The complete nucleotide sequence of the rat 18S ribosomal RNA gene and comparison with the respective yeast and frog genes

Richard Torczynski, Arthur P.Bollon and Motohiro Fuke

Department of Molecular Genetics, Wadley Institutes of Molecular Medicine, 900 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, TX 75235, USA

Received 21 March 1983; Revised and Accepted 14 June 1983

ABSTRACT

The complete nucleotide sequence of the rat 18S ribosomal RNA gene has been determined. A comparison of the rat 18S ribosomal RNA gene sequence with the known sequences of yeast and frog revealed three conserved (stable) regions, two unstable regions, and three large inserts. $(A,T) \longrightarrow (G,C)$ changes were more frequent than $(G,C) \longrightarrow (A,T)$ changes for three comparisons (yeast \longrightarrow frog, frog \longrightarrow rat, and yeast \longrightarrow rat). GC pairs were inserted preferentially over AT pairs for the same three comparisons. These two factors contribute to the progressively higher GC content of 18S ribosomal RNA of yeast, frog, and rat.

INTRODUCTION

The ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes are essential genes existing in all life forms from bacteria to man and they have been subjected to extensive analysis. Nucleotide sequence comparison of rRNA genes of different organisms should provide insight into evolutionary trends. There are two high molecular weight RNA components (18S rRNA and 25-28S rRNA) in eukaryotic ribosomes, and 18S rRNA sequences are known to be more highly conserved than the other high molecular weight rRNA sequences (1, 2).

Complete nucleotide sequences of 18S rRNA genes of <u>Saccharomyces</u> <u>cerevisiae</u> (3) and <u>Xenopus</u> <u>laevis</u> (4) have been reported recently. The present study reveals the first complete nucleotide sequence of a mammalian 18S rRNA gene (rDNA) and analyzes the rat sequence compared with the yeast and frog sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The characterization of rat rDNA cloned in lambda bacteriophages and the recloning of the rDNA in plasmid pBR322 were previously described (5). Plasmid pDF40 was used for sequencing the 3'-terminal region of the rat 18S rDNA (6) and plasmid pDF15 was used for sequencing the 5'-terminal region



Figure 1. Strategy of sequencing the central portion of the rat 18S rDNA. Numbers reflect the distances from the 5'-terminus. Only the restriction sites that were utilized for sequencing are shown. Arrows indicate the directions of sequencing.

(7). Plasmid pDF8, which contains the central region of the rat 18S rDNA, was used to complete the sequencing of the 18S rDNA. Restriction endonucleases were purchased from BRL (Rockville, MD). DNA digestions with restriction endonucleases and gel-electrophoresis were performed as described previously (8, 9). DNA was sequenced by the method developed by Maxam and Gilbert (10) with minor modifications. In addition to the 5'-terminal labeling, in some cases, the 3'-termini of the fragments digested with restriction enzymes were labeled with α - ³²P-dNTP and reverse transcriptase. The strategy employed for sequencing the central portion of the rat 18S rDNA is represented in Fig. 1.

RESULTS

An example of the sequencing gels is shown in Fig. 2. The region in Fig. 2 includes large T1 RNase fragment 8 (UUUUCAUUAAUCAAG) that was sequenced previously using the rat 18S rRNA (11). The total length of the rat 18S rDNA is 1869 bp and the nucleotide sequence determined is shown in Fig. 3 together with the yeast and frog sequences for comparison.

All the 19 large Tl RNase fragments, of which sequences were previously reported (11), were located in the rat 18S rDNA sequence in Fig. 3. The nucleotide sequences determined for these RNA fragments agree with the DNA sequence except for fragment 15, the sequence of which was cited from the work of other investigators who used HeLa cell 18S rRNA (12). Fragment 15 contains a hypermodified nucleoside identified as 1-methyl-3- γ -(α -amino- α -carboxypropyl) pseudouridine (13). The nucleotide sequence of fragment 15



Figure 2. A typical sequencing gel. Numbers show the distances from the 5'-terminus (Fig. 3). The Ava II digested fragment was labeled with ATP_YP^{32} at the 5'-termini and secondarily digested with Hae III. The electrophoresis was performed in a 6% polyacrylamide gel.

(AC^{am} vCAACACG) is conserved among yeast, frog, and rat (3, 4).

In Fig. 3, the three sequences are arranged to give the best homology and the nucleotides that are common to all the three species are in bold letters. The whole sequence was sectioned every 10 nucleotides and the numbers of the nucleotides conserved in every section were plotted in Fig. 4. The sequence comparison was done in two ways: between frog and rat (top) and among the three species (bottom). It was known that the nucleotide sequence of the 3'-terminal region is well conserved among many species (6, 14, 15). This study shows that two additional larger conserved regions are located inside of 18S rDNA (Fig. 4). The three completely conserved large regions are: region A (nucleotides 424-499 in Fig. 3, 76 nucleotides long), region B (nucleotides 600-665, 66 nucleotides long), and region C (nucleotides 1837-1885, 49 nucleotides long).

Region Ul (nucleotides 181-357 in Fig. 3, 177 nucleotides long and 42% conserved) and Region U2 (nucleotides 690-805, 116 nucleotides long and 33% conserved) were identified as two evolutionarily unstable regions which are larger than 100 nucleotides and are less than 50% conserved (Fig. 4). Salim and Maden (4) identified four variable regions from their comparison of yeast and frog sequences. Two of the four regions correspond to Ul and U2 in this study. Unstable Region Ul contains two large insertions indicated by arrows in Fig. 4. Another large insertion, 10 nucleotides long, is located from nucleotides 1053 to 1062 in Fig. 3 and is indicated by an arrow in Fig. 4.

Table 1 summarizes base composition data of the three 18S rRNA's. The total number of nucleotides increases the in order of yeast \longrightarrow frog \longrightarrow rat, and the difference between rat and frog (44 nucleotides) is larger than the difference between yeast and frog (36 nucleotides). The numbers of U and A in 18S rRNA decrease in the order of yeast \longrightarrow frog \longrightarrow rat and the numbers of C and G increase. Hence, GC content in 18S rRNA increases in the order of yeast \longrightarrow frog \longrightarrow rat. The GC content difference between rat and frog (1.8%) is much smaller than the difference between yeast and frog (8.8%).

A more detailed analysis of base changes is shown in Table 2. From the data in Fig. 3, the number of base changes from A or T to G or C was counted (line [a] in Table 2) and the number of opposite base changes from G or C to A or T was also counted (line [b]). There is a clear preference for $(A,T) \longrightarrow (G,C)$ changes over $(G,C) \longrightarrow (A,T)$ changes for the three comparisons (yeast \longrightarrow frog, frog \longrightarrow rat, and yeast \longrightarrow rat, line [c]). Four

| -10 -1 1 20 -1 1 20 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 | t 100 120 120 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 160 180 180 180 180 160 160 180 180 180 180 160 160 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 170 17 | 200 220 240 240 240 260 280 t -caccc TTcgaagagatGtatttattagataaaaaatCaat CTC TT | 300 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 | 400 420 440 460 480 6CCTACCATGGTTTCAACGGETAACGGGGAATAAGGGTTCCGGAGGGGGGGGGG | 500 520 540 540 540 540 540 540 540 540 550 540 550 55 | 600 620 620 640 640 660 680 680 680 conception conceptication conception conception conc | 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 740 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast |
| Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog |
| Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat |

| 800 840 840 840 840 840 840 840 840 840 | 900 920 920 940 940 940 960 940 940 940 960 940 960 960 980 1011111611611611611611611611611611611611 | 1000 1020 1020 1040 1040 1040 1060 1060 1080 401 401 401 401 401 401 401 401 401 40 | 1100 1120 1120 1120 1120 1180 1180 1160 1160 1160 1180 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12 | 1200 1220 1220 1220 1240 1240 1260 1280 AGGCTGAAACTTAAAGGAATTGACGGAAGGGCACCACTAGGAGCTGGGGCT-AATTTGACTCAACGGGGGGAAACTCACCAGGTCCAGACACA AG-CTGAAACTTAAAGGAATTGACGGAAGGGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAGCCTGGGGCTCAGGGCTCAACACGGGGGGAAACTCACCCGGGCCCCGGGCCCCGGACACG AG-CTGAAACTTAAAGGAATTGACGGAAGGGGCACCACCAGCAGGGGGGGG | 1300 1320 1320 1320 1340 1340 1360 1360 1380 TAAGGATTGACAGATTGAGAGGCTCTTTCTTGATTTGTGGGGGGGG | 1400 1420 1420 1420 1440 1480 GAACGAGACCTT-AACCTA-CTAAATAGTGGTGCTAGCATTTGCTGGTATCCACTTCTTAGAGGGGACTATCGGT-TTCAAGCCGATGGAAGTT GAACGAGACTCCTCCATGCTAGCTAGTAGCGGCGCCCGG-GCGGGTGGGC-GTCCACTTCTTAGAGGGGACTATCGGT-TTCAAGCCGATGGAAGTT GAACGAGACTCCTCCATGCTAGCTAGTAGCGGCCCCGG-GCGGGTGGGC-GTCCACTTCTTAGAGGGGACTATCGGT-TTCAAGCCGATGGAGAGTT GAACGAGAGTCCTGGCATGCTAGTTAGGCGGACCCCGGAGCGGGCGG | 1500 1520 1520 1520 1540 1560 1560 1560 1560 1560 1560 1560 156 |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast | Yeast |
| Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog | Frog |
| Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat | Rat |

| 1600 1600 1600 1620 1640 1660 1660 1660 1660 1660 1660 166 | 1700 1720 1720 1740 1740 1760 1760 1780 1780 1780 1780 1780 1780 1780 178 | 1800 1800 1820 1840 1840 1860 1880 TCTCAGAĠĊĠĠĠĠĂŢTŢĠĠĊĊĂŦŢŢĠĠŢĊĂŢŢŢĠĠĠĠĠĂĊŢĂĂĂĠŢĊĠŢĂĊĂĠĠŢŢĊĊŢŢŔĂŎŔĠŦŢĊŢŢŔĂŎŔĠ TĠĠĊĠĠĠĠĊĠĊĠĠĠĠĂĠŔĊĠŔĊĊĂŢŢĠĠĊĠŦĊŦŢŢĠĠĠĠĠĂĊŢĂĂĂĠĠĊŢĊĊŢĊĠĊĠĠĠĠĠĠĊĊŢŢŔĂŎŔĠ ŢĠ-ĊĠĠĠĠĊĠĊĊĠĠĠŎĸĠĠĊĊĠĊĊĠĂĊĊĨĊĊĊĨŢĊĊŢŢĠĊĊĠĊĊĊŢŢŔĂĊŔĊĊŢĊĊŢĊĊŢŢŔĂĊ ŢĠ-ĊĠĠĠĊĠĊĊĠĠĠŎĸĠĊŢĊĠŎĊĊŢŢĠĊŢŦŢĊŢĬĠĠĠĠĠĂĠĊĨĂĂĂĊĊŢĊĠĊĔĠĠĠĠĠĊĊŢŢĨĂĂĊĠĠ Ţġ-ĊĠĠĠĊĠĊĊĠĠĠŎĠĠĊĊĠĂĊĊĨĊŢĊŢĊŢĊŢĊĠĠĠĠĠŎĊĊĨŢĊĊŢĬĊĊŢĬĊĊŢĬĊĊŢĬĊĊŢĬĊĊŢĬĊĊĬŢĊ | AATTTAATAATTEAAAATGGATTTTTTGTTTT ACCCCCCTCACCGGAGAGAGGGGGCGCCGCC GAAGGCCGAGGGGGGCCGTCGTCCGTCCTTG 1900 1920 1920 | Nucleotide sequence of Sprague-Dawley rat 18S rDNA and adjacent transcribed spacers with sequences of aromyces cerevisiae (3, 28, 29) and frog Xenopus laevis (4, 30, 31) 18S rDNA and adjacent spacers. numbered from the 5'-terminus and the upstream transcribed spacer region was numbered with negative 3'-terminus was at nucleotide 1885 (the total length of the rat 18S rDNA was 1869 bp without dashe: nure) and the adjoining spacer region was numbered under the sequences. The three sequences ed to give the best homology and dashes were added to fill spaces. But, slight ambiguities remained. 250 and 280 (Fig. 3) were arbitrary. Bases which were common to the three species were typed etters. Four portions, in which six or more consecutive A or T bases of yeast sequence changed or C bases for frog and rat sequences, were shown with frames. |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Yeast Frog Rat | Yeast Frog Rat | Yeast Frog Rat | Yeast Frog Rat | Figure 3. Yeast <u>Sacc</u>) Bases were numbers. Ti in this fi were arran For examplu nucleotide: with bold mainly to (|

4885



Figure 4. Conserved sequence analysis of 18S rDNA between frog and rat (top) and among the three species, yeast, frog, and rat (bottom). Sequences in Fig. 3 were sectioned every 10 nucleotides from the 5'-terminus and the numbers of the conserved nucleotides were plotted along the sequence. The 3'-terminal 5 nucleotides, conserved completely, were plotted as fully conserved with a half width. Three long completely conserved regions (A, B, and C) were marked. Arrows show positions of large (10 nucleotides or more) inserts. U1 and U2 are two unstable regions.

| Table l. | 18S rRNA | base | composition | data |
|----------|----------|------|-------------|------|
|----------|----------|------|-------------|------|

| | No. of nucleotides | U | A | С | G | %G+C |
|--------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Yeast | 1789 | 509 | 475 | 347 | 458 | 45.0 |
| Difference | 36 | 98 | 43 | 120 | 57 | 8.8 |
| Frog | 1825 | 411 | 432 | 467 | 515 | 53.8 |
| Difference | 44 | 3 | 11 | 30 | 28 | 1.8 |
| Rat | 1869 | 408 | 421 | 497 | 543 | 55.6 |
| Total Differ | rence 80 | 101 | 54 | 150 | 85 | 10.6 |

¹Total difference is the difference between yeast and rat.

| Table 2. 185 rDNA base change data | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Yeast 🕨 Frog | Frog 🕨 Rat | Yeast 🕨 Rat | | | |
| [a] | (A,T) → (G,C) | 217 | 46 | 224 | | | |
| [b] | (G,C)→(A,T) | 70 | 21 | 65 | | | |
| [c] | [a]/[b] | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.4 | | | |
| [d] | (G,C) insertion | 46 | 35 | 81 | | | |
| [e] | (A,T) insertion | 17 | 12 | 14 | | | |
| [f] | [d]/[e] | 2.7 | 2.9 | 5.8 | | | |
| [g] | (A,T) deletion | 19 | 2 | 10 | | | |
| [h] | (G,C) deletion | 8 | 1 | 5 | | | |
| [i] | [g]/[h] | 2.4 | 2 | 2 | | | |

Base changes were counted in Fig. 3.

portions of the genes where six or more consecutive A or T bases of yeast sequence are changed mostly to G or C bases are framed in Fig. 3. There is another clear preference for insertions of G or C over A or T for the three comparisons (lines [d], [e], [f]). GC rich insertions in the 5'-domain of rabbit 18S rRNA that are absent in both yeast and frog 18S rRNA were also pointed out by Lockard et al. (16). Though the numbers are small, there are also selective deletions of A or T over G or C (lines [g], [h], [i]).

DISCUSSION

In addition to other genetic systems that have been utilized for the analysis of evolutionary trends (17, 18), the 18S rDNA sequence is an excellent system to study evolutionary trends among various organisms. Because the complete sequence information of 18S rDNA is limited to only three species, it is not easy to discuss their evolutionary relationship. But, these sequences will serve as an introduction to a detailed phylogenetic analysis in the future. Recently, Eckenrode and Meagher (personal communication) have determined the complete 18S rDNA sequence of soybean. It is only 1807 nucleotides long and quite different from the rat sequence. The other high molecular weight rRNA, 25S rRNA for yeast and 28S rRNA for rat, is more variable than 18S rRNA and would be suitable to study evolutionary trends between closer species. Nucleotide sequences of 25S rDNA were compared between two yeasts, S. cerevisiae (19) and S. carlsbergensis (20), and 16 base differences were observed between the two species (21).

The present study shows that there are three completely conserved large regions in the 18S rRNA (Fig. 4). These conserved regions are considered to be functionally important. Possibilities of base-paired interactions between the 3'-terminal region C (Fig. 4) of 18S rRNA and 5S RNA and between the 3'-terminal region of 18S rRNA and the 5'-terminal region of many eukaryotic mRNA's have been suggested (14, 15). Functions for internal conserved regions A and B (Fig. 4) have not been suggested previously.

Two main factors are recognized which may have contributed to the evolution of 18S rRNA. The first is a preference of point mutations of $(A,T) \longrightarrow (G,C)$ compared to mutations of $(G,C) \longrightarrow (A,T)$. The second is preferential insertions of (G,C) over (A,T). Point mutations are more frequent in the yeast \longrightarrow frog comparison than in the frog \rightarrow rat comparison, but insertions are introduced almost to the same degree in these two cases. The only large Tl RNase fragment found to be different between rat and human 18S rRNA is fragment 12 (ACCCCCUUCCCG) (22). This fragment is missing in human 18S rRNA and a large portion of this rat fragment (CUUCCCG) is located in the inserted region between frog and rat, nucleotides 199-205 (Fig. 3). We can expect some difference between rat and human 18S rRNA due to insertions. Fragment 12 is also missing in chicken 18S rRNA (11).

The closer relatedness of the rat and <u>Xenopus</u> 18S rDNA sequences compared with the <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u> sequence is consistent with the contrast between the organization of rat and <u>Xenopus</u> rDNA repeat units, which have the 5S and 45S rRNA information separated (23), and the <u>S</u>. <u>cerevisiae</u> rDNA repeat unit, which contains both the 5S and 37S rRNA information together (21, 24). Nevertheless, the 5S rDNA is not a part of the repeat unit containing the 37S rDNA precursor in <u>Neurospora crassa</u> (25) and Schizosaccharomyces pombe (26).

Comparing the base compositions of the 18S rRNA's, the total differences of pyrimidines between yeast and rat were about twice the total differences of purines (Table 1). In structural genes, because of wobble of the third bases of triplets, pyrimidines have more freedom for change than purines without changing the corresponding amino acids. The fact that pyrimidines changed about twice more than purines between yeast and rat might lead to a speculation that 18S rRNA functions, or functioned, as mRNA at some stage before maturation or at some evolutionary stages. But, the present mature X. <u>laevis</u> 18S rRNA is considered to be unsuitable as a template for protein synthesis (27).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Kenrick J. Dennis for making the initial restriction map of the central portion of the 18S rDNA. Carol Crumley for secretarial assistance and Cheryl Hendrix and Drs. Susan Berent and Paul W. Bragg for critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by a Meadows Foundation Grant to the Oree Meadows Perryman Laboratory for Cancer Research at Wadley Institutes and a National Institutes of Health Grant (GM-28090) to APB.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gerbi, S.A. (1976) J. Molec. Biol. 106, 791-816.
- Fuke, M., Busch, H. and Rao, P.N. (1976) Nucleic Acids Res. 3, 2. 2939-2957.
- Rubtsov, P.M., Musakhanov, M.M., Zakharyev, V.M., Krayev, A.S., Skryabin, K.G. and Bayev, A.A. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 5779-5794. 3.
- 4. Salim, M. and Maden, B.E.H. (1981) Nature 291, 205-208.
- Fuke, M., Dennis, K.J. and Busch, H. (1981) Mol. Gen. Genet. 182, 5. 25-30.
- 6. Torczynski, R., Bollon, A.P. and Fuke, M. (1981) Mol. Gen. Genet. 184, 557-559.
- 7. Torczynski, R., Bollon, A.P. and Fuke, M. (1983) Mol. Gen. Genet., in press.
- 8. Fuke, M. (1974) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 71, 742-745.
- Fuke, M. and Busch, H. (1979) FEBS Lett. 99, 136-140. 9.
- Maxam, A.M. and Gilbert, W. (1977) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74, 10. 560-564.
- 11. Fuke, M. and Busch, H. (1979) Nucleic Acids Res. 7, 1131-1136.
- 12. Maden, B.E.H., Forbes, J., de Jonge, P. and Klootwijk, J. (1975) FEBS Lett. 59, 60-63.
- 13. Saponara, A.G. and Enger, M.D. (1974) Biochem Biophys. Acta 349, 61-77.
- 14. Hagenbuchle, O., Santer, M., Steitz, J.A. and Mans, R.J. (1978) Cell 13, 551-563.
- 15. Azad, A.A. and Deacon, N.J. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 4365-4376.
- Lockard, R.E., Connaughton, J.F. and Kumar, A. (1982) Nucleic Acids 16. Res. 11, 3445-3457.
- 17. Bryson, V. and Vogel, H.J. (1965) Evolving Genes and Proteins. Academic Press, New York.
- Kimura, M. (1982) Molecular Evolution, Protein Polymorphism and the 18.
- New Theory, Springer-Verlog, New York. Georgiev, O.I., Nikolaev, N., Hadjiolev, A.A., Skryabin, K.G., Zakharyev, J.M. and Bayev, A.A. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 19. 6953-6958.
- Veldman, G.M., Klootwijk, J., de Regt, V.C.H.F., Planta, R.J., Branlant, C., Krol, A. and Ebel, J.-P. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 6935-6952.
- 21. Bollon, A.P. (1982) in The Cell Nucleus, Vol. 10, Eds. Busch, H. and Rothblum, L., pp. 67-125, Academic Press, New York.
- 22. Fuke, M. and Busch, H. (1975) J. Molec. Biol. 99, 277-281.
- 23. Reeder, R.H. (1974) in Ribosomes, Eds. Nomura, M., Tissières, A. and Lengyel, P., 489-518, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York.
- 24. Nath, K. and Bollon, A.P. (1977) J. Biol. Chem. 252, 6562-6571.

- Free, S.J., Rice, P.W. and Metzenberg, R.L. (1979) J. Bacteriol. 137, 25. 1219-1226.
- 1219-1226.
 Mao, J., Appel, B., Schaack, J., Sharp, S., Yamada H. and Söll, D. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 487-500.
 Maden, B.E.H. (1982) in The Cell Nucleus, Vol. 10, Eds. Busch, H. and Rothblum, L., pp. 319-351, Academic Press, New York.
 Skryabin, K.G., Kraev, A.S., Rubtsov, P.M. and Bayev, A.A.A. (1979) Dokl. Acad. Nauk. USSR 247, 761-765.
 Skryabin, K.G., Zakharyev, V.M., Rubtsov, P.M. and Bayev, A.A.A. (1979) Dokl. Acad. Nauk. USSR 247, 1275-1277.
 Hall, L.M.C. and Maden, B.E.H. (1980) Nucleic Acids Res. 8, 5993-6005. 26.
- 27.
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
- 31. Maden, B.E.H., Moss, M. and Salim, M. (1982) Nucleic Acids Res. 10, 2387-2398.