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#### Abstract

An algebraic method is given for a chosen plaintext cryptanalysis of the Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation's FEAL-4 block cipher. The method given uses 20 chosen plaintexts, but can be adapted to use as few as four chosen plaintexts.
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## 1. The FEAL-4 Ciphering Algorithm

The FEAL-N cryptosystem has been developed by N.T.T. as a highly programmingefficient block-cipher system, as it does not use look-up tables. It was first presented in [2]. It is essentially an N-round Feistel block cipher operating on 64-bit blocks and determined by a 64 -bit key. FEAL-8 is the standard block cipher, but N.T.T. intend that FEAL-4 can be used in cipher block chaining mode when plaintexts are not revealed, a cryptogram-only environment, or for data integrity usage. The best published attack on FEAL-4 was given by Den Boer [1], who used 10,000 chosen plaintexts to recover the key. We give a method that uses at most 20 chosen plaintexts to recover the key. Whereas it may be possible to ensure the absence of 10,000 chosen plaintexts, ensuring the absence of 20 plaintexts may well be too restrictive for most uses.

The functions used to construct FEAL-N are, for $i=0,1, S_{i}: \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$. These are defined for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$ by regarding $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ as binary numbers $x, y$ in the range $0, \ldots, 255$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\operatorname{Rot}_{2}(x+y+i \quad(\operatorname{Mod} 256)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Rot}_{2}$ is a 2 -bit rotation to the left. $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ are then used to define two functions, $f_{K}: \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$, which is used to process the key, and $f$ : $\mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32} \times \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{16} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$, which is used to encipher the plaintext.

[^0]Suppose $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$ for $i=0,1,2,3$, and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$, etc., then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}=f_{K}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined in the following manner:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}=a_{0} \oplus a_{1}, \\
& d_{2}=a_{2} \oplus a_{3}, \\
& c_{1}=S_{1}\left(d_{1}, d_{2} \oplus b_{0}\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
& c_{2}=S_{0}\left(d_{2}, c_{1} \oplus b_{1}\right), \\
& c_{0}=S_{0}\left(a_{0}, c_{1} \oplus b_{2}\right), \\
& c_{3}=S_{1}\left(a_{3}, c_{2} \oplus b_{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

A schematic representation of $f_{K}$ is given in Fig. 1.
The key is processed by using $f_{K}$ to obtain twelve 6-bit subkeys. This is done by splitting the 64-bit key $K$ into its left and right halves to give two 32-bit strings $K_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $K_{R}$. We can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{-2}=0, \quad B_{-1}=K_{\mathrm{L}}, \quad B_{0}=K_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $i=1, \ldots, 6$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=f_{K}\left(B_{i-2}, B_{i-1} \oplus B_{i-3}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The twelve 16 -bit subkeys, $K_{i}, i=0, \ldots, 11$, used in the enciphering process are then just the left and right halves of $B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2(i-1)}=B_{i}^{\mathrm{L}}, \quad K_{2 i-1}=B_{i}^{\mathrm{R}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 1. Function $f_{\mathrm{K}} \cdot Y=S_{0}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Rot}_{2}\left(\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) \bmod 256\right), Y=S_{1}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Rot}_{2}\left(\left(X_{1}+X_{2}+\right.\right.$ 1) $\bmod 256$ ), $Y$ : output ( 8 bits); $X_{1} / X_{2}\left(8\right.$ bits): inputs. $\operatorname{Rot}_{2}(Y)$ : a 2-bit left rotation on 8 -bit data $Y$.


Fig. 2. Function $f . S_{0} / S_{1}$ are the same as $S_{0} / S_{1}$ of $f_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Now suppose that $a_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$ for $i=0,1,2,3$, and also that $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$, with $\mathbf{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{16}$ and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$, etc., then we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}=f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}=a_{0} \oplus a_{1} \oplus b_{1}, \\
& d_{2}=a_{2} \oplus a_{3} \oplus b_{2}, \\
& c_{1}=S_{1}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \\
& c_{2}=S_{0}\left(d_{2}, c_{1}\right),  \tag{1.8}\\
& c_{0}=S_{0}\left(a_{0}, c_{1}\right), \\
& c_{3}=S_{1}\left(a_{3}, c_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 2 is a schematic diagram of $f$.
Suppose we wish to encode the 64-bit plaintext $P$. Firstly, we split $P$ into its left and right halves to give 32-bit strings $P_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}}$. From these we can calcualte $L_{0}$ and $R_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0}=P_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus\left(K_{4}, K_{5}\right) \\
& R_{0}=P_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus P_{\mathrm{R}} \oplus\left(K_{4}, K_{5}\right) \oplus\left(K_{6}, K_{7}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We then perform four rounds of Feistel cipher defined by $f$ and the keys $K_{0}, K_{1}$, $K_{2}, K_{3}$. Thus, for $i=1,2,3,4$, we calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{i}=R_{i-1}  \tag{1.10}\\
& R_{i}=L_{i-1} \oplus f\left(R_{i-1}, K_{i-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the enciphered message is $C=\left(C_{\mathrm{L}}, C_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\mathrm{L}}=R_{\mathbf{4}} \oplus\left(K_{8}, K_{9}\right),  \tag{1.11}\\
& C_{\mathrm{R}}=R_{\mathbf{4}} \oplus L_{\mathbf{4}} \oplus\left(K_{10}, K_{11}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, if we know the key, we can decode any cryptogram simply by following the above procedure in reverse.

## 2. Reformulation of FEAL-4 Algorithm

In order to attack the algorithm, we reformulate it by the method given by Den Boer [1]. Firstly, we define a function $G: \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$ that expresses the linear nature of $f$. Suppose $a_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$ for $i=0,1,2,3$, and $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right), \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$, etc., then we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}=G(\mathbf{a}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{1}=a_{0} \oplus a_{1}, \\
& d_{2}=a_{2} \oplus a_{3}, \\
& c_{1}=S_{1}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
& c_{2}=S_{0}\left(d_{2}, c_{1}\right), \\
& c_{0}=S_{0}\left(a_{0}, c_{1}\right), \\
& c_{3}=S_{1}\left(a_{3}, c_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

so clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=G\left(a_{0}, a_{1} \oplus b_{1}, a_{2} \oplus b_{2}, a_{3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore Fig. 2 is a schematic diagram of $G$ if we take $\beta_{0}=\beta_{1}=0$. The cryptanalysis of FEAL-4 will depend upon the fast solution of linear equations involving $G$. This is considered in the next section.

We finally need to define two further simple functions, $\theta_{\mathrm{L}}, \theta_{\mathbf{R}}: \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{\mathbf{3 2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{\mathbf{3 2}}$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\left(0, a_{0}, a_{1}, 0\right) \\
& \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\left(0, a_{2}, a_{3}, 0\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(B_{i}\right)=\left(0, K_{2(i-1)}, 0\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\left(B_{i}\right)=\left(0, K_{2 i-1}, 0\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These two functions can be used to define the following six 32-bit key-dependent constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1} & =B_{3} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
N_{1} & =B_{3} \oplus B_{4} \oplus \theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
M_{2} & =\theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(B_{1}\right) \oplus \theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(B_{2}\right),  \tag{2.6}\\
N_{2} & =\theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right) \oplus \theta_{\mathrm{R}}\left(B_{2}\right), \\
M_{3} & =B_{5} \oplus B_{6} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
N_{3} & =B_{5} \oplus \theta_{\mathrm{L}}\left(B_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the outer 16 bits in both $M_{2}$ and $N_{2}$ are zero.

We are now in a position to rewrite the FEAL-4 algorithm in the following manner:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{0} & =P_{\mathbf{L}} \oplus M_{1}=L_{0} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right) \\
Y_{0} & =P_{\mathbf{L}} \oplus P_{\mathbf{R}} \oplus N_{1}=R_{0} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{L}}\left(B_{1}\right)=L_{1} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{L}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
X_{1} & =X_{0} \oplus G\left(Y_{0}\right)=R_{1} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right)=L_{2} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right) \\
Y_{1} & =Y_{0} \oplus G\left(X_{1}\right)=R_{2} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{L}}\left(B_{1}\right)=L_{3} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{L}}\left(B_{1}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
X_{2} & =X_{1} \oplus G\left(Y_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right)=R_{3} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right)=L_{4} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{R}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
Y_{2} & =Y_{1} \oplus G\left(X_{2} \oplus N_{2}\right)=R_{4} \oplus \theta_{\mathbf{L}}\left(B_{1}\right), \\
C_{\mathrm{L}} & =Y_{2} \oplus N_{3} \\
C_{\mathbf{R}} & =X_{2} \oplus M_{3} \oplus C_{\mathbf{L}}
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we can decode a cryptogram by following the above procedure in reverse. Thus, if we can caluclate the 160 unknown bits in the constants $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, N_{1}$, $N_{2}, N_{3}$, we can decipher any cryptogram, and also use the key processing equations to recover the key.

## 3. The Fast Solution of Linear Equations Involving G

In order to find the constants $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ we need to solve equations involving the function $G$. The simplest such problems involve solving

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a})=\mathbf{b} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are known. We can solve this directly, since $S_{i}$ is an invertible function in the sense that we can solve $S_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a})=\mathbf{b}$ uniquely for $\mathbf{x}$. We can however give a general method to solve (3.1), irrespective of whether $S_{i}$ is an invertible function. There are two reasons for doing this, firstly to show that FEAL-4 is weak cipher no matter how $S_{i}$ is defined, and secondly to motivate the solution of linear equations involving $G$. Thus, suppose $G$ were not invertible, then the most naive method to solve (3.1) would be to calculate $G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a})$ for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{32}$. However this would require $2^{32}$ evaluations of $G$, that is $2^{34} S_{i}$ evaluations. However, suppose we check whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}\left(z_{1} \oplus a_{0} \oplus a_{1}, z_{2} \oplus a_{2} \oplus a_{3}\right)=b_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$. This will require $2^{16} S_{1}$ evaluations. For most values of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$,(3.2) will be false. For those values for which (3.2) is true, we can check whether

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{0}\left(b_{1}, z_{2} \oplus a_{2} \oplus a_{3}\right) & =b_{2} \\
S_{0}\left(b_{1}, x_{0} \oplus a_{0}\right) & =b_{0},  \tag{3.3}\\
S_{1}\left(b_{2}, x_{3} \oplus a_{3}\right) & =b_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for values of $x_{0}, x_{3} \in Z_{2}^{8}$, stopping when one of the equalities is false. If all the equations in (3.2) and (3.3) are true, then we can recover $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=z_{1} \oplus x_{0}, \quad x_{2}=z_{2} \oplus x_{3} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain solutions for $\mathbf{x}$.

Another equation we need to solve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{b})=\mathbf{d} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ are known constants. We can amend (3.2) and (3.3) to give the following equations to be checked for $z_{1}, z_{2}, x_{0}, x_{3} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}\left(z_{1} \oplus a_{0} \oplus a_{1}, z_{2} \oplus a_{2} \oplus a_{3}\right)=\alpha_{1}, \quad S_{1}\left(z_{1} \oplus b_{0} \oplus b_{1}, z_{2} \oplus b_{2} \oplus b_{3}\right)=\beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \oplus \beta_{1}=d_{1}, \\
S_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, z_{2} \oplus a_{2} \oplus a_{3}\right)=\alpha_{2}, \quad S_{0}\left(\beta_{1}, z_{2} \oplus b_{2} \oplus b_{3}\right)=\beta_{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\alpha_{2} \oplus \beta_{2}=d_{2} \\
S_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, x_{0} \oplus a_{0}\right) \oplus S_{0}\left(\beta_{1}, x_{0} \oplus b_{0}\right)=d_{0} \\
S_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}, x_{3} \oplus a_{3}\right) \oplus S_{1}\left(\beta_{2}, x_{3} \oplus b_{3}\right)=d_{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (3.4) then gives us solutions for $\mathbf{x}$. In this case, we need $2^{17}$ evaluations of $S_{1}$ to check the truth of $\alpha_{1} \oplus \beta_{1}=d_{1}$ for each $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}_{2}^{8}$.

Solving (3.5) will often give us too many solutions for $\mathbf{x}$ than we can efficiently handle, so instead we often solve simultaneous equations of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{b})=\mathbf{d}  \tag{3.7}\\
& G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{c})=\mathbf{e}
\end{align*}
$$

We can do this efficiently by checking whether the analagous pairs of simultaneous equations to (3.6) hold at every stage. This will require only $2^{18}$ evaluations of $S_{i}$ to check the first pair of simultaneous equations.

## 4. Choosing the Plaintexts

Let $P^{i}$ denote the $i$ th plaintext, $i=0, \ldots, 19$, with $P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i}$ and $P_{\mathrm{R}}^{i}$ being the left and right halves of $P^{i}$. Similarly suppose $C^{i}$ denotes the $i$ th coded plaintext having left and right halves $C_{\mathrm{L}}^{i}$ and $C_{\mathrm{R}}^{i}$. We can then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{i}=P_{\mathbf{L}}^{i} \oplus P_{\mathbf{R}}^{i} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{i}=C_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus C_{\mathbf{R}}^{i} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 20 plaintexts are then chosen according to the following rules:
(1) Choose $P^{0}, P^{12}, P^{14}, P^{16}, P^{17}, P^{18}, P^{19}$ randomly.
(2) Choose $P_{\mathrm{L}}^{5}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{6}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{7}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{8}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{9}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{10}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{11}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{13}, P_{\mathrm{L}}^{15}$ randomly.
(3) Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}=P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus 80800000 \\
& P_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}=P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus 00008080 \\
& P_{\mathrm{L}}^{3}=P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus 40400000  \tag{4.3}\\
& P_{\mathrm{L}}^{4}=P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus 00004040
\end{align*}
$$

(4) Define

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\mathrm{R}}^{i} & =P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus Q^{0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 11, \\
P_{\mathbf{R}}^{13} & =P_{\mathrm{L}}^{13} \oplus Q^{12},  \tag{4.4}\\
P_{\mathrm{R}}^{15} & =P_{\mathrm{L}}^{15} \oplus Q^{13} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have chosen seven plaintexts and nine half-plaintexts at random, that is 736 random bits out of a total of 1280 bits.

## 5. Cryptanalysis of FEAL-4

Referring to (2.7), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{1} & =Y_{0} \oplus G\left(X_{1}\right)=Y_{0} \oplus G\left(X_{0} \oplus G\left(Y_{0}\right)\right)=Y_{0} \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus M_{1} \oplus G\left(Y_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
& =Y_{2} \oplus G\left(X_{2} \oplus N_{2}\right)=C_{\mathrm{L}} \oplus N_{3} \oplus G\left(D \oplus M_{3} \oplus N_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathbf{L}} \oplus\left(Y_{0} \oplus N_{3}\right) \oplus G\left[P_{\mathbf{L}} \oplus\left(M_{1} \oplus G\left(Y_{0}\right)\right)\right] \oplus G\left[D \oplus\left(M_{3} \oplus N_{2}\right)\right]=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for a particular plaintext $P^{i}, i=0, . ., 19$, we can define

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{i}=Y_{0}^{i} \oplus N_{3} \\
& V^{i}=M_{1} \oplus G\left(Y_{0}^{i}\right),  \tag{5.3}\\
& W=M_{3} \oplus N_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

so (5.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus U^{i} \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus V^{i}\right) \oplus G\left(D^{i} \oplus W\right)=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for $i=0, \ldots, 11, Y_{0}=Q^{i} \oplus N_{1}$ and $G\left(Y_{0}\right)$ is constant, and hence $U^{i}=U^{0}$ and $V^{i}=V^{0}$, and so we can rewrite (5.4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus U^{0} \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(D^{i} \oplus W\right)=0, \quad i=0, \ldots, 11 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve (5.5) for $U^{0}, V^{0}$, and $W$, we can first eliminate $U^{0}$ by adding two copies of (5.5) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus G\left(P_{\mathbf{L}}^{0} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(P_{\mathbf{L}}^{i} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{i} \oplus W\right)=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if we knew the value of $G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus V^{0}\right)$, (5.6) would give us an equation for $W$ alone. Consider $G(\mathbf{a})$ and $G(\mathbf{a} \oplus 80800000)$. It is easy to see that in both cases, $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ in (2.2) are the same, and hence only $c_{0}$ differs. $a_{0}$ and $a_{0} \oplus 80$ differ only in the first place, so $c_{0}$ differs only in the seventh place. By a similar reasoning we can evaluate other sums, and so we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(\mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{a} \oplus 80800000)=02000000 \\
& G(\mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{a} \oplus 00008080)=00000020, \\
& G(\mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{a} \oplus 40400000)=01000000,03000000  \tag{5.7}\\
& G(\mathbf{a}) \oplus G(\mathbf{a} \oplus 00004040)=00000001,00000003 .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{1} \oplus W\right)=C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{1} \oplus 02000000 \\
& G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{2} \oplus W\right)=C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \oplus 00000002 \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

This is an equation of the form of (3.7), so we can solve it efficiently and get solutions for $W$. We can eliminate many of these solutions by checking to see whether they satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{3} \oplus W\right) \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{3}=01000000,03000000 \\
& G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{1} \oplus W\right) \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}=00000001,00000003 \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

This typically gives us up to ten different values for $W$. For each value of $W$, we can find values of $V^{0}$ by solving

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{5} \oplus V^{0}\right)=C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{5} \oplus G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{5} \oplus W\right) \\
& G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus V^{0}\right) \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{6} \oplus V^{0}\right)=C_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{6} \oplus G\left(D^{0} \oplus W\right) \oplus G\left(D^{6} \oplus W\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

which is again of the form of (3.7). Equation (5.5) then gives us $U^{0}$. We can then check each triplet $\left(W, V^{0}, U^{0}\right)$ to see if it satifies (5.5) for the other plaintexts with $Q^{i}=Q^{0}$, that is to say $i=7,8,9,10,11$. This will usually give us less than 20 triplets $\left(W, \mathrm{~V}^{0}, U^{0}\right)$.

For each triplet, we can try and solve for the key constants $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, N_{1}, N_{2}$, $N_{3}$. Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
& U^{12}=U^{13}=U^{0} \oplus Q^{0} \oplus Q^{12} \\
& U^{14}=U^{15}=U^{0} \oplus Q^{0} \oplus Q^{14} \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and so (5.4) gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{12} \oplus V^{12}\right)=G\left(D^{12} \oplus W\right) \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{12} \oplus U^{12} \\
& G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{14} \oplus V^{14}\right)=G\left(D^{14} \oplus W\right) \oplus C_{\mathrm{L}}^{14} \oplus U^{14} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

These are two equations of the form (3.1), so we can solve them for $V^{12}=V^{13}$ and $V^{14}=V^{15}$. These two values can then be checked with (5.4) for $i=13,15$.
If we obtain solutions for $V^{12}$ and $V^{14}$, we can attempt to calculate the key constant $N_{1}$. Equation (5.3) gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(Q^{0} \oplus N_{1}\right) \oplus G\left(Q^{12} \oplus N_{1}\right)=V^{0} \oplus V^{12} \\
& G\left(Q^{0} \oplus N_{1}\right) \oplus G\left(Q^{14} \oplus N_{1}\right)=V^{0} \oplus V^{14} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which is again of the form (3.7), so it can be efficiently solved for $N_{1}$. For each possibility for $N_{1}$, we can calulate $V^{16}$, and see if (5.4) is satisfied. Knowing possible solutions for $N_{1}$ immediately gives us corresponding possible solutions for $M_{1}$ and $N_{3}$.

We now proceed by finding $M_{2}$. We can do this by calculating the values of $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ in (2.7) for plaintexts $P^{0}, P^{17}$, and $P^{18}$, and noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(Y_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right)=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}=X_{1} \oplus D \oplus M_{3} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(Y_{1}^{0} \oplus M_{2}\right) \oplus G\left(Y_{1}^{17} \oplus M_{2}\right)=X_{1}^{0} \oplus X_{1}^{17} \oplus D^{0} \oplus D^{17} \\
& G\left(Y_{1}^{0} \oplus M_{2}\right) \oplus G\left(Y_{1}^{18} \oplus M_{2}\right)=X_{1}^{0} \oplus X_{1}^{18} \oplus D^{0} \oplus D^{18} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

which is of the form of (3.7). However, the outer 16 bits of $M_{2}$ are zero, so we have to solve (5.15) for $M_{2}$ allowing for this. For each possible value of $M_{2}$, we can calculate $X_{2}^{0}, X_{2}^{17}$, and $X_{2}^{18}$, and hence three values for $M_{3}$, which should of course agree. If not, we can reject $M_{2}$. Finally, we can calculate $N_{2}$, checking that the outer 16 bits are zero.
Thus, we have calculated $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}, N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$, and we can do a last check by coding all 20 plaintexts with (2.7), including the previously unused $P^{19}$.

If we need to recover the key, we can use a method given by Den Boer [1]. The knowledge of $M_{1}, N_{1}, M_{3}, N_{3}$ and (2.6) gives us the outer 16 bits of $B_{3}, B_{4}, B_{5}, B_{6}$. If we know the outer 16 bits of both the output and the two inputs to $f_{K}$, we can determine all the input and output bits of $f_{\mathbf{K}}$. We can thus solve the final iteration of the key scheduling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{6}=f_{K}\left(B_{4}, B_{5} \oplus B_{3}\right), \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

to find the values of $B_{4}, B_{6}$, and $B_{3} \oplus B_{5}$. We can also now caluclate $B_{2}^{0} \oplus B_{2}^{2}$ and $B_{2}^{1} \oplus B_{2}^{3}$. Therefore, if we knew $B_{3}^{2}$, we would know all the bits of $B_{2}$, and hence $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{6}$. We can thus simply try all 256 possibilities for $B_{3}^{2}$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{5}=f_{K}\left(B_{3}, B_{4} \oplus B_{2}\right) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having solved (5.17), we thus have sufficient information to determine $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{6}$. We can now recover the key by first solving $B_{3}=f_{\mathrm{K}}\left(B_{1}, B_{2} \oplus K_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ for $K_{\mathrm{R}}$, and then solving $B_{2}=f_{K}\left(K_{\mathrm{R}}, B_{1} \oplus K_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ for $K_{\mathrm{L}}$. The key, $K$, is then given by $K=\left(K_{\mathrm{L}}, K_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$.

Of course, we do not need all 20 plaintexts to recover the key. We could dispense with some of the plaintexts that are only used to check possibilities for the various constants. This would of course mean that we would have to compute more possibilities for the various constants until later in the algorihm, and consequently computing time would be increased. For example, we could cut the number of plaintexts to seven, using $P^{0}, P^{1}, P^{2}, P^{5}, P^{6}, P^{12}, P^{14}$, and taking $P^{17}=P^{12}$ and $P^{18}=P^{14}$. If we are prepared to handle equations of the form of (3.5) rather than (3.7), we could only use four plaintexts, $P^{0}, P^{1}, P^{5}, P^{12}$, with $P^{17}=P^{12}$.

It may be possible to extend this method of attack to a known plaintext attack. The idea is to take similar pairs of plaintext $P^{i}$ and $P^{j}$ and predict the value of some of the bits of $V^{i} \oplus V^{j}$ with high probability, and hence the value of certain bits of $G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{i} \oplus V^{i}\right) \oplus G\left(P_{\mathrm{L}}^{j} \oplus V^{j}\right)$ with high probability. We can thus write down an equation for certain bit positions of the form of (5.8), which we may be able to solve for some of the bits of $W$. We could solve many such equations and hence find $W$. We then proceed as before, solving equations in certain bit positions as best we can by using similar pairs of plaintext and predicting the evaluation of the function $G$ in certain bit positions with high probability.

## 6. Conclusions

This method of attack, with 20 plaintexts takes up to 4 hours computing on a Sun $3 / 60$ Workstation, not a particularly powerful computer. The length of time depends on the key, most keys having been found in less than an hour.
However the function $G$ is defined, any four round cipher is vulnerable to the type of attack based on (5.4) that is outlined above. Obviously, the more easily
equations involving $G$ are solved, the quicker the attack. The problem is not so much the $S_{i}$ transformation, since the methods of Sections 3 would work for any function $S_{i}$ with a 16 -bit input and 8 -bit output, as that the two inner 8 -bit blocks of the output of $G, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in (2.2), both depend only on the same 16 bits, $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. We are therefore easily able to find $a_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ by exhaustive search, and hence invert $G$. $G$ would be much harder to invert if it was redesigned so that every output block of 8 bits depended on 16 different input bits and an exhaustive search became infeasible. A further improvement would be to redesign the function $f$ so as to remove the linear connection between $a$ and $b$ in (1.7). This would make the definition of a funcion like $G$ impossible and ensure that every output block of 8 bits of $f$ depended on all 48 input bits.

Whilst FEAL-4 is not intended for use in a chosen plaintext environment, a cipher that falls so quickly to so few plaintexts must be too weak for most practical putposes. If the protocol for the use of a cipher system has to be such so as to preclude any possibiltiy of less than 12 chosen plaintexts, then the advantages of using a fast ciphering algorithm like FEAL-4 are less important and it would be better to use a more secure cipher. Such a protocol would seem to be too restrictive for most data integrity uses. Even if such a protocol could be guaranteed, data integrity usage would give rise to many pairs of similar plaintexts, so a known plaintext attack of the type outlined above might well succeed.
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