

## THE CONTINUOUS ABSORPTION COEFFICIENT OF ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR NEGATIVE IONS

*T. L. John*

Department of Applied Mathematics and Astronomy, University College, P.O. Box 78,  
Cardiff

(Received 1975 March 14)

### SUMMARY

The continuous absorption coefficient of the free–free transitions of 17 atomic and molecular negative ions are reported for the temperature and wavelength ranges  $100 \leq T(\text{K}) \leq 15\,000$ ,  $0.5 \leq \lambda(\mu) \leq 10$ . We give an analytical expression for some molecular negative ions of astrophysical interest.

In an earlier paper (1) we considered free–free absorption of 17 atomic and molecular negative ions near the infrared threshold, the present paper is an attempt to improve the accuracy absorption coefficients of these ions away from threshold. We use Dalgarno & Lane’s method (2) which determines the continuous absorption coefficient  $k_\lambda(T)$  from momentum-loss cross-sections by the expression

$$k_\lambda(T) = 4.876 \times 10^{-3} T^{-5/2} \lambda^3 (1 - \exp(-\alpha/\lambda T)) \\ \times \int_0^\infty \exp(-\beta E_0) \sqrt{E_0 E_1} \{E_1 Q_d(E_0) + E_0 Q_d(E_1)\} dE_0 \text{ cm}^4 \text{ per dyne} \quad (1)$$

$$(\alpha = 14\,388, \beta = 11\,605/T, \lambda(\mu) = 1.240/(E_1 - E_0)).$$

The absorption coefficient  $k_\lambda(T)$ , at temperature  $T(\text{K})$  and wavelength  $\lambda(\mu)$ , is given in units per unit electron pressure per neutral atom or molecule and includes the stimulated emission; the momentum-loss cross-section  $Q_d(E)$  must be expressed in units  $\text{cm}^2$ , the energy  $E$  being in electron volts.

We adopted the momentum-loss cross-section data from Keiffer’s recent report (3), this tabulates the most reliable data available from experimental and theoretical sources. Our results are given in Tables I and II, for wavelengths greater than  $10 \mu$  the tables given in the previous paper (1) should be used, alternatively a good estimate can be obtained with

$$k_\lambda(T) = 10^{-3} \lambda^3 k_{10}(T) \quad (\lambda \geq 10). \quad (2)$$

An estimate of the errors that arise from approximation (1) can be obtained by considering results for the negative ions  $\text{N}^-$  and  $\text{O}^-$ . Geltman (4) has calculated the absorption coefficients of these negative ions using the more accurate procedure of evaluating matrix elements directly with wave functions; the same wave functions were used to determine the momentum-loss cross-sections in Kieffer’s report. Our results agree within a few per cent with those of Geltman for  $\lambda \geq 1.5 \mu$  but underestimate the cross-section by 10–15 per cent at  $1 \mu$  and 30–40 per cent at  $0.5 \mu$ .

TABLE I  
The continuous absorption coefficient of atomic negative ions in units  $10^{-26} \text{ cm}^4 \text{ per dyne}$

	$\gamma (\mu)$	100	500	1000	2500	5000	7500	10 000	12 500	15 000	
He <sup>-</sup>	10.0	106.0	44.1	34.1	23.5	17.3	14.2	12.2	10.9	9.78	
	5.0	33.1	10.8	8.16	5.78	4.30	3.54	3.04	2.72	2.44	
	2.5	11.0	2.94	2.00	1.39	1.06	0.876	0.756	0.679	0.609	
	1.5	4.98	1.21	0.756	0.484	0.370	0.310	0.269	0.243	0.218	
	1.0	2.67	0.620	0.366	0.214	0.159	0.134	0.118	0.107	0.0961	
	0.75	1.72	0.389	0.224	0.122	0.0880	0.0740	0.0650	0.0596	0.0535	
	0.5	0.931	0.205	0.114	0.0579	0.0388	0.0319	0.0281	0.0263	0.0233	
	Ne <sup>-</sup>	10.0	8.59	5.51	5.36	4.81	4.09	3.61	3.28	3.05	2.95
		5.0	2.65	1.32	1.26	1.17	1.01	0.898	0.817	0.763	0.737
		2.5	0.865	0.347	0.298	0.277	0.247	0.222	0.203	0.190	0.184
1.5		0.386	0.139	0.109	0.0941	0.0854	0.0778	0.0719	0.0676	0.0659	
1.0		0.205	0.0691	0.0514	0.0405	0.0363	0.0335	0.0313	0.0296	0.0292	
0.75		0.132	0.0427	0.0307	0.0228	0.0198	0.0183	0.0172	0.0164	0.0164	
0.5		0.0707	0.0220	0.0153	0.0105	0.0086	0.0078	0.0074	0.0071	0.0074	
Ar <sup>-</sup>		10.0	108.0	10.0	2.76	2.23	3.83	5.03	6.23	7.16	7.90
		5.0	36.0	3.17	0.901	0.591	0.955	1.26	1.56	1.79	1.97
		2.5	12.4	1.04	0.295	0.164	0.236	0.316	0.387	0.445	0.493
	1.5	5.71	0.472	0.133	0.0641	0.0850	0.112	0.138	0.159	0.177	
	1.0	3.09	0.254	0.0703	0.0304	0.0374	0.0491	0.0606	0.0704	0.0782	
	0.75	2.00	0.164	0.0450	0.0184	0.0211	0.0273	0.0337	0.0392	0.0436	
	0.5	1.09	0.0885	0.0243	0.0094	0.0096	0.0120	0.0147	0.0171	0.0189	
	Kr <sup>-</sup>	10.0	446.0	62.6	20.8	4.15	3.52	5.40	7.28	8.87	10.5
		5.0	146.0	18.1	6.27	1.26	0.925	1.37	1.82	2.23	2.62
		2.5	50.0	5.69	1.95	0.408	0.257	0.351	0.459	0.559	0.656
1.5		22.9	2.52	0.843	0.178	0.104	0.131	0.168	0.203	0.236	
1.0		12.4	1.34	0.443	0.0928	0.0512	0.0610	0.0757	0.0902	0.104	
0.75		8.04	0.863	0.284	0.0592	0.0315	0.0356	0.0431	0.0507	0.0580	
0.5		4.36	0.465	0.153	0.0320	0.0162	0.0168	0.0194	0.0223	0.0225	

Xe <sup>-</sup>	10.0	2032.0	230.0	72.1	14.3	13.2	18.8	23.5	27.4	28.7
	5.0	673.0	68.1	22.2	4.41	3.43	4.75	5.91	6.84	7.18
	2.5	231.0	21.7	7.01	1.46	0.936	1.21	1.49	1.71	1.79
	1.5	106.0	9.71	3.07	0.639	0.369	0.447	0.541	0.611	0.644
	1.0	57.4	5.18	1.62	0.332	0.179	0.204	0.240	0.269	0.286
	0.75	37.2	3.34	1.04	0.211	0.108	0.117	0.134	0.150	0.160
	0.5	20.2	1.80	0.558	0.113	0.0531	0.0529	0.0586	0.0651	0.0681
	10.0	3154.0	4183.0	2500.0	323.0	50.0	20.0	10.0	5.9	3.7
	5.0	723.0	957.0	650.0	91.0	20.0	7.2	3.1	1.8	1.2
	2.5	210.0	266.0	185.0	30.0	8.7	3.2	1.4	0.79	0.52
1.5	95.1	113.0	77.0	13.0	4.6	1.8	0.77	0.44	0.29	
1.0	51.1	59.0	40.0	6.5	2.7	1.1	0.48	0.28	0.18	
0.75	32.9	37.0	25.0	4.1	1.7	0.72	0.33	0.20	0.13	
0.5	17.8	20.0	13.0	2.1	0.94	0.40	0.19	0.12	0.08	
10.0	2818.0	3528.0	2377.0	762.0	269.0	165.0	129.0	113.0	106.0	
5.0	604.0	744.0	553.0	190.0	69.0	43.0	33.0	29.0	27.0	
2.5	173.0	191.0	139.0	49.0	19.0	12.0	8.9	7.5	6.9	
1.5	75.8	78.0	55.0	19.0	7.5	4.8	3.5	2.9	2.6	
1.0	40.4	40.0	27.0	8.9	3.7	2.4	1.7	1.4	1.2	
0.75	26.0	25.0	17.0	5.4	2.2	1.5	1.1	0.83	0.71	
0.5	14.1	13.0	9.0	2.8	1.1	0.74	0.53	0.40	0.34	
10.0	124613.0	14171.0	6789.0	1850.0	410.0	297.0	248.0	229.0	264.0	
5.0	41701.0	3933.0	1698.0	500.0	104.0	71.0	62.0	59.0	63.0	
2.5	14150.0	1189.0	473.0	151.0	27.0	18.0	16.0	16.0	18.0	
1.5	6494.0	532.0	201.0	63.0	11.0	6.5	6.2	6.2	7.1	
1.0	3514.0	284.0	105.0	32.0	5.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.6	
0.75	2275.0	183.0	66.0	20.0	2.9	1.6	1.7	1.8	2.3	
0.5	1235.0	99.0	35.0	10.0	1.3	0.79	0.78	0.90	1.3	

TABLE I—continued

$\lambda$ ( $\mu$ )	T (K)									
	100	500	1000	2500	5000	7500	10000	12500	15000	
Hg-	16.1	33.9	147.0	308.0	196.0	139.0	97.0	72.0	57.0	
	8.45	15.1	38.3	73.0	46.0	35.0	24.0	18.0	14.0	
	7.69	7.73	9.6	16.0	11.0	8.4	5.9	4.4	3.5	
	2.32	1.71	2.3	4.8	3.5	3.0	2.1	1.5	1.3	
	0.798	0.480	0.77	1.9	1.4	1.3	0.91	0.68	0.55	
	0.412	0.203	0.37	1.0	0.78	0.73	0.51	0.38	0.31	
	0.178	0.052	0.15	0.46	0.34	0.33	0.23	0.17	0.14	
N-	19.8	11.9	11.5	10.7	9.99	9.49	9.04	8.62	8.25	
	6.14	2.85	2.71	2.62	2.48	2.36	2.26	2.15	2.06	
	2.01	0.754	0.648	0.624	0.606	0.584	0.559	0.536	0.513	
	0.901	0.304	0.240	0.214	0.210	0.205	0.198	0.190	0.183	
	0.481	0.153	0.114	0.0934	0.0899	0.0884	0.0861	0.0832	0.0803	
	0.309	0.0950	0.0688	0.0528	0.0490	0.0483	0.0472	0.0459	0.0445	
	0.166	0.0491	0.0344	0.0244	0.0212	0.0205	0.0201	0.0196	0.0191	
O-	20.1	10.9	9.86	8.38	7.41	6.91	6.54	6.22	5.97	
	6.26	2.61	2.32	2.05	1.84	1.72	1.63	1.55	1.49	
	2.05	0.693	0.556	0.489	0.450	0.425	0.405	0.387	0.371	
	0.924	0.281	0.207	0.169	0.157	0.150	0.144	0.138	0.133	
	0.494	0.142	0.0992	0.0739	0.0673	0.0647	0.0626	0.0603	0.0583	
	0.318	0.0885	0.0600	0.0420	0.0396	0.0355	0.0344	0.0333	0.0323	
	0.171	0.0461	0.0302	0.0196	0.0160	0.0152	0.0147	0.0143	0.0139	

TABLE II  
The continuous absorption coefficient of molecular negative ions in units  $10^{-26} \text{ cm}^4 \text{ per dyne}$

$\lambda$ ( $\mu$ )	T (K)									
	100	500	1000	2500	5000	7500	10 000	12 500	15 000	
$\text{H}_2^-$	10.0	79.7	67.9	54.6	42.7	34.8	29.3	24.6	20.9	
	5.0	19.3	16.2	13.4	10.6	8.69	7.29	6.13	5.21	
	2.5	5.22	3.92	3.19	2.59	2.14	1.80	1.52	1.30	
	1.5	2.13	1.46	1.09	0.894	0.750	0.638	0.542	0.463	
	1.0	3.76	0.695	0.470	0.380	0.322	0.277	0.237	0.203	
	0.75	2.41	0.668	0.419	0.265	0.207	0.152	0.130	0.112	
0.5	1.30	0.347	0.210	0.123	0.0893	0.0748	0.0647	0.0558	0.0482	
$\text{N}_2^-$	10.0	45.1	43.5	35.1	36.7	29.1	35.3	29.5	19.7	
	5.0	10.6	10.1	8.57	9.03	7.53	8.77	6.99	4.87	
	2.5	5.07	2.69	2.02	2.17	2.01	2.13	1.67	1.23	
	1.5	2.22	1.05	0.845	0.717	0.774	0.691	0.602	0.469	
	1.0	1.18	0.529	0.335	0.341	0.291	0.308	0.271	0.206	
	0.75	0.758	0.337	0.263	0.207	0.190	0.167	0.148	0.108	
0.5	0.420	0.186	0.137	0.0890	0.0711	0.0582	0.0588	0.0439		
$\text{O}_2^-$	10.0	30.4	25.6	20.7	17.0	13.8	11.6	10.1	9.21	
	5.0	7.13	6.11	5.11	4.24	3.45	2.90	2.52	2.30	
	2.5	1.94	1.53	1.22	1.03	0.850	0.718	0.628	0.573	
	1.5	0.806	0.587	0.418	0.352	0.297	0.255	0.224	0.205	
	1.0	1.51	0.405	0.279	0.177	0.147	0.111	0.0982	0.0901	
	0.75	0.967	0.250	0.167	0.0978	0.0789	0.0691	0.0546	0.0502	
0.5	0.520	0.129	0.0829	0.0444	0.0339	0.0298	0.0240	0.0222		
$\text{CO}^-$	10.0	212.0	58.2	53.7	44.9	42.9	24.4	23.1	25.0	
	5.0	69.7	13.7	13.6	12.2	10.0	6.24	6.18	6.21	
	2.5	23.7	4.01	3.24	2.88	2.41	1.73	1.54	1.48	
	1.5	10.8	1.62	1.22	0.917	0.907	0.590	0.500	0.512	
	1.0	5.86	0.889	0.643	0.378	0.367	0.236	0.215	0.232	
	0.75	3.78	0.524	0.345	0.236	0.192	0.196	0.120	0.132	
0.5	2.03	0.255	0.161	0.106	0.0830	0.0850	0.0525	0.0596		

TABLE II—continued

	$\lambda$ ( $\mu$ )	$T$ (K)									
		100	500	1000	2500	5000	7500	10 000	12 500	15 000	
CO <sub>2</sub> -	10.0	3518.0	465.0	192.0	49.9	19.8	15.8	15.1	13.5	15 000	
	5.0	1166.0	129.0	52.5	13.6	5.23	4.06	3.81	14.6		
	2.5	400.0	39.3	15.1	3.96	1.46	1.09	0.971	3.74		
	1.5	184.0	17.3	6.35	1.61	0.589	0.421	0.363	0.956		
	1.0	99.5	9.15	3.29	0.804	0.293	0.200	0.173	0.343		
	0.75	64.5	5.87	2.09	0.497	0.179	0.120	0.102	0.152		
	0.5	35.0	3.15	1.11	0.259	0.091	0.058	0.088	0.084		
									0.046	0.038	
H <sub>2</sub> O-	10.0	59160.0	4906.0	1247.0	163.0	25.0					
	5.0	19867.0	1539.0	394.0	53.0	8.2					
	2.5	6850.0	503.0	126.0	18.5	3.2					
	1.5	3153.0	227.0	55.2	8.4						
	1.0	1708.0	122.0	29.2							

We find that the coefficients of molecular negative ion absorption are roughly the same magnitude for most of the ions in Table II, but at low temperatures  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$  and  $\text{CO}_2^-$  are much more efficient absorbers. The absorption coefficient of  $\text{H}_2^-$  was underestimated in earlier calculations by Sommerville (5).

In cool stars, the role of  $\text{H}_2^-$  in opacity calculations is well known. According to Tsuji (6), other molecules with a high abundance are  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CO}$  in carbon-rich stars and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CO}$  and  $\text{N}_2$  in oxygen-rich dwarfs. Auman (7) has shown the importance of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption in model atmosphere calculations of late-type stars and Vardya (8) has discussed the possibility that the bound-free transitions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$ , if it exists as a stable negative ion, may contribute to the opacity of these stars. From results in Table II, we find that the free-free transitions of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$  may also be a significant source of absorption regardless of the stability of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$ . For molecules of astrophysical interest ( $\text{H}_2^-$ ,  $\text{N}_2^-$ ,  $\text{CO}^-$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$ ) we fitted results to a Gingerich-type formula

$$k_\lambda(T) = 10^{-26} \sum_{n=0}^2 \{a_n \lambda^2 + b_n + c_n \lambda^{-1} + d_n \lambda^{-2}\} \left(\frac{5040 \cdot 2}{T(\text{K})}\right)^{(n+q)/2} \quad (3)$$

suitable for model atmosphere computations. The relevant parameters are given

TABLE III

Parameters for molecular negative ion absorption coefficients using a Gingerich-type expression

	$k_\lambda(T) = 10^{-26} \sum_{n=0}^2 \{a_n \lambda^2 + b_n + c_n \lambda^{-1} + d_n \lambda^{-2}\} \left(\frac{5040 \cdot 2}{T(\text{K})}\right)^{(n+q)/2} \text{ cm}^4 \text{ per dyne}$			
	$\text{H}_2^-$	$\text{N}_2^-$	$\text{CO}^-$	$\text{H}_2\text{O}^-$
$q$	1	0	-2	3
$a_0$	0.4612	0.3064	-0.3272	-0.5344
$a_1$	0.006916	0.02011	0.4099	0.7476
$a_2$	-0.03981	0.01569	0.4301	0.01036
$b_0$	0.2403	1.5304	-3.0951	-15.702
$b_1$	-1.0119	-2.4375	5.9763	25.875
$b_2$	0.3508	0.6750	-3.0779	-6.8465
$c_0$	0.03423	-2.0031	6.3007	35.961
$c_1$	0.9102	3.3677	-11.995	-55.697
$c_2$	-0.3048	-0.9343	5.9642	14.595
$d_0$	-0.06184	0.6201	-2.2828	-22.757
$d_1$	-0.2181	-1.0768	4.3311	34.072
$d_2$	0.07262	0.3049	-2.1299	-8.6890

in Table III. The fit is based on the 'best' estimate of the cross-section, that is results at  $1 \mu$  and  $0.5 \mu$  were scaled up by factors 1/0.85 and 1/0.65, respectively.

We wish to thank Mr M. G. Edmunds for helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- (1) John, T. L., 1975. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **170**, 5.
- (2) Dalgarno, A. & Lane, N. F., 1966. *Astrophys. J.*, **145**, 623.
- (3) Kieffer, L. J., 1973. *J.I.L.A. Information Center Report 13*, Boulder, Colorado, USA.
- (4) Geltman, S., 1973. *J. quantit. Spectrosc. radiat. Transfer*, **13**, 601.
- (5) Sommerville, W. B., 1964. *Astrophys. J.*, **139**, 192.
- (6) Tsuji, T., 1964. *Proc. Japan. Acad.*, **40**, 99; *Ann. Tokyo Astr. Obs.*, 2nd ser., **9**, 1.
- (7) Auman, J. R., 1969. *Astrophys. J.*, **157**, 799.
- (8) Vardya, M. S., 1970. *A. Rev. Astr. Astrophys.*, **8**, 87.