### The Construction of Preference

Do we really know what we want? Or must we sometimes construct our preferences on the spot, using whatever cues are available – even when these cues lead us astray? One of the main themes that has emerged from behavioral decision research during the past three decades is the view that people's preferences are often constructed in the process of elicitation. This idea is derived from studies demonstrating that normatively equivalent methods of elicitation (e.g., choice and pricing) give rise to systematically different responses. These preference reversals violate the principle of procedure invariance that is fundamental to all theories of rational choice. If different elicitation procedures produce different orderings of options, how can preferences be defined and in what sense do they exist? This book shows not only the historical roots of preference construction but also the blossoming of the concept within psychology, law, marketing, philosophy, environmental policy, and economics. Decision making is now understood to be a highly contingent form of information processing, sensitive to task complexity, time pressure, response mode, framing, reference points, and other contextual factors.

Sarah Lichtenstein is a founder and Treasurer of Decision Research. Her fields of specialization are human judgment, decision making, and risk. She is now retired but continues as an advisor and consultant to Decision Research. She published numerous journal articles and book chapters on topics such as preference reversals and value structuring. She was a Fellow of the American Psychological Association and served on the editorial boards of *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance* and *Acta Psychologica*. She is a coauthor of *Acceptable Risk* (1981).

Paul Slovic is a founder and President of Decision Research and Professor of Psychology at the University of Oregon. He studies human judgment, decision making, and risk. Dr. Slovic has received the Distinguished Contribution Award from the Society of Risk Analysis, the Distinguished Scientific Contribution Award from the American Psychological Association, and the Outstanding Contribution to Science Award from the Oregon Academy of Science. He has received honorary doctorates from the Stockholm School of Economics (1996) and the University of East Anglia (2005). He is a coauthor or editor of eight books, most recently *The Perception of Risk* (2000) and *The Social Amplification of Risk* (2003).

# THE CONSTRUCTION OF PREFERENCE

# Edited by SARAH LICHTENSTEIN

Decision Research

### **PAUL SLOVIC**

Decision Research and The University of Oregon



Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-54220-3 - The Construction of Preference Edited by Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic Frontmatter More information

> CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521834285

© Cambridge University Press 2006

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2006

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

The construction of preference / edited by Sarah Lichtenstein, Paul Slovic. p. cm. Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN-13: 978-0-521-83428-5 (hardback) ISBN-10: 0-521-83428-7 (hardback) ISBN-13: 978-0-521-54220-3 (pbk.) ISBN-10: 0-521-54220-0 (pbk.) 1. Choice (Psychology) I. Lichtenstein, Sarah, 1933– II. Slovic, Paul, 1938– III. Title. BF611.C65 2006 153.8'3 – dc22 2005035966

ISBN-13 978-0-521-83428-5 hardback ISBN-10 0-521-83428-7 hardback

ISBN-13 978-0-521-54220-3 paperback ISBN-10 0-521-54220-0 paperback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-54220-3 - The Construction of Preference Edited by Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic Frontmatter More information

We dedicate this book to our grandchildren:

James Loving Lichtenstein Lela Loving Lichtenstein Jacinto Slovic Spencer Slovic Cameron Slovic Oblio Mathai

The discussion of the meaning of preference and the status of value may be illuminated by the well-known exchange among three baseball umpires. "I call them as I see them," said the first. "I call them as they are," said the second. The third disagreed, "They ain't nothing till I call them." Analogously, we can describe three different views regarding the nature of values. First, values exist – like body temperature – and people perceive and report them as best they can, possibly with bias (I call them as I see them). Second, people know their values and preferences directly – as they know the multiplication table (I call them as they are). Third, values or preferences are commonly constructed in the process of elicitation (they ain't nothing till I call them). The research reviewed in this article is most compatible with the third view of preference as a constructive, context-dependent process. (Tversky & Thaler, 1990, p. 210)

# Contents

	Contributors	page xi
	Preface Cass R. Sunstein	XV
	Acknowledgments	xvii
I IN	ITRODUCTION	
1	<b>The Construction of Preference: An Overview</b> Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic	1
II P	REFERENCE REVERSALS	
2	<b>Relative Importance of Probabilities and Payoffs in Risk</b> <b>Taking</b> <i>Paul Slovic and Sarah Lichtenstein</i>	41
3	<b>Reversals of Preference Between Bids and Choices in Gambling Decisions</b> <i>Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic</i>	52
4	<b>Response-Induced Reversals of Preference in Gambling:</b> <b>An Extended Replication in Las Vegas</b> <i>Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic</i>	69
5	<b>Economic Theory of Choice and the Preference Reversal</b> <b>Phenomenon</b> <i>David M. Grether and Charles R. Plott</i>	77
III I	PSYCHOLOGICAL THEORIES OF PREFERENCE REVERSALS	
6	<b>Contingent Weighting in Judgment and Choice</b> <i>Amos Tversky, Samuel Sattath, and Paul Slovic</i>	95
7	<b>Cognitive Processes in Preference Reversals</b> <i>David A. Schkade and Eric J. Johnson</i>	122
8	<b>The Causes of Preference Reversal</b> Amos Tversky, Paul Slovic, and Daniel Kahneman	146

### viii Contents

9	<b>Preference Reversals Between Joint and Separate Evaluations</b> <b>of Options: A Review and Theoretical Analysis</b> <i>Christopher K. Hsee, George Loewenstein, Sally Blount,</i> <i>and Max H. Bazerman</i>	163
10	<b>Attribute-Task Compatibility as a Determinant of Consumer</b> <b>Preference Reversals</b> <i>Stephen M. Nowlis and Itamar Simonson</i>	192
11	<b>Preferences Constructed From Dynamic Microprocessing</b> <b>Mechanisms</b> Jerome R. Busemeyer, Joseph G. Johnson, and Ryan K. Jessup	220
IV E	VIDENCE FOR PREFERENCE CONSTRUCTION	
12	<b>Construction of Preferences by Constraint Satisfaction</b> Dan Simon, Daniel C. Krawczyk, and Keith J. Holyoak	235
13	"Coherent Arbitrariness": Stable Demand Curves Without Stable Preferences Dan Ariely, George Loewenstein, and Drazen Prelec	246
14	<b>Tom Sawyer and the Construction of Value</b> Dan Ariely, George Loewenstein, and Drazen Prelec	271
15	When Web Pages Influence Choice: Effects of Visual Primes on Experts and Novices Naomi Mandel and Eric J. Johnson	282
16	When Choice Is Demotivating: Can One Desire Too Much of a Good Thing? Sheena S. Iyengar and Mark R. Lepper	300
V TH	HEORIES OF PREFERENCE CONSTRUCTION	
17	<b>Constructive Consumer Choice Processes</b> James R. Bettman, Mary Frances Luce, and John W. Payne	323
18	<b>Decision Making and Action: The Search for a Dominance Structure</b> <i>Henry Montgomery</i>	342
19	<b>Pre- and Post-Decision Construction of Preferences:</b> <b>Differentiation and Consolidation</b> <i>Ola Svenson</i>	356
20	<b>Choice Bracketing</b> Daniel Read, George Loewenstein, and Matthew Rabin	372
21	<b>Constructing Preferences From Memory</b> Elke U. Weber and Eric J. Johnson	397

	Contents	ix
VI A	AFFECT AND REASON	
22	<b>Reason-Based Choice</b> Eldar Shafir, Itamar Simonson, and Amos Tversky	411
23	<b>The Affect Heuristic</b> Paul Slovic, Melissa L. Finucane, Ellen Peters, and Donald G. MacGregor	434
24	<b>The Functions of Affect in the Construction of</b> <b>Preferences</b> <i>Ellen Peters</i>	454
25	Mere Exposure: A Gateway to the Subliminal Robert B. Zajonc	464
26	<b>Introspecting About Reasons Can Reduce Post-Choice</b> <b>Satisfaction</b> <i>Timothy D. Wilson, Douglas J. Lisle, Jonathan W. Schooler, Sara D.</i> <i>Hodges, Kristen J. Klaaren, and Suzanne J. LaFleur</i>	471
VII I	MISWANTING	
27	New Challenges to the Rationality Assumption Daniel Kahneman	487
28	<b>Distinction Bias: Misprediction and Mischoice Due to Joint</b> <b>Evaluation</b> <i>Christopher K. Hsee and Jiao Zhang</i>	504
29	Lay Rationalism and Inconsistency Between Predicted Experience and Decision Christopher K. Hsee, Jiao Zhang, Frank Yu, and Yiheng Xi	532
30	<b>Miswanting: Some Problems in the Forecasting of Future</b> <b>Affective States</b> <i>Daniel T. Gilbert and Timothy D. Wilson</i>	550
VIII	CONTINGENT VALUATION	
31	<b>Economic Preferences or Attitude Expressions? An Analysis of Dollar Responses to Public Issues</b> <i>Daniel Kahneman, Ilana Ritov, and David A. Schkade</i>	565
32	Music, Pandas, and Muggers: On the Affective Psychology of Value Christopher K. Hsee and Yuval Rottenstreich	594
33	Valuing Environmental Resources: A Constructive Approach Robin Gregory, Sarah Lichtenstein, and Paul Slovic	609

### x Contents

#### IX PREFERENCE MANAGEMENT

34	<b>Measuring Constructed Preferences: Towards a Building Code</b> John W. Payne, James R. Bettman, and David A. Schkade	629
35	<b>Constructing Preferences From Labile Values</b> <i>Baruch Fischhoff</i>	653
36	<b>Informed Consent and the Construction of Values</b> <i>Douglas MacLean</i>	668
37	<b>Do Defaults Save Lives?</b> Eric J. Johnson and Daniel G. Goldstein	682
38	<b>Libertarian Paternalism Is Not an Oxymoron</b> <i>Cass R. Sunstein and Richard H. Thaler</i>	689
	References	709
	Index	775

# Contributors

- **Dan Ariely** is the Luis Alvarez Renta Professor of Management Science at the Sloan School of Management and at the Media Laboratory at MIT
- Max H. Bazerman is the Jesse Isidor Straus Professor of Business Administration at Harvard Business School
- James R. Bettman is the Burlington Industries Professor of Marketing at The Fuqua School of Business, Duke University
- **Sally Blount** is the Dean of the Undergraduate College and the Abraham L. Gitlow Professor of Management at the New York University Stern School of Business
- Jerome R. Busemeyer is a Professor of Psychology and Cognitive Science at Indiana University
- Melissa L. Finucane is a Research Investigator for Kaiser Permanente
- **Baruch Fischhoff** is the Howard Heinz University Professor in the Department of Social & Decision Sciences and the Department of Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University
- **Daniel T. Gilbert** is the Harvard College Professor of Psychology at Harvard University
- **Daniel G. Goldstein** is an Assistant Professor of Marketing at the London Business School
- Robin Gregory is a Senior Researcher at Decision Research
- **David M. Grether** is a Professor of Economics at the California Institute of Technology
- **Sara D. Hodges** is an Associate Professor of Psychology at the University of Oregon
- Keith J. Holyoak is a Professor of Psychology at the University of California, Los Angeles
- **Christopher K. Hsee** is the Theodore O. Yntema Professor of Behavioral Sciences and Marketing at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-54220-3 - The Construction of Preference Edited by Sarah Lichtenstein and Paul Slovic Frontmatter More information

### xii Contributors

- **Sheena S. Iyengar** is the Sanford C. Bernstein Associate Professor of Leadership and Ethics at Columbia University
- **Ryan K. Jessup** is a Ph.D. candidate in psychology and cognitive science at Indiana University, Bloomington
- **Eric J. Johnson** is the Norman Eig Professor of Business at Columbia Business School, Columbia University
- **Joseph G. Johnson** is an Assistant Professor of Psychology at Miami University
- **Daniel Kahneman** is the Eugene Higgins Professor of Psychology and Public Affairs at the Woodrow Wilson School, Princeton University

Kristen J. Klaaren is an Associate Professor of Psychology at Randolph-Macon College

- **Daniel C. Krawczyk** is a Postdoctoral Fellow at the University of California, Berkeley
- Suzanne J. LaFleur is a Research Scientist and Adjunct Assistant Professor at the School of Family Studies, University of Connecticut

Mark R. Lepper is Albert Ray Lang Professor of Psychology at Stanford University

- Sarah Lichtenstein is a Senior Researcher at Decision Research
- Douglas J. Lisle is Director of Research for TrueNorth Health Center
- **George Loewenstein** is a Professor of Economics and Psychology at Carnegie Mellon University
- Mary Frances Luce is Professor of Marketing at The Fuqua School of Business, Duke University
- **Donald G. MacGregor** is President and Senior Scientist at MacGregor-Bates, Inc.

**Douglas MacLean** is a Professor of Philosophy at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

**Naomi Mandel** is an Assistant Professor of Marketing at the W.P. Carey School of Business, Arizona State University

- **Henry Montgomery** is a Professor of Cognitive Psychology at Stockholm University
- **Stephen M. Nowlis** is the AT&T Research Professor of Marketing at the W.P. Carey School of Business, Arizona State University
- John W. Payne is the Joseph J. Ruvane, Jr., Professor of Management and Marketing, Professor of Psychology, and Deputy Dean of The Fuqua School of Business, Duke University

### Contributors xiii

- Ellen Peters is a Research Scientist at Decision Research
- **Charles R. Plott** is the Edward S. Harkness Professor of Economics and Political Science at the California Institute of Technology
- **Drazen Prelec** is a Professor of Management Science at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology
- Matthew Rabin is the Edward G. and Nancy S. Jordan Professor of Economics at the University of California, Berkeley
- **Daniel Read** is a Professor of Behavioral Economics at the Durham Business School, University of Durham
- **Ilana Ritov** is an Associate Professor in the Department of Psychology and School of Education, The Hebrew University
- **Yuval Rottenstreich** is an Associate Professor of Management at The Fuqua School of Business, Duke University
- Samuel Sattath works at the Center for Rationality, The Hebrew University
- **David A. Schkade** is the Jerome Katzin Endowed Chair in the Rady School of Management, University of California, San Diego
- Jonathan W. Schooler is a Professor of Psychology and the Canada Research Chair in Social Cognitive Science at the University of British Columbia
- **Eldar Shafir** is a Professor of Psychology and Public Affairs at Princeton University
- Dan Simon is a Professor of Law at the University of Southern California
- **Itamar Simonson** is the Sebastian S. Kresge Professor of Marketing at the Stanford Graduate School of Business
- **Paul Slovic** is the President of Decision Research and a Professor of Psychology at the University of Oregon
- **Cass R. Sunstein** is the Karl N. Llewellyn Distinguished Service Professor of Jurisprudence at the Law School and the Department of Political Science, University of Chicago
- **Ola Svenson** is a Professor of Psychology and the Director of the Risk Analysis, Social and Decision Unit at Stockholm University
- **Richard H. Thaler** is the Robert P. Gwinn Distinguished Service Professor of Behavioral Science and Economics at the Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago
- **Amos Tversky** (1937–1996) was the Davis Brack Professor of Behavioral Sciences at Stanford University
- **Elke U. Weber** is a Professor of Psychology and Management and the Jerome A. Chazen Professor of International Business at Columbia University

#### xiv Contributors

- **Timothy D. Wilson** is the Sherrell J. Aston Professor of Psychology at the University of Virginia
- **Yiheng Xi** is a student in the Department of Management of Organizations, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
- **Frank Yu** is a Ph.D. candidate at the Graduate School of Business at the University of Chicago
- Robert B. Zajonc is a Professor of Psychology at Stanford University
- **Jiao Zhang** is a Ph.D. candidate in behavioral science at the Graduate School of Business, University of Chicago

# Preface

Cass R. Sunstein

According to the most prominent view in contemporary social science, human beings "have" preferences, and their choices are a product of those preferences. If people are going to select an ice cream flavor, or a television set, or a political candidate, they will consult a kind of internal preference menu, and their choices will result from that consultation. This approach to human behavior dominates economics; it also plays a large role in many other fields, including political science, law, and sociology.

But are people's preferences really elicited, rather than constructed, by social situations? This is an empirical question. Over the last decades, a great deal of progress has been made in answering it. Sometimes people prefer A to B *and* B to A, depending on how the options are framed. If people are told, "Of those who undergo this medical procedure, 90% are still alive after 5 years," they are far more likely to agree to the procedure than if they are told, "Of those who undergo this procedure, 10% are dead after 5 years." Framing matters for ordinary consumer choices as well as for unusual medical decisions. Most consumers might prefer a small television to a medium-sized one when choosing among the two, but if a large television is added to the set of options, many consumers will favor the medium-sized one over the small one. The same point applies to jury determinations. Other things being equal, a jury is far more likely to convict a defendant on a charge if that charge can be characterized as falling in the middle of a set of possibilities.

Or consider questions from the important domain of regulatory policy: Do ordinary people "have" preferences about their own willingness to pay to eliminate a mortality risk of 1/100,000 or to save members of an endangered species? It turns out that people's decisions are greatly affected by amounts that are initially mentioned to them – so much so as to make it possible for analysts to "construct" an extraordinarily wide range of possible amounts.

According to standard economic theory, default rules shouldn't much matter to what people will do, at least if they can easily contract around those rules; people who "prefer" to contract around default rules will do exactly that. But growing evidence suggests that default rules, both private and public, help to construct people's preferences. Suppose that an employer automatically assumes that employees want to devote a certain percentage of their wages to savings in a retirement plan, while allowing employees to "opt out" of the plan

#### xvi Preface

if they do so explicitly. If the employer so assumes, there will be far more participation in retirement plans than if the employer assumes that employees do not want to participate in that plan unless they say otherwise.

The point is immensely important. If default rules help to construct preferences, then the standard analysis of such rules, in both economics and law, turns out to be badly mistaken.

All of these examples suggest that if their goal is to predict human behavior, analysts will blunder if they assume that people have preferences that predate framing, default rules, and social interactions. Sometimes preferences are surprisingly labile. This point also unsettles the widely held view that the role of private and public institutions is to "respect" or "satisfy" preferences. If preferences are constructed, then institutions cannot refer to acontextual preferences at all.

To be sure, there are limits to the process of construction. Some televisions just won't sell; most of the time, people are unlikely to want 80% of their wages to go into savings. It would be an overstatement to say of preferences, as Gertrude Stein said of Oakland, that "there is no there there." But frequently what is there is far less fixed, and far more malleable, than conventional theory predicts.

This book collects decades of work on central issues involving the construction of preferences. It includes the seminal papers on that topic; it also offers newer work whose implications have yet to be fully unpacked. The result is a fundamental rethinking of central issues in social science, with intriguing lessons for many questions about human behavior and about the role of human institutions in helping to produce it.

# Acknowledgments

We are indebted to many people who, over the course of almost half a century, contributed to the construction of preference and the construction of this book. Ward Edwards built the foundation for the field of Behavioral Decision Theory and introduced us to this field and to each other. Paul Hoffman, founding director of the Oregon Research Institute, provided a stimulating research environment in which to conduct our early experiments on preference reversals. Amos Tversky and Danny Kahneman encouraged our work and contributed to it in many important ways, as did Baruch Fischhoff, who helped us think more broadly about "labile values."

We are particularly grateful to the many fine researchers who, with stunning ingenuity, transformed the study of preference reversals into the study of constructed preferences. A sampling of their contributions is included in this book.

We also thank the economists who took our early work seriously enough to criticize it (and sometimes even to attack it). Their skeptical views motivated much of the subsequent psychological research and made it better. The challenges posed by David Grether and Charles Plott were particularly important in this regard.

In developing our ideas on preference reversals and preference construction, we have been supported intellectually and socially by our colleagues at Oregon Research Institute (Lew Goldberg, Leonard Rorer, Robyn Dawes, and Gordon Bechtel) and Decision Research (Robin Gregory, Ellen Peters, Melissa Finucane, Don MacGregor, Terre Satterfield, Robert Mauro, C. K. Mertz, Jim Flynn, and Tony Leiserowitz). Administrative support for this book has been provided by Toni Daniels and Kay Phillips. Special thanks go to Ellen Peters, Robin Gregory, John Payne, and Chris Starmer for their advice on our introductory chapter and to the National Science Foundation, whose Decision Making, Risk, and Management Science Program has provided essential funding for much of the research on preference construction by us and others.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most recently, support for work on this book has come from the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. 0112158 and 0241313. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

### xviii Acknowledgments

Finally, we were sustained in the daunting task of acquiring and assembling the 38 chapters of this book by the steady competence and enthusiasm of Leisha Wharfield, ably assisted by Austin Kaiser. We thank them. We also thank Peter Katsirubas of Techbooks for his help and his patience in the final stages of book preparation.

> Sarah Lichtenstein Paul Slovic Eugene, Oregon May 2006