

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1142/S0129167X95000390

The coupled seiberg-witten equations, vortices, and moduli spaces of stable pairs

— [Source link](#) 

Christian Okonek, Andrei Teleman

Institutions: University of Zurich

Published on: 01 Dec 1995 - International Journal of Mathematics (World Scientific Publishing Company)

Topics: Moduli of algebraic curves, Modular equation, Moduli space, Space (mathematics) and Holomorphic function

Related papers:

- [Monopoles and four-manifolds](#)
- [The Genus of Embedded Surfaces in the Projective Plane](#)
- [The Geometry of Four-Manifolds](#)
- [Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections](#)
- [Quaternionic Monopoles](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/the-coupled-seiberg-witten-equations-vortices-and-moduli-4xurbjml2o>



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 1995

The coupled Seiberg-Witten equations, vortices, and moduli spaces of stable pairs

Okonek, C ; Teleman, A

Abstract: We introduce coupled Seiberg-Witten equations, and we prove, using a generalized vortex equation, that, for Kaehler surfaces, the moduli space of solutions of these equations can be identified with a moduli space of holomorphic stable pairs. In the rank 1 case, one recovers Witten's result identifying the space of irreducible monopoles with a moduli space of divisors. As application, we give a short proof of the fact that a rational surface cannot be diffeomorphic to a minimal surface of general type.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X95000390>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-22603>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Okonek, C; Teleman, A (1995). The coupled Seiberg-Witten equations, vortices, and moduli spaces of stable pairs. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 6(6):893-910.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X95000390>

The Coupled Seiberg-Witten Equations, Vortices, and Moduli Spaces of Stable Pairs

Christian Okonek* Andrei Teleman*

January, 13-th 1995

0 Introduction

Recently, Seiberg and Witten [W] introduced new invariants of 4-manifolds, which are defined by counting solutions of a certain non-linear differential equation.

The new invariants are expected to be equivalent to Donaldson's polynomial-invariants—at least for manifolds of simple type [KM 1]—and they have already found important applications, like e.g. in the proof of the Thom conjecture by Kronheimer and Mrowka [KM 2].

Nevertheless, the equations themselves remain somewhat mysterious, especially from a mathematical point of view.

The present paper contains our attempt to understand and to generalize the Seiberg-Witten equations by coupling them to connections in unitary vector bundles, and to relate their solutions to more familiar objects, namely stable pairs.

Fix a Spin^c -structure on a Riemannian 4-manifold X , and denote by Σ^\pm the associated spinor bundles. The equations which we will study are:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{A,b}\Psi & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) & = & (\Psi\bar{\Psi})_0 \end{cases}$$

*Partially supported by: AGE-Algebraic Geometry in Europe, contract No ER-BCHRXCT940557 (BBW 93.0187), and by SNF, nr. 21-36111.92

This is a system of equations for a pair (A, Ψ) consisting of a unitary connection in a unitary bundle E over X , and a positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$. The symbol b denotes a connection in the determinant line bundle of the spinor bundles Σ^\pm and $\mathcal{D}_{A,b} : \Sigma^+ \otimes E \rightarrow \Sigma^- \otimes E$ is the Dirac operator obtained by coupling the connection in Σ^+ defined by b (and by the Levi-Civita connection in the tangent bundle) with the variable connection A in E .

These equations specialize to the original Seiberg-Witten equations if E is a line bundle. We show that the coupled equations can be interpreted as a differential version of the generalized vortex equations [JT].

Vortex equations over Kähler manifolds have been investigated by Bradlow [B1], [B2] and by Garcia-Prada [G1], [G2]: Given a pair (\mathcal{E}, φ) consisting of a holomorphic vector bundle with a section, the vortex equations ask for a Hermitian metric h in \mathcal{E} with prescribed mean curvature: more precisely, the equations—which depend on a real parameter τ —are

$$i\Lambda F_h = \frac{1}{2}(\tau \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}} - \varphi \otimes \varphi^*).$$

A solution exists if and only if the pair (\mathcal{E}, φ) satisfies a certain stability condition (τ -stability), and the moduli space of vortices can be identified with the moduli space of τ -stable pairs. A GIT construction of the latter space has been given by Thaddeus [T] and Bertram [B] if the base manifold is a projective curve, and by Huybrechts and Lehn [HL1], [HL2] in the case of a projective variety. Other constructions have been given by Bradlow and Daskalopoulos [BD1], [BD2] in the case of a Riemann surface, and by Garcia-Prada for compact Kähler manifolds [G2]. In this connection also [BD2] is relevant. In this note we prove the following result:

Theorem 0.1 *Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface of total scalar curvature σ_g , and let Σ be the canonical Spin^c -structure with associated Chern connection c . Fix a unitary vector bundle E of rank r over X , and define $\mu_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) := \frac{\deg_g(E)}{r} + \sigma_g$.*

Then for $\mu_g < 0$, the space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation is isomorphic to the moduli space of stable pairs of topological type E , with parameter σ_g .

If the constant $\mu_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ is positive, one simply replaces the bundle E with $E^\vee \otimes K_X$, where K_X denotes the canonical line bundle of X (cf. Lemma 3.1).

Note that the above theorem gives a complex geometric interpretation of the moduli space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation associated to all Spin^c -structures on X : The change of the Spin^c -structure is equivalent to tensoring E with a line bundle.

Notice also that in the special case $r = 1$ one recovers Witten's result identifying the space of irreducible monopoles on a Kähler surface with the set of all divisors associated to line bundles of a fixed topological type; the stability condition which he mentions is the rank-1 version of the stable pair-condition.

Having established this correspondence, we describe some of the basic properties of the moduli spaces, and give a first application: We show that minimal surfaces of general type cannot be diffeomorphic to rational ones. This provides a short proof of one of the essential steps in Friedman and Qin's proof of the Van de Ven conjecture [FQ]. More detailed investigations and applications will appear in a later paper.

We like to thank A. Van de Ven for very helpful questions and remarks.

1 Spin^c -structures and almost canonical classes

The complex spinor group is defined as $\text{Spin}^c := \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$, and there are two non-split exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c & \longrightarrow & \text{SO} \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c & \longrightarrow & S^1 \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

In dimension 4, $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ can be identified with the subgroup of $U(2) \times U(2)$ consisting of pairs of unitary matrices with the same determinant, and one has two commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 1 & & 1 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}(4) & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(4) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spin}^c(4) & \longrightarrow & \text{SO}(4) \longrightarrow 1 \quad (1) \\ & & \downarrow (\cdot)^2 & & \det \downarrow & \nearrow \Delta & \uparrow \\ & & S^1 & = & S^1 & \longleftarrow & U(2) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \xleftarrow{\det} & \\ & & 1 & & 1 & & \end{array}$$

where $\Delta : \mathrm{U}(2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}^c(4) \subset \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2)$ acts by $a \longrightarrow \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \det a \end{pmatrix}, a \right)$,
and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & & & 1 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & \mathrm{SO}(4) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow (\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \\
1 & \rightarrow & 1 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Spin}^c(4) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{SO}(4) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow (\lambda^+, \lambda^-) \\
& & S^1 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} & \mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(3) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & S^1 \times S^1 & \rightarrow & \mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ad}} & \mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(3) & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & S^1 & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^2} & S^1 & \rightarrow & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 1 & & 1
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda^\pm : \mathrm{SO}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$ are induced by the two projections of $\mathrm{Spin}(4) = \mathrm{SU}(2)^+ \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^-$ [HH]. λ^\pm can be also be seen as the representations of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ in $\Lambda_\pm^2(\mathbb{R}^4) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ induced by the canonical representation in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Let X be a closed, oriented 4-manifold. Given any principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -bundle P over X , we denote by P^\pm the induced principal $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -bundles. If \hat{P} is a $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle, we let Σ^\pm be the associated $\mathrm{U}(2)$ -vector bundles, and we set (via the vertical determinant-map in (1)) $\det(\hat{P}) = L$, so that $\det(\Sigma^\pm) = L$.

Lemma 1.1 *Let P be a principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -bundle over X with characteristic classes $w_2(P) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and $p_1(P), e(P) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then*

i) P lifts to a principal $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle \hat{P} iff $w_2(P)$ lifts to an integral cohomology class.

ii) Given a class $L \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $w_2(P) \equiv \bar{L} \pmod{2}$, the $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -lifts \hat{P} of P with $\det \hat{P} = L$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the 2-torsion elements in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

iii) Let \hat{P} be a $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -principal bundle with $P \simeq \hat{P}/S^1$, and let $L = \det \hat{P}$. Then the Chern classes of Σ^\pm are:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(\Sigma^\pm) &= L \\
c_2(\Sigma^\pm) &= \frac{1}{4} (L^2 - p_1(P) \mp 2e(P))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: [HH] and the diagrams above. ■

Consider now a Riemannian metric g on X , and let P be the associated principal $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -bundle. In this case the real vector bundles associated to P^\pm via the standard representations are the bundles Λ_\pm^2 of (anti-) self-dual 2-forms on X .

The integral characteristic classes of P are given by $p_1(P) = 3\sigma$ and $e(P) = e$, where σ and e denote the signature and the Euler number of the oriented manifold X . Furthermore, $w_2(P)$ always lifts to an integral class, the lifts are precisely the characteristic elements in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, i.e. the classes L with $x^2 \equiv x \cdot L$ for every $x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ [HH].

Let T_X be the tangent bundle of X , and denote by Λ^p the bundle of p -forms on X . The choice of a $\mathrm{Spin}^c(4)$ -lift \hat{P} of P with associated $\mathrm{U}(2)$ -vector bundles Σ^\pm defines a vector bundle isomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-)$. There is also a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism $(\cdot)^\# : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^+, \Sigma^-) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Sigma^-, \Sigma^+)$ which satisfies the identity:

$$\gamma(u)^\# \gamma(v) + \gamma(v)^\# \gamma(u) = 2g^{\mathbb{C}}(u, v) \mathrm{id}_{\Sigma^+},$$

and $\gamma(u)^\# = \gamma(u)^* = g(u, u) \gamma(u)^{-1}$ for real non-vanishing cotangent vectors u .

It is convenient to extend the homomorphisms $\gamma(u)$ to endomorphisms of the direct sum $\Sigma := \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$. Putting $\gamma(u)|_{\Sigma^-} := -\gamma(u)^\#$, we obtain a vector-bundle homomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_0(\Sigma)$, which maps the bundle Λ^1 of real 1-forms into the bundle of trace-free skew-Hermitian endomorphisms of Σ . With this convention, we get:

$$\gamma(u) \circ \gamma(v) + \gamma(v) \circ \gamma(u) = -2g^{\mathbb{C}}(u, v) \mathrm{id}_\Sigma.$$

Consider the induced homomorphism

$$\Gamma : \Lambda^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}_0(\Sigma)$$

defined on decomposable elements by

$$\Gamma(u \wedge v) := \frac{1}{2}[\gamma(u), \gamma(v)].$$

The restriction $\Gamma|_{\Lambda^2}$ identifies the bundle Λ^2 with the bundle $\mathrm{ad}_0(\hat{P}) \simeq \mathrm{ad}(P)$ of skew-symmetric endomorphisms of the tangent bundle of X .

Λ^2 splits as an orthogonal sum $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$ and Γ maps the bundle $\Lambda_{\pm}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (respectively Λ_{\pm}^2) isomorphically onto the bundle $\text{End}_0(\Sigma^{\pm}) \subset \text{End}(\Sigma)$ ($su(\Sigma^{\pm}) \subset su(\Sigma)$) of trace-free (trace free skew-Hermitian) endomorphisms of Σ^{\pm} .

We give now an explicit description of the two spinor bundles Σ^{\pm} and of the map Γ in the case of a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure coming from an almost Hermitian structure.

Definition 1.2 *A characteristic element $K \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an almost canonical class if $K^2 = 3\sigma + 2e$.*

Such classes exist on X if and only if X admits an almost complex structure. More precisely:

Proposition 1.3 (Wu) *$K \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an almost canonical class if and only if there exists an almost complex structure J on X which is compatible with the orientation, such that $K = c_1(\Lambda_J^{1,0})$.*

Proof: [HH] ■

Here we denote, as usual, by Λ_J^{pq} the bundle of (p, q) -forms defined by the almost complex structure J .

Notice that any almost complex structure J on X can be deformed into a g -orthogonal one, and that J is g -orthogonal iff g is J -Hermitian. The choice of a g -orthogonal almost complex structure J on X corresponds to a reduction of the $\text{SO}(4)$ -bundle P of X to a $U(2)$ -bundle via the inclusion $U(2) \subset \text{SO}(4)$; since the inclusion factors through the embedding $\Delta : U(2) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(4)$ (see diagram (1)), this reduction defines a unique $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -bundle \hat{P}_J over X . By construction we have $\hat{P}_J/S^1 \simeq P$, and $\det \hat{P}_J = -K$.

Proposition 1.4 *Let J be a g -orthogonal almost complex structure on X , compatible with the orientation.*

i) The spinor bundles Σ_J^{\pm} of \hat{P}_J are:

$$\Sigma_J^+ \simeq \Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda_J^{0,2}, \quad \Sigma_J^- \simeq \Lambda_J^{0,1}.$$

ii) The map $\Gamma : \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}_0(\Sigma_J^+)$ is given by

$$\Lambda_J^{2,0} \oplus \Lambda_J^{0,2} \oplus \Lambda^{0,0} \omega_g \ni (\lambda^{2,0}, \lambda^{0,2}, \omega_g) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} 2 \begin{bmatrix} -i & - * (\lambda^{2,0} \wedge \cdot) \\ \lambda^{0,2} \wedge \cdot & i \end{bmatrix} \in \text{End}_0(\Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}).$$

Proof: i) $c_1(\Sigma_J^+) = c_1(\Sigma_J^-) = -K$, $c_2(\Sigma_J^+) = \frac{1}{4}[K^2 - 3\sigma - 2e]$, $c_2(\Sigma_J^-) = \frac{1}{4}[K^2 - 3\sigma + 2e] = c_2(\Sigma^+) + e$, and $U(2)$ -bundles on a 4-manifold are classified by their Chern classes.

ii) With respect to a suitable choice of the isomorphisms i), the Clifford map γ acts by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(u)(\varphi + \alpha) &= \sqrt{2}(\varphi u^{01} - i\Lambda_g u^{10} \wedge \alpha), \\ \gamma(u)^\#(\theta) &= \sqrt{2}(i\Lambda_g(u^{10} \wedge \theta) - u^{01} \wedge \theta),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where $\Lambda_g : \Lambda_J^{pq} \longrightarrow \Lambda_J^{p-1, q-1}$ is the adjoint of the map $\cdot \wedge \omega_g$ [H1]. \blacksquare

2 The coupled Seiberg-Witten equations

Let P be the principal $SO(4)$ -bundle associated with the tangent bundle of the oriented, closed Riemannian 4-manifold (X, g) , and fix a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ structure \hat{P} over P with $L = \det(\hat{P})$. The choice of a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -connection in \hat{P} projecting onto the Levi-Civita connection in P is equivalent to the choice of a connection b in the unitary line bundle L [H1]. We denote by $B(b)$ the $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -connection in \hat{P} corresponding to b , and also the induced connection in the vector bundle $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \oplus \Sigma^-$. The curvature $F_{B(b)}$ of the connection $B(b)$ in Σ has the form

$$F_{B(b)} = \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_\Sigma + F_g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_{\Sigma^+} + F_g^+ & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_{\Sigma^-} + F_g^- \end{bmatrix},$$

where F_g , and F_g^\pm denote the Riemannian curvature operator, and its components with respect to the splitting $\text{ad}(P) = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$.

Let now E be an arbitrary Hermitian bundle of rank r over X , and A a connection in E . We denote by A_b the induced connection in the tensor product $\Sigma \otimes E$, and by $\mathcal{D}_{A,b} : A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \longrightarrow A^0(\Sigma \otimes E)$ the associated Dirac operator. $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}$ is defined as the composition:

$$A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\nabla_{A_b}} A^1(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{m} A^0(\Sigma \otimes E)$$

where m is the Clifford multiplication $m(u, \sigma \otimes e) := \gamma(u)(\sigma) \otimes e$. $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}$ is an elliptic, self-adjoint operator and its square $\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2$ is related to the usual Laplacian $\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b}$ by the Weitzenböck formula

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 = \nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} + \Gamma(F_{A_b}).$$

Here $\Gamma(F_{A_b}) \in A^0(\text{End}(\Sigma \otimes E))$ is the Hermitian endomorphism defined as the composition

$$A^0(\Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{F_{A_b}} A^0(\Lambda^2 \otimes \Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} A^0(\text{End}_0(\Sigma) \otimes \Sigma \otimes E) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} A^0(\Sigma \otimes E).$$

We set $F_{A,b} := F_A + \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_E \in A^0(\Lambda^2 \otimes \text{End}(E))$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let s be the scalar curvature of the Riemannian 4-manifold (X, g) . Fix a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure on X and choose connections b and A in L and E respectively. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 = \nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} + \Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}.$$

Proof: Since $\Gamma(F_g) = \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma}$ [H1], and $F_{A_b} = F_{B(b)} \otimes \text{id}_E + \text{id}_{\Sigma} \otimes F_A = \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_{\Sigma} \otimes \text{id}_E + F_g \otimes \text{id}_E + \text{id}_{\Sigma} \otimes F_A = \text{id}_{\Sigma} \otimes (F_A + \frac{1}{2}F_b \text{id}_E) + F_g \text{id}_E$, we find $\Gamma(F_{A_b}) = \Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}$. \blacksquare

Remark 2.2 *One has a Bochner-type result for spinors Ψ on which $\Gamma(F_{A,b}) + \frac{s}{4} \text{id}_{\Sigma \otimes E}$ is positive: Such a spinor is harmonic if and only if it is parallel [H1].*

Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the pointwise inner product on $\Sigma \otimes E$, $|\cdot|$ the associated pointwise norm, and $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding L^2 -norm. For a spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^{\pm} \otimes E)$ we define $(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \in A^0(\text{End}_0(\Sigma^{\pm} \otimes E))$ as the image of the Hermitian endomorphism $\Psi \otimes \bar{\Psi} \in A^0(\text{End}(\Sigma^{\pm} \otimes E))$ under the projection $\text{End}(\Sigma^{\pm} \otimes E) \longrightarrow \text{End}_0(\Sigma^{\pm}) \otimes \text{End}(E)$.

Corollary 2.3 *With the notations above, we have*

$$(\mathcal{D}_{A,b}^2 \Psi, \Psi) = (\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} \Psi, \Psi) + (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^+), (\Psi_+ \bar{\Psi}_+)_0) + (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^-), (\Psi_- \bar{\Psi}_-)_0) + \frac{s}{4} |\Psi|^2,$$

where $(F_{A,b}^-) F_{A,b}^+$ is the (anti-)self-dual component of $F_{A,b}$.

Proof: Indeed, since $\Gamma(F_{A,b}^{\pm})$ vanishes on Σ^{\mp} and is trace free with respect to Σ^{\pm} , the inner product $(\Gamma(F_{A,b}), (\Psi \bar{\Psi}))$ in the Weitzenböck formula simplifies for a spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^{\pm} \otimes E)$:

$$(\Gamma(F_{A,b}), (\Psi \bar{\Psi})) = (\Gamma(F_{A,b}^{\pm}), (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0)$$

■

For a positive spinor $\Psi \in A^0(E \otimes \Sigma^+)$, the following important identity follows immediately:

$$(\not{D}_{A,b}^2 \Psi, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2} |\Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 = (\nabla_{A_b}^* \nabla_{A_b} \Psi, \Psi) + \frac{1}{2} |F_{A,b}^+|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 + \frac{s}{4} |\Psi|^2 \quad (4)$$

If we integrate both sides of (4) over X , we get:

Proposition 2.4 *Let (X, g) be an oriented, closed Riemannian 4-manifold with scalar curvature s , E a Hermitian bundle over X . Choose a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure on X and a connection b in the determinant line bundle $L = \det(\Sigma^+) = \det(\Sigma^-)$. Let A be a connection in E . For any $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \not{D}_{A,b} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 = \\ & = \| \nabla_{A_b} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| F_{A,b}^+ \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_X s |\Psi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We introduce now our coupled variant of the Seiberg-Witten equations. The unknown is a pair (A, Ψ) consisting of a connection in the Hermitian bundle E and a section $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$. The equations ask for the vanishing of the left-hand side in the above formula.

$$\begin{cases} \not{D}_{A,b} \Psi & = & 0 \\ \Gamma(F_{A,b}^+) & = & (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \end{cases} \quad (SW)$$

Proposition 2.4 and the inequality $|(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} |\Psi|^4$ give immediately:

Remark 2.5 *If the scalar curvature s is nonnegative on X , then the only solutions of the equations are the pairs $(A, 0)$, with $F_{A,b}^+ = 0$.*

If L is the square of a line bundle $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and if we choose a connection $b^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in $L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with square b , then $F_{A,b}$ is simply the curvature of the connection $A_{b^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ in $E \otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The solution of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations on a manifold with $s \geq 0$ are in this case just $U(r)$ -instantons on $E \otimes L^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In the case of a Kähler surface (X, g) , the coupled Seiberg-Witten equation can be reformulated in terms of complex geometry. The point is that if

we consider the canonical $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure associated to the Kähler structure, the Dirac operator has a very simple form [H1]. The determinant of this $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure is the anti-canonical bundle K_X^\vee of the surface, which comes with a holomorphic structure and a natural metric inherited from the holomorphic tangent bundle.

Let c be the Chern connection in K_X^\vee . With this choice, the induced connection $B(c)$ in $\Sigma = \Lambda^{00} \oplus \Lambda^{02} \oplus \Lambda^{01}$ coincides with the connection defined by the Levi-Civita connection. Recall that on a Kähler manifold, the almost complex structure is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, so that the splitting of the exterior algebra $\bigoplus_p \Lambda^p \otimes \mathbb{C}$ becomes parallel, too.

Proposition 2.6 *Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface with Chern connection c in K_X^\vee . Choose a connection A in a Hermitian vector bundle E over X and a section $\Psi = \varphi + \alpha \in A^0(E) + A^0(\Lambda^{02} \otimes E)$.*

The pair (A, Ψ) satisfies the Seiberg-Witten equations iff the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{A,c}^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \\ F_{A,c}^{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} \\ i\Lambda_g F_{A,c} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi &= i\Lambda_g \partial_A \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Proof: The Dirac operator is in this case $\not{D}_{A,c} = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial}_A - i\Lambda_g \partial_A)$, and the endomorphism $(\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0$ has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) & *(\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \wedge \cdot) \\ \alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} & -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\Gamma(F_{A,c}^+) = \Gamma(F_{A,c}^{20} + F_{A,c}^{02} + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} \cdot \omega_g)$ equals

$$2 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} & -*(F_{A,c}^{20} \wedge \cdot) \\ F_{A,c}^{20} \wedge \cdot & \frac{i}{2}\Lambda_g F_{A,c} \end{bmatrix},$$

the equivalence of the two systems of equations follows. ■

3 Monopoles on Kähler surfaces and the generalized vortex equation

Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface with canonical $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and Chern connection c in the anti-canonical bundle K_X^\vee .

We fix a unitary vector bundle E of rank r over X , and define $J(E) := \deg_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$, i.e. $J(E) = 2r(\mu_g(E) - \frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K_X))$, where μ_g denotes the slope with respect to ω_g .

Every spinor $\Psi \in A^0(\Sigma^+ \otimes E)$ has the form $\Psi = \varphi + \alpha$ with $\varphi \in A^0(E)$ and $\alpha \in A^0(\Lambda^{02} \otimes E)$.

We have seen that the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations are equivalent to the system:

$$\begin{cases} F_{A,c}^{20} &= -\frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} \\ F_{A,c}^{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} \\ i\Lambda_g F_{A,c} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi &= i\Lambda_g \partial_A \alpha \end{cases} \quad (SW^*)$$

Lemma 3.1

A. Suppose $J(E) < 0$:

A pair $(A, \varphi + \alpha)$ is a solution of the system (SW^*) if and only if

- i) $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$
- ii) $\alpha = 0, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$
- iii) $i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{sid}_E = 0$.

B. Suppose $J(E) > 0$, and put $a := \bar{\alpha} \in A^{20}(\bar{E}) = A^0(E^\vee \otimes K_X)$:

A pair $(A, \varphi + \bar{a})$ is a solution of the system (SW^*) if and only if

- i) $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$
- ii) $\varphi = 0, \bar{\partial}_A a = 0$
- iii) $i\Lambda_g F_A - \frac{1}{2}*(a \otimes \bar{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\text{sid}_E = 0$.

Proof: (cf. [W]) The splitting $\Sigma^+ \otimes E = \Lambda^{00} \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^{02} \otimes E$ is parallel with respect to $\nabla_{A,c}$, so that, by Proposition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \mathcal{D}_{A,c} \Psi \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| \Gamma(F_{A,c}^+) - (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 = \\ & = \| \nabla_{A,c} \varphi \|^2 + \| \nabla_{A,c} \alpha \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| F_{A,c}^+ \|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \| (\Psi \bar{\Psi})_0 \|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_X s(|\varphi|^2 + |\alpha|^2). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is invariant under the transformation $(A, \varphi, \alpha) \mapsto (A, \varphi, -\alpha)$, hence any solution $(A, \varphi + \alpha)$ must have $F_A^{20} = F_A^{02} = 0$ and $\varphi \otimes \bar{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes \bar{\varphi} = 0$; the latter implies obviously $\alpha = 0$ or $\varphi = 0$. Integrating the trace of the equation $i\Lambda F_{A,c} = -\frac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha}))$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} J(E) &= c_1(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) \cup [\omega_g] = (2c_1(E) - rc_1(K_X)) \cup [\omega_g] = \\ &= 2 \int_X \frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr}(F_{A,c}) \wedge \omega_g = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_X \text{Tr}(i\Lambda F_{A,c}) \omega_g^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X \text{Tr}(-\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + *(\alpha \otimes \bar{\alpha})) \omega_g^2 \end{aligned}$$

This equation shows that we must have $\alpha = 0$, if $J(E) < 0$, and $\varphi = 0$, if $J(E) > 0$. Notice that, replacing E by $E^\vee \otimes K_X$, the second case reduces to the first one.

The assertion follows now immediately from the identity $i\Lambda_g F_c = s$. ■

Notice that the last equation

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_E = 0$$

has the form of a generalized vortex equation as studied by Bradlow [B1], [B2] and by Garcia-Prada [G2]; it is precisely the vortex equation with constant $\tau = -s$, if (X, g) has constant scalar curvature.

Let s_m be the mean scalar curvature defined by $\int_X s \omega_g^2 = s_m \int_X \omega_g^2 = 2s_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$.

We are going to prove that the system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_A^2 & = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi & = 0 \\ i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\text{id}_E & = 0 \end{cases}$$

for the pair (A, φ) consisting of a unitary connection in E , and a section in E , is always equivalent to the vortex system with parameter $\tau = -s_m$, i.e. to the system obtained by replacing the third equation with

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}s_m \text{id}_E = 0.$$

”Equivalent” means here that the corresponding moduli spaces of solutions are naturally isomorphic.

Let generally t be a smooth real function on X with mean value t_m , and consider the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_A^2 & = 0 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \varphi & = 0 \\ i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}t \text{id}_E & = 0 \end{cases} \quad (V_t)$$

(V_t) is defined on the space $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$, where $\mathcal{A}(E)$ is the space of unitary connections in E . The product $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$ (completed with respect to sufficiently large Sobolev indices) carries a natural L^2 Kähler metric \tilde{g} and a natural right action of the gauge group $U(E)$: $(A, \varphi)^f := (A^f, f^{-1}\varphi)$, where $d_{A^f} := f^{-1} \circ d_A \circ f$.

For every real function t let

$$m_t : \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \longrightarrow A^0(\text{ad}(E))$$

be the map given by $m_t := \Lambda_g F_A - \frac{i}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} + \frac{i}{2}t \text{id}_E$.

Proposition 3.2 *m_t is a moment map for the action of $U(E)$ on $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$.*

Proof: Let $a^\#$ be the vector field on $\mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E)$ associated with the infinitesimal transformation $a \in A^0(\text{ad}(E)) = \text{Lie}(U(E))$, and define the real function $m_t^a : \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$m_t^a(x) = \langle m_t(x), a \rangle_{L^2}.$$

We have to show that m_t satisfies the identities:

$$\iota_{a^\#} \omega_{\tilde{g}} = dm_t^a, \quad m_t^a \circ f = m^{\text{ad}_f(a)} \quad \text{for all } a \in A^0(\text{ad}(E)), \quad f \in U(E).$$

It is well known that, in general, a moment map for a group action in a symplectic manifold is well defined up to a constant central element in the Lie algebra of the group. In our case, the center of the Lie algebra $A^0(\text{ad}(E))$ of the gauge group is just $iA^0 \text{id}_E$, hence it suffices to show that m_0 is a moment map. This has already been noticed by Garcia-Prada [G1], [G2]. \blacksquare

Note also that in our case every moment map has the form m_t for some function t , which shows that from the point of view of symplectic geometry, the natural equations are the generalized vortex equations (V_t) .

In order to show that Bradlow's main result [B2] also holds for the generalized system (V_t) , we have to recall some definitions.

Let \mathcal{E} be a holomorphic vector bundle of topological type E , and let $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{E})$ be a holomorphic section. The pair (\mathcal{E}, φ) is λ -stable with respect to a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ iff the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}) < \lambda$ and $\mu_g(\mathcal{F}) < \lambda$ for all reflexive subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) < r$.
- (2) $\mu_g(\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{F}) > \lambda$ for all reflexive subsheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$ with $0 < \text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) < r$ and $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{F})$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let (X, g) be a closed Kähler manifold, $t \in A^0$ a real function, and (\mathcal{E}, φ) a holomorphic pair over X . Set $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$. \mathcal{E} admits a Hermitian metric h such that the associated Chern connection A_h satisfies the vortex equation*

$$i\Lambda_g F_A + \frac{1}{2}\varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi} - \frac{1}{2}t \text{id}_E = 0$$

iff one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) (\mathcal{E}, φ) is λ -stable
- (ii) \mathcal{E} admits a splitting $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \oplus \mathcal{E}''$ with $\varphi \in H^0(\mathcal{E}')$ such that (\mathcal{E}', φ) is λ -stable, and \mathcal{E}'' admits a weak Hermitian-Einstein metric with factor $\frac{t}{2}$. In particular \mathcal{E}'' is polystable of slope λ .

Proof: In the case of a constant function $t = \tau$, the theorem was proved by Bradlow [B2], and his arguments work in the general context, too: The fact that the existence of a solution of the vortex equation implies (i) or (ii) follows by replacing the constant τ in [B2] everywhere with the function t . The difficult part consists in showing that every λ -stable pair (\mathcal{E}, φ) admits a metric h such that (A_h, φ) satisfies the vortex equation (V_t) . To this end let $\text{Met}(E)$ be the space of Hermitian metrics in E , and fix a background metric $k \in \text{Met}(E)$. Bradlow constructs a functional $M_{\varphi, \tau}(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Met}(E) \times \text{Met}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex in the second argument, such that any critical point of $M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, \cdot)$ is a solution of the vortex equation; the point is then to find an absolute minimum of $M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, \cdot)$. The existence of an absolute minimum follows from the following basic C^0 estimate:

Lemma 3.4 (Bradlow) *Let $\text{Met}_2^p(E, B) := \{h = ke^a \mid a \in L_p^2(\text{End}(E)), a^{*k} = a, \|\mu_t(A_h, \varphi)\|_{L^p} \leq B\}$. If (\mathcal{E}, φ) is $\frac{\tau}{4\pi} \text{Vol}_g(X)$ -stable, then there exist positive*

constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$\sup |a| \leq C_1 M_{\varphi, \tau}(k, ke^a) + C_2,$$

for all k -Hermitian endomorphisms $a \in L_p^2(\text{End}(E))$. Moreover, any absolute minimum of $M_{\varphi, t}(k, \cdot)$ on $\text{Met}_2^p(E, B)$ is a critical point of $M_{\varphi, t}(k, \cdot)$, and gives a solution of the vortex equation V_τ .

Let now t be a real function on X , and choose a solution v of the Laplace equation $i\Lambda_g \bar{\partial} \partial v = \frac{1}{2}(t - t_m)$. If we make the substitution $h = h'e^v$, then h solves the vortex equation (V_t) iff h' is a solution of

$$i\Lambda_g F_{h'} + \frac{1}{2}e^v \varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}^{h'} - \frac{1}{2}t_m \text{id}_E = 0.$$

Define $\mu_{t_m, v}(h') := i\Lambda_g F_{h'} + \frac{1}{2}e^v \varphi \otimes \bar{\varphi}^{h'} - \frac{1}{2}t_m \text{id}_E = 0$, and

$$M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, h) := M_D(k, h) + \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_h^2 - \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_k^2 - t_m \int_X \text{Tr}(\log(k^{-1}h)),$$

where M_D is the Donaldson functional [D]. Then it is not difficult to show that all arguments of Bradlow remain correct after replacing μ_{t_m} and M_{φ, t_m} with $\mu_{t_m, v}$ and $M_{\varphi, t_m, v}$ respectively. Indeed, let l be a positive bound from below for the map e^v . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\varphi, t_m}(k, ke^{a+\log l}) &\leq M_D(k, ke^a) + M_D(ke^a, lke^a) + \|l\varphi\|_h^2 - t_m \int_X \text{Tr} \log(lk^{-1}h) \\ &\leq M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^a) + \|e^{\frac{v}{2}} \varphi\|_k^2 + 2 \log l \deg_g(E) - rt_m \log l \text{Vol}_g(X) \\ &\leq M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^a) + C'(k, \varphi, v, l). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get constants $n > 0, C''$ and an inequality

$$M_{\varphi, t_m, v}(k, ke^{a+\log n}) \leq M_{\varphi, t_m}(k, ke^a) + C'',$$

which shows that the basic \mathcal{C}^0 estimate in the Lemma above holds for $M_{\varphi, t_m, v}$ iff it holds for Bradlow's functional M_{φ, t_m} . \blacksquare

Remark 3.5 *In the special case of a rank-1 bundle E , a much more elementary proof based on [B1] is possible.*

4 Moduli spaces of monopoles, vortices, and stable pairs

Let (X, g) be a closed Kähler manifold of arbitrary dimension, and fix a unitary vector bundle E of rank r over X . We denote by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E)$ the affine space of semiconnection of type $(0, 1)$ in E . the complex gauge group $GL(E)$ acts on $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$ from the right by $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)^g := (g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_A \circ g, g^{-1}\varphi)$; this action becomes complex analytic after suitable Sobolev completions. We denote by $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ the set of pairs $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$ with trivial isotropy group. Notice that $\varphi \neq 0$ when $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi) \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$, and that $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ is an open subset of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$, by elliptic semi-continuity [K].

The action of $GL(E)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)$ is free, by definition, and we denote the Hilbert manifold $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E)/_{GL(E)}$ by $\bar{\mathcal{B}}^s(E)$. The map $p : \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E) \longrightarrow A^{02}(\text{End}(E) \oplus A^{01}(E))$ defined by $p(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi) = (F_A^{02}, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi)$ is equivariant with respect to the natural actions of $GL(E)$, hence it gives rise to a section \hat{p} in the associated vector bundle $\bar{\mathcal{S}}(E) \times_{GL(E)} (A^{02}(\text{End}(E) \oplus A^{01}(E)))$ over $\bar{\mathcal{B}}^s(E)$. We define the moduli space of simple pairs of type E to be the zero-locus $Z(\hat{p})$ of this section. $Z(\hat{p})$ can be identified with the set of isomorphism classes consisting of a holomorphic bundle \mathcal{E} of differentiable type E , and a holomorphic section $\varphi \neq 0$, such that the kernel of the evaluation map $ev(\varphi) : H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{E})$ is trivial.

In a similar way we define the moduli space \mathcal{V}_t^g of gauge-equivalence classes of irreducible solutions of the generalized vortex equation V_t :

Let B^+ denote as usual the subbundle $((\Lambda^{02} + \Lambda^{20}) \cap \Lambda^2) \oplus \Lambda^0 \omega$ of the bundle Λ^2 of real 2-forms on X . We denote by \mathcal{D}^* the open subset of the product $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{A}(E) \times A^0(E) \simeq \bar{\mathcal{A}}(E) \times A^0(E)$ consisting of pairs with trivial isotropy group with respect to the action of the gauge group $U(E)$. The quotient $\mathcal{B}^*(E) := \mathcal{D}^*(E)/_{U(E)}$ comes with the structure of a real-analytic manifold.

Let $v : \mathcal{D}(E) \longrightarrow A^0(B^+ \otimes \text{ad}(E)) \oplus A^{01}(E)$ be the map given by:

$$v(A, \varphi) = (F^{20} + F^{02}, m_t(A, \varphi)\omega_g \text{id}_E, \bar{\partial}_A \varphi).$$

Again v is $U(E)$ -equivariant, and the moduli space \mathcal{V}_t^g of t -vortices is defined to be the zero-locus $Z(\hat{v})$ of the induced section \hat{v} of $\mathcal{D}^*(E) \times_{U(E)} A^0(B^+ \otimes \text{ad}(E)) \oplus A^{01}(E)$ over $\mathcal{B}^*(E)$.

Notice now that by Proposition 3.2, the second component v^2 of v is a moment map for the $U(E)$ action. It is easy to see that (at least in a neighbourhood of $Z(v) \cap \mathcal{D}^*$) it has the general property of a moment map in the finite dimensional Kähler geometry: Its zero locus $Z(v^2)$ is smooth and intersects every $GL(E)$ orbit along a $U(E)$ orbit, and the intersection is transversal. This means that the natural map $A \rightarrow \bar{\partial}_A$ defines in a neighbourhood of $Z(\hat{v}) \cap \mathcal{B}^*(E)$ an open embedding $i : Z(\hat{v}^2) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s$ of smooth Hilbert manifolds.

Regard now \mathcal{V}_t^g as the subspace of $Z(\hat{v}^2) \subset \mathcal{B}^*(E)$ defined by the equation $(\hat{v}^1, \hat{v}^3) = 0$. On the other hand, the pullback of the equation $\hat{p} = 0$, cutting out the moduli space $Z(\hat{p})$ of simple holomorphic pairs, via the open embedding i is precisely the equation $(\hat{v}^1, \hat{v}^3) = 0$, cutting out \mathcal{V}_t^g . We get therefore an open embedding $i_0 : \mathcal{V}_t^g \rightarrow Z(\hat{p})$ of real analytic spaces induced by i , and by Theorem 3.3 the image of i_0 consists of the set of λ -stable pairs, with $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$.

Finally we denote by $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda) \subset Z(\hat{p})$ the open subspace of λ -stable pairs, with the induced complex space-structure. Putting everything together, we have:

Theorem 4.1 *Let (X, g) be a closed Kähler manifold, $t \in A^0$ a real function, and $\lambda := \frac{1}{4\pi} t_m \text{Vol}_g(X)$. Fix a unitary vector bundle E of rank r over X . There are natural real-analytic isomorphisms of moduli spaces*

$$\mathcal{V}_t^g(E) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{t_m}^g(E) \simeq \mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda).$$

Let us come back now to the monopole equation (SW^*) on a Kähler surface. In this case the function t is the negative of the scalar curvature s , so that the corresponding constant λ becomes:

$$\lambda = \frac{-s_m}{4\pi} \text{Vol}_g(X) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X s \omega^2 = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_X (i\Lambda F_c) \omega^2 = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_X iF_c \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2} \mu_g(K).$$

This yields our main result:

Theorem 4.2 *Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface with canonical $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and Chern connection c in K_X^\vee . Fix a unitary vector bundle E of rank r over X , and suppose $J(E) = \text{deg}_g(\Sigma^+ \otimes E) < 0$. The moduli space of solutions of the coupled Seiberg-Witten equations is isomorphic to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K))$ of $\frac{1}{2}\mu_g(K)$ -stable pairs of topological type E .*

At this point it is natural to study the properties of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$. We do not want to go into details here, and we content ourselves by describing some of the basic steps.

The infinitesimal structure of the moduli space around a point $[(A, \varphi)]$ is given by a deformation complex $(C_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^*, d_{A, \varphi}^*)$ which is the cone over the evaluation map ev^* , $ev^q(\varphi) : A^{0q}(\text{End}(E)) \longrightarrow A^{0q}(E)$. More precisely $C_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^q = A^{0q}(\text{End}(E)) \oplus A^{0, q-1}(E)$ and the differential $d_{A, \varphi}^q$ is given by the matrix

$$d_{A, \varphi}^q = \begin{bmatrix} -\bar{D}_A & 0 \\ ev(\varphi) & \bar{\partial}_A \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\bar{\partial}_A$ and \bar{D}_A are the operators of the Dolbeault complexes $(A^{0*}(E), \bar{\partial}_A)$ and $(A^{0*}\text{End}(E), \bar{D}_A)$ respectively.

Associated to the morphism $ev^*(\varphi)$ is an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H^q(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_A)) \xrightarrow{ev^q(\varphi)} H^q(\mathcal{E}_A) \longrightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^{q+1} \longrightarrow H^{q+1}(\text{End}(\mathcal{E}_A)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

with finite dimensional vector spaces

$$H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^q = \ker(ev^q(\varphi)) \oplus \text{coker}(ev^{q-1}(\varphi)).$$

$H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^0$ vanishes for a simple pair $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$, and $H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^1$ is the Zariski tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$ at $[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]$.

A Kuranishi type argument yields local models of the moduli space, which can be locally described as the zero loci of holomorphic map germs

$$K_{[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]} : H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^1 \longrightarrow H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^2$$

at the origin.

One finds that $H_{\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi}^2 = 0$ is a sufficient smoothness criterion in the point $[\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi]$ of the moduli space, and that the expected dimension is $\chi(E) - \chi(\text{End}(E))$. The necessary arguments are very similar to the ones in [BD1], [BD2].

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^g(E, \lambda)$ will be quasi-projective varieties if the underlying manifold (X, g) is Hodge, i.e. if X admits a projective embedding such that a multiple of the Kähler class is a polarisation [G1].

A GIT construction for projective varieties of any dimension has been given in [HL2]. The spaces $\mathcal{M}_X^g(E, \lambda)$ vary with the parameter λ , and flip-phenomena occur just like in the case of curves [T].

5 Applications

The equations considered by Seiberg and Witten are associated to a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure, and correspond to the case when (in our notations) the unitary bundle E is the trivial line bundle. Alternatively, we can fix a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ structure \mathfrak{s}_0 on X , and regard the Seiberg-Witten equations corresponding to the other $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structures as *coupled* Seiberg-Witten equations associated to \mathfrak{s}_0 and to a unitary line bundle E . The $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure we fix will always be the canonical structure defined by a Kähler metric. In the most interesting case of rank-1 bundles E over Kähler surfaces the central result is:

Proposition 5.1 *Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface with canonical class K , and let L be a complex line bundle over X with $L \equiv K \pmod{2}$. Denote by $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$ the moduli space of solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equation for all $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structures with determinant L . Then*

- i) If $\mu(L) < 0$, $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$ is isomorphic to the space of all linear systems $|D|$, where D is a divisor with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = L$.*
- ii) If $\mu(L) > 0$, $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$ is isomorphic to the space of all linear systems $|D|$, where D is a divisor with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = -L$.*

Proof: Use Theorem 4.2 and Bradlow's description of the moduli spaces of stable pairs in the case of line bundles [B1]. ■

We have already noticed (Remark 2.5) that in the case of a Riemannian 4-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature s_g , the Seiberg-Witten equations have only reducible solutions. In the Kähler case, the same result can be obtained under the weaker assumption $\sigma_g \geq 0$ on the total scalar curvature.

Corollary 5.2 *Let (X, g) be a Kähler surface with nonnegative total scalar curvature σ_g . Then all solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equations in rank 1 are reducible. If moreover the surface has $K^2 > 0$, then for every almost canonical class L , the corresponding Seiberg-Witten equations are incompatible.*

Proof: The first assertion follows directly from the theorem, since the condition $\sigma_g \geq 0$ is equivalent to $K \cup [\omega_g] \leq 0$. For the second assertion, note that if L is an almost canonical class, then $L^2 = K^2 > 0$, hence (regarded as line bundle) it cannot admit anti-selfdual connections. ■

Remark 5.3 *The Seiberg-Witten invariants associated to almost canonical classes are well-defined for oriented, closed 4-manifolds X satisfying $3\sigma + 2e > 0$.*

Proof: Recall that if L is an almost canonical class, then the expected dimension of the moduli space of solutions of the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations [W, KM] corresponding to a $\text{Spin}^c(4)$ -structure of determinant L is 0. Seiberg and Witten associate to every such class L the number n_L of points (counted with the correct signs [W]) of such a moduli space chosen to be smooth and of the expected dimension. In the case $b_+ \geq 2$, using the same cobordism argument as in Donaldson theory, it follows that these numbers are well-defined, i.e. independent of the metric, provided the moduli space has the expected dimension [KM]. The point is that the space of L -good metrics [KM] (i.e. metrics with the property that the space of harmonic anti-selfdual forms does not contain the harmonic representative of $c_1^{\mathbb{R}}(L)$) is in this case path-connected. On the other hand, under the assumption $3\sigma + 2e > 0$, it follows that $L^2 > 0$ for any almost canonical class L , hence all metrics are L -good. ■

Proposition 5.4 *Let (X, H_0) be a polarised surface with K nef and big, and choose a Kähler metric g with Kähler class $[\omega_g] = H_0 + nK =: H$ for some $n \geq KH_0$. Then $\mathcal{W}_X^g(L)$ is empty for all almost canonical classes, except for $L = \pm K$, when it consists of a simple point.*

Proof: Let L be an almost canonical class with $LH < 0$. Suppose D is an effective divisor with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(2D - K)) = L$, so that $D(D - K) = 0$. Then $D^2 = DK \geq 0$ since K is nef. If D^2 were strictly positive, the Hodge index theorem would give $(D - K)^2 \leq 0$, i.e. $K^2 \leq D^2$. But from $LH < 0$ we get $0 > (2D - K)(H_0 + nK) = (2D - K)H_0 + n(2D^2 - K^2) \geq (2D - K)H_0 + n$, which leads to the contradiction $n < (K - 2D)H_0 \leq KH_0$. Therefore $D^2 = DK = 0$, so that, again by the Hodge index theorem, D must be numerically zero. Since D is effective, it must be empty, and $L = -K$.

Replacing L by $-L$ if L is an almost canonical class with $LH > 0$, we find $L = K$ in this case. The corresponding Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces are simple points in both cases, since $H_{\partial_A, \varphi}^2 = H^1(\mathcal{O}(D)|_D) = 0$. ■

Corollary 5.5 *There exists no orientation-preserving diffeomorphism between a rational surface and a minimal surface of general type.*

Proof: Indeed, any rational surface X admits a Hodge metric with positive total scalar curvature [H2]. If X was orientation-preservingly diffeomorphic to a minimal surface of general type, then $K^2 > 0$, hence the Seiberg-Witten invariants are well defined (Remark 5.3), and vanish by Corollary 5.2. Proposition 5.4 shows, however, that the Seiberg-Witten invariants of a minimal surface of general type are non-trivial for two almost canonical classes. ■

Witten has already proved [W] that for a minimal surface of general type with $p_g > 0$ ($b_+ \geq 2$), the only almost canonical classes which give non-trivial invariants are K and $-K$. Their proof uses the moduli space of solutions of the perturbation of the Seiberg-Witten equation with a holomorphic form. Proposition 5.4 shows that a stronger result can be obtained with the non-perturbed equations by choosing the Hodge metric $H = H_0 + nK$, $n \gg 0$.

For the proof of Corollary 5.5, we need in fact only the mod. 2 version of the Seiberg-Witten invariants [KM2].

Bibliography

- [AHS] Atiyah M., Hitchin N. J., Singer I. M.: *Selfduality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. R. Lond. A. 362, 425-461 (1978)
- [BPV] Barth, W., Peters, C., Van de Ven, A.: *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer Verlag (1984)
- [B] Bertram, A.: *Stable pairs and stable parabolic pairs*, J. Alg. Geometry 3, 703-724 (1994)
- [B1] Bradlow, S. B.: *Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. 135, 1-17 (1990)
- [B2] Bradlow, S. B.: *Special metrics and stability for holomorphic bundles with global sections*, J. Diff. Geom. 33, 169-214 (1991)
- [BD1] Bradlow, S. B.; Daskalopoulos, G.: *Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces I*, Intern. J. Math. 2, 477-513 (1991)
- [BD2] Bradlow, S. B.; Daskalopoulos, G.: *Moduli of stable pairs for holomorphic bundles over Riemann surfaces II*, Intern. J. Math. 4, 903-925 (1993)
- [D] Donaldson, S.: *Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 1-26 (1985)
- [DK] Donaldson, S.; Kronheimer, P.B.: *The Geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Science Publications (1990)
- [FM] Friedman, R.; Morgan, J.W.: *Smooth 4-manifolds and Complex Surfaces*, Springer Verlag 3. Folge, Band 27 (1994)
- [FQ] Friedman, R.; Qin, Z.: *On complex surfaces diffeomorphic to rational surfaces*, Preprint (1994)
- [G1] Garcia-Prada, O.: *Dimensional reduction of stable bundles, vortices and stable pairs*, Int. J. of Math. Vol. 5, No 1, 1-52 (1994)
- [G2] Garcia-Prada, O.: *A direct existence proof for the vortex equation over a compact Riemann surface*, Bull. London Math. Soc., 26, 88-96 (1994)
- [HH] Hirzebruch, F., Hopf H.: *Felder von Flächenelementen in 4-dimensionalen 4-Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. 136 (1958)
- [H1] Hitchin, N.: *Harmonic spinors*, Adv. in Math. 14, 1-55 (1974)
- [H2] Hitchin, N.: *On the curvature of rational surfaces*, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., Stanford, Vol. 27 (1975)
- [HL1] Huybrechts, D.; Lehn, M.: *Stable pairs on curves and surfaces*, J. Alg. Geometry 4, 67-104 (1995)

- [HL2] Huybrechts, D.; Lehn, M.: *Framed modules and their moduli*. Int. J. Math. 6, 297-324 (1995)
- [JT] Jaffe, A., Taubes, C.: *Vortices and monopoles*, Boston, Birkhäuser (1980)
- [K] Kobayashi, S.: *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, Princeton University Press (1987)
- [KM1] Kronheimer, P.; Mrowka, T.: *Recurrence relations and asymptotics for four-manifold invariants*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 30, 215 (1994)
- [KM2] Kronheimer, P.; Mrowka, T.: *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Preprint (1994)
- [OSS] Okonek, Ch.; Schneider, M.; Spindler, H.: *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Math. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston (1980)
- [Q] Qin, Z.: *Equivalence classes of polarizations and moduli spaces of stable locally free rank-2 sheaves*, J. Diff. Geom. 37, No 2 397-416 (1994)
- [S] Simpson, C. T.: *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 867-918 (1989)
- [T] Thaddeus, M.: *Stable pairs, linear systems and the Verlinde formula*, Invent. math. 117, 181-205 (1994)
- [UY] Uhlenbeck, K. K.; Yau, S. T.: *On the existence of Hermitian Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*, Comm. Pure App. Math. 3, 257-293 (1986)
- [W] Witten, E.: *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Mathematical Research Letters 1, 769-796 (1994)

Authors addresses:

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Zürich,
 Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich
 e-mail:okonek@math.unizh.ch
 teleman@math.unizh.ch