woman student to whom such a "trivial" comment has been made may find hersel upset. angry-and perhaps truly doubtful about her competence. She may also feal confused, because what seems"a ""petty" incident has sparked in her such a strong response. Her professor, meanwhile, may be fuite unaware that his comment has linked her academic performance to her sex by communicalling a perception of her iot primarily as an individual tearner, but as a woman who, like "all women,". is of limited intellectual ability, operang out-
of her "appropriate" sphere, and likely to fail. (lindeed, in students-unllke men students-are too often seen as
anonymous members of a group frond whan certain behaviors can be expected, rather than as individuals with unique competencies:)

Secause everyday, inequities usually occur without either party's full awareness of exactly what has happened, they are often difficult to identify and to change. Especially when they occur in the college context, they can have profound cumulative and lasting ramifications such as:

- distorting a teacher'sand student's evaluation of perfor. mance wilt preconcelved expectations about women's abillties. For example, computing a math problem ("Women aren't good with r. oers')", writing a thesis ('Women have trouble thinking c, tically"), or doing a lab experiment ("Women are clumsy with lab equipment"):
- provoking and reinforcing expected behaviors that are of negative value in the academic setting ("Women tend to over-react, women can't handle criticism.'") Often when one expects a particular behavior one may unconsciously encourage it or allow it to occur;
- using up women students' energles in conflict, anger, and self.goubt ("Why am I so upset? Maybe I'm really not up to collige work.' '); and
- provoking foolfings of holplesśness, especially when there are no channels for dis jussion and no appropriate actions Por remedies available.


## THE POWER OF WORDS

"... in cther clesses they heer women describod as 'fat housewives, "dumb biondes,' as physically 'dirtyi' is 'brogos.' 'chlcks,' or 'dimes,' dopeniling on the ags of the speaker! ${ }^{-}$
(M14. p. 21)
yO/ne instructor min partculer:. . When we were reading novels. $\therefore$ w widd make sueh commonis as' Well, you girls probebly found ihis boring' or 'You women woutch't unidistend th/s tpelling thit mer have.' After a whille 1 began to tael dipressed whits atteriding this cleas and llstening to his disparagerients of wommen. It inspines in one a heiling of worthiessness.".
(Femati; Humanithes, Eedelay p. 130)
"Class time le taken up by somo proleseors with dinty jokes which , . . often heppen to be derogationy to women (l. e., reforilingto a woman by a pmit of her anatomy, porraying womoti in jokus pas simpleminded or leases. showing: -. women as pert of the decoray on' ofr a slldel.:"
:. - (Apsponse to Profect Call tol Information, vetorinaiy student)
"(I) saw $\varepsilon$ sllde show in (Course X) on compuiers which had fomale modele in bikinls standing next to computers and the narration of the film inciufled comments such as 'Look of those mpasoremonts,' elc., quite soxist lancta ifitio pross, alsol."
(Male, Physical Sciorices, Backeley, p. 102)
Ma:yy protussors; while aömitting awaròsests of sox stereotyping language. olten fustify their continuod use of these labels. Froquently, they joke about theif continued mate chouwinism, as though theif admission serves as en exoneration for a continuationiof sextsm.
(Female, Natural Pesources, Bediciley; p. 131)
"One miemorable instructor (whose course ivas required of ell graduate students) regularly Informid each new generation of graduate students that iwomen were sof gosd for much of anything but sexual exercises. He enloyod going. into graphic descripiton of the trials and trlbulatlons of - 0 journey taken with a grove of students düilny which one femile experlonc. ed the onsef of menstruationt. "Elood all over the demn place,' our crofesser told the cluss, had to tike miles out of the canyon to find wadding to stuff in herc...."
"Every ilme itell my advisor about my dissertation, he says, 'Oh, thet's a very Importami is sue for women.' My thesis insolved issues which are impor. tamt for poith men and women but hit porsists in ralallig to me as a woman. rather than as a serlous stustent; as $I t$ the two were incompatlole:"
("llustrallve Problems")
"Certain Instructors in the department are known for making sexlst fokes, and having rather sexist attlicides; so li affectsmy rapport with them, but i don't thin' If has aifecteo' the esslgnment of grades or of course assignments."
(Fomale, Biological Sciences, Etarinix. P. 105)
"I have yet to hear a piofessor comment on the dally appearorice of amale colfagug, ! have yot 4 go throuyh a weth without some comment pertaln. ing to my appearahce?
(Hevied p. 8)

Overtly discriminatory comments on the part of faculty are still surprisingly prevalent These comments are often inten. tional-although those teachers who engage in them may be unaware of their potential to do real harm. They may occur not only in individual student-teacher interchanges, but also in classrooms, office consultations, academic advising sifuations and other learning contexts.

There are some indications that overtly sexist verbal behavior on the part of faculty may be most concentrated in those fleldss and institutions where women are relative newcomers, and that it often increases in both intensitygand effect at the graduate level. (For a discussion of the special problems encountered by graduate women and by women in traditionally masculline fields, see pp. 10-12.)

The invidious nature of such comments can perhaps best be understood by comparing them to similar racial remarks. Few, if any, professors would make disparaging comments about blacks' seriousness of purpose or academić commitment, or use racist humor as a classroom device. (In order to experiencé the derogatory nature of such comments, the reader may wish to substifute the vord "black" [or other minority] in the examples that follow):

- comments that disparage women in genersl, such as habitual references to "busy-body, middle-aged women," statements to the effect 解放 "women 'are ro good at anything," or the description of a class comprised solely of women as a "goddamn chicken pen."
- comments that disparage women's intellectual abilliy, such as belitlling women's competençies in ${ }^{-s p a t i a l ~ c o n c ̧ e p t s . ~}$ math, etc., or making statements in class discussion such as "Well; you girls don't understand. .."
- comments that disparage" women's seriousness andior acadomic commitment, such as "I know you're competent, and your thesis advisor knows yqu're competent. The question in our minds is, are you really serious about what you're doing?" or "You're so cute. I can't see yqu as a professor of anything."
- comments that divert uliscussion of a woman student's work toward a discussion of her physical attributes. or appearance, such as cutting a student off in mid-sentence to praise her attractiveness, or suggesting that a student's sweater "looks big enough for both of us." While such comments may seem harmless to some professars, and may even be made with the aim of complimenting the student, they often make women uncomfortable becaus3 essentially private matters related primarily to the sex of the student are made to tak'e precedence over the exchange of ideas and information.)
- comments about vomen facuity trat define them in terms of their sex rather than their professional status (e.g., "It must be that IIme of month") or that tisparage their professional accomplishments, such as greeting the announcement of a female colleague's book with "Aftgr all, it's only her disser. tation, and you know her [presumably, male] advisor must have written most of that." (Such comments can be espesially damaging, since the altltudes and behaviors of women faculty; and of male facully toward them, is often "the most direct evidence avaliable to students of both sexes of what it means to be a professional women in our society. ${ }^{\prime \prime 2}$ )
- comments that refor to males as "men" but to femaies as "giris," "gels," otc. rather than "women." This non-parallel terminoiogy implie's that women are viewed as similar to chlldren and thus less serious or capable than men.
- comments that rely on sexist humor as a cicssroom device, either "innocently" to "splce up a dull subjeck" or with the conscious or unconscious motive of making women feel un. comfortable. Sexist humor can range from the blatantly sexual, such as a physics lectuie in which the effects of a vacuum are shown by chinges inthe size of a crudely-drawn womlan's "boobs," ${ }^{37}$ or the depiction of women in anatoiny teacining slides as Playboy centerfolds, to "jokes about
deting, about women students waiting to be called by men, etc.-i.e., the usual fooling around which relies on a certain bad taste (usuaily depicting women is a sexual context which is typically derogatory) in order to create a lively atmosphere in class." ${ }^{38}$
- comments that disparage scholarship about women, or that r!dicule specific works because they deal with women's perceptions and feelings. Such comments can reinforce students' perceptions that what men think, feel and do is im. portant, while women's roles, actionsk and feelings are not worth'learnin'g about.
Often, faculty feel that overtly sexist comments and related behaviors are trivial, or "facts of life"-accepted and harmiess features of everyday conversation. In some instances, teachers may simply speak out of habit with no ill intent. In other. in. stances, however, teachers themselves may be upcomfortable with women students, have a restricted view of women's abilities and roles andior be consciously or unconsciously hostile toward women. Some teachers may unkrowingly use sexist humor to relieve their own anxieties or hostilities.

Just as they may arise from several motives, overt comments dispasaging to women may be intended to serve a variety of purposes. For example, sexist humor may ostensibly be designed to foster collegiality between a teacher and the class. However, it may have the opposite effect on female students: sexist humor and other overtly disparaging comments may in fact alienate women students (and some male students as well) and thus directly affect the climate of the class as a whole. As a women's caucus at one western university notes, "The phychological undernining of . . . female students' conffdence and self-esteem is ritualized through sexist jokes and comments . . . This patroniz. ing of female students, in 'Joth its sublle and gross forms, im* pedes, if no: destroys, intellectyal exchange between female students änd male faculty members (and female faculty members who have adopted the same posture)."30

Some faculty may also intentionally (or inadverten(ly) use sexist comments, and/or inappropriately personal or sexual references, in order to annoy or distract women, of to trivialize women's contributions, especially in circumstances where perfor. mance is being eyaluated. ${ }^{4}$ (This sort of behavior is often reported by professional women, areaiso by women graduate students, one of whom, for example, cites teing distracted by a male examirer's' inappropriate comments in the middle of an oral examination. ${ }^{41}$

Whether or not their intended purposes are "innocent," sexist humor and overlly sexist comments can interfere with classióm learning and have negative effects that go far beyond the immediate classroom or related learning situation.

## THE,CLASSŔOOM'S SILÈNT́ LANGUAGE

> "What if lind demaging and dishvartaning ara the underlying attltudes ... the surprise if see whan e women does wall in an exam-the ccndesconding smila when she doesn' $\mathrm{l}^{\prime \prime}$.
(Female, Phys/cal Sc/ances, Beacelax, p. 126)
"There are reports that a fow teachlig assistants suggest to thatr iqborntory classas that gitis nof work fogether as partnars, becauss of i prosumad lack of elese with handing equipmant."
(Chalr, Department of Phys/cs, Buiteley, p. 40i)
"I hev witnassed famale students in fwo lowar divislon courses ineated as ornaments-as if thay fackad any sembiance of fnfalleciual capacity-both occtslons by mite instruciors."."
(frala, Sochál Sefoncas, Bargules, p. 130)

Like verbal behavior, nonverbal and other behaviors can also help shape classroom climate. A prolessor's nonterbal behaviorcan signal inclusion or exciusion of group. members; indicate in. terest and attention of the opposit $\ddagger$; communicate expectation of students' success or failure; and ioster or imperfe students' con. fidence in their own abilities to learn specific tasks and procedires. ' 3
'Genefal studles of nonverbail behavior show that women may be more sensitlve to nonverbal cues than men are. ${ }^{4}$ Consequently, women students are especlally likely to benefit from behaviors that reoognize them as Individuals and encourage them-for In. stance, making eye centact and nodding. Addítionally, women are very api to plick up on "mixed signals"-such as verbal en. couregement that is coupled with nonterbal behavior which in. dicates a lack of interest or attention (moving away, looking alsewhere, shiuffing papers).

Orr ervations of classroom interactions,4 as well as general /stuales of nonverbal behaviör in everyday situations, indićate that giris and women often recalve and, glve different nonverbal cues than boys and men do. These differences may well arise from differences in the perception of ability, value and status traditionally assoclated with mernand womern.4 As mentioned previousiy, classroom observations at the elemeritary :evel show that teachers more frequently talk to boys no matter where they are in the classroom, but to girls only when they are nearby. Thus, boys tend to command active tepcher attention regard!ess of ctoseness to or distance from the teacher, while girls do not."

Moreover, patterns of male-female interaction typical in society at large may well be carried over into the classroom setting. For example, both in and out of class, men tend to clalm more Physical space than women (e.g., outstretched armssather than arms folded, sprawling posture, etc.) to make greater use of asserilve and attention-getting gestures, to maintain eye contact rather thanto avert their gaze, and to use touching as a yay to assert fower or dominance.4
faculty may treat men and women students differently in the following manner:

- making eye contact more often. with men thari with women, so that individual men students are more likely to feel recognized and encouraged to participate in class. (One teacher, for example, concerned because few women took part in discussion, learned from her students that she tended to ask a question and then to make eye contact with men only, as if only men students were expected to respond.)."
- nodding and gesturing more often in response to men's questions and comments than to womin's.
- modulatirg tant (for example, using a tone that communicates interest when talking with men, but a patronfzing or impatient tone when talking with women).
- assuming a posiure of attentiveness (ficr exampio, leanlig. forward) when men speak, but the opposite (suct as lookjing at the clock) when women make comments.
- hablitually choosing a location near mer students. (Proximity in the college classíoom may invite comments primarily from those sitting close by.)
- excluding women from course-rulated activities, such as field tripe, or attempting to discourage tholr participation $r$ because wormen are "too much trouble," etc. (Such exclu. sion Is illegal under Title IX. ${ }^{40}$ )
- grouping students ancording to sox, uspecially in a way which imptios that women students are not as competent as or do not have status equal to mon. Women students, for ex. ample, have reported that some teachers insist there be no all-women lab teams because women cannot handle laboratory equipment on their own. (Other professors may group the women together"so they can help each other," or so that they "d h 't delay the men.") Some women have reported certain professors instruct male medical students to "scrub" with the faculty bui women medical students with nurses." These.kinds of arrangements may not only lead women students to doubt their competence, but also preveht women-for whom "hands-on experience" can be especially important iq bulifing cpnfidetrse $e^{*}$-from learning as much as men studfris.
- If men students are expected to-and do-take over lab procedures, women are likely to be observers rather than participants.
- "scrub" sessions may serve as informal learning circt mstances from which women are excluded as lear ers
and simultaneously "put in their place" as support profes. sionals in the traditignally female field of nursing rather than as full colleagues.
- favoring men In choosing student assistants. !! many in. stitutions, men are still more likely than women to be chosen by faculty for these positions," which can provjde students contact with faciulty and opportunities for learning new skills and building confidence. Moreover, such courserelated work experience with faculty can play a cruclal role in sponsorship ior jobs and admission to graduate and pro-- fessional progitams. ${ }^{-3}$
- glving mon datalled instructions in how to complete a par. ticular problom or lab assignment in the expictation they wIII oventually-succeed on their own, but dolng the assignment for women-or allowing them to fall with less instruc. tion."
- allowing women to bi physically "squeezed out" from view. Ing a laboratory assignment or a demonstration." This sort of physical exclusion can intertere with women students' opportunity to iearn on their own.
- making direct sexual óvertures. Direct sexual harassment by faculty can lead women students not only to feel threatened, but also to perceive that they are viewed by faculty primarily in sexual terms, rather than as 1 ilividuals capablerof scholastic and professional achievement. (For a discussion of sexual harassment by facultyand its effects on women students' selfesteem and academic and career commitment, see Frank J. Till, Sexual Harassment: A Report on the Sexual Harassment of Students, NatlonałAdvisory Council on Women's Educational Programs, August 1980 and "Sexual Harassment: A Hidden Issue," Project on the Șatus and Education of Women, 1978, listed in the Resourte section of this paper.)


## SUBTLE MESSAGES IN CLASS PARTICIPATION PATTERNS

 studenis in cjeas. whative got topother omprig our ivat vacation trom col. loge, the gids who woit to cood seloole sate they beidty talked at all in
 and women aci not et if irmplowit thent:"


 This is not occause tochers ewo eupromety texptit binge, but becevise they are governed by the same rutet es whryonedet",
(Date Spender; "Don't Tíli, Lusentir

 elate, Ho innoly does this to metr:"
(Henat pos)
"In clasias, I expertenced mysilf as a perion to le taken lightly. in one seminar, I wus nowe allowed to $\mathrm{Im} / \mathrm{sh}$ a santonce; there soemed to de a tacit understanding that I never had anfething to lay.".
"ulluatr theieconheme")

Subtle and inadvertent differences in the ways faculty treat men and women students can dampen women's participation and lead them to doubt the yalue of their contributions. In mixed-sex college classrooms, even the brighest women students often remain silent, al hhough they may submit excellent written work and will frequently \&pproach a teaoher privately after class to follow up on issues raised earlier.s Indeed, it has com3 to be faken for granted by many faculty and students alike that men will usually dominate the discussion in college classrooms, and many resear. chers haye confirmed thel women students are less likely to be verbally aggressive in coeducational settings.s Although women's sifuece cah put them at a considerable disadvan. iage-not only ing an academic but also in a career setting-only recently has the pattern of less participation by women become a matter for concern and research.

In many classes, women postsecondary students are called on . less often than men students," and some women sir.ply remain silent. However, as mentioned earlier, those women students who do make an effort to participate may find that their comments are, disproportionately interrupted by teachers and by mate classmates, andor that faculty aie less likely to develop their points than those made by menstudents." Cumslative classroom experien'ces such as these can contsibute to women students feeling and actige as though their opinions are of little impor. tance-neither sought out nor listened to.

Factors that may make it difficult for women to participate in class, but that may occur without the full awareness of eitherstudents or faculty, are discussed in the following sections. They include:

- everyaay inequitles in the ways men and womien talk-espectally in task-oriented group situations-that mày be carried over into the classroom:
- faculty behaviors in initiating and managing class discus. sion that can inadvertently reinforce these patterns and oiscourage women's participation;
1
- features of the college classroom as a "masculine" and competitive setting for discussion that can put some women students at a disadvantage; and
- characteristics of women's classroom "style"-as contrasted to that of men-which may lead women's comments to be taken less seriously than men's.


## EVERYDAY INEQUITIESIN TALK THAT MAY BE CARRIED INTO THE CLASSROOM*

Despite the popular notion that in everyday situations women talk more than men, studies show that in formal groups contaln. ing men and woment .

- men talk mgre hían womeñ;
- men talk for londer periods and take more turns at speaking:
- men exert more zonitrol over the topic of conversation;
- men interrupt wofnen much more frequently than women in. terrupt men; ${ }^{60}$ and,
- men's interruptions of women more often introduce trivial or Inappropriately personal comments that bring the woman's discussion te-m "ind or change its focus."
'Not oniryournen talk more, but what men say often carries more weight. A suggestion made by a man is more likely to be - listened to, credited to him, developed in further discussion, and adopted by a group than the same suggestion made by a woman." " (The difficulty in "being heard" or "having their com. ments taken seriously" has often been nojed by women in.profes. slonal peer groups and is strikingly similar'to those cited by some women ccllege students.)
All too often nelther iacully nor students are aware of 'these patterns of behaviof-and it is then that they can do The most harm. Without knowing precisely $\dot{w} \mathbf{y}$, individual women students may come to feel.and to behave as though'they are marginal par. ticipants in the academic enterprise.


## ways of conducting quass drscission that can dISCOURAGE WOMEN STUDENTS

[^3]:Protessors (all mate) consistentily calt statisticiens 'he.' One has satd throw times in cless the statisician of the future will west a mint-calculator on ws ben, ' even though ond helfo of the cials are womaritra!ning to be sfatlistclans."
(Fomels, Fhysical Scioncos, Bengley, p. 131)
"Woman are addressed by firat names, men by the li lest""

"lf ithel instructor can't anawer quettors ithe or she) says, 'You gifts don't understend ""
(Health and PhyatcalScionces Progrem, Bendriay, p. 59)
'... mary women, especially undergreocuares, are discouriged by the predom/natery masculine ponnouns in refercoce to both student and facul. ty. It seems like a small tifing but is pervasive and influential.".
(Fomale, Physks/Sc/onces, Berkatiax.p. 106)

Teachers themselves may inadvertently reinforce women students' "invisibility," andior communicate different expectations for women than for men students. Faculty behaviors that can have this effect include but are not limited to the following:

- Ignoring women students while recognizing men students, even when women clearly voluntear to participate in class. (This pattern, which may lead ir.dividual women students to feel "invi 'ybie." parallels the experiences of many women in professional meetings or other formal groups, who often raise their hands to no avall wifte man after man is recogniz. ed by the chair.)
- calling directly on meh students but not on women students. Male faculty, especially, may tend to call directly on men students significantly more often than on women students." This may occur because faculty unconsciously presume men will have more of value to say andfor will be more eager to speak up. Sometimes, however, faculty may wish to "protect" women students from' the "embarrassment" they assume women may feel about speaking in class, and thus simply discount them as participants.
- calling men students by name more often than women students. Sometimes faculty are surprised to discover that they know the names of proportionately moremen students than women students in thelr classes. Calling a student by name reinforces the student's sense of being recognized as an individual. (Students of both sexes should be addressed fin "parallel" terms . . . last names for both, or first names for - poth: Calling men by last name but women by first name im. plies that women are not on a plar with men ds adults or as future professlonals.)
- addrassing the class as if no women were present. Asking a questionwith "Suppose your wife..." or "When you werea a boy..." discounts women students as potential contributors.
- "coaching" men but not women students in working toward a fultor answer by probing'for adoitional elaboration or ex. planation (for example. "What do you mean by that? Why do you see it as a major turning point?"). This pattern, which has been Identified at the elementary level, " may communicate to the male student who is engaged in dialogue not only that his point is important, but also that he has the ability to answer the question, and can sycceed it he tries harder. If women are not "coached." thay do nal-get the same reinforcement to respond to intellectual challenges.
- waliing longer for men than for women to answer a question before goling on to, another student. Studies at the elementary level indicate that teachers terid to give brighter students more thine to formulate a response." Initial observations by researchers suggest that this pattern may afso af-- fect tea 'hers' Interaction with students on the basis of sex. If so may both reflect and reinforce women students' class sm ' reticence. Like interrupting women, givitis women less time to answer a question may subtly com munlcate that women are not expected to know the answer. (Men's sllence following a question may be more likely to be perceived as due to refiection or to the effort to formulate an answer, Hemen's to "shyness" or lack of a suitable response. $)$
- Interrupting women students (or allowing them to be disproportionaialy interrupted by peers). As discussed previously, this may seem sc natural that it may be "invistble." However, it may lead some women to wonder about the woth of their comments, and/or to withdraw from attempts to participate in slass.
- asking women studente questions that require factual answers (iower order questlons) while asking men questions that demand personal evaluation and critical thinking (higher order questirns). Such a pattern presumes, and subt ly communicates to women students, that they may not be capable of independent thought.
- responding more uxtenslvelyito mon's comments than to women's comments. This pattern may be exacerbated pecause men students may also be more llkely to pay more attenition to and to pick up on each other's comments, but to overlook those made by women." Thus, men students may receive far more reinforcement than women for intellectual participation.
- credting men's comments to thelr "author" (". . . as Bill pointed out') but not giving authorshlp to women's com. mients. Giving authorship is a way of proviting acknowledgement, praise, and reinforcement in the course of developing a point. Women are likely to be especially discouraged if authorship of their comments or suggestions is given to male speakers who restate cr cevgiop women's statements as though they were their own.
- makind seamingly hoipful comments wilch imply that women are not as competent as men. Comments such as " 1 know that women have difficulty handling this equlpment, but l'll be glad to help you after class" are likely to reinforce the irdividual student's and the class' perception that
- she-and perhaps women as a group-are deficient In some skills. Moreover, they may imply that some figids require "mascullne" skills and women who choose them are apt to encounter inordinate difficulty. ${ }^{\text {.0 }}$
- phrasing classroom examples in a way which ruinforces a
- stereotyped and negative vow of women's psychological traits, such as a description of a female character in . literature as "typlcally veak and irrational."
-using classroom examples that reflect storeotyped ideas about men's and women's social and professional roles, as . when the scieniist, doctor, or accountant is always "he," while the lab assistant, patient or secretary is always "she."
- using the generic "he" or "man" to represent both men and worren, as in "When a writer is truiy innovative, what criteria can we use to measure his achlevement?" or "Bosides men who can organize well and think cleariy, what other essen. tlals aro needed to bulid an industry?" ${ }^{\text {ro }}$
- reacting 10 comments or queztons articulated in a "feminine style" as Inhorently of less value than those stated In a "mascullne styie." The assumption that a woman student who begins a commént hesitantly and "overiy" polltely (as in "I wonder if maybe . . ."), or who makes a statement with questioning intoriation, does not have a good. grasp of the subject or has ilttle of importance to say, may lead a teacher to "tune-out" or to interrupt and tephrase. However, this style may reflect the way women have learned to talk in our culture, and may have little relation to, the validity of what is said. (See "Women's Speech And Women's Silence" for further discussion.)


## THE CLASSROOM AS A "MASCUIIINE" SETTING FOR DISCUSSION

[^4]FIn seminers... ; have noted dilferent responses by bofh facalty arad students to the presentations of other students. . . meny women tond 10 work In areas more closely related to the Indifidual experiençe, whlle men seem to work more with issues lavolving laiger groupings in soclety. There Is more aftention and valldation glveri to the lafter and often presentatlons on the mone personal individual level are lgnored or treated lightify as less important. Tha more ebstract the issue, the more status it has. Thercfore, many very fina presentatlons by women are not ghen the aftention they deserve." (Fomale, flold ol siudy nol designated, Erethlex, p. 97)

Men and women ouriously speak the same language, however, the manner in which they speak may tend to differ in ways that many peopie are not consciously aware of. Nevertheless, these different speaking styles may be a significant factor in faculty perceptions of what students say. One classroom researcher notes that "T]he valued patterns of speech in college and univer. sity settings are more often found among men than among women speakers." ${ }^{\text {"i }}$ These patterns include:

- highly assertive speech;
- impersonal and abstraci styles (often incorporating the generic "he'); and
- competitive, "devii's advocate" interchanges. ${ }^{12}$

In a college or university setting, these ways of talking are often "equated witi inteligence and authority." ${ }^{\text {n }}$ As mentioned eariler, students may percelve competitive intellectual argumentation as "masculine" 14 and some women students may feel un. comfortable in adopting this so-called "masculine", way of taiking. Equally impostant, women students' own styles of speaking may incorporate features that are devalued in the traditionally masculine acadtmic context.

## WOMEN'S SPEECK AND WOMEN'S SILENCE'

"I have notloed that wamen tend to be much mone tentative in seminars; often they will ask quesflons in ileu of making pronouncements. More offen than not, thelr,questions ere freteted with condeiscenslont. (l they are not lgnored entirely. I think mafo professore and graduite students will have to think seriousty and apenty bout these more subtler stylistic dh. ferencus-aboul the gerptiuation of the 'old boy' sys sem in the crapse0om, as well as in the iob marker-before we can expect eny mafor changes to occur."
(Female, fleid of study not designafodgeytrive, p. ©0)
"One of the greafect probfems women faculty and sfudents confroht is how to be tiken seriously in the dally llfe of colleges and unlversilles. 7h/s problem has strong ilnguistlc components since spetch charactertstlcs ait offen made into end evaluated as symbols of the person . . . The valued pat. toms of speoch in colloge and universty seftings are more offen found among mon than among womin speakers.
(Banie Thome, "Cla/ming Verbal Space: Women,
Speech and Lenguage in Colloge Classrooms," ${ }^{\text {P.5 }}$

Researchers on sex cifferences in language have identifled features which usuc:") occur more often in the speech of women than of men. These ways of talking-many of which are used in everyday conversation no: only by women, but also by individeals and groups with low stalus and little power-may put women students at a particular disadvantage in an academic setting. They include:

- hesitation and false starts ("I think . . . I was wondering ...")
- high pitch
- "tag" questions ("This is really Important, don't you think?")
- a questioning intonation in making a statement ("The second chapter does most to clarify the theme?")
- excessive use of qualifiers (Don't you think that maybe sometimes . . . ${ }^{\circ}$ )
- other speech forms that are excessively polite and deferen. tial ("This is probably not important, but ...")
Some suggest that these speech patterns have developed as a response to inequities in the laryer society. If one has little power and Is not as highly regarded as others, one had better express onesplif polirely and cauthously-and giris are often raised to do so !'owever, women postsecondary students-as well as women facu ty-find themselves in a double-bind if they-use these
hestantly and uses many qualifiers, she máy be immediately perceived by her teacher and by her classmates as unfocused and unsure of what she wants pay. Her "overly polite" style may, seem to "invite" Interrupitions by, or inattention "from, both' teacher and other students. Indeed, even the most insightfui points made in this manner-especially by woman-may be taken less seriously than the identical points r.ade by a man or delivered in a more "masculine" assertive style.
Some nonvérbal behaviors found more often among women than among men" may also work to women students' disadvan. tage in the classroom. While men tend, for example, to use assertive gestures (such as pointing) coupled with loud tones to underscore statements, most woinen have "learned" to display "submissive" gestures and facial expressions, such as.
- "inappropriate" smiling (smiling while making a serıous statement or asking a question)
- averting their eyes, especially in dealing with men andior with those in positions of authorit; (including their professors).
These and similar kinds of behaviors that deviate from the male "norm" may lead faculty to perceive women students as frivolous, uncertain, and perhans firtatious. They may also lead faculty to prejudge both women's comments and their academic commitment.

Some argue that women students would be best to adopt a "masculine" style in order to achleve classroom credibility. Others poini out that a woman who does so may be perceived as "aggressive" rather than assertive because her way of talking and acting does not conform to "feminine" expectations: what a woman student says in a "masculine" style may be rejected out-of-hand on that basis. Indeed, the same behaviors seen as "forceful" in a man may be viewed negatively-perhaps even as "hostile"-when used by a woman. More importantly, researchers are curiently beginning to explore the possibility that some features of "women"s speech"and behavior might have positive value in fosturing a more equitable classroom climate based more on the cooperative development of ideas than on "competition for the floof" Questioning intonation or tag questions, for example. may enfourage elaboration of a comment by the next speaker, while spme nonvesbal behaviors more commonly found among wornerl such as nodding in agreement. "commenting" with "hmm hmm," etc.-may help to reinforce speakers and to invite others to participate. Indeed, students of both sexes have been shown to participale significantly more often in classes taught by women." Ongoing research is attempting to identify verbal and nonverbal "cues" which mây be more typical of women teachers that may serve to encourage alf students to participate most fully."

## groups of women who may be especially AFFECTED

WOMEN GRADUATE STUDENTS"
)

AI Expectoo the grodualo axperiance to be dillarent. I expectod that my ma: for acticor would be my maitor. I have recelved very Ilttle time. I have notic od that mate students seem to develop dillarent klnds of relationships with. . Mroltessors and get mone help and support."
(Famale, Education, Bactritex, p. 67)
"Fpeaurch assistantships ane estignedisiylndividual foculty members who how the suppert for this fype of appolntment. Mate faculty members fend so favor male greduate sfudenis as research assistants for varfous and sundry reasone ('owcavee they play squash togother') with the rasult that fewl women ore sstectod and hence mere bucome tosching assistants by sefowt:" (Chaifman, Deppartment of Econvikes, Expkley, p. 42)
"Mout probitems wimp my researchizdviser are of a sublla nature-I wouldn't sey Me discrimmatie dut 11 Is moré awkwerd for him, to deal with me than the mien lo the teb and whee verse. He's not terrlbly 'up front' about hls oplalont," (Femath: Blologleal Selerces, Bartwit, 0.9 I)

meaning, petrqaizirg and somellmes outright insulting menner. I believe ${ }^{4}$ the righ altilition rate of female graduate students is an large part a result ci this informaliadt c/ support."
(Assistantprolessor, Batheley, p. 30)
SA mate prolessor, introducing his ifmala'graduate stuitént who was glving a seminar sald: 'It's nice to llind a student whu is inteillgent and can write, buile doesn't hurt II she's also good-looking.' "

- ('diverad. p. 10)
"My research is taken more sericusly than the rusuarct of women in our grqup."
(Male, Er:gineoinig, Backaley, p. 86)
"I certalnly do not leel that women are treated like colleagues in (x) Dept. As a reader thls year, I was treated as an additional secretary."
(Female. Humanitlos, Berkelay, p. 84j)
". . IThis (lack of senlor wamen laculty to servisas ptoresso's or actvisórs] has deen the single most mportant delicil of thes Ph.D. 'experlence.' I have oo sense that my edvisor andior department supports my prolessional elforts, belleves in my ablity or cises whetrer or not I succeed. I would say th/s feeling ls more pervasive with lemale studeots." "(Hacyurd. p. 27).
"I have received comments such as 'You'ro not really serious about the - degree, are you?' Or. "Well. It doesn't matter If you finlsh your thesis ithls. yebr. You probably won't use If for mush anyway.'
("Illuştra!live Problems')

Mb.l anci women students alike often suffer a decline in self. esteem when they begin gradsaie study. However, women students" are more likely to encounter and to be vulinirable to behaviors that are subtly or overtly discouraging. that Single them out because of their sex, or that communicate lower expectatinns for them than for equally competent men students.

As noted previously, one of the most telling indications of the discrepancy between men and women students' intellectual selfesteem is the finding that women students are much less likely than their male classmatee to feel confident about their prepaiation for and ability to do graquate work. One extensive study reports that this difference "holds across almos" all majur fields . . . class yeaıs, and colleges" even when "women and men are matched on grade average and on graduate plans." ${ }^{* s}$

Title IX mandates that qualified women must have equal access to all graduate programs; however, once they are on cam. pus, women who pursue graduate study often face the problems encountered by undergraduate women in magnified form. Many observers have noted the increasingly "male" climate at the graduate and professional schóol level: for example, male professors are usually even more predominant, the style of * classroom interchange is often more compettive, the proportion of women students often smaller. Desplte the fact that they are highly sêlf-selected and oftèn begin study with better grade averages than'their male classmates"1 women graduate students frequently encounter even moredoubt on the part of faculty and others about their seriousness of purpose than do *women undergraduates. Many women haye been iold by faculty and by department chairs that while their competence is not questıôned. their commitment is. ${ }^{82}$

Often, faculty do not view career success as an approprsat, primary goal for wom in graduate students, but presume thei they will marry and that therefore are not as highly motivated as men to pursue graduate itudy. In ithe case of male graduate students, marriage and family may te seen as an advantage-a stabilizing factor and a symbol of maturity, in the case of women graduate sludents, however, marriage (or even the possibility of marriage) is often seen as a disability. If women students are already mar. ried. faculty may assume they will have children and then dron out of school or leave their profession. If they have young children, facully may feel that women sludents uhould be at home caring for them, and may advise them that a woman cannot properly combine school and a demanding professional career with: a
 ed how they plan to combine their career with family-a question rarely asked of male applicants Moreover, married women applying to graduate or professional programs may be told they will be taking lobs away from "married men who need them."
12 Questions about graduate women's "seriousiness" are even more likely in the case of many women who, because of oulside family or job responsifilites, are able to enrol! In graduate study
on a part-timb basis only. The vew persists that part-time students are not as committed as those who are able to devote themselves to full-time study. Additionally, many graduate professors may be uncomfortable working closely with women students who wish to enter the professor's own field because they have difficulty seeing women as potential colleagues.

Conséquently, women often report being neglected and overlooked, particularly in the less formal aspects of studentteacher interaction. This is also especialiy true for both men and women minority graduate students. ${ }^{\oplus}$.Many suggest that this lack. of informal encouragement may be a significant factor in the attri. tion-of some-womon-graduate students,-since-colleglailty_bet. ween graduafe students and faculty has' been identlfied as a necessary element in an apprentice relationship vital to the development of professional identity, and as an important predic, tor of satistaotipn with graduale school.h (One study, for example, indicates that women Ph.D.'s who had female dissertation edvisors'published significantly more than wemen who had male advisors.") Women graduate stydents are more likely to miss out - on-this cruclal kind of encouragement and support, and thus may teel increasingly doubtful abogt their acadepric ability and profossional potentia,

At the opposite extreme, especially in classroom situations Where they comprise a small minority, graduate women may be the objects of "overattention" In which their comments are view. ed with "amazement that a woman could be speaking about a technicar topic." As one professor notes, "[J]n elther event, women have difficulty evaluating the true worth of their contributions since their statements are either ynder: or overvalued because of the sex of the speaker ""\%
Graduate women often report being discouraged, angered or confused by the kinds of subtle and overt verbal and nonverba! behavlors discussed earlier." Because they often work closely with a limited number of senior faculty members, women graduate students can be especially affected by, the orientation and expectations of a particular professor or advisor. Although graduate professors have a responsibility to foster the profes sional development of all their students, they may knowingly or unknowingly treat men and women differently in classroom, academic advising and related learning situations not only in the wäys discussed earlier but also by:

- counseling women to lower thili aspirations andior to switch from wh "harder" to a "softer" ubspeclaity. While pro. fessors may act out of seemingly good intentions (for exam. ple, to protect women students from possible, fallure or to steer them. In directions more "approprlate" for women)' such counseling often communicates to women that they - are not as capable of doing graduate work as men are.
- organizing repeerch and teaching asslstantships in such a way that meri have more responstility andior greates opportunity to pursue their own research than women. Male teaching assistants may be more likely to have full day.today responsibility for thair classes while women assistants aid faculty members. In the case of re search appointments, merimore often than women may de able to pursue their own researchilnterests while women often end up assisting with their agvisor's research." Such arrangements can: dampen the growth of independence, and simultanecusly communicatelto women that thetr research interests are not important.
- exciuding women students from consideration for teaching assiatantshipe in areas where women as a group are tradt tionally consifered weak, ror exumple, in statistles."
- spontaneously offering to wrice letteri of reforence for men students but not for equally competent women students.
- nominating men, but not equally competent women, for fellowshipn, awards and prizes."
- showing aceeptance of men, but not of women graduate studints, as professional colleagues by treating men and -women difierently in "Infermal" ways such as the fotlowing: - more often forming "apprenticeship" or protege relation. shipe whth men than with women students. This may occur In part because faculty may foel more comfortable with
- male students, and also because faculty may inaccurately believe men are more likely to use their graduate training." Additionally, some faculty may expect "women. . . to be competent, good students, but . . . [not] to be brilliant or orlginal"-hence, not good "bets" for professional investment.
* providing women with "formal" but not informal teedback on the quality of their work. Some graduate faculty may give equal treatment to women in formal contacts and writ. ten comments, but interact more frequently with men overall to discuss their field, their own ongoing researcn, and other-matters of professional importance."
- Inviting men, but not women students, to share authorships, accompany them on professlonal trips, and meet recognized scholars outside the department.


## WOMEN IN TRADITIONALLY "MASCULINE" FIELDS

"Liver on, Dr:___ Nook me sitct end explained to me how women raretrimale goed fividgrologits. This, he mainfohed, was due to their olffcul. fy th proaving thinge in thre climumbons. He coptended thit when figur.
 Giphry hito weemuta"



 mincelapt, ciats of '83)
"AIUprowiend the mort palnfif pert of my experionco ind the total $*$









"In whathathe courtes If yous afe momin thon suems to be ket respoci
 Furtheinoin, if you enfoy now-wontireth courves more fren quantiailve
 twivere This it a mixture of isolmocratic bits wad wo discrimination, but the effect is to methe momine fued inforlor."
(thainal pi.9)
 that I'n flhing chassec within my maver and other acionoe clacees, uppor divalon jthet 1s, there If ariater pressure due 10 the mulor $I$ have


"I was infiresteditn maloring in crop sclence in the Collage of Agrlculture and wont to see my ectuenic actisor. He whcoureged me to change my major instaded to horficutiure, becoyse. It woakd not bet as diflkult a malor. as crop sciance. He fold mee that crop scioncie requined flold work and would be hars for woman fo hende." "
("Illustrative Problems")
"There ls a pervestre aftliudo in (x) thet labs are not avillable untoss one. chooper to 'ge on' to a more acceptabte discipline-women ane assumed to be especially 'morofessionaf'lf they have caroer aspirtilions in other then acodemte lields-mren, on the other hand, are encouragad to go Into politics or law."
(FFamefe, Elhnic Studies, Barkeley, p. 70)

Athough women's enrollments in traditionally "masculine fields"-such as physics, englineering, geology, architecture, and medicine and law -are beginning to grow, their actual numbers compared to men in these fields In most instances are still quite small. ${ }^{\text {e4 }}$ Most women continue to enroll in, a very limited number of traditionally female fields-such as education, the arts, and the social sciences-despite the limited employment and income op. portunities In these areas. The idea that some flelds of study are "feminine" and some "masculine" has increasingly become a matter for public concern, since it is a major contributor to low. státus, low.pay "women's job" ghettos in the larger economy.

Two forces may be largely responsible for women's continued avoidance of traditionally masculine flelds: departmental cllmate and women's own concern over the appropriateness of a "non.
traditional" major. Women are more likely to be attracted to departments with student-oriented faculty and "warmer" climates often associated with traditionally female than traditionally male fields even though such a cholce may foreclose the opportunity to enter a "high status" profession. ${ }^{* *}$ Additionally, even the most academicaliy competent women with interests and aptitudes geared to traditionally masculine fields may struggle with self-doubt in choosing a major which is culturally defined as appropriate for men but not for women. Women students in these fie:ds are likely to face difficulties for the following reasons:

- they comprise a distinct minority In a given class or depart'ment;
- they have little contact with other women pursuing the same major because of the vertical progression of required courses;
- they find few female teachers who might sene as role models; and
- they work with many professors who are not accustomed to * having women students in their classes.
Many reports of the kinds' of overtly disparaging faculty behaviors discussed earlier come from women students enrolled in traditionally masculine fields," and especialiy from women graduate students in these areas. The chilly-and sometimes. hostile-climate can be especially discouraging to women students who are trying to pursue Interests and develop abilities that do not coincide with current cultural norms. If these behaviors lead them to believe they are unwelcome or viewed as incapable-espectally by their professors-some women may use valuable time and energy dealing with unnecessary doubts and conflicts, and may shift toward "softer": subispecialties, or toward traditionally female majors and career goals. ${ }^{*}$

While a chilly departmental cilimate can discturage women from enrolling in traditionally masculine areas-and can "cool out" women who have already enrolled-one study suggests that facully behavior which is supportive and reinforcing i .n be the crucial element in preventing women students attrition and in ennancing women's chances for both academic and career success in "male dominated areas." ${ }^{*}$

## 7

## WOMEN MINORITY STUDENTS

"She /o bleck fomate medical studientl citode amell grovp learning situs. thon in which the inatructor nover looked at her and responded ohly to the , otherpocple on efther slde of her." ("Leerning Expertincens;" pi3)
"Somolimes tiam quite reluctent to sak quastions because of the putdowns of the instructor. He often looks at me in disbelio' when ido respond correcty. Usually, howeveri I don't ewen get recognized. Ifel, why bother?" at ("Assumptions and Practices," $p$.4)
"If tekft an extre effort to assert oneseif and to be ecceptod by white peers end ficutty"
("Loeming Experiances,".p.3)

In classroom interactions, as well as in other situations, minority women offen face the effects cf double stereotypes based on both race and sex. Eacully may be especially uncomfortable in dealing with minority diomen, and act on the basis of a variety of assumptions about ininority women's capabilities and attitudes. On the one hand, faculty may presume that an Individual student has specific academic abilities and carcer ambitions associated with a given cultural heritage-such as a "natural ability" in quantitative sulfects in the case of Pan Aslan American women. On the other hand, they may assume hat minority women are likely to be less capable than other students, to lack certaln skills, or to have certain personality traits-such as "passivity" in the case of Hispanic women, Amerlcan Indian women and others-that may limit academic achievement. Additionally, soma minority students-especially blacks-have repotted that faculty seem to expect them either to be academically incompetent or to be academic superstars who are "exceptions to the rule."'" This sort of double-bind can put a great deal of pressure O ority women situdents.

Facilty behaviors frequently reported by minority studentsien which may communicate both discomfort on the part of faculty, and differential or lower expectations Include those discussed earlier, especially:

- tgnoring
- Interrupting ${ }^{102}$
- maintaining physical distance
- avolding oye contact
- offering littic guldance and criticism ${ }^{103}$
- attributing success to luck or factors other than ability ${ }^{10 s}$

Moreover, the twin problems of "underatiention" and "overat. tention" experienced by women students generally are often exacerbated in the case of minority women. Willte on the one hand, minority women have reported being studiously ignored, even in small seminars, on the other hand, they have been singled out, not as individuals, but as representatives of their particular éthnic group-as when a minorlity woman is called upon to give the "black woman's view" of an issue or problem rather than her own view.
Additionally, raclally stereotyped interpretations of minority womens' own behaviors may interfere with effective facultystudent interaction. Protessors may assume, for example, that a black woman's-silence is due to "sullenness," ${ }^{10 s}$ an Asian American"woman's silence to "natural passivity." 100 . in some instances, cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal cues may lead faculty and minority students to misread each other's attitudes and expectations. ${ }^{107}$

## OLDER YOMEN STUDENTS

"The people most ept to be discriminated egainst (I.e., not leken seriousiy) are middif-aged momen who rofum so grad school efter a long hletus. But I bellowe thet oven they, prosuming they possess sufficient ability and sulticiant appilcetton, can deleat the prowalling stercotype which profs (old, young, mate and fematl! heve of them."

- (Famiale, Humenithes, Rechetex, p. os)
"Order women retuming to school report that igeulty often discuss them - publicly in terme of thieli ege and martiof status. Their roesons for rotuming to school bacome a balis for more or hess humprous speculation es cioes thalr mertel situatlon or possible neglect of home dutles." (MLE. p. 20)

Older women currentiy comprise the tastest-growing segment of the postsecondary student population. However, like minority women, older women often suffer the results of compounded stereotypes. Whether they are entering college as freshmen, finishing a graduate degree, or pursuing graduate study, older. women often find it extremely difficult to be taken seriously as students. Frequently, they are devalued not only because of their sex, but also because of their age and their likely part-time status. Too often, they are viewed as bored, middile-aged women who are returning to school because they have nothing better to do. This perception 's much in contrast to the actual situation of many returning wopens who tend to enroll in postsecondary programs for professional advancement and are often both highty motivated and highly successful in school-despite the fact that they may sometimes initially lack self-confidence and te hisitant about chassroom particlpatlon. ${ }^{106}$

Returning, women often enkounter both overt and subtle differential treatment ofthe kings discussed previr,usly. The follow. ing faculty behaviors can be espacially discouraging to women students who have been out of an academic context for a prolonged period:

- adopiling a patronizing tone in responding to comments or
suggestions;
- refusing to provide precise information about whit is required for a glven assigument-oven when students, ask for additional guldsnce-anui then downgrading the resulting work; ${ }^{164}$
- suggesting in classroom examples or advising situations that older women "should be home with their children,"
"don't reed to work if thoy have a husband to support them," otc.; end
- makfing commenis that disparage older women studeǹts, or that introduce inapplopriately personel concems.
Moreover, some faculty may be uncomfortabie working with studerits older tivan they themselves are. This may.contribute to older worven students' being ignored or overlooked in class, and excluded from less formal interchanges with faculty.


## FACILITATING CHANGE

Changing everyday classroom beñavior_that expresses devalued and limited vlews of women is a difficull chalienge-especlally because much differential treatment that may occur In classroom and related interaction is inadvertent, and often below the level of consciousness of both faculty and students. However, although this kind of change is elusive and difficult, it is already underway on many campuses, and directlons for future changes are being charted by ongolng projects and research.

Many façulty, for example, have recognized the importance of classroom language, and are attempting to identify and to change language that excludes or disparages women. ${ }^{10}$ Experts in teacher education at the elementary and other levels are engaged in ongoing research to isolate the small behaviors by which teachers may treat males and females differently, and to devlse observation and training techniques to help teachers change. Leaders in faculty development are aiding teachers who want to become more aware of their own subtle behaviors that may dilscourage minority college students, and many of these strategies are also useful In identifying behaviors that express dilferent attitudes and perceptions based on sex. Others are exploring the complex connections between sex-of-student and sexofteacher in order to isolate those verbal and nonverbal classroom behaviors that may facilitate women students' class participation. Indeed, the impact of sex on interactions in school and in society is becoming a major focus for research on many fronts, both outside and within academe.

Inseparable from this focus are the growing number of academic courses and programs which Incorporate perspectives on or emphasize women as subject. These include women's sludies courses, and other courses which incorporate content about women, as well as information about femate development, sex roles, and women's contributions to the disciplines. Some women students have seported thut after taking such courses they have felt more Included in the academic enterprise, and have "not only learned new facts, thecrles and approaches, but also... gained new perspectives on themselves as women and as scholars and were much more ready to assume responsibility for their educations." $"$ "' As one student notes, "When I became a iwomen's studies major . . I began taking myself seriously à a sclence major. I'm going to graduate school in genelics.'"1:2 Others have indicated the more immediate effect of women's studles courses in leading them to be more assertive in the classroom." ${ }^{1 / 3}$

In addition to citing the benefits of courses which include women as subject, women students on all levels and in virtually every study and survey reviewed for this report have emphasized their need for more women faculty at every level of postsecondary education to serve not only as teachers but also as role models, mentors and colleagues.
Women end men faculty allke-as well as students of both sex-es-can benefif from strategles to heip them become aware of and change behaviors that may discourage women students. A. variely of recommendations for increasing such awareness and facilltating change foliow. While some are designed primarily for faculty, some for students, and some for institutional ad. E minlstrators and others who can offer assistance and support, momy recommendations may be useful to all members of the I emic community.

## RECOMMER:DATIONS POLICY RECOMMĖNDATIONS FOT ADMINISTRATORS

- istue a policy stafement which makes it clear that overtly bias. ed comments, use of sexist humor, and reiated behavior on the part of faculty are not appropriate In the classioom or in related foarning sltuations. Distribute the statement to faculty and studer:s, publish it in the student newspaper, the faculty bulletin, etc. Include it in materials distributed to new faculty and new students. The University of Miami (FL), along with other institutions, has issued such a statement.
- Incorporate the institution's policy on classroom climatí Issues in statements about good teaching.
- Determine how a concern with classroom climate can best be Integrated Inte the mission, priorities and style of your Institution. For example, if your mission emphasizes sludent development, one appropriate focus might be how classroom climate affects women's learning potential. If faculty are primarily oriented toward teaching, in-class questionnaires or class interviews (see p. 14), class videotaping, etc., may be more readily adopted; if faculty are more research-oriented, suggestions for research projects into classroom climate may increase awareness of and spark interest in this area.
- Include Information on class room cllmats issues in workshops for all faculty, Including teaching assistants. It is important to make this information avallable to teaching assistants since they often handle many" introductory courses, especially at large institutions. Thus, their behavior may establish the classroom climate, for incoming women students. The Commission on the Status of Women at the University of Delaware
, developed behaviotal guidelines on sexual and gender harasis ment which were included in an annual teaching effectiverts workshop for TA's.
- Ensure that all new faculty are Informed of Institutional commitment to an equitable classroom cllmate. Use workshops, seminars, informal meetings with members of their department, etc.
- Develop criteria about providing an equitable loaming cilmate for women to be used in evaluating applicants for faculty and staff positlons.
- include classroom climate issues as a factor in merit evalua. tions,
- Develop a grievance procedure that can accommodate every. day Inequities in classroom and related leaming situations (nomactionable discrimination) as well as discrimination that Is illegal. Emphasize establishing a confidential forum for airing concerns and a means of oroviding informal feedback to facully whose behavior is objectionable or discouraging to women. The Massachusetts Institute of Technology is one of several institutions that have devised a model procedure of this sort.'


## GENERAL RECOMMENEAKIONS

- Include classrosm clitrizete lssues in student evaluations. Questions mirght ir clude items such as the following: Does this teacher call 07 women students as often as on men? Recognize women is readily as 作等 when women raise their - hands? T'eat męn'; and wom, degree of seriousness? Make disparaging comments or use sexist humor? Nake a'special effort to treat women and men equally-e.g., by avoiding sexist language, using sexbalancud class examples, etc.?
- Hold Informel meetings to discuss classroom climate and to stimulate awareness of the lssues. Invite men and women students, faculty, student affairs and faculty de alopment staff, and others. Use problems basẹd on experlences at your own campus to encourage discussion.
- Set up a committee of women and men students to develop a questionnalie or survey geared to those cllmate issues of greatest concem on your campus. Issues might be clarified (in
- a non-threatening way) by using anonymous examples based on experiences at your own institution, or by clting incidents
that have occurred at institutions simllar to your own.
- Evaluate results of the survey, pubicize where appropriate and develop plans for further acilvity.
Use a survey (by department) for men and women undergraduate and graduatestudents to evaluate classroom, departmental and institutional climate and to dotermine if women find the cilmate less congenial than men do. Items thaf ${ }^{2}$ might be appropriately included are questions about classroom climate adapted from the Student Perception Ques. tionnaire (reprinted as Appendix B) and questions about the broader learning climate, such as the following:
- Did your faculty advisor encourage you in your acedemic and career goals?
- Were man and women students within your department equally considered for assistantships, :esearch appointments, and collaboration with advisors on research and witing projects?
- Has a faculty member ever offered to write a letter of recom. mendation for you, or suggested you should try to publish your research?
- Evaluate whether women transferring from "traditionally male" to "traditionally female" fields have done so because of an Introsplitable classroom or departmental cllmate.
- Form an Information-sharing network with other Instifu-slons-both coeducational and single sex-that are evaluating their leaming climate for women. Members of already-established consortia might serve as a starting point.
- Use a new or already-estabilshed committee to evaluate classroom climate issues In the Institution. (Existing commit. tees might be those that deal with teaching policy or the status of women.) Involve faculty, adminlstrators, student affalrs staff and students-incluaing women and minority students, and representatives from all concerned student groups.
- Hold meetings geared to maie students (possibly lod by male faculty andlor student affalrs stafif) to discuss male roles, attitudes, speaking styles, etc. In terms of their impact on the classroom climate.


## RECOMMENDITIONS FOR PRESIDENTS, DEANS AND OM HMTMENT CHANRS

- Utilize the active support of respested faculty who share the oblectlve of improving the leaming cllmate for women. Their willingness to publicly recognize the issue and to take initlatives (such as having a "class interview" (see below]) can help legitimize a concarn with climate and set an example for others within their own departments.
- Auk heads of units, elther formally or Informally, what they are dolng/have dond to ensure an equitable ciassroom cllmate. This will provide you with information and also indicate your concern about the issue.
- Mention class room cllmate In speeches to reinforce Its importance as an Institutional priority.
- Circulate materials about classroom cilmate, such as this paper, to members of the academic community.
- Discuss ćlassroom cllmate informally at partles, luncheons, meetings, etc. Informal discussion san air the issues in a nonthreatening way and allow for faculty and student commen. tary and feedback.
- Sponsor workshops, seminars or other sessions on classroom cllmate. Have your office send letters inviting faculty and staff to attend.


## MEONMMENOATIONS FON STUDENT AFFAIRS PERSONNELZ

e Eatablish a workshop for all faculty who are atademic advisors to increase their understanding of the classroom climate issues (as well as the traditional socletal expectations and personal confllcts) that can fimit women students' acedomic and carwer choices.

- Familiarize residence hall advisors with aspects of the leam-t- Ilmate that can discourage women students, as well as
with existing channeis for soeking counseling, exploring grievance procedures, etc.
- Collaborate with faculty on research concoming the leaming cllmate for women at your Institution.
- Interview or survay women and men students to datermine whether they percelve overi endior subtle discrimination in their classes.
- Hold workshops for faculty about classioom climate lissues.
- Indicate your availatility to meot with individual laculty to discuss classroom cllmste lssues. (Put notices in the facuity bulletin, make a presenfation at a faculty meeting, etc.)
- Establish a procedure to gef towback from each dspartment about current classroom cllmates, areas which need Improvemont, and departmental goals you can heip to facilitate.
- Work with staff of the continuing education or re-entry prograt 1s, minority center, etc. to plan workshops or group conseling sesslons that fooses on the climate problems specia! groups of women may face.


## RECOMMENDATIONS EOR FACULTY DEVELOPAENT PROGRAMS

- Establish workshops, seminars or individual consultation sesslons designed to help faculty become aware of classroom eilimate' lssues. Emphasize activitles ${ }^{3}$ which provide a perscrasi frame of reference for data presented, such as:
- role-reversal activities specifically'connected with classroom speaking, etc. (for example, have male faculty attempt to argue a point effectively 'while "talking like a lady");
- case studiss, especially those based on experience at your own campus.
- Ald faculty members in using audiotape, videotape and other devices to Identify weys in which they may treat men and women students differently. (See the Student.Faculty Communication Checklist, reprinted as Appendix A.) Outside funding may be avallable to support such efforts. The American Universty (DC) for example, received a grant to provide classroom vide faping for instructors requesting it.
- Encourage faculty to keep joumals, student Contact logs4 or other records to keep track of the frequency and nature of their Interactions with women and men students.
- Bring students and faculiy together to discuss the cllmate of a glven classroom. Staff of the Center for Improving Teaching Effectiveness at Virginia Commonwealth University will hold a one-session "class interview" when invited by a faculty member. While geared mainly to helping white faculty under. stand subtle behaviors that may discourage black students in the classroom context, this method could be adafted, to focus on bahaviors that may discourage women. A0 open'ended question might be simply "What stands out to you as a woman in this class?"
- Set up "micro-teaching" workshops to halp.faculty identify and change differential pattems of Interaction with women and men students. Stalf of Project INTERSECT at The American University ( $D C$ ) have devised a program for elementary school teachers which could be adapted for postsecondary faculty. Each teacher presents a brief lesson plan and conducts a five-minute discussion with a "class" of two girls and two boys. The inieraction is recorded on videotape and a trained observer suggests changes. The teacher then conducts the session again, paying particular attention to differential treatments (such as calling more often on boys, encouraging the comments of boys but not girls, etc.) (For additional information, see Resources, p. 20.)
- Help faculty Identify ways In which they respond to differential Interactions between men and women students in the classroom. (For saample, do they discourage, ignorę, or encourage sexist humor on the part of male students? How do they handle interruption ano/or trivialization of women's com:ments by male students?)
- Train faculty to conduct classroom climate workshops, seminars, otc. for thair colleagues and/or for students.
- Train Interested faculty to be cbservers In cólleagues' classes.


## 

- Use the student newspaperfand faculty newsletter or bulletin to help make students'and faculty more aware ofgelassroom cllmata issues. Some campus groups have taken out advertisements and others have provided articles or Informatlon to campus media. The Commission on the Status of Women at the University of Delayare, for example, included in its newspaper ad a series cf questions about potential sexlsm in the classroom, and urged students to comment either positively or negatively via their course evaluation forms.
- Use tho student newspaper to conduct a classroom climate survey. The Commiltee Against Sexuad Harassment at Washington University (MO) ran a survey in the student paper which asked for information about whether wornen felt they were taken seriously, Ignored or excluded, subjected to sexist humor and sexist comments, etc. as well as about their personal, academic and career responses to such experiences.
- Distribute an informational flyer on classroom climate issues which inciudes angoested aciions and resource persons to contact. The Utah Staie University Committee on the Status of Women prepared and distributed a flyer entitled "What Can Students Do About Sex Discrimination?"'s
- Uso campus media to combat "humor" with tumor. The Women's forum Quarterly at Seattle Central Community Coilege (WA), for example, publishes a "Sexist Remark of the Quarter Award" to raise awareness about sexist humor and overily blased comments in the classroom. Each "award" reprints the offending comment.


## PROWOTHNHMTIUTIONALI RESEARCH:

- Offer incentives, such as summer funding, relase time, support personnet, etc. ito encourage research and planning in improving the learning climate. (Such support also serves to legitimize the issues explored.)
- Esfablish awards for on-campus research in classroom climate issues. (See also "Recommendations for Protessional Associations and Organizations," p. 17.)
- Publish a catalogue of resiearch on campus climate and related issues done by staff at your own institution. The Women's Resources and Research Center at the University of California, Davis, publishes an annual "Catalogue of UCD Facuilty Research on Women and/or Sex Roles," which informs
- the campus community, interested scholars, and the general public of research by UCD faculty and helps to develop a network of interested scholars. Publications of this sort help stimulate further research.


## cunaculum.

- Include in required Introductbry courses, where appropriate, a unlt on sex/status differences in verbal and nonverbal behavior and the valuation of behaviors by sex. Such a unit might be included in courses in sereral fieldst, including Speech/Communications, English Composition, Psychology, Sociology, Linguistics, and Women's Studies. (Some departments, such as Linguistics or interdisciplinary programs such as Women's Studies, might offer a separate course in this area.)
- Offer a speechicommunications workshop in intellectual argumentation skilis geared specifically to the difficultes is some women (and men) students may experience regarding class partkipation.
- Incorporate classfoom climate lssuds in teacher-education programs and emphasize practical skill-building tochniques designed to identify and ovbrcome subtle differential treat. ment of students on the basis of sex. (Course materials might include texts such as Beyond Plctures and Pronouns: Sexism Jn. Teagher Education Texts and Sex Equity Handbook for Sekges [sea Resources, p. 20].)


## HECOMMENDTHOWTEONFACULTY

## EVALUATING THE CLASSROOM CLIMATE

- Uso whratover means are avallable (audiotape, videotepe, a colingue, faculty or student development staff, or student ERIC
mine whother you finudvertentiy treat women and men studenis differently. The Student.Faculty Communication Checklist (Appendix A) suggests behaviors to watch and listen for, and questions to ask.
- Administer a survey to your students to determine whether women and men students find the cllmate of your classroom equally hosplable, and to mieasure men's and women's perception of sex-based differences l'n classroom Interaction. The "Student Perceptipn Questionnaire" from Sex and Gender in the Sotlal Scierces (Appendix B) might serve as a model. İln some cases, students may be more comforfable responding to such a questionnaire if it is administered by a proxy.)
- Where appropriate, devise assignments in which students learn research methods by cellecting data conceming the classroom cllmate. Students in some classes, for example,
$\therefore$ - rä̀o beén assigned to analyze patterns of interruption in class participation.


## AVOIDING BEHAVIORS THAT CAN CREATE A COLD CLIMATE FOR WOMEN

As discussed or, page 5 and following, some faculty behaviors can directly discourage women students. Behaviors to avoid include:

- disparaging women in general, women's intellectual abilit'es, or women's professional potentlal.
- using sexist humor as a classroom device.
- making scemingly helpful comments which Imply that women are not as competent as mon (e.g., "I know women usually have trouble with numbers, but l'll be glad to give you extra help . . .').
- turning a discussion of a woman. student's work toward a discussion of her physical attributes or appearance.
- discussing women faculty in terms of their sex rather than their professional status.
- 'grouping students according to sex in a way which implies that women are not as competent or do not have stafus equah to men (for example, in setting up laboratory or field-work teams).
- disparaging scholarship on women, or ridiculing specific works because they deal with women's perceptions and feel. Ings.
- questioning or disparaging women students', seriousness of - , purpose and/or academicicommitment.


## CREATING A CLIMATE THAT'CAN ENCOURAGE WOMEN'S FULL PARTICIPATION

Faculty can take many steps to identify and change subtle pat. terns in classroom and related interactions that may discourage
women students. (See page 6ff.) Several of the following recommendations are based on those in Sex and Gender in the Social Sciences: Reassessing the Introductory Course.'

## INCLASS

- Payparicular aftention to classroom interaction pattorns dur. Ing the first fow weoks of class, and make a special effort to draw yomen Into discusston during that time. Paricipation. patterns are llkely to be established during this Reriod, and often conilnue throughout the term.
- Sot aside a clase session early in the semestor for discussion of anxietios students might have about participating in class. ope professor, who as a student suffered from fear of classroom speaking, found that airing the issue not only in. creased her students' awareness, but helped ease women (and men) students' concems about participating.
- Toll your classes you expect both women and men students to participate in ciass discussion.
- Make a specific effort to call directiy on women as well as on men studonts.
- In-addressing the class, use ivrminology that includes both men and women in the group.
- Respoind to womeñ and to men students in similiar ways when they make comparable contributions to class discussion by: - crediting comments to thelr author ("as Jeanne said . . .")
- "coaching" for additional information, etc.
- Notice whether the "fominine" or "mascuiline"-style of a student's commint, quastion or response affects your own perception of its importance.
- intervene in communication patterns among studentis that may shut out women: For example, if men students pick.up on each other's points, but ignore an appropriate comment of. fered by a woman, slow the discussion, and pick up on the comment that has been overlooked.
- Note patterns of Interruption to determine if women students are interrupted more than men-alther by yourself or by other students. Make a special effert to ensure that, all students have the opportunity to finish their comments.
- Ask women and men quallatively similar questions-that is, ask students of both sexes critical as well as factual ques. tlons.
- Give men and women students an equal amount of time to respond after asking a question.
- Glve women and men the same opportunity to ask for and recolve detalled instructions about thi requiraments for an assignment.
- Use parailel terminology when addressing women and men students in class, or referring to men and women in classroom oxamples.
- When talking about occupations or professions in class discussion, use language that does not reinforce ilmited viewe of men's and women's roles and career choices. Often, examples can be effectively cast into the " l " $/$ "You" form with the instructor taking the role of one party and the class the other (e.9., "Suppose lam a docior and you come to me because..." rather than "The woman went to the doctor and he told her...). Additionally, use examples with feminine pronouns, such as, "Here is a geologist who finds herself with the following discovery."
- Avold using the genoric "he" whenever posslite. (See footnote 70.)
- Avold placing professional women in a "speciai category," for example, "worman (or worse, 'lady') accountant."
- Avold roference tó women students' appearance, qamily, etc., without similar reference to mon stedents' appearance or family.
- Experiment with línguage that reverses expectatlons basod on sex. One teacher, for example, used "she" as the generic form for one semester and askud her students to evaluate its Impact on their perceptlons and feelings.?
- Make ayo contact with womon as wull as with mon students

[^5]ifich for and respend to nonverbal cues that indicato wemen
students' readiness to participato in class, such as leaning forward or making eye contact.

- Use the same tonsin taiking with wonien as with mon studerits (for exampie, avoid a patronizing' or Impatient tone when speaking with women, but a tone of interest and attertion when talking with men.)
- Ensure that womon are not "squeezed out" by male classinates from viowing laboratory demonstrations or engag. Ing in other group assignments.
- Assume an attentive posture when responding to women's. questions or listening to their comments.


## ENCOURAGING WOMEN OUTSIDE THE CLASSROOM

- Meet with women students to discuss academic and career goals.
- Encourage women students to pursue traditionally "masculine" majoris and subspecialties when these areas reflect the particular student's interests and abilitios.
-. Corsider women as woll as men students when choosing claseroom, teaching and resparch assistants.
- Ensure that women and men assistants have equaliy indepen-- dent responsibility for thoir classes, and squal opportunitios to pursue thoir owp research.
- Make a special effort to considor women for teaching and research assistantshipe in traditionally "masculine" floids.
- Offer to. write lefters of recommendation for woman students.

3 Conslder women as well as mon students whien making nominations for followshlps, awards and prizes.

- inciude women graduate students in the "informal" Interattions that can be important in commuaicating support and ac. ceptance as a colleague-for example, by inviting women, as well as men, to share authorships or attend professional conferences. If you are male and uncomfortable inviting a female for lunch or other informal occasions, invite two or three women at a time.
- Provide women with informal as well as formal feedback on the quality of theit work.


## RECOMMENDATHONS FOR WOMEN STUDENTS

(Some of the reçommendations, specifićally directed to faculty and administrators may also be appropriate for student organiza. tions which'can help press for their adoption.)

- Do an informal "taliy" of pattores of Interruption, successful Introduction of topics, dovolopment of comments, olc. during a typlcal class session to see If they break down aiong sex lines. (See the Student-Faculty Communication Gultelines and the Student Perception Questionnaire reprinted as Appendices A and B for behaviors to vatch and questions to keep in 9 mind.)
- If you seem to be disproportionatoly interrupted in a given class, discuss your perception with other women students to see If their experience colncides with your own. If so, you may wlsh to get together and bring your concern to your teacher's attention.
- Give credit or "authorship" to comments made by women classmates ('as Mary said . . .")-especially If credit has not been properly given during the course of the discussion.
- Give your professors positive foedback for efforts to create an equitable learning climato. For example, if a protessor makes it a point to use sex-balanced classroom examples and/or avold the generic "he," show your attention and approval by making eye contact, nodding, etc.- or by telting the professor that you recognize and appreciate his or her efforts.
- Famillarize yourself with your Institution's grievance procedure for sexual harassment. If It does not include a mechanism for aling concems and providing foedbark, to faculty about overtiy blased comments and sexist humor in the classroom, work to have it changed.
': Use your student avaluation form to comment-posi'ively or negativoly-on the cllmate of your classes. -
- Where appropriato, discuss probloms of classroom climato with the department chalr or dean. Ralsing these issues as a group may be helpfut.
- Encouragestuoent publications such as the schiool newspaper to witte about the subject of classroom climate.
- Hold meetings, workehops or hearings about classroom climate in order to bring about awarenpes of the subjsct.
- Encourage student organizations to press for inclusion of claseroom climate iseces in faculty dovelopment programs and in official statoments rolating to teaching standards.
- Recognize features of your own speaking and nonverbal style that may be asunterproductive in a classrcom setting. (See "Women's Speech and Women's Silence,": page 9.j'You may wish to ask classmates for their observations on your in-class style.
- If you fool you would benefli by moditying your own speaking style to entiane your affectiveness in the classroom, check with appropriate academic departments (e.g., Speech/Communications) and the student service' offices (e.g., Student At fairs) to see if your institution offers workshops to help women-and men-develop intellectual argumentation skills.
- Hold meoting or workshops on ciass participation anxiety. Invite experts in the field, faculty and/or alumnae who successfully overcame their own reticence about speaking in public and others to participate.


## neconivanoitionis FOn 'SPECAL GROUPS OF MOMEN

- If you find your d partment's climate unsupportive, seek out profeasional orginizutions for women In your fiold. The
- Ássociation of Women Geoscientists, for example, has chapters around the country and offers membership to students as well as to practicing professional women. Such organizations can offer both role models and informal support.
- Encourage the organization of a support group comprised ôt women students majoring in your area. Such a group'can be of special help to women in traditionaily male fields by providing a setting in which women from different class years, (i.e., sophomore, junior, senior) can learn from each other's experiences and overcome the isolation women in traditionally masculíne majors often feet.
- Eatabilah an organization for graduate women, older women, minority women, etc. where problems conceming lack of support and othir climate lssuas, can be áred and strateglos devised to deal with them. The ayrition rate of graduate women dropped foliowing the formaflon of a women's caucus at the University of Callfornia, Berkeley. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
- If your campus has à minority student center, abort staff to classroom climate issues that may affect minority women. Set up workshops, seminars, or informal msetings to discuss these issues. If your institution has no such center, establisti your own informal group.


## Recomerithiow "FOR PROFESSIONAL ASSOCLATIONE 

- include sessions on classroom climate isŝues at your annual meeting. The Assoclation of American Colleges, for example, included a session on these issues at its annual meeting. The South Atlantic Modern Language Association $\mathcal{Z} \cdot \mathrm{d}$ the American Educational Research Association featured panels on related issues at their reglonal and mid-year meet nngs.
- Identify sub-groupe within your organization that might bo especially appropriate for considering classroom climate
issues. These might include fabulty development or student development programs, women's caucuses or commissions.
- Work with other organizations and asseciations such as the Spectif Interest Group: Research on Women in Education of the American Educational Research Assoclation. (For additional Resource Organizatione, see p. 20.)
- Stimulate, research on issues related to classroom cilmate by čalling for papers for presentation andior publication.
- Offer awards for Innovatlve Ideas in faculty/student development that focus on classroom climate issues.'


## SELFCTEO LIST OF ABEAS FOR FURTEARESEACH

 - Wany of the elemente that ercate ciassoom cllimate have tlonal neatich la opectaty noded on tho following:



点




- the Riontiftaptions of thopereres mapich womion studente may moat bepopt trom Epectal iforts in
 prior oxpertonose in ectiod and sochty (for oxample, increasing women's clas's participatico onzuring
 resoanch, ahd other "hands-on" experia prentian" clng women's opportunities for coflaboratlve work with graduate faculty)


## NOTES

'Mary Lou Randour. Georgla Straaburg and Jean Llpman-Biuman. fwomen in Higher Education, Trenda in Entollments and Degraes Eamed." In the Harrard Education feview, Vol. 52. No. 1, 1982.
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"Karin S. Ftey and Ronaid G Slaby, "Differential Teaching Mothods Used With Girls and Boys of Moderate and High Achievemeni Levels." paper presented st the meeting ision of the Soctely of Research in Child Development, San Francisco, CA, March 1979
uSpe, Nieva and Gutek, and VIrginia E-O-Leary and Barbara Studier Wallston, "Sex Makas a Diflerence. The Differential Perceptions of Women and Man," 10 appear in L Wheeler, ed., Aeview of personally and Social Psychology
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"See, for example, David A. Karp and William C Yoets, "The Colloge Classroom Some Observations on the Meanings of Student Participation," Sociology and Social Research, Vol. 60, No. 4, July 1976. pp 421-439 The authors wote that in the mate-taught classes observed. male students were much more likely to be directiy questioned by the professor and twice as likely as women to respond to a conmenti, in femalelaught classes, however, professors were equally likely to directly question mate and female sfudents, and participation by students of both sexes to be more equat (Karp and Yoels atso point out that neither women nor men students were aware that sex. of.teacher had any effect on their own class participation.)

HThorne, "Claiming Verbal Spaci," p 1 and $p$ ' 16.
wMuch of the following discussion is , based on Barrie Thorne. "Claiming Verbat Space' (see note 14). Barrie Thorne and Nancy Hentey, eds, Lai juage and Sex wif lerence and Dominance, Newhury House, Rowiey, MA. 1975, and Robin Lakoff, Language and Woman's Pioce. Harper Colophon Books. Harper'ans Row, New York, NY. 1975
*Don H Zummerman and Candace Wary"Sex Roles. Interruptions and Silsnces in Cogversation." Language and"Sex Differenchand Dominance. pp 105-29,
'For further discussion of interruptions that change the locus of discussion, see Candace West, "Females Interruptions in Cross Sex Conversation. Seidom Seen. Soon Forgoten. paper presented at the Annual Mecting of the American Sociological Assin. August 1979. (A revised Persion of this paper. Why Cant a Worian be Moie Like a Man? An Interaction Note on Organizational Game Piaying for Managerial Women,' will appear in Sociology of Work and Occupations, Febtuary 1982)
"See, for example. Carpl Wolmen and Hal Frank. The Solo Woman in a Profossional Petr Group." American Jouznal of Orthopsychyatry, Vol 45, Jan 1975, pp. 164.71 For an overview ef related research, see Constantina Salitios Rothschua. Sex Rote Socialira fien and Sex Discrimination A Synthesis and Critique of the Literatore. pp 2125
"Thorne, "Claiming verbal Space," p 1
"Several of these behaviors are also noted in Gappa and Pearce. Sex and Gender in the Socitil Sciences Reassessing the Introductory Course
"See note 57.
${ }^{\text {usee }}$ Ior example, Sadker and Sadker. Sex Equify Handbook for Schools. pp 104-105
"'Sadker and Sadker, Microteaching Skills for Sex Equity in Classroom Interaction. unpuolished training manual for Project INTERSECT, Washington, DC. NIE. DP 10.11 "See, for "example, Woiman and Frank. and Sandra Acker, "Women, the Other Acarifemics." Brilish Journat of Sociology of Education, Vol 1. No. 1, 1980, p 84
${ }^{\omega}$ For firther discussion. see Satilios Rothscmid. Sex Roles in Transition, Report of the Brown Projeci. p. 251.
mecond example from Krug Qullien, Liying in Our.America. 4th odition, Scott Foresman and Co., Chicago. IL, 1964, as cited in Donna M Golinick. MyrkSadker, and David Sadker, Beyond tle Dick and Jane Syndrome. Confronting Sex Bias in instrue flonal Materlats, p. 子4. Spme contend that concern about the use of the generic
"herman" is e tifinirmatter. However, rasearch incicates that the "Generic" "heiken" is frol generic in people'a perceptions and that it can limit giris" and woneen's seff. perception-especially when it occura in a classeom context. (See, for example, Casey Milter and Kate Swift. Words and Women, Anchor Press, Now York, NY. 1076, pp 29,34) Moreover, it can often be assily arolded (For further discussioh. see Reco nmenda stons, p. 13.)
"Yhorne, "Claiming Verbal Space,"p 5. Thorne notes that "women's speech" may in fact provice an attemative to the masculine "competitive" pattern, and offer a cooparative mode for the developity ing liceas. (See p. 10. intra)
nibid.
nube.
"See note 25 (rarkert.
"Much of the discussion that fonlows is based on Barme Thorne, "Claiming Verbar. Spjpat: Bartie Thorna and Nancy Hentey, eds, Language and Sex Dillorence and Dominance; and Probin Lakol', Language and Woman's Place, in which many of these features of "womm's acsech" were first identified.
"For furthai discussion, see Hentay, gody Puhtics, \#speclally pD 1388 166-78.
"Karp and Yoels, p. 434.
${ }^{n}$ Many of the studios in this erea ere being conuucted byresearchers whose pfimary focus ia the interaction between sex of-teacher and sex-of student in esfeblishing class participation calterns. See, for example, Lauret Walum Richardson, Judith A. Cook and Ann Statham Macke "Claasroom Management Strategies of Malę and FÉmaje Ur versu ty Professors" In Laurel Walum Richardson and Verta taytor. eds. Issues in Sex. Geader and Society- A Faminist Parspective, D.C. Wath. Co . Lexington, MA, 1981, pro publication dialt, pp. 11.t4.
"Much of tha following discussion is based on Nancy E Adier. "Women Students." in Joweph Katz and Rodnay T. Hartnatt, ods., Scholars in the Making The Development of Graduate and Profassional Stutants, Baltinger Publishing Co.. Cambridge. MA. 1976. pp. 197.225; and on Mary P. Richards. "Women in Graduate Eüitestion." Communicator, Vol. XIII, No. 8, pp. 10 ff.
"El-Khawas. pp. 78.
${ }^{4}$ Aditar, pp. 19098.
ensee, for axam, Ae, Bogirt, Aupendix C.
${ }^{4}$ For a discuasion of this problem, see BIrt L. Duncan, "Minörity Students" in Scholert in the Making. pp. 233-36.
${ }^{*}$ "For a briof aummary of the rasearch in this area, see Adler, p. 209.
mElyne Goldstein, "Elfect of Same-Sex and Cioss-Sex Role Modela on the Subsequent Academic Productivity of Sclolars." Amencan Psychologist, vol. 3k. No. 5, May 1979. p. 407.
"adior, p. 209.
*itid.
"Sse, for example. "The Quatity of Women's Education at Harvard University A Survey of Sex Discrimination in the Graduate and Professional Schools."
Mset Adier, p. 206.
Mibid, p. 207.
"Nomination for tellowships can be especially important for graduate women Researchers have found that while all students who receive fellowstips nave a tower drop-out tete than non-recipients, the dilference in retention rate is lar greater for women than fo! men. Some suggest that recelving a feilowship confurm for women that they are taken serlously as graduato students. Fer further discusslon. see Milchtous Pat tarson and Lucy Solis, "Women Dropouts From Hagher Education," in Alice Rossi and Ann Calderwood, eds., Academic Women on the Move, Russell Sage Foundation. Now York, NY. 1973, pp. 88-99.
${ }^{\text {aHolen S }}$. Astin. "Carea; Profiles ol Women Doctorates." idid. pp $156-57$
${ }^{0}$ afor a rescarch overviow, see Adler, $\mathrm{Pp}_{2} 209210$
wFor discussion of the current status of women's enroilment in nontraditional fialda, see fandour. et at. (note 1)
"Hearn and Oizak, p. 17
mibld. pp 6-7.
${ }^{-}$ritit. p. 9.
"See. for example. Evelyn Fox Keller, "The Anomaly of a Woman in Ptiysics." in Sara Ruddick and Pamela Daniots, eds, Working It Out. Pantheon Books. Now York, NY, 1971. pp. 77.9t.
"Hearn and Olzak. p 21.
MMuch of the fellowiog discussion is based on John ${ }^{\text {F }}$ F Noonan, "White Facuity and Brack Studente Examining Assumptions and Practices," 1980, and Adetaide Simpson, - "A Parspective en the Learning Experience of Black Students al VCU" (Virginta Com monwatin Univarsity) 1979. Unpublished papols, The Center for Improving Teaching Et fectiveness, VCU, as well as on Mary Rowe. "The Saturns Rings Phenomenon" ano Birn L Duncan, "Minority Students." For a detated analysis of the feetings and experiences of minority' students in a traditional university, seo ikathryn O . Cowan, Ronald W Sautioy and J Herman Blake. "Through the Hourglass (Darkiy) Summary of an Ex ploratory, Analysis of the 'New Student' at a Traditional Univer sify," unpublished gaper, Oakes College. University of Californiza! Santa Cruz, 1980
*esee, tor dxampie. Simpson. p 3
-ajoonan. p 5
waldid. p 5 and Duncan. pp 233-34
"Wounan, p 2. (for discuss:on of the changing perspectives of biack women concge sfudents vis-a vis their own attributioniexpectation pitterns. see Sumiu Erinut Sex and PRace Effects in the Altribution or Achievement ahe Expectancy for Success." Working Paper No 3J. Wellestey Collegen Center for Research on Women, ;979)

- ${ }^{\text {monnan. }} \mathrm{D} 5$.
${ }^{\text {man }}$ For further discussion, see Joanne $S$ Yamauchi. "The Tiple Burd of Asaan American Women. Pioblems of Sell-Concept. Communication. Wehavior, and Cullural Accemmodation," paper presented at the annual converifion of the Speech Com. munication Association, San Fiancisco. CA. 1976. pp 8.9
*'For a briel general overyiew of race and sex differences in nonverbat, ommunca tion, see Henley, Body Pohtics. pp t32.35
${ }^{16}$ For a detailed discussion of the institutional and attitudinal barfars returning women sludents oflen lace. see the serres ol papers on re entry womert publisned by the Profect on 10 Status and Education of Women. Association of American Colleges. 1992-81. especlatly "The Counseling Needs of Re-entry Women" (See Resources inf ordering information )
imIncidents of this kinds have been reported by individual re-entry women as well as by coordinators of re-entry programs
-"Şee, for $2 x a m p l$ e, Barbata Bate. "Nonsexist Language Use in Transition. Journat of Communication, Winter, 1978, pp 139.49.
"See, for example, student evaluations for course cancerning women sachievement motivat!on summarized in Nancy M Porteir and Margaret T Eifeenchild in The Eflecfiveness' of Woman's Studias Teaching. Women's Studies Monograph Serhre, Nationai Institute of Education, Washington, DC. 1800. DD 33-34
"rSenlor, San Francisco State University, quoted in Lorna Sage. Women on couŕse. - in "Living." The Lo..don Obsanver, August 2. 1981.
"uSee, for example. study by Alianil Cummings Elonson and trene Crockcroft, San Diego State University, as reported in On Campus With Wpmen. Project on the Status and Education of Women. No. 20. June 1978. pp. 5-6.


## NOTES FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

'Mary P. Rowe and Clarente G. Witliams, "The MIT Non-Union Grievance Procedure: An Upward-Fzedback, Mediation Model." MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1980. For other model procedures, sae. "Appendix. Codes of Conduct and Grievance Procedures" in Qhyll.s Franklin et al. Sexual end Gender Harassment in the Acadamy. The Modern Language Assoctatior of America. New York, NY4.981, pp. 55-74
'Soreral of ithe :ecommendations in this section are based on more general strategies outlinno by Joseph Katz in "Collaboration of Academic Facully and Student Affairs Protessionals foc Student Devolopment," David C. Tilley, et al., The Student Alfars Dean ahd the Presldent. Trunds in Highar Education. Ann Athy. MI, ERiCiCaPS t979, pp. 32.54; and in Marjorio Abi ame. "Preppasing Men and Wopren Students to Work Together. A Ner Student Develof ient Chailisnge." Journat of the Nalional Association for :Woman Deans, Adminisfrafors s no Coung alors, Summer :981, Vol. 44, No 4. pp 3-8
'Many sources for activilies that can be used to help facuity increase their general awarenesa of zex-ole stereotyping, sex-baszd expectations, etc., are now available. such as E. Nickerscin. et at intervantion Strategiestor Changing Sex-Role Stereotypes A Procedural (iuide. Kendall-Hunt, Dubuque, 1976. C G Carney 8 SL. McMahon, eds. Exploring Contemporary MaletFamale Roles. A Facilitator's Gurde. Univers ty Associates, Sen Diego. CA, 1977. Paticipants Notadook lor Training Sesstons on the Sócralleducationat Context of the IX. Titue IX Equity Workshop Project. Washington. OC. Nationati Foundation tor the Improvement of Education, 1977. pp $\mathbf{7 7 . 2 3}$

* "For the tise of faculty-student contact logs in related rosearch, see Sheila Kishler Bennelt, 'Student Perceptions of and Éxpectatiops for Maie and Female instuctors Evidence Relating to the Question of Gender Bias in Teaching Evaluation," unpublizhed paper, (Requests for reprints should be sant to Sheila K. Berneth. Dept of Sociotogy. Eiyn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010.) A revisud version is torthcoming in the Journal of Educalional Psychology
"This liyer and related materials are availabie from the Project on the Status and Education of Women in an informational packet concerning sexual harassmenton campus (For ordering information, see Resources. p 20)
-For ordering information. see Resources, p 20)
'Cathryn Adamsky. Cthanges in Pronomial Usage Among College Students As a Function of Instructor Use of She As tha Genenc Singurar Pronoun. paper presented to . The American Psychole „ical As sociation, September 1976
'lucy Senls. Convention Notes. Sociologisis tor Women in Society Newsietier. February 20, 1972 as cited in Adied. D 217.
'For a brief description of how in set up an awards propram see Giving Prizes and Awards. A Now Way to Recognize and Encourago Artivities that Promote Equity tor Women in Academe. Bonny Lambert and Bernice Sandier, Project on the Status and Education of Women Association of American Colleges, Washington. DC. 1984 (Avalizble for $5100,1 \cdot 0$ om the Project)


## SELECTED LIST OF RESOURCES

## PUBLICATIONS

Bogant, Karen, Flagle, Jutith, and Jung, Steven Inatitutional Sell-Study Quide on Sex Equity, Weshington, DC: Americen institutes for Research. 1981 Contans five separate sectiona and a briap introductory user's gulde designed for institutionat or departmental self-evaluation. One section focuses on general social-educational climate inciuding subtie behaviors that may fiscourage women. Each of the other sectiona focuses on specilic condifions. policles and practices affecting sex equity for students, faculty, edministrators, and staff. Arranged as, a checklist with sugges ttona us to which personmal might respond to questions in each area, the Qulde can "-i.-d as a diagnoatic tocl in ldentlyyng bartiers to equity, and as an educational farritianize administrators, facully and others with the needa of women on
campus. Approximately 100 pages Avarlable from the Project on the Status and Education of Womon, Association of Amsucali Colleges. 18t8 R St. NW, Washington. $0 C 20009 \$ 10(0)$ prepald
Bogart. Karen Technical illanual for the Inatilutional Sell-Study Culde on Sex Equity. Warhington. OC, American Institutos for Researen. 19.. Describes the criticat incident technique and other aspects of the methodology employed in development of the Solf-Study Gulds. Includes mustrative problems and proposed sclutions for ínequities, incluating those stemming from subtie differential treatment of women students, faculty, adn,inistrators and stalf 55 pages and appendice: a alabie from. American Institutes for Research. 1055 Thomas Jefferson St. Washnuion, DC 20007 \$10 00

Eakins. Barbsra; Eakins, R Gene, and Lieb-Brilhant, Barbara, eds. siscom '7s: Women'a (anil Men'a) Communkation, Proceedings of the Speech Communication Association's Summer Conlerunce $x$ incluges an overview of research and resources on women's communlcation, sugpestions for workshops and courses, and list of resourcea for research ind instruction 200 pages Limited number of copios ere avaliave frome the Speech Communication Association, 5105 Backlick Rd. Surte ${ }^{-}$ E, Annandale. VA 22003. $\$ 3$ 50; ordmrs under $\$ 1000$ must be prepaid.
Franklin. Pirilis, et al. Sexual and Oender Harasament tn the Acsdemy: A Gulte for Faculty, Studemia and Adminiatrators, Commizsion on the Staius of Women in the Prolession New Yolk The Modern Language Association of America, 1581 Explains gender as well as sexual harasament Includes a chapter on probiums of graduate women in the modern languages, and oultikis steps for establishing definitions, determinting coces and atandards, and developing srevance mechanisms ${ }^{\circ}$ Also in cluces bibllography and appendix with model cicdes and procedures. 75 pages paper bound $\$ 350$ prepaid \& $\$ 100$ postage ( 1.9 copies). $\$ 250$, 10 or more coples) available from MLA. 62 Filth Ave , Naw York NY 10011
Gappz. Judith M and Pearce. Janice Sex and Gender in the Soclal Sciencea: Resaseat. ing the introductory Courte. Set has three volumes with content guldelires for sociology, psyenology, and microeconomics, each volume also contalns "Guideltres for Student.Faculty Communicestion" (major contifbuting author, Mercilso $M$ Jenkins) which include specillic recommendations for change and set out in chart form faculty verbal and nonverbal behaviors thar may reinforce stereotvpes und/or discourage women's ciass participation also itcluded are the "Student Facully Communication Checkilist" and the "Student Perception Questionnaire" reproduced is, inis paper as Appendires A and a introductory Sectolegy (major contributing author, Garrie Thorne). 176 pages: introductory Peychotory (major contributing author, Nancy F Russo), 152 pages: and Princlptet of Mheroeconomics (major contributing author, Bat Dara $B$ Reagan), 120 pages Prior to publication and dissemination by the Women's Educational Equity Act Program, a limited number of copies are available frem Judith M Gappa, Associate Provost, Faculty Aflairs, San Francisco Stato University, 1600 Holloway Ave. San Francisco, CA 94132. Price: $\$ 2500$ oach for dubligating and postage for any one or the three disciplines Content guldelines in additional disciplines are avallable for $\$ 5.00$ each whith the purchase of one complete volume The complete text of introductory Sociotogy will also be avallable Irom ine traching Rosource Conter, American Soctological Association, $1722 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{St.}, \mathrm{NW}, \mathrm{Washington}$. DC at an approximate cost of $\$ 1000$ prepald.
"Guldelines for Nonsexist Lenguage." American Psychologlat, June 1975. pp 682-04 and "Guidelines for Nonsexist Language In APA Journals." Amerir an Peychologlat. June 1972 (Pubilcation Manua! Change Sheet 2) Avallable free from the American Psychological Association, 120017 th St.. NW, Washington, DC 20036 (send stamped sell-addressed envelope).
Henley, Nancy M. Sody Politicz: Powor, Sex and Nonverbal Communication, 1977. Idenifies and analyzes sex/status differences in non verbal behavier and explores ways in which everyday nottrelbal communication expresses and maintains an established hierurchy in social and personal Interactions. 214 pages paiptriound Availatie 'romt Spectrum Books, Prentice Hall, Inc. Englewood Cillts, NJ 07632. \$3.95.
F'we. Florence, et al Everywomania Gulde to Collesfis and Universitiea, forthcoming Scheduled for pubilcation in fall. 1962. The Gulde is destgned to ald women stidents in the college-selection process. Inștitutions Hited respondeo to a questionnalie covering a range of liems - such as curricular offerings, educationniclimate. sports. hoalth and counseling services, financial ald and scholarships, child care faclities. services and centers for re-entry women, etc. For further information, contact The Feminist Press, State Univeralty of Now YorkJCollege at Q:d Westbury, Box 334, Oid Westbury. NY 11568, (516) 997.7680
International Aasociation of Business Communicators without Blas: A Guicabook for Mondiecriminatory Commundeation, 1977 Gives speciftic guldellnes for avoiding blas on the dasis of race, sex or disability in verbai communicallon, in visusi media, and in meetings, conferences and workshop settings Includes itst of ibsource publications and organizations. $I$ pages paperbound Availabie from the international Associa. tlon of Business Communicators. 870 Market St . Suite 940 . San Francisco, CA 94102 $\$ 400$ stingle copy (bulk ordera cost less, request involce for multipie copies)
Kramarao. Cheris and Treichlor, Paula, eds Women and Longuuge Nowes. Nowsletter on sex cilferences in language use, atitudes and ecquisition. Includes information on now resiearch and resouices, COurses and conferences. Published twice a year Avaliabie at yearly subscription rate of $\$ 500$ from Cheris Kramarao. Speech Com munications Dept., 244 Uncoln Hall. University ol Illinols, Ubane. IL 61801.
Lakoff, Robin. Language and Woman'a Mece, 1975. Discusses language used 10 describe and define the rexes, and identifies features of "womeli's language" in the context of woraen's' devalued status and society's prescription that women be polite and deferential. 63 pagea paperbound. Avaliable from Harper and Row. Publishers. Ins., Altn: Ordar Dept., Keystone Industrial Park. Soranton. PA 18512. \$4 95.
man and Women Leerning Togither. A Study of College Sucienta in the Late 70's. Aeport of The Srown Protuet. Originally concelveci as an assessment of the merger of Erown University and Pembroke Collega (1971) with a special locus on the siruation o: women in co-educational institutio s. the study was expanded to incluoa survey data from over three thousand undergraduates. classes of 1978-81. flom Barnard, Brown, Datmouth, Pinceton, SUNY al Stony Brook, and Wellesley, as well as interviews with current students and alumniso. The Report includes worklng papers on aspects of the undergraduáte experienca; two analyses specific to the Brown-Pembroke merser. zrocerotinga gi the conference Womenlw end College: The Educational Implleationa of \$yp hoiee in Tramation; and a series of specific recommendations preparud for * Brown University 296 pages, (A liritiod number of coples are avallable from Cynthia

* Stwre, Box 1945. Brown University, Providence. A1 $02912 \$ 600$ prepaid. payabie to Brown Univeraity.)
Perun. Parmpla, ed. The Undergreduate Woman: laaves In Educational Equity, forticomIng. Provides an overview of current research for administrators. faculy and counselors. Topics include critical aspects of pre-enroliment years such as sex.blas in testing. End the coliege selection pre;ess, elements of the colloge experience inng department climates, curricuid, evaiuation, and teaching styiss; aspec.d of itive, moral and psychosexuaí development; educational outcomes such as im.
pact on career commitiment and iamily roles, and objectives for feseareh and policy 1.1 the coning decade Will be availabis from Lexington Books. D C. Heath $8 \mathrm{CO}, 27 c 0 \mathrm{~N}$. Ruchardi Ave., Indianapolis, in 46219 For additional information, call $800-428-0071$. Projer ton the Status and Education of Women, Rape and Sexual Harasament Packet. Irciudes 'The Probsem of Rape on Campus," 1974, 3 pages, "Sexual Harassment. A Hicden lssye " 1978. 7 pagas. "Sexual Harassment" (selected articles from previous issues of the Project's newstetter, On Campua With Womem, 4 pages. "Title vi: Sex. ual Haressment Guidelines on Educational Employment.' 1960.4 pages, and 'What Can Students Do About Sex Discrimina:Ion." (reprint, Utith State University), 1901. 1 Dage Avallable from the Project on ine Statys and Education of Women, Asesciallon of Amerlcan Colleges, 1819 RSt., NW. Wassington. DC 20009 for $\$ 300$ Diepaid. (A ilst of all Project publications is available free with a stamped. setf-addressed envelope.) Project on the Status ard Education of Women, Re-entry Women (3 packets of 5 papers each:, 1980 Papars focus on insitutional barriers returning women students often fuce when they enroll-or atiempt thenroll $\rightarrow$ in postsecondery programs. Each paper discusses a specific probiem (euch as reciultiont and admissions, financial ald, transter pollictes and graduation requirements, support services. graduate study). of. fers specific recommendations for institutional change, and cites adaptable model programs set a so incluses a paper on special * grams for special populations, an introductory paper, a paper comoling current national statistics on raentiy yomén. and a listrof bibilographies. Fackets are avaliabie for $\$ 500$ each, prepald, them the Profect on the Status ard Educaiton of Women. Association of American Corloges,' 1828-R St. NW. Washington. DC 20009. (For a complete listing of contents of each packet as well as a list of atl other Project publications, send a stampod, salf. addressed envelope to the Projeci.)
Project on th- Status and Education of Women, "Working Bibilobraphy for Classroom Cimato issues." 1982. Informal setected bibllography of sources consulted fcr this -report Brisfly annotatod entries with addendum of more recenily recelved materiats For further information. contact ine Project on the Status and Education of Women, Association of Ar, arican Colloges, i818 R SI., NW, Washingtor, DC: 20009. Sadkid, Myra P my Sadker, David M. Sex Equlty Handbook for Sehoois, and companion Gulde for $30 x$ Equity Tralnere by Joyce S. Kaser, Mura Sadker and David Sadker, 1982. The Handbook Incfudes chapters on sex blas in instructionah-materials and in teacherstudent interaction; fielr-lested stratogies for non-sexist teaching: tesson plans for eiumentary and other courses, a resource drectory, and refated materials, it alsocan be used as a colloge text for metheos and for other preservice courses, or as a train'ng text for inserice workshops. The aulde g.ves detalled Instructions tor designing. Implomenting and evalualing coñferences, and for conducting two specilic workshops Avaliable from Longman, Inc.. College and Prolesslonzl Book Division, 19 West 44th St., New York, NY 10036. Handbook (331 pages) $\$ 17.95$ (tent ). Gulde (108 pages), $\$ 4.95$ (tent)
Sadker, Myra P. and Sadker. David M Beyond Pictures and Pronouna: Saxiam in, Teartier Educailon Textbooka, 1979 Identifies types of sex blas in the most widely. used teachereducation texts, includes guldelines for the development of sex.falf textoooks. Ikis supplementary materials that can help teachers remody blases in ex. isting texts. (Also discusses blas concerning racial and ethnte groups) 70 pages. Avallable from Education Development Cente:. 55 Chapel SI., Newton, MA $02160^{\circ}$. $\$ 1.95$.
Suberstein. Śandra Blbilography: Women and Languaga. Michigan Occasional Papers in Women's Studies. Nq. XII. Winter, 1560 . Includes references to unpubilshed as well as published works on gender differences in farguage: a representative semple of gutdelines for nonsexist language use: articlas concernite the issues such guldelines raise, apd applications bi the guldellines (i.e. teaching nonsoxist lanauagei. (Also itsis works on gender differences in nonvertal cominunlcation) 67 pages and áddenda A vallable from Wômen Studies Program. University of Michigan. 354 Lorct Hall. Ann Arbor, Mr 48109. $\$ 2.5 C$ (Individual), 5550 (Institution), payasie to Michigan Occas!onal Papers.
Thorne, Parrie and Henley. Nancy, eds. Lenguage and Séx: Ditferance ànd Dominance. 1975 Inclutes antictes drawo from a variety ol disciplines-such as lingulstics, sociolingulstics, speoch communi,ation. Engllish, psycholinguistios, aod child development - which examine the relationship between language andsex in a variety of social and educaticnal contexis Contalns an extensive annotated bibliogrsphy "Sex Differences in Language, Speech and Nonverbal Communication" (also publishod under separate cover as She SaldHe Sald, Knove, inc.. Pittsturgh. PA. 1975) 311 pages. paperbound Avalizble Irem Newbu!y House Publishers, Inc. 54 Warehouse Lane. Aowley, MA $01969 . \$ 1395$ (I) prepald, $\$ 10$ 46) (A now edition. Lenguage and Sex II. is curently being edtied ix: Thorne, Henley and Cheris Kramarae)

Till, rirank J Sexual Harasement: A Roport on the Sexual Haraamment of Studenta. Na thonal Advisory Councll on Women's Educational Prggrams. Washington. GC. August 1980 Discusses subtie and overt sexual harassment in the postsecondary setting and examines short and long-term educational consequances for, women students. In. cludes analysiz of iegal isscues and a technical supplement io atd institultons in establishing definitlons, setting up grievance procedures, understanding llabitities und legal remedies Single copids are available free from NACWEP. 1832 MSI . NW. Sute 821, Washington, DC 20036

## ORGANIZATIONS

American Educational Rasearch aazoclation'a' (AERA) Special intereat Rroup: Rosearch on Women and Education (SIG:RW̧E). Founded in 1973.'SIG. RWE publishes - \$ quafterty newsletier featuring information of interest to schola's and eesearchers concerned with women's issués, holds a mid-year research conterence. offers symposia and presentations a: AERA annual meetings, and co-sponsors activities with the AERA Women's Committee and with Women Educators. For further information. contact Susan Kietn, Naticnal Advisory Councll on Women's Educationat Programs. 1832 MSSL. AW, Sulte © © 1, Washington, DC 20036. (202) 653-58s6.
Amerlcan Pertonnel and Quldance Asacelation, Commltiee on Women. The Committee on Womeyfyorks to increase the a wareness of APGA's members about issues of concern to women and to lacilitate networking Pubilshes a newslatter. For further intor. mation, conisct Myrna C. Tashner, Chalr (612) 874.4114 or Judith Rosenbaum. Associate Executive, APGA (703) $820-4700$.
 Fects undertsken by the Center include Identification of subtle behaviors by which ficulty may discourage minotity students，and thehnicues to annance faculty and siudent awarenes．Forifurther information，contac：Join F Noonan，Birector． 901 West Franktin St．Pichmond，VA 23204．（B04）297．1 ．2；
 Cheorenm Intaractiona．For information．contact Staan Kiein．Nationat Advisory Council og Women＇s Educatlonal Prpgrama， 1832 M St ．NW．Sulte 821．Wash＇ngton． DC 20036，（202）653－504，or Bartara Richardson．Tepching and Instrucyon．Teaching and Learning，Nationll Instltute of Education． 1200 194．St．NW．Washington，OC 20203．（202）2545407．
 assiatench and ether resources fo school sytams．Including training on how to avoid sex bias In atudenteteacher－interaction．For further information，coniact David Sadker．Oirector．Mid－Attantic Center for Sex Equity．The American Unhersity．Goxhall Square Bullding．Sulte 252， 3301 Now Maxico Ave ．NW．Ws stington，DC 20016．（202） 60.3511.

Nathon Acsocletisn Ier＂Women Dems，AA Mnigtrators and Counsolors（NAWDAC）． Publishes in quatrerly newslettar and journal as well as mailings throughout the year Joumal ahiclés often focus on issues in wormen sicisents development．Membership K $2813 \$ 45$ per year（ $\$ 20$ for refired persons and sfudents）For further iniormation，con－ tact Patricia Rueckel，Executive Directex， 1825 Eyv St．NW，w624．A，Wasninyton，OC． 20006，（202）4599330．
Nattenal gesoclaten of Student Pursonnel Admiolstrators（NASPA，For generat infor mation．contact Jane HowardJasper，Assistent Executive Diractor，NASPA，One Du pont CI cle．NW，Werhington，DC ¿2036，1202）833－4660．For information on profes slonal development assistance for women．contact Nancy Turner．Coordinator for the Women＇s Network，Fiorlda State Universify．Tallahasset．FL 32306，（904）5443206．






Actionemorinion



 － 1 的whemp：

 the swabien detepriportionel enpugh that gei ahould encourage soino puepong to pailifipate more frequenty？
3．Do in mimptione cocir whion en individual is talking？if eo，who doie th merniping？
4．Is your petal raponimi to sludenits positive？averamer on－


 S．Do you rootio teot of adtreve on saction of the clasartom morection othere？po you establigh oy centact，with eortaint studente more then others？Whit are the gisituras，pontirace；or facie ef frisionif usiod and ive they differems for meri，wornen， or mitnorty buxents？
－Audip fioping of cian section
A etuent coild trop lycord sime of yoix class sesitions．Sert． mapride of the theipe coild provity minwes fo quastions such as： t．Whion wiuchis ofo you con py nénef


 in your elecoroon dimpogin？
 4 Cen dif oritici pmorne of refinforcement be deticied form the $\tan \operatorname{tax}^{2}+\infty$
 momory Cownot

Natiorial Councll for Stsff．Program and Orghnizatlonst Development（NCSPOD）．A na Ifonal organization for those active in facully development in two－vear colleges， NCSPOD publizhes a newstitter anjirefers consuttants specializing in a variety of faculty development areas For acoitional informalion．contact Maureen Lukenbill． President．Maml Dada Community College．South Campus．FSPD Otfice．Foom 3336. 11011 Southwest iof 97．，Miami．FL 3176．（305）595－1366

National testltute for Staff and Organleattonal Development（NISOD）．A national facuity developrent organzation．NISOD tocuses on crẹating a positive tearning environ ment in community coileges and other two－year instilutions Affliated with the North American Consortium．NISOD serves non－member as well as member irstitulions it provides publiçations，wotkshops and consultanls with a focus on classroorr． dynamics Many cflerings emphasize ways in which facully can Dest serve noniradi． tional students ；or further Information，craidur Nancy Armes．Executive Director， NISOD， 348 Education Building．Univeraty of Toxas at Aidstin，Austin．TX 78712．（5i2） 471.7545

Protestional Organizational Deveiopment Network In Higher Educstion（POD）．A na： tionat professional organization for those involved in laculty development at allievels of posisecondarfeducation．POD offers a referral sevics to institutions seeving faculfy development consullams For further information，＇contact Michael Davis．Ex ecutive Diracior，Office of the Academic Vice President，University of ine Pacifc． Stockton．CA 95211，（209）946－2551．
Women Students Lexdersikp Trisining Project．Designert to arm stucentis with intorma． tion．training and ietdership skills to combal sex discrimination in postsecondary cotucation，the project hold a national conference and distributed a resource manual to student leaders in 7980．It is curiently developing regional informstion and suppori networks．For Aurther information，contact Donne Brownsey．National Student Educa tlonal Furid．2000 P St ．NH，Sulte 305．Washington．DC 20036．（202）785：1856

## －APPENDIXE CURRLCULUMAMAIYSIB PROEECT． FOR SOCHAL SCHENCES STUDENT PERCEPTUON OUES ПOWMAME＊

 DIFECNONB：ANBWER ECHUOF TY\＆FOLOWMG QUEETICWB． GIVE ONLY ONE ANSWEA TC EXCH CUESTION．PLACE THE NUMBER COMFEBPONDNG TO YOUR ANEWER ON THE BLANK TO THELEFTIOFTHE QUFSTKON．1．mot mationt time：
（1） 17.20
（2） 21.24.
（1） 2530
（4） $31-40$
Fis 541 or more
2．Citizonatip
（1）Clitzin of the USA
（2）Noncitizen of the USA
3．11 Lis．cittzen，what le youy tice？（If not U．＇．cillzen，danot
（1）Culuceman（Wmito Ammarican）
（1）Cuicaplan（White
（2）Bisck Americes
（3）Hiapentic（mexicen－Ampicm，Puerto Alican，Cuban，otc．）
（Y）Netiyo Americm（North＇American Indian＿Alaskan）
（5）Aclais Amprican


4．Sex of student
（1）Male
－
（a）Fomás
5．This courne is：
（1）Fequired for my academic major
（2）Not in myencademic major
－6．Dooe your inductor know you by name？
（1）Yos
（2）No
（3）Don＇l know or Sny yriain
＿＿7．How often do you korunterlly answerqueations or contribute to clams discuestor in this class？＇
（1）Never
（2）One to three times duripe the icourse
（3）Ari average of onci ia wook
（4）An ayorege of iwo to three timg a wosk
（5）An averege of one or pore timits a day
＿．How often does the jnstructor call as you or ask you to res． pond to a quistion or comment？
（1）Instructor doos not call on anyone
（2）One to three times during tive course
（3）An average of once a woek
（4）An awrage of two to three times a week －（5）Newer：
－9．How does the Inutructor mott frequently call on youpe
（1）Dy neme
（2）By pointing with hend
（3）Ey eye conticullooking direcily at me
（A）Instructor never calls on me



 (a)Onex er fintes


> A I Y Inmer fory mex
11. Wrates whe Inefruetor cood not cal on you when you five you onaif proyet the ene artower which best Whete gtire iniond


Cinnector does not see or heer me ic
Fi) metactior toperie me

12. Ft here thene when you wont to perticipate in class ty alk. ing arnaiep or maninge comment but oneote not to do 1) 0 A Thene or more thenail


- $\quad$ ( No, bremes I participate when I want to fif de nat wan so perticipate

15. If you have warted to partictpote in claes by asking sace. Ulon'or mandoy a convient but did not do oo, what yetyour recoon ior rey dover apf ferloct the mom responas thecios

- ctoent cempoponde why your falinex):
(1) Feit in ${ }^{(1)}$


(Y) Pampet whimetivetor but chope not to speek out




 He mexien Th That whe tor thangetarific ition or wint morevnfor.
- Actures whe are tring to show off or at attention "在I Ho met mefted



- Pamade cincurin




 anowaty marron conmentyo of exing a question $\therefore 2$




 I





7 1 and
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## * APPENDIXC

## SOUNGES FOR CVMTATIONS

Ad Hoc Commitiee on therEducation of wormen at Oberlin, "The Edvetion of Wommen a Obetin", Office of the mandent, Operlin College, Oberlin, OH, AprH. 1210 .
 Culle en aex ieprity, Appendix C, "flastrative Prodvens and Propos. ed Eolutions for inequities," Amverican Intiturese for Reisoarch. Wasington, DC 183 ( clited ase "Hluatratipy Probiems")

 misation on the statie of, Womertit the Frofession, The Modern Langunge Ascocitition of Ainerica: Now Yotk, NY, 1001 (elticd es may

 Chancoliof Enifuralty of Califonia, Berkeley, June 1977 (cited as Epraters.

John F. Aconom, "White Facuity and plack sucuonts: Examining Aseumptions and practices," unpucilentad peper; The Conter tor im. proving Touching Effetivetion. VIrginta Commonwelth University, Frictmond, VA, repo (Oltod as "Aclumptions and Preqtices").

Projuct on the siauis and Educition of Women, "Call for Intorme: tion on Clatwroan Citmate tapuen," published in the profect's newalitor, On Cengu Whin Wempen and in a gumber of other pubticmions; 1500, 1 tetiod as fropet Coll for information
stars



Adelaida timpeon, "A"pinepective on the Lemining Experionoes of
 ing Taching Effectionawa; Vhoini Commonweth Unkwelty,



 Hementing

Women Etudionte'coathlen; ithi Ouelty of Women's Education at Hervend Uniwerdty: A survey of Sex Diccrimination in the Graduate and Prptealonal Schoofs," Cembridge, MA, June 1900 (clted an Hes men)

This paper was developed under Grant "G008005198 from the Fund for the Improvement Ot Postsecondary Fiducation of the U.S. Department of Education. OpInions expressed here do not necessarily reflect the position or the policy-of the Department of Efucatlon, or the Assoclation of American Colleges. The Project is funded by Carnegie Corporation of New York and'The Ford Foundatlon. Addiltonal coples of thls paper may be obtalned for $\$ 3.00$ from the Project on the Siatus and Education of Women, Association of American Colleges, 1818 R St. NW. Washington, DC 20009, (202) 387-1300. (A list of all Profect p(blicalions to avallable free with a stamped self-addressed envelope.)


[^0]:    
    

[^1]:    *This paper was written by Roberta M. Hall, Assistan+ Directior for Special Programs with ihe assistance of Bernice R Sandler. Execulive Director, Project on the

[^2]:    VOICES FROM THE CARMPUS*

    ## (Continued from page 1)

    "I was discussing my work in a'publle setting, when a professor cut me off and asked me If I had frgckles oll over my body."
    ("'llustratlve Probiams')
    "I heve not encountered discrimination by faculty with'regard to classroom and academic ectlvilias. I heve, however, consclously chesen not to take particular courses with faculty who have repinta. tlons cencerning stxual discrimination. In this way, my scgpe of avalloble colirseqork was limited.".
    (Haraded p. 51 )
    "No great work has ever been-attributhd to any woman inteny of my l...J classes. Even a women wha has shared the fame wherishe Is pert of leom has been asssed oyer by lecturers of these gentlonien:"
    (Harrande p. 16)

[^3]:    "Two of the renured professors in my oupartment remamoer the male greduate stictonts" names but somenow heve trouble reviembering women gradstudentrenemes....": :
    ("Inszfrative Proolems")
    "Some professors uncencolousty use sexist temminotogy ffor exemplo. ieforthy to wommen in thetreso's as gifts, or saying 'You call in a pathologist
     profositoriots in the morkd."
    (Ampoinse to Protact Cellior informatlon, velerinary student)
    "H (a) womén dopar't unywrtand somotring, sho la dismiseed. II [0] male
    

    - (fforin and Mudicel Scionces Frogram, enateigy, p. 59)
    -Women wha sicted quaitions ans not anawered, 30 women have stopped
    
    (Houlth sios Medical Sciences Program, Eactatiex, p. 50)

[^4]:    "The sex diseriminefion which 1 hare oncountered. . . has been more tacif then wipletti: fied thet women tond to shy awayspon the imo aress in "which I an moet lmotwed. . And thul most profossors in these artas never afop $\omega$ contiker wiyy this might be so. I sm nor sure of the reasons myself, Bef I do know thef both of thete flolds encourage a klod of ergementatlve
    

[^5]:    (1) lor sesking a quastion to fnvite a respones.

