

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2015 June 25.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2003 July 2; 125(26): 7818-7819. doi:10.1021/ja034781q.

The Complete Gene Cluster of the Antitumor Agent Gilvocarcin V and Its Implication for the Biosynthesis of the Gilvocarcins

Carsten Fischer, Fredilyn Lipata, and Jürgen Rohr

Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, University of Kentucky, 907 Rose Street, Lexington, Kentucky 40536-0082

Jürgen Rohr: jrohr2@uky.edu

The benzo[d]naphtho[1,2-b]pyran-6-one C-glycoside antibiotics, often referred to as gilvocarcin-type aryl-C-glycosides, were discovered in Japan in the early 1980s. This distinct family of antitumor antibiotics shows excellent antitumor activity and remarkably low toxicity, and therefore has proven attractive for synthetic organic chemistry as well as for biological activity studies. The group consists of the gilvocarcins (syn. toromycins, anandimycins), ravidomycins, the ravidomycin analogues FE35A and B, the chrysomycins (syn. virenomycin, albacarcins; including recent derivatives possessing branched ketofuranose and ketopyranose sugar moieties), and BE-12406 A and B.^{1–5}

Gilvocarcin V 1 (GV), the principal product of Streptomyces griseoflavus Gö 35926 and of various other Streptomyces strains, is the most studied member of the gilvocarcin-type aryl-C-glycosides, because of its potent bactericidal, virucidal, cytotoxic, and antitumor activities. GV (1) is one of the strongest antitumor compounds among these drugs, requiring only low concentrations and maintaining a low in vivo toxicity. The exact molecular mechanisms responsible for the in vivo mode of action of GV are still unclear. However, it was found that 1 exhibits a strong tendency to intercalate with DNA. Both equilibrium DNA binding and UV light-induced DNA adduct formation were found. GV also causes topoisomerase II inhibition.^{3a} The vinyl group is essential for the antitumor activity, since the minor congeners gilvocarcins M and E, in which the vinyl group is replaced by a methyl group and an ethyl group, respectively, are significantly less effective.^{7,8} Photobiological studies showed that the vinyl group undergoes a [2 + 2] cycloaddition with DNA thymine residues under photo-irradiation. Moreover, it was shown recently that GV promotes protein-DNA cross-linking when photoactivated by near-UV light, and histone H3, which plays an important role in DNA replication and transcription, was identified as one of the selectively cross-linked proteins. This cross-linking with histone H3 was discussed as part of the unique molecular mechanisms of the potent antitumor activity of GV and might contribute to the better and more specific activity of GV compared to other intercalating antitumor drugs.3b

Correspondence to: Jürgen Rohr, jrohr2@uky.edu.

GV's unprecedented molecular architecture in conjunction with its unique biological activity makes 1 an excellent target for the study of its biosynthesis and the development of novel, improved anticancer drugs through combinatorial biosynthesis. The structural features and knowledge gained from biosynthetic incorporation studies⁹ imply the participation of unique post-polyketide synthase (PKS) tailoring enzymes¹⁰ promoting (i) an oxidative rearrangement of an angucyclinone intermediate, (ii) a *p*-OH-activated *C*-glycosylation, and (iii) the formation of the vinyl group side chain. None of these steps, which all provide structural elements essential for the biological activity of the drug, is fully understood, or has been studied in detail.

The identification of the *gil* gene cluster is the first step to study the intriguing mechanisms associated with GV-biosynthesis. This was achieved by generating a *S. griseoflavus* genomic cosmid library using the *Streptomyces–E. coli* shuttle vector pOJ446. DNA fragments of the NDP-glucose-4,6-dehydratase^{11a} (an enzyme catalyzing a key step in 6-deoxysugar biosynthesis) and also the *act*I PKS^{11b} genes were used to probe the cosmid library. Cosmid DNA isolated from clones hybridizing with both probes was analyzed by restriction mapping and Southern blot experiments. One of the cosmids, cos-G9B3, was transformed into *S. lividans* TK24, where it stimulated the production of gilvocarcins V and M in the same quantities as the wild-type strain (20–30 mg/L of 1), proving that it most likely contains the entire gene cluster of gilvocarcin biosynthesis.

Subcloning of cosG9B3-DNA fragments into pUC19 or pBlue-script II SK(+) followed by sequencing revealed the entire gilvocarcin gene cluster (Scheme 1). The cluster spans a 32.9 kB region and consists of 26 open reading frames (ORFs). The database analysis (see Supporting Information) yielded expected genes encoding the PKS and associated enzymes (Scheme 1, black), several genes encoding post-PKS tailoring steps (gray), and genes of regulation and self-resistance enzymes (white, this group also includes three genes coding for proteins of unknown function, namely *gilLMN*). ¹²

Not surprisingly, the genes encoding the PKS and associated enzymes are in a typical type II PKS arrangement, 13 in which the "minimal PKS" genes gilABC encoding KS_{α} , KS_{β} , and the acyl carrier protein ACP, respectively, are flanked by genes encoding the PKS-associated ketoreductase (KR, gilF) and two cyclases (gilG and gilK). More unusual are the genes gilP and gilQ, located further downstream of gilABC, which encode a malonyl CoA:ACP transacylase (MAT) and an acyl transferase (AT), respectively. Although essential for polyketide biosynthesis, MATs are usually not found in type-II PKS gene clusters and are often "recruited" from the fatty acid synthase. 14 The gil gene cluster is the third example in which such a gene was located, but the first one where the cluster is associated with a known structure. 15 GilQ resembles AT proteins found in producers of aromatic polyketides with starter units other than acetate, such as doxorubicin, enterocin, etc. 16 Therefore, GilQ might play a role in the choice of the starter unit (propionate vs acetate for the production of gilvocarcin V and gilvocarcin M, respectively).

The following genes are suspected to be responsible for the post-PKS tailoring steps including the above-mentioned intriguing biosynthetic steps toward the key structural features of GV. Four oxygenase-encoding genes were found. Genes *gilOI* and *gilOIV*

encode FAD-dependent oxygenases assumed to catalyze the oxidative rearrangement of a putative angucyclinone-precursor to the unique coumarin-based aromatic core of the gilvocarcins. The corresponding enzymes GilOI and GilOIV are closely related to JadF and JadH, 16 which probably catalyze a similar rearrangement in the jadomycin pathway (see also phylogenetic tree in the Supporting Information). As one of the interesting aspects of the GV-biosynthesis, we will address in future studies whether this oxidative rearrangement occurs prior to or after the C-glycosylation step (Scheme 1, dotted or solid arrows). The other two oxygenases are most likely responsible for the anthrone oxidation leading to the angucyclinone intermediate \mathbf{X} (GilOII) and for the generation of the 8-vinyl group. For the latter, we assume a hydroxylation in the 1''-position through GilOIII followed by dehydration, since GilOIII is a P-450 hydroxylase predestined for such a reaction. Other oxidoreductase encoding genes are gilH (encoding a KR presumably involved in the hydroquinone generation) and gilR (encoding an oxidoreductase of unclear function).

The C-glycosidically linked D-fucofuranose is a unique deoxy-sugar not found in any other polyketide, ¹⁸ and whose biosynthesis requires only a few enzymes (Scheme 2). This is confirmed by the presence of only a few typical deoxysugar biosynthesis genes in the gil cluster. Two of these crucial genes, gilD and gilE, encode NDP-glucose synthase and 4,6dehydratase, respectively. A third gene possibly involved in the D-fucose biosynthesis is gilU located at the end of the gil cluster. GilU, apparently an epimerase/dehydratase, or oxidoreductase GilR, might function as 4-KR, while it is unclear how the contraction from the pyranose to the furanose is catalyzed (GilM?). Finally, gilGT encodes the glycosyl transferase (GT) responsible for the unusual p-OH activated C-glycosylation. Synthetic model studies suggest that the favored mechanism for C-glycosyltransfer is an initial Oglycosylation followed by a Fries-like rearrangement.^{2,19} Although principally possible, it is difficult to imagine in an enzymatic environment that such a rearrangement to the p-position occurs. GilGT resembles most closely LanGT2 and UrdGT2, 20 both of which transfer Dolivoses to angucyclinone acceptor molecules, the latter being also a C-GT. However, in contrast to GilGT, UrdGT2 places its sugar moiety ortho to a phenolic OH group. An interesting novelty of GilGT is its unusual size, due to its N-terminal part being approximately 120 amino acids longer than any other polyketide GT found so far.

In summary, the gil gene cluster revealed all the genes whose corresponding enzymes are likely to be involved in the intriguing steps of ${\bf 1}$ biosynthesis (Scheme 1) and which are crucial for establishing structural moieties important for its unique biological activities. Thus, the work presented here lays the foundation for the further study of these steps, and possibly for the generation of improved gilvocarcin-type anticancer drugs through combinatorial biosynthesis.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.


Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the NIH (CA 91901) and the U.S. Department of Defense.

References

(a) Hirayama N, Takahashi K, Shirahata K, Ohashi Y, Sasada Y. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 1981;
 54:1338–1342.(b) Krohn K, Rohr J. Topics Curr Chem. 1997; 188:127–195. and references cited therein.

- 2. Hosoya T, Takashiro E, Matsumoto T, Suzuki K. J Am Chem Soc. 1994; 116:1004–1015.
- 3. (a) Knobler RM, Radlwimmer FB, Lane MJ. Nucleic Acid Res. 1992; 20:4553–4557. [PubMed: 1408756] (b) Matsumoto A, Hanawalt PC. Cancer Res. 2000; 60:3921–3926. [PubMed: 10919670]
- 4. (a) Yamashita N, Shin-Ya K, Furihata K, Hayakawa Y, Seto H. J Antibiot. 1998; 51:1105–1108. [PubMed: 10048570] (b) Nakashima, T.; Fujii, T.; Sakai, K.; Tomohiro, S.; Kumagai, H.; Yoshioka, T. US Patent. 6,030,951. 2000.
- 5. Morimoto M, Okubo S, Tomita F, Marumo H. J Antibiot. 1981; 34:701-707. [PubMed: 6792176]
- 6. Breiding-Mack S, Zeeck A. J Antibiot. 1987; 40:953–960. [PubMed: 3624074]
- 7. (a) Yamashita Y, Nakano H. Nucleic Acids Res Symp Ser. 1988; 20:65–67.(b) Elespuru RK, Gonda SK. Science. 1984; 223:69–71. [PubMed: 6229029]
- 8. Oyola R, Arce R, Alegria AE, Garcia C. Photochem Photobiol. 1997; 65:802–810. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 9155255]
- 9. Takahashi K, Tomita F. J Antibiot. 1983; 36:1531–1535. [PubMed: 6418705]
- Rix U, Fischer C, Remsing LL, Rohr J. Nat Prod Rep. 2002; 19:542–580. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 12430723]
- (a) Decker H, Gaisser S, Pelzer S, Schneider P, Westrich L, Wohlleben W, Bechthold A. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1996; 141:195–201. [PubMed: 8768522] (b) Hopwood DA. Chem Rev. 1997; 97:2465–2497. [PubMed: 11851466]
- 12. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang JH, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. Nucleic Acid Res. 1997; 25:3389–3402. [PubMed: 9254694]
- 13. Staunton J, Weissmann KJ. Nat Prod Rep. 2001; 18:380–416. [PubMed: 11548049]
- Summers RG, Ali A, Shen B, Wessel WA, Hutchinson CR. Biochemistry. 1995; 34:9389–9402.
 [PubMed: 7626609]
- 15. Novakova R, Bistakova J, Homerova D, Rezuchova B, Kormanec J. Gene. 2002; 297:197–208. [PubMed: 12384301]
- (a) Hutchinson CR. Chem Rev. 1997; 97:2525–2536. [PubMed: 11851469] (b) Moore BS,
 Hertweck C. Nat Prod Rep. 2002; 19:70–99. [PubMed: 11902441] (c) Marti T, Hu Z, Pohl NL,
 Shah AN, Khosla C. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275:33443–33448. [PubMed: 10931852]
- 17. (a) Yang K, Han L, Ayer SW, Vining LC. Microbiology. 1996; 142:123–132. [PubMed: 8581159] (b) Vining, LC. personal communication.
- 18. From the original X-ray resolved structure, the wrong enantiomer of GV (with an L-fucofuranose moiety) was chosen, which dominates the literature, and even remains in the newest literature on GV, although the correct structure (with D-fucofuranose) was established in 1994 by Suzuki et al. through total synthesis.²
- 19. Palmacci ER, Seeberger PH. Org Lett. 2001; 3:1547–1550. [PubMed: 11388863]
- (a) Künzel E, Faust B, Oelkers C, Weissbach U, Bearden DW, Weitnauer G, Westrich L, Bechthold A, Rohr J. J Am Chem Soc. 1999; 121:11058–11062.(b) Westrich L, Domann S, Faust B, Bedford D, Hopwood DA, Bechthold A. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1999; 170:381–387. [PubMed: 9933932]



Scheme 1.

The Complete Gilvocarcin Gene Cluster and the Postulated Biosynthetic Sequence of Events^a

^a Black genes code for the PKS and associated enzymes, gray genes for post-PKS tailoring steps, and white genes for regulation, transport, resistance, and enzymes of unknown function.

Scheme 2.

Proposed Involvement of Enzymes of the Gilvocarcin Pathway in the Biosynthesis of GV's D-Fucofuranose Moiety