

MISCELLANEOUS

THE CONCEPT OF ASYMPTOTIC EFFICIENCY

By D. BASU

Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta

1. SUMMARY

Partly of an expository nature this note brings out the fact that an estimator, though asymptotically much less efficient (in the classical sense) than another, may yet have much greater probability concentration (as defined in this article) than the latter.

2. DEFINITIONS

Let $\{X_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ be an infinite sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables whose common distribution function F is known to belong to a family Ω of one dimensional distribution functions. Let $\mu = \mu(F)$ be a real valued functional defined on Ω . By an estimator $T = \{t_n\}$ of μ we mean a sequence of real valued measurable functions of $\{X_i\}$, where t_n is a function of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n only ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The estimator T is said to be an asymptotically normal estimator of μ if there exists a sequence $\{\sigma_n(F)\}$ of positive numbers such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\{t_n - \mu(F) / \sigma_n(F)\} \implies N(0, 1) \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega$$

where \implies stands for convergence in law and $N(0, 1)$ for the standard normal variable. The sequence $\{\sigma_n(F)\}$ is called the asymptotic standard deviation of T . A necessary and sufficient condition in order that $\{\sigma_n(F)\}$ and $\{\sigma'_n(F)\}$ may both be called the asymptotic standard deviation of T is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\sigma_n(F) / \sigma'_n(F)\} \equiv 1 \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition in order that the asymptotically normal estimator T is also consistent is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n(F) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega.$$

Let \mathcal{J} be the family of all consistent asymptotically normal estimators of μ . We consider only the space \mathcal{J} .

3. THE PARTIAL ORDER OF EFFICIENCY

Two elements T and T' of \mathcal{J} are said to be equally efficient (or equivalent) if they have the same asymptotic s.d.s, *i.e.* if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\sigma_n(F)/\sigma'_n(F)\} \equiv 1 \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega \quad \dots \quad (3.1)$$

where $\{\sigma_n(F)\}$ and $\{\sigma'_n(F)\}$ are the corresponding asymptotic s. d.'s.

It is easily verified that the above equivalence relation is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

$$\text{If} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\sigma_n(F)/\sigma'_n(F)\} \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega$$

$$\text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\sigma_n(F)/\sigma'_n(F)\} < 1 \quad \text{for some } F \in \Omega$$

then we say that T is more efficient than T' and write $T \supset T'$. It is easily seen that the relation \supset induces a partial order on \mathcal{J} .

It is known that there do not exist a maximal element in \mathcal{J} with respect to the partial order \supset , *i.e.* there do not exist any element $T \in \mathcal{J}$ which is either equivalent to or more efficient than any alternative $T' \in \mathcal{J}$. As a matter of fact it has been demonstrated (LeCam, 1953) how given any $T \in \mathcal{J}$ we can always find a $T' \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $T' \supset T$.

4. THE PARTIAL ORDER OF CONCENTRATION

The estimator $T = \{t_n\}$ of μ is consistent if for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $F \in \Omega$

$$p_n(\epsilon, F) = P\{|t_n - \mu| > \epsilon | F\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we work with the simple loss function that is zero or one according as the error in the estimate is $\leq \epsilon$ or $> \epsilon$ then $p_n(\epsilon, F)$ is the risk (or expected loss) when the estimator is used with observations on X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n only.

The rapidity with which $p_n(\epsilon, F) \rightarrow 0$ may be considered to be a measure of the asymptotic accuracy or concentration of T . This motivates the following definition of a partial order on \mathcal{J} (and as a matter of fact on the wider family of all consistent estimators of μ).

Definition : The estimator T with the associated sequences of risk functions $p_n(\epsilon, F)$ is said to have greater concentration than T' with the associated sequences $p'_n(\epsilon, F)$ if, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $F \in \Omega$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{p_n(\epsilon, F)/p'_n(\epsilon, F)\} \leq 1$$

with the limit inferior being < 1 for some $\epsilon > 0$ and some $F \in \Omega$. We then write $T > T'$.

THE CONCEPT OF ASYMPTOTIC EFFICIENCY

Intuitively it may seem reasonable to expect that $T \supset T'$ implies $T > T'$. That this is not so is demonstrated in the next section. An example is given where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_n(F)}{\sigma'_n(F)} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } F \in \Omega, \quad \dots \quad (4.1)$$

whereas
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n(\epsilon, F)}{p'_n(\epsilon, F)} \equiv \infty \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } F \in \Omega. \quad \dots \quad (4.2)$$

5. AN EXAMPLE

Let each of the X_i 's be $N(\mu, 1)$, the problem being to estimate μ .

Let
$$\bar{X}_n = \sum_1^n X_i/n \text{ and } S_n = \sum_1^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2.$$

Then \bar{X}_n and S_n are mutually independent random variables and the distribution of S_n is independent of μ . Let a_n be the upper $100/n$ % point of S_n and let

$$H_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S_n \leq a_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } S_n > a_n. \end{cases}$$

Now let
$$T = \{t_n\}$$

where
$$t_n = (1 - H_n) \bar{X}_n + n H_n$$

and
$$T' = \{t'_n\}$$

where
$$t'_n = \bar{X}_{[\sqrt{n}]}$$
.

(By $[x]$ we mean the largest integer not exceeding x .)

Since
$$P(H_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1,$$

it follows (vide Cramér, p. 254) that $\sqrt{n}(t_n - \mu) = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) + \sqrt{n} H_n(n - \bar{X}_n)$

$$\implies N(0, 1)$$

when μ is the true mean.

Hence, $T \in \mathcal{J}$ with asymptotic s.d. $= \{n^{-1/2}\}$. Also $T' \in \mathcal{J}$ with asymptotic s.d. $= \{n^{-1/4}\}$.

Therefore (4.1) is satisfied. Again, since \bar{X}_n is independent of H_n it follows that, for every $n > \mu + \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(|t_n - \mu| > \epsilon | \mu) &= P(H_n = 0) P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon | \mu) + P(H_n = 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

because $P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon | \mu) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$, as may be easily verified.

Whereas $P(|t'_n - \mu| > \epsilon | \mu) = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$

Therefore (4.2) also is satisfied.

It may be noted that in the example given the s.d. of t_n is not asymptotically equal to the asymptotic s.d. of T . But this can be easily arranged to be true by, say, taking a_n for the upper $100/n^4$ % point of S_n .

REFERENCES

- CRAMÉR, H. (1946) : *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, Princeton University Press.
 LECAM, L. (1953) : *On Some Asymptotic Properties of Maximum Likelihood Estimates and Related Bayes Estimates*, University of California Press.

Paper received : January, 1955.